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# ON HECKE ALGEBRAS FOR p-ADIC REDUCTIVE GROUPS: THE EXAMPLE OF $G_{2}$ 

ANNE-MARIE AUBERT AND YUJIE XU


#### Abstract

We study the endomorphism algebras attached to Bernstein components of reductive $p$-adic groups. By using recent results of Solleveld, we prove a reduction to depth zero case result for the components attached to regular supercuspidal representations of Levi subgroups, and construct a correspondence with the appropriate set of enhanced $L$-parameters.

In particular, for Levi subgroups of maximal parabolic of the split exceptional group of type $G_{2}$, we compute the explicit parameters for the corresponding Hecke algebras, and show that they satisfy a conjecture of Lusztig's. We also give examples for a generalized version of Yu's conjecture using type theory for $G_{2}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be the group of $F$-points of a reductive connected group $\mathbf{G}$, defined over a local non-archimedean field $F$ of residual characteristic $p$.

Let $M$ be the Levi subgroup of a parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G$. We denote by $M_{1}$ the subgroup of $M$ generated by all its compact subgroups. We recall that a character of $M$ is said to be unramified if it is trivial on $M_{1}$, and denote by $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$ the group of unramified characters of $M$.

Let $\mathfrak{s}=[M, \sigma]_{G}$ be the inertial class of a supercuspidal irreducible representation $\sigma$ of $G$ (that is, $\mathfrak{s}$ is the $G$-conjugacy class of $\left(M, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) \cdot \sigma\right)$ where $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) \cdot \sigma$ is the orbit of $\sigma$ under $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$ ). We denote by $\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ the Bernstein series of irreducible representations of $G$ attached to $\mathfrak{s}$.

Let $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ denote the extended finite Weyl group $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{M}\right) / M$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{M}\right):=\left\{g \in M:{ }^{g} M=M \text { and }{ }^{g} \sigma \simeq \chi \otimes \sigma, \text { for some } \chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right\} \tag{1.0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$ and let $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, x}$ be the the stabilizer in $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ of $x \in \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s} M}(M)$. Solleveld has constructed in [Sol21a] a collection of 2-cocycles

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{x}: W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, x} \times W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

for $x \in \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s} M}(M)$ and a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}: \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \longrightarrow\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{M}}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)_{\mathfrak{4}}, \tag{1.0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{s}_{M}=[M, \sigma]_{M}$, and $\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}_{M}}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)_{\text {u }}$ is the twisted extended quotient in the sense of [ABPS17a, §2.1], the definition of which is recalled in (1.0.7).

A parallel picture to (1.0.2) exists on the Galois side. We denote by $W_{F}$ the absolute Weil group of $F$ and by $I_{F}$ its inertia subgroup. Let $M^{\vee}$ be the Langlands

[^0]dual group of $M$ (a complex Lie group with root system dual to that of $M$ ) equipped with an action of $W_{F}$, and we write ${ }^{L} M:=M^{\rtimes} W_{F}$. The group $M^{\vee}$ acts on the set of cuspidal $M$-relevant enhanced $L$-parameters for $M$ (a terminology which is based on Lusztig's notion of cuspidal pairs, see Definition 3.1.5) and we denote by $\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{c}}(M)$ the set of $M^{\vee}$-conjugacy classes of these enhanced parameters for $M$.

Let $\mathrm{Z}_{M^{\vee} \rtimes I_{F}}$ be the center of $M^{\vee} \rtimes I_{F}$. The group $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right):=\left(\mathrm{Z}_{M^{\vee} \rtimes I_{F}}\right)_{W_{F}}^{\circ}$, which is naturally isomorphic to the group $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$ (see [Hai14, §3.3.1]), acts naturally on the set of cuspidal $M$-relevant enhanced $L$-parameters for $M$. We denote by $\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}=\left[{ }^{L} M, \varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}\right]_{G^{\vee}}$ the $G^{\vee}$-conjugacy class of the orbit of $\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \in \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{c}}(M)$ under the action of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right)$ and by $\mathfrak{B}^{\vee}(G)$ be the set of these $\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}$.

In [AMS18], a partition à la Bernstein of the set of $G$-relevant enhanced Langlands parameters was constructed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}(G)=\bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{B}^{\vee}(G)} \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}(G) . \tag{1.0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $\mathfrak{s}_{M}^{\vee}:=\left[{ }^{L} M, \varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}\right]_{M^{\vee}}$, and, analogously to (1.0.1), denote by $W_{G^{\vee}}^{\vee \vee}$ the stabilizer in $\mathrm{N}_{G^{\vee}}\left(M^{\vee}\right) / M^{\vee}$ of $\mathfrak{s}_{M}^{\vee}$ and by $W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}, y}$ the stabilizer in $W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}$ of $y \in \Phi_{e^{\mathfrak{s}} M}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}(M)$. Then [AMS18, Theorem 9.3] provides a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{G^{\vee} \vee}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}: \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}(G) \longrightarrow\left(\Phi_{e^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee} M}}(M) / / W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{v}^{\vee}}\right)_{L_{\mathfrak{k}}}, \tag{1.0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}, ~ M}(M) / / W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathrm{s}^{\vee}}\right)_{L_{\text {白 }}}$ is a twisted extended quotient with respect to a collection of 2-cocycles

$$
{ }^{L} \mathfrak{h}_{y}: W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}, y} \times W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}, y} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} .
$$

Assuming the existence of a map

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{L}^{\mathfrak{s}_{M}}: & \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{M}}(M) & \longrightarrow \\
\sigma & \mapsto & \left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right)
\end{array}
$$

such that the following properties are satisfied for any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}^{5^{5}}(M)$ :
(1) For any $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$, we have

$$
\left(\varphi_{\chi \otimes \sigma}, \varrho_{\chi \otimes \sigma}\right)=\chi^{\vee} \cdot\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right),
$$

where $\chi \mapsto \chi^{\vee}$ is the canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right)$.
(2) For any $w \in W(M)$, we have

$$
w^{\vee}\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right) \simeq\left(\varphi_{w_{\sigma}}, \varrho_{w_{\sigma}}\right)
$$

where $w \mapsto w^{\vee}$ is the canonical isomorphism $W(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} W\left(M^{\vee}\right)$.
we establish the following result.
Theorem 1. (See Theorem 3.1.15)
We have a natural isomorphism

$$
\mathfrak{e}: \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{s}} M}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi_{e^{\frac{\mathfrak{s}}{} \mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}(M) / / W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}} .}
$$

We suppose in the rest of this Introduction that the group $\mathbf{G}$ splits over a tamely ramified extension of $F$ and that $p$ does not divide the order of the Weyl group of $G$. Then there exists a compact mod center subgroup $\widetilde{K}_{M}$ of $M$ and an irreducible representation $\rho_{M}^{d}$ of it such that $\sigma=\operatorname{ind}_{\widetilde{K}_{M}}^{M} \rho_{M}^{d}$, see [Yu01, Fin21]. Kim and Yu constructed in [KY17] an $\mathfrak{s}$-type in the sense of Bushnell-Kutzko [BK98] ( $K_{\mathcal{D}}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}$ ), formed of an open compact subgroup $K_{\mathcal{D}}$ of $G$ and irreducible representation of $\rho_{\mathcal{D}}$ of $K_{\mathcal{D}}$. Here $\mathcal{D}$, as defined in (2.3.2), is a 5 -tuple which includes a finite sequence $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}=$ $\left(\mathbf{G}^{0}, \mathbf{G}^{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{G}^{d}\right)$ of twisted $E$-Levi subgroups of $\mathbf{G}$, with $E$ a tamely ramified finite extension of $F$. Attached to $\mathcal{D}$ there is also a depth zero supercuspidal irreducible representation $\sigma^{0}$ of a Levi subgroup $M^{0}$ of $G^{0}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{s}^{0}=\left[M^{0}, \sigma^{0}\right]_{G^{0}}$ the inertial class of $\sigma^{0}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ denote the endomorphism algebra of the Bernstein progenerator of $\mathfrak{s}$ (see (2.1.3)) and let $\mathcal{H}\left(G, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ be the intertwining algebra of $\left(K_{\mathcal{D}}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$. We prove in Proposition 2.3.15 that the algebras $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ and $\mathcal{H}\left(G, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ are isomorphic.

We suppose that $\sigma$ is regular in the terminology of [Kal19a]. Then Kaletha has attached to $\sigma$ a supercuspidal Langlands parameter $\varphi_{\sigma}: W_{F} \rightarrow{ }^{L} M$.

By applying Theorem 1 to the map $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathfrak{s} M}: \sigma \mapsto\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, 1\right)$, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. (See Theorem 3.1.19)
We suppose that the collections of 2-cocycles $\ddagger$ and ${ }^{L} \natural$ are both trivial and the characteristic of $F$ is 0 then the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{L}:=\left(\xi_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{v}}\right)^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{e} \circ \xi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}: \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \longrightarrow \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{V}}}(G) \tag{1.0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a bijection.
We expect that the bijection $\mathfrak{L}$ from (1.0.5) satisfies the desired properties of the local Langlands correspondence, which include the properties described in [AP22, Definition 3.25] and in [Kal22], and hence provides new cases of the latter.

Theorem 3. (See Theorem 2.4.9)
We suppose that $p$ is good for $G$ (in the sense of [Car93]) and does not divide the order of the fundamental group of $\mathbf{G}_{\text {der }}$, and that the representation $\sigma$ is regular in the terminology of [Kal19a]. Then there is a bijection

$$
\varrho_{\mathfrak{s}^{5}}^{5}: \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{5}}(G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(G^{0}\right)^{5^{0}} .
$$

It induces a bijection

$$
\operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\left(G^{0}\right)\right)
$$

between the sets of equivalence classes of simple modules of the algebras $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\left(G^{0}\right)$.

Theorem 3 proves the validity of [AM21, Conjecture 1.1], under the above assumption on $p$, for all regular supercuspidal representations of $M$. The bijection $\varrho_{\mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{5}}}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}^{\mathfrak{s}}:=\left(\xi_{G^{0}}^{\xi^{0}}\right)^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{l}_{\sigma} \circ \xi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}, \tag{1.0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}} M^{0}\left(M^{0}\right) / / W_{G^{0}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\right)_{t^{0}}$ is the twisted extended quotient with respect to a certain collection $\hbar^{0}$ of 2-cocycles, the definition of which is recalled in (2.4.8), and

$$
\mathfrak{l}_{\sigma}:\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{5}}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)_{\mathfrak{\natural}} \longrightarrow\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{r}^{5} M^{0}}\left(M^{0}\right) / / W_{G^{0}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\right)_{七 七}
$$

is the isomorphism constructed by Adler and Mishra in [AM21].
In Section 4, we study in more details the case when $G$ is the exceptional group of type $G_{2}$. The case where $M$ is a torus being known thanks to the work of Roche for principal series of split $p$-adic groups [Roc98], it suffices to consider the cases where $M \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ is a maximal Levi subgroup. The $G_{2}$-covers of the supercuspidaL types in $M$ were computed explicitly in [Blo99] when $M$ corresponds to the long simple root, and in [Des21] when $M$ corresponds to the short simple root, but the intertwining algebras of these types were still unknown. We compute these latter in $\S 4.5$, and in particular, by computing their parameters explicitly, we show that they satisfy the expectation made by Lusztig in [Lus20, 1.(a)].

Twisted extended quotients. Let $\Gamma$ be a group acting on a topological space $X$ and let $\Gamma_{x}$ denote the stabilizer in $\Gamma$ of $x \in X$. Let $\ddagger$ be a collection of 2-cocycles

$$
\mathfrak{\natural}_{x}: \Gamma_{x} \times \Gamma_{x} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times},
$$

such that $\natural_{\gamma x}$ and $\gamma_{*} \natural_{x}$ define the same class in $H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{\gamma x}, \mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)$, where $\gamma_{*}: \Gamma_{x} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\gamma x}$ sends $\alpha$ to $\gamma \alpha \gamma^{-1}$. Let $\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma_{x}, \natural_{x}\right]$ be the group algebra of $\Gamma_{x}$ twisted by $\natural_{x}$. We set

$$
\widetilde{X}_{\natural}:=\left\{(x, \tau): x \in X, \tau \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma_{x}, \mathfrak{h}_{x}\right]\right\},
$$

and topologize $\widetilde{X}_{\natural}$ by decreeing that a subset of $\widetilde{X}_{\natural}$ open if its projection to the first coordinate is open in $X$.

We require, for every $(\gamma, x) \in \Gamma \times X$, a definite algebra isomorphism

$$
\phi_{\gamma, x}: \mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma_{x}, \mathfrak{h}_{x}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma_{\gamma x}, \text { म }_{\gamma x}\right]
$$

satisfying the conditions
(a) if $\gamma x=x$, then $\phi_{\gamma, x}$ is conjugation by an element of $\mathbb{C}\left[\Gamma_{x}, b_{x}\right]^{\times}$;
(b) $\phi_{\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma x} \circ \phi_{\gamma, x}=\phi_{\gamma^{\prime} \gamma, x}$ for all $\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$.

Define a $\Gamma$-action on $\widetilde{X}_{\natural}$ by $\gamma \cdot(x, \tau):=\left(\gamma x, \tau \circ \phi_{\gamma, x}^{-1}\right)$. The twisted extended quotient of $X$ by $\Gamma$ with respect to $t$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X / / \Gamma)_{\mathrm{t}}:=\widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{q}} / \Gamma . \tag{1.0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case when the 2-cocycles $\mathfrak{\natural}_{x}$ are trivial, we write simply $X / / \Gamma$ for $(X / / \Gamma)_{\natural}$ and refer to it as the (spectral) extended quotient of $X$ by $\Gamma$.
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Notations. Let $F$ be a local non-archimedean field. Let $\mathfrak{o}_{F}$ denote the ring of integers of $F, \mathfrak{p}_{F}$ the maximal ideal in $\mathfrak{o}_{F}$ and $k_{F}:=\mathfrak{o}_{F} / \mathfrak{p}_{F}$ the residue field of $F$. We assume that $k_{F}$ is finite and denote by $q=q_{F}$ its cardinality. Let $\mathrm{v}_{F}: F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup\{\infty\}$ be a valuation of $F$.

We fix a separable closure $F_{\text {sep }}$ of $F$ and denote by $W_{F} \subset \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ the absolute Weil group of $F$ and let $I_{F}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ be its inertia subgroup. We denote by $F_{\mathrm{nr}}$ the maximal unramified extension of $F$ inside $F_{\text {sep }}$ and by $\operatorname{Fr}_{F}$ the element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\mathrm{nr}} / F\right)$ that induces the automorphism $a \mapsto a^{q}$ on the residue field $\bar{k}_{F}$ of $F_{\mathrm{nr}}$. Then $W_{F}=I_{F} \rtimes\left\langle\operatorname{Fr}_{F}\right\rangle$. We take $W_{F}^{\prime}:=W_{F} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ for the Weil-Deligne group of $F$. Let $I_{F}^{+}$denote the wild inertia group of $F$ (i.e., the maximal pro- $p$ open normal subgroup of $\left.I_{F}\right)$. We have $I_{F}^{\mathrm{t}}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\text {sep }} / F_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \simeq I_{F} / I_{F}^{+}$, where $F_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the tame closure of $F$ in $F_{\text {sep }}$. The group $I_{F}^{\mathrm{t}}$ is pro-cyclic and we denote by $\zeta_{F}$ a generator of it.

Let $G$ be the $F$-rational points of a connected reductive algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ defined over $F$. We denote by $\mathbf{G}_{\text {der }}$ the derived group of $\mathbf{G}$, and by $\mathbf{G}_{\text {sc }}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\text {ad }}$ the simply connected cover and adjoint quotient of $\mathbf{G}_{\text {der }}$. Let $Z_{\mathbf{G}}$ be the center of $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{G}}$ the maximal $F$-split torus contained in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathbf{G}}$.

Suppose that $H$ is a group, $H_{1}$ a subgroup of $H$ and $h$ an element of $H$. We set ${ }^{h} H_{1}:=h H_{1} h^{-1}$. If $\pi$ is a representation of $H_{1}$, we denote by ${ }^{h} \pi$ the representation $h_{1} \mapsto \pi\left(h^{-1} h_{1} h\right)$ of ${ }^{h} H_{1}$. We denote by $\operatorname{Irr}(H)$ the set of of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of $H$.

The category of right modules over an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted $\mathcal{A}$-Mod. We write $\operatorname{Irr}(\mathcal{A})$ for the set of equivalence classes of simple modules of $\mathcal{A}$.

## 2. Hecke algebras and Bernstein Center

2.1. General framework. Let $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ denote the category of all smooth complex representations of $G$. This is an abelian category admitting arbitrary coproducts. Let $M$ be a Levi subgroup of a parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G$, and let $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$ be the group of unramified characters of $M$. Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible supercuspidal smooth representation of $M$. We write $\mathfrak{s}:=[M, \sigma]_{G}$ for the $G$-conjugacy class of the pair $\left(M, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) \cdot \sigma\right)$ and $\mathfrak{B}(G)$ for the set of such classes $\mathfrak{s}$. We set $\mathfrak{s}_{M}:=[M, \sigma]_{M}$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ whose objects are the representations $(\pi, V)$ such that every $G$-subquotient of $\pi$ is equivalent to a subquotient of a parabolically induced representation $i_{P}^{G}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where $i_{P}^{G}$ is the functor of normalized parabolic induction and $\sigma^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) \cdot \sigma$. We write $\operatorname{Irr}^{5}(G)$ for the class of irreducible objects in $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$.

The categories $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ are indecomposable and split the full smooth category $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ in a direct product (see [Ber84]):

$$
\mathfrak{R}(G)=\prod_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}(G)} \mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) .
$$

If $\Pi^{\mathfrak{5}}$ is a progenerator of $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$, then the functor $V \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\Pi^{\mathfrak{s}}, V\right)$ is an equivalence from $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ to the algebra $\operatorname{End}_{G}\left(\Pi^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)$ (see for instance [Roc02, § 1.1]).

Let $\mathfrak{s}=[M, \sigma]_{G} \in \mathfrak{B}(G)$ and let $M_{1}$ denote the subgroup of $M$ generated by all compacts subgroups, $M_{1}$ is the intersection of kernels of unramified characters of $M$. Let $V$ be the underlying vector space for the supercuspidal representation $\sigma$ of $M$ and $\sigma_{1}$ an irreducible component of the restriction of $\sigma$ to $M_{1}$. We denote by $\operatorname{ind}_{M_{1}}^{M}$ the functor of compact induction. As noticed in [Roc02, § 1.2], the isomorphism class of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{M}^{\mathfrak{s}_{M}}:=\operatorname{ind}_{M_{1}}^{M}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is independent of the choice of $\sigma_{1}$. It was shown by Bernstein that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}:=i_{P}^{G}\left(\Pi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s} M}\right) \tag{2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a progenerator of $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ (see [Roc02, $\left.\S 1.6\right]$ ). We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G):=\operatorname{End}_{G}\left(\Pi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right) . \tag{2.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have an equivalence of categories of right modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)-\operatorname{Mod} \tag{2.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $B:=\mathbb{C}\left[M / M_{1}\right]$ and $V_{B}:=V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B$. Then $i_{P}^{G}\left(V_{B}\right)$ is also a progenerator of $\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$. Thus we have the equivalence of categories of right modules

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{E}: \mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \longrightarrow \\
& \mathcal{V} \mapsto  \tag{2.1.5}\\
& \operatorname{End}_{G}\left(i_{P}^{G}\left(V_{B}\right)\right)-\operatorname{Mod} \\
& \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(i_{P}^{G}\left(V_{B}\right), \mathcal{V}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma):=\left\{\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M): \chi \otimes \sigma \simeq \sigma\right\} . \tag{2.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a finite subgroup of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$.

Remark 2.1.7. In the case where $M=\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ with $n$ a positive integer, the supercuspidal representation $\sigma$ contains a simple type $(J, \lambda)$ (as defined in [BK93, (5.5.10)]) and the order of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma)$ is $n / e(L \mid F)$, where $e(L \mid F)$ is the ramification index of the extension $L / F$ involved in the definition of $(J, \lambda)$ (see [BK93, (6.0.1) and (6.2.5)].

We denote by $\mathcal{O}$ the orbit of $\sigma$ under the action of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$. The map $\chi \mapsto \chi \otimes \sigma$ defines a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) / \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}=\left\{\chi \otimes \sigma: \chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right\}=\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{M}}(M) . \tag{2.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $W(M):=\mathrm{N}_{G}(M) / M$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}:=W(M, \mathcal{O}):=\left\{n \in \mathrm{~N}_{G}(M):{ }^{n} \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{O}\right\} / M . \tag{2.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\mathbf{A}_{M}$ is the maximal split torus contained in the center of $\mathbf{M}$. We denote by $\Sigma\left(A_{M}\right) \subset X^{*}\left(A_{M}\right)$ the set of nonzero weights occurring in the adjoint representation of $A_{M}$ on the Lie algebra of $G$, and by $\Sigma_{\text {red }}\left(A_{M}\right)$ be the set of indivisible elements therein.

For every $\gamma \in \Sigma_{\text {red }}\left(A_{M}\right)$, let $M_{\gamma} \supset M$ denote the centralizer of ker $\gamma$ in $G$ (it is a Levi subgroup of $G$ whose semisimple rank is one higher than that of $M$ ). Let $\mu^{G}$ be the Harish-Chandra $\mu$-function for $G$ (see [Sil79, §1] or [Wal03, §V.2]). The restriction of $\mu^{G}$ to $\mathcal{O}$ is a rational $W(M, \mathcal{O})$-invariant function on $\mathcal{O}$ [Wal03, Lemma V.2.1]. The set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}:=\left\{\gamma \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}\left(A_{M}\right): \mu^{M_{\gamma}} \text { has a zero on } \mathcal{O}\right\}, \tag{2.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a root system (see [Hei11, Proposition1.3]). Let $W_{\mathcal{O}}$ denote the Weyl group of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}$.

Let $P=M N$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$ with Levi factor $M$. The group $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ decomposes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(M, \mathcal{O})=W_{\mathcal{O}} \rtimes R(\mathcal{O}) \tag{2.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\mathcal{O}):=\left\{w \in W(M, \mathcal{O}): w\left(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}(P)\right)=\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}(P)\right\} \tag{2.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}(P)$ the set of positive roots of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}$ determined by $P$ (see [Hei11, 1.12]).
The action of every element $w$ of $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ can be lifted to a transformation $\widetilde{w}$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$. Let $W\left(M, \sigma, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right)$ be the group of permutations of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$ generated by $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma)$ and the $\widetilde{w}$ 's. It satisfies

$$
W\left(M, \sigma, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right) / \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma) \simeq W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}} .
$$

Let $K(B):=\mathbb{C}\left(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right)$ denote the quotient field of $B:=\mathbb{C}\left[\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right]$. Solleveld showed in [Sol21a, Corollary 5.8] the existence of a 2-cocycle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa: W\left(M, \sigma, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right)^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} \tag{2.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(defined in [Sol21a, Lemma 5.7], where it is denoted by Ł ) and of an algebra isomorphism

$$
K(B) \otimes_{B} \operatorname{End}_{G}\left(i_{P}^{G}\left(V_{B}\right) \simeq K(B) \rtimes \mathbb{C}\left[W\left(M, \sigma, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right), \kappa\right],\right.
$$

where $\mathbb{C}\left[W\left(M, \sigma, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right), \kappa\right]$ is the twisted group algebra of $W\left(M, \sigma, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right)$ (it has basis elements $t_{w}$ that multiply as $\left.t_{w} t_{w^{\prime}}=\kappa\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) t_{w w^{\prime}}\right)$ and the symbol $\rtimes$ denotes a crossed product: as vector space it just means the tensor product, and the multiplication rules on that are determined by the action of $W\left(M, \sigma, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right)$ on $K(B)$. The cocycle $\kappa$ is trivial on $W_{\mathcal{O}}$.
Remark 2.1.14. If $R(\mathcal{O})$ has order at most 2 , then the intertwining operators can be normalized such that the cocycle $\kappa$ is trivial (see [Sol21a, Proposition 5.2 \& above Lemma 5.7]).

For any $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$, let $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, \chi \otimes \sigma}$ denote the stabilizer in $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ of $\chi \otimes \sigma$ and $\natural_{\chi}$ the restriction to $W_{G}^{\mathbf{s}, \chi \otimes \sigma}$ of the 2-cocycle $\natural_{u}$ defined in [Sol21a, (6.18)].

Let $\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\boldsymbol{s}^{M}}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)_{\natural}$ be the twisted extended quotient with respect to the collection $\downarrow$ of the 2-cocycles $\square_{\chi}$.

Proposition 2.1.15. There is a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}: \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \longrightarrow\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{M}}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)_{\mathfrak{b}} . \tag{2.1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First [Sol21a, Theorem 9.7], provides bijections

$$
\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} \operatorname{Irr}\left(\operatorname { E n d } _ { G } ( i _ { P } ^ { G } ( V _ { B } ) ) \xrightarrow { \zeta } \operatorname { I r r } \left(\mathbb{C}\left[\mathfrak{x}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right] \rtimes \mathbb{C}\left[W\left(M, \sigma, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right), \kappa\right]\right.\right.
$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is induced by the equivalence of categories defined in (2.1.5). On the other hand, [Sol21a, Lemma 9.8] shows that $\operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right] \rtimes \mathbb{C}\left[W\left(M, \sigma, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right), \kappa\right]\right.$ is canonically isomorphic to $\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{M}}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)_{\mathfrak{t}}$, where $\mathfrak{s}_{M}:=[M, \sigma]_{M}$.
Corollary 2.1.17. Let $\mathfrak{s}=[M, \sigma]_{G} \in \mathfrak{B}(G)$. There is a bijection

$$
\operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)\right) \longrightarrow\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{M}}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)_{\mathfrak{4}}
$$

Proof. The result follows from the proof of Proposition 2.1.15 by using (2.1.4).
Remark 2.1.18. As observed in [Sol21a, (10.12)], if the restriction of $\sigma$ to $M_{1}$ is multiplicity free, we have

$$
\Pi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}=\left(i_{P}^{G}\left(V_{B}\right)\right)^{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}( }(M, \sigma)} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{End}_{G}\left(i_{P}^{G}\left(V_{B}\right)\right) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{M}_{\left[M: M_{\sigma}\right]}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Note that if $\sigma$ is generic, then its restriction to $M_{1}$ is multiplicity free (see [Roc09, Remark 1.6.1.3]). In particular, if $\sigma$ is a supercuspidal irreducible representation of a proper Levi subgroup $M$ of $G_{2}$, then its restriction to $M_{1}$ is multiplicity free.
2.2. Theory of types. We fix a Haar measure on $G$, write $\mathcal{H}(G)$ for the space of locally constant, compactly supported functions $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and view $\mathcal{H}(G)$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra via convolution relative to the Haar measure.

Let $\left(\rho, V_{\rho}\right)$ be a smooth representation of a compact open subgroup $K$ of $G$, and let $\left(\widetilde{\rho}, V_{\widetilde{\rho}}\right)$ denote its contragredient. We define $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)$ to be the space of compactly supported functions $f: G \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{G}\left(V_{\tilde{\rho}}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(k g k^{\prime}\right)=\widetilde{\rho}(k) f(g) \widetilde{\rho}\left(k^{\prime}\right), \quad \text { where } k, k^{\prime} \in K \text { and } g \in G . \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convolution product gives $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)$ the structure of a unitary associative $\mathbb{C}$ algebra.

Let $e_{\rho} \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ be the function defined by

$$
e_{\rho}(g):= \begin{cases}\frac{\operatorname{dim} \rho}{\operatorname{meas}(K)} \operatorname{tr}\left(\rho\left(g^{-1}\right)\right) & \text { if } g \in K, \\ 0 & \text { if } g \in G, g \notin K .\end{cases}
$$

Then $e_{\rho}$ is idempotent, and $e_{\rho} \star \mathcal{H}(G) \star e_{\rho}$ is a sub-algebra of $\mathcal{H}(G)$ with unit $e_{\rho}$.
Bushnell and Kutzko defined in [BK98, (2.12)] a canonical isomorphism:

$$
\mathcal{H}(G, \rho) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(V_{\rho}\right) \rightarrow e_{\rho} \star \mathcal{H}(G) \star e_{\rho}
$$

The algebras $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)$ and $e_{\rho} \star \mathcal{H}(G) \star e_{\rho}$ are therefore canonically Morita equivalent. Hence, we get an equivalence of categories:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)-\operatorname{Mod} \simeq e_{\rho} \star \mathcal{H}(G) \star e_{\rho}-\operatorname{Mod} \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $\Re_{\rho}(G)$ for the full sub-category of $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ whose objects are those $V$ satisfying $V=\mathcal{H}(G) \star e_{\rho} \star V$, that is, $\Re_{\rho}(G)$ is generated over $G$ by the subspace $e_{\rho} \star V$.

Definition 2.2.3. The pair $(K, \rho)$ is called an $\mathfrak{s}$-type for $G$ if the category $\mathfrak{R}_{\rho}(G)$ is closed by subquotients. A supercuspidal type for $G$ is an $\mathfrak{s}$-type such that is $\mathfrak{s}=[G, \sigma]_{G}$.

If $(K, \rho)$ is an $\mathfrak{s}$-type for $G$, then we have $\mathfrak{R}_{\rho}(G)=\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ (see [BK98, (4.1)(4.2)]) and the latter is equivalent to the category of modules of $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)$ (see [BK98, Theorem 3.5]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \simeq \mathcal{H}(G, \rho)-\operatorname{Mod} \tag{2.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (2.2.4) and (2.1.4), we obtain an equivalence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)-\operatorname{Mod} \simeq \mathcal{H}(G, \rho)-\operatorname{Mod} \tag{2.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(K_{M}, \rho_{M}\right)$ be a $\mathfrak{s}_{M}$-type for $\mathfrak{s}_{M} \in \mathfrak{B}(M)$. If the pair $(K, \rho)$ is a $G$-cover of ( $K_{M}, \rho_{M}$ ) as defined in [BK98, Definition 8.1], then $K$ decomposes with respect to $M$ in the sense of [BK98, Definition 6.1] (in particular, $K_{M}=K \cap M$ and $\rho_{M}=\left.\rho\right|_{K_{M}}$ ) and the equivalence of categories (2.2.4) commutes with parabolic induction and parabolic restriction in the appropriate sense (see [BK98, Corollary 8.4]).

Proposition 2.2.6. Let $\left(K_{M}, \rho_{M}\right)$ be a $\mathfrak{s}_{M}$-type for $\mathfrak{s}_{M} \in \mathfrak{B}(M)$, which has the property that $\Pi_{M}^{\mathfrak{s}_{M}} \simeq \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K_{M}}^{M}\left(\rho_{M}, V_{\rho_{M}}\right)$, and let $(K, \rho)$ be a $G$-cover of $\left(K_{M}, \rho_{M}\right)$.

Then we have

$$
\Pi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}} \simeq \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G}\left(\rho, V_{\rho}\right),
$$

and, as a consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G):=\operatorname{End}_{G}\left(\Pi_{G}^{\mathfrak{F}}\right) \simeq \mathcal{H}(G, \rho) . \tag{2.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See [BS21, Lemma B.3].

### 2.3. The Kim-Yu types.

In this section we suppose that $\mathbf{G}$ splits over a tamely ramified extension of $F$ and that $p$ does not divide the order of the Weyl group of $G$. By a Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$, we mean an $F$-subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ which is a Levi factor of a parabolic $F$-subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. Let $L / F$ be be a finite extension. By twisted $L$-Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ we mean an $F$ subgroup $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \otimes_{F} L$ is a Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G} \otimes_{F} L$. If $L / F$ is tamely ramified, the $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}$ is called a tamely ramified twisted Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. A tamely ramified twisted Levi sequence in $\mathbf{G}$ is a finite sequence $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}=\left(\mathbf{G}^{0}, \mathbf{G}^{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{G}^{d}\right)$ of twisted $E$-Levi subgroups of $\mathbf{G}$, with $E / F$ tamely ramified [Yu01, p 586].

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G}, F)$ denote the (enlarged) building of $G$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G}, F)=\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{G} / \mathrm{Z}_{\mathbf{G}}, F\right) \times X_{*}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{G}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \tag{2.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{*}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{G}\right)$ is the set of $F$-algebraic cocharacters of $\mathrm{Z}_{G}$. We recall that if $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}$ is a ramified twisted Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$, then there is a family of natural embeddings of $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}, F\right)$ into $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G}, F)$.

If $x$ is a point in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{G}, F)$, we denote by $G_{x, 0}$ the associate parahoric subgroup, and by $G_{x, 0^{+}}$the pro-unipotent radical of the latter. In general, for $r$ a positive real number, $G_{x, r}$ is the corresponding Moy-Prasad filtration subgroup of $G_{x, 0}$.

As in $[\mathrm{KY} 17, \S 7.1]$, a depth-zero $G$-datum is a triple $\left((\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{M}),(y, \iota),\left(K_{M}, \rho_{M}\right)\right)$ such that

- $\mathbf{G}$ is a connected reductive group over $F$ and $\mathbf{M}$ a Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$;
- $y \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ is such that $M_{y, 0}$ is a maximal parahoric subgroup of $M$, and $\iota: \mathcal{B}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$ is a 0-generic embedding relative to $y$ (see [KY17, Definition 3.2]);
- $K_{M}$ is a compact open subgroup of $M$ containing $M_{y, 0}$, and $\rho_{M}$ is an irreducible smooth representation of $K_{M}$ such that $\rho_{M} \mid M_{y, 0}$ contains a cuspidal representation of $M_{y, 0} / M_{y, 0^{+}}$.
Let $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}=\left(\mathbf{G}^{0}, \mathbf{G}^{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{G}^{d}\right)$ be a tamely ramified twisted Levi sequence in $\mathbf{G}$. To $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}$, we associate a sequence of Levi subgroups $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}=\left(\mathbf{M}^{0}, \cdots, \mathbf{M}^{d}\right)$, where $\mathbf{M}^{i}$ is a Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}^{i}$ given as the centralizer of $A_{\mathbf{M}^{0}}$ in $\mathbf{G}^{i}$, with $A_{\mathbf{M}^{0}}$ the maximal $F$-split torus of the center $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathbf{M}^{0}}$ of $M^{0}$.

Then a G-datum is a 5-tuple

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}=\left(\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}, \mathbf{M}^{0}\right),(y,\{\iota\}), \vec{r},\left(K_{M^{0}}, \rho_{M^{0}}\right), \vec{\phi}\right) \tag{2.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the following:
D1. $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}=\left(\mathbf{G}^{0}, \mathbf{G}^{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{G}^{d}\right)$ is a tamely ramified twisted Levi sequence in $\mathbf{G}$, and $\mathbf{M}^{0}$ a Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}^{0}$. Let $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}$ be associated to $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}$ as above;
D2. $y$ is a point in $\mathcal{B}\left(M^{0}\right)$ and $\{\iota\}$ is a commutative diagram of $\vec{s}$-generic embeddings of buildings relative to $y$ (see [KY17, Definition 3.5]), where $\vec{s}=$ $\left(0, r_{0} / 2, \cdots, r_{d-1} / 2\right)$;
D3. $\vec{r}=\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, \cdots, r_{d}\right)$ is a sequence of real numbers satisfying $0<r_{0}<r_{1}<$ $\cdots<r_{d-1} \leq r_{d}$ if $d>0$, and $0 \leq r_{0}$ if $d=0$;
D4. $\left(K_{M^{0}}, \rho_{M^{0}}\right)$ is such that $\mathcal{D}^{0}:=\left(\left(\mathbf{G}^{0}, \mathbf{M}^{0}\right),(y, \iota),\left(K_{M^{0}}, \rho_{M^{0}}\right)\right)$ is a depth zero datum;

D5. $\vec{\phi}=\left(\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{d}\right)$ is a sequence of quasi-characters, where $\phi_{i}$ is a quasicharacter of $G^{i}$ such that $\phi_{i}$ is $G^{i+1}$-generic of depth $r_{i}$ relative to $x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}\left(G^{i}\right)$ (in the terminology of [Yu01, § 9]).
The construction. For a given $\mathbf{G}$-datum $\mathcal{D}$ as above, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}:=K_{M^{0}} G_{\iota(y), 0}^{0} \tag{2.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathcal{D}^{0}} / G_{\iota(y), 0+}^{0} \simeq K_{M^{0}} / M_{y, 0+}^{0}, \tag{2.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define $\rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}$ to be the representation of $K_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}$ obtained by composing the isomorphism (2.3.4) with $\rho_{M^{0}}$.

Following the recipe in [Yu01], Kim and Yu constructed in [KY17, §7.4] a pair ( $K_{\mathcal{D}}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}$ ) formed by an open compact subgroup

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathcal{D}}:=K_{\mathcal{D}^{0}} G_{\iota(y), s_{0}}^{1} \cdots G_{\iota(y), s_{d-1}}^{d} \tag{2.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and an irreducible representation $\rho_{\mathcal{D}}$ of $K^{d}$.
To $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}$, we associate a tamely ramified twisted Levi sequence $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}=\left(\mathbf{M}^{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{M}^{d}\right)$ of $\mathbf{M}$, where $\mathbf{M}^{i}$ is the centralizer of $A_{\mathbf{M}}$ in $\mathbf{G}^{i}$. Then we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{M}:=\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}, y, \vec{r}, \rho_{M^{0}}, \vec{\phi}\right) . \tag{2.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a datum for constructing a supercuspidal type in $M$.
Let $K_{M}^{d}:=K_{\mathcal{D}} \cap M$ and let $\widetilde{K}_{M}^{d}$ denote the normalizer in $M$ of $K_{M}^{d}$, this is a compact mod center subgroup of $M$. We write $\rho_{M}^{d}:=\left.\rho_{\mathcal{D}}\right|_{K_{M}^{d}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_{M}}:=\operatorname{ind}_{\widetilde{K}_{M}}^{M} \rho_{M}^{d} . \tag{2.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3.8. ([KY17, Fin21]) Suppose that $K_{M^{0}}=M_{y}^{0}$. Then
(1) $\left(K_{M}^{d}, \rho_{M}^{d}\right)$ is a supercuspidal type on $M^{d}$ (as defined in Definition 2.2.3) and $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_{M}}$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $M$;
(2) $\left(K_{\mathcal{D}}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ is a $G$-cover of $\left(K_{M}^{d}, \rho_{M}^{d}\right)$ and hence it is an $\mathfrak{s}$-type, where $\mathfrak{s}=$ $\left[M, \sigma_{\mathcal{D}_{M}}\right]_{G}$.
Proposition 2.3.9. Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{D}}$ be two $G$-data
$\mathcal{D}=\left(\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}, \mathbf{M}^{0}\right),(y, \iota), \vec{r},\left(K_{M^{0}}, \rho_{M^{0}}\right), \vec{\phi}\right)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{D}}=\left(\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}, \dot{\mathbf{M}}^{0}\right),(\dot{y}, i), \overrightarrow{\dot{r}},\left(K_{\dot{M}^{0}}, \rho_{\dot{M}^{0}}\right), \overrightarrow{\dot{\phi}}\right)$
such that $K_{M^{0}}=M_{y}^{0}$ and $K_{\dot{M}^{0}}=\dot{M}_{\dot{y}, 0}^{0}$. Let $\mathfrak{s}:=\left[M, \sigma_{\mathcal{D}_{M}}\right]_{G}$, and $\dot{\mathfrak{s}}=\left[M, \sigma_{\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{M}}\right]_{G}$.
Then we have $\mathfrak{s}=\dot{\mathfrak{s}}$ if and only if there exists $g \in G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{g} K_{M^{0}}=K_{\dot{M}^{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad{ }^{g}\left(\rho_{M^{0}} \otimes \phi\right) \simeq \rho_{\dot{M}^{0}} \otimes \dot{\phi}, \tag{2.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi:=\prod_{i=0}^{d}\left(\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{M^{0}}\right)$ and $\dot{\phi}:=\prod_{i=0}^{d}\left(\left.\dot{\phi}_{i}\right|_{M^{0}}\right)$.
Proof. It is a reformulation of [KY17, Theorem 10.3]. Indeed, due to the conditions $K_{M^{0}}=M_{y}^{0}$ and $K_{\dot{M}^{0}}=\dot{M}_{\dot{j}, 0}^{0}$, we have $\mathfrak{s}=\dot{\mathfrak{s}}$ if and only the types $\left(K_{\mathcal{D}}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ and ( $K_{\dot{\mathcal{D}}}, \rho_{\dot{\mathcal{D}}}$ ) are equivalent in the sense of [KY17, Definition 10.1].

Note that [KY17, Theorems 10.2 and 10.3] still hold without assuming the hypothesis $C(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}})$ of [HM08, Remark 2.49 \& above], since [Kal19a, §3.5] shows that [HM08, Theorems 6.6 and 6.7] are valid without assuming $C(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}})$.

Remark 2.3.11. If $G=M$, it follows from [HM08, Theorems 6.6 and 6.7] that $\mathfrak{s}_{M}=\dot{\mathfrak{s}}_{M}$ if and only the data $\mathcal{D}_{M}=\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}, y, \vec{r}, \rho_{M^{0}}, \vec{\phi}\right)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{M}=\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}, \dot{y}, \vec{r}, \rho_{\dot{M}^{0}}, \overrightarrow{\dot{\phi}}\right)$ are equivalent in the sense of [HM08, Definition 5.3].

For any $\mathfrak{s}=[M, \sigma] \in \mathfrak{B}(G)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}:=\left\{n \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{M})(F):{ }^{n} \sigma \simeq \chi \otimes \sigma \text { for some } \chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right\} . \tag{2.3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.3.13. For every $n \in \mathrm{~N}_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ there exists $m \in M$ such that

$$
{ }^{m n} K_{M^{0}}=K_{M^{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad{ }^{m n}\left(\rho_{M^{0}} \otimes \phi\right) \simeq \rho_{M^{0}} \otimes \phi,
$$

where $\phi:=\prod_{i=0}^{d}\left(\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{M^{0}}\right)$.
Proof. We have $\sigma=\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_{M}}$, for an $M$-datum $\mathcal{D}_{M}=\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}, y, \vec{r}, \rho_{M^{0}}, \vec{\phi}\right)$. Let $n \in \mathrm{~N}_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}$. Thus ${ }^{n} \sigma \simeq \chi \otimes \sigma$ for some $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$. We write $\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{M}:=\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}, y, \vec{r},\left.\rho_{M^{0}} \otimes \chi\right|_{K_{M^{0}}}, \vec{\phi}\right)$. From (2.3.7), we have

$$
\chi \otimes \sigma=\left(\operatorname{ind}_{\widetilde{K}_{M}}^{M} \rho_{M}^{d}\right) \otimes \chi \simeq \operatorname{ind}_{\widetilde{K}_{M}}^{M}\left(\left.\rho_{M}^{d} \otimes \chi\right|_{\widetilde{K}_{M}}\right)
$$

Since $\chi$ is unramified, we have $\operatorname{ind}_{\widetilde{K}_{M}}^{M}\left(\left.\rho_{M}^{d} \otimes \chi\right|_{\widetilde{K}_{M}}\right)=\sigma_{\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{M}}$, and hence $\chi \otimes \sigma \simeq \sigma_{\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{M}}$. By applying Remark 2.3 .11 to the $M$-data ${ }^{n} \mathcal{D}_{M}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{M}$, we see that these data are equivalent. Hence there exists $m \in M$ such that

$$
{ }^{m n} K_{M^{0}}=K_{M^{0}} \quad \text { and }\left.\quad{ }^{m n}\left(\rho_{M^{0}} \otimes \phi\right) \simeq \rho_{M^{0}} \otimes \chi\right|_{K_{M^{0}}} \otimes \phi=\rho_{M^{0}} \otimes \phi,
$$

since $\chi$ is trivial on $K_{M^{0}}$, where $\phi:=\prod_{i=0}^{d}\left(\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{M^{0}}\right)$.
As shown in [HM08], it follows from Yu's construction [Yu01] that $\rho_{M}^{d}$ is of the form $\rho_{M}^{d}=\rho_{M^{0}} \otimes \kappa$, where the representation $\kappa=\kappa_{G}$ depends only on $\vec{\phi}$.

Recall that we have assumed that $K_{M^{0}}$ is the fixator of $y$ in $M^{0}$. We denote by $\widetilde{K}_{M^{0}}$ the normalizer in $M^{0}$ of $K_{M^{0}}$, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{0}:=\operatorname{ind}_{\frac{\widetilde{K}_{M}}{0}}^{M_{M^{0}}^{0}} \rho_{M^{0}} . \tag{2.3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The representation $\sigma^{0}$ is a depth-zero irreducible supercuspidal representation of $M^{0}$.
We write $\mathfrak{s}^{0}=\left[M^{0}, \sigma^{0}\right]_{G^{0}}$ and define $\Pi_{G^{0}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}$ as in (2.1.2): it a progenerator of the category $\mathfrak{\Re}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\left(G^{0}\right)$. We set $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\left(G^{0}\right):=\operatorname{End}\left(\Pi_{G^{0}}^{s^{0}}\right)$.

## Proposition 2.3.15.

(1) The algebras $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\left(G^{0}\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right)$ are isomorphic.
(2) The algebras $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ and $\mathcal{H}\left(G, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. We will check that the assumptions in Proposition 2.2.6 are satisfied. Firstly, $\left(K_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right)$ is a $G^{0}$-cover of $\left(K_{M^{0}}, \rho_{M^{0}}\right)$ (see $\left.[\mathrm{KY} 17, \S 7.1]\right)$ and $\left(K_{\mathcal{D}}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ is a $G$-cover of $\left(K_{M}^{d}, \rho_{M}^{d}\right)$ (see [KY17, Theorem 7.5]).

Secondly, since $\sigma^{0}$ and $\sigma$ are supercuspidal irreducible representations, an element $m^{0}$ of $M^{0}$ intertwines $\rho_{M^{0}}$ if and only if $m^{0} \in \widetilde{K}_{M^{0}}$, and an element $m$ of $M$ intertwines $\rho_{M}$ if and only if $m \in \widetilde{K}_{M}$. Then the proof of [BS21, Lemma B.4] applies, and shows that $\Pi_{M}^{\mathfrak{S}_{M}} \simeq \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K_{M}}^{M}\left(\rho_{M}, V_{\rho_{M}}\right)$. Then the result follows from Proposition 2.2.6.

Proposition 2.3.16. If $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}=\{1\}$, then there is an algebra isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(G, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}\right) \simeq \mathcal{H}\left(M, \rho_{M}^{d}\right),
$$

which preserves support of functions, and the algebra $\mathcal{H}\left(G, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ is commutative.
Proof. Since $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}=\{1\}$, we have $\mathrm{N}_{G}(\mathfrak{s}) \subset M$. Then the first assertion follows from [BK98, (12.1)]. On the other hand, the algebra $\mathcal{H}\left(M, \rho_{M}^{d}\right)$ is commutative (see for instance [BK98, (5.6)]).

Remark 2.3.17. Applying Proposition 2.3 .16 to the group $G^{0}$ shows that, if $W_{G^{0}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}=$ $\{1\}$, then there is an algebra isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right) \simeq \mathcal{H}\left(M^{0}, \rho_{M^{0}}^{0}\right),
$$

which preserves support of functions, and the algebra $\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right)$ is commutative.
2.4. Regular Bernstein blocks. We assume that $p$ is odd, good for $G$ and does not divide the order of the fundamental groups of $\mathbf{G}_{\text {der }}$. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be an $F$-rational Levi subgroup of an $F$-rational parabolic subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. Then $p$ satisfies the same assumptions relative to $\mathbf{M}$.

Let $(\mathbf{S}, \theta)$ be a pair consisting of a tamely ramified torus $\mathbf{S}$ in $\mathbf{M}$ and a character $\theta: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. For any positive real number $r$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{r}^{\mathbf{S}, \theta}:=\left\{\gamma \in \Sigma(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{S}):\left(\theta \circ \mathbf{N}_{E / F}\right)\left(\gamma^{\vee}\left(E_{r}^{\times}\right)\right)=1\right\} . \tag{2.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\Sigma_{s}^{\mathbf{S}, \theta} \subset \Sigma_{r}^{\mathbf{S}, \theta}$ for $s<r$, and we set $\Sigma_{r+}^{\mathbf{S}, \theta}:=\bigcap_{s>r} \Sigma_{s}^{\mathbf{S}, \theta}$. Then $r \mapsto \Sigma_{r}^{\mathbf{S}, \theta}$ defines a $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\text {sep }} / F\right)$-invariant filtration. Let $r_{d-1}>r_{d-2}>\cdots>r_{0}>0$ denote the breaks of the filtration, that is, the $r$ such that $\Sigma_{r}^{\mathbf{S}, \theta} \neq \Sigma_{r+}^{\mathbf{S}, \theta}$. We set $r_{-1}:=0$ and write $r_{d}$ for the depth of $\theta$. We have $r_{d} \geq r_{d-1}$. For each $i$ such that $0 \leq i \leq d$, we denote by $\mathbf{M}^{i}$ the connected reductive subgroup of $\mathbf{M}$ with maximal torus $\mathbf{S}$ and root system $\sum_{r_{i-1}+}^{\mathbf{S}, \theta}$. By definition the root system of $\mathbf{M}^{d}$ is $\Sigma(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{S})$, so $\mathbf{M}^{d}=\mathbf{M}$. The $\mathbf{M}^{i}$ 's are tame twisted Levi subgroups of $\mathbf{M}$ by [Kal19a, Lemma 3.6.1]. We write $M^{i}:=\mathbf{M}^{i}(F)$. Moreover, the root system of $\mathbf{M}^{0}$ is $\Sigma_{0+}^{\mathbf{S}, \theta}$, and, if the latter is empty, then we have $\mathbf{M}^{0}=\mathbf{S}$.

As proved by Kaletha in [Kal19a, Proposition 3.6.7], the pair ( $\mathbf{S}, \theta$ ) has a Howe factorization with respect of a sequence $\left(\phi_{-1}, \phi_{0}, \ldots, \phi_{d}\right)$ of characters $\phi_{-1}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ and $\phi_{i}: M^{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$for $0 \leq i \leq d$. It means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\prod_{i=-1}^{d} \phi_{i} \tag{2.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the character $\phi_{i}$ is trivial on $\left(\mathbf{M}^{i}\right)_{\text {sc }}$ for any $i \in\{0, \ldots, d\}$, has depth $r_{i}$ and is $\mathbf{M}^{i+1}$-generic for any $i \neq d$, and $\phi_{d}$ if trivial if $r_{d}=r_{d-1}$ and has depth $r_{d}$ otherwise.

From now one we assume that $\mathbf{S}$ is an elliptic maximal torus of $\mathbf{M}$, the splitting extension of $\mathbf{S}$ is tamely ramified, $\mathbf{S}$ is maximally unramified inside $\mathbf{M}^{0}$ (that is, $S$ coincides with its maximal unramified subtorus, see [Kal19a, Definition 3.4.2]), and $\theta$ is $k_{F}$-regular with respect to $M^{0}$ in the sense of [Kal19b, Definition 3.1.1].

For any point $x$ in the building of $M$, we denote by $[x]$ the projection of $x$ on the reduced building $\mathcal{B}_{\text {red }}(M)$, and by $M_{x}$ (resp. $M_{[x]}$ ) the subgroup of $M$ fixing $x$ (resp. $[x])$. Recall that $M_{[x]}=\mathrm{N}_{M}\left(M_{x, 0}\right)$, [Yu01, Lemma 3.3].

Then we can associate to $S$ a vertex $[y]$ of $\mathcal{B}_{\text {red }}(M)$ (see [Kal19a, Lemma 3.4.3]), which is the unique $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{nr}} / F\right)$-fixed point in the apartment $\mathcal{A}_{\text {red }}\left(S, F^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ of the reduced Bruhat-Tits building of $M$.

Let $S_{\mathrm{b}}$ be the unique maximal bounded subgroup of $S$ (which is also the unique maximal compact subgroup of $S$ ). Denoting by $\mathfrak{S}^{\circ}$ the connected Neron model of $\mathbf{S}$, we write $S_{0}:=\mathfrak{S}^{\circ}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{f}\right) \subset S_{\mathrm{b}}$ (see [Kal19a, §3.1] for more details).

We write $\mathbf{G}^{0}:=\mathbf{G}^{0}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{0}:=\mathbf{M}^{0}$, and we denote by $\mathbb{M}_{y}^{0}$ the connected reductive $k_{F}$-group such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{M}_{y, 0}^{0}:=\mathbb{M}_{y, 0}^{0}\left(k_{F}\right)=M_{y, 0}^{0} / M_{y, 0+}^{0} \tag{2.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists an elliptic maximal $k_{F}$-torus $\mathbb{S}$ of $\mathbb{M}_{y, 0}^{0}$ such that for every unramified extension $F^{\prime}$ of $F$, the image of $\mathbf{S}\left(F^{\prime}\right)_{0}$ in $\mathbf{M}\left(F^{\prime}\right)_{y, 0} / \mathbf{M}\left(F^{\prime}\right)_{y, 0+}$ is equal to $\mathbb{S}\left(k_{F^{\prime}}\right)$, see [Kal19a, Lemma 3.4.4].

By [Kal19a, Lemma 3.4.14], the character $\phi_{-1} \mid S_{0}$ factors through a regular character $\overline{\phi_{-1}}$ of $\mathbb{S}:=\mathbb{S}\left(k_{F}\right)$ as defined in [Kal19a, Definition 3.4.16] (in particular, $\overline{\phi_{-1}}$ is in general position in [DL76, Definition 5.15] terminology). Then it follows from [DL76, Proposition 7.4,Theorem 8.3] that the Deligne-Lusztig character

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{\mathrm{r}\left(\mathbb{M}_{y, 0}^{0}\right)-\mathrm{r}(\mathbb{S})} R_{\mathbb{S}}^{\mathbb{M}_{y, 0}^{0}}\left(\overline{\phi_{-1}}\right) \tag{2.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be represented by a cuspidal $\mathbb{M}_{y, 0}^{0}$-module $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{S, \phi_{-1}}$, where r(?) denotes the $k_{F}$-rank of ?. Then $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{S, \phi_{-1}}$ is irreducible (see [DL76, Definition 5.15]), and its pull-back to $M_{y, 0}^{0}$ extends uniquely to a representation $\kappa_{S, \phi_{-1}}$ of $S M_{y, 0}^{0}$, and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathbf{S}, \theta}:=\operatorname{Ind}_{S M_{y, 0}^{0}}^{M_{[y]}^{0}} \kappa_{S, \phi_{-1}} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma^{0}:=\operatorname{c-Ind}_{M_{[y]}^{0}}^{M^{0}} \rho_{\mathbf{S}, \theta} \tag{2.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\sigma^{0}$ is a depth-zero irreducible regular supercuspidal representation of $M^{0}$ (see [Kal19a, Definition 3.4.19 \& Proposition 3.4.20]). We set $\mathfrak{s}_{M^{0}}:=\left[M^{0}, \sigma^{0}\right]_{M^{0}}$.

More generally, we define an irreducible supercuspidal representation $\sigma$ of $M$ by using the twisted Yu construction of [FKS21]. As observed in [Kal19b, §3.4], it has the same effect as using the original Yu construction from [Yu01] applied to the character $\theta \cdot \epsilon$, where $\epsilon: S \rightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ is the product of the characters $\epsilon_{y}^{M^{i} / M^{i-1}}$ of [FKS21, Theorem 3.4]. The representation $\sigma$ is regular (i.e., satisfies [Kal19a, Definition 3.7.13]). Then $\chi \otimes \sigma$ is regular for any $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$, and we say that $\mathfrak{s}=[M, \sigma]_{G}$ is regular.

For $\mathfrak{s}_{M}=[M, \sigma]_{M}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{M^{0}}=\left[M^{0}, \sigma^{0}\right]_{M^{0}}$, the map

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathfrak{f}: & \operatorname{Irr}^{\boldsymbol{s}_{M}}(M) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{M} M^{0}}\left(M^{0}\right)  \tag{2.4.6}\\
\chi \otimes \sigma & \mapsto & \left.\sigma^{0} \otimes \chi\right|_{M^{0}}
\end{array}, \quad \chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M),
$$

is an isomorphism of varieties (see for [Mis19, Theorem 6.1]). We denote by $\mathcal{O}^{0}$ the orbit of $\sigma^{0}$ under the action of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(M^{0}\right)$ and write $W_{G^{0}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}=W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}} \rtimes R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$ for the decomposition analogous to (2.1.11). Then (2.4.6) and (2.1.8) (applied to both $\mathfrak{s}_{M}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{M_{0}}$ ) show that the orbits $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{0}$ are isomorphic.

The following result is a combination of [AM21, Theorem 7.3] and [AM21, Theorem 9.3].

Theorem 2.4.7. (Adler-Mishra) We suppose that that $p$ is good for $G$ and does not divide the order of the fundamental group of $\mathbf{G}_{\text {der }}$.

Let $\mathfrak{s}=[M, \sigma]_{G} \in \mathfrak{B}(G)$ be a regular inertial class.
Then
(1) there is a group isomorphism

$$
\mathfrak{w}_{\sigma}: W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}} \longrightarrow W_{G^{0}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}
$$

where $\mathfrak{s}^{0}=\left[M^{0}, \sigma^{0}\right]_{G^{0}}$, and $\mathfrak{f}$ is equivariant with respect to $\mathfrak{w}_{\sigma}$.
(2) there is an isomorphism

$$
\mathfrak{l}_{\sigma}:\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{s}}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)_{\mathfrak{\natural}} \longrightarrow\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{s}} M^{0}}\left(M^{0}\right) / / W_{G^{0}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\right)_{\mathfrak{t} 0} .
$$

The collection $\mathfrak{t}^{0}$ of 2-cocycles is defined as follows. For $x \in \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{M}}(M)$, let $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, x}$ denote the stabilizer of $x$ in $W^{\mathfrak{s}}$. Since $\mathfrak{f}$ is equivariant with respect to $\mathfrak{w}_{\sigma}$, the latter induces an isomorphism

$$
\left.\mathfrak{w}_{\sigma}\right|_{W_{G}^{\mathbf{s}, x}}: W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, x} \longrightarrow W_{G^{0}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0} \mathfrak{f}(x)}
$$

and every 2 -cocycle

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{x}: W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, x} \times W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

defines a 2-cocycle

$$
\begin{equation*}
দ_{f(x)}^{0}: W_{G^{0}}^{{\frac{\xi^{0}}{}}_{0} \mathfrak{f}(x)} \times W_{G^{0}}^{\frac{s}{}^{0} \mathfrak{f}(x)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} . \tag{2.4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, we prove in Theorem 2.4.9(2) new instances of [AM21, Conjecture 1.1].
Theorem 2.4.9. We suppose that $p$ is good for $G$ and does not divide the order of the fundamental group of $\mathbf{G}_{\text {der }}$.

Let $\mathfrak{s}=[M, \sigma]_{G} \in \mathfrak{B}(G)$ be a regular inertial class.
(1) Then

$$
\left(\xi_{G^{0}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\right)^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{l}_{\sigma} \circ \xi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}: \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(G^{0}\right)^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}
$$

is a bijection.
(2) We have a bijection

$$
\operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\left(G^{0}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. (1) It follows from the fact that the map $\xi_{G}$ defined in (2.1.16) and the analogous map

$$
\xi_{G^{0}}^{5^{0}}: \operatorname{Irr}(G)^{5^{0}} \longrightarrow\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{{ }^{5} M^{0}}\left(M^{0}\right) / / W_{G^{0}}^{5^{0}}\right)_{4}
$$

are isomorphisms.
(2) By [Sol21a, Theorem 9.7] applied to $G$ and $G^{0}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \cong \operatorname{Irr}\left(\operatorname { E n d } ( i _ { P } ^ { G } ( V _ { B } ) ) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname { I r r } ^ { 5 ^ { 0 } } ( G ^ { 0 } ) \cong \operatorname { I r r } \left(\operatorname{End}\left(i_{P_{0}}^{G^{0}}\left(V_{B^{0}}\right)\right) .\right.\right.
$$

Thus by (1), we have

$$
\operatorname{Irr}\left(\operatorname { E n d } ( i _ { P } ^ { G } ( V _ { B } ) ) \cong \operatorname { I r r } ^ { \mathfrak { 5 } } ( G ) \cong \operatorname { I r r } ^ { \mathfrak { 5 } ^ { 0 } } ( G ^ { 0 } ) \cong \operatorname { I r r } \left(\operatorname{End}\left(i_{P^{0}}^{G^{0}}\left(V_{B^{0}}\right)\right)\right.\right.
$$

Then the result follows by applying Corollary 2.1.17 to both $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{s}^{0}$.
Remark 2.4.10. The algebras $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\left(G^{0}\right)$ are not always isomorphic as [GR05, Example 11.8] shows for $G=\mathrm{SL}_{n}(F)$. However, we will show in Theorem 4.5.2 that they are isomorphic in the case $G=G_{2}$ and $M$ is a maximal Levi subgroup.

## 3. A Langlands correspondence for non-Supercuspidal Bernstein BLOCKS

### 3.1. The construction of the correspondence.

Let $G^{\vee}$ denote the Langlands dual group of $G$, that is, the complex Lie group with root system dual to that of $G$. Let $\mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}$ be the center of $G^{\vee}$ and $G_{\mathrm{ad}}^{\vee}$ the quotient $G^{\vee} / \mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}$. The $L$-group of $G$ is defined to be ${ }^{L} G:=G^{\vee} \rtimes W_{F}$.

Similarly, $M^{\vee}$ denotes the Langlands dual group of $M$. We write $\mathrm{Z}_{M^{\vee} \rtimes I_{F}}$ for the center of $M^{\vee} \rtimes I_{F}$, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right):=\left(\mathrm{Z}_{M^{\vee} \rtimes I_{F}}\right)_{W_{F}}^{\circ} . \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right)$ is naturally isomorphic to the group $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$. We will denote the isomorphism $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right)$ by $\chi \mapsto \chi^{\vee}$.

An $L$-parameter is a continuous morphism $\varphi: W_{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }^{L} G$ such that $\varphi(w)$ is semisimple for each $w \in W_{F}$, and the restriction $\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})}$ is a morphism of complex algebraic groups. An $L$-parameter $\varphi$ is said to be discrete if $\varphi\left(W_{F}^{\prime}\right)$ is not contained in any proper Levi subgroup of $G^{\vee}$. The group $G^{\vee}$ acts on the set of $L$-parameters and we denote by $\Phi(G)$ the set of $G^{\vee}$-classes of $G$-relevant $L$-parameters.

We attach to each $L$-parameter $\varphi$ for $G$, several (possibly disconnected) complex reductive groups. We write $\mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}(\varphi):=\mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}\left(\varphi\left(W_{F}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and denote by $\mathrm{Z}_{G_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\vee}}^{1}(\phi)$ the inverse image under the quotient map $G_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\vee} \rightarrow G_{\mathrm{ad}}^{\vee}$ of $\mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}(\phi) / \mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}(\phi) \cap \mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}$ (viewed as a subgroup of $\left.G_{\mathrm{ad}}^{\vee}\right)$. Then we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{\varphi}:=\mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}^{1}\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{W_{F}}\right) . \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the following component group

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{\varphi}:=\mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}^{1}(\varphi) / \mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}^{1}(\varphi)^{\circ} . \tag{3.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

An enhancement of $\varphi$ is an irreducible representation $\varrho$ of $S_{\varphi}$. The pairs $(\varphi, \varrho)$ are called enhanced $L$-parameters (for $G$ and its inner forms).

We let $G^{\vee}$ act on the set of enhanced $L$-parameters by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \cdot(\varphi, \varrho)=\left(g \varphi g^{-1}, g \cdot \varrho\right) . \tag{3.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define an action of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right)$ on $\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}(M)$ as follows. Given $(\varphi, \varrho) \in \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}(M)$, and $\underset{\sim}{\xi} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right)$, we define $(\xi \varphi, \varrho) \in \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}(M)$ by $\xi \varphi:=\varphi$ on $I_{F} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ and $(\xi \varphi)\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{F}\right):=$ $\widetilde{\xi} \varphi\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{F}\right)$, where $\widetilde{\xi} \in \mathrm{Z}_{M^{\vee} \rtimes I_{F}}^{\circ}$ represents $z$.

For $\varphi$ an $L$-parameter for $G$, we denote by $u_{\varphi}$ the image under $\varphi$ of $\left(1,\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\right)$. Then we have $u_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varphi}^{\circ}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi} \simeq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathcal{G}_{\varphi}}\left(u_{\varphi}\right) / \mathrm{Z}_{\mathcal{G}_{\varphi}}\left(u_{\varphi}\right)^{\circ}$ (see [AMS18, (92)]).

Let $\varrho$ be an irreducible representation of $A_{\mathcal{G}_{\varphi}^{\circ}}\left(u_{\varphi}\right)$. The pair $\left(u_{\varphi}, \varrho\right)$ is called cuspidal if it determines a $\mathcal{G}^{\circ}$-equivariant cuspidal local system on the $\mathcal{G}_{\varphi}^{\circ}$-conjugacy class of $u_{\varphi}$ as defined by Lusztig in [Lus84].
Definition 3.1.5. An enhanced $L$-parameter $(\varphi, \varrho)$ is called cuspidal if $\varphi$ is discrete and $\left(u_{\varphi}, \varrho\right)$ is a cuspidal pair in $\mathcal{G}_{\phi}$.

Let $\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ be a cuspidal enhanced $L$-parameter for $M$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}:=\left[{ }^{L} M, \varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}\right]_{G^{\vee}} \tag{3.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $G^{\vee}$-conjugacy class of $\left({ }^{L} M, \mathcal{O}^{\vee}\right)$, where $\mathcal{O}^{\vee}$ is the orbit of ( $\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) under the action of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right)$. We denote by $\mathfrak{B}^{\vee}(G)$ the set of such $\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}$. We write $\mathfrak{s}_{M}^{\vee}:=$ $\left[{ }^{L} M, \varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}\right]_{M^{\vee}}$.

We define

$$
\mathrm{N}_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathrm{s}^{\vee}}:=\left\{n \in \mathrm{~N}_{G^{\vee}}\left(M^{\vee}\right):{ }^{n}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \simeq\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \otimes \chi^{\vee} \text { for some } \chi^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right)\right\},
$$

and we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}=W\left(M^{\vee}, \mathcal{O}^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathrm{s}^{\vee}} / M^{\vee} \tag{3.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s} \vee}$ is a finite extended Weyl group, i.e., it decomposes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}=W_{\mathcal{O}} \rtimes R\left(\mathcal{O}^{\vee}\right), \tag{3.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a finite Weyl group and $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{\vee}\right)$ is a finite abelian group.
Let $\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{c}}(M)$ denote the set of $M^{\vee}$-conjugacy classes of cuspidal enhanced $L$-parameters for $M$. From [AMS18, (115)], we have a partition of $\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}(G)$ into series of enhanced $L$-parameters indexed by the set $\mathfrak{B}^{\vee}(G)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}(G)=\bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{B}^{\vee}(G)} \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}(G), \tag{3.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that, for any $\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \in \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{c}}(M)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\vee} \mathrm{M}}(M)=\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right) \cdot\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}\right) . \tag{3.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}=\left[{ }^{L} M, \varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{c}}\right]_{G^{\vee}} \in \mathfrak{B}^{\vee}(G)$, [AMS18, Theorem 9.3] provides a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{G^{\vee} \vee}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}: \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}(G) \longrightarrow\left(\Phi_{e^{\mathfrak{s}}{ }^{\text {s. }}}(M) / / W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}\right)_{L_{\mathrm{h}}}, \tag{3.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{L_{\mathrm{q}}}: W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathbf{s}^{\vee}} \times W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathbf{s}^{\vee}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$is a 2-cocycle, that is is trivial on $W_{\mathcal{O}^{\vee}}$.
Let $\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathrm{sc}}(M) \subset \operatorname{Irr}(M)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible supercuspidal representations of $M$. Let $g \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{M})(F)$. We have ${ }^{g} \sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathrm{sc}}(M)$ for any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathrm{sc}}(M)$. We denote by $c_{g}$ the isomorphism $c_{g}:(M, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(M,{ }^{g} \sigma\right)$. It induces a
$\operatorname{map} c_{g}^{\vee}: M^{\vee} \rightarrow M^{\vee}$ as in [Spr79, §1-2] and hence an $L$-isomorphism ${ }^{L} c_{g}:{ }^{L} M \rightarrow{ }^{L} M$ defined by ${ }^{L} c_{g}\left(m^{\vee}, v\right):=\left(c_{g}^{\vee}\left(m^{\vee}\right), v\right)$ for any $m^{\vee} \in M^{\vee}$ and $v \in W_{F}$.

We have $c_{n_{w}}^{\vee}\left(m^{\vee}\right)=n_{w}{ }^{\vee} m^{\vee}$, where $w \mapsto w^{\vee}$ is the canonical isomorphism from $W(M):=\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{M})(F) / M$ to $W\left(M^{\vee}\right):=\mathrm{N}_{G^{\vee}}\left(M^{\vee}\right) / M^{\vee}$ (see [ABPS17a, Proposition 3.1]), and $n_{w}, n_{w^{\vee}}$ are representatives of $w$ and $w^{\vee}$ in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{M})(F)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{G^{\vee}}\left(M^{\vee}\right)$, respectively. Since for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(M)$, the equivalence class of ${ }^{n_{w}} \sigma$ does not depend on the choice of the representative $n_{w}$, we will write it simply as ${ }^{w} \sigma$. Similarly denote $w^{\vee}$ instead of $n_{w^{\vee}}$ for the action of $\mathrm{N}_{G^{\vee}}\left(M^{\vee}\right)$ on $\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}(M)$. We state the following property.

Property 3.1.12. Let $M$ be a Levi subgroup of $G$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{M}:=[M, \sigma]_{M} \in \mathfrak{B}(M)$. Then there exists a map

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{L}^{\mathfrak{s}_{M}}: \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}_{M}}(M) & \longrightarrow & \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{c}}(M) \\
\sigma & \mapsto & \left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right)
\end{array}
$$

such that the following properties are satisfied for any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{5} M}(M)$ :
(1) For any $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$, we have

$$
\left(\varphi_{\chi \otimes \sigma}, \varrho_{\chi \otimes \sigma}\right)=\chi^{\vee} \cdot\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right),
$$

where $\chi \mapsto \chi^{\vee}$ is the canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right)$.
(2) For any $w \in W(M)$, we have

$$
w^{\vee}\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right) \simeq\left(\varphi_{w_{\sigma}}, \varrho_{w_{\sigma}}\right),
$$

where $w \mapsto w^{\vee}$ is the canonical isomorphism $W(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} W\left(M^{\vee}\right)$.
Remark 3.1.13. Property 3.1.12(1) is closely related to Borel's desiderata [Bor79, 10.3.(2)]. Property 3.1.12(2) implies [Bor79, 10.3.(5)] for $\eta=c_{g}$, and can be viewed as an analogue for enhanced $L$-parameters of [Hai14, Conjecture 5.2.4] for supercuspidal representations.

Lemma 3.1.14. Let $\mathfrak{s}=[M, \sigma]_{M} \in \mathfrak{B}(G)$ satisfy Property 3.1.12. Then there is a group isomorphism

$$
\mathfrak{r}: W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}}, \quad \text { where } \mathfrak{s}^{\vee}:=\left[{ }^{L} M, \mathfrak{L}^{\mathfrak{L}^{M}}(\sigma)\right]_{G^{\vee}},
$$

and $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathfrak{S}_{M}}$ is equivariant with respect to $\mathfrak{r}$.
Proof. Let $w \in W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}} \subset W(M)$. By definition of $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}$, we have ${ }^{w} \sigma \simeq \chi \otimes \sigma$ for some $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$. By Property 3.1.12, we get

$$
w^{\vee}\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right) \simeq\left(\varphi_{w_{\sigma}}, \varrho_{w_{\sigma}}\right) \simeq\left(\varphi_{\chi \otimes \sigma}, \varrho_{\chi \otimes \sigma}\right) \simeq \chi^{\vee} \cdot\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right)
$$

Thus $w^{\vee} \in W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}}$, and $w \mapsto w^{\vee}$ defines a group morphism from $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ to $W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}}$. By reversing the argument, we see that it is a isomorphism.
Theorem 3.1.15. Suppose that Property 3.1.12 holds. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\mathfrak{e}: \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}^{s} M}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi_{e^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}(M)}\left(/ W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}} .\right.
$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{s}=[M, \sigma]_{G}$. We write $\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right):=\mathfrak{L}^{\mathfrak{s}^{M}}(\sigma)$. Then, the isomorphism $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) \simeq \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left({ }^{L} M\right)$ combined with (3.1.10) shows that

$$
\Phi_{e^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}}(M)=\left\{\chi^{\vee} \otimes\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right): \chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right\} .
$$

By using Property 3.1.12(1), we obtain

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{e}^{\mathfrak{s}}{ }^{\imath}}(M)=\left\{\left(\varphi_{\chi \otimes \sigma}, \varrho_{\chi \otimes \sigma}\right): \chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right\} \simeq \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}_{M}}(M),
$$

thanks to (2.1.8).
Recall that $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, \chi \otimes \sigma}$ denotes the stabilizer of $\chi \otimes \sigma$ in $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}$. We have

$$
\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}_{M}}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}=\bigsqcup_{\chi \in \mathfrak{x}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)} \operatorname{Irr}\left(W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, \chi \otimes \sigma}\right) / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}} .
$$

For $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(X)$, we denote by $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee} \vee},^{\vee} \cdot\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right)$ the stabilizer of $\chi^{\vee} \cdot\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right)$ in $W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}$. Then we get

$$
\Phi_{e^{\mathfrak{s}_{M}^{\vee}}(M) / / W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}=\bigsqcup_{\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)}} \operatorname{Irr}\left(W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}, \chi^{\vee} \cdot\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right)\right) / W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}} .
$$

Let $w \in W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, \chi \otimes \sigma}$. Thanks to Property 3.1.12 we have

$$
w^{\vee}\left(\chi^{\vee} \cdot\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right)\right)=^{w^{\vee}}\left(\varphi_{\chi \otimes \sigma}, \varrho_{\chi \otimes \sigma}\right)=\left(\varphi_{w}(\chi \otimes \sigma), \varrho_{w}(\chi \otimes \sigma)=\left(\varphi_{\chi \otimes \sigma}, \varrho_{\chi \otimes \sigma}\right) .\right.
$$

Thus, the morphism $\mathfrak{r}$ restricts to an isomorphism between $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}, \chi \otimes \sigma}$ and $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}, \chi^{\vee} \cdot\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, \varrho_{\sigma}\right)}$, and we obtain an isomorphism,

Remark 3.1.16. When $G$ is a split classical group, $F$ has characteristic zero and $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathfrak{s}}{ }^{M}$ is the LLC defined by Arthur in [Art13], then Lemma 3.1.14 was proved by Moussaoui in [Mou17a, Theorem 4.1] and Theorem 3.1.15 follows from [Mou17b, §3.2 \& 3.3].

Corollary 3.1.17. We assume that the collections of 2 -cocycles $\square$ and ${ }^{L} \downarrow$ are both trivial and that Property 3.1.12 holds. Then we have a bijection

$$
\mathfrak{L}:=\left(\xi_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}\right)^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{e} \circ \xi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}: \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \longrightarrow \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}(G) .
$$

Proof. It follows directly from the combination of Theorem 3.1.15, Proposition 2.1.15 and (3.2.11).
Remark 3.1.18. The 2-cocycles involved in $\ddagger$ and ${ }^{L}$ b are expected to be often trivial. In particular, they are trivial if the groups $R(\mathcal{O})$ and $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{\vee}\right)$ have cardinality at most 2, and hence when $M$ is a Levi subgroup of a maximal parabolic subgroup of $G$. They are trivial also when $G$ is a symplectic group or a special orthogonal group [Hei11] and [Mou17b], and for principal series representatons of split groups [Roc98] and [ABPS17c]. However, there exist cases when they are not trivial (see [ABPS17b, Example 5.5] for $\bigsqcup$ and [AMS18, Example 9.4] for ${ }^{L}$ 亿).

Theorem 3.1.19. Let $\sigma$ be a regular supercuspidal irreducible representation of $M$ and $\varphi_{\sigma}: W_{F} \rightarrow{ }^{L} M$ it L-parameter of $\sigma$, as defined in $[\mathrm{Kal19b}]$. Let $\mathfrak{s}=[M, \sigma]_{G}$ and $\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}=\left[M^{\vee},\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, 1\right)\right]_{G^{\vee}}$

If the collections of 2-cocycles $\bigsqcup$ and ${ }^{L}$ 曰 are both trivial and the characteristic of $F$ is 0 , then the map

$$
\mathfrak{L}: \operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G) \longrightarrow \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}(G)
$$

is a bijection.
Proof. Let $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathfrak{L}^{M}}$ be the map

$$
\mathfrak{L}^{\mathfrak{s}_{M}}: \sigma \mapsto\left(\varphi_{\sigma}, 1\right) .
$$

Property 3.1.12 (1) follows from the construction of $\sigma$ in [Kal19a]. Since $\sigma$ is regular, its $L$-packet for $G$ is a singleton, and hence Property 3.1.12 (2) follows from the functoriality result established in [BM21].

### 3.2. Matching of simple modules of extended affine Hecke algebras.

The goal of this section is to use Corollary 3.1.17 in order to get a bijection between simple modules of extended affine Hecke algebras. We suppose that Property 3.1.12 is satisfied. A (possibly twisted) extended affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}\left(G^{\vee}\right)$ was constructed in [AMS17]. We recall the definition below. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}:=\mathrm{Z}_{M^{\vee}}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}\left(W_{F}\right)\right), \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}$ the identity component of the center of $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}=\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^{G^{\vee}}:=\mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}\left(\varphi\left(I_{F}\right)\right), \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define $\Sigma\left(\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^{\circ}, \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)$ as the set of $\alpha \in X^{*}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ which appear in the adjoint action of $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}$ on the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^{\circ}$. It is a root system (see [AMS17, Proposition 3.9]). We denote by $\Sigma\left(\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^{\circ}, \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{c}}\right)^{+}$the positive system defined by an $F$-rational Borel subgroup of $\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^{\circ}$.

Let $\Delta$ be a basis of the reduced root system $\Sigma\left(\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^{\circ}, \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{c}}\right)_{\text {red }}$, and let $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}$ such that $\alpha\left(a^{-1}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of $\operatorname{Ad}(\varphi(\mathrm{Fr}))$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then we define $\varphi_{a} \in \Phi(M)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\varphi_{a}\right|_{I_{F} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})}:=\left.\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}\right|_{I_{F} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{a}\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{F}\right):=a \cdot \varphi_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{F}\right) . \tag{3.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\Sigma\left(\mathcal{G}_{\varphi_{a}}, \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{c}}\right)_{\text {red }}=\Sigma\left(\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^{\circ}, \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{c}}\right)_{\text {red }}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{\varphi_{a}}=\mathrm{Z}_{G^{\vee}}\left(\varphi_{a}\left(W_{F}\right)\right)$ (see [AMS17, Proposition 3.9]).

We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(M^{\vee}, \varphi_{a}\right):=\left\{x \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(M^{\vee}\right):\left(z \varphi_{a}\right)_{M^{\vee}}=\left(\varphi_{a}\right)_{M^{\vee}}\right\} \tag{3.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $T_{\mathcal{O}}:=\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(M^{\vee}\right) / \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(M^{\vee}, \varphi_{a}\right)$. For each $\alpha \in \Sigma\left(\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^{\circ}, \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)_{\text {red }}$, letting $m_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the smallest integer such that

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(m_{\alpha} \alpha\right) \supset\left\{a^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}:\left(a^{\prime} \varphi_{a}\right)_{M^{\vee}}=\left(\varphi_{a}\right)_{M^{\vee}}\right\}
$$

we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}^{\vee}}:=\left\{m_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Sigma\left(\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^{\circ}, \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}\right\} \subset X^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{O}^{\vee}}\right) \tag{3.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $W_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the finite Weyl group of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(\mathcal{O}^{\vee}\right):=\left\{w \in W\left(M^{\vee}, \mathcal{O}^{\vee}\right): w \cdot \Sigma\left(\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^{\circ}, \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)^{+}=\Sigma\left(\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^{\circ}, \mathcal{A}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)^{+}\right\} . \tag{3.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\lambda^{\vee}: \Sigma_{\mathcal{O} \vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda^{* \vee}:\left\{m_{\alpha} \alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{O} \vee}:\left(m_{\alpha} \alpha\right)^{\vee} \in 2 X_{*}\left(T_{\mathfrak{s}} \vee\right)\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}
$$

be the two parameter functions defined as in the proof of Lemma 3.12 in [AMS17]. We recall from [AMS17, (28)] that $\lambda^{* V}(\alpha)=\lambda^{\vee}(\alpha)$ unless $\alpha$ is a short root in a type $B$ root subsystem of $R_{\mathcal{O}^{v}}$.

Then the algebra $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{5}}\left(G^{\vee}\right)$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}\left(G^{\vee}\right):=\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\vee}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}^{\vee}}, \lambda^{\vee}, \lambda^{\vee *}, z\right) \rtimes \mathbb{C}\left[R\left(\mathcal{O}^{\vee}\right), \kappa^{\vee}\right], \tag{3.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z$ is a positive real number, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}\left(G^{\vee}, \mathfrak{s}^{\vee}\right):=\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\vee}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}^{\vee}}, \lambda^{\vee}, \lambda^{* \vee}, z\right)$ is the corresponding affine Hecke algebra with affine Weyl group $W_{\mathcal{O} \vee} \ltimes X^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{O}}\right)$, and $\kappa^{\vee}$ a 2-cocycle on $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{\vee}\right)$.
Theorem 3.2.8. Let $\mathfrak{s}=[M, \sigma]_{G}$. If $\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}=\left[{ }^{L} M, \varphi_{\sigma}, 1\right]_{G^{\vee}}$, then there is a bijection

$$
\operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)\right) \stackrel{1-1}{\longleftrightarrow} \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}\left(G^{\vee}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. From Corollary 2.1.17, we have a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s}_{M}}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)_{\mathfrak{4}} . \tag{3.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.1.14, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{Irr}^{\mathfrak{s} M}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)_{\mathfrak{t}} \simeq\left(\Phi_{e^{\mathfrak{s}} M}(M) / / W_{G^{\vee}}^{\mathfrak{j}}\right)_{L_{\mathfrak{b}}} . \tag{3.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (3.1.11), we get a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{s}{ }^{\wedge}}}(M) / / W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee} \vee}\right)_{L_{\mathfrak{\natural}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}(G) . \tag{3.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, [AMS17, Theorem 3.18] shows that there is a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}\left(G^{\vee}\right)\right) . \tag{3.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations 3.2.9, 3.2.10, 3.2.11 and 3.2.12, we obtain the desired bijection.

Corollary 3.2.13. With the same assumption as in Theorem 3.2.8.

$$
\operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{\vee}}\left(G^{\vee}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\left(\mathfrak{s}^{0}\right)^{\vee}}\left(\left(G^{0}\right)^{\vee}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. This follows from combining Theorems 3.2.8 and 2.4.9.

## 4. The $G_{2}$ CASE

### 4.1. Some background.

The aim of the sequel this section is to introduce notation and background in view of studying the $G_{2}$ case.

### 4.1.1. General background.

We denote by $\mathfrak{a}_{M}$ the real Lie algebra of $A_{\mathbf{M}}$, by $\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}$ its dual, by $\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ the complexification of the latter, by $|\cdot|_{F}$ the modulus of $F$, and by $H_{M}$ the map $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{M}$ which satisfies $q^{-\left\langle H_{M}(m), \alpha\right\rangle}=|\alpha(m)|_{F}$ for every rational character $\alpha$ of $M$ and every $m \in M$. The kernel of $H_{M}$ is equal to $M_{1}$.

If $s$ is a complex number, we denote by $\chi_{s}$ the character defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{s}(m):=|\operatorname{det}(m)|_{F}^{s} \quad \text { for any } m \in M \tag{4.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\chi_{s} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$. The group

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\sigma}:=\bigcap_{\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma)} \operatorname{ker} \chi \tag{4.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has finite index in $M_{1}$. We have

$$
\operatorname{Irr}\left(M_{\sigma} / M_{1}\right) \simeq \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) / \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{C}\left[M_{\sigma} / M_{1}\right] \simeq \mathbb{C}\left[\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) / \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma)\right] .
$$

We write $\left(M_{\sigma} / M_{1}\right)^{\vee}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(M_{\sigma} / M_{1}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Composition with $H_{M}$ and $\mathbb{R}$-linear extension of maps $H_{M}\left(M_{\sigma} / M_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ determines an embedding

$$
H_{M}^{\vee}:\left(M_{\sigma} / M_{1}\right)^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*} .
$$

For $m \in M$, let $b_{m}$ be the element of $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)\right]$ defined by $b_{m}(\chi):=\chi(m)$ for any $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$. Let $h_{\alpha}$ be the unique generator of $M_{\sigma} / M_{1}$ such that $\mathrm{v}_{F}\left(\alpha\left(h_{\alpha}\right)\right)>0$. We define $X_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) / \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma)\right.$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\alpha}(\chi):=\chi\left(h_{\alpha}\right), \tag{4.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) / \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma)$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\alpha}\left(\chi_{s}\right)=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(h_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{F}^{s}, \tag{4.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{s}$ is the unramified character defined in (4.1.1). Let $\widetilde{\alpha}$ be the element of $\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}$ defined as $\widetilde{\alpha}:=\left\langle\rho_{P}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle^{-1} \rho_{P}$, where $\rho_{P}$ is half the sum of the roots of $A_{M}$ in Lie $N$, with $P=M N$. Then $s \widetilde{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

We recall the description of the Plancherel measure from [Sil79] (see also [Sol21b] or [Hei11] for the notations used here): for $\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}$, where is $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}$ is the root system defined in (2.1.10), there exists $q_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha^{*}} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}, c_{s_{\alpha}}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{M_{\alpha}}(\sigma \otimes \cdot)=c_{s_{\alpha}}^{\prime} \frac{\left(1-X_{\alpha}\right)\left(1-X_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}{\left(1-q_{\alpha}^{-1} X_{\alpha}\right)\left(1-q_{\alpha}^{-1} X_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)} \cdot \frac{\left(1+X_{\alpha}\right)\left(1+X_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}{\left(1+q_{\alpha^{*}}^{-1} X_{\alpha}\right)\left(1+q_{\alpha^{*}}^{-1} X_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)} \tag{4.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}$. Then there is a unique $\alpha^{\sharp} \in\left(M_{\sigma} / M_{1}\right)^{\vee}$ such that $H_{M}^{\vee}\left(\alpha^{\sharp}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \alpha$ and $\left\langle h_{\alpha}, \alpha^{\sharp}\right\rangle=2$ (see [Sol21a, Proposition 3.1]). We set

$$
\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}:=\left\{\alpha^{\sharp}: \alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}:=\left\{\alpha^{\sharp}: h_{\alpha} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}\right\} .
$$

The quadruple $\left(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}, M_{\sigma} / M_{1}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}},\left(M_{\sigma} / M_{1}\right)^{\vee}\right)$ is a root datum with Weyl group $W_{\mathcal{O}}$, the group $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ acts naturally on it, and $R(\mathcal{O})$ is the stabilizer of the basis
determined by $P$ (see [Sol21a, Proposition 3.1]). We endow this based root datum with the parameter $q=q_{F}$ and the labels

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(\alpha):=\log \left(q_{\alpha} q_{\alpha^{*}}\right) / \log \left(q_{F}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda^{*}(\alpha):=\log \left(q_{\alpha} q_{\alpha^{*}}^{-1}\right) / \log \left(q_{F}\right) . \tag{4.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To the above data we associate the affine Hecke algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}^{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{*}, q_{F}\right):=\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}\left(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}, M_{\sigma} / M_{1}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}},\left(M_{\sigma} / M_{1}\right)^{\vee}, \lambda, \lambda^{*}, q_{F}\right) . \tag{4.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition it is the vector space $\mathbb{C}\left[W_{\mathcal{O}}\right] \otimes \mathbb{C} \mathbb{C}\left[M_{\sigma} / M_{1}\right]$ with multiplication given by the following rules:

- $\mathbb{C}\left[W_{\mathcal{O}}\right]=\operatorname{span}\left\{T_{w}: w \in W_{\mathcal{O}}\right\}$ is embedded as the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}\left(W_{\mathcal{O}}, q_{F}^{\lambda}\right)$, that is,

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{w} T_{v}=T_{w v} \quad \text { if } \ell(w)+\ell(v)=\ell(w w) \\
\left(T_{s_{\alpha}}+1\right)\left(T_{s_{\alpha}}-q_{F}^{\lambda(\alpha)}\right)=0 \quad \text { if } \alpha \in \Delta_{\mathcal{O}, \mu},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\ell(w)$ is the word length of $w^{1}$;

- $\mathbb{C}\left[M_{\sigma} / M_{1}\right] \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ is embedded as a subalgebra,
- for $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}$ and $x \in M_{\sigma} / M_{1}$ (corresponding to $\theta_{x} \in \mathbb{C}\left[M_{\sigma} / M_{1}\right]$ ):

$$
\theta_{x} T_{s_{\alpha}}-T_{s_{\alpha}} \theta_{s_{\alpha}(x)}=\left(q_{F}^{\lambda(\alpha)}-1+X_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(q^{\frac{\lambda(\alpha)+\lambda^{*}(\alpha)}{2}}-q^{\frac{\lambda(\alpha)-\lambda^{*}(\alpha)}{2}}\right)\right) \frac{\theta_{x}-\theta_{s_{\alpha}(x)}}{1-X_{\alpha}^{-2}} .
$$

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mathrm{aff}}^{\mathfrak{s}}:=W_{\mathcal{O}} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}}^{\vee} \tag{4.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on we assume that the parabolic subgroup $P$ is maximal. Then we have $M_{\alpha}=G$, and $W(M)$ is either trivial or of order 2 .

## Remark 4.1.9.

(1) The groups $W(M, \mathcal{O}), W_{\mathcal{O}}$, and $R(\mathcal{O})$ are either trivial or of order 2. In particular, $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}$ is either empty or $\{\alpha,-\alpha\}$.
(2) For $G=G_{2}$, if $\sigma \nsim \sigma^{\vee}$, then $W(M, \mathcal{O})=1$. It suffices to only check the case where $\sigma \simeq \sigma^{\vee}$.

In general, if $W(M, \mathcal{O})=1$, then the parabolically induced representation is irreducible, so we do not need to work with the case. In the case of $G_{2}$, the condition $W(M, \mathcal{O}) \neq 1$ happens to be characterized by the condition that $\sigma$ is self-dual. See [Sha89] for more details.
If $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu} \neq \emptyset$, then $W(M) \neq\{1\}$ and the group $W_{\mathcal{O}}$ is generated by the unique non-trivial element of $W(M)$, say $s_{M}$, and then we get $W_{\mathcal{O}}=W(M, \mathcal{O})=W(M)$. In particular, if $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu} \neq \emptyset$, we have $R(\mathcal{O})=\{1\}$.

The condition $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}, \mu}=\emptyset$ is equivalent to the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{G}(\chi \otimes \sigma) \neq 0 \quad \text { for any } \chi \in X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M) . \tag{4.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the following Harish-Chandra theorem.

[^1]Theorem 4.1.11. (Harish-Chandra) [Sil79, 5.4.2.2 and 5.4.2.3] Let $M$ be a Levi subgroup of a maximal parabolic subgroup of $G$ and let $\sigma$ be a supercuspidal irreducible representation of $M$.
(a) If $\mu^{G}(\sigma)=0$, then $W(M)=\left\{1, s_{M}\right\} \neq\{1\}$ and $s_{M} \sigma \simeq \sigma$.
(b) Suppose that $W(M) \neq\{1\}$. Then, in order that $\mu^{G}(\sigma) \neq 0$, it is necessary and sufficient that the representation $i_{P}^{G}(\sigma)$ is reducible. The representation $i_{P}^{G}(\sigma)$ is then the direct sum of two non-isomorphic irreducible representations.

Corollary 4.1.12. Suppose that $W(M) \neq\{1\}$. Then $W_{\mathcal{O}}=\{1\}$ if and only if, for any $\chi \in X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)$, the representation $i_{P}^{G}(\chi \otimes \sigma)$ is reducible.
4.1.2. Some background on the group $G_{2}$. In our specific case where $G$ is the split $G_{2}$, we can obtain more precise results. Denote by $T$ a maximal split torus in $G$, and by $R$ the set of roots of $G$ with respect to $T$. Let $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}\right)$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, equipped with the scalar product ( $\mid$ ) for which this basis is orthonormal. Then $\alpha:=\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}, \beta:=-2 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{3}$ defines a basis of $R$ and

$$
R^{+}=\{\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta, 2 \alpha+\beta, 3 \alpha+\beta, 3 \alpha+2 \beta\}
$$

is a subset of positive roots in $R$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha \mid \alpha)=2, \quad(\beta \mid \beta)=6 \quad \text { and } \quad(\alpha \mid \beta)=-3 . \tag{4.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\alpha$ is short root, while $\beta$ is long root.
Following [Mui97], we fix an isomorphism $\eta_{\alpha}: T \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{\times} \times F^{\times}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \longmapsto((2 \alpha+\beta)(t),(\alpha+\beta)(t)) . \tag{4.1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under this identification we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\vee}(a)=\eta_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(a, a^{-1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\vee}(a)=\eta_{\alpha}^{-1}(1, a) \quad \text { for any } a \in F^{\times} . \tag{4.1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G^{\vee}$ be the dual group of $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$ obtained via an identification of the roots of $G^{\vee}$ with the coroots of $G_{2}$ and vice versa. Then $G^{\vee}$ is a complex reductive group of type $G_{2}$, with simple roots $\alpha^{\vee}$ and $\beta^{\vee}$. Notice that $\alpha^{\vee}$ is the long root of $G^{\vee}$ and $\beta^{\vee}$ the short root. The torus $T^{\vee}$ dual to $T$ is a maximal torus of $G^{\vee}$. We fix an isomorphism $\eta_{\beta^{\vee}}: T \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \longmapsto\left(\left(\alpha^{\vee}+2 \beta^{\vee}\right)(t),\left(\alpha^{\vee}+\beta^{\vee}\right)(t)\right) \tag{4.1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\vee}(a)=\eta_{\beta^{\vee}}^{-1}(1, a) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\vee}(a)=\eta_{\beta^{\vee}}^{-1}\left(a, a^{-1}\right) \quad \text { for any } a \in F^{\times} \tag{4.1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each root $\gamma \in R(G)$, we fix root groups homomorphisms $x_{\gamma}: F \rightarrow G$ and $\mathbb{Z}$-homomorphisms $\zeta_{\gamma}: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(F) \rightarrow G$ for $\gamma \in R$ as in [BT72, (6.1.3) (b)]. We have

$$
x_{\gamma}(u)=\zeta_{\gamma}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & u \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad x_{-\gamma}(u)=\zeta_{-\gamma}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
u & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma^{\vee}(t)=\zeta_{\gamma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & 0 \\
0 & t^{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For $\gamma \in\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let $P_{\gamma}$ be the maximal standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ generated by $\gamma$, and $M_{\gamma}$ be the centralizer of the image of $\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}$ in $G$, where $\gamma^{\prime}$ is the unique
positive root orthogonal to $\gamma$, i.e.

$$
\gamma^{\prime}:= \begin{cases}3 \alpha+\beta & \text { if } \gamma=\alpha \\ 3 \alpha+2 \beta & \text { if } \gamma=\beta\end{cases}
$$

Then $M_{\gamma}$ is a Levi factor for $P_{\gamma}$, and $M_{\alpha}, M_{\beta}$ are representatives of the two conjugacy classes of maximal Levi subgroups of $G$.

We extend $\zeta_{\gamma}: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(F) \rightarrow M_{\gamma}$ to an isomorphism $\zeta_{\gamma}: \mathrm{GL}_{2}(F) \rightarrow M_{\gamma}$ by

$$
\zeta_{\gamma}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right):=\zeta_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & 0 \\
0 & t^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right), \quad \text { for } t \in F^{\times} .
$$

Then the restriction to $T$ of the inverse map of $\zeta_{\gamma}$ coincides with the isomorphism

$$
\eta_{\gamma}: T \rightarrow F^{\times} \times F^{\times},
$$

where $\eta_{\alpha}$ has been defined in (4.1.14), while

$$
\eta_{\beta}: t \mapsto((\alpha+\beta)(t), \alpha(t)) .
$$

### 4.2. Explicit parameters for $G_{2}$.

4.2.1. The long root case. Let $\psi$ be a fixed nontrivial additive character of $F$, and $\bar{\psi}$ be the dual of $\psi$. Assume the Levi factor $M$ of $P=M N$ is generated by the long root of $G$. Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible unitary supercuspidal representation of $M$. We denote by $\omega=\omega_{\sigma}$ the central character of $\sigma$. Let $L / F$ be a quadratic extension and $\chi$ be a character of $L^{\times}$. Let $\chi^{\prime}$ be the conjugate of $\chi$, i.e. $\chi^{\prime}(a)=\chi(\bar{a})$. Let $\Pi(\sigma)$ denote the Gelbart-Jacquet lift of $\sigma$ as defined in [GJ78]. Our notations follow [Sha89]. The Plancherel measure $\mu(s \widetilde{\alpha}, \sigma)$ has the following four possibilities ([AEF $\left.\left.{ }^{+} 21\right]\right)$.
4.2.2. Case I. If $\omega$ is unramified, and if $\sigma=\sigma(\tau)$ with $\tau=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{F}} \chi$, with $\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime}$ unramified, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(s \widetilde{\alpha}, \sigma)=\gamma(G / P)^{2} q_{F}^{n(\omega)+n(\sigma \times \Pi(\sigma))-n(\sigma)} & \frac{\left(1-\omega(\varpi) q_{F}^{-2 s}\right)\left(1-\omega^{-1}(\varpi) q_{F}^{2 s}\right)}{\left(1-\omega^{-1}(\varpi) q_{F}^{-1+2 s}\right)\left(1-\omega(\varpi) q_{F}^{-1-2 s}\right)} \\
& \cdot \frac{\left(1-\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{-s}\right)\left(1-\chi^{-2} \chi^{\prime}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{s}\right)}{\left(1-\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{-1-s}\right)\left(1-\chi^{-2} \chi^{\prime}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{-1+s}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing to the Plancherel formula in (4.1.5), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X_{\alpha}(s)=\omega\left(\varpi_{F}\right) q_{F}^{-2 s} \\
X_{\alpha}(s)=-\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{-s}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(\varpi_{F}\right) q_{F}^{-2 s}+\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{-s}=0 \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q_{L}=q^{f(L / F)}$, the above only has a solution when $f(L / F)=2$ and

$$
\omega\left(\varpi_{F}\right)+\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}\left(\varpi_{L}\right)=0
$$

which is satisfied in our case. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}=q_{F}, \quad q_{\alpha^{*}}=q_{L}=q_{F}^{f(L / F)} \tag{4.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus
$\lambda(\alpha)=\log \left(q_{\alpha} q_{\alpha^{*}}\right) / \log \left(q_{F}\right)=1+f(L / F), \quad \lambda^{*}(\alpha)=\left|\log \left(q_{\alpha} q_{\alpha^{*}}^{-1}\right) / \log \left(q_{F}\right)\right|=|1-f(L / F)|$.
Hence the parameters for the Hecke algebra in this case are $q_{F}^{1+f(L / F)}$ and $q_{F}^{|1-f(L / F)|}$.
4.2.3. Case II. If $\omega_{\sigma}$ is ramified and $\sigma=\sigma(\tau)$ with $\tau=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{F}} \chi$, and $\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime}$ unramified,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(s \widetilde{\alpha}, \sigma)=\gamma(G / P)^{2} q_{F}^{n(\sigma \times \Pi(\sigma))-n(\sigma)} \frac{\left(1-\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{-s}\right)\left(1-\chi^{-2} \chi^{\prime}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{s}\right)}{\left(1-\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{-1-s}\right)\left(1-\chi^{-2} \chi^{\prime}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{-1+s}\right)} \tag{4.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compare (4.2.3) to the Plancherel formula (4.1.5) and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha^{*}}=1, \quad q_{\alpha}=q_{L}=q_{F}^{f(L / F)} \tag{4.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the definition of $X_{\alpha}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\alpha}(\chi):=\chi\left(h_{\alpha}^{\vee}\right) \tag{4.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{nr}}(M) / \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma)$. Since the map $\psi_{s}: m \mapsto|\operatorname{det}(m)|_{F}^{s}$ is an unramified character of $M$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\alpha}\left(\psi_{s}\right)=\left(\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}\right)\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{-s} \tag{4.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall from (4.1.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{F}^{\lambda(\alpha)}=q_{\alpha} q_{\alpha^{*}} \in \mathbb{R}_{>1} . \tag{4.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (4.2.4), we have $q_{F}^{\lambda(\alpha)}=q_{L}=q_{F}^{f(L / F)}$, where $f(L / F)$ is the residue degree and is thus 1 if $L / F$ is ramified, and 2 if $L / F$ is unramified. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(\alpha)=f(L / F), \quad \lambda^{*}(\alpha)=f(L / F) \tag{4.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $w \in W(M, \mathcal{O})$, one may check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(X_{\alpha}\right)=X_{w(\alpha)} \tag{4.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w(\alpha)=\alpha$ for $w \in W(M, \mathcal{O})$ in the $G=G_{2}$ case, (4.2.9) is simply $w\left(X_{\alpha}\right)=X_{\alpha}$. On the other hand, by [Sol21b, Prop 1.1] we have

$$
w X_{\alpha}(\chi)=w\left(X_{\alpha}(\chi)\right)=w\left(\chi\left(h_{\alpha}^{\vee}\right)\right)=\chi\left(w\left(h_{\alpha}^{\vee}\right)\right)=\chi\left(h_{w(\alpha)}^{\vee}\right)=\chi\left(h_{\alpha}^{\vee}\right)=X_{\alpha}(\chi)
$$

Thus $w X_{\alpha}=X_{\alpha}=X_{w(\alpha)}$. This reduces to check, in the long root case, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{2 \alpha+\beta}\left(\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{-s}\right)=\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}\left(\varpi_{L}\right) q_{L}^{-s} \tag{4.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}=\{1,2 \alpha+\beta\}$ in the long root $M=M^{\beta}$ case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}\right)=\left\{1, s_{2 \alpha+\beta}\right\} . \tag{4.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the spherical Hecke algebra is given by

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(W\left(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}\right), q_{F}^{\lambda}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{H}\left(\left\{1, s_{2 \alpha+\beta}\right\}, q_{F}\right), & L / F \text { is ramified }  \tag{4.2.12}\\ \mathcal{H}\left(\left\{1, s_{2 \alpha+\beta}\right\}, q_{F}^{2}\right), & L / F \text { is unramified }\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, the affine Hecke algebra in this case is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}\left(M^{\beta}\right)=\mathcal{H}\left(\left\{1, s_{2 \alpha+\beta}\right\}, q_{F}^{f(L / F)}\right) \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \tag{4.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.2.4. Case III. If $\omega$ is unramified and $\sigma \neq \sigma(\tau)$ or $\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime}$ is ramified,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(s \widetilde{\alpha}, \sigma)=\gamma(G / P)^{2} q_{F}^{n(\omega)+n(\sigma \times \Pi(\sigma))-n(\sigma)} \frac{\left(1-\omega(\varpi) q_{F}^{-2 s}\right)\left(1-\omega^{-1}(\varpi) q_{F}^{2 s}\right)}{\left(1-\omega^{-1}(\varpi) q_{F}^{-1+2 s}\right)\left(1-\omega(\varpi) q_{F}^{-1-2 s}\right)} \tag{4.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\alpha}(s)=\omega(\varpi) q_{F}^{-2 s} \tag{4.2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha^{*}}=1, \quad q_{\alpha}=q_{F} \tag{4.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\lambda(\alpha)=1$ and $\lambda^{*}(\alpha)=1$. The parameters in this case are simply $q_{F}$.
4.2.5. Case $I V$. If $\omega$ ramified and $\sigma \neq \sigma(\tau)$ or $\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime}$ is ramified,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(s \widetilde{\alpha}, \sigma)=\gamma(G / P)^{2} q_{F}^{n(\sigma \times \Pi(\sigma)))-n(\sigma)} \tag{4.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}=1, \quad q_{\alpha^{*}}=1 \tag{4.2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\lambda(\alpha)=0$ and $\lambda^{*}(\alpha)=0$. Thus the parameters in this case are trivial.

### 4.2.6. The short root case.

As an example, we also give an explicit computation in the short root case. Assume the Levi factor $M$ of $P=M N$ is generated by the short root of split $G_{2}$. Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible unitary supercuspidal representation of $M$. Let $\omega=\omega_{\sigma}$ be its central character. Then by [Sha91, Proposition 6.2] the Plancherel measure $\mu(s \widetilde{\alpha}, \sigma)$ is given by the formula
$\mu(s \widetilde{\alpha}, \sigma)= \begin{cases}\gamma(G / P)^{2} q_{F}^{n(\sigma)+n(\sigma \otimes \omega)} \frac{\left(1-\omega(\varpi) q_{F}^{-2 s}\right)\left(1-\omega(\varpi)^{-1} q_{F}^{2 s}\right)}{\left(1-\omega(\varpi) q^{-1-2 s}\right)\left(1-\omega(\varpi)^{-1} q_{F}^{-1+2 s}\right)} & \text { if } \omega \text { is unramified } \\ \gamma(G / P)^{2} q_{F}^{n(\sigma)+n(\omega)+n(\sigma \otimes \omega)} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
Here $n(\sigma), n(\omega)$ and $n(\sigma \otimes \omega)$ are the corresponding conductors.
4.2.7. Case I. If $\omega$ is unramified,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(s \widetilde{\alpha}, \sigma)=\gamma(G / P)^{2} q_{F}^{n(\sigma)+n(\sigma \otimes \omega)} \frac{\left(1-\omega(\varpi) q_{F}^{-2 s}\right)\left(1-\omega(\varpi)^{-1} q_{F}^{2 s}\right)}{\left(1-\omega(\varpi) q_{F}^{-1-2 s}\right)\left(1-\omega(\varpi)^{-1} q_{F}^{-1+2 s}\right)} \tag{4.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compare 4.2.20 to (4.1.5). This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}=q_{F}, \quad q_{\alpha^{*}}=1 \tag{4.2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\chi_{s}$ is an unramified character of $M$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\alpha}\left(\chi_{s}\right)=\omega(\varpi) q_{F}^{-2 s} . \tag{4.2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall from (4.1.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{F}^{\lambda(\alpha)}=q_{\alpha} q_{\alpha^{*}} \in \mathbb{R}_{>1} . \tag{4.2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by 4.2.21, we have $q_{F}^{\lambda(\alpha)}=q_{F}$ and thus $\lambda(\alpha)=1$ and $\lambda^{*}(\alpha)=1$.
Note that for $w \in W(M, \mathcal{O})$, one may check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(X_{\alpha}\right)=X_{w(\alpha)} \tag{4.2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w(\alpha)=\alpha$ for $w \in W(M, \mathcal{O})$ in the $G=G_{2}$ case, (4.2.24) is simply $w\left(X_{\alpha}\right)=$ $X_{\alpha}$. On the other hand, by [Sol21b, Prop 1.1] we have

$$
w X_{\alpha}(\chi)=w\left(X_{\alpha}(\chi)\right)=w\left(\chi\left(h_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\chi\left(w\left(h_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\chi\left(h_{w(\alpha)}\right)=\chi\left(h_{\alpha}\right)=X_{\alpha}(\chi)
$$

Thus $w X_{\alpha}=X_{\alpha}=X_{w(\alpha)}$. Since $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}=\{2 \alpha+\beta\}$ in the short root $M=M_{\alpha}$ case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^{\vee}\right)=\left\{1, s_{2 \alpha+\beta}\right\} \tag{4.2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have the affine Hecke algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}\left(M_{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{H}\left(\left\{1, s_{2 \alpha+\beta}\right\}, q_{F}\right) \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] . \tag{4.2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.2.8. Case II. In the other case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(s \widetilde{\alpha}, \sigma)=\gamma(G / P)^{2} q^{n(\sigma)+n(\omega)+n(\sigma \otimes \omega)} \tag{4.2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (4.2.27) and (4.1.5) gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}=1, \quad q_{\alpha^{*}}=1 . \tag{4.2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have $q_{F}^{\lambda}=1$ and thus $\lambda(\alpha)=0$ and $\lambda^{*}(\alpha)=0$. Therefore the affine Hecke algebra in this case is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}\left(M_{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{H}\left(\left\{1, s_{2 \alpha+\beta}\right\}, 1\right) \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] . \tag{4.2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.2.30. The computations of Hecke algebras with explicit parameters in this section will be collected into tables in the next section.

### 4.3. Intertwining algebras of Kim- $\mathbf{Y u}$ types for $G_{2}$.

For $b \in F^{\times} / F^{\times 2}$, let $\mathrm{U}_{b}(1,1)$ be the quasi split unitary group and $\mathrm{U}_{b}(2)$ be the compact unitary group in two variables in $F(\sqrt{b})$. Writing as $F^{\times} / F^{\times 2}=$ $\{1, \varepsilon, \varpi, \varepsilon \varpi\}$, the possible unitary groups in 2 variables are:

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\varepsilon}(1,1), \mathrm{U}_{\varepsilon}(2), \mathrm{U}_{\varpi}(1,1), \mathrm{U}_{\varpi}(2), \mathrm{U}_{\varepsilon \varpi}(1,1), \mathrm{U}_{\varepsilon \varpi}(2) .
$$

The group $\mathrm{U}_{\epsilon}(1,1)$ is an unramified group, and $\mathrm{U}_{\varpi^{\prime}}(1,1)$ is ramified where $\varpi^{\prime} \in$ $\{\varpi, \varepsilon \varpi\}$.

We now enumerate the twisted Levi sequences in $G_{2}$ (up to conjugacy) such that $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}$ with $\gamma \in\{\alpha, \beta\}:$
(1) Essentially depth zero case [AEF $\left.{ }^{+} 21\right]$ : If $\rho_{\Sigma_{M}}$ is an essentially depth zero supercuspidal type on $M$, then $\Sigma_{M}$ is of the form ( $M, y, \phi, r, \rho_{M}$ ) (hence in particular $\left.M^{0}=M\right)$, where $K_{\Sigma_{M}}=M_{y, 0} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{F}\right)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ and $r=\operatorname{depth}\left(\rho_{\Sigma_{M}}\right)$ is an integer. If $r=0$, we may assume that $\phi=1$ without loss of generality.
(a) $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}=(\mathbf{G})$ (here $\mathbf{G}^{0}=\mathbf{G}$, it is a depth zero case: $r=0$ ),
(b) $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}=\left(\mathbf{M}^{0}, \mathbf{G}\right)\left(\right.$ here $\mathbf{G}^{0}=\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}^{0}$ and $\left.r \neq 0\right)$.
(2) Positive depth cases $\left[\mathrm{AEF}^{+} 21\right]$ :
(a) $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}=\left(\mathrm{U}_{\varepsilon}(1,1), \mathbf{G}\right)$,
(b) $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}=\left(\mathrm{U}_{\varpi^{\prime}}(1,1), \mathbf{G}\right)$, with $\varpi^{\prime} \in\{\varpi, \varepsilon \varpi\}$,
(c) $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}=\left(\mathbf{M}^{0}, \mathbf{G}\right)$,
(d) $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}=\left(\mathbf{M}^{0}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{G}\right)$,
where $\mathbf{M}^{0}$ is a torus in $\mathbf{G}^{0}$.
When $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}$, we have three possibilities for $\mathbf{M}^{0}$, denoted $T_{\gamma, \varepsilon}, T_{\gamma, \varpi}$ and $T_{\gamma, \omega}$. If $\phi_{0}$ has trivial restriction to $\mathrm{Z}_{M}^{\circ}$, the it can be extended to to a character of $\mathrm{U}_{b}$ and we use the same notation $\phi_{0}$ for the extended character.

Let $\mathbb{G}_{y}^{0}$ denote the reductive quotient of $G_{y}^{0}$. Let $\varpi^{\prime} \in\{\varpi, \varepsilon \varpi\}$. We have

$$
\mathbb{G}_{y}^{0}= \begin{cases}\mathrm{U}(1,1) & \text { if } G^{0}=\mathrm{U}_{\varepsilon}(1,1) \\ \mathrm{SO}(2) & \text { if } G^{0}=\mathrm{U}_{\varpi^{\prime}}(1,1)\end{cases}
$$

Remark 4.3.1. The central character $\omega_{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$ can be either ramified or unramified. It is unramified if and only if $\omega_{\sigma^{0}}$ is trivial. In the case when $\omega_{\sigma}$ is ramified, $\omega_{\sigma^{0}}$ is quadratic.

Lemma 4.3.2. We have

$$
W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}} \simeq W_{G^{0}}^{\mathbf{s}^{0}} .
$$

Proof. The representation $\sigma$ is regular and $p$ is good for $G$ (that is, $p \neq 2,3$ ) and does not divide the order $(=1)$ of the fundamental group of $\mathbf{G}_{\text {der }}$. Hence Theorem 2.4.7 applies.

### 4.4. The intertwining algebras of types attached to $G^{0}$.

4.4.1. The case $G^{0}=M^{0}$. It occurs in both the essentially depth-zero case with $r \neq 0$ and in the positive depth cases. We have two possibilities for $M^{0}$ : either $M^{0} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ or $M^{0}$ is a torus. In both cases, the algebra $\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right)=\mathcal{H}\left(M^{0}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right)$ is commutative by $[\mathrm{BK} 98,5.5,5.6]$.
4.4.2. The case $G^{0}=\mathrm{U}_{\varepsilon}(1,1)$. If $W_{G^{0}}^{5^{0}}=\{1\}$, then $\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right)$ as seen in Remark 2.3.17 is commutative.

We suppose from now on that $W_{G^{0}}^{\mathbf{s}^{0}} \neq\{1\}$. We write $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ for the non-trivial element of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, and set

$$
w^{0}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad w_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \bar{\varpi}_{L}^{-1} \\
\varpi_{L} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{P}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathfrak{o}_{L}^{\times} & \mathfrak{o}_{L} \\
\mathfrak{p}_{L} & \mathfrak{o}_{L}^{\times}
\end{array}\right) \cap G^{0} .
$$

Recall that $\widetilde{\rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}}$ denotes the contragredient representation of $\rho^{0}$. Then the IwahoriMatsumoto presentation of $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\varepsilon}(1,1), \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right)$ is the following (see $\left.[\operatorname{Bad} 20, \S 3.1]\right)$ : $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\varepsilon}(1,1), \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right)$ is the space spanned by the functions

$$
T_{w_{i}}: G^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{G}\left(V_{\widetilde{\rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}}}\right), \quad \text { for } i \in\{0,1\},
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{w_{i}}\left(p g p^{\prime}\right)=\widetilde{\rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}}(p) T_{w_{i}}(g) \widetilde{\rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}}\left(p^{\prime}\right), \quad \text { where } p, p^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{P} \text { and } g \in G^{0}, \tag{4.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{w_{i}}$ is supported on $\mathfrak{P} w_{i} \mathfrak{P}$ and satisfies the quadratic relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{w_{i}}-q_{F}\right)\left(T_{w_{i}}+1\right)=0 . \tag{4.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can deduce the Bernstein presentation of $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\varepsilon}(1,1), \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right)$ using [Lus89, §3]. In particular, we have $q_{F}^{\lambda(\alpha)}=q_{F}$.
4.4.3. The case $G^{0}=\mathrm{U}_{\varpi^{\prime}}(1,1)$. For $\varpi^{\prime} \in\{\varpi, \varepsilon \varpi\}$, since $\mathrm{U}_{\varpi^{\prime}}(1,1)$ is ramified, it follows from [ $\operatorname{Bad} 20, \S 5.1 .1]$ that the algebra $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\varpi^{\prime}}(1,1), \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right)$ has trivial parameters with $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right) \neq 1$ and $W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}=1$ if $\left.\omega_{\sigma}\right|_{\mathfrak{o}_{F}} \neq 1$, and the Hecke algebra has parameter $q_{F}$ otherwise (in which case $W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}} \neq 1$ and $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)=1$ ).

### 4.5. The intertwining algebras of types attached to $G$.

### 4.5.1. Long root essentially depth zero case.

(a) $r=0, \chi^{3}=1$ case and $\sigma=\sigma(\tau)$ for $\tau=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{F}} \chi$ :

We have $\rho_{M}$ self-dual, $\sigma$ and $\tau$ correspond via LLC for $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$. Since $\sigma$ has depth zero, $L / F$ is unramified (so $e(L / F)=1$ and $f(L / F)=2$ ). We have the following four cases:

- The central character $\omega_{\sigma}=1$ and $\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}$ unramified. This corresponds to Case 4.2.2, in which case the Plancherel formula has a zero, and the Hecke algebra is affine non-commutative, with parameters $q_{F}^{3}$ and $q_{F}$. We have $W_{\mathcal{O}} \neq 1$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=1$. Since $G=G^{0}$ in this case, $W_{\mathcal{O}}=W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$.
- The central character $\omega_{\sigma} \neq 1$ is ramified, and $\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}$ is unramified. This corresponds to Case 4.2.3, in which case the Plancherel formula has a zero, and the Hecke algebra is affine non-commutative, with parameters $q_{F}^{2}$. We have $W_{\mathcal{O}} \neq 1$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=1$. Since $G=G^{0}$ in this case, $W_{\mathcal{O}}=W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$.
- The central character $\omega_{\sigma}=1$ and $\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}$ ramified. This corresponds to Case 4.2.4, in which case the Plancherel formula has a zero, and the Hecke algebra is affine non-commutative, with parameter $q_{F}$. We have $W_{\mathcal{O}} \neq 1$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=1$. Since $G=G^{0}$ in this case, $W_{\mathcal{O}}=W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$.
- The central character $\omega_{\sigma} \neq 1$ is ramified, and $\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}$ is ramified. This corresponds to Case 4.2.5, in which case the Plancherel formula has no zero, and the Hecke algebra is affine commutative of the form $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})]$ plus the translation part $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$. We have $W_{\mathcal{O}}=1$ (and we don't know what $R(\mathcal{O})$ is in this case). Since $G=G^{0}$ in this case, $W_{\mathcal{O}}=W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$.
(b) $r=0$ and $\sigma \neq \sigma(\tau)$ : We have $\sigma=\sigma\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$ where $\tau^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{F}} \zeta$ for $\zeta$ such that $\zeta^{-1}=\bar{\zeta}$ (the Galois conjugate). Since $\sigma$ is still depth zero, we still have $L / F$ unramified.
- The central character $\omega_{\sigma}=1$. This corresponds to Case 4.2.4, in which case the Plancherel formula has a zero, and the Hecke algebra is affine noncommutative, with parameters $q_{F}$. We have $W_{\mathcal{O}} \neq\{1\}$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=\{1\}$. Since $G=G^{0}$ in this case, $W_{\mathcal{O}}=W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$.
- The central character $\omega_{\sigma} \neq 1$ ramified. This corresponds to Case 4.2.5, in which case the Plancherel formula has no zero, and the Hecke algebra is affine commutative of the form $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})]$ plus the translation part $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$. we have $W_{\mathcal{O}}=\{1\}$ (and we don't know what $R(\mathcal{O})$ is in this case). Since $G=G^{0}$ in this case, $W_{\mathcal{O}}=W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$.
(c) $r \neq 0$ essentially depth zero case: Recall from $\S 4.4 .1$ that $G^{0}=M=M^{0}$. Thus we have

$$
W_{G^{0}}^{5^{0}} \subset \mathrm{~N}_{G^{0}}\left(M^{0}\right) / M^{0}=\mathrm{N}_{M}(M) / M=\{1\} .
$$

By Lemma 4.3.2, we get $W_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}=\{1\}$. In this case, $W(M, \mathcal{O})=W\left(M^{0}, \mathcal{O}^{0}\right)=1$. Thus the algebras $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)$ and $\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho^{0}\right)$ are both of the form $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$, and they are isomorphic.
4.5.2. Table for long root essentially depth zero cases.

| $r$ | $\mathcal{D}$ | $\omega_{\sigma}$ | $\chi^{2} \chi^{\prime-1}$ | $R(\mathcal{O})$ | $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$ | $L / F$ | $\# X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma)$ | $W_{\mathcal{O}}$ | $W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}$ | $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)$ | $\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho^{0}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r=0$ | $\left((G, M),(y, \iota),\left(M_{y, 0}, \rho_{M}\right)\right)$ | $=1$ | unramified $\chi$ cubic | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $\neq 1$ | $\neq 1$ | non-comm, $q_{F}^{3}, q_{F}$ | non-comm, $q_{F}^{3}, q_{F}$ |
|  |  | $\neq 1$ | unramified $\chi$ cubic | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $\neq 1$ | $\neq 1$ | non-comm, $q_{F}^{2}$ | non-comm, $q_{F}^{2}$ |
|  |  | $=1$ | ramified <br> $\chi$ cubic | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $\neq 1$ | $\neq 1$ | non-comm, $q_{F}$ | non-comm, $q_{F}$ |
|  |  | $\neq 1$ | ramified $\chi$ cubic | * | * | unramified | 2 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ |
|  |  | $=1$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \chi \text { not cubic } \\ \text { N/A } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $=1$ | = 1 | unramified | 2 | $\neq 1$ | $\neq 1$ | non-comm, $q_{F}$ | non-comm, $q_{F}$ |
|  |  | $\neq 1$ |  | * | * | unramified | 2 | = 1 | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ |
| $r \neq 0$ | $\left(((M, G), M),(y, \iota),(r, 0),(\phi, 1),\left(M_{y, 0}, \rho_{M}\right)\right)$ | $\neq 1$ | N/A | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ |

Table 4.5.2.
4.5.3. Long root positive depth case.
(a) $\mathrm{U}_{\varpi^{\prime}}(1,1)$ case: $\sigma=\sigma\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \neq \sigma(\tau)$, where $\tau^{\prime}$ is induction of some quadratic character. (Note that the cubic character only occurs in depth zero, because we are assuming $p \neq 2,3$. There are two possibilities, $\left.\phi_{0}\right|_{Z_{M}^{0}}$ could be either trivial or non-trivial:

- When $\left.\phi_{0}\right|_{\mathrm{Z}_{M}^{0}}=1$ unramified, since $\sigma=\sigma\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \neq \sigma(\tau)$, this corresponds to Case 4.2.4, in which case the Plancherel formula has a zero, and the Hecke algebra is affine non-commutative, with parameters $q_{F}$. We have $W_{\mathcal{O}} \neq\{1\}$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=1$. By 4.4.3, the Hecke algebra for $G^{0}$ also has $q_{F}$ parameter. Thus we have $W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}} \neq\{1\}$ and $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)=1$.
- When $\left.\phi_{0}\right|_{\mathrm{Z}_{M}^{0}}=$ sign character ramified, since $\sigma=\sigma\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \neq \sigma(\tau)$, this corresponds to Case 4.2.5, in which case the Plancherel formula has no zero, and the Hecke algebra is of the form $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$. We have $W_{\mathcal{O}}=\{1\}$. By 4.4.3, we have $W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}=\{1\}$ and $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right) \neq 1$. Thus $R(\mathcal{O}) \cong R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right) \neq 1$ by 2.4.7.
(b) $\mathrm{U}_{\epsilon}(1,1)$ case: $\sigma=\sigma\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \neq \sigma(\tau)$, where $\tau^{\prime}$ is the induction of some quadratic character.
- When $\left.\phi_{0}\right|_{Z_{M}^{0}}=1$ unramified, since $\sigma=\sigma\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \neq \sigma(\tau)$, this corresponds to 4.2.4, in which case the Plancherel formula has a zero, and the Hecke algebra for $G$ is affine non-commutative, with parameters $q$. We have $W_{\mathcal{O}} \neq\{1\}$ and $R(\mathcal{O})=\{1\}$. From 4.4.2, we have $W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}} \neq\{1\}$ and $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)=\{1\}$. Note that the cardinality of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{nr}}\left(M, \sigma\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is 2 (see Remark 2.1.7).


### 4.5.4. Table for long root positive depth cases.

We summarize the above in the following table:

| $M^{0}$ | $\left.\phi_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{Z}_{M}^{0}}$ | $\phi_{1}$ | $\vec{G}$ | $R(\mathcal{O})$ | $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$ | $L / F$ | $\# X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \mathcal{D})$ | $W_{\mathcal{O}}$ | $W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}$ | $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)$ | $\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho^{0}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{\beta, w^{\prime}}$ | = 1 | $=1$ | $\left(U_{\varpi^{\prime}}(1,1), G\right)$ | = 1 | = 1 | ramified | 1 | $\neq 1$ | $\neq 1$ | non-comm, $q_{F}$ | non-comm, $q_{F}$ |
|  | $=\operatorname{sign}$ <br> character | $\neq 1$ |  | $\neq 1$ | $\neq 1$ | ramified | 1 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \neq 1 \\ \neq \text { sign character } \end{gathered}$ | $=1$ | $\left(M^{0}, G\right)$ | $=1$ | = 1 | ramified | 1 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{O}^{0}\right]$ |
|  | both | $\neq 1$ | $\left(M^{0}, M, G\right)$ | = 1 | = 1 | ramified | 1 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{O}^{0}\right]$ |
| $T_{\beta, \varepsilon}$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\left(U_{\varepsilon}(1,1), G\right)$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $\neq 1$ | $\neq 1$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { non-comm. } \\ q_{F} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { non-comm. } \\ q_{F} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\neq 1$ | $=1$ | $\left(M^{0}, G\right)$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{O}^{0}\right]$ |
|  | both | $\neq 1$ | $\left(M^{0}, M, G\right)$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{O}^{0}\right]$ |

Table 4.5.4.
4.5.5. Short root essentially depth zero case.
(a) $r=0$, there are only two cases:

- When $\left.\rho_{M}\right|_{Z_{M}}=1$, this corresponds to the central character being unramified case, and in this case the Plancherel formula in 4.2 .7 has a zero. Thus thus $W_{\mathcal{O}} \neq 1$ and thus $R(\mathcal{O})=1$. In this case the Hecke algebra is noncommutative, and the $q$-parameter is just $q=q_{F}$. The case for $G^{0}$ again follows from 4.4.3.
- When $\left.\rho_{M}\right|_{Z_{M}^{\circ}} ^{\circ} \neq 1$, this corresponds to the central character being ramified case, and in this case the Plancherel formula in 4.2.8 has no zero, and thus $W_{\mathcal{O}}=\{1\}$. In this case the Hecke algebra is commutative, and the $q$-parameter is trivial.
(b) $r \neq 0$ essentially depth zero case. The same argument as in §4.5.1(c) applies here.
4.5.6. Table for short root essentially depth zero cases.

| $r$ | D | $\omega_{\sigma}$ | $R(\mathcal{O})$ | $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$ | $L / F$ | $\# X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M, \sigma)$ | $W_{\mathcal{O}}$ | $W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}$ | $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)$ | $\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho^{0}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r=0$ | $\left((G, M),(y, \iota),\left(M_{y, 0}, \rho_{M}\right)\right)$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $\neq 1$ | $\neq 1$ | non-comm, $q_{F}, q_{F}$ | non-comm, $q_{F}, q_{F}$ |
|  |  | $\neq 1$ | * | * | unramified | 2 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ |
| $r \neq 0$ | $\left(((M, G), M),(y, \iota),(r, 0),(\phi, 1),\left(M_{y, 0}, \rho_{M}\right)\right)$ | $=1$ $\neq 1$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{O}^{0}\right]$ |

Table 4.5.6.
4.5.7. Short root positive depth case.
(a) $G^{0}=\mathrm{U}_{\varpi^{\prime}}(1,1)$ case:

- When $\left.\phi_{0}\right|_{\mathrm{Z}_{M}^{0}}=1$, the Plancherel formula on the $G_{2}$ side in 4.2 .7 has a zero, and thus $W_{\mathcal{O}} \neq\{1\}$ and thus $R(\mathcal{O})=\{1\}$. In this case the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)$ is non-commutative, and the $q$-parameter is just $q=q_{F}$. By 2.4.7, we have $W\left(M^{0}, \mathcal{O}^{0}\right) \neq 1$, and since $W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}} \neq 1$ by 4.4.3, we have $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)=1$. Moreover, the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho^{0}\right)$ has parameter $q_{F}$ by 4.4.3.
- When the central character (of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}^{\text {short }}$ ) $\left.\phi_{0}\right|_{Z_{M}^{0}}=\operatorname{sign}$ character $\neq 1$ is ramified, the Plancherel formula on the G2 side in 4.2 .8 has no zero, and thus $W_{\mathcal{O}}=\{1\}$. In this case the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)=\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ has trivial $q$-parameter. On the other hand, since $I(\sigma)$ is reducible by [Sha91, Proposition 6.2], we have $R_{\sigma} \neq 1$. Since $W_{\sigma} \rtimes R_{\sigma}=W(M, \sigma) \subseteq W(M, \mathcal{O})=$ $W_{\mathcal{O}} \rtimes R(\mathcal{O})$ and $W_{\mathcal{O}}=1$, we have $R_{\sigma} \subseteq R(\mathcal{O})$ and thus $R(\mathcal{O}) \neq 1$. By 2.4.7, we also have $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right) \neq 1$ since $W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}=1$ by 4.4.3.
(b) $G^{0}=\mathrm{U}_{\epsilon}(1,1)$ case: When $\left.\phi_{0}\right|_{Z_{M}^{0}}=1$ the Plancherel formula on the $G_{2}$ side in 4.2.7 has a zero, and thus $W_{\mathcal{O}} \neq\{1\}$ and thus $R(\mathcal{O})=\{1\}$. In this case the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)$ is non-commutative, and the $q$-parameter is just $q=q_{F}$. From 4.4.2, we have $W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}} \neq\{1\}$ and $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)=\{1\}$.
4.5.8. Table for short root positive depth cases. We summarize the above in the following table:

| $M^{0}$ | $\left.\phi_{0}\right\|_{\text {Z }}{ }_{\text {o }}$ | $\phi_{1}$ | $\vec{G}$ | $R(\mathcal{O})$ | $R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$ | L/F | $\mathfrak{X}_{\text {nr }}(M, \sigma)$ | $W_{O}$ | $W_{\mathcal{O}}$ | $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho)$ | $\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho^{0}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{\alpha, \omega^{\prime}}$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\left(U_{\varpi^{\prime}}(1,1), G\right)$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | ramified | 1 | $\neq 1$ | $\neq 1$ | non-comm, $q_{F}$ | non-comm, $q_{F}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} =\text { sign } \\ \text { character } \end{gathered}$ | $\neq 1$ |  | $\neq 1$ | $\neq 1$ | ramified | 1 | = 1 | = 1 | $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}[R(\mathcal{O})] \ltimes \mathbb{C}\left[\mathcal{O}^{0}\right]$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \neq 1 \\ \neq \text { sign character } \end{gathered}$ | $=1$ | $\left(M^{0}, G\right)$ | = 1 | $=1$ | ramified | 1 | $=1$ | = 1 | $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{O}^{0}\right]$ |
|  | both | $\neq 1$ | $\left(M^{0}, M, G\right)$ | = 1 | = 1 | ramified | 1 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{O}^{0}\right]$ |
| $T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\left(U_{\varepsilon}(1,1), G\right)$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $\neq 1$ | $\neq 1$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { non-comm. } \\ q_{F} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { non-comm. } \\ q_{F} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\neq 1$ | $=1$ | $\left(M^{0}, G\right)$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{O}^{0}\right]$ |
|  | both | $\neq 1$ | $\left(M^{0}, M, G\right)$ | $=1$ | $=1$ | unramified | 2 | $=1$ | $=1$ | $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ | $\mathbb{C}\left[\mathrm{O}^{0}\right]$ |

Table 4.5.8.
4.5.1. We keep the notations of $\S 2.1$. The following Theorem establishes the validity for $G_{2}$ of an extension of a conjecture of Yu's [Yu01, Conjecture 0.2] for supercuspidal types, which was proved by Ohara in [Oha21].

The following result shows that a stronger version of Theorem 2.4.9(2) holds for the group $G_{2}$.

Theorem 4.5.2. We assume $p \neq 2,3$. Then the algebras $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}}(G)=\operatorname{End}_{G}\left(\Pi_{G}^{\mathfrak{s}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\left(G^{0}\right)=\operatorname{End}_{G^{0}}\left(\Pi_{G^{0}}^{\mathfrak{s}^{0}}\right)$ are isomorphic

Proof. From Proposition 2.3.15, it equivalent to show that the algebras $\mathcal{H}\left(G, \rho_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}\left(G^{0}, \rho_{\mathcal{D}^{0}}\right)$ are isomorphic. The latter can be read directly from the tables 4.5.2, 4.5.4, 4.5.6 and 4.5.8.

The following corollary is a stronger version of Lemma 4.3 .2 (i.e. [AM21, Theorem $7.3])$ for $G=G_{2}$.
Corollary 4.5.3. The groups $R(\mathcal{O}) \simeq R\left(\mathcal{O}^{0}\right)$ and $W_{\mathcal{O}} \simeq W_{\mathcal{O}^{0}}$.
Proof. This can be read directly from our tables 4.5.2, 4.5.4, 4.5.6 and 4.5.8, with explanations given in the sections before them.
4.5.9. On Lusztig's conjecture.

Let $L^{\mathfrak{s}}: W_{\text {aff }}^{\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the weight function ${ }^{2}$ on $W_{\text {aff }}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\mathfrak{s}}\left(s_{\alpha}\right):=\lambda(\alpha) \quad \text { and } \quad L^{\mathfrak{s}}\left(s_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right):=\lambda^{*}(\alpha) . \tag{4.5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [Lus20, §1.a], Lusztig made the following conjecture.
Conjecture 4.5.5. (Lusztig) The function $L^{\mathfrak{s}}$ on the affine Weyl group $W_{\text {aff }}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is in the collection of weight functions described in [Lus91, Lus95, Lus02].

Solleveld has proved the validity of Conjecture 4.5 .5 in many cases, including the representation in the principal series of $G$, in [Sol21b].
Theorem 4.5.6. Conjecture 4.5 .5 holds for the group $G_{2}$.
Proof. It follows from Tables 4.5.2, 4.5.4, 4.5.6 and 4.5.8.
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[^0]:    Date: February 4, 2022.

[^1]:    $1_{\text {i.e., }} \ell(w)$ is the smallest integer $\ell \geq 0$ such that $w$ is a product of $\ell$ generators $s_{\alpha}$.

[^2]:    $2_{\text {i.e., }} L^{\mathfrak{s}}(w)>0$ for all $w \in W_{\text {aff }}^{\mathfrak{s}}-\{1\}$, and $L^{\mathfrak{s}}\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=L^{\mathfrak{s}}(w)+L^{\mathfrak{s}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ for any $w, w^{\prime} \in W_{\text {aff }}^{\mathfrak{s}}$ such that $\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=\ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)$.

