



HAL
open science

Legitimizing Intimate Partner Violence: The Role of Romantic Love and the Mediating Effect of Patriarchal Ideologies

Solveig Lelaurain, David Fonte, Jean-Christophe Giger, Séverin Guignard,
Grégory Lo Monaco

► **To cite this version:**

Solveig Lelaurain, David Fonte, Jean-Christophe Giger, Séverin Guignard, Grégory Lo Monaco. Legitimizing Intimate Partner Violence: The Role of Romantic Love and the Mediating Effect of Patriarchal Ideologies. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 2021, 36 (13-14), pp.6351-6368. 10.1177/0886260518818427 . hal-03827170

HAL Id: hal-03827170

<https://hal.science/hal-03827170v1>

Submitted on 24 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Legitimizing intimate partner violence: The role of romantic love and the
mediating effect of patriarchal ideologies**

Solveig Lelaurain¹, David Fonte,¹ Jean-Christophe Giger^{2,3}, Séverin Guignard⁴, & Grégory Lo Monaco¹

¹Aix Marseille Univ, LPS, Aix en Provence, France

²University of Algarve, Portugal

³Research Centre for Spatial and Organizational Dynamics – CIEO

⁴ Aix Marseille Univ, Université Côte d’Azur, Avignon Université, CNRS, ESPACE, UMR 7300, Avignon, France

This research was financed by National Funds provided by the FCT- Foundation for Science and Technology through project UID/SOC/04020/2013 and by the region of Provence-Alpes-Côte d’Azur (PACA).

Correspondence concerning this manuscript should be addressed to Solveig Lelaurain, Laboratoire de Psychologie Sociale, Aix-Marseille Université, 29 avenue Robert Schuman, 13621 Aix-en-Provence, France. Email: solveig.lelaurain@gmail.com

Abstract: Romantic love in heterosexual relationships is recognized as an important aspect to be considered in relation to the psychosocial mechanisms associated with the persistence of intimate partner violence and the help-seeking barriers faced by female victims. However, few studies have explored the processes underlying the relationship between this form of love and attitudes toward this kind of violence. To do this, the current study aims to analyse the relationship between the adherence to romantic love and the legitimization of intimate partner violence (i.e., perceived severity of violence, victim blame and exoneration of the perpetrator). It was also to test the mediating effect of patriarchal ideologies (i.e., ambivalent sexism and domestic violence myths) on this relationship. Two hundred and thirty-five French adults (51.1% women) were surveyed. The data were analysed with structural equation models in order to study the mediations between the variables considered. Consistent with our hypotheses, the results showed that the more the participants adhered to romantic love, the more they blamed the victim and exonerated the perpetrator. They also showed a positive relationship between romantic love, ambivalent sexism, and domestic violence myths. Finally, the results demonstrated that this positive relationship is mediatized by ambivalent sexism and domestic violence myths. The findings illustrate the need to deconstruct romantic love ideology and the psychosocial logics underlying the legitimization of intimate partner violence.

Keywords: domestic violence; romantic love; ambivalent sexism; violence legitimization; intimate partner violence

“Val-de-Marne: ‘For love’ he kills his wife and then commits suicide” (in a French local newspaper)

“Teenage girl slaughtered in Perpignan: The boyfriend confesses to a crime of passion” (in another French local newspaper)

“Bertrand Cantat – Killing passionately” (in a French celebrity/gossip magazine)

Introduction

Intimate partner violence (IPV) is a worldwide social problem affecting between 10 and 71 % of women in their lifetimes (Garcia-Moreno, Jansen, Ellsberg, Heise, & Watts, 2006). This phenomenon has been acknowledged as one of the leading causes of injuries for women (Hague & Malos, 2005). However, this common violence remains rarely denounced and prosecuted (see Lelaurain, Graziani, & Lo Monaco, 2017, for a review on help-seeking barriers). Thus, one of the most important scientific issues in this field concerns the understanding of the psychological and social mechanisms underlying the persistence of this violence and the help-seeking barriers faced by victims. Patriarchal ideologies defining socio-symbolic relationships between genders affect judgments toward survivors and contribute to the non-recognition or acceptance of IPV (Baldry & Pagliaro, 2014; Lelaurain et al., 2018). Beyond simply underlining these ideologies, Peterson Del Mar (1996, p. 174) proposes to “examine our most cherished values and most powerful cultural traits” that could contribute to the acceptance of IPV”. Among these ideologies, romantic love seems to be one of the most widespread and socially accepted. For example, the above-mentioned French newspaper headlines illustrate the spontaneous relationship that people make between IPV and romantic love in the social field. However, romantic love has often been considered as a peripheral aspect of the IPV phenomenon in literature (Power, Koch, Kralick, & Jackson, 2006). Thus, our objective in the present study was to tackle the question of the effect of adherence to

romantic love, ambivalent sexism, and domestic violence myths on IPV legitimization.

Romantic love and acceptance of IPV

Romantic love is a set of beliefs about the power of love and the perfection of romance including for example, idealization of the partner, mysticism, complete involvement and exclusiveness or jealousy (see Knox & Sporkowski, 1968; Sprecher & Metts, 1998). It also refers to the nature of love and more specifically the characteristics or criteria for an ideal relationship (Bartell, 2009) as to how it should develop, function, and be maintained.

Romantic love was established as a reference model for heterosexual love relationships in the Western culture of the 19th and 20th centuries, valuing sexual and amorous exclusivity, predestination of the encounter and conjugal perpetuity (Marquet, 2009).

Nowadays, the postmodern love relationship is not only built on the basis of romantic love, but also incorporates representations based on the independence and autonomy of individuals (Chaumier, 1992). We thus find several representations of love which coexist, and which are not the object of the same social valorization depending on the socio-cultural context (Apostolidis & Deschamps, 2003). As we can see in cultural productions for youth, romantic love remains one of the most socially valued representations of love (Bonomi et al., 2013; Borgia, 2014; Hefner & Wilson, 2013). For instance, a study showed that almost 80% of Disney animated classics, at the time of the study, portrayed a couple that fell in love at first sight, got married, and “lived happily ever after” (Tanner, Haddock, Zimmerman & Lund, 2003). These love themed media products can generate idealistic expectations and practices of romantic love (Segrin & Nabi, 2002). Such romantic narratives shape experiences, actions and understandings of love and relationships, and in turn men and women learn what is love through them (Jackson, 1993).

Several studies have demonstrated the role of adherence to romantic love in the legitimization of IPV. For instance, the narratives of love and passion are very strong in the news media in framing intimate partner homicide, to the detriment of the structural and social dimensions underlying it (Gius & Lalli, 2014; DeShong & Haynes, 2016). In particular, these authors highlighted that “the frame of ‘romantic love’ is used in order to legitimate and justify violence against women” (p.68). Indeed, men who are violent and murderous towards their wives are often described as being under the influence of uncontrollable emotions, such as having “too much pain” or being “too much in love”, but rarely as aggressors (Gius & Lalli, 2014; Romito, 2006). Thus, adhesion to romantic love can help to make IPV socially acceptable in perceiving violence as a male form of amorous expression, a signs of intimacy or affection rather than as something problematic (Bonomi, Altenburger, & Walton, 2013; Bonomi et al., 2014; Lelaurain et al., 2018). However, romantic love is not only a veil that diminishes the recognition of violence within a couple. It is also one of the main barriers to the victims leaving the violent partner (Fanslow & Robinson, 2010; Jaspard, 2007; Lelaurain et al., 2017). Love is also a “weapon” for IPV because male dominance often depends on the partner’s emotional dependence (Jaspard, 2007).

The role of ambivalent sexism and domestic violence myths

According to feminist perspectives, the social valorisation of romantic love also helps to maintain gender inequalities through the naturalization of a culture of male dominance (see Monckton-Smith, 2012). Indeed, within many Western cultures, romantic heterosexual love is often used to maintain traditional gender relationships and to justify the order of women’s subordination to men (Chung, 2005; Singh, 2013; Wood, 2001). For instance, the romance narrative portrays women as needing to be rescued by man and as needing to be complete and fulfilled, while men are portrayed as naturally strong, full of power and sure of themselves

(Wood, 2001; Vincent & McEwen, 2004). An important aspect of romantic love is therefore to contribute to the definition of gender roles in couple relationships (Rudman & Glick, 2008), in particular by reducing women's identity to their feelings of love as well as making love into a destiny they must achieve (Chung 2005; Power et al. 2006).

According to this literature, ambivalent sexism and domestic violence myths are two socio-cognitive constructs that should be theoretically linked to romantic love insofar as they contribute to defining traditional gender roles legitimizing male domination. The first construct brings together two co-existing forms of sexism whose common function consists in maintaining women in their gender role and legitimizing gender inequalities (Glick & Fiske, 2001). On the one hand, benevolent sexism is defined as a set of attitudes that lead to seeing women through perceived positive stereotypes (Glick & Fiske, 2001, p. 491). On the other hand, hostile sexism is based on the idea of domination and male superiority (Dardenne, Delacollette, Grégoire, & Lecocq, 2006). Domestic violence myths were defined by Peters (2008) as stereotypical beliefs that are widely and persistently held to minimize or justify IPV against women. According to this author, the function of these myths is to support the patriarchal organization of society insofar as they are positively correlated to negative attitudes towards women and to certain restrictive visions of social gender roles.

Multiple contributions have shown that these two patriarchal ideologies are significant predictors of the justification of abusive behavior against women. For instance, it has been shown that domestic violence myths are linked to the attribution of victim responsibility, exoneration of the perpetrator and to non-recognition of IPV (see Giger et al., 2016; Lelaurain et al., 2018; Yamawaki, Ochoa-Shipp, Pulsipher, Harlos, & Swindler, 2012). In the same vein, ambivalent sexism has long been shown to affect attitudes toward violence against women such as minimization, victim-blame attribution, and excusing the perpetrator, (e.g.,

Craig, Robyak, Torosian, & Hummer, 2006; Glick et al., 2002; Sakalsh, 2001; Valor-Segura, Expósito, & Moya, 2011; Yamawaki, Ostenson, & Brown, 2009).

Thus, not only do ambivalent sexism and domestic violence myths help to define the traditional gender roles from which representations of romantic love are drawn, but these socio-cognitive constructs are also important predictors in the evaluation of IPV. This suggests that, behind the adherence to romantic love, the legitimization of IPV is likely to be determined by the internalization of patriarchal ideologies defining gender-symbolic roles and justifying male domination. Consequently, the potential effect of romantic love on the attitudes of individuals who face situations of IPV is likely to be mediated by the adherence to patriarchal ideologies. To our knowledge, this mediation has never been empirically tested. Yet it is an important issue to the extent that romantic love is a relevant analytical framework for improving our understanding of ideologies underlying the acceptance of IPV.

The present study

In line with the literature, the first objective of the present study was to verify whether adherence to romantic love predicts different evaluations, such as victim blame, exoneration of the perpetrator and perceived violence severity. The second objective was then to examine how adherence to romantic love accounts for these evaluations that tend to legitimize IPV. As we have seen, several authors highlight the fact that romantic love may help to maintain gender inequalities (Monckton-Smith, 2012; Singh, 2013; Wood, 2001). This suggests that adherence to patriarchal ideologies are mediators in the relationship between romantic love and the evaluation of IPV situations. We therefore hypothesized that:

H1: The more individuals adhere to romantic love, the more they will blame the female victim for the violence she suffered.

H2: The more individuals adhere to romantic love, the more they will exonerate the male perpetrator of the violence.

H3: The more individuals adhere to romantic love, the less they will perceive the male violence suffered by the female victim as severe.

H4: The effect of romantic love on the evaluation of IPV will be mediated by the adherence to ambivalent sexism and domestic violence myths.

Method

Participants

Two hundred and thirty-five participants (51.1% women), aged between 18 and 80 ($M = 38.31$, $SD = 16.23$), were recruited for this study. One hundred and twenty-one participants (51.9%) were engaged in a professional activity while 81 (34.5%) were students and 20 (8.5%) were retired. Finally, 48 (20.4%), said that they had ever experienced IPV and 120 (51.1%) reported knowing someone who was a victim of IPV.

Procedure

Participants were approached in various public places such as university libraries, train stations, and main streets of a city in the south of France. The research was presented to participants as a questionnaire on couple relationships and as individual, anonymous, and confidential. It was made clear that they could refuse to participate and that they could stop at any time without any explanation and without any consequences. A questionnaire was given to participants only after receiving their verbal consent. They completed it in the public places where they were recruited. A debriefing was offered to them after completion.

Data collection

Evaluation of IPV. Based on the procedure of Giger et al. (2016), participants were asked to evaluate an IPV situation presented in a short scenario (see Appendix) through a 12-

item questionnaire measuring three sub-scales: the perceived responsibility of the female character (*Victim Blame*), the perceived exoneration of the male character (*Exoneration of the perpetrator*), and the perceived severity of the violence perpetrated by the male character on the female character (*Perceived Severity of Violence*). Responses were given on a 7-point Likert scale, and overall scores could range from 1 to 7, with higher scores representing higher victim responsibility, aggressor exoneration and perceived violence severity.

Romantic Love. We used the Attitude Towards Love Scale (Knox, 1970; see also Knox & Sporkowski, 1968). This is a 30-item scale measuring the degree to which one holds romantic versus realistic attitudes toward love. Participants answered items on a 5-point Likert scale, and overall score could range from 1 to 5 with higher scores representing a greater degree of romantic love. Items were averaged so that higher scores reflected stronger romantic attitudes toward love. Internal consistency ($\alpha = .87$) in the present study was good.

Ambivalent Sexism. Sexism was measured with the Ambivalent Sexism Inventory (Dardenne et al., 2006; Glick & Fiske 1996). This 22-item questionnaire is composed of two dimensions: hostile sexism which is composed of negative feelings towards women; and benevolent sexism which reflects a chivalrous ideology of being sympathetic and protective towards women who agree with conventional gender roles. As allowed by the different validations of this scale, we used its unidimensional version which groups together the two forms of sexism. Responses were given on a 6-point Likert scale, and overall scores could range from 0 to 5, with higher scores representing a greater degree of ambivalent sexism. Internal consistency ($\alpha = .94$) in the present study was better to those reported by Glick and Fiske (2001; $\alpha = .81$ in Study 1; $\alpha = .83$ in Study 2).

Domestic Violence Myths. We used the Domestic Violence Myth Acceptance Scale (Lelaurain, Fonte, Graziani, & Lo Monaco, in press; Peters, 2008). This 18-item questionnaire measures stereotypical attitudes and beliefs contributing to the minimizing, denial, and even

justification of IPV. Responses were given on a 7-point Likert scale, and overall score could range from 1 to 7 with higher scores representing greater endorsement of myths surrounding IPV acceptance. Internal consistency ($\alpha = .88$) in the present study was similar to those reported by Peters (2008; $\alpha = .81$ in Study 1; $\alpha = .88$ in Study 2) and by Giger (2016; $\alpha = .86$ in Study 1; $\alpha = .90$ in Study 2).

Results

Descriptive statistics and correlations for the relevant measures are reported in Table 1. Pearson correlations were performed to examine whether Romantic Love, Ambivalent Sexism, Domestic Violence Myths and evaluations of IPV were correlated. These analyses revealed that all correlations were significant (all $ps < .05$), except for the relationship between Romantic Love and Perceived Severity of Violence. Then linear regression analyses were performed to examine the predictive ability of romantic love on the evaluation of IPV. Results showed that, the more participants adhered to Romantic Love, the more they blamed the victim ($\beta = .31, t(1, 233) = 4.94, p < .001$). Thus, our hypothesis **H1** was not rejected. Then, the more participants adhered to Romantic Love, the more they exonerated the perpetrator ($\beta = .29, t(1, 233) = 4.66, p < .001$). Thus, our hypothesis **H2** was not rejected. However, romantic love was not a predictor of Perceived Severity of Violence ($\beta = -.06, t(1, 233) = -.87, p = .39$). Our hypothesis **H3** was rejected.

Two successive structural equation models were performed from a confirmatory perspective to test our theoretical model according to which patriarchal ideologies mediate the effect of Romantic Love on the evaluation of IPV. Structural equation modelling was used because it allows complex mediation models to be tested in a single analysis (Gunzler, Chen, Wu, & Zhang, 2013; MacKinnon, 2008). In the first step, we tested a model in which Romantic Love, Ambivalent Sexism and Domestic Violence Myths were related to Victim Blame, Perceived Severity of Violence and Exoneration of the Perpetrator (Figure 1). This

analysis revealed that all standardized path coefficients were significant (all $ps < .01$), except for the relationship between Domestic Violence Myths and the Perceived Severity of Violence ($\beta = -.09, p = .284$). A non-significant path was also revealed for the relationship that Romantic Love maintains with Victim Blame ($\beta = .08, p = .155$), Perceived Severity of Violence ($\beta = .11, p = .121$), and Exoneration of the perpetrator ($\beta = .07, p = .229$).

In order to check for the significance of the indirect mediated effect of these non-significant direct effects, bootstrap tests were performed (mean of 5000 bootstrap samples with bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals). These analyses revealed a significant indirect effect for the relationship that Romantic Love maintains with Victim Blame ($\beta = .08, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI} = .17; .30$), Perceived Severity of Violence ($\beta = .10, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI} = -.22; -.11$), and Exoneration of the perpetrator ($\beta = .07, p < .001, 95\% \text{ CI} = .16; .29$). This suggests that ideologies legitimizing patriarchy are significant mediators in the relationship between Romantic Love and the evaluation of IPV. The goodness-of-fit tests yielded a significant chi square ($\chi^2(3) = 29.02, p < .001$), which means that the model did not fit the observed data. The model's baseline fit was inadequate (RMSEA = .193, SRMSR = .050, CFI = .940, TLI = .698).

[INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE]

In the second step, we rectified our theoretical model to decrease the chi-squared index: The non-significant direct effects were withdrawn, and, on the basis of the modification indices, a covariance was added between Perceived Severity of Violence and Victim Blame, and between Victim Blame and Exoneration of the perpetrator (Figure 2). The analysis of this new model revealed that all standardized path coefficients were significant (all $ps < .01$). Goodness-of-fit tests yielded a non-significant chi square ($\chi^2(5) = 7.15, p = .210$), which

meant that the new model fitted the observed data. The model's baseline fit was adequate (RMSEA = .043, SRMSR = .030, CFI = .995, TLI = .985). Comparing the models using $\Delta\chi^2$ revealed a significant difference between the final model and the initial model ($\Delta\chi^2(\Delta df = 2) = 21.87, p < .001$), which indicates that the model fitted the observed data better when Romantic Love was only related to the evaluation of IPV via patriarchal ideologies. Thus, our hypothesis **H4** was not rejected.

[INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE]

Discussion

This research provides an original contribution to the understanding of the mechanism by which an IPV situation could be legitimized. The findings showed that romantic love was associated with evaluations that tend to legitimize IPV, confirming the relationship suggested by some qualitative research (see Gius & Lalli, 2014; Wood, 2001). More specifically, the adherence to this ideology predicts significantly victim blame and exoneration of perpetrator of a case of IPV. They also showed that patriarchal ideologies mediated the relationship between romantic love and the evaluation of IPV, which is consistent with the assumption that romantic love is underpinned by stereotypes legitimizing gender inequalities and violence against women (see Chung, 2005; Singh, 2013; Wood, 2001). Thus, IPV is legitimized insofar as the adherence of individuals to romantic love also leads them to adhere to ambivalent sexism and domestic violence myths; in return, the adhesion to these two ideologies leads individuals to perceive the male aggressor as less accountable and the female victim as more accountable. In others words, this suggests that, behind the adherence to romantic love, the legitimization of IPV is determined by the internalization of patriarchal ideologies defining gender-symbolic roles and justifying male domination. These results stand out from those of

other studies exploring the relationships between romantic love and IPV, which have focused more on love as a reason to stay and not seeking help (see Anderson et al., 2003; Dziegielewski, Campbell, & Turnage, 2005; Towns & Adams, 2000) or to justify early signs of IPV (Frías & Agoff, 2015; Power et al., 2006; Pyles, Katie, Mariame, Suzette, & DeChiro, 2012). Indeed, we shed light on another aspect of adherence to romantic love ideology: how romantic love favors the acceptance of IPV by reinforcing the adherence to patriarchal ideologies.

Our findings led us to conduct an ideological and macro-social (Doise, 1986) level analysis in order to question the social functions of romantic love in Western cultures. Specifically, this would allow us to understand the ambivalence between the strong condemnation of violence in society, perceived as “intolerable” (Herman, 2016), and its persistence as well as its social acceptance. While romantic love depicted in popular culture is conflated with the idea of control (Bonomi et al., 2013; Deller & Smith, 2013), this type of love remains highly socially valued and seems harmless on the surface (Papp, Liss, Erchull, Godfrey, & Waaland-Kreutzer, 2017). Such a conception of love is therefore symptomatic of a culture of male dominance perceived as natural and unproblematic (Dobash & Dobash, 1980). This ideology thus appears as a hegemonic construct that could help to facilitate the negotiation of social norms outlawing IPV against women. A recent study aiming at exploring the representations that emerge in communications when French individuals discuss an IPV situation illustrates this strategy (Lelaurain et al., 2018). Romantic love has been shown to be a salient conditional parameter for legitimizing the deviation from the norm of “one doesn’t slap a woman” to which all participants spontaneously refer. Specifically, they discussed different aspects of a situation of male violence against a woman in order to estimate its severity or its justification. The violence thus appeared as more justified and less severe if the couple were in a long-term relationship or if they were in love.

These considerations finally invite us to take a greater interest in consensual and apparently insignificant cultural objects but which nevertheless play an essential role in the legitimization of IPV against women. Such cultural objects therefore present a particular challenge for researchers who are in close axiological proximity between their own values and those of the society in which they live. The latter always face the risk of being “blinded” by ideologies whose dominant character lies precisely in not being perceived as such. Bourdieu’s (2001) considerations about love in the post-scriptum of his book on male domination are illustrative of this risk. Although this work is part of a perspective of systematically distancing and deconstructing common sense evidence on gender relations, his specific reflections on heterosexual love mark a break with this line of conduct. Love is therefore thought of by Bourdieu as a feeling that suspends male domination, and not as a vector of this domination as the feminists of his time already conceptualized it.

Limitations and future directions

This work has one main limitation concerning the correlational analyses used. Therefore, it invites us to be cautious when interpreting and generalizing the findings since further research is needed in order to provide causal evidence for our hypotheses. From this perspective it could be relevant to use experimental procedures in order to deepen our knowledge of the effect of romantic love on IPV evaluation. One perspective of testing the causal effect of romantic love on the adherence to ideologies legitimizing male dominance and the evaluation of IPV situations could be to use the autobiographical recall technique (see Galinsky, Magee, Gruenfeld, Whitson, & Liljenquist, 2008). Specifically, this technique would consist in asking participants to write about a life event in which they felt in love and then to measure the variables mentioned above. We finally suggest that the development of this kind of research would be useful for enhancing sex education programs, especially for adolescents and young

adults, for whom love being essential and eternal are dominant ideas (Singh, 2013). Thus, instead of just trying to change individuals' attitudes and behaviours, these programs could also be directed toward the deconstruction of the dominant representation of love which contributes to the perpetuation of hierarchical gender constructions and to the acceptance of IPV. The knowledge produced by gender studies is an important matter here on which such programs should be based. They are conceptual tools that allow us to initiate a reflection on the problems stemming from gender relationships and to denaturalize certain shared evidence on these relationships, but also to raise young people's awareness of the existence of all the diversity of possible relational ways between men and women.

Appendix

Mary is telling Ann what happened to her with her boyfriend: "You know, yesterday I was sitting on the couch with John, and we were watching TV. Someone rang me, but I hung up, so we wouldn't be bothered. John asked who it was. I replied that it was nobody important. He picked up my mobile phone and began to check my calls and he saw that it was Peter. He got furious and threw the mobile phone against the wall. The phone broke into pieces. I told him he had no right to do so. He grabbed my wrist with full force, insisting that I tell him who Peter was. I told him he was hurting me, but he didn't let go of my wrist. I replied that it was my new colleague, with whom I was working on an important project and I had already spoken about him. He looked at me angrily and said: 'Why are you doing everything to make things go badly for us and make me angry?' When I didn't answer him, he continued, saying, 'If I get angry it's because I love you so much,' and he hugged me."

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Research Involving Human Participants and/or Animals

The study was carried out in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments, the ethical principles of the French Code of Ethics for Psychologists, and the World Health Organization ethical recommendations for research on violence against women.

References

- Anderson, M. A., Gillig, P. M., Sitaker, M., McCloskey, K., Malloy, K., & Grigsby, N. (2003). “Why doesn’t she just leave?”: A descriptive study of victim reported impediments to her safety. *Journal of Family Violence, 18*(3), 151–155. doi: 10.1023/A:1023564404773
- Apostolidis, T., & Deschamps, J. C. (2003). Une approche psychosociale de l’amour : logiques normatives et representations [A psychosocial approach to love: Normative logics and representations]. *Nouvelle Revue de Psychologie Sociale, 2*(2), 216–227.
- Baldry, A. C., & Pagliaro, S. (2014). Helping victims of intimate partner violence: The influence of group norms among lay people and the police. *Psychology of Violence, 4*(3), 334–347. doi:10.1037/t05289-000
- Bartell, D. (2009). Romanticism. In H. T. Reis & S. Sprecher (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of human relationships*, (pp. 1391–1392). London: Sage.
- Bonomi, A. E., Altenburger, L. E., & Walton, N. L. (2013). “Double crap!” Abuse and harmed identity in *Fifty Shades of Grey*. *Journal of Women's Health, 22*(9), 733–744. doi:10.1089/jwh.2013.4344
- Bonomi, A. E., Nemeth, J. M., Altenburger, L. E., Anderson, M. L., Snyder, A., & Dotto, I. (2014). Fiction or not? *Fifty Shades* is associated with health risks in adolescent and young adult females. *Journal of Women's Health, 23*(9), 720-728.

- Borgia, D. (2014). Twilight: The glamorization of abuse, codependency, and white privilege. *Journal of Popular Culture*, 47(1), 153–173.
- Bourdieu, P. (2001). *Masculine domination*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Chaumier, S. (1992). *La déliaison amoureuse. De la fusion romantique au désir d'indépendance* [The loving release. From romantic fusion to desire for independence]. Paris: Armand Colin.
- Chung, D. (2005). Violence, control, romance and gender equality: Young women and heterosexual relationships. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 28(6), 445–455. doi:10.1016/j.wsif.2005.09.005
- Craig, M., Robyak, J., Torosian, E., & Hummer, J. (2006). A study of male veterans' beliefs toward domestic violence in a batterers intervention program. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 21(9), 1111–1128.
- Dardenne, B., Delacollette, N., Grégoire, C., & Lecocq, D. (2006). Structure latente et validation de la version française de l'Ambivalent Sexism Inventory: L'Échelle de Sexisme Ambivalent [Latent structure of the French validation of the Ambivalent Sexism Inventory: Échelle de Sexisme Ambivalent]. *L'année Psychologique*, 106(2), 235–264. doi:10.4074/S0003503306002041
- Deller, R. A., & Smith, C. (2013). Reading the BDSM romance: Reader responses to Fifty Shades. *Sexualities*, 16(8), 932–950. doi:10.1177/1363460713508882
- DeShong, H. A., & Haynes, T. (2016). Intimate partner violence in the Caribbean: State, activist and media responses. *Global Public Health*, 11(1-2), 82–94. doi:10.1080/17441692.2015.1012529
- Dobash, R. & Dobash, R. (1980) *Violence against wives: A case against the patriarchy*. London: Open Books.

- Doise, W. (1986). *Levels of explanation in social psychology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dziegielewski, S. F., Campbell, K., & Turnage, B. T. (2005). Domestic violence: Focus groups from the survivors' perspective. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment, 11*(2), 9–23. doi:10.1300/J137v11n02_02
- Fanslow, J. L., & Robinson, E. M. (2010). Help-seeking behaviors and reasons for help seeking reported by a representative sample of women victims of intimate partner violence in New Zealand. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 25*(5), 929–951. doi:10.1177/0886260509336963
- Frías, S. M., & Agoff, M. C. (2015). Between support and vulnerability: Examining family support among women victims of intimate partner violence in Mexico. *Journal of Family Violence, 30*(3), 277–291. doi:10.1007/s10896-015-9677-y
- Galinsky, A. D., Magee, J. C., Gruenfeld, D. H., Whitson, J. A., & Liljenquist, K. A. (2008). Power reduces the press of the situation: Implications for creativity, conformity, and dissonance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 95*(6), 1450–1466. doi:10.1037/a0012633
- Garcia-Moreno, C., Jansen, H. M., Ellsberg, M., Heise, L., & Watts, C. H. (2006). Prevalence of intimate partner violence: Findings from the WHO multi-country study on women's health and domestic violence. *The Lancet, 368*(9543), 1260–1269. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69523-8
- Giger, J., Gonçalves, G., & Almeida, A. S. (2017). Adaptation of the Domestic Violence Myth Acceptance Scale to Portuguese and tests of its convergent, divergent, and predictive validities. *Violence Against Women, 23*(14), 1790–1810. doi:10.1177/1077801216666724

- Gius, C., & Lalli, P. (2014). "I loved her so much, but I killed her" Romantic love as a representational frame for intimate partner femicide in three Italian newspapers. *Journal for Communication Studies*, 7(2), 53–75.
- Glick, P., Sakall-Ugurlu, N., Ferreira, M., & Aguiar de Souza, M. (2002). Ambivalent sexism and attitudes toward wife abuse in Turkey and Brazil. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 26(4), 292–297. doi:10.1111/1471-6402.t01-1-00068
- Gunzler, D., Chen, T., Wu, P., & Zhang, H. (2013). Introduction to mediation analysis with structural equation modeling. *Shanghai Archives of Psychiatry*, 25(6), 390–394. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1002-0829.2013.06.009
- Hague, G., & Malos, E. (2005). *Domestic violence: Action for change*. Cheltenham: New Clarion.
- Hefner, V., & Wilson, B. J. (2013). From love at first sight to soul mate: The influence of romantic ideals in popular films on young people's beliefs about relationships. *Communication Monographs*, 80(2), 150–175. doi:10.1080/03637751.2013.776697.
- Herman, E. (2016). *Lutter contre les violences conjugales* [Combating domestic violence]. Rennes : Presses Universitaires de Rennes.
- Jackson, S. (1993) "Love and romance as objects of feminist knowledge." In M. Kennedy, C. Lubelska, & V. Walsh. (Eds.), *Making connections: Women's studies, women's movements, women's lives*. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Jaspard, M. (2007). Au nom de l'amour : les violences dans le couple. Résultats d'une enquête statistique nationale [In the name of love: Intimate partners violence. Results from a national statistical survey]. *Informations Sociales*, 144(8), 34–44.
- Knox, D. J., & Sporakowski, M. J. (1968). Attitudes of college students toward love. *Journal of Marriage and The Family*, 30(4), 638–642. doi:10.2307/349508

- Knox, D. J. (1970). Conceptions of love at three developmental levels. *The Family Coordinator*, 19(2), 151–156. doi:10.2307/582445
- Lelaurain, S., Fonte, D., Aim, M. A., Khatmi, N., Decarsin, T., Lo Monaco, G., & Apostolidis T. (2018). “One Doesn’t Slap a Girl But...” Social Representations and Conditional Logics in Legitimization of Intimate Partner Violence. *Sex Roles*, 78(9), 637–652. doi:10.1007/s11199-017-0821-4
- Lelaurain, S., Fonte, D., Graziani, P., & Lo Monaco, G. (in press). French validation of the Domestic Violence Myth Acceptance Scale (DVMAS). *Affilia: Journal of Women and Social Work*.
- Lelaurain, S., Graziani, P., & Lo Monaco, G. (2017). Intimate partner violence and help-seeking: A systematic review and social psychological tracks for future research. *European Psychologist*, 22(4), 263–281. doi:10.1027/1016-9040/a000304
- MacKinnon, D. (2008). *Introduction to statistical mediation analysis*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Marquet, J. (2009). L’amour romantique à l’épreuve d’internet [Romantic love to the test of the internet]. *Dialogue*, 186(4), 11–23.
- Monckton-Smith, J., (2012). *Murder, gender and the media. Narratives of dangerous love*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Papp, L. J., Liss, M., Erchull, M. J., Godfrey, H., & Waaland-Kreutzer, L. (2017). The dark side of heterosexual romance: Endorsement of romantic beliefs relates to intimate partner violence. *Sex Roles*, 76(1-2), 99–109. doi:10.1007/s11199-016-0668-0
- Peterson Del Mar, D. (1996). *What trouble I have seen: A history of violence against wives*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

- Power, C., Koch, T., Kralik, D., & Jackson, D. (2006). Lovestruck: Women, romantic love and intimate partner violence. *Contemporary Nurse*, 21(2), 174–185.
doi:10.5172/conu.2006.21.2.174
- Pyles, L., Katie, M. M., Mariame, B. B., Suzette, G. G., & DeChiro, J. (2012). Building bridges to safety and justice: Stories of survival and resistance. *Affilia: Journal of Women & Social Work*, 27(1), 84–94. doi:10.1177/0886109912437487
- Romito, P. (2006). *Un silence de mortes. La violence masculine occultée* [A silence of dead. Male violence hidden]. Paris: Syllepse.
- Rudman, L. A., & Glick, P. (2008). *The social psychology of gender: How power and intimacy shape gender relations*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Sakalh, N. (2001). Beliefs about wife beating among Turkish college students: The effects of patriarchy, sexism, and sex differences. *Sex Roles*, 44(9-10), 599–610.
doi:10.1023/A:1012295109711
- Segrin, C. and R. Nabi (2002) Does television viewing cultivate unrealistic expectations about marriage? *Journal of Communication*, 52(2), 247–263. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2002.tb02543.x
- Singh, S. (2013). Women want love, man wives: The discourse of romantic love in young adults' future marriage goals". *Agenda, Empowering Women for Gender Equality*, 27(2), 22–29. doi:10.1080/10130950.2013.808798
- Sprecher, S., & Metts, S. (1989). Development of the Romantic Beliefs Scale and examination of the effects of gender and gender-role orientation. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 6(4), 387–411. doi:10.1177/0265407589064001
- Tanner, L., Haddock, S. A., Zimmerman, T. S., & Lund, L. (2003). Images of couples and families in Disney feature-length animated films. *American Journal of Family Therapy*, 31(5), 355–374. doi:10.1080/01926180390223987

- Towns, A., & Adams, P. (2000). "If I loved him enough, he would be okay": Women's accounts of male partner violence. *Violence Against Women, 6*(6), 558-585.
doi:10.1177/10778010022182038
- Valor-Segura, I., Expósito, F., & Moya, M. (2011). Victim blaming and exoneration of the perpetrator in domestic violence: The role of beliefs in a just world and ambivalent sexism. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology, 14*(1), 195–206.
doi:10.5209/rev_SJOP.2011.v14.n1.17
- Vincent, L., & McEwen, C. (2004). Sleeping with beauty: romantic love, sex, power and the construction of femininity in heterosexual young adult relationships. *Asian Women, 20*, 1–26.
- Wood, J. T. (2001). The normalization of violence in heterosexual romantic relationship. Women's narratives of love and violence. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 18*(2), 183–201. doi:10.1177/0265407501182005
- Yamawaki, N., Ochoa-Shipp, M., Pulsipher, C., Harlos, A., & Swindler, S. (2012). Perceptions of domestic violence: The effects of domestic violence myths, victim's relationship with her abuser, and the decision to return to her abuser. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 27*(16), 3195–3212. doi:10.1177/0886260512441253
- Yamawaki, N., Ostenson, J., & Brown, C. R. (2009). The functions of gender role traditionality, ambivalent sexism, injury, and frequency of assault on domestic violence perception: A study between Japanese and American college students. *Violence Against Women, 15*(9), 1126–1142. doi:10.1177/1077801209340758