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#### Abstract

Let $\rho$ and $\mu$ be two probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ which are not the Dirac mass at 0 . We denote by $H(\mu \mid \rho)$ the relative entropy of $\mu$ with respect to $\rho$. We prove that, if $\rho$ is symmetric and $\mu$ has a finite first moment, then $$
H(\mu \mid \rho) \geq \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} z d \mu(z)\right)^{2}}{2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} z^{2} d \mu(z)},
$$ with equality if and only if $\mu=\rho$. We give an applicaion to the CurieWeiss model of self-organized criticality.
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## 1 Introduction

Given two probability measures $\mu$ and $\rho$ on $\mathbb{R}$, the relative entropy of $\mu$ with respect to $\rho$ (or the Kullback-Leibler divergence of $\rho$ from $\mu$ ) is

$$
H(\mu \mid \rho)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) \ln f(z) d \rho(z) & \text { if } \mu \ll \rho \text { and } f=\frac{d \mu}{d \rho} \\
+\infty & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $d \mu / d \rho$ denotes the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\mu$ with respect to $\rho$ when it exists. In this paper, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let $\rho$ and $\mu$ be two probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ which are not the Dirac mass at 0. If $\rho$ is symmetric and if $\mu$ has a finite first moment, then

$$
H(\mu \mid \rho) \geq \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} z d \mu(z)\right)^{2}}{2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} z^{2} d \mu(z)}
$$

with equality if and only if $\mu=\rho$.
A remarkable feature of this inequality is that the lower bound does not depend on the symmetric probability measure $\rho$. We found the following related inequality in the literature (see lemma 3.10 of [1]): if $\rho$ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ whose first moment $m$ exists and such that

$$
\exists v>0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp (\lambda(z-m)) d \rho(z) \leq \exp \left(\frac{v \lambda^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

then, for any probability measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$ having a first moment, we have

$$
H(\mu \mid \rho) \geq \frac{1}{2 v}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} z d \mu(z)-m\right)^{2}
$$

Our inequality does not require an integrability condition. Instead we assume that $\rho$ is symmetric.
The proof of the theorem is given in the following section. It consists in relating the relative entropy $H(\cdot \mid \rho)$ and the Cramér transform $I$ of $\left(Z, Z^{2}\right)$ when $Z$ is a random variable with distribution $\rho$. We then use an inequality on $I$ which we proved initially in [2]. We give here a simplified proof of this inequality.
In section 3 , we apply the inequality of theorem 1 to the Curie-Weiss model of self-organized criticality we designed in [2]. We prove that, if $\left(X_{n}^{1}, \ldots, X_{n}^{n}\right)$ has the distribution

$$
d \widetilde{\mu}_{n, \rho}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{Z_{n}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)^{2}}{x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}>0\right\}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} d \rho\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

for any $n \geq 1$, and if $\rho$ is symmetric with compact support and such that $\rho(\{0\})<1 / \sqrt{e}$, then, for any continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\forall \varepsilon>0 \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{\mu}_{n, \rho}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(X_{n}^{k}\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) d \rho(z)\right| \geq \varepsilon\right)=0 .
$$

## 2 Proof of the theorem

Let $\rho$ and $\mu$ be two probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ which are not the Dirac mass at 0 . We first recall that $H(\mu \mid \rho) \geq 0$, with equality if and only if $\mu=\rho$.

We assume that $\rho$ is symmetric and that $\mu$ has a finite first moment. We denote

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mu)=\frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} z d \mu(z)\right)^{2}}{2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} z^{2} d \mu(z)} .
$$

If $\mu=\rho$ then $\mathcal{F}(\mu)=0=H(\mu \mid \rho)$. From now onwards we suppose that $\mu \neq \rho$. If the first moment of $\mu$ vanishes or if its second moment is infinite, then we have $\mathcal{F}(\mu)=0<H(\mu \mid \rho)$. Finally, if $\mu$ is such that $H(\mu \mid \rho)=+\infty$, then Jensen's inequality implies that

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mu) \leq 1 / 2<H(\mu \mid \rho)
$$

In the following, we suppose that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} z d \mu(z) \neq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} z^{2} d \mu(z)<+\infty
$$

and that $H(\mu \mid \rho)<+\infty$. This implies that $\mu \ll \rho$ and we set $f=d \mu / d \rho$. It follows from Jensen's inequality that, for any $\mu$-integrable function $\Phi$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi d \mu-H(\mu \mid \rho)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln \left(\frac{e^{\Phi}}{f}\right) d \mu \leq \ln \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{\Phi}}{f} d \mu=\ln \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\Phi} d \rho
$$

As a consequence

$$
\sup _{\Phi \in \mathrm{L}^{1}(\mu)}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi d \mu-\ln \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\Phi} d \rho\right\} \leq H(\mu \mid \rho)
$$

In order to make appear the first and second moments of $\rho$, we consider functions $\Phi$ of the form $z \longmapsto u z+v z^{2},(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. This way we obtain

$$
I\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} z d \mu(z), \int_{\mathbb{R}} z^{2} d \mu(z)\right) \leq H(\mu \mid \rho)
$$

where

$$
\forall(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \quad I(x, y)=\sup _{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}}\left\{u x+v y-\ln \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{u z+v z^{2}} d \rho(z)\right\}
$$

The function $I$ is the Cramér transform of $\left(Z, Z^{2}\right)$ when $Z$ is a random variable with distribution $\rho$. In our paper dealing with a Curie-Weiss model of selforganized criticality [2], we proved with the help of the following inequality that, under some integrability condition, the function $(x, y) \longmapsto I(x, y)-x^{2} /(2 y)$ has a unique global minimum on $\mathbb{R} \times] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$ at $\left(0, \int x^{2} d \rho(x)\right)$.
Proposition 2. If $\rho$ is a symmetric probability measure which is not the Dirac mass at 0 , then

$$
\forall x \neq 0 \quad \forall y \neq 0 \quad I(x, y)>\frac{x^{2}}{2 y} .
$$

We present here a proof of this proposition which is simpler than in [2].
Proof. Let $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$. By definition of $I(x, y)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(x, y) & \geq x \times \frac{x}{y}+y \times\left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 y^{2}}\right)-\ln \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(\frac{x z}{y}-\frac{x^{2} z^{2}}{2 y^{2}}\right) d \rho(z) \\
& =\frac{x^{2}}{2 y}-\ln \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(\frac{x z}{y}-\frac{x^{2} z^{2}}{2 y^{2}}\right) d \rho(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. By using the symmetry of $\rho$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(s z-t z^{2}\right) d \rho(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(-s z-t z^{2}\right) d \rho(z) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(s z-t z^{2}\right) d \rho(z)+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\right.
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \left.\exp \left(-s z-t z^{2}\right) d \rho(z)\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cosh (s z) \exp \left(-t z^{2}\right) d \rho(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose now $t=s^{2} / 2$. We have the inequality

$$
\forall u \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} \quad \cosh (u) \exp \left(-u^{2} / 2\right)<1
$$

Since $\rho$ is not the Dirac mass at 0 , the above inequality implies that

$$
\forall s \neq 0 \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cosh (s z) \exp \left(-\frac{s^{2} z^{2}}{2}\right) d \rho(z)<1
$$

We finally choose $s=x / y$ and we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(\frac{x z}{y}-\frac{x^{2} z^{2}}{2 y^{2}}\right) d \rho(z)<1
$$

As a consequence

$$
I(x, y) \geq \frac{x^{2}}{2 y}-\ln \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(\frac{x z}{y}-\frac{x^{2} z^{2}}{2 y^{2}}\right) d \rho(z)>\frac{x^{2}}{2 y}
$$

which is the desired inequality.
By applying the above proposition with

$$
\left.x=\int_{\mathbb{R}} z d \mu(z) \neq 0, \quad y=\int_{\mathbb{R}} z^{2} d \mu(z) \in\right] 0,+\infty[
$$

we obtain

$$
H(\mu \mid \rho) \geq I\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} z d \mu(z), \int_{\mathbb{R}} z^{2} d \mu(z)\right)>\mathcal{F}(\mu)
$$

This ends the proof of theorem 1.

## 3 Application to the Curie-Weiss model of SOC

In [2], we designed the following model: Let $\rho$ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$, which is not the Dirac mass at 0 . We consider an infinite triangular array of real-valued random variables $\left(X_{n}^{k}\right)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ such that for all $n \geq 1,\left(X_{n}^{1}, \ldots, X_{n}^{n}\right)$ has the distribution $\widetilde{\mu}_{n, \rho}$, where

$$
d \widetilde{\mu}_{n, \rho}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{Z_{n}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)^{2}}{x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}>0\right\}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} d \rho\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

and $Z_{n}$ is the renormalization constant. In [2] and [4], we proved that this model exhibits self-organized criticality: for a large class of symmetric distributions, we proved the fluctuations of $S_{n}=X_{n}^{1}+\cdots+X_{n}^{n}$ are of order $n^{3 / 4}$ and the limiting law is $C \exp \left(-\lambda x^{4}\right) d x$ for some $C, \lambda>0$.

For any $n \geq 1$, let us introduce the empirical measure

$$
M_{n}=\frac{1}{n}\left(\delta_{X_{n}^{1}}+\cdots+\delta_{X_{n}^{n}}\right) .
$$

The inequality of theorem 1 is the key ingredient to prove the following theorem:
Theorem 3. Let $\rho$ be a symmetric probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support and such that $\rho(\{0\})<1 / \sqrt{e}$. Then, under $\widetilde{\mu}_{n, \rho}$, the sequence $\left(M_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly in probability to $\rho$, i.e., for any continuous function $f$ from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\forall \varepsilon>0 \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{\mu}_{n, \rho}\left(\left|M_{n}(f)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d \rho\right| \geq \varepsilon\right)=0 .
$$

Let us prove this theorem. We suppose that there exists $L>0$ such that the support of $\rho$ is $[-L, L]$ or $]-L, L\left[\right.$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{L}$ the space of all probability measures on $[-L, L]$ endowed with the topology of weak convergence. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $f$ be a continuous function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The set

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}=\left\{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{1}^{L}:\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d \mu-\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d \rho\right|<\varepsilon\right\},
$$

is open in $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{L}$. Let $n \geq 1$. We denote by $\widetilde{\theta}_{n, \rho}$ the law of $\left(\delta_{Y_{1}}+\cdots+\delta_{Y_{n}}\right) / n$ when $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are $n$ independent random variables with distribution $\rho$. We have

$$
\widetilde{\mu}_{n, \rho}\left(M_{n} \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}^{c}\right)=\frac{1}{Z_{n}} \int_{\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}^{c}} \exp (n \mathcal{F}(\mu)) \mathbb{1}_{\mu \neq \delta_{0}} d \widetilde{\theta}_{n, \rho}(\mu) .
$$

The function $\mathcal{F}$ is continuous on $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{L} \backslash\left\{\delta_{0}\right\}$. Next, since $\mathcal{F}\left(\delta_{1 / k}\right)=1 / 2$ for any $k \geq 1$, we notice that putting $\mathcal{F}\left(\delta_{0}\right) \geq 1 / 2$ is necessary to ensure that $\mathcal{F}$ is upper semi-continuous. As a consequence we extend the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{L}$ by putting $\mathcal{F}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=1 / 2$. We suppose that $\rho(\{0\})<1 / \sqrt{e}$ so that

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=1 / 2<-\ln \rho(\{0\})=H\left(\delta_{0} \mid \rho\right) .
$$

If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{1}^{L} \backslash\left\{\delta_{0}\right\}$ then theorem 1 implies that $\mathcal{F}(\mu) \leq H(\mu \mid \rho)$ with equality if and only if $\mu=\rho$. Hence the function $\mathcal{F}-H(\cdot \mid \rho)$ has a unique maximum on $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{L}$ at $\rho$.

Sanov's theorem (theorem 6.2.10 of [3]) states that $\left(\widetilde{\theta}_{n, \rho}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies the large deviation principle in $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{L}$ with speed $n$ and governed by the good rate function $H(\cdot \mid \rho)$. As a consequence

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln Z_{n} \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \widetilde{\theta}_{n, \rho}\left(\left\{\delta_{0}\right\}^{c}\right) \geq-\inf _{\mu \neq \delta_{0}} H(\mu \mid \rho)=0
$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is bounded (by $1 / 2$ ) and is upper semi-continuous on $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{L}$, Varadhan's lemma (see section 4.3 of [3]) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \widetilde{\mu}_{n, \rho}\left(M_{n} \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}^{c}\right) & \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \int_{\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}^{c}} e^{n \mathcal{F}(\mu)} d \widetilde{\theta}_{n, \rho}(\mu)-\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln Z_{n} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\mathcal{F}(\mu)-H(\mu \mid \rho): \mu \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}^{c}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $H(\cdot \mid \rho)$ is a good rate function, $\mathcal{F}$ is upper semi-continuous and $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}^{c}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{L}$ which does not contain $\rho$, the unique maximum of the function $\mathcal{F}-H(\cdot \mid \rho)$, we get

$$
\sup \left\{\mathcal{F}(\mu)-H(\mu \mid \rho): \mu \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}^{c}\right\}<0
$$

As a consequence, there exists $c_{\varepsilon}>0$ and $n_{\varepsilon} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon} \quad \widetilde{\mu}_{n, \rho}\left(M_{n} \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}^{c}\right) \leq \exp \left(-n c_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

This implies the convergence in theorem 3.
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