

## Web-based Structural Identifiability Analyzer

Ilia Ilmer, Alexey Ovchinnikov, Gleb Pogudin

### ▶ To cite this version:

Ilia Ilmer, Alexey Ovchinnikov, Gleb Pogudin. Web-based Structural Identifiability Analyzer. Computational Methods in Systems Biology, Sep 2021, Bordeaux (France), France. pp.254-265, 10.1007/978-3-030-85633-5\_17. hal-03826838

## HAL Id: hal-03826838 https://hal.science/hal-03826838

Submitted on 15 Feb 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### Web-based Structural Identifiability Analyzer\*

Ilia Ilmer<sup>1</sup>, Alexey Ovchinnikov<sup>2</sup>, and Gleb Pogudin<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ph.D. Program in Computer Science, CUNY Graduate Center, New York, USA, iilmer@gradcenter.cuny.edu

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, CUNY Queens College and Ph.D. Programs in Mathematics and Computer Science, CUNY Graduate Center, New York, USA; aovchinnikov@gc.cuny.edu

<sup>3</sup> LIX, CNRS, École Polytechnique, Institute Polytechnique de Paris, France gleb.pogudin@polytechnique.edu

**Abstract.** Parameter identifiability describes whether, for a given differential model, one can determine parameter values from model equations. Knowing global or local identifiability properties allows construction of better practical experiments to identify parameters from experimental data. In this work, we present a web-based software tool that allows to answer specific identifiability queries. Concretely, our toolbox can determine identifiability of individual parameters of the model and also provide all functions of parameters that are identifiable (also called identifiable combinations) from single or multiple experiments. The program is freely available at https://maple.cloud/app/6509768948056064.

Keywords: Structural identifiability  $\cdot$  Identifiability software  $\cdot$  Differential algebra

#### 1 Introduction and Related Work

A parameter is said to be structurally *globally* identifiable if, given the input and output of the experiment, one can uniquely recover the parameter's value in the generic case. If the recovered value is not unique but comes from a finite collection, then we say that such a parameter is *locally* identifiable. Otherwise, the parameter is called *non-identifiable*. In the latter case, one wonders if there is a function of that parameter that is identifiable. This is useful in several ways, for instance, it can mitigate the issue of non-identifiability of some parameters [13].

There is a variety of installable packages that deal with parameter identifiability, see, for instance [1, 4, 10, 17, 21]. For a more detailed overview of these, see [5, 8] and references therein. A general overview of solving parameter identifiability problems was presented, for instance, in [12, 14, 20]. Among the available identifiability software, SIAN [7] written in MAPLE<sup>4</sup> is typically the fastest one

<sup>\*</sup> This work was partially supported by the NSF grants CCF-1564132, CCF-1563942, DMS-1760448, DMS-1853650, and DMS-1853482, and by the Paris Ile-de-France Region.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For a Julia implementation, see https://github.com/alexeyovchinnikov/SIAN-Julia

for assessing global identifiability of individual parameters (see, e.g., [7, Table 1]). In the case of the lack of identifiability, one may want to find which functions of the parameters are identifiable. For this task, DAISY software [1] implemented in Reduce can be used (under some assumptions, see [15, Remark 3] and [13]). This state of affairs may be inconvenient for the user because

- 1) the features of interest are scattered among different packages;
- 2) packages may require proprietary (MAPLE) or less popular (Reduce) software and may not be available for commonly used OS (DAISY is not available for the UNIX-type systems);
- 3) finally, the packages should be installed.

These issues have been partially addressed by a web-based tool called COM-BOS [11] (and its recent refinement COMBOS 2 for linear systems [9]). However, the backend algorithm appears to be less efficient than SIAN [7, Table 1], and it relies on the same assumption on the input model as DAISY.

Our main contribution is a web-based toolbox hosted on Maple Cloud for assessing structural identifiability built upon SIAN and recent software for computing identifiable functions of parameters [13] which uses the Boulier's BLAD software package [2] incorporated into the MAPLE's Differential Algebra package. The key features are

- 1) efficiency. We use SIAN for assessing identifiability of individual parameters efficiently. For computing identifiable functions, we use the code from [13] which we speed up by exploiting the results of the computation performed by SIAN.
- 2) *versatility.* The toolbox allows assessing local and global identifiability of the parameters and initial conditions and compute the identifiable functions in parameter both in the single- and multi-experiment setup. We do not make any assumptions on the input system unlike DAISY or COMBOS.
- 3) *availability*. The toolbox is a web app, so it can be used in a browser in one click and does not require installing anything.

In Section 3, we outline several scenarios in which our application is essential for assessing identifiability of parameters and parameter combinations. We also illustrate the speedup achievable using output from each of its parts. The web-application<sup>5</sup> can be used at https://maple.cloud/app/6509768948056064 and is also available for download.

#### 2 Input-output specification

Let us define the specific form of state-space input ODE that our application accepts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The MAPLE implementations of each underlying algorithm are available on GitHub at https://github.com/pogudingleb/SIAN and https://github.com/pogudingleb/AllIdentifiableFunctions.

**Definition 1 (Model in the state-space form).** A model in *the state-space form* accepted by the application is a system

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} := \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}' &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{u}), \\ \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{u}), \\ \mathbf{x}(0) &= \mathbf{x}^*, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$  and  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$  with  $f_i = f_i(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{u}), g_i = g_i(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{u})$ are rational functions over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  represents the time-dependent state variables and  $\mathbf{x}'$  represents the derivative. The vector-function  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_s)$  represents the input variable. The *m*-vector  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  represents the output variables. The vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_\lambda)$  represents the parameters and  $\mathbf{x}^* = (x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*)$  defines initial conditions of the model.

Below we specify the input format and possible outputs of our toolbox. Note that while used in descriptions below, some outputs, such as number of solutions for each parameter, are not listed here for brevity. The app also provides additional logs for debugging purposes. In Appendix B, we provide more specification examples.

In: A model in state-space form, see Definition 1.

Out:	Globally:	Globally identifiable parameters, that is
		ones uniquely recoverable for a given system.
	$Locally \ not \ Globally:$	Locally but not globally identifiable
		parameters, with finitely many recoverable values.
	Non-Identifiable :	Non-identifiable parameters, these can have
		infinitely many values.
	Single- $Experiment$ :	Single-Experiment identifiable functions of
		parameters, i.e. identifiable from $k \leq 1$ experiments.
	Multi-Experiment:	Multi-Experiment identifiable functions of
		parameters, i.e. identifiable from $k \leq \beta$ experiments.
	$\beta$ :	Bound on the number of experiments.

Note that the single- and multi-experiment identifiable combinations returned by the app generate *all* single- and multi-experiment functions of parameters, respectively. We return them in the algebraically simplified form. In addition, the app reports number of solutions per each globally or locally identifiable parameter, which is not explicitly reflected here due to space limitations.

#### 3 Use Cases for Structural Identifiability Toolbox

#### 3.1 Globally Identifiable Example (two-species competition model)

Let us consider a simple two-species competition model based with logistic growth in homogeneous environment and assume that we are interested in identifiability properties of all parameters and initial conditions:

$$\begin{cases} x_1' = r_1 x_1 \left( 1 - \frac{x_1 + x_2}{k_1} \right), \\ x_2' = r_2 x_2 \left( 1 - \frac{x_1 + x_2}{k_2} \right), \\ y_1 = x_1, \quad y_2 = x_2 \end{cases}$$

with population densities  $x_1, x_2$  being time-dependent state variables, and intrinsic growth rates  $r_1, r_2$  and carrying capacities  $k_1, k_2$  being constant. To run the toolbox for this system, we would write the following into the input field:

```
In: diff(x1(t),t) = r1*x1(t)*(1 - (x1(t) + x2(t))/k1);
    diff(x2(t),t) = r2*x2(t)*(1 - (x1(t) + x2(t))/k2);
    y1(t) = x1(t);
    y2(t) = x2(t)
Out: Globally: [x1(0), x2(0), r1, r2, k1, k2]
    Locally not Globally: []
    Non-Identifiable: []
```

To determine the identifiability for this model, we keep default "Check global/local identifiability" and "Print Number of Solutions" options on. After entering the system and running the application, the output field contains the results. In this model, all parameters and initial conditions are globally (and locally) identifiable. One can now proceed to data collection and further experiments.

#### 3.2 Locally Identifiable Model (SIRS model with forcing)

Consider an example of a seasonal epidemic model with a periodic forcing term:

$$\begin{cases} s' = \mu - \mu s - b_0(1 + b_1 x_1)i \cdot s + g \cdot r, \\ i' = b_0(1 + b_1 x_1)i \cdot s - (\nu + \mu)i, \\ r' = \nu i - (\mu + g)r, \\ x'_1 = -Mx_2, \\ x'_2 = Mx_1, \\ y_1 = i, \quad y_2 = r. \end{cases}$$

The model is taken from [3] and is built into the application as one of the illustrating examples. Assume that we are interested in identifiability of parameters of this model. Without changing default settings, running the application yields the result of  $b_1, x_1(0), x_2(0)$  being unidentifiable, and  $b_0, g, \mu, \nu, s(0), i(0), r(0)$  as globally identifiable. At the same time, we observe that M which defines oscillation of the term  $x_1$  is the only parameter identifiable locally, not globally. By checking the number of solutions, we see that only two can be found for M with probability p = 0.99. Since M represents the oscillation frequency, it is assumed to be positive in practice, hence globally identifiable. Note that we only needed a single section of the app and the result has been obtained in about 7.2 seconds.

# 3.3 Identifiable Combination of Non-Identifiable Parameters (tumor targeting)

In this example, we consider system 3 from [16, Section 3] with unknown initial conditions. The example describes a compartmental model describing tumor targeting with antibodies, see [18]. To arrive at the system below, we suppose equations (B) and (D) are identically zero and that  $\frac{5V36}{V3} = 1$ . The functions  $x_i, i = 1, \ldots, 5$  represent concentrations,  $k_i, i = 3, \ldots, 7$  and a, b, d represent rate constants.

$$\begin{cases} x_1' = -(k_3 + k_7)x_1 + k_4x_2, \\ x_2' = k_3x_1 - (k_4 + (a + bd)k_5)x_2 + k_6(x_3 + x_4) + k_5x_2(x_3 + x_4), \\ x_3' = ak_5x_2 - k_6x_3 - k_5x_2x_3, \\ x_4' = bdk_5x_2 - k_6x_4 - k_5x_2x_4, \\ x_5' = k_7x_1, \\ y_1 = x_5. \end{cases}$$

For this model, after computing identifiability properties using SIAN, we observe that everything except parameters  $a, b, d, x_3(0), x_4(0)$  is globally or locally identifiable. To investigate further, we consider computation with "Compute Identifiable Combinations" option turned on. Running the program with this additional setting, we see that while parameters a, b, d are not identifiable, their combination a + bd can be identified from at most one experiment. This is especially beneficial since one can connect the meaning of expression a + bd to the overall biological sense of the model's underlying phenomenon. For instance, in the original paper [18], constant a and a product bd may be attributed to total binding sites on normal tissue and number of binding sites on tumor making a + bd the total number of binding sites in the system. Further, one could apply a substitution of the form  $\hat{x}_3 = x_3 + x_4, \hat{p} = a + bd$  so that in the new system we only have equations for  $x_1, x_2, \hat{x}_3, x_5$  and the parameter combination a + bd will now be globally identifiable as a parameter  $\hat{p}$ .

# 3.4 System with a Non-Identifiable Parameter (Lotka-Volterra model)

Let us consider the following Lotka-Volterra model

$$\begin{cases} x_1' = ax_1 - bx_1x_2 \\ x_2' = -cx_2 + dx_1x_2 \\ y = x_1 \end{cases}$$
(1)

By running the application for (1) using only SIAN, we see that parameter b and initial condition  $x_2(0)$  are non-identifiable, and the parameters a, b, d and the initial condition  $x_1(0)$  are globally identifiable. Furthermore, since a is identifiable and  $x_1$  is observed, from the first equation we conclude that  $bx_2(0) =$ 

 $a - x'_1(0)/x_1(0)$  is identifiable. This implies that we have an output-preserving scaling transformation  $b \to \lambda b$ ,  $x_2 \to x_2/\lambda$ . Therefore, the reparametrization  $\hat{x}_2 := bx_2$  makes the model globally identifiable.

#### 3.5 Refining Multi-Experiment Identifiability Bound (slow-fast ambiguity in a chemical reaction network)

Consider the following system:

$$\begin{cases} x'_A = -k_1 x_A, \\ x'_B = k_1 x_A - k_2 x_B, \\ x'_C = k_2 x_B, \\ e'_A = e'_C = 0, \\ y_1 = e_A x_A + e_B x_B + e_C x_C, \\ y_2 = x_C, y_3 = e_A, y_4 = e_C. \end{cases}$$

This model is based on a kinetic reaction  $A \xrightarrow{k_1} B \xrightarrow{k_2} C$  from [19] and has an extra output equation  $y_2$ . The functions  $x_A$ ,  $x_B$ ,  $x_C$  are concentrations and  $e_A$ ,  $e_B$  with constant  $e_C$  represent molar extinction coefficients. In addition, parameters include unknown rate coefficients  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ . The application reports global identifiability for  $x_C(0)$ ,  $e_A(0)$ ,  $e_C(0)$  and local identifiability for everything else.

It is then of interest to check identifiable parameter combinations. The app reports single-experiment identifiability for  $k_1k_2$ ,  $k_1 + k_2$ . This implies that the parameters  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are identifiable up to a permutation, so it is possible to infer the reaction rates from an experiment but not which rate corresponds to which reaction. Interestingly, the app reports that  $e_B$ ,  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  become globally identifiable if one performs at most 3 experiments. Can we do better? To answer this, we turn on the option "Try to Refine Bound" with default number of refining attempts being 4. As a result, the app reports a new bound for the number of experiments being 2.

Let us illustrate this point in another way. Recall that we can tell SIAN to consider multiple copies of the system when analyzing identifiability. In this mode, SIAN does not output initial conditions for brevity. We observed that the refined bound for parameters  $e_B, k_1, k_2$  was 2. If we set the "Number of experiments (copies of the input system)" to 2, SIAN yields global identifiability of  $e_B, k_1, k_2$ , which verifies our earlier finding. Moreover, turning off "Attempt Bypass using SIAN" option in the search for combinations, we observe that the application still returns  $e_B, k_1, k_2$  as identifiable with 3 experiments, however, single experiment check overwrites this result, yielding bound of 1.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Joseph DiStefano III, Jürgen Gerhard, John May, Maria Pia Saccomani, and Eduardo Sontag for fruitful discussions, useful feedback, and technical assistance.

#### References

- G. Bellu, M. P. Saccomani, S. Audoly, and L. D'Angiò. "DAISY: A new software tool to test global identifiability of biological and physiological systems". In: *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine* 88.1 (2007), pp. 52–61. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2007.07.002.
- F. Boulier and É. C. Formel. BLAD-Bibliothèques Lilloises d'Algèbre Différentielle. 2014. URL: https://cristal.univ-lille.fr/~boulier/pmwiki/pmwiki.php/ Main/BLAD.
- M. A. Capistrán, M. A. Moreles, and B. Lara. "Parameter estimation of some epidemic models. The case of recurrent epidemics caused by respiratory syncytial virus". In: *Bulletin of mathematical biology* 71.8 (2009), p. 1890. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11538-009-9429-3.
- [4] O.-T. Chiş, J. R. Banga, and E. Balsa-Canto. "GenSSI: a software toolbox for structural identifiability analysis of biological models". In: *Bioinformatics* 27.18 (2011), pp. 2610–2611. URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btr431.
- [5] O.-T. Chiş, J. R. Banga, and E. Balsa-Canto. "Structural Identifiability of Systems Biology Models: A Critical Comparison of Methods". In: *PLoS ONE* 6.11 (2011), e27755. URL: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0027755.
- [6] C. Conradi and A. Shiu. "Dynamics of Posttranslational Modification Systems: Recent Progress and Future Directions". In: *Biophysical Journal* 114.3 (2018), pp. 507–515. ISSN: 0006-3495. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.bpj.2017.11.3787. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2017.11.3787.
- H. Hong, A. Ovchinnikov, G. Pogudin, and C. Yap. "SIAN: software for structural identifiability analysis of ODE models". In: *Bioinformatics* 35.16 (2019), pp. 2873–2874. URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ bty1069.
- [8] H. Hong, A. Ovchinnikov, G. Pogudin, and C. Yap. "Global identifiability of differential models". In: *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* 73.9 (2020), pp. 1831–1879. URL: https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa. 21921.
- [9] A. Kalami Yazdi, M. Nadjafikhah, and J. Distefano III. "COMBOS2: an algorithm to the input-output equations of dynamic biosystems via Gaussian elimination". In: *Journal of Taibah University for Science* 14.1 (2020), pp. 896–907. URL: https://doi.org/10.1080/16583655.2020.1776466.
- [10] T. S. Ligon et al. "GenSSI 2.0: multi-experiment structural identifiability analysis of SBML models". In: *Bioinformatics* 34.8 (2018), pp. 1421–1423. URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx735.
- [11] N. Meshkat, C. E.-z. Kuo, and J. DiStefano III. "On finding and using identifiable parameter combinations in nonlinear dynamic systems biology models and COMBOS: a novel web implementation". In: *PLoS One* 9.10 (2014), e110261. URL: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110261.

- I. Ilmer et al.
- H. Miao, X. Xia, A. S. Perelson, and H. Wu. "On identifiability of nonlinear ODE models and applications in viral dynamics". In: SIAM review 53.1 (2011), pp. 3–39. URL: https://doi.org/10.1137/090757009.
- [13] A. Ovchinnikov, A. Pillay, G. Pogudin, and T. Scanlon. "Computing all identifiable functions for ODE models". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.07774 (2020). URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.07774.
- [14] A. Raue et al. "Comparison of approaches for parameter identifiability analysis of biological systems". In: *Bioinformatics* 30.10 (2014), pp. 1440– 1448. URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu006.
- [15] M. Saccomani, S. Audoly, and L. D'Angiò. "Parameter identifiability of nonlinear systems: the role of initial conditions". In: *Automatica* 39 (2003), pp. 619–632. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-1098(02)00302-3.
- [16] M. P. Saccomani, S. Audoly, G. Bellu, and L. D'Angiò. "Examples of testing global identifiability of biological and biomedical models with the DAISY software". In: *Computers in Biology and Medicine* 40.4 (2010), pp. 402–407. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2010.02.004.
- [17] M. P. Saccomani, G. Bellu, S. Audoly, and L. D'Angiò. "A new version of DAISY to test structural identifiability of biological models". In: *International conference on computational methods in systems biology*. Springer. 2019, pp. 329–334. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-31304-3\_21.
- G. D. Thomas et al. "Effect of dose, molecular size, affinity, and protein binding on tumor uptake of antibody or ligand: a biomathematical model". In: *Cancer research* 49.12 (1989), pp. 3290–3296. URL: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2720683.
- [19] S. Vajda and H. Rabitz. "Identifiability and distinguishability of first-order reaction systems". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* 92.3 (1988), pp. 701–707. URL: https://doi.org/10.1021/j100314a024.
- [20] A. F. Villaverde. "Observability and structural identifiability of nonlinear biological systems". In: *Complexity* 2019 (2019). URL: https://doi.org/10. 1155/2019/8497093.
- [21] A. F. Villaverde, A. Barreiro, and A. Papachristodoulou. "Structural identifiability of dynamic systems biology models". In: *PLoS computational biology* 12.10 (2016), e1005153. URL: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi. 1005153.

#### A Details on the underlying algorithms

The application solves two problems: identifiability properties of individual parameters and that of combinations (functions) of parameters. Note that we return generators of the field of *all* identifiable functions.

The input for both problems follows the same structure where we pass a collection of ODEs and output functions. For querying identifiability of individual parameters and initial conditions we use SIAN [7]. In short, it expresses the Taylor coefficients of output functions in terms of the initial conditions and parameters and checks whether the parameters or initial conditions of interest can be expressed via these coefficients. For better efficiency, this is checked for a randomly sampled solution of the system. The probability that such a solution will exhibit the generic behavior is quantified in [8]. Therefore, the overall algorithm is randomized Monte Carlo, that is the result is guaranteed correct with user-specified probability p.

To answer the question on identifiability of parameter functions we take advantage of work [13]. Note that our application distinguishes single- and multiexperiment identifiable combinations as opposed to existing methods for identifiable combination queries. The latter is equivalent to having multiple copies of original ODE system sharing the parameters, outputs, and inputs. We also provide a bound on the number of experiments which can be refined by changing ordering of variables in the underlying algorithm.

We compute the input-output equations, that is, differential equations relating inputs, outputs, and parameters of the differential model. Identifiable functions of parameters are then extracted from the coefficients of these equations using methods of differential algebra and computational algebraic geometry, including Gröbner basis computation. To minimize the computational overhead, we take advantage of the Gröbner walk procedure, by changing the order from total degree reverse to pure lexicographic. This algorithm is deterministic or a Monte Carlo probabilistic, depending on how/which of the Gröbner basis implementation is used.

To achieve maximal speed of computation without compromising the functionality of the application, we take advantage of the fact that SIAN is typically faster than the algorithm from [13] and its output can be sometimes used to obtain the output of [13] without further computation. More precisely, if all parameters are reported as globally identifiable with probability p, then, with the same probability, we report these parameters as their own identifiable combinations and an example of this is presented in Appendix B.5.

With the current implementation, the application does not support specifying initial conditions, however this functionality is planned for future versions.

#### B Systems in Structural Identifiability Toolbox Input Form

Below we present input and output form for examples discussed in this paper. The input is shown in the MAPLE syntax form. The toolbox also supports a



Fig. 1. Main view of the application. The dial on the right side indicates whether an app is running. The arrows are clickable and show additional settings for each section of the program as well as documentation.



**Fig. 2.** Output fields of the application. We present individual parameters' results and identifiable combinations with the bound separately. The white field on the left displays number of solutions per identifiable parameter.

different input format:

```
dx1/dt = a*x1 + x2*b + u(t);
dx2/dt = x2*c + x1;
y = x2
```

where inputs u(t) are required to have argument written explicitly.

#### B.1 Example from Section 3.2

```
In: diff(s(t), t) = mu - mu*s(t) - b0*(1 + b1*x1(t))*i(t)*s(t)
+ g(t)*r(t);
diff(i(t), t) = b0*(1 + b1*x1(t))*i(t)*s(t) - (nu+mu)*i(t);
diff(r(t), t) = nu*i(t) - (mu + g)*r(t);
diff(x1(t), d) = -M*x2(t);
diff(x2(t), d) = M*x1(t);
y1(t) = i(t);
y2(t) = r(t)
Out: Globally: [b0, g, mu, nu, s(0), i(0), r(0)]
Locally not Globally: [M]
Non-Identifiable: [b1, x1(0), x2(0)]
```

#### B.2 Example from Section 3.3

```
In: diff(x1(t),t) = -(k3 + k7)*x1(t) + k4*x2(t);
    diff(x2(t), t) = k3*x1(t) - (k4 + (a + b*d)*k5)*x2(t)
    + k6*(x3(t) + x4(t)) + k5*x2(t)*(x3(t) + x4(t));
    diff(x3(t), t) = a*k5*x2(t) - k6*x3(t) - k5*x2(t)*x3(t);
    diff(x4(t), t) = b*d*k5*x2(t) - k6*x4(t) - k5*x2(t)*x4(t);
    diff(x5(t), t) = k7*x1(t);
    y1(t) = x5(t)
Out: Globally :
                         [k3, k4, k5, k6, k7, x1(0), x2(0), x5(0)]
      Locally not Globally: []
      Non-Identifiable :
                         [a, b, d, x3(0), x4(0)]
      Single-Experiment: [k3, k4, k6, k7, k5/k7, bd+a]
      Multi-Experiment :
                         [k3, k4, k6, k7, k5/k7, bd+a]
      \beta =
                         1
```

#### B.3 Example from Section 3.4

```
In: diff(x1(t), t) = a*x1(t) - b*x1(t)*x2(t);
    diff(x2(t), t) = -c*x2(t) + d*x1(t)*x2(t);
    y(t) = x1(t)
Out: Globally: [a, c, d, x1(0)]
    Locally not Globally: []
    Non-Identifiable: [b, x2(0)]
    Single-Experiment: [a, c, d]
    Multi-Experiment: [a, c, d]
    β = 1
```

#### B.4 Example from Section 3.5

In: diff(xA(t), t) = -k1\*xA(t); diff(xB(t), t) = k1\*xA(t) - k2\*xB(t);diff(xC(t), t) = k2\*xB(t);diff(eA(t), t) = 0;diff(eC(t), t) = 0;y1(t) = eA(t)\*xA(t) + eB\*xB(t) + eC(t)\*xC(t);y2(t) = xC(t);y3(t) = eA(t);y4(t) = eC(t)**Out:** *Globally* : [xC(0), eA(0), eC(0)]Locally not Globally: [eB, k1, k2, xA(0), xB(0)] *Non-Identifiable* : [] Single-Experiment = [k1k2, k1+k2]Multi-Experiment = [eB, k1, k2] $\beta =$ 3

#### B.5 Example of speedup with Bypasses

.

Consider the system from [6]

$$\begin{cases} x_1' = -k_1 x_1 x_2 + k_2 x_4 + k_4 x_6, \\ x_2' = k_1 x_1 x_2 + k_2 x_4 + k_3 x_4, \\ x_3' = k_3 x_4 + k_5 x_6 - k_6 x_3 x_5, \\ x_4' = k_1 x_1 x_2 - k_2 x_4 - k_3 x_4, \\ x_5' = k_4 x_6 + k_5 x_6 - k_6 x_3 x_5, \\ x_6' = -k_4 x_6 - k_5 x_6 + k_6 x_3 x_5, \\ y_1 = x_3, \\ y_2 = x_2. \end{cases}$$

This is an example of a mixed-mechanism network, where the state functions  $x_i(t), i = 1, \ldots, 6$  are concentrations and the parameters  $k_i, i = 1, \ldots, 6$  are rate constants. The app returns global and local identifiability for all parameter in under 4 seconds. This is used to conclude that multi-experiment identifiable combinations with the bound of 1 are parameters themselves. If we turn off the "Attempt Bypass" function, the multi-experiment identifiable combinations  $k_1, k_3, k_5, k_6, \frac{-k_2k_4+k_3k_5}{k_2+k_3}, k_2-k_3$  with bound 1 are returned in 433 seconds. The input form for this example is presented below

```
Single-Experiment: [k1, k2, k3, k4, k5, k6]
Multi-Experiment: [k1, k2, k3, k4, k5, k6]
\beta = 1
```