

### Evidence for reciprocal network interactions between injured hearts and cancer

Melisa N. Guler, Nathalie M. Tscheiller, Maria Sabater-Molina, Juan R.

Gimeno, Canan Nebigil-Désaubry

### ► To cite this version:

Melisa N. Guler, Nathalie M. Tscheiller, Maria Sabater-Molina, Juan R. Gimeno, Canan Nebigil-Désaubry. Evidence for reciprocal network interactions between injured hearts and cancer. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine, 2022, 9, pp.929259. 10.3389/fcvm.2022.929259. hal-03825152

### HAL Id: hal-03825152 https://hal.science/hal-03825152v1

Submitted on 21 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Ippei Shimizu, Juntendo University, Japan

REVIEWED BY Yuichiro Arima, Kumamoto University, Japan Yung-Ting Hsiao, Juntendo University, Japan

\*CORRESPONDENCE Canan G. Nebigil nebigil@unstra.fr

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cardio-Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 26 April 2022 ACCEPTED 28 June 2022 PUBLISHED 15 July 2022

#### CITATION

Guler MN, Tscheiller NM, Sabater-Molina M, Gimeno JR and Nebigil CG (2022) Evidence for reciprocal network interactions between injured hearts and cancer. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:929259. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.929259

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Guler, Tscheiller, Sabater-Molina, Gimeno and Nebigil. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Evidence for reciprocal network interactions between injured hearts and cancer

### Melisa N. Guler<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Nathalie M. Tscheiller<sup>2,3†</sup>, Maria Sabater-Molina<sup>4</sup>, Juan R. Gimeno<sup>4</sup> and Canan G. Nebigil<sup>2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Caserta, Italy, <sup>2</sup>University of Strasbourg, INSERM, UMR 1260, Nanoregenerative Medicine, Strasbourg, France, <sup>3</sup>Fédération de Médecine Translationnelle de l'Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France, <sup>4</sup>Servicio de Cardiología, Laboratorio de Cardiogenética, Centro de Investigacion Biomédica en Red Enfermedades Cardiovasculares (CIBERCV), Hospital Clínico Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca-IMIB, Murcia, Spain

Heart failure (HF) and cancer are responsible for 50% of all deaths in middleaged people. These diseases are tightly linked, which is supported by recent epidemiological studies and case control studies, demonstrating that HF patients have a higher risk to develop cancer such as lung and breast cancer. For HF patients, a one-size-fits-all clinical management strategy is not effective and patient management represents a major economical and clinical burden. Anti-cancer treatments-mediated cardiotoxicity, leading to HF have been extensively studied. However, recent studies showed that even before the initiation of cancer therapy, cancer patients presented impairments in the cardiovascular functions and exercise capacity. Thus, the optimal cardioprotective and surveillance strategies should be applied to cancer patients with pre-existing HF. Recently, preclinical studies addressed the hypothesis that there is bilateral interaction between cardiac injury and cancer development. Understanding of molecular mechanisms of HF-cancer interaction can define the profiles of bilateral signaling networks, and identify the disease-specific biomarkers and possibly therapeutic targets. Here we discuss the shared pathological events, and some treatments of cancer- and HF-mediated risk incidence. Finally, we address the evidences on bilateral connection between cardiac injury (HF and early cardiac remodeling) and cancer through secreted factors (secretoms).

### KEYWORDS

cardiotoxicity, cancer, heart failure, risk factors, mechanism, bilateral interaction, secretoms, inflammation

### Introduction

## Patients with cardiovascular disease have a higher risk of developing cancer

Heart failure (HF) and cancer are tightly linked (1, 2) which is supported by recent studies on epidemiological cohort and case-control, research synopsis and metaanalyses. An increased cancer risk in HF patients was shown by the international cohorts such as America (3–5), Denmark (6), Japan (7), and Korea (8). These studies demonstrate that HF patients have a higher risk to develop cancer, independently of age (9–11). Furthermore, women with HF are at higher risk than men, indicating that gender is an important factor (3). The most common types of cancer in HF patients below age 55 are colorectal (21%), lung (18%), gastrointestinal (20%); prostate (16%) (6).

# Patient with cancers have higher risk of dying from heart disease and stroke

Cancer patients can develop cardiovascular diseases (CVD) mainly for the three reasons: (1) the anticancer drugs can have direct adverse effect on cardiovascular system (2), soluble factors (secretoms) such as chemokines, hormones, and vesicles released from tumor cells can damage the cardiac cells as a paracrine manner (3), cancer itself or anticancer drugs induce cachexia that leads to cardiac dysfunction (12). As a consequence, approximately 20-30% of cancer patients die from cardiovascular dysfunctions, regardless of the time passed after cancer diagnosis (13). Indeed, 50% of patients with breast, prostate, endometrial, and thyroid cancer die because of CVD (14, 15). In the most aggressive cancer cases such as cancers of the lung, liver, brain, stomach, gallbladder, pancreas, esophagus, ovary, and multiple myeloma, patients die primarily due to cancer (16). However, the CVD -related mortality were higher among the survivals with cancer of bladder (19% of patients), larynx (17%), prostate (17%), uterus (16%), bowel (14%), and breast (12%).

Sturgeon et al. using databases of the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) found that among the 3,234,256 cancer patients with 28 different types of cancer, 38% of mortality was due to cancer, whereas 11% mortality was from CVDs including hypertension, cerebrovascular disease, arterial diseases, and cardiac ischemia (17). More interestingly, the highest mortality among the younger cancer patients (< 35 years old) 1 year after the cancer diagnosis was due to CVD.

Co-occurrence of both diseases causes a major clinical burden and has a strong outcome on the quality of life and survival rates (10, 18). Early diagnosis and better understanding of bilateral interaction between HF and cancer is critical for an optimal treatment and management strategies, because a onesize-fits-all treatment approach is ineffective for these patients (7, 8).

Here we outline the known common mechanisms and preclinical studies emphasizing the interactions to help mechanistic understanding that may impact on identifying biomarkers and innovative therapeutic strategies targeting both diseases simultaneously.

# Common mechanisms involved in tumor growth and heart failure

CVD and cancer share common risk factors such as smoking, aging, genetic predisposition, obesity, and diabetes mellitus (9). Indeed, cardiac regeneration and diseases are reminiscent of processes of tumor development (19). A growing body of studies have suggested that several mechanisms can be involved in development of both HF and cancer such as inflammation, metabolic remodeling, clonal hematopoiesis, angiogenesis, the extracellular matrix (ECM), and stromal cells activations (20, 21) (**Figure 1**).

### Inflammation

Any changes in homeostasis by stresses, tissue damage, infection, metabolic alterations induces low-grade inflammation to achieve wound healing and tissue regeneration, and to prevent loss of tissue function (22). However, maladaptive chronic inflammation leads to progressive myocardial injury, development of vascular dysfunction, and reduces cardiac tissue survival. The cytokines chemokines, and lipid mediators are involved in inflammatory signaling. Accordingly, high circulating levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines [e.g., interleukin (IL)-1 $\beta$ , and IL-6] have been found in acute and chronic decompensated HF (23). Many cohort studies (> 50) have showed that both high-sensitive CRP (C-reactive protein) and IL-6 can predict development of myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke (24, 25). However, chronic inflammation predisposes to the development of cancer and affects the tumorigenesis and tumor-permissive state by promoting proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines (26). For example, IL-1β and IL-6 have also been reported as key players in development of cancer (27). Specifically, in the solid malignancies, the infiltration of immune cells and the production of pro-inflammatory mediators play key roles for malignant transformation, via epithelial to mesenchymal transition, and metastasis (28).

In the Whitehall II study cohort, Ridker demonstrated that low level of systemic inflammation detected by increased levels of CRP and IL-6 was associated with prediction of cardiovascular and cancer-related mortality in midlife patients (24). Anti-Inflammatory Thrombosis Outcome Study (CANTOS) has also confirmed this study showing that canakinumab an IL-1 $\beta$ -targeting antibody has beneficial effects on cardiovascular events (29). Moreover, canakinumab significantly decreased incident of mortality in patients with lung cancer.

The role of other inflammatory mediators eicosanoids, such as prostanoids (prostaglandins and prostacyclines) in cancer and CVD has not been extensively investigated yet.



For example, prostacyclin has been used to treat pulmonary arterial hypertension (30). Unfortunately, in this condition, the pulmonary cancer incidence has not been studied. In contrary, in mice model prostacyclin prevents lung cancer (31). Unlike prostacyclin, prostaglandin  $E_2$  promotes cancer initiation and lung cancer migration (32) and activates cardiac maladaptive remodeling (33).

All together these studies show that inflammation is one of the shared mechanisms of both HF and cancer. The important question is whether diminishing inflammation can reduce the development rate of CVD and cancer. Use of anti-inflammatory drugs (e.g., low-dose methotrexate, colchicine, and canakinumab) in the large clinical trials should answer this question.

### Metabolic remodeling

The healthy tissues can derive energy from various circulating substrates. However, metabolic alterations due to accumulation of toxic intermediates and utilization of unbalanced substrates can alter the cardiac cell homeostasis and cancer growth. Indeed, recent studies have shown that oncometabolic dysregulation can promote cardiac dysfunction (34).

Metabolic reprogramming occurs as an adaptive event in both cancer (35) and cardiac cells (36) in response to pathophysiological insult and stress, indicating that both cells share the same metabolic pathways. In HF and cancer, glucose oxidation and glycolysis are central metabolic pathways to generate energy in the form of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) (37, 38). Cancer cells are dependent on aerobic glycolysis that facilitates the incorporation of nutrients into biomass such as nucleotides, amino acids, and lipids to maintain cancer cell proliferation. Aerobic glycolysis is also needed for the adaptive hypertrophy in cardiomyocytes (39). In cancer cells glutamine is the essential carbon source for aspartate synthesis (40). However, in the damaged heart glycolysis and glucose oxidation are predominant over fatty acid oxidation and are required for pentose phosphate pathway (41).

Because of the upregulated glucose utilization in many solid tumors (42), as well as in the failing heart (43), glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) becomes an important target for the treatment of cancer and HF. Additionally, it has been shown that sodium glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibition has beneficial effects on the heart as well as in pancreatic and prostate cancers. Thus, inhibition of glucose transports may prevent cardiac hypertrophy (44) and reduce cancer growth (45). However, more extensive researches are required to define their beneficially effects during development and progression of different cancer types and CVDs, before their clinical applications.

*De novo* lipogenesis leading to lipo-expediency has also emerged as common mechanisms of HF and cancer. Upregulation of fatty acid synthase (FAS), a key enzyme of *de novo* lipogenesis, has been found in both cancers (46) and HF patients (47). FAS inhibitors have anti-neoplastic properties in solid cancers and represents a potential therapeutic target for HF.

Changes in mitochondrial metabolism is also a common mechanism of HF and cancer development. Inhibition of mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC) complex I can decrease mitochondrial ATP delivery (48), thereby limiting glucose availability. Additional to ETC inhibitory effects, metformin has been shown to reduce plasma levels of insulin and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) to limit glucose availability in the glycolysis-dependent cancer cells (49). In cancer cells (e.g., pancreas cancer), an increased utilization of glutamine, a major substrate for respiration, is required in supporting macromolecule synthesis and maintain the redox homeostasis to contribute cancer growth (50). Thus, inhibitors of glutaminase, a catalyzer of the conversion of glutamine to glutamate, regulate redox balance, and autophagy, induce apoptosis *via* mTOR signaling, and promote growth arrest. On the other hand, oxidative stress upregulates glutaminase 1 and promotes glutaminolysis in the heart. Inhibition of glutaminase improves maladaptive cardiac remodeling and improves sustain activation of autophagy-mediated reduced cardiac contractility (51).

The common maladaptive metabolism pathways in cancer and HF can provide opportunities to the discovery of new biomarkers, and development of juncture strategies and therapies to battle these diseases, and may anticipate to the metabolic phenotyping of diseases in the precision medicine in the field of cardio-oncology.

### Angiogenesis

Angiogenesis is involved in the pathophysiology of both development of HF (52) and cancer (53). Angiogenesis is crucial for tumor growth and metastasis (54), whereas vascular refraction in the maladaptive sustained pressure overload contributes to the transition from compensated hypertrophy to HF (55). Because of increased oxygen demand in tumor or ischemic hearts, hypoxia upregulates HIF1a that promotes expression of angiogenic factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (56), angiopoietin-1 and -2 (57), and prokineticin (58, 59) to stimulate microvascular expansion.

The pharmacological or genetic inhibition of VEGF, and other key angiogenic signaling pathways accelerate the transition from adaptive cardiac remodeling to HF (60), while anti-angiogenic therapy beneficial to cancer (e.g., metastatic colon cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, breast cancer). However, cancer cells develop adaptive resistance to the antiangiogenic therapy as well as severe cardiotoxicity, leading to development of ischemic CVD and HF (61). Thus, angiogenesis delineates an auspicious substrate for both cancer and HF.

### **Clonal hematopoiesis**

Genetic assets leading to hematologic malignancies such as somatic mutations in hematopoietic stem cells are the potent risk factors for CVD and cancer (62). The mutation on the genes encode for key epigenetic regulators of hematopoiesis leads to the abnormal expansion of clonally derived hematopoietic stem cells (63). A higher frequency of accumulation of hematopoietic mutations in DNA methyltransferase 3 alpha (DNMT3 $\alpha$ ), Ten-eleven translocation-2 (*TET2*), additional sex combs like 1 (ASXL1), Janus kinase 2 (JAK2), and tumor protein 53 (TP53) (64) have been found in individuals with lymphoid or solid tumors who are exposure to genotoxic stress (65). Hematopoietic mutations in *DNMT3a*, *TET2*, and *JAK2*<sup>V671F</sup>, can accelerate atherosclerosis and the increase risk of CVD by generating a pool of myeloid cells with an augmented proinflammatory profile (66). These mutations are associated with worse outcomes in patients with ischemic HF (67, 68).

Identification of the mechanisms linking somatic mutationdriven clonal hematopoiesis to CVDs is off interest specifically in personalized medicine. There are some questions needs to be addressed such as whether clonal hematopoiesis also contribute to CVD in cancer survivors (69) and whether these mutations can be predictive markers of cardiovascular risk and therapeutic responsiveness.

# Cardiogenetic: Cardiac-associated genetic variant to cancer predisposition

Recent studies have demonstrated that 50% of non-ischemic cardiomyopathies caused by more than gene variants encoding for cytoskeleton, ion channels, nuclear envelope, intercellular junctions sarcolemma and sarcomeric proteins (70). A genetic predisposition to therapy-induced cardiomyopathy has been observed in families with history of hypertrophic, dilated and arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathies (71). Patients with cardiomyopathy or asymptomatic carriers of inherited cardiac diseases have a potential increased risk for cardiotoxicity induced by anticancer treatment (72).

Interestingly, several of these genes associated with familial cardiomyopathies harbor relevant genetic variants in somatic cancer cells. A high prevalence of somatic mutations in Titin (TTN), Dystrophin (DMD), and Desmoglein 2 (DSG2) have been associated with different stages of carcinogenesis process.

The KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes terms) analyses have identified the total of 33 genes and 25 links with 17 metabolic pathways that can be implicated in interaction between genetic cardiomyopathies and molecular pathways of cancer. The genes involved in both cardiomyopathy and carcinogenesis include Protein tyrosine phosphatase, nonreceptor 11 (PTPN11) and LMNA, another 12 genes from sarcomeric (thin and thick filament), desmosomal (PKG/JUP), metabolic (PRKAG2, LAMP2, GLA), and calcium handling (PLN) (73). For example, the RAS family of small Guanosine Triphosphate (GTP)-binding proteins (G proteins) plays a key role in intracellular signal transduction required for normal cardiac growth, development of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and HF as well as cancer (74). RASopathies are single-gene inheritance disorder caused by germline mutations in genes that encode constituents or regulators of the RAS/mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway. RASopathies are accompanied with the higher risk of hematologic or solid cancer and congenital CVD (75). For example, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy development during childhood can be triggered by genetic mutations in PTPN11, KRAS, Son of sevenless homolog 1 (SOS1), a RAS effector (*RAF1*), genes which are also involved in cancer developments (73).

The signaling pathway of wingless-related integration site (Wnt) controls proliferation and differentiation processes in different types of cancer. Indeed, in patients with desmosomal mutations on the Wnt pathway exhibit the histological fibro-adipose differentiation, a characteristic of arrhythmogenic cardiomyocardiopathy (76).

Additional mechanistic studies on damaging gene variants in CVD and cancer can unravel prognostic biomarkers and new treatment strategies for both disorders.

# Cardiovascular drug: Promoter or suppressor of cancer incidence?

HF results in the hyperactivity of neurohormonal systems, including the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone (RAA) system and the sympathetic nervous system (77). Interestingly, noradrenaline and angiotensin II also play an important role in modulation of tumor microenvironment and tumor development (78). Contrarily, several studies demonstrated that patients treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors but not angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) for more than 5 years have a higher lung cancer incidence (79). However, subgroup analysis has demonstrated a significant association between ARB and cancers in male genital organs (80). In contrary, the recent study demonstrated an association between the ARB and decreased risk of overall cancer and several sitespecific cancers (81). Patients treated with hydrochlorothiazide, a diuretic drug, had a higher prevalence to have basal and squamous cell carcinoma (82). A large meta-analysis on hypertensive patients treated with all types of anti-hypertensive drugs (ARBs, ACEi,  $\beta$ -blockers, diuretics, and calcium channel blockers), demonstrated a 5.0-10.0% increase in the risk of cancer or cancer-related death (83). ARB use in patients with type 2 diabetes demonstrated a negative association for losartan (ARB), but a positive association for candesartan and telmisartan with the overall occurrence of cancer (84). Despite some contradictory conclusions about ARB mediated cancer risk, a recent study considering the exposure-risk relationship and using data from all 15 trials and randomized controlled trials has resulted in a very significant correlation between the degree of cumulative exposure (greater than 3 years) to ARBs and risk of all cancers especially lung cancers (85). In this study, patients with lower cumulative exposure to ARBs did not exhibit an increased risk of all cancers combined or lung cancer, explaining the heterogeneity in the results of randomized trials, due to terms of cumulative exposure to ARB (Figure 2).

The other cardiovascular drug is aspirin that leads to antiplatelet effects *via* inhibition of platelet cyclooxygenase (COX-1) and blockade of the production of thromboxane A2. Aspirin uses both cyclooxygenase-dependent and cyclooxygenase-independent mechanisms in cancer (86). Low-dose aspirin did not lower the cancer incidence in a low or medium CVD risk population (87, 88). The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommended that use of low dose aspirin can reduce risk of CVDs and colorectal cancer among the people at the age of 50–60 (89). Thus, these benefit effect of aspirin may not translate to older adults (90). Currently, the mechanism of beneficial effects of aspirin is not known. The appropriate pre-clinical models are emerging to discover the molecular and cellular mechanism aspirin and ARBs in patient with cancer and CVD (Figure 2).

### Pre-clinical models to study bilateral interaction between heart failure and cancer

Despite most of epidemiological studies showed high prevalence of cancer development in HF patients, they cannot prove direct interconnection between HF and cancer. There are some preclinical studies aimed to explore the bilateral relationship between HF and cancer development.

### Cancer to heart failure

Cancer or anti-cancer drug-mediated cachexia and cancersecretoms, adverse effects of anticancer drugs may induce several organ dysfunctions and HF as outlined in **Figure 3**.

### Cancer cachexia promotes cardiac atrophy

Cachexia is defined as a state of involuntary weight loss. The symptoms of cancer cachexia such as fatigue, shortness of breath, and impaired exercise capacity are also symptoms of HF. Cachexia prompts metabolic changes in the metabolisms of lipids and proteins, leading to a negative nitrogen balance and reduction of the protein levels, causing insulin resistance, and anemia. Cachexia affects approximately 32% of cancer patients within half a year at the time of diagnosis, and causes one third of cancer deaths (91). Patients with pancreatic, gastro-oesophageal, lung, head and neck and colorectal cancers often have cachexia with a prevalence between 40 and 70% (92). It also co-occurs with metastasis in 80% of late-stage cancer patients (93).

The mechanism of cancer cachexia is not known, but Indeed, cancer patients, with and without cachexia exhibit the high levels of serum brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), renin and aldosterone (94). These altered levels of hormones increase sensitivity to infections, and decrease responsiveness to both chemotherapy and radiation treatment. They also cause loss of muscle protein that promotes muscle weakness and fatigue, and cardiac or respiratory failure (95). Moreover, the heart becomes atrophic in cancer patients. There are also several direct experimental evidence showing that cancer-mediated



Cardiovascular drugs may promote or suppress cancer incidence. Angiotensin receptor II blocker that are used for treatment of hypertension at the cumulative exposure more than 3 years may induce cancer risk. Aspirin may lower cancer risk.



muscle wasting and cachexia (96) can be key players of cancerrelated death. Cancer itself can result in cardiac atrophy as well (97).

Cancer cachexia-mediated a waste of skeletal muscle and adipose tissue has been observed more severely in men more than women. The mechanisms of the sex differences in cancer cachexia have been experimentally studied by Cosper and Leinwand (98). In this study the tumor bearing (CD2F1) mice with injection of Colon-26 adenocarcinoma (C-26) has displayed a rapidly and increasingly loss of cardiac mass during the course of tumor progression. Significant differences in the disease phenotype have also been observed between males and

females. For example, male mice exhibit more waste in body weight, skeletal, and cardiac muscle and the higher cardiac dysfunctions than females. The decrease in all myofibrillar proteins, at the expenses of myosin heavy chain (MyHC) in heart is due to autophagy as a main proteolytic pathway. In this study, the estrogen receptor signaling has been shown to protect females against the loss of body weight and cardiac mass. Indeed, it appears that activation of  $Ca^{2+}$  dependent atrophy is also involved in wasting in skeletal muscle and heart of male Wistar rat bearing Yoshida AH-130 ascites hepatoma cells for 6 days as a cancer cachexia models (99).

Springer's group using the rat hepatoma model (AH-130-bearing rats) demonstrated that weight loss affects predominantly skeletal muscle and myocardium associated with left ventricular-dysfunction, fibrotic remodeling, and increased mortality (100). They found that several key anabolic and catabolic pathways were dysregulated in the cachectic hearts. These detrimental effects of the tumor on the heart and on survival can be alleviated by treatment with the β-blocker bisoprolol or the aldosterone antagonist spironolactone (101). Incoherent to this study, Toledo et al. have shown that the administration of a highly potent β2-adrenoceptor-selective agonist, formoterol, to cachectic tumor-bearing rats caused a significant reduction of muscle weight loss, an increase in lean body mass probably due to preventing muscle apoptosis and the increased muscle regeneration (102). The clinical trial phase 2 has shown formoterol has beneficial effects in patients with advanced cancer (103). Costelli et al. in AH-130-bearing rats have shown that  $\beta$ 2-adrenoceptor agonists, clenbuterol, prevents skeletal muscle waste, however, it has no effect parenchymal organs (104).

Same group has shown that the mechanisms of muscle depletion is due to increased proteasome- and calpain-dependent proteolysis in tumor bearing rats treated with TNF-alpha synthesis inhibitor, or an antiprotozoal drug blocking the IL-6 and TNF-alpha action (105).

A variety of cytokines have also been proposed to trigger cancer-induced cardiac muscle wasting. Zhou X 's group has studied involvement of a high affinity activin type 2 receptor (ActRIIBa), that binds to TGF- $\beta$  family ligands (myostatin, activin, Growth differentiation factor 11), utilizing two animal models; the tumor-bearing mice (colon 26, human G361 melanoma and TOV-21G ovarian carcinoma) and inhibinknockout mice (106). Indeed, activation of ActRIIB pathway enhances ubiquitination of muscle proteins that are key pathways in muscle wasting. Indeed, inhibition of the ActRIIBa in this study has fully restored the loss of muscle during cancer cachexia, without altering the high levels of the inflammatory cytokine levels.

The emerging question in this area is whether animal models consistent with clinical cancer cachexia and the potential drugs can have beneficial effects in cancer patients (107).

### Cancer cells derived secreted factors (secretoms) promote cardiac atrophy

Cancer cells release secreted factors (secretoms) result in developing cardiac atrophy and metabolic changes, but the exact signaling pathways in cardiomyocytes are still poorly understood (108). Cancer cells-mediated systemic metabolic alterations may impair cardiac function (39). Alterations in metabolic fueling of the heart as well as metabolic intermediates can alter gene expression, protein function and provoke epigenetic modifications thereby stemming in ventricular remodeling.

Somatic mutations in metabolic regulator genes could be the mechanism of cancer-mediated cardiac dysfunction. For example, somatic mutation in dehydrogenase (IDH1/2) gene causes in a gain-of-function, thereby allowing synthesis of 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG) that is structurally similar to  $\alpha$ ketoglutarate ( $\alpha$ -KG), a key regulatory enzyme of cellular energy metabolism and an intermediate of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) Krebs cycle (109). An increased circulating levels of 2-HG triggers dilated cardiomyopathy and contractile defects by impairing  $\alpha$ -KG pathway, and leading to mitochondrial damage and myocardial glycogen accumulation (39).

More studies are required to identify novel factors that can be important to stratify the risk of development of CVD in cancer patients.

### Anti-cancer-induced cardiotoxicity

The anticancer-drug induced cardiotoxicity has been widely studied, which can occur during, shortly after, or many years after cancer therapy. Cardiotoxicity can range from subclinical myocardial dysfunction to irreversible HF. Thus, in the long-term, the risk of death can be due to cardiovascular dysfunctions rather than tumor recurrence (39–41). The cancer therapy related-cardiovascular complications are listed in **Figure 4** and **Table 1** (110).

One of the important reasons for cardiotoxicity is that the anticancer drugs use similar pathways and targets in both cancer and heart cells to exert their cytotoxic effects as described in Figure 5. The anthracycline group of anticancer drugs use the same signaling pathways to induce cytotoxicity in both cancer and cardiac cells, leading to HF-related morbidity and mortality. The mechanism of anthracycline-mediated cardiotoxicity has been widely studied and recently reviewed by Nebigil and Désaubry (111). Targeted therapies such as tyrosine kinase inhibitors have also adverse effects on cardiovascular system. For example, heregulin receptor, HER2, express in both cancer and cardiac cells. Inhibition of HER2 by antibodies blocks the cancer cell proliferation, but it also blocks an important survival pathway in heart (112). Binding of VEGF to its receptors in endothelial cells activates angiogenesis. VEGF inhibitors can destroy the tumor angiogenesis and bring the tumor to avascular stage, whereas it can be detrimental in the heart due to reduced systemic angiogenesis (113).





Anticancer drugs	Clinical manifestation
Anthracyclines (e.g., doxorubicin)	LV-dysfunction, contractile defects, ischemia, thromboembolism
Targeted therapy/TK inhibitors (e.g., bevacizumab, sorafenib, nilotinib) and anti-angiogenic therapy (e.g., VEGF inhibitor)	Hypertension, Bradycardia, QT-prolongation, contractile defects, Ischemia, and coronary diseases, venous thromboembolism
Immune check point inhibitors (e.g., ipilimumab, nivolumab)	Myocarditis/pericarditis

Checkpoint inhibitors induce T-cell activation. Monoclonal antibodies that are used to block immune inhibitory checkpoints target cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4 (ipilimumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab), or anti-programmed cell death 1 (PD1) (atezolizumab, avelumab, durvalumab). The mechanisms of the adverse effects of immune checkpoints inhibitors are (1) release of cytolytic molecules (e.g., tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , granzyme B, interferon- $\gamma$ ) that kill tumor cell and promote autoimmune lymphocytic myocarditis, (2) the PD-L1 and CTLA-4 are also expressed in heart and tumors and can share antigens that recognize by the same T-cell clones. Thus, activated T cells attack not only on tumor cells but also on cardiomyocytes, and destroys hearts (114).

Thus, the paracrine effect of anticancer drugs-mediated cardiotoxicity remains to be widely investigated.

### Heart failure to cancer

# Myocardial infarction mediated secretoms and innate immune system promotes cancer development

Kitsis et al. investigated the interaction between HF and cancer by creating MI-induced HF in a precancerous murine model, adenomatous polyposis coli  $(APC)^{-/-}$  mouse strain (115). These mice carried a non-sense mutation in *APC* leading to persistence of beta-catenin that induces spontaneous intestinal adenoma formation (1). The experimental HF on those mice resulted in increased tumor formation and tumor growth, 6 weeks after the MI procedure. To rule out the

possibility that hemodynamic impairments lead to tumor growth, the failing heart has been transplanted into these precancer mice as a heterotopic murine (HFTx) heart model. Indeed, this model further proved that HF can contribute to tumor formation and progression. The candidate secreted molecules of HF were then identified based on metaanalyses from databases of proteins secreted from myocardium, and allied to the proteins previously associated with newonset colorectal cancer. Five potential secreted proteins have been discovered, namely: α-1-antitrypsin (SerpinA1), α-1antichymotrypsin (SerpinA3), fibronectin, ceruloplasmin, and paraoxonase 1. Indeed, serpinA3 promoted proliferation of the colon cancer cell that was associated with Akt-S6 phosphorylation. Moreover, Kitsis et al. found that the increased levels of serpinA3 and A1, fibronectin, ceruloplasmin, and paraoxonase 1 in patients with chronic HF (115).

Further studies need to establish whether (1) these secretoms can be used as cancer biomarkers to stratify the cancer risk in HF patients, (2) these secretoms can promote tumor formation as well as tumor progression.

The possible role of the immune system in HF to cancer has also been off great interest. Indeed, monocytes and monocyte-derived macrophages play key roles in cancer, such as promoting angiogenesis, tumor cell proliferation, migration, invasion as well as tumor immune evasion. On the other hand, post MI provokes sympathetic signaling such  $\beta$ 3 adrenergic stimulation together with IL-1 $\beta$ ) release, thereby activating leukocyte progenitors in the bone marrow, and monocytes, in the circulation (116). Recently using 2 mice model of breast cancers: C57BL/6J female mice orthotopically



implanted the murine mammary cancer cell line (E0771) and genetically engineered mouse breast cancer model (MMTV-PyMT), Koelwyn et al. demonstrated that MI is an acute pathologic stimulus that induces the innate immune system, to accelerate breast cancer growth and metastasis as well as cancer-associated mortality in mice and humans (116). More specifically, MI epigenetically reprograms Ly6Chi monocytes to give rise to an immunosuppressive phenotype in the bone marrow. In parallel, MI increases circulating levels of Ly6Chi monocytes and recruitment of these monocytes to tumors. Furthermore, depletion of these cells abolishes MI-induced tumor growth. Interestingly, epidemiological studies showed that early stage breast cancer patients who had cardiovascular disorders before the treatment with the chemotherapeutics had increased risk of reappearance of tumor and cancer-specific death.

These preclinical and clinical results are important to understand host comorbidities and their impact on cancer progression.

# Transverse aortic constriction mouse model of pathological hypertrophic cardiomyopathy promotes cancer

Avraham et al. investigated whether pathologic hypertrophic cardiomyopathy can alter tumor growth and progression, using transverse aortic constriction (TAC) as a mice model of pressure overload-induced cardiac hypertrophy in 2 type mouse syngeneic tumor models: a breast orthotopic cancer model (mouse mammary tumor virus-polyomavirus middle T antigen) and a lung cancer model (Lewis lung carcinoma) (117). This experimental TAC resulted in increased tumor growth and metastatic colonization. After TAC, the tumor implanted mice had a cardiac hypertrophy, and increased volume of tumors. Avraham et al. also investigated the role of host immune system in TAC-associated an increase in tumor growth, using immunodeficient NOD/SCID mice, which lack T and B lymphocytes, with reduced natural killer cell function. Indeed, increased tumor growth after TAC was also observed, eliminating the possible role of the adaptive immune system.

Transcriptomic profiling of the mice TAC-hearts revealed a number of upregulated secretoms known as pro-tumorigenic, such as connective tissue growth factor and periostin. Indeed, depletion of periostatin from the sera of TAC-mice abolished the proliferation of polyomavirus middle T antigen cells. Exogenous addition of periostin increased polyomavirus middle T antigen and Lewis lung carcinoma cell proliferation *in vitro*, showing that periostin in sera of the TAC-operated mice plays key role in cancer cell proliferation. Unfortunately, there was no studies showing that periostin inhibition *in vivo* reduces the tumor growth. Future *in vivo* studies are necessary to determine whether periostin and other secreted factors, promote hypertrophic cardiac remodelingmediated tumorigenesis.

The mechanisms by which these secretoms exert tumorigenic effect need to be studied. These preclinical studies may open an avenue for the discovery of the heart-specific tumor markers and new therapeutic options.

### Perspective

Recent clinical studies have shown that the mortality of certain cancer patients results from CVD such as HF, hypertension aneurysm of blood vessels and stroke (118). The risk of CVD mortality occurs during an acute phase (early risk) and a chronic phase (late risk) (119). Accordingly, cancer survivors who were diagnosed cancer before the age of 55 years displayed ten-fold higher CV-dependent mortality compare to the general population (118). On the other hand, the cancer risk incidence is high in the patients with HF (120).

Several limitations of the clinical studies showing a link between cancer and HF should be considered as well. For example, in some clinical studies the type of treatments the patients received have not been known to evaluate whether these therapies have adverse effects. Some of these studies have lack of information on co-illnesses and risk factors (e.g., smoking, alcohol consumption, obesity). The socioeconomic status should also be taken in account in these epidemiological studies. Moreover, these studies have been mostly performed on western population, therefore the percentage of risks may range in the different populations.

Preclinical studies are important to unravel the molecular pathways and targets involved in bilateral interaction between

CVD and cancer. Cardiac- and cancer-secretoms have potential utility as biomarkers that can be used to identify risks in patient with HF in terms of cancer risk or vice versa. These data will exemplify the importance of understanding the development of comorbidities and help to implementation of strategies for better management of these patients and identify the cardiovascular or cancer-specific mortality.

Nevertheless, the cardio-oncology care should assess regularly the risk of development cancer in HF patients, and CVD in the cancer patients before starting chemotherapy.

### Author contributions

MG and NT prepared the illustration, organized and partially wrote the manuscript. MS-M, JG, and CN wrote and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Fondation de France "Cardiovaculaire." The "Servier Medical Art" (www.servier.com) and Biorender (www.Biorender.com) illustrations were utilized to produce the figures.

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### References

4. Meijers WC, de Boer RA. Response by meijers and de boer to letters regarding article, "heart failure stimulates tumor growth by circulating factors". *Circulation*. (2019) 139:720-1. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.038481

<sup>1.</sup> Meijers WC, de Boer RA. Common risk factors for heart failure and cancer. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2019) 115:844–53. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvz035

<sup>2.</sup> Brancaccio M, Pirozzi F, Hirsch E, Ghigo A. Mechanisms underlying the cross-talk between heart and cancer. J Physiol. (2020) 598:3015–27. doi: 10.1113/ JP276746

<sup>3.</sup> de Boer RA, Meijers WC, van der Meer P, van Veldhuisen DJ. Cancer and heart disease: associations and relations. *Eur J Heart Fail*. (2019) 21:1515–25.

5. Meijers WC, Moslehi JJ. Need for multidisciplinary research and data-driven guidelines for the cardiovascular care of patients with cancer. *JAMA*. (2019) 322:1775–6. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.17415

6. Banke A, Schou M, Videbaek L, Moller JE, Torp-Pedersen C, Gustafsson F, et al. Incidence of cancer in patients with chronic heart failure: a long-term follow-up study. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2016) 18:260–6. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.472

7. Sakamoto M, Hasegawa T, Asakura M, Kanzaki H, Takahama H, Amaki M, et al. Does the pathophysiology of heart failure prime the incidence of cancer? *Hypertens Res.* (2017) 40:831–6. doi: 10.1038/hr.2017.45

8. Kwak S, Kwon S, Lee SY, Yang S, Lee HJ, Lee H, et al. Differential risk of incident cancer in patients with heart failure: a nationwide population-based cohort study. *J Cardiol.* (2021) 77:231–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jjcc.2020.07.026

9. Hasin T, Gerber Y, Weston SA, Jiang R, Killian JM, Manemann SM, et al. Heart failure after myocardial infarction is associated with increased risk of cancer. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2016) 68:265–71. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.04.053

10. Ameri P, Canepa M, Anker MS, Belenkov Y, Bergler-Klein J, Cohen-Solal A, et al. Cancer diagnosis in patients with heart failure: epidemiology, clinical implications and gaps in knowledge. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2018) 20:879–87. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1165

11. Ausoni S, Azzarello G. Development of cancer in patients with heart failure: how systemic inflammation can lay the groundwork. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2020) 7:598384. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2020.598384

12. Barkhudaryan A, Scherbakov N, Springer J, Doehner W. Cardiac muscle wasting in individuals with cancer cachexia. *ESC Heart Fail.* (2017) 4:458–67. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.12184

13. Navi BB, Reiner AS, Kamel H, Iadecola C, Okin PM, Elkind MSV, et al. Risk of arterial thromboembolism in patients with cancer. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2017) 70:926–38. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.06.047

14. Stoltzfus KC, Zhang Y, Sturgeon K, Sinoway LI, Trifiletti DM, Chinchilli VM, et al. Fatal heart disease among cancer patients. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:2011. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15639-5

15. Herrmann J, Lerman A, Sandhu NP, Villarraga HR, Mulvagh SL, Kohli M. Evaluation and management of patients with heart disease and cancer: cardiooncology. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2014) 89:1287–306. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2014.05. 013

16. Hu CY, Xing Y, Cormier JN, Chang GJ. Assessing the utility of cancerregistry-processed cause of death in calculating cancer-specific survival. *Cancer*. (2013) 119:1900–7. doi: 10.1002/cncr.27968

17. Sturgeon KM, Deng L, Bluethmann SM, Zhou S, Trifiletti DM, Jiang C, et al. A population-based study of cardiovascular disease mortality risk in US cancer patients. *Eur Heart J.* (2019) 40:3889–97. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz766

18. Rinde LB, Smabrekke B, Hald EM, Brodin EE, Njolstad I, Mathiesen EB, et al. Myocardial infarction and future risk of cancer in the general populationthe Tromso Study. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2017) 32:193–201. doi: 10.1007/s10654-017-0231-5

19. Hoshijima M, Chien KR. Mixed signals in heart failure: cancer rules. J Clin Invest. (2002) 109:849–55. doi: 10.1172/JCI0215380

20. Aboumsallem JP, Moslehi J, de Boer RA. Reverse cardio-oncology: cancer development in patients with cardiovascular disease. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2020) 9:e013754. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.119.013754

21. de Boer RA, Hulot JS, Tocchetti CG, Aboumsallem JP, Ameri P, Anker SD, et al. Common mechanistic pathways in cancer and heart failure. A scientific roadmap on behalf of the Translational Research Committee of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2020) 22:2272–89. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2029

22. Chovatiya R, Medzhitov R. Stress, inflammation, and defense of homeostasis. *Mol Cell.* (2014) 54:281–8. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2014.03.030

23. Mann DL. Stress-activated cytokines and the heart: from adaptation to maladaptation. *Annu Rev Physiol.* (2003) 65:81–101. doi: 10.1146/annurev.physiol. 65.092101.142249

24. Ridker PM. Inflammation, cardiovascular disease and cancer: moving toward predictive medicine. *CMAJ.* (2017) 189:E382–3. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.161033

25. Kaptoge S, Seshasai SR, Gao P, Freitag DF, Butterworth AS, Borglykke A, et al. Inflammatory cytokines and risk of coronary heart disease: new prospective study and updated meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J*. (2014) 35:578–89. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ eht367

26. Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. *Cell.* (2010) 140:883–99. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.025

27. Propper DJ, Balkwill FR. Harnessing cytokines and chemokines for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2022) 19:237–53. doi: 10.1038/s41571-021-00588-9

28. Libby P, Kobold S. Inflammation: a common contributor to cancer, aging, and cardiovascular diseases-expanding the concept of cardio-oncology. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2019) 115:824–9. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvz058

29. Ridker PM. Canakinumab for residual inflammatory risk. *Eur Heart J.* (2017) 38:3545–8. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx723

30. Wang P, Deng J, Zhang Q, Feng H, Zhang Y, Lu Y, et al. Additional use of prostacyclin analogs in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension: a metaanalysis. *Front Pharmacol.* (2022) 13:817119. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.817119

31. Nemenoff RA, Weiser-Evans M, Winn RA. Activation and molecular targets of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma ligands in lung cancer. *PPAR Res.* (2008) 2008:156875. doi: 10.1155/2008/156875

32. Kim JI, Lakshmikanthan V, Frilot N, Daaka Y. Prostaglandin E2 promotes lung cancer cell migration via EP4-betaArrestin1-c-Src signalsome. *Mol Cancer Res.* (2010) 8:569–77. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-09-0511

33. Toth AD, Schell R, Levay M, Vettel C, Theis P, Haslinger C, et al. Inflammation leads through PGE/EP3 signaling to HDAC5/MEF2-dependent transcription in cardiac myocytes. *EMBO Mol Med*. (2018) 10:e8536. doi: 10.15252/ emmm.201708536

34. Meijers WC, Maglione M, Bakker SJL, Oberhuber R, Kieneker LM, de Jong S, et al. Heart failure stimulates tumor growth by circulating factors. *Circulation.* (2018) 138:678–91. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.030816

35. Martinez-Reyes I, Chandel NS. Cancer metabolism: looking forward. Nat Rev Cancer. (2021) 21:669-80. doi: 10.1038/s41568-021-00378-6

36. Haidar A, Taegtmeyer H. Strategies for imaging metabolic remodeling of the heart in obesity and heart failure. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* (2022) 24:327–35. doi: 10.1007/s11886-022-01650-3

37. Larman TC, DePalma SR, Hadjipanayis AG, Cancer Genome Atlas Research, Protopopov A, Zhang J, et al. Spectrum of somatic mitochondrial mutations in five cancers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2012) 109:14087–91. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1211502109

38. Allard MF, Schonekess BO, Henning SL, English DR, Lopaschuk GD. Contribution of oxidative metabolism and glycolysis to ATP production in hypertrophied hearts. *Am J Physiol.* (1994) 267:H742–50. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart. 1994.267.2.H742

39. Karlstaedt A, Zhang X, Vitrac H, Harmancey R, Vasquez H, Wang JH, et al. Oncometabolite d-2-hydroxyglutarate impairs alpha-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase and contractile function in rodent heart. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2016) 113:10436– 41. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1601650113

40. Sullivan LB, Gui DY, Hosios AM, Bush LN, Freinkman E, Vander Heiden MG. Supporting aspartate biosynthesis is an essential function of respiration in proliferating cells. *Cell.* (2015) 162:552–63. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.017

41. Umbarawan Y, Syamsunarno M, Koitabashi N, Yamaguchi A, Hanaoka H, Hishiki T, et al. Glucose is preferentially utilized for biomass synthesis in pressureoverloaded hearts: evidence from fatty acid-binding protein-4 and -5 knockout mice. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2018) 114:1132–44. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvy063

42. Vander Heiden MG. Targeting cancer metabolism: a therapeutic window opens. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* (2011) 10:671–84. doi: 10.1038/nrd3504

43. Taegtmeyer H. The new cardiac metabolism. J Mol Cell Cardiol. (2013) 55:1. doi: 10.1016/j.yjmcc.2012.12.019

44. Cappetta D, De Angelis A, Bellocchio G, Telesca M, Cianflone E, Torella D, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors and heart failure: a bedside-tobench journey. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:810791. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021. 810791

45. Scafoglio C, Hirayama BA, Kepe V, Liu J, Ghezzi C, Satyamurthy N, et al. Functional expression of sodium-glucose transporters in cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2015) 112:E4111–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1511698112

46. Zaytseva YY, Rychahou PG, Le AT, Scott TL, Flight RM, Kim JT, et al. Preclinical evaluation of novel fatty acid synthase inhibitors in primary colorectal cancer cells and a patient-derived xenograft model of colorectal cancer. Oncotarget. (2018) 9:24787–800. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.25361

47. Umbarawan Y, Syamsunarno M, Koitabashi N, Obinata H, Yamaguchi A, Hanaoka H, et al. Myocardial fatty acid uptake through CD36 is indispensable for sufficient bioenergetic metabolism to prevent progression of pressure overload-induced heart failure. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:12035. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-30 616-1

48. Birsoy K, Wang T, Chen WW, Freinkman E, Abu-Remaileh M, Sabatini DM. An essential role of the mitochondrial electron transport chain in cell proliferation is to enable aspartate synthesis. *Cell.* (2015) 162:540–51. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.07. 016

49. Gui DY, Sullivan LB, Luengo A, Hosios AM, Bush LN, Gitego N, et al. Environment dictates dependence on mitochondrial complex I for NAD+ and

aspartate production and determines cancer cell sensitivity to metformin. *Cell Metab.* (2016) 24:716–27. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.09.006

50. Campbell S, Mesaros C, Izzo L, Affronti H, Noji M, Schaffer BE, et al. Glutamine deprivation triggers NAGK-dependent hexosamine salvage. *Elife.* (2021) 10:e62644. doi: 10.7554/eLife.62644

51. Jefferies JL, Saffitz JE. Autophagy and reverse remodeling: a new biomarker in heart failure? J Am Coll Cardiol. (2022) 79:802–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.12.019

52. Kim HA, Rhim T, Lee M. Regulatory systems for hypoxia-inducible gene expression in ischemic heart disease gene therapy. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* (2011) 63:678–87. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2011.01.003

53. Manuelli V, Pecorari C, Filomeni G, Zito E. Regulation of redox signaling in HIF-1-dependent tumor angiogenesis. *FEBS J.* (2021). [Online ahead of print]. doi: 10.1111/febs.16110

54. Zecchin A, Borgers G, Carmeliet P. Endothelial cells and cancer cells: metabolic partners in crime? *Curr Opin Hematol.* (2015) 22:234–42. doi: 10.1097/MOH.00000000000138

55. Shiojima I, Sato K, Izumiya Y, Schiekofer S, Ito M, Liao R, et al. Disruption of coordinated cardiac hypertrophy and angiogenesis contributes to the transition to heart failure. *J Clin Invest.* (2005) 115:2108–18. doi: 10.1172/JCI24682

56. Meidan R, Klipper E, Zalman Y, Yalu R. The role of hypoxia-induced genes in ovarian angiogenesis. *Reprod Fertil Dev.* (2013) 25:343–50. doi: 10.1071/RD12139

57. Bowler E, Oltean S. Alternative splicing in angiogenesis. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2019) 20:2067. doi: 10.3390/ijms20092067

58. Guilini C, Urayama K, Turkeri G, Dedeoglu DB, Kurose H, Messaddeq N, et al. Divergent roles of prokineticin receptors in the endothelial cells: angiogenesis and fenestration. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* (2010) 298:H844–52. doi: 10. 1152/ajpheart.00898.2009

59. LeCouter J, Lin R, Tejada M, Frantz G, Peale F, Hillan KJ, et al. The endocrine-gland-derived VEGF homologue Bv8 promotes angiogenesis in the testis: localization of Bv8 receptors to endothelial cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2003) 100:2685–90. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0337667100

60. Groarke JD, Choueiri TK, Slosky D, Cheng S, Moslehi J. Recognizing and managing left ventricular dysfunction associated with therapeutic inhibition of the vascular endothelial growth factor signaling pathway. *Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med.* (2014) 16:335. doi: 10.1007/s11936-014-0335-0

61. Hsu PY, Mammadova A, Benkirane-Jessel N, Desaubry L, Nebigil CG. Updates on anticancer therapy-mediated vascular toxicity and new horizons in therapeutic strategies. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:694711. doi: 10.3389/fcvm. 2021.694711

62. Libby P, Sidlow R, Lin AE, Gupta D, Jones LW, Moslehi J, et al. Clonal hematopoiesis: crossroads of aging, cardiovascular disease, and cancer: JACC review topic of the week. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2019) 74:567–77. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc. 2019.06.007

63. Sano S, Wang Y, Evans MA, Yura Y, Sano M, Ogawa H, et al. Lentiviral CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing for the study of hematopoietic cells in disease models. *J Vis Exp.* (2019) 2019:59977. doi: 10.3791/59977

64. Acuna-Hidalgo R, Sengul H, Steehouwer M, van de Vorst M, Vermeulen SH, Kiemeney L, et al. Ultra-sensitive sequencing identifies high prevalence of clonal hematopoiesis-associated mutations throughout adult life. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2017) 101:50–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.05.013

65. Patnaik MM, Tefferi A. Chronic myelomonocytic leukemia: 2022 update on diagnosis, risk stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol.* (2022) 97:352–72. doi: 10.1002/ajh.26455

66. Jaiswal S, Libby P. Clonal haematopoiesis: connecting ageing and inflammation in cardiovascular disease. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2020) 17:137–44. doi: 10.1038/s41569-019-0247-5

67. Dorsheimer L, Assmus B, Rasper T, Ortmann CA, Abou-El-Ardat K, Kiefer KC, et al. Hematopoietic alterations in chronic heart failure patients by somatic mutations leading to clonal hematopoiesis. *Haematologica*. (2020) 105:e328–32. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2019.224402

68. Dorsheimer L, Assmus B, Rasper T, Ortmann CA, Ecke A, Abou-El-Ardat K, et al. Association of mutations contributing to clonal hematopoiesis with prognosis in chronic ischemic heart failure. *JAMA Cardiol.* (2019) 4:25–33. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2018.3965

69. Calvillo-Arguelles O, Jaiswal S, Shlush LI, Moslehi JJ, Schimmer A, Barac A, et al. Connections between clonal hematopoiesis, cardiovascular disease, and cancer: a review. *JAMA Cardiol.* (2019) 4:380–7. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2019. 0302

70. Merlo M, Gentile P, Naso P, Sinagra G. The natural history of dilated cardiomyopathy: how has it changed? *J Cardiovasc Med.* (2017) 18:e161–5. doi: 10.2459/JCM.00000000000459

71. Masarone D, Kaski JP, Pacileo G, Elliott PM, Bossone E, Day SM, et al. Epidemiology and clinical aspects of genetic cardiomyopathies. *Heart Fail Clin.* (2018) 14:119–28. doi: 10.1016/j.hfc.2017.12.007

72. Wasielewski M, van Spaendonck-Zwarts KY, Westerink ND, Jongbloed JD, Postma A, Gietema JA, et al. Potential genetic predisposition for anthracyclineassociated cardiomyopathy in families with dilated cardiomyopathy. *Open Heart.* (2014) 1:e000116. doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2014-000116

73. Sabater-Molina M, Navarro-Penalver M, Munoz-Esparza C, Esteban-Gil A, Santos-Mateo JJ, Gimeno JR. Genetic factors involved in cardiomyopathies and in cancer. J Clin Med. (2020) 9:1702. doi: 10.3390/jcm9061702

74. Ramos-Kuri M, Meka SH, Salamanca-Buentello F, Hajjar RJ, Lipskaia L, Chemaly ER. Molecules linked to Ras signaling as therapeutic targets in cardiac pathologies. *Biol Res.* (2021) 54:23. doi: 10.1186/s40659-021-00342-6

75. Hebron KE, Hernandez ER, Yohe ME. The RASopathies: from pathogenetics to therapeutics. *Dis Model Mech.* (2022) 15:dmm049107. doi: 10.1242/dmm.049107

76. Austin KM, Trembley MA, Chandler SF, Sanders SP, Saffitz JE, Abrams DJ, et al. Molecular mechanisms of arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2019) 16:519–37. doi: 10.1038/s41569-019-0200-7

77. Parati G, Ochoa JE, Bilo G. Blood pressure variability, cardiovascular risk, and risk for renal disease progression. *Curr Hypertens Rep.* (2012) 14:421-31. doi: 10.1007/s11906-012-0290-7

78. Cole SW, Nagaraja AS, Lutgendorf SK, Green PA, Sood AK. Sympathetic nervous system regulation of the tumour microenvironment. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2015) 15:563–72. doi: 10.1038/nrc3978

79. Hicks BM, Filion KB, Yin H, Sakr L, Udell JA, Azoulay L. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and risk of lung cancer: population based cohort study. *BMJ*. (2018) 363:k4209. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k4209

80. Pasternak B, Svanstrom H, Callreus T, Melbye M, Hviid A. Use of angiotensin receptor blockers and the risk of cancer. *Circulation*. (2011) 123:1729–36. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.007336

81. Jung MH, Lee JH, Lee CJ, Shin JH, Kang SH, Kwon CH, et al. Effect of angiotensin receptor blockers on the development of cancer: a nationwide cohort study in korea. J Clin Hypertens. (2021) 23:879–87. doi: 10.1111/jch.14187

82. Pedersen SA, Gaist D, Schmidt SAJ, Holmich LR, Friis S, Pottegard A. Hydrochlorothiazide use and risk of nonmelanoma skin cancer: a nationwide case-control study from Denmark. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (2018) 78:673–681e9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2017.11.042

83. Sipahi I, Debanne SM, Rowland DY, Simon DI, Fang JC. Angiotensinreceptor blockade and risk of cancer: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Oncol.* (2010) 11:627–36. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70106-6

84. Chang CH, Lin JW, Wu LC, Lai MS. Angiotensin receptor blockade and risk of cancer in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a nationwide case-control study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2011) 29:3001–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2011.35.1908

85. Sipahi I. Risk of cancer with angiotensin-receptor blockers increases with increasing cumulative exposure: meta-regression analysis of randomized trials. *PLoS One.* (2022) 17:e0263461. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0263461

86. Thorat MA, Cuzick J. Role of aspirin in cancer prevention. *Curr Oncol Rep.* (2013) 15:533–40. doi: 10.1007/s11912-013-0351-3

87. Gaziano JM, Brotons C, Coppolecchia R, Cricelli C, Darius H, Gorelick PB, et al. Use of aspirin to reduce risk of initial vascular events in patients at moderate risk of cardiovascular disease (ARRIVE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. (2018) 392:1036–46. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18) 31924-X

88. Rothwell PM, Cook NR, Gaziano JM, Price JF, Belch JFF, Roncaglioni MC, et al. Effects of aspirin on risks of vascular events and cancer according to bodyweight and dose: analysis of individual patient data from randomised trials. *Lancet.* (2018) 392:387–99. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31 133-4

89. Bibbins-Domingo K, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Aspirin use for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and colorectal cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med.* (2016) 164:836–45. doi: 10.7326/M16-0577

90. Lin X, Wang S, Wang L, Guan Y, Huang J. Aspirin alone versus dual antiplatelet therapy after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther.* (2021) 36:271–8. doi: 10.1007/s10557-021-07145-7

91. Tan BH, Deans DA, Skipworth RJ, Ross JA, Fearon KC. Biomarkers for cancer cachexia: is there also a genetic component to cachexia? *Support Care Cancer*. (2008) 16:229–34. doi: 10.1007/s00520-007-0367-z

92. Baracos VE. Cancer-associated malnutrition. Eur J Clin Nutr. (2018) 72:1255-9. doi: 10.1038/s41430-018-0245-4

93. Tisdale MJ. Mechanisms of cancer cachexia. *Physiol Rev.* (2009) 89:381–410. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00016.2008

94. Tisdale MJ. Biochemical mechanisms of cellular catabolism. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. (2002) 5:401-5. doi: 10.1097/00075197-200207000-00009

95. Shyh-Chang N. Metabolic changes during cancer cachexia pathogenesis. Adv Exp Med Biol. (2017) 1026:233-49. doi: 10.1007/978-981-10-6020-5\_11

96. Kelm NQ, Straughn AR, Kakar SS. Withaferin A attenuates ovarian cancerinduced cardiac cachexia. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0236680. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0236680

97. Kazemi-Bajestani SMR, Becher H, Butts C, Basappa NS, Smylie M, Joy AA, et al. Rapid atrophy of cardiac left ventricular mass in patients with non-small cell carcinoma of the lung. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. (2019) 10:1070–82. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12451

98. Cosper PF, Leinwand LA. Cancer causes cardiac atrophy and autophagy in a sexually dimorphic manner. *Cancer Res.* (2011) 71:1710–20. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-3145

99. Costelli P, Muscaritoli M, Bonetto A, Penna F, Reffo P, Bossola M, et al. Muscle myostatin signalling is enhanced in experimental cancer cachexia. *Eur J Clin Invest.* (2008) 38:531–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2362.2008.01970.x

100. Springer J, Tschirner A, Haghikia A, von Haehling S, Lal H, Grzesiak A, et al. Prevention of liver cancer cachexia-induced cardiac wasting and heart failure. *Eur Heart J.* (2014) 35:932–41. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eht302

101. Springer J, Schust S, Peske K, Tschirner A, Rex A, Engel O, et al. Catabolic signaling and muscle wasting after acute ischemic stroke in mice: indication for a stroke-specific sarcopenia. *Stroke.* (2014) 45:3675–83. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA. 114.006258

102. Toledo M, Springer J, Busquets S, Tschirner A, Lopez-Soriano FJ, Anker SD, et al. Formoterol in the treatment of experimental cancer cachexia: effects on heart function. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. (2014) 5:315–20. doi: 10.1007/s13539-014-0153-y

103. Greig CA, Johns N, Gray C, MacDonald A, Stephens NA, Skipworth RJ, et al. Phase I/II trial of formoterol fumarate combined with megestrol acetate in cachectic patients with advanced malignancy. *Support Care Cancer*. (2014) 22:1269–75. doi: 10.1007/s00520-013-2081-3

104. Costelli P, Garcia-Martinez C, Llovera M, Carbo N, Lopez-Soriano FJ, Agell N, et al. Muscle protein waste in tumor-bearing rats is effectively antagonized by a beta 2-adrenergic agonist (clenbuterol). Role of the ATP-ubiquitin-dependent proteolytic pathway. *J Clin Invest.* (1995) 95:2367–72. doi: 10.1172/JCI117929

105. Costelli P, Bossola M, Muscaritoli M, Grieco G, Bonelli G, Bellantone R, et al. Anticytokine treatment prevents the increase in the activity of ATP-ubiquitinand Ca(2+)-dependent proteolytic systems in the muscle of tumour-bearing rats. *Cytokine*. (2002) 19:1–5. doi: 10.1006/cyto.2002.1036

106. Zhou X, Wang JL, Lu J, Song Y, Kwak KS, Jiao Q, et al. Reversal of cancer cachexia and muscle wasting by ActRIIB antagonism leads to prolonged survival. *Cell.* (2010) 142:531–43. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.07.011

107. Baracos VE. Bridging the gap: are animal models consistent with clinical cancer cachexia? *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2018) 15:197–8. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2018. 14

108. Schafer M, Oeing CU, Rohm M, Baysal-Temel E, Lehmann LH, Bauer R, et al. Ataxin-10 is part of a cachexokine cocktail triggering cardiac metabolic dysfunction in cancer cachexia. *Mol Metab.* (2016) 5:67–78. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet. 2015.11.004

109. Akbay EA, Moslehi J, Christensen CL, Saha S, Tchaicha JH, Ramkissoon SH, et al. D-2-hydroxyglutarate produced by mutant IDH2 causes cardiomyopathy and neurodegeneration in mice. *Genes Dev.* (2014) 28:479–90. doi: 10.1101/gad.231233. 113

110. Adhikari A, Asdaq SMB, Al Hawaj MA, Chakraborty M, Thapa G, Bhuyan NR, et al. Anticancer drug-induced cardiotoxicity: insights and pharmacogenetics. *Pharmaceuticals.* (2021) 14:970. doi: 10.3390/ph14100970

111. Nebigil CG, Desaubry L. Updates in anthracycline-mediated cardiotoxicity. Front Pharmacol. (2018) 9:1262. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2018.01262

112. Rochette L, Guenancia C, Gudjoncik A, Hachet O, Zeller M, Cottin Y, et al. Anthracyclines/trastuzumab: new aspects of cardiotoxicity and molecular mechanisms. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* (2015) 36:326–48. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2015.03. 005

113. Touyz RM, Lang NN, Herrmann J, van den Meiracker AH, Danser AHJ. Recent advances in hypertension and cardiovascular toxicities with vascular endothelial growth factor inhibition. *Hypertension*. (2017) 70:220–6. doi: 10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.08856

114. Varricchi G, Galdiero MR, Marone G, Criscuolo G, Triassi M, Bonaduce D, et al. Cardiotoxicity of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *ESMO Open.* (2017) 2:e000247. doi: 10.1136/esmoopen-2017-000247

115. Kitsis RN, Riquelme JA, Lavandero S. Heart disease and cancer: are the two killers colluding? *Circulation*. (2018) 138:692-5. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.033907

116. Koelwyn GJ, Newman AAC, Afonso MS, van Solingen C, Corr EM, Brown EJ, et al. Myocardial infarction accelerates breast cancer via innate immune reprogramming. *Nat Med.* (2020) 26:1452–8. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0964-7

117. Avraham S, Abu-Sharki S, Shofti R, Haas T, Korin B, Kalfon R, et al. Early cardiac remodeling promotes tumor growth and metastasis. *Circulation.* (2020) 142:670–83. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.046471

118. Paterson DI, Wiebe N, Cheung WY, Mackey JR, Pituskin E, Reiman A, et al. Incident cardiovascular disease among adults with cancer: a population-based cohort study. *JACC CardioOncol.* (2022) 4:85–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jaccao.2022.01.100

119. Mensah GA, Wei GS, Sorlie PD, Fine LJ, Rosenberg Y, Kaufmann PG, et al. Decline in cardiovascular mortality: possible causes and implications. *Circ Res.* (2017) 120:366–80. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309115

120. Mejia EJ, Rossano JW. Congenital heart disease and the risk of cancer: the importance of understanding associated comorbidities. *Lancet Reg Health Eur.* (2022) 18:100415. doi: 10.1016/j.lanepe.2022.100415