

Use of trace elements to distinguish flows from different types of carbonated rocks

Leïla Serène, Christelle Batiot-Guilhe, Naomi Mazzilli, Philippe Leonide, Christophe Emblanch, Eléonore Resongles, Rémi Freydier, Léa Causse, Milanka Babic, Julien Dupont, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Leïla Serène, Christelle Batiot-Guilhe, Naomi Mazzilli, Philippe Leonide, Christophe Emblanch, et al.. Use of trace elements to distinguish flows from different types of carbonated rocks. IAHS-AISH Scientific Assembly 2022, May 2022, Montpellier, France. pp.1-22, 10.5194/iahs2022-329. hal-03817369

HAL Id: hal-03817369 https://hal.science/hal-03817369v1

Submitted on 17 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Use of trace elements to distinguish flows from different types of carbonated rocks

Leïla Serène¹, Christelle Batiot-Guilhe¹, Naomi Mazzilli², Philippe Léonide³, Christophe Emblanch², Eléonore Resongles¹, Rémi Freydier¹, Léa Causse⁴, Milanka Babic², Julien Dupont², Roland Simler², Matthieu Blanc, Gérard Massonnat⁵

- 1. HydroSciences Montpellier (HSM), Univ Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, France
- 2. UMR 1114 EMMAH (AU-INRAE), Université d'Avignon, 84000 Avignon, France
- 3. Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IRD, INRAE, CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence, France
- 4. OREME, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, INRAE, IRD, Montpellier, France
- 5. TotalEnergies, CSTJF, Avenue Larribau, CEDEX 64018 Pau, France

Karst : a valuable but complex water resource

Karst water resource (Stevanović, 2019)

- 9.2% of the world's drinking water
- 13% of the total global withdrawal of groundwater

Karst specificities

- Heterogeneous and compartmentalized systems
- Dual flows (fast/slow)
- Vulnerability associated to fast flow



Karst : a valuable but complex water resource

Karst water resource (Stevanović, 2019)

- 9.2% of the world's drinking water
- 13% of the total global withdrawal of groundwater

Karst specificities

- Heterogeneous and compartmentalized systems
- Dual flows (fast/slow)
- Vulnerability associated to fast flow



Why is karst such a complex media?

From carbonated platform to karst aquifer



Variability of carbonate sediments

From carbonated platform to karst aquifer





Aim of the study



Path taken by water

Drain

Fast transit

Short water rock

interaction time

No or little rock

signature

Matrix Low transit Longer water rock interaction time

Rock signature

Aim of the study



Path taken by water

Drain

Fast transit

signature

Matrix Low transit Short water rock Longer water rock interaction time interaction time No or little rock

Rock signature

Aim of the study



Path taken by water

DrainMatrixFast transitLow transit↓↓Short water rock
interaction timeLonger water rock
interaction time↓↓No or little rock
signatureRock signature

Can trace elements be used as a natural tracer of path taken by water into karst systems? → Trace elements variations in karst rocks?

Study site & sampling



Fontaine de Vaucluse karstic system

- Catchment of 1160 km² Ollivier, 2020
- •Aquifer thickness up to 1500m
- Unsaturated zone average thickness 800m

Rock sampling

- 108 limestone samples
 - \rightarrow Representatives facies (several replicates)





Water-rock interaction

- Fast reacting system = short storage duration (few years max)
- → Not enough time to dissolve silicates (thousands years *Shand et al., 2007*)
- → Clays have large water-rock interaction surface
- → Major impact of carbonate dissolution





Water-rock interaction

- Fast reacting system = short storage duration (few years max)
- → Not enough time to dissolve silicates (thousands years *Shand et al., 2007*)
- → Clays have large water-rock interaction surface
- → Major impact of carbonate dissolution

Trace elements in rocks

- Carbonate has low trace element content in comparison with silicates (Shand et al., 2007)
- → carbonate signal may be hidden by the silicate one
- Necessary to analyse only the carbonated matrix of our samples

How to get a trace signal in the rocks that will be comparable to the signal in the water?



Analytic method development

- Use of hydrochloric acid 0.15 M to avoid silicates dissolution (molarity < 0.18 M *Bastian et al., 2018*)
- Carbonated fraction of all samples analysed for all Mendeleïev tab by ICP-MS

How to get a trace signal in the rocks that will be comparable to the signal in the water?



Analytic method development

- Use of hydrochloric acid 0.15 M to avoid silicates dissolution (molarity < 0.18 M *Bastian et al., 2018*)
- Carbonated fraction of all samples analysed for all Mendeleïev tab by ICP-MS

Results validation and pre-treatment

- Total analysis of all samples performed by ICP-OES
- \rightarrow Check that all the carbonated fraction was considered by ICP-MS

Clustering of rock samples

Hierarchical clustering with 16 trace elements : Li, B, S, V, Cr, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Rb, Sr, Cd, Ba, Pb, U



Clustering of rock samples

Hierarchical clustering with 16 trace elements : Li, B, S, V, Cr, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Rb, Sr, Cd, Ba, Pb, U





Conclusions & perspectives



Conclusions & perspectives



Karst water

One year bi-monthly sampling of 4 springs, 1 loss and 10 UZ flows Characterization of trace fingerprints in water : Work in progress Trace elements signatures in waters

Conclusions & perspectives





Use of trace elements to distinguish flows from different types of carbonated rocks



Can trace elements be used as a natural tracer the path taken by water in karst systems?

> **Leïla Serène**, Christelle Batiot-Guilhe, Naomi Mazzilli, Philippe Léonide, Christophe Emblanch, Eléonore Resongles, Rémi Freydier, Léa Causse, Milanka Babic, Julien Dupont, Roland Simler, Matthieu Blanc, Gérard Massonnat

Analytic method

Partial analysis protocole

- 1/ Weigh 50 mg of sample
- 2/ 10 mL of 0.15 M HCl
- 3/ Shake for 19 h at 300 rpm
- 4/ Filtration at 0.22 μm
- 5/ Evaporate at 70°C lasts 2-3 days
- 6/ Add 15mL of HNO₃ 10%, weigh
- 7/ Ultrasound 15min
- 8/ Dilute 1mL in ICP-Ms tubes, complete with water
- 9/ ICP-MS

Partial analysis

ICP-MS = Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry → lower detection limits

Total analysis

ICP-OES = Inductively coupled plasma optiocal emission spectrometry