

## Mitochondrial phosphoproteomes are functionally specialized across tissues

Fynn M Hansen, Laura S Kremer, Ozge Karayel, Inge Kühl, Isabell Bludau, Nils-Göran Larsson, Matthias Mann

## ▶ To cite this version:

Fynn M Hansen, Laura S Kremer, Ozge Karayel, Inge Kühl, Isabell Bludau, et al.. Mitochondrial phosphoproteomes are functionally specialized across tissues. 2022. hal-03815624v1

## HAL Id: hal-03815624 https://hal.science/hal-03815624v1

Preprint submitted on 14 Oct 2022 (v1), last revised 27 Nov 2023 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# Mitochondrial phosphoproteomes are functionally specialized across tissues

- 3
- Fynn M. Hansen<sup>1</sup>, Laura S. Kremer<sup>2</sup>, Ozge Karayel<sup>1</sup>, Inge Kühl<sup>3</sup>, Isabell Bludau<sup>1</sup>, Nils-Göran Larsson<sup>2</sup>,
   Matthias Mann<sup>1</sup>
- 6
- <sup>1</sup> Department of Proteomics and Signal Transduction, Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Martinsried, 82152,
   Germany
- 9 <sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Biochemistry and Biophysics, Karolinska Institutet, 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden.
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Cell Biology, Institute of Integrative Biology of the Cell, UMR9198, CEA, CNRS, Université Paris-
- 11 Saclay, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 12 Lead contact: mmann@biochem.mpg.de
- 13

### 14 Abstract

15 Mitochondria are essential organelles involved in critical biological processes such as energy 16 metabolism and cell survival. Their dysfunction is linked to numerous human pathologies that often 17 manifest in a tissue-specific manner. Accordingly, mitochondrial fitness depends on versatile proteomes specialized to meet diverse tissue-specific requirements. Furthermore, increasing evidence 18 19 suggests that phosphorylation may also play an important role in regulating tissue-specific 20 mitochondrial functions and pathophysiology. We hypothesized that recent advances in mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics would now enable in-depth measurement to quantitatively 21 22 profile mitochondrial proteomes along with their matching phosphoproteomes across tissues. We 23 isolated mitochondria from mouse heart, skeletal muscle, brown adipose tissue, kidney, liver, brain, 24 and spleen by differential centrifugation followed by separation on Percoll gradients and high-25 resolution MS analysis of the proteomes and phosphoproteomes. This in-depth map substantially 26 quantifies known and predicted mitochondrial proteins and provides a resource of core and tissue

27 modulated mitochondrial proteins (<u>mitophos.de</u>). We also uncover tissue-specific repertoires of dozens 28 of kinases and phosphatases. Predicting kinase substrate associations for different mitochondrial 29 compartments indicates tissue-specific regulation at the phosphoproteome level. Illustrating the 30 functional value of our resource, we reproduce mitochondrial phosphorylation events on DRP1 31 responsible for its mitochondrial recruitment and fission initiation and describe phosphorylation 32 clusters on MIGA2 linked to mitochondrial fusion.

## 33 Introduction

34 Mitochondria are double-membrane-bound organelles with an essential role in homeostasis of eukaryotic 35 cells. They are often referred to as the "powerhouse of the cell" due to their prominent function in 36 bioenergetics. Among many other processes, they are also involved in several biosynthetic processes such 37 as balancing redox systems, the regulation of metabolic by-products like reactive oxygen species (ROS) 38 (Spinelli and Haigis, 2018) and hold a central role in cell death (Bock and Tait, 2020). The function and 39 stability of mitochondria depend on their intrinsic bioenergetics regulation and finely orchestrated 40 interaction with the cellular microenvironment. Energy conversion via the oxidative phosphorylation 41 system (OXPHOS) plays an essential role in harvesting energy from ingested nutrients. Moreover, the 42 morphology of mitochondria within an eukaryotic cell is actively regulated by fusion and fission events 43 which dynamically modulate their number, size, and localization (Liesa et al., 2009). Regulation of mitochondrial dynamics also affects the interplay of mitochondria with other cellular structures, such as 44 45 the cytoskeleton for active regulation of their localization (Moore and Holzbaur, 2018), and organelles like 46 the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and lipid droplets to regulate many physiological processes such as energy 47 metabolism and ion buffering. The mitochondria-associated membrane (MAM), which is the contact site 48 of the outer mitochondrial membrane with the ER, comprises a unique set of proteins mediating this 49 interaction and fine-tune mitochondrial functions with the cellular microenvironment (Kwak et al., 2020; 50 Nunnari and Suomalainen, 2012). Dysregulation of any of these intricate processes can lead to severe 51 mitochondrial dysfunctions and diseases, including neurodegenerative diseases, cardiovascular disorders, 52 myopathies, obesity, and cancers, which can manifest in a cell type- and tissue-specific manner (Suomalainen and Battersby, 2018). 53

54 The fitness of mitochondria depends on the production and maintenance of functional as well as versatile 55 proteomes specialized to carry out a variety of functions within the eukaryotic metabolism and meet

56 diverse cellular and tissue-specific requirements (Kuznetsov et al., 2009). The mitochondrial proteome 57 includes over a thousand proteins (see below), but only a small fraction of 13 proteins are encoded on the 58 circular mitochondrial DNA molecule (Anderson et al., 1981). Thus, the majority of mitochondrial proteins 59 are encoded by the nuclear genome, synthesized outside of mitochondria and subsequently imported into 60 the organelle, implying that mechanisms controlling mitochondrial protein quality (e.g., correct protein 61 folding and import) are essential for health and integrity of mitochondria (Jadiya and Tomar, 2020). 62 Furthermore, investigations of mitochondrial dynamics and functional plasticity have revealed regulatory 63 roles for post-translational modifications (PTMs), including phosphorylation (Niemi and Pagliarini, 2021). 64 Studies have shown that phosphorylation of several mitochondrial proteins is involved in the regulation 65 of central processes such as metabolic function, for instance through phosphorylation of the E1alpha 66 subunit of PDH (Patel et al., 2014), mitophagy (Kolitsida et al., 2019) and fission (Cribbs and Strack, 2007; 67 Ducommun et al., 2015; Lewis et al., 2018; Taguchi et al., 2007; Toyama et al., 2016). Thus, deregulation 68 of protein phosphorylation might be an important underlying feature of mitochondrial physiology and 69 pathophysiology. Currently, there is a significant knowledge gap of the mitochondrial variable proteomic 70 composition and to what extend it is phosphorylated in a tissue-specific manner and how post-71 translational regulation influences organelle function. A detailed understanding of the functional 72 specialization of mitochondria at the protein and phosphorylation levels is needed to elucidate the 73 contribution of mitochondria to health and disease.

Large-scale mass spectrometry (MS)-based quantitative proteomics studies from our and other groups have already shed light on the proteomic composition of mitochondria of various mammalian tissues and cell types, mostly highlighting that the majority of proteins are shared between mitochondria of different tissues (Forner et al., 2006; Mootha et al., 2003; Pagliarini et al., 2008). The breadth and depth of such studies has been largely driven by technological advances in the field in combination with improvements of mitochondria isolation procedures, such as differential centrifugation (DC), DC in conjunction with

80 ultracentrifugation on e.g. Percoll gradients, magnetic bead-assisted methods (MACS) (Kappler et al., 81 2016) or MitoTags (Bavraktar et al., 2019). Efforts in defining the mitochondrial proteome lead to 82 databases like MitoCarta2.0 and IMPI (http://impi.mrc-mbu.cam.ac.uk/), both integrated in Mitominer4.0 83 (Smith and Robinson, 2019). A recent quantitative and high confidence proteome of human mitochondria 84 identified 1134 different proteins that vary over six orders in magnitude in abundance (Morgenstern et 85 al., 2021). Similarly, efforts have been undertaken to map the mitochondrial phosphoproteome, and 86 identified dozens to hundreds of phosphorylation sites on mitochondrial proteins (listed in Supplementary 87 Table 1). However, there has been a dramatic improvement in the technology of phosphoproteomics workflows during the last years, leading to the routine identification and quantification of thousands of 88 89 phosphorylation sites in cell culture and in vivo systems (Bekker-Jensen et al., 2020; Humphrey et al., 90 2018) which had not been available in earlier studies. Furthermore, comparisons between mitochondrial 91 phosphoproteomes have been difficult because only a single or a few tissues were analyzed. This 92 complicates the combination and comparison of data sets across studies to obtain a clear view of 93 mitochondrial diversity on proteome and phosphoproteome levels. Thus, a concerted effort is needed to 94 systematically and quantitatively profile mitochondrial proteomes together with their matching 95 phosphoproteomes from the same biological source. This would further help to investigate the dynamic composition of mitochondria and help identify the tissue-specific repertoire of mitochondria-resident 96 97 kinases and phosphatases and their substrate associations.

Here we performed a systematic analysis of the mitochondrial composition at the level of proteins, major
functional entities, and phosphorylation in seven mouse tissues – brain, brown adipose tissue (BAT), heart,
kidney, liver, skeletal muscle (SKM), and spleen. Our study employs state of the art MS-based proteomics
technology to systematically map divergent composition and phosphorylation of mitochondria between
tissues and provides functionally valuable insights into their proteome and post-translational regulations.
This study contributes to our understanding of tissue-specific mitochondrial processes controlled by

- 104 protein abundance and phosphorylation and helps to manipulate these in health and disease. Our
- 105 mitochondrial (phopho)proteomes are composed into an extensive resource and made freely accessible
- 106 via mitophos.de.

## 107 Results

#### 108 Comprehensive mitochondria proteome coverage across various mouse tissues

109 To advance our understanding of tissue-specific functional specializations of mitochondria at the protein 110 level, we set out to characterized proteomes of mitochondria collected from various mouse tissues by LC-111 MS/MS analysis. To this end, we first isolated mitochondria from seven tissues – brain, brown adipose 112 tissue (BAT), heart, kidney, liver, skeletal muscle (SKM), spleen - from six 18-21 weeks old C57BL/6N mice 113 (three females and three males). Mouse tissues were homogenized with a Dounce homogenizer, and 114 crude mitochondria were isolated via differential centrifugation and subsequently purified on a Percoll density gradient to obtain ultra-pure mitochondria isolates (Kuhl et al., 2017). Importantly, this procedure 115 116 was shown to efficiently exclude contaminations from other cellular compartments (Wieckowski et al., 117 2009). Proteomes of these ultra-pure mitochondrial samples were acquired by a state-of-the-art 118 proteomics workflow (Figure 1A), allowing the robust identification and quantification (coefficient of 119 variation (CV) < 20%) of proteins that covered a dynamic range of more than five orders of magnitude 120 (Supplementary File 1).

121 In total, we identified over 7000 proteins of which 1620 were annotated as mitochondrial proteins using 122 MitoCarta3.0 (Rath et al., 2021) and the IMPI (http://impi.mrc-mbu.cam.ac.uk/) database. This essentially 123 covers (92%) the mitochondrial proteome by the measure of MitoCarta3.0 and even in the IMPI database, 124 which also includes predicted mitochondrial proteins, we still identified 62% (Figure 1B). For further 125 analysis, we filtered for proteins identified in more than half of the biological replicates in at least one 126 tissue, which resulted in 1548 mitochondrial proteins. This still represents over 90% of MitoCarta3.0 and 127 59% of the IMPI databases and highlights the deep and reproducible mitochondrial proteome coverage 128 of this study (Figure 1C). Interestingly, half of these mitochondrial proteins were identified across all 129 tissues while only 9% were exclusively detected in one specific tissue (Figure 1C), confirming previous

reports on mitochondrial proteomes by us and others (Calvo and Mootha, 2010; Forner *et al.*, 2006; Johnson et al., 2007; Mootha *et al.*, 2003). Of these, almost half (65 proteins) were both reproducibly identified and not in the lowest 20% of ranked abundances (Supplementary File 2), making them clear candidates for tissue specific mitochondrial proteins. A similar proportion of the mitochondrial proteome was also exclusive to two or more tissues by the same criterion.

135 Mitochondrial enrichment efficiency can be determined by the proportion of summed signal intensity for 136 mitochondrial proteins in relation to all identified proteins in measured samples (Williams et al., 2018). 137 Applying this strategy, we determined the proportions to be very high (>95%) for liver, kidney, SKM, heart 138 and BAT, but lower for brain (65%) and spleen (45%) (Figure 2A). These trends are consistent with the 139 established literature (Fecher et al., 2019; McLaughlin et al., 2020; Roberts et al., 2021; Williams et al., 140 2018) and can likely be explained by the high tissue heterogeneity of brain (Fecher et al., 2019; Menacho 141 and Prigione, 2020) and spleen. Indeed, spleen tissue consists of various types of immune cells (Lewis et 142 al., 2019), which might impede high purity enrichment of its organelles. For brain, contaminations by 143 synaptosomes, which themselves contain neuronal mitochondria, have frequently been observed 144 (Mootha et al., 2003). Yet, correlation of both mitochondrial and all identified proteins between biological replicates vielded Pearson correlation coefficients higher than 95% in all tissues (Figure 2B and Figure 2-145 146 figure supplement 1A). Principle component analysis (PCA) further shows that biological replicates cluster 147 together and underlines functional similarities between tissues such as heart and SKM as well as tissue 148 related diversity of mitochondria proteomes (Figure 2C and Figure 2-figure supplement 1B).

149



#### 151 Figure 1 Mitochondrial proteome and phosphoproteome preparation

(A) Workflow of tissue preparations for mitochondrial proteome and phosphoproteome enrichment, and LC-MS/MS analysis
(n=6). Tissues were first homogenized (\* for skeletal muscle, see Methods), crude mitochondria were isolated and ultrapure
mitochondria were obtained using a Percoll gradient. Proteins were digested and prepared for phosphoproteome analysis via
TiO<sub>2</sub> enrichment or subjected to LC-MS/MS. (B) Distinct protein identification across biological replicates (n=6). Annotation of
proteins as mitochondrial is based on MitoCarta3.0 and the IMPI database. (C) Mitochondrial protein numbers after filtering
for mitochondrial proteins identified in at least 50% of biological replicates (n=6) in at least one tissue. Skeletal muscle (SKM),
brown adipose tissue (BAT). See also Figure 1 – Source Data 1.

159



160

#### 161 Figure 2 Mitochondria enriched samples show tissue-specific clustering

(A) Mitochondrial (red) and not mitochondrial (blue) proteins identified, based on the MitoCarta3.0 and IMPI database, are
ranked by their intensity for each individual tissue. Histograms on the top and right display the distribution of mitochondrial
(red) and not mitochondrial (blue) proteins along the rank and the Intensity axis, respectively. The percentage of all identified
mitochondrial (red) and not mitochondrial (blue) proteins and their summed intensities are displayed in bar graphs. (B)
Heatmap showing Pearson correlation coefficient for biological replicates (n=6) for mitochondrial proteins of all mitochondrial
enriched samples. (C) Principal component analysis of mitochondrial proteins of all acquired biological replicates (n=6). Skeletal
muscle (SKM), brown adipose tissue (BAT). See also Figure 2 – Source Data 1.

#### 169 Mitochondrial proteome composition reveals tissue-specific functions

170 To gain further insights into tissue-specific functional differences in mitochondria, we investigated 171 differences in the composition of mitochondrial proteomes across tissues. First, we focused on the 172 oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) system, which is essential for production of the energy-rich 173 metabolite ATP and other processes like free radical generation and apoptosis (Huttemann et al., 2007). 174 We found that proteins of the electron transport chain (Complex I – Complex IV) and ATP-synthase (Complex V) displayed high abundances in heart and SKM tissues, supporting the physiological 175 176 requirement of high ATP levels in both muscular tissues to sustain processes like muscle contraction 177 (Ferreira et al., 2010; Ventura-Clapier et al., 2011) (Figure 3A). Conversely, levels of Complex V proteins were substantially lower in mitochondria from BAT compared to all other measured tissues (Figure 3A), 178 179 which agrees with the specialized function of BAT in non-shivering thermogenesis (Jastroch et al., 2010; 180 Kajimura and Saito, 2014; Oelkrug et al., 2015). This was further supported by the high abundance of the 181 uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1) in our proteome measurements, the key mediator of the heat-generating 182 proton leak in the mitochondria of BAT (Figure 3B).

183 In contrast to the well described UCP1, several other members of the SLC25 family remain uncharacterized 184 (Ruprecht and Kunji, 2020). In our dataset, we identified a total of 47 members of the SLC25 family (Kunji 185 et al., 2020). We found that levels of SLC25A23 and SLC25A25, two ATP-Mg<sup>2+</sup>/P<sub>i</sub> carrier paralogues, (del Arco and Satrustegui, 2004; Fiermonte et al., 2004), displayed high abundance in mitochondria of brain 186 187 tissue (Figure 3C and Figure 3D). Interestingly, knockout of SLC25A23 was shown to increase neuronal 188 vulnerability (Rueda et al., 2015), which corroborates our observation and suggests an important role of 189 SLC25A25 in this tissue type. We also quantified a third paralogue, SLC25A24, which showed a higher 190 abundance in spleen tissue. Notably, the spleen harbors a large pool of B-cells and reduced SLC25A24 191 levels were previously linked to B-cell malignancies (Sandhu et al., 2013) (Figure 3E). Although these three 192 ATP-Mg<sup>2+</sup>/P<sub>i</sub> carriers were not detected in mitochondria from heart tissue, we identified another class of

- 193 ATP carriers, including SLC25A4 or SLC25A31, which both showed increased abundance in heart compared
- 194 to all other measured tissues. Such differences between mitochondrial proteome compositions are easily
- retrieved from our dataset, facilitating a better understanding of mitochondrial plasticity across tissues.





197 Figure 3 Tissue-specific protein contribution of SLC25 proteins the mitochondrial proteome

198 (A) Representation of the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) system including from left to right the electron transport chain 199 (Complex I (blue), Complex II (violet), Complex III (red), Complex IV (green)) and ATP synthase (Complex V (yellow)). Radar plots 200 show the relative contribution of the corresponding complex across the analyzed tissues to the overall mitochondrial 201 composition (see methods for detailed description). (B) Normalized intensity (median of all log<sub>2</sub> transformed mitochondrial 202 proteins of a sample was subtracted from all  $\log_2$  protein intensities of that sample) of the uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1), (C) 203 SLC25A23, (D) SLC25A25, and (E) SLC25A24 across all analyzed tissues (black dots indicate individual identifications). Protein 204 abundance differences in relation to the reference tissue (BAT in B, Brain in C and D and spleen in E) were significant (p-value 205 <0.0001) by one-way ANOVA analysis (Figure 3 - Source Data 1). Data in this figure is based on the analysis of six replicates 206 (n=6) for each tissue. Skeletal muscle (SKM), brown adipose tissue (BAT). See also Figure 3 – Source Data 1.

207

#### 208 Tissue-specific function of mitochondria-associated proteins

209 The identification of key proteins mediating the crosstalk of mitochondria with their cellular environment 210 is crucial to better understand their tissue-specific regulation and this concept has already attracted 211 considerable interest in recent years (Montes de Oca Balderas, 2021). Although the characterization of 212 local proteomes of organellar contact sites usually require special centrifugation-based isolation methods, we anticipated that a considerable fraction of mitochondria-associated proteins would also enrich along 213 214 with mitochondria in our samples. We first performed an annotation term enrichment analysis of all 215 identified proteins. While we observed significant enrichment of several mitochondria related terms in all 216 tissues as expected, the non-mitochondrial protein pool was largely enriched for terms related to the 217 tissue of origin (Figure 4 – supplement 1, Figure 4 - Source Data 1). For instance, terms like 'epoxygenase 218 P450 pathway' in liver, 'positive regulation of B cell activation' in spleen or 'positive regulation of 219 excitatory postsynaptic potential' in brain tissue highlight tissue-specific functions.

Next, we performed a network analysis to evaluate the nature and quality of co-enriched proteins, more
 specifically whether non-mitochondrial proteins identified in these samples are associating proteins with
 functional roles or biological contaminations that are likely tissue-specific and high abundant. This analysis

223 revealed several clusters of known mitochondrial complexes such as the Tim23 complex or processes like 224 the ubiquinone biosynthetic process, whose members were robustly identified in all tissues, and several 225 tissue-specific clusters including both mitochondrial and non-mitochondrial proteins (Figure 4). For 226 instance, in line with terms enriched for co-sedimenting proteins in liver tissue, we identified a cluster of 227 cytochrome P450 superfamily members exclusively in liver tissue (Figure 4). Intriguingly, CYP2E1 and 228 CYP1A2, two specific members of this family, were previously shown to be targeted to the mitochondria 229 (Avadhani et al., 2011; Genter et al., 2006; Robin et al., 2001), and we now find evidence of the enrichment 230 of many more family members in this tissue. Another identified cluster consisted of G proteins, some of 231 which were identified throughout all tissues and previously annotated as mitochondrial (e.g. GNB1, GNB2 232 and GNG5) or shown to localize to mitochondria (GNAI2) (Beninca et al., 2014). Interestingly, like the majority of the proteins in the G protein cluster, many G protein coupled receptors (GPCR) were 233 234 exclusively identified in brain tissue. A prominent member of these brain specific GPCRs is CNR1, which 235 was reported to localize to mitochondria where it plays an important role in the regulation of memory 236 processes through the modulation of the mitochondrial energy metabolism (Hebert-Chatelain et al., 237 2016). These examples highlight the value of our dataset for uncovering novel mitochondrial and 238 mitochondria-associated proteins in an unbiased way.



239

#### 240 Figure 4 Proteome of mitochondria enriched samples displays tissue-specific complexes

Cytoscape network analysis of reproducibly (> 50% identification rate in at least one tissue, six replicates were analyzed (n=6)) identified proteins of all mitochondria enriched samples. The main network depicts mitochondrial (orange) and nonmitochondrial (blue) proteins with at least one edge (String score >0.95). The size of individual nodes represents the number of identifications ranging from 3 (small circle) to 42 (big circle). Subnetworks display mitochondrial (orange) and non-mitochondrial

(blue) proteins and tissues in which they were identified (dark green – brain; yellow – spleen; light green – liver; pink – kidney;
 ochre – SKM; blue – heart; orange – BAT). Skeletal muscle (SKM), brown adipose tissue (BAT). See also Figure 4 – Source Data 1.

247

#### 248 Mitochondrial kinases and phosphatases show tissue specificity

Post-translational modification of proteins, specifically phosphorylation, plays a crucial role in the orchestration of mitochondrial protein function (Niemi and Pagliarini, 2021). However, almost no mitochondrial kinases with mitochondrial targeting sequences have been consistently reported and most kinases shown to associate with mitochondria have been found on or interact with the outer membrane (Kotrasova et al., 2021). Given our deep mitochondrial proteomes, we investigated relative abundances of kinases and phosphatases, which are annotated as or suggested to be mitochondrial, across mouse tissues.

256 Firstly, we observed clear differences in abundances of identified mitochondrial kinases and 257 phosphatases, including well described matrix kinases and phosphatases, between tissues (Figure 5A). For 258 instance, PDK1, PDK2, and PDK4 contributed preferentially to the composition of heart, SKM and BAT 259 mitochondria, while the PDK3 was more abundant in brain, spleen, and kidney mitochondria. These tissue-260 related differences are in line with earlier reports and suggest a specialized function of PDK3, which may 261 originate in its insensitivity to pyruvate inhibition (Klyuyeva et al., 2019; Sadana et al., 2018). Similarly, 262 levels of the heterodimeric pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase consisting of PDP1 and PDPr were 263 elevated in brain, SKM, and heart compared to the remaining tissues, whereas PDP2 contributes more to 264 the composition of liver, kidney, and BAT mitochondria. While our study confirmed previous reports on 265 the differential expressions of these proteins in a tissue-specific manner (Huang et al., 1998; Huang et al., 266 2003), it also provided quantitative data to assess the magnitude of these differences. Together, our 267 results imply a tailored regulation of kinase and phosphatase abundances across tissues to modulate the 268 mitochondrial phosphoproteome.

269 To investigate if and how kinase and phosphatase levels translate into protein phosphorylation, we 270 analyzed the mitochondrial phosphoproteomes of the same samples collected from all seven tissues 271 (Figure 1A). This analysis resulted in the identification of 1263 phosphorylation sites on 626 mitochondrial 272 proteins (Figure 5B, Figure 5 - Source Data 1). After stringent filtering of the data for more than four identifications across six biological replicates in at least one tissue and a site localization score higher than 273 274 75%, we obtained a dataset of 758 phosphorylation sites on 423 mitochondrial proteins (Figure 5C, Figure 275 5 - Source Data 1). Of these high confidence sites, 16% have previously not been reported in mouse 276 according to the PhosphoSitePlus (PSP) database (Hornbeck et al., 2012). Strikingly, in contrast to the 277 mitochondrial proteomes, 37% of the phosphosites identified on mitochondrial proteins were exclusive 278 to one tissue, and only 8% were identified in all the tissues measured (Figure 5C). This might indicate that 279 mitochondrial diversity is more strongly pronounced at the phosphorylation than the protein level.



280

#### 281 Figure 5 Tissue speceficity of mitochondrial kinases and phosphatases

(A) Z-scored protein abundances for predicted (triangle), known (star) (based on MitoCarta3.0 and IMPI database), and manually
curated (Figure 5 - Source Data 1) mitochondrial kinases (top) and phosphatases (bottom) across analyzed tissues. Skeletal muscle
(SKM), brown adipose tissue (BAT). (B) STY site identification numbers. (C) Mitochondrial STY site numbers after filtering for
mitochondrial STY sites identified in at least 5 out of 6 biological replicates in one tissue. Identification numbers for all identified
STY sites (left), STY sites common to all tissues (middle), and STY sites exclusive to one tissue (right) are shown. Data in this figure
is based on the analysis of six replicates (n=6) for each tissue. Skeletal muscle (SKM), brown adipose tissue (BAT). See also Figure
5 – Source Data 1.

#### 289 Mitochondrial phosphoproteomes exhibit extensive intra-mitochondrial phosphorylation

290 To understand the distribution of mitochondrial phosphoproteins across mitochondrial compartments we 291 examined the sub-mitochondrial localization based on the curated MitoCarta3.0 annotation. 292 Mitochondria are typically divided into four main compartments, i.e. mitochondrial outer membrane 293 (OMM), intermembrane space (IMS), inner mitochondrial membrane (IMM) and matrix, although the 294 complex organization of the IMM possibly may define additional compartments (Colina-Tenorio et al., 295 2020). In line with the high proportion of shared mitochondrial proteomes across all tissues (Figure 1C), 296 the overall localization of proteins was not different between tissues and closely resembled the 297 distribution of all annotated mitochondrial proteins in the database (Figure 6A). However, when 298 performing the same analysis using the phosphorylated mitochondrial proteins, we observed a significant 299 shift towards a localization to the OMM in all tissues (adj. p-values <6.4 x  $10^{-10}$ )(Figure 6A, Figure 6 – 300 supplement 1). Surprisingly, our data also showed that depending on the tissue type, more than 60% of 301 phosphorylated mitochondrial proteins had an intra-mitochondrial annotation - IMM, IMS or matrix 302 localization - (Figure 6A, Figure 6 – supplement 1). Interestingly, 36-54% of OMM, but only 7-22% of intra-303 mitochondrial proteins were phosphorylated. Here, especially brain (10%) and spleen (7%) tissues showed 304 low intramitochondrial phosphorylation rates.

305 This prompted us to further investigate the localization of specific kinase-substrate associations (KSA) 306 across sub-mitochondrial localizations using NetworKin3.0 (Horn et al., 2014). Prominently, more than 307 40% of the predicted KSA in the IMM were linked to the PKC kinase family (Figure 6B). Studies have already 308 reported the localization of PKC kinase family members to mitochondria, as well as an increased 309 phosphorylation of the IMM protein COX IV after PKCɛ activation (Baines et al., 2002; Jaburek et al., 2006; 310 Majumder et al., 2000; Ogbi and Johnson, 2006; Ping et al., 2002). Moreover, the MAPK group appeared 311 to act on proteins localized to the OMM and matrix, while the PDHK family was specifically associated 312 with the matrix proteins (Figure 6B). The members of the latter kinase family are known to localize to the

- 313 mitochondria matrix (Hitosugi et al., 2011), further supporting the validity of identified KSA. However,
- 314 molecular studies are needed to investigate such KSA, whether phosphorylation of intra-mitochondrial
- 315 proteins occurs in situ or outside mitochondria before being imported into mitochondria, how and which
- kinases/phosphatases translocate to or into mitochondria and whether these phosphorylation events are
- 317 functionally relevant.





#### 319 Figure 6 Localization distribution of mitochondrial phosphoproteome diverges from mitochondrial

#### 320 proteome

(A) Simplified scheme of a mitochondrion with four different mitochondrial localizations – outer mitochondrial membrane
 (OMM), intermembrane space (IMS), inner mitochondrial membrane (IMM), matrix – and the distribution of mitochondrial
 proteins contained in and classified by the MitoCarta3.0 database. Bar graphs show the precentral distribution of mitochondrial
 proteins (left), phosphoproteins (middle) and STY sites (right) across different mitochondrial localizations. (B) Predicted Kinase
 substrate associations (KSA) by the NetworKin3.0 tool for selected kinase families. Data in this figure is based on the analysis of
 six replicates for 7 different tissues. See also Figure 6 – Source Data 1.

#### 328 Mitochondrial phosphoproteome reveals tissue-specific modulation of fusion and fission

#### 329 events

The tissue-specific phosphorylation of mitochondrial proteins suggested functional differences in mitochondria and prompted us to investigate the influence of phosphorylation on mitochondrial dynamics. We focused on proteins involved in mitochondrial fusion and fission, two important counteracting events involved in organelle distribution, size balancing and maintenance of a healthy mitochondrial network (Liu et al., 2020; Silva Ramos et al., 2019). Especially proteins involved in the fission process are regulated by a range of protein modifications, including phosphorylation (Cribbs and Strack, 2007; Taguchi *et al.*, 2007; van der Bliek et al., 2013).

337 Throughout all tissues, MIGA1 (FAM73A) and MIGA2 (FAM73B), two homologues regulating 338 mitochondrial fusion by functioning downstream of the mitofusins, showed different abundances (Figure 339 6 – supplement 2). This is especially interesting since MIGA1 and MIGA2 can form hetero and 340 homodimers, highlighting a different regulation of fusion in different tissues (Zhang et al., 2016). 341 Moreover, we identified multiple phosphorylation site clusters on MIGA2, while none were identified on 342 MIGA1 (Figure 6 – supplement 2). Intriguingly, similar phosphorylation clusters were identified on Miga 343 in Drosophila melanogaster (Xu et al., 2020). Interestingly, two phosphorylation sites on Miga, S246 and 344 S249, were reported to be essential for Vap33 interaction and the establishment of endoplasmic reticulum-mitochondria contact site (ERMCS), suggesting that phosphorylation on MIGA2 has similar 345 346 functions in mammals (Xu et al., 2020).

Moreover, we observed that GTPase dynamin-related protein 1 (DRP1), a crucial player initiating mitochondrial fission (Bleazard et al., 1999; Cereghetti et al., 2008), displayed higher abundance in brain compared to other tissues (Figure 7A). This observation supports the importance of mitochondrial fission in neurons, where mitochondria switch to a fragmented morphology to enter and travel through axons

(Lewis *et al.*, 2018). Additionally, we also found elevated levels of serine 622 phosphorylation on DRP1 in
brain tissue. This site has been shown to regulate DRP1 translocation to mitochondria (Cereghetti *et al.*,
2008; Cribbs and Strack, 2007; Taguchi *et al.*, 2007), indicating that it is actively localized to mitochondria,
likely to regulate constant fission events in the brain tissue (Figure 7B).

355 In mammals, four DRP1 receptor proteins, that are all integral membrane proteins of the OMM, have 356 been reported: mitochondrial fission protein 1 (FIS1), mitochondrial fission factor (MFF), and 357 mitochondrial dynamics protein MiD49 (MIEF1) and MiD51 (MIEF2) (Loson et al., 2013). MFF and FIS1, 358 the fission promoting receptors, were robustly quantified in all tissues and displayed higher abundances 359 in brain and spleen compared to other tissues (Figure 7A). However, MIEF1/2, which counteract DRP1-360 mediated fission (Dikov and Reichert, 2011; Liu et al., 2013), were generally too low to be robustly 361 quantified in the measured tissues. Additionally, we detected higher levels of MFF phosphorylation at the 362 serine 129 and 146 residues in brain tissue compared to all other tissues in which they were detected 363 (Figure 7C and 7D). Both sites are essential for the recruitment of DRP1 and initiation of fission 364 (Ducommun et al., 2015; Lewis et al., 2018; Toyama et al., 2016).

365 Elevated DRP1 levels have been shown to increase ROS levels (Watanabe et al., 2014). Intriguingly, we 366 identified oxidation resistance 1 (OXR1), exclusively in the mitochondria of brain tissue, where it plays an 367 important role in the protection of neuronal cells from oxidative stress (Volkert and Crowley, 2020). This 368 likely indicates a protective function of OXR1 in brain tissue as a response to the prevalent fragmented 369 organellar morphology induced by DRP1. In addition, we found OXR1 to be hyperphosphorylated and 370 three out of 12 high confidence sites were novel (Figure 7E). Tissue specificity and the lack of functional 371 annotation of phosphorylation sites that are identified in our study make OXR1 an exciting candidate to 372 be investigated in the future.



373

#### 374 Figure 7 Phosphoproteome reveals tissue-specific functionality for mitochondrial fission

(A) Scheme displays the reversible phosphorylation and the connected localization change to mitochondria of DRP1 (green).
Normalized intensities (median of all log<sub>2</sub> transformed mitochondrial proteins of a sample was subtracted from all log<sub>2</sub> protein
intensities of that sample) of DRP1 across all analyzed tissues (black dots indicate individual identifications) are displayed in the
upper left. Mitochondrial DRP1 receptors – FIS1 (blue), MIEF1/2 (violet), MFF (red) – and corresponding box plots are shown next

379 to their receptors. (B) Normalized intensities (median of all log2 transformed mitochondrial phosphopeptide of a sample was 380 subtracted from all log<sub>2</sub> peptide intensities of that sample) of the phosphopeptide showing S622 phosphorylation on DRP1 (black 381 dots indicate individual identifications). (C) Same as (B) showing \$129 phosphorylation on MFF. (D) Same as (B) showing \$146 382 phosphorylation on MFF. Significance of protein abundance differences in relation to the reference tissue (brain for DRP1 panel, 383 brain and spleen in FIS1 and MFF panel) were estimated (p-values <0.001, except for SKM in FIS1 panel) by one-way ANOVA 384 analysis (Figure 7 - Source Data 1). Significance of phosphosites abundance differences in (B) and (C, only brain and liver) were 385 estimated by a two-side t-test (Figure 7 - Source Data 1). Significance of MFF\_S\_146 abundance differences in relation to the 386 reference tissue (brain) were estimated (p-value<0.05) by one-way ANOVA analysis (Figure 7 - Source Data 1). Data in this figure 387 is based on the analysis of six replicates (n=6). Skeletal muscle (SKM), brown adipose tissue (BAT). See also Figure 7 – Source 388 Data 1.

389

#### 390 Web application makes Mouse Mitochondria Atlas data readily accessible

As indicated by the above examples, this study presents a rich resource to explore the mitochondrial proteomes and phosphoproteomes across mouse tissues. Preceding examples show the potential of this resource for investigation of tissue-specific mitochondrial regulations on the proteome and phosphoproteome level, ultimately permitting the generation and analysis of new hypotheses. However, utilization of such resources largely depends on the ease of data access for exploration.

396 To this end, we created a web application mitophos.de offering the end user an interface to easily explore 397 datasets, including MitoCarta3.0 networks, abundance comparisons across tissues and sequence analysis 398 (Figure 8A). As an example, Figure 8 illustrates the MICOS complex, which has a central role in 399 mitochondria (Khosravi and Harner, 2020). In the network view one can see (I) members of the complex 400 as well as the phosphorylation sites identified on these proteins and (II) in which tissues and how 401 reproducibly they are identified in our dataset (Figure 8B). Moreover, the user can inspect individual 402 abundance distributions of all identified proteins/STY sites across all measured tissues. For instance, 403 MINOS1, a core component of the MICOS complex (Bohnert et al., 2015), displayed high abundance in

mitochondria isolated from heart, SKM and BAT tissues (Figure 8C). Moreover, in the sequence view the
AlphaMap tool (Voytik et al., 2021) is integrated to map all identified peptides, including phosphorylated
peptides, onto their respective protein sequence along with structural information such as topological
domains and transmembrane regions (Figure 8D) and to visualize phosphorylation sites in their 3D
structures (unpublished data) as predicted by AlphaFold (Jumper et al., 2021).
Together, this data-rich and comprehensive tool is an entry point to investigate the herein presented

- 410 resource and will assist in future efforts to functionally characterize mitochondrial proteins and their
- 411 respective phosphorylation sites.



413

#### 414 Figure 8 Web application readily enables easy data access

(A) Scheme of Mouse Mitochondria Atlas application features (<u>mitophos.de</u>). (B) MICOS complex view based on MitoCarta3.0
annotation. Large and small nodes represent proteins and class I STY sites, respectively. Edges represent on String interaction
scores >0.4 and color of nodes indicate the number of tissues in which proteins/STY sites were identified. (C) Normalized
intensities (median of all log<sub>2</sub> transformed mitochondrial proteins of a sample was subtracted from all log<sub>2</sub> protein intensities of

- 419 that sample) for Minos1 across all analyzed tissues (black dots indicate individual identifications, box and error bar). (D) Sequence
- 420 plot of Micos1 shows structural information (based on UniProt annotations) and protein coverage based on identified peptides.
- 421 All identified STY sites are marked with a star. Data in this figure is based on the analysis of six replicates (n=6). Skeletal muscle
- 422 (SKM), brown adipose tissue (BAT).

## 423 Discussion

424 Here we present a tissue-specific atlas of mouse mitochondrial proteomes and phosphoproteomes, an in-425 depth resource towards a better understanding of the composition and function of this vital organelle in 426 a tissue-specific manner. Previous MS-based studies combining mitochondrial phosphoproteome and 427 proteome measurements typically focused on a single or few tissues and were generally shallower than 428 our study. In addition, differences in study designs such as utilization of various organisms or 429 mitochondria/phosphopeptide enrichment protocols, analysis pipelines, and mitochondrial protein 430 annotation databases, complicate the integration of such datasets to understand tissue specificity. We 431 now globally and precisely quantified different protein expression and phosphorylation patterns at 432 subcellular level across seven mouse tissues, providing a detailed view on mitochondrial diversity. The 433 breadth and depth of coverage achieved by the integration of tissue-specific mitochondrial proteomes 434 and phosphoproteomes provide unbiased insights into the mitochondrial composition and function. This 435 allows the generation and assessment of novel hypotheses related to mitochondrial biology, which cannot 436 be generated with focused studies alone. Integrated mitochondrial proteomes and phosphoproteomes 437 that are diverse between tissues can readily be explored at mitophos.de.

438 Our data revealed that the functional diversity of mitochondria is defined by protein abundance rather 439 than compositional differences since more than half of the mitochondrial proteome was shared by all 440 analyzed tissues and 90% by at least two tissues. For instance, the electron transport chain is an integral 441 part of the mitochondrial composition and its components are found across all tissues, however, their abundance shows substantial differences to meet tissue-specific energy demands. Thus, dysregulation of 442 443 individual proteins can strongly affect mitochondria in one tissue, leading to severe diseases, while 444 mitochondria in a different tissue remain largely unaffected. Moreover, we found that 9% of the proteome 445 displays tissue specificity, further contributing to our understanding of tissue-specific effects of

446 mitochondrial protein dysregulation (Russell et al., 2020). This is an important concept, which can now be 447 studied in an unbiased manner using our resource data, providing opportunities for development of 448 targeted treatments for mitochondrial diseases. For instance, members of the SLC25 family are linked to 449 metabolic diseases in distinct tissues (Palmieri and Monne, 2016) and various cancers (Rochette et al., 450 2020). However, biological functions of a large repertoire of mitochondrial SLCs are still unknown. For 451 example, inactivation of SLC25A25 was assessed in mouse skeletal muscle tissue where it caused a 452 reduced metabolic efficiency (Anunciado-Koza et al., 2011). Given its high abundance in mitochondria of 453 brain tissue and in glioma cells (Traba et al., 2012), it will also be interesting to investigate its role in this tissue, particularly whether SLC25A25 deficiency influences neuronal fitness. 454

455 Mitochondria are essential cellular entities that are involved in a wide variety of cellular processes 456 (McBride et al., 2006) through dynamic interaction and constant communication with other organelles 457 such as the (ER), nucleus and peroxisomes via membrane contact sites (Desai et al., 2020; Perrone et al., 458 2020; Shai et al., 2018) or protein complexes, such as the ribosome (Lashkevich and Dmitriev, 2021). We 459 suggest that non-mitochondrial proteins identified in the samples might present signatures that could 460 convey important biological information regarding mitochondria-associated structures. Given the high 461 level of mitochondrial enrichment combined with highly reproducible LC-MS/MS measurements, such 462 protein signatures are unlikely to be solely based on unspecific enrichment of abundant proteins. For 463 example, the proteasome is robustly identified in most of the mitochondria enriched samples, which is in 464 line with its involvement in the degradation of misfolded mitochondrial proteins (Basch et al., 2020; 465 Kodron et al., 2021). We also prominently observed that several members of the cytochrome P450 466 superfamily are enriched in liver mitochondria, demonstrating the versatile interaction of mitochondria 467 with their cellular environment. Furthermore, our data identified non-mitochondrial ribosomal proteins 468 in all tissues, which could be explained by the local translation of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial mRNAs 469 (Lashkevich and Dmitriev, 2021). It was recently shown that RNA-bearing late endosomes associate with

470 mitochondria and ribosomes forming hotspots of local protein synthesis in axons (Cioni et al., 2019) and
471 that mitochondria fuel such local translation in neurons, enabling synaptic plasticity (Rangaraju et al.,
472 2019).

473 There is mounting evidence that phosphorylation of mitochondrial proteins fulfills important functions to 474 maintain cellular health as exemplified by the fission process in this study. Deregulation of mitochondrial 475 protein phosphorylation can lead to diseases such as cancer, diabetes, heart and neurological disorders. 476 It was recently reported that 91% of mitochondrial proteins on MitoCarta3.0 have at least one 477 phosphorylation site reported on the PSP database (Niemi and Pagliarini, 2021). However, this analysis 478 also includes proteins that do not always localize to mitochondria (Ben-Menachem et al., 2011) and 479 phosphorylation sites that can specifically be captured upon perturbations and stimuli. Our study revealed 480 that around half of the mitochondria-localized proteins were phosphorylated in tissues at steady state -481 39% in brain, 15% in spleen, 29% in liver, 28% in kidney, 32% in SKM, 31% in heart and 22% in BAT. This 482 suggests that the mitochondrial proteome and phosphoproteome compositions are dynamically 483 modulated in response to environmental changes. Furthermore, we identified over 60 kinases and 10 484 phosphatases that either are localized to mitochondria or associate with mitochondria, providing a global 485 view on important modulators of mitochondrial protein phosphorylation. Mapping tissue-specific 486 mitochondrial kinases and phosphatases is an important step towards understanding their role in the 487 regulation of the mitochondrial phosphoproteome in different tissues and hence developing therapeutics 488 for mitochondrial diseases. For example, a mitochondrial phosphatase, phosphoglycerate mutase family 489 member 5 (PGAM5), has recently emerged as an important regulator of mitochondrial homeostasis. 490 Deletion of PGAM5 has been shown to result in Parkinson's-like movement disorder in mice (Lu et al., 491 2014) and T cell dysfunction in primary cells (Panda et al., 2016). While its diverse roles largely remain to 492 be uncovered (Liang et al., 2021), a novel PGAM5 inhibitor was recently suggested as a potential 493 therapeutic for brain ischemic stroke (Gao et al., 2021). We observed that PGAM5 displays elevated levels

in the mitochondria isolated from brain, SKM and spleen, possibly explaining the tissue-specific
 phenotypes induced by its absence and where in the body molecules targeting its phosphatase activity
 would exert their effects.

497 The data in this study will contribute to our understanding of the tissue-specific composition and function 498 of mitochondria and serve as a gateway for investigation of specific questions related to mitochondrial 499 biology. Future biochemical and more focused investigations are needed to validate our findings and test 500 the hypotheses arising from our study. For instance, it is pivotal to experimentally validate kinase-501 substrate associations of previously unknown phosphorylations on mitochondrial proteins and their 502 functional implications in the cell. Additonally, the impact of those phosphorylations on the localization 503 of target proteins and, more specifically, the question of wheter mitochondrial proteins are 504 phosphorylated before or after entering the mitochondria remain to be investigated. Accessibility, for 505 instance, of previously undescribed phosphorylation sites on mitochondrial proteins can be assessed using 506 advanced structural tools (Jumper et al., 2021) to determine if they are likely targeted by a mitochondrial 507 kinase or a cytoplasmic kinase before being imported (Schober et al., 2021). Moreover, future 508 developments towards better enrichment strategies for the isolation of mitochondria from different 509 tissues and advances in the MS technology will aid to further improve the depth and quality of the 510 mitochondrial proteomes and phosphoproteome.

## 511 Materials and Methods

#### 512 Experimental model and subject details

- 513 Six C57BL/6N mice (3male, 3 female) were housed in a 12-hours light/dark cycle in standard ventilated
- 514 cages under specific-pathogen-free conditions with constant temperature (21°C) and humidity (50 60%)
- and fed ad libitum with a standard mouse diet. At the age of 18-21 weeks mice were sacrificed by cervical
- 516 dislocation. The study was approved by the by the Landesamt für Natur, Umwelt und Verbraucherschutz
- 517 Nordrhein–Westfalen, Germany, and performed in accordance with European law.

#### 518 Tissue preparation and isolation of ultra-pure mitochondria

519 Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and the 7 tissues - heart, skeletal muscle (SKM), brown adipose 520 tissue (BAT), spleen, kidney, liver, and brain - were rapidly removed. Heart, spleen, and kidney, tissues 521 were homogenized in mitochondrial isolation buffer containing 320 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, and 10 mM 522 Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, supplemented with EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor cocktail and PhosSTOP tablets 523 (Roche). For isolation of mitochondria from BAT, liver, and brain the mitochondrial isolation buffer was 524 additionally supplemented with 0.2% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich). Subsequently, crude 525 mitochondria were isolated from the homogenates by two rounds of differential centrifugation (see 526 Figure 1a). Isolation of crude mitochondria from SKM was performed as previously described (Frezza et 527 al., 2007)). Crude mitochondrial pellets from all tissues were further purified on a Percoll density gradient 528 as described recently (Kuhl et al., 2017). Briefly, mitochondrial pellets were washed once in 1xM buffer 529 (220 mM mannitol, 70mM sucrose, 5mM HEPES pH 7.4, 1 mM EGTA pH 7.4; pH was adjusted with 530 potassium hydroxide; supplemented with EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor cocktail and PhosSTOP 531 tablets (Roche)) and subsequently purified on a Percoll (GE healthcare) density gradient of 12%:19%:40% 532 via centrifugation in a SW41 rotor at 42, 000 g at 4°C for 30 min in a Beckman Coulter Optima L- 100 XP 533 ultracentrifuge using 14 mm × 89 mm Ultra-Clear Centrifuge Tubes (Beckman Instruments Inc.). Ultra-

pure mitochondria were harvested at the interphase between 19% and 40% and washed three times with
1xM buffer. Dry mitochondrial pellets were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until further
use.

537 Mitochondrial (phospho)proteome sample preparation

538 Frozen ultra-pure mitochondria pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (4%SDC, 100mM Tris/HCl, pH8.5), 539 boiled for 5 min at 95°C and sonicated in 30 s intervals for 15 min (Bioruptor). Protein concentration was 540 estimated via Tryptophan assay (Kulak et al., 2014) and was adjusted with lysis buffer to a total volume of 541 270 µl containing 400 µg of protein for brain, SKM, liver, heart, kidney, and 140 µg of protein for BAT 542 samples. Proteins were reduced and alkylated by adding 30 µl of 10x reduction/alkylation solution (100 543 mM Tris (2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP) and 400 mM 2-chloroacetamide (CAA), followed by 5min incubation at 45°C. Subsequently, 1:100 Trypsin and LysC were added for overnight protein 544 digestion at 37°C. For proteome analysis 10 µl (brain, SKM, liver, heart, kidney) and 20 µl (BAT, spleen) 545 546 aliquots were taken and loaded on SDB-RPS StageTips. Peptides were washed with 200µl wash buffer 547 (0.2% TFA/2% ACN (vol/vol)) and then eluted with SDB-RPS elution buffer (1.25% NH<sub>4</sub>OH, 80% ACN 548 (vol/vol)) and dried in a SpeedVac. Dried peptides were resuspended in A\* buffer (2% ACN/0.1% TFA).

549 The remaining samples were processed following the EasyPhos protocol for phosphopeptide enrichment 550 (Humphrey et al., 2018). In brief, samples were first mixed with isopropanol and EP buffer (48% TFA, 8 551 mM  $KH_2PO_4$ ), followed by phosphopeptide enrichment with  $5mg TiO_2$  beads per sample (GL Sciences). For 552 this, samples were mixed with  $TiO_2$  beads in loading buffer (6% TFA/80% ACN (vol/vol)) at a concentration 553 of 1 mg/µl and incubated for 5 min at 40°C by shaking at 1200rpm. Subsequently beads were washed four 554 times with 1 ml of wash buffer (5% TFA,60% isopropanol (vol/vol)) and phosphopeptides were eluted from 555 beads using 60 µl of elution buffer (40% ACN, 5% NH₄OH) and concentrated in a SpeedVac for 30 min at 556 45°C. Samples were immediately diluted with 100 μl of SDBRPS loading buffer (99% isopropanol, 1% TFA

(vol/vol)) and loaded on SDB-RPS StageTips. Thereafter, phosphopeptides were washed and eluted as
described above and resuspended in 6 μl A\*.

559 LC-MS/MS

560 For all measurements peptides were loaded onto a 50cm, in-house packed, reversed-phase column (75µm 561 inner diameter, 1. diameter, ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 1.9 µm resin [Dr. Maisch GmbH]) and separated with 562 and binary buffer system consisting of buffer A (0.1% formic acid (FA)) and buffer B (0.1% FA in 80% ACN). 563 The column temperature was controlled by a homemade column oven and maintained at 60°C. For 564 nanoflow liquid chromatography an EASY-nLC 1200 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific), directly coupled 565 online with a Q Exactive HF-X (Thermo Fisher Scientific) via a nano-electrospray source, was operated at 566 a flow rate of 300 nl/min and 350 nl/min for mitochondrial proteome and phosphoproteome 567 measurements, respectively.

For mitochondrial proteome measurements 500µg of peptides were loaded and separated using a gradient starting at 5% buffer B, increasing to 30% buffer B in 80 min, 60% buffer B in 4 min and 95% buffer B in 4 min. The MS was operated in DDA mode (Top12) with a full scan range of 300-1650 m/z and a MS1 and MS2 resolution of 60,000 and 15,000, respectively. The automatic gain control (AGC) was set to 3e6 and 1e5 for MS1 and MS2, while the maximum injection time was set to 20 ms and 60 ms, respectively. Precursor ion selection width was kept at 1.4 m/z and fragmentation was achieved by higherenergy collisional dissociation (HCD) (NCE 27%). Dynamic exclusion was enabled and set to 20 s.

For mitochondrial phosphoproteome measurements, 5 μl as loaded and separated using a gradient starting at 3% buffer B, increasing to 19% buffer B in 40 min, 41% buffer B in 20 min and 90% buffer B in 5 min. The MS was operated in DDA mode (Top10) with a full scan range of 300-1600 and a MS1 and MS2 resolution of 60,000 and 15,000, respectively. The automatic gain control (AGC) was set to 3e6 and 1e5 for MS1 and MS2, while the maximum injection time was set to 120 ms and 60 ms, respectively. Precursor

580	ion selection width was kept at 1.6 m/z and fragmentation was achieved by higher-energy collisional
581	dissociation (HCD) (NCE 27%). Dynamic exclusion was enabled and set to 30 s.

#### 582 Raw data analysis

583 DDA raw data were analyzed with MaxQuant (1.6.14.0) against the mouse fasta file (downloaded 19. 584 October 2020) using default settings. PSM and protein dales discovery rate were controlled at 1% FDR. 585 The match between runs (MBR) functionality was enabled and set in a way that only biological replicates 586 belonging to the same tissue type were allowed to match each other. This eliminates the possibility of 587 potentially false MBR identification transfer between tissues. Carbamidomethyl (C) was selected as fixed 588 modification and Acetyl (Protein N-term) and oxidation (M) were defined as variable modifications. For 589 mitochondrial phosphoproteome analysis, STY site phosphorylation was additionally selected as variable 590 modification.

#### 591 **Bioinformatics analysis**

592 Data analysis was performed using the python programing language using python (3.8.12) and the 593 following packages: alphamap, matplotlib (3.5.0), mygene (3.2.2), numpy (1.19.2), pandas (1.1.3), 594 pyteomics (4.3.3), requests (2.26.0), scipy (1.7.2), seaborn (0.11.2), sklearn (0.0), upsetplot (0.6.0). All 595 notebooks used for data analysis are available at GitHub (https://github.com/MannLabs). Identified 596 proteins were filtered for at least 3 valid values in at least one tissue. Similarly, phosphorylation sites were 597 filtered for at least 5 valid values in at least one tissue and a localization probability >75%. The UniProt 598 API was used to map 'ACC+ID' protein group identifiers provided by the MQ analysis to 'ENSEMBL ID', 599 'P\_ENTREZGENEID' and 'STRING\_ID' for further analysis. 'ENSEMBL\_ID' and 'P\_ENTREZGENEID' identifier 600 were used for mitochondrial protein annotation based on the IMPI (IMPI 2020 Q3, downloaded 27. 601 October 2020) and MitoCarta3.0 (downloaded 1. January 2021) databases, respectively. Network analysis 602 and visualization were performed with the StringApp (1.6.0) in Cytoscape (3.8.2). Kinase annotations are

603 based on manual annotations (Figure 6 - Source Data 1) and 'pkinfam' (downloaded 9. Arpil 2021). 604 Networkin3.0 was used for kinase substrate association (KSA) predictions, while the Networkin score was 605 set to 1. Gene Ontology (GO) annotations for the GOBP term enrichment analysis were retrieved from 606 UniProt (accessed 8. March 2021). Enrichment analysis was performed in Perseus (1.6.7.0) against the set 607 of identified proteins in the corresponding tissue and the results were filtered for an intersection size >10. 608 Missing values were only imputed for PCA and heatmap analysis. For this, a Gaussian normal distribution 609 with a width of 0.3 relative to the standard deviation of measured values and a downshift of 1.8 standard 610 deviations were used. For data normalization, intensity values were log<sub>2</sub> transformed and then filtered for known and predicted mitochondrial proteins. The median value of these mitochondrial proteins was 611 612 subtracted from all log<sub>2</sub> transformed values. Significance testing for individual proteins and 613 phosphopeptides as shown in Figure 3 and Figure 7 was performed with the ordinary one-way ANOVA 614 method or by two-sided t-tests in GraphPad Prism (9.3.1) (Figure 3 - Source Data 1, Figure 7 - Source Data 615 1). Significance testing for differences in mitochondrial protein, phosphoprotein and phosphosite 616 localization was performed in RStudio (1.3.1093) (Figure 6 - Source Data 1). OMM proportions were used 617 for fitting a beta-regression model using the betareg R package with default settings (Cribari-Neto and 618 Zeileis, 2010; Grun et al., 2012). P-values were estimated with the Irtest function of the Imtest package 619 (Zeileis and Hothorn, 2015) and p-values were adjusted with the "fdr" method of the p.adjust function of 620 the stats base package (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995)

621 Website tool

The website tool is structured into four sections. The first three 'Pathway view', 'Sequence view', and 'Tissue comparison' are for displaying data, while the fourth section provides explanations for each individual section. In the 'Pathway view' and 'Tissue comparison', proteome and phosphoproteome data filtered for at least three and 5 identifications in at least one tissue, respectively. Intensity values were normalized as described above and used for data representation in the 'Tissue comparison' tab or z-scored

across tissues and used for the 'Pathway view tab'. Here, median z-score values of the six biological replicates per tissue are displayed in the data table. The polar plot represents the median z-score of all pathway/complex members of a given tissue. Network/complex annotations were retrieved from MitoCarta3.0 and protein interactions are based on STRING interaction scores. These STRING interaction scores were retrieved from STRING (17. November 2021) using the 'STRING\_ID' and the STRING API. For the 'Sequence view', the 'evidence.txt' of the MaxQuant output files was directly used as input to annotated sequences.

The python programming language was used for data processing and visualization for the Dashboard. The following libraries were used for data processing: numpy (1.19.2), pandas (1.19.2), re, sys, os, and pyteomics (4.3.3). Several libraries from the HoloViz family of tools were used for data visualization and creation of the dashboard, including panel (1.14.6), holoviews (1.14.6), bokeh (2.2.2), plotly (4.12.0), and param (1.10.0). Network visualization was achieved with the NetworkX package (Hagberg et al., 2008). The Alphamap tool (Voytik *et al.*, 2021) was integrated to display linear protein sequence annotations as well as to visualize 3D protein structures.

641

642 Resource availability

643 Data and code availability

Datasets generated in this study have been deposited at ProteomeXchange and are publicly available as of the date of publication. The accession number is listed in the key resource table. (Identifier: PXD030062).

All original code has been deposited on GitHub (<u>https://github.com/MannLabs</u>) and is available as of the
date of publication.

Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the leadcontact upon request.

651

#### 652 Acknowledgments

653 This work was supported by grants to N.G.L from the Swedish Research Council (2015-00418), Swedish 654 Cancer Foundation (2021.1409), the Knut and Alice Wallenberg foundation, European Research Council 655 (ERC Advanced Grant 2016-741366), grants from the Swedish state under the agreement between the 656 Swedish government and the county councils (SLL2018.0471). L.S.K was supported by an EMBO long-term 657 fellowship (ALTF 570-2019). I.K. was supported by the French Muscular Dystrophy Association (AFM-658 Téléthon #23294) and Agence nationale de la recherche (ANR-20-CE12-0011). We thank all members of 659 the Department of Signal Transduction and Proteomics, in particular, Isabel Bludau for helpful discussions 660 regarding the website tool, Igor Paron for MS technical assistance, Mario Oroshi for technical assistance 661 during website setup, Marta Murgia for helpful discussions on mitochondrial biology and Johannes 662 Müller-Reif, Patricia Skowronek and Sophia Steigerwald for columns. We are grateful to Jesper Olsen and 663 Brenda Schulman for constructive and insightful discussions. Figure 1A and Figure 6A were partly created 664 with BioRender.com.

#### 665 Author contributions

F.M.H., L.S.K. designed experiments. Mouse work was performed by L.S.K. and I.K.. Proteomic
experiments were conducted by F.M.H. and O.K.. Website was constructed by F.M.H. and I.B.. Data were
analyzed by F.M.H.. All authors contributed to writing and editing of the manuscript.

669 Competing interests

670 The authors declare no competing interests.

## References

Anderson, S., Bankier, A.T., Barrell, B.G., de Bruijn, M.H., Coulson, A.R., Drouin, J., Eperon, I.C., Nierlich, D.P., Roe, B.A., Sanger, F., et al. (1981). Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome. Nature *290*, 457-465. 10.1038/290457a0.

Anunciado-Koza, R.P., Zhang, J., Ukropec, J., Bajpeyi, S., Koza, R.A., Rogers, R.C., Cefalu, W.T., Mynatt, R.L., and Kozak, L.P. (2011). Inactivation of the mitochondrial carrier SLC25A25 (ATP-Mg2+/Pi transporter) reduces physical endurance and metabolic efficiency in mice. J Biol Chem *286*, 11659-11671. 10.1074/jbc.M110.203000.

Aponte, A.M., Phillips, D., Hopper, R.K., Johnson, D.T., Harris, R.A., Blinova, K., Boja, E.S., French, S., and Balaban, R.S. (2009). Use of (32)P to study dynamics of the mitochondrial phosphoproteome. J Proteome Res *8*, 2679-2695. 10.1021/pr800913j.

Avadhani, N.G., Sangar, M.C., Bansal, S., and Bajpai, P. (2011). Bimodal targeting of cytochrome P450s to endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria: the concept of chimeric signals. FEBS J *278*, 4218-4229. 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2011.08356.x.

Baines, C.P., Zhang, J., Wang, G.W., Zheng, Y.T., Xiu, J.X., Cardwell, E.M., Bolli, R., and Ping, P. (2002). Mitochondrial PKCepsilon and MAPK form signaling modules in the murine heart: enhanced mitochondrial PKCepsilon-MAPK interactions and differential MAPK activation in PKCepsilon-induced cardioprotection. Circ Res *90*, 390-397. 10.1161/01.res.0000012702.90501.8d.

Bak, S., Leon, I.R., Jensen, O.N., and Hojlund, K. (2013). Tissue specific phosphorylation of mitochondrial proteins isolated from rat liver, heart muscle, and skeletal muscle. J Proteome Res *12*, 4327-4339. 10.1021/pr400281r.

Basch, M., Wagner, M., Rolland, S., Carbonell, A., Zeng, R., Khosravi, S., Schmidt, A., Aftab, W., Imhof, A., Wagener, J., et al. (2020). Msp1 cooperates with the proteasome for extraction of arrested mitochondrial import intermediates. Mol Biol Cell *31*, 753-767. 10.1091/mbc.E19-06-0329.

Bayraktar, E.C., Baudrier, L., Ozerdem, C., Lewis, C.A., Chan, S.H., Kunchok, T., Abu-Remaileh, M., Cangelosi, A.L., Sabatini, D.M., Birsoy, K., and Chen, W.W. (2019). MITO-Tag Mice enable rapid isolation and multimodal profiling of mitochondria from specific cell types in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *116*, 303-312. 10.1073/pnas.1816656115.

Bekker-Jensen, D.B., Bernhardt, O.M., Hogrebe, A., Martinez-Val, A., Verbeke, L., Gandhi, T., Kelstrup, C.D., Reiter, L., and Olsen, J.V. (2020). Rapid and site-specific deep phosphoproteome profiling by dataindependent acquisition without the need for spectral libraries. Nat Commun *11*, 787. 10.1038/s41467-020-14609-1.

Ben-Menachem, R., Tal, M., Shadur, T., and Pines, O. (2011). A third of the yeast mitochondrial proteome is dual localized: a question of evolution. Proteomics *11*, 4468-4476. 10.1002/pmic.201100199.

Beninca, C., Planaguma, J., de Freitas Shuck, A., Acin-Perez, R., Munoz, J.P., de Almeida, M.M., Brown, J.H., Murphy, A.N., Zorzano, A., Enriquez, J.A., and Aragay, A.M. (2014). A new non-canonical pathway of Galpha(q) protein regulating mitochondrial dynamics and bioenergetics. Cell Signal *26*, 1135-1146. 10.1016/j.cellsig.2014.01.009.

Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological) *57*, 289-300. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x</u>.

Bleazard, W., McCaffery, J.M., King, E.J., Bale, S., Mozdy, A., Tieu, Q., Nunnari, J., and Shaw, J.M. (1999). The dynamin-related GTPase Dnm1 regulates mitochondrial fission in yeast. Nat Cell Biol *1*, 298-304. 10.1038/13014.

Bock, F.J., and Tait, S.W.G. (2020). Mitochondria as multifaceted regulators of cell death. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *21*, 85-100. 10.1038/s41580-019-0173-8.

Bohnert, M., Zerbes, R.M., Davies, K.M., Muhleip, A.W., Rampelt, H., Horvath, S.E., Boenke, T., Kram, A., Perschil, I., Veenhuis, M., et al. (2015). Central role of Mic10 in the mitochondrial contact site and cristae organizing system. Cell Metab *21*, 747-755. 10.1016/j.cmet.2015.04.007.

Boja, E.S., Phillips, D., French, S.A., Harris, R.A., and Balaban, R.S. (2009). Quantitative mitochondrial phosphoproteomics using iTRAQ on an LTQ-Orbitrap with high energy collision dissociation. J Proteome Res *8*, 4665-4675. 10.1021/pr900387b.

Calvo, S.E., and Mootha, V.K. (2010). The mitochondrial proteome and human disease. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet *11*, 25-44. 10.1146/annurev-genom-082509-141720.

Cereghetti, G.M., Stangherlin, A., Martins de Brito, O., Chang, C.R., Blackstone, C., Bernardi, P., and Scorrano, L. (2008). Dephosphorylation by calcineurin regulates translocation of Drp1 to mitochondria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *105*, 15803-15808. 10.1073/pnas.0808249105.

Cioni, J.M., Lin, J.Q., Holtermann, A.V., Koppers, M., Jakobs, M.A.H., Azizi, A., Turner-Bridger, B., Shigeoka, T., Franze, K., Harris, W.A., and Holt, C.E. (2019). Late Endosomes Act as mRNA Translation Platforms and Sustain Mitochondria in Axons. Cell *176*, 56-72 e15. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.11.030. Colina-Tenorio, L., Horten, P., Pfanner, N., and Rampelt, H. (2020). Shaping the mitochondrial inner

membrane in health and disease. J Intern Med 287, 645-664. 10.1111/joim.13031.

Cribari-Neto, F., and Zeileis, A. (2010). Beta Regression in R. J Stat Softw 34, 1-24.

Cribbs, J.T., and Strack, S. (2007). Reversible phosphorylation of Drp1 by cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase and calcineurin regulates mitochondrial fission and cell death. EMBO Rep *8*, 939-944. 10.1038/sj.embor.7401062.

Cui, Z., Hou, J., Chen, X., Li, J., Xie, Z., Xue, P., Cai, T., Wu, P., Xu, T., and Yang, F. (2010). The profile of mitochondrial proteins and their phosphorylation signaling network in INS-1 beta cells. J Proteome Res *9*, 2898-2908. 10.1021/pr100139z.

del Arco, A., and Satrustegui, J. (2004). Identification of a novel human subfamily of mitochondrial carriers with calcium-binding domains. J Biol Chem *279*, 24701-24713. 10.1074/jbc.M401417200. Deng, N., Zhang, J., Zong, C., Wang, Y., Lu, H., Yang, P., Wang, W., Young, G.W., Wang, Y., Korge, P., et al. (2011). Phosphoproteome analysis reveals regulatory sites in major pathways of cardiac mitochondria. Mol Cell Proteomics *10*, M110 000117. 10.1074/mcp.M110.000117.

Deng, W.J., Nie, S., Dai, J., Wu, J.R., and Zeng, R. (2010). Proteome, phosphoproteome, and hydroxyproteome of liver mitochondria in diabetic rats at early pathogenic stages. Mol Cell Proteomics *9*, 100-116. 10.1074/mcp.M900020-MCP200.

Desai, R., East, D.A., Hardy, L., Faccenda, D., Rigon, M., Crosby, J., Alvarez, M.S., Singh, A., Mainenti, M., Hussey, L.K., et al. (2020). Mitochondria form contact sites with the nucleus to couple prosurvival retrograde response. Sci Adv *6*. 10.1126/sciadv.abc9955.

Dikov, D., and Reichert, A.S. (2011). How to split up: lessons from mitochondria. EMBO J *30*, 2751-2753. 10.1038/emboj.2011.219.

Ducommun, S., Deak, M., Sumpton, D., Ford, R.J., Nunez Galindo, A., Kussmann, M., Viollet, B., Steinberg, G.R., Foretz, M., Dayon, L., et al. (2015). Motif affinity and mass spectrometry proteomic approach for the discovery of cellular AMPK targets: identification of mitochondrial fission factor as a new AMPK substrate. Cell Signal *27*, 978-988. 10.1016/j.cellsig.2015.02.008.

Fecher, C., Trovo, L., Muller, S.A., Snaidero, N., Wettmarshausen, J., Heink, S., Ortiz, O., Wagner, I., Kuhn, R., Hartmann, J., et al. (2019). Cell-type-specific profiling of brain mitochondria reveals functional and molecular diversity. Nat Neurosci *22*, 1731-1742. 10.1038/s41593-019-0479-z.

Feng, J., Zhu, M., Schaub, M.C., Gehrig, P., Roschitzki, B., Lucchinetti, E., and Zaugg, M. (2008). Phosphoproteome analysis of isoflurane-protected heart mitochondria: phosphorylation of adenine nucleotide translocator-1 on Tyr194 regulates mitochondrial function. Cardiovasc Res *80*, 20-29. 10.1093/cvr/cvn161.

Ferreira, R., Vitorino, R., Alves, R.M., Appell, H.J., Powers, S.K., Duarte, J.A., and Amado, F. (2010). Subsarcolemmal and intermyofibrillar mitochondria proteome differences disclose functional specializations in skeletal muscle. Proteomics *10*, 3142-3154. 10.1002/pmic.201000173. Fiermonte, G., De Leonardis, F., Todisco, S., Palmieri, L., Lasorsa, F.M., and Palmieri, F. (2004). Identification of the mitochondrial ATP-Mg/Pi transporter. Bacterial expression, reconstitution,

functional characterization, and tissue distribution. J Biol Chem 279, 30722-30730. 10.1074/jbc.M400445200.

Forner, F., Foster, L.J., Campanaro, S., Valle, G., and Mann, M. (2006). Quantitative proteomic comparison of rat mitochondria from muscle, heart, and liver. Mol Cell Proteomics *5*, 608-619. 10.1074/mcp.M500298-MCP200.

Frezza, C., Cipolat, S., and Scorrano, L. (2007). Organelle isolation: functional mitochondria from mouse liver, muscle and cultured fibroblasts. Nat Protoc *2*, 287-295. 10.1038/nprot.2006.478.

Gao, C., Xu, Y., Liang, Z., Wang, Y., Shang, Q., Zhang, S., Wang, C., Ni, M., Wu, D., Huang, Z., and Pang, T. (2021). A novel PGAM5 inhibitor LFHP-1c protects blood-brain barrier integrity in ischemic stroke. Acta Pharm Sin B *11*, 1867-1884. 10.1016/j.apsb.2021.01.008.

Genter, M.B., Clay, C.D., Dalton, T.P., Dong, H., Nebert, D.W., and Shertzer, H.G. (2006). Comparison of mouse hepatic mitochondrial versus microsomal cytochromes P450 following TCDD treatment. Biochem Biophys Res Commun *342*, 1375-1381. 10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.02.121.

Grimsrud, P.A., Carson, J.J., Hebert, A.S., Hubler, S.L., Niemi, N.M., Bailey, D.J., Jochem, A., Stapleton, D.S., Keller, M.P., Westphall, M.S., et al. (2012). A quantitative map of the liver mitochondrial phosphoproteome reveals posttranslational control of ketogenesis. Cell Metab *16*, 672-683. 10.1016/j.cmet.2012.10.004.

Grun, B., Kosmidis, I., and Zeileis, A. (2012). Extended Beta Regression in R: Shaken, Stirred, Mixed, and Partitioned. J Stat Softw *48*, 1-25.

Guedouari, H., Savoie, M.C., Jean, S., Djeungoue-Petga, M.A., Pichaud, N., and Hebert-Chatelain, E. (2020). Multi-omics Reveal that c-Src Modulates the Mitochondrial Phosphotyrosine Proteome and Metabolism According to Nutrient Availability. Cell Physiol Biochem *54*, 517-537. 10.33594/000000237. Hagberg, A., Swart, P., and S Chult, D. (2008). Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function using NetworkX. Los Alamos National Lab.(LANL), Los Alamos, NM (United States).

Hebert-Chatelain, E., Desprez, T., Serrat, R., Bellocchio, L., Soria-Gomez, E., Busquets-Garcia, A., Pagano Zottola, A.C., Delamarre, A., Cannich, A., Vincent, P., et al. (2016). A cannabinoid link between mitochondria and memory. Nature *539*, 555-559. 10.1038/nature20127.

Hitosugi, T., Fan, J., Chung, T.W., Lythgoe, K., Wang, X., Xie, J., Ge, Q., Gu, T.L., Polakiewicz, R.D., Roesel, J.L., et al. (2011). Tyrosine phosphorylation of mitochondrial pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 is important for cancer metabolism. Mol Cell *44*, 864-877. 10.1016/j.molcel.2011.10.015.

Hopper, R.K., Carroll, S., Aponte, A.M., Johnson, D.T., French, S., Shen, R.F., Witzmann, F.A., Harris, R.A., and Balaban, R.S. (2006). Mitochondrial matrix phosphoproteome: effect of extra mitochondrial calcium. Biochemistry *45*, 2524-2536. 10.1021/bi052475e.

Horn, H., Schoof, E.M., Kim, J., Robin, X., Miller, M.L., Diella, F., Palma, A., Cesareni, G., Jensen, L.J., and Linding, R. (2014). KinomeXplorer: an integrated platform for kinome biology studies. Nat Methods *11*, 603-604. 10.1038/nmeth.2968.

Hornbeck, P.V., Kornhauser, J.M., Tkachev, S., Zhang, B., Skrzypek, E., Murray, B., Latham, V., and Sullivan, M. (2012). PhosphoSitePlus: a comprehensive resource for investigating the structure and function of experimentally determined post-translational modifications in man and mouse. Nucleic Acids Res *40*, D261-270. 10.1093/nar/gkr1122.

Huang, B., Gudi, R., Wu, P., Harris, R.A., Hamilton, J., and Popov, K.M. (1998). Isoenzymes of pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase. DNA-derived amino acid sequences, expression, and regulation. J Biol Chem *273*, 17680-17688. 10.1074/jbc.273.28.17680.

Huang, B., Wu, P., Popov, K.M., and Harris, R.A. (2003). Starvation and diabetes reduce the amount of pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase in rat heart and kidney. Diabetes *52*, 1371-1376. 10.2337/diabetes.52.6.1371.

Humphrey, S.J., Karayel, O., James, D.E., and Mann, M. (2018). High-throughput and high-sensitivity phosphoproteomics with the EasyPhos platform. Nat Protoc *13*, 1897-1916. 10.1038/s41596-018-0014-9.

Huttemann, M., Lee, I., Samavati, L., Yu, H., and Doan, J.W. (2007). Regulation of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation through cell signaling. Biochim Biophys Acta *1773*, 1701-1720. 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2007.10.001.

Jaburek, M., Costa, A.D., Burton, J.R., Costa, C.L., and Garlid, K.D. (2006). Mitochondrial PKC epsilon and mitochondrial ATP-sensitive K+ channel copurify and coreconstitute to form a functioning signaling module in proteoliposomes. Circ Res *99*, 878-883. 10.1161/01.RES.0000245106.80628.d3.

Jadiya, P., and Tomar, D. (2020). Mitochondrial Protein Quality Control Mechanisms. Genes (Basel) 11. 10.3390/genes11050563.

Jastroch, M., Divakaruni, A.S., Mookerjee, S., Treberg, J.R., and Brand, M.D. (2010). Mitochondrial proton and electron leaks. Essays Biochem *47*, 53-67. 10.1042/bse0470053.

Johnson, D.T., Harris, R.A., French, S., Blair, P.V., You, J., Bemis, K.G., Wang, M., and Balaban, R.S. (2007). Tissue heterogeneity of the mammalian mitochondrial proteome. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol *292*, C689-697. 10.1152/ajpcell.00108.2006.

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Zidek, A., Potapenko, A., et al. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature *596*, 583-589. 10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2.

Kajimura, S., and Saito, M. (2014). A new era in brown adipose tissue biology: molecular control of brown fat development and energy homeostasis. Annu Rev Physiol *76*, 225-249. 10.1146/annurev-physiol-021113-170252.

Kappler, L., Li, J., Haring, H.U., Weigert, C., Lehmann, R., Xu, G., and Hoene, M. (2016). Purity matters: A workflow for the valid high-resolution lipid profiling of mitochondria from cell culture samples. Sci Rep *6*, 21107. 10.1038/srep21107.

Khosravi, S., and Harner, M.E. (2020). The MICOS complex, a structural element of mitochondria with versatile functions. Biol Chem *401*, 765-778. 10.1515/hsz-2020-0103.

Klyuyeva, A., Tuganova, A., Kedishvili, N., and Popov, K.M. (2019). Tissue-specific kinase expression and activity regulate flux through the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex. J Biol Chem *294*, 838-851. 10.1074/jbc.RA118.006433.

Kodron, A., Mussulini, B.H., Pilecka, I., and Chacinska, A. (2021). The ubiquitin-proteasome system and its crosstalk with mitochondria as therapeutic targets in medicine. Pharmacol Res *163*, 105248. 10.1016/j.phrs.2020.105248.

Kolitsida, P., Zhou, J., Rackiewicz, M., Nolic, V., Dengjel, J., and Abeliovich, H. (2019). Phosphorylation of mitochondrial matrix proteins regulates their selective mitophagic degradation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *116*, 20517-20527. 10.1073/pnas.1901759116.

Kotrasova, V., Keresztesova, B., Ondrovicova, G., Bauer, J.A., Havalova, H., Pevala, V., Kutejova, E., and Kunova, N. (2021). Mitochondrial Kinases and the Role of Mitochondrial Protein Phosphorylation in Health and Disease. Life (Basel) *11*. 10.3390/life11020082.

Kruse, R., and Hojlund, K. (2017). Mitochondrial phosphoproteomics of mammalian tissues. Mitochondrion *33*, 45-57. 10.1016/j.mito.2016.08.004.

Kuhl, I., Miranda, M., Atanassov, I., Kuznetsova, I., Hinze, Y., Mourier, A., Filipovska, A., and Larsson, N.G. (2017). Transcriptomic and proteomic landscape of mitochondrial dysfunction reveals secondary coenzyme Q deficiency in mammals. Elife *6*. 10.7554/eLife.30952.

Kulak, N.A., Pichler, G., Paron, I., Nagaraj, N., and Mann, M. (2014). Minimal, encapsulated proteomicsample processing applied to copy-number estimation in eukaryotic cells. Nat Methods *11*, 319-324. 10.1038/nmeth.2834.

Kunji, E.R.S., King, M.S., Ruprecht, J.J., and Thangaratnarajah, C. (2020). The SLC25 Carrier Family: Important Transport Proteins in Mitochondrial Physiology and Pathology. Physiology (Bethesda) *35*, 302-327. 10.1152/physiol.00009.2020.

Kuznetsov, A.V., Hermann, M., Saks, V., Hengster, P., and Margreiter, R. (2009). The cell-type specificity of mitochondrial dynamics. Int J Biochem Cell Biol *41*, 1928-1939. 10.1016/j.biocel.2009.03.007.

Kwak, C., Shin, S., Park, J.S., Jung, M., Nhung, T.T.M., Kang, M.G., Lee, C., Kwon, T.H., Park, S.K., Mun, J.Y., et al. (2020). Contact-ID, a tool for profiling organelle contact sites, reveals regulatory proteins of mitochondrial-associated membrane formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*, 12109-12120. 10.1073/pnas.1916584117.

Lashkevich, K.A., and Dmitriev, S.E. (2021). mRNA Targeting, Transport and Local Translation in Eukaryotic Cells: From the Classical View to a Diversity of New Concepts. Mol Biol, 1-31. 10.1134/S0026893321030080.

Lee, J., Xu, Y., Chen, Y., Sprung, R., Kim, S.C., Xie, S., and Zhao, Y. (2007). Mitochondrial phosphoproteome revealed by an improved IMAC method and MS/MS/MS. Mol Cell Proteomics *6*, 669-676. 10.1074/mcp.M600218-MCP200.

Lewandrowski, U., Sickmann, A., Cesaro, L., Brunati, A.M., Toninello, A., and Salvi, M. (2008). Identification of new tyrosine phosphorylated proteins in rat brain mitochondria. FEBS Lett *582*, 1104-1110. 10.1016/j.febslet.2008.02.077.

Lewis, S.M., Williams, A., and Eisenbarth, S.C. (2019). Structure and function of the immune system in the spleen. Sci Immunol *4*. 10.1126/sciimmunol.aau6085.

Lewis, T.L., Jr., Kwon, S.K., Lee, A., Shaw, R., and Polleux, F. (2018). MFF-dependent mitochondrial fission regulates presynaptic release and axon branching by limiting axonal mitochondria size. Nat Commun *9*, 5008. 10.1038/s41467-018-07416-2.

Li, N., Qian, S., Li, B., and Zhan, X. (2019). Quantitative analysis of the human ovarian carcinoma mitochondrial phosphoproteome. Aging (Albany NY) *11*, 6449-6468. 10.18632/aging.102199.

Liang, M.Z., Ke, T.L., and Chen, L. (2021). Mitochondrial Protein PGAM5 Emerges as a New Regulator in Neurological Diseases. Front Mol Neurosci 14, 730604. 10.3389/fnmol.2021.730604.

Liesa, M., Palacin, M., and Zorzano, A. (2009). Mitochondrial dynamics in mammalian health and disease. Physiol Rev *89*, 799-845. 10.1152/physrev.00030.2008.

Liu, T., Yu, R., Jin, S.B., Han, L., Lendahl, U., Zhao, J., and Nister, M. (2013). The mitochondrial elongation factors MIEF1 and MIEF2 exert partially distinct functions in mitochondrial dynamics. Exp Cell Res *319*, 2893-2904. 10.1016/j.yexcr.2013.07.010.

Liu, Y.J., McIntyre, R.L., Janssens, G.E., and Houtkooper, R.H. (2020). Mitochondrial fission and fusion: A dynamic role in aging and potential target for age-related disease. Mech Ageing Dev *186*, 111212. 10.1016/j.mad.2020.111212.

Loson, O.C., Song, Z., Chen, H., and Chan, D.C. (2013). Fis1, Mff, MiD49, and MiD51 mediate Drp1 recruitment in mitochondrial fission. Mol Biol Cell *24*, 659-667. 10.1091/mbc.E12-10-0721.

Lu, W., Karuppagounder, S.S., Springer, D.A., Allen, M.D., Zheng, L., Chao, B., Zhang, Y., Dawson, V.L., Dawson, T.M., and Lenardo, M. (2014). Genetic deficiency of the mitochondrial protein PGAM5 causes a Parkinson's-like movement disorder. Nat Commun *5*, 4930. 10.1038/ncomms5930.

Majumder, P.K., Pandey, P., Sun, X., Cheng, K., Datta, R., Saxena, S., Kharbanda, S., and Kufe, D. (2000). Mitochondrial translocation of protein kinase C delta in phorbol ester-induced cytochrome c release and apoptosis. J Biol Chem *275*, 21793-21796. 10.1074/jbc.C000048200.

McBride, H.M., Neuspiel, M., and Wasiak, S. (2006). Mitochondria: more than just a powerhouse. Curr Biol *16*, R551-560. 10.1016/j.cub.2006.06.054.

McLaughlin, K.L., Hagen, J.T., Coalson, H.S., Nelson, M.A.M., Kew, K.A., Wooten, A.R., and Fisher-Wellman, K.H. (2020). Novel approach to quantify mitochondrial content and intrinsic bioenergetic efficiency across organs. Sci Rep *10*, 17599. 10.1038/s41598-020-74718-1.

Menacho, C., and Prigione, A. (2020). Tackling mitochondrial diversity in brain function: from animal models to human brain organoids. Int J Biochem Cell Biol *123*, 105760. 10.1016/j.biocel.2020.105760. Montes de Oca Balderas, P. (2021). Mitochondria-plasma membrane interactions and communication. J Biol Chem *297*, 101164. 10.1016/j.jbc.2021.101164.

Moore, A.S., and Holzbaur, E.L.F. (2018). Mitochondrial-cytoskeletal interactions: dynamic associations that facilitate network function and remodeling. Curr Opin Physiol *3*, 94-100. 10.1016/j.cophys.2018.03.003.

Mootha, V.K., Bunkenborg, J., Olsen, J.V., Hjerrild, M., Wisniewski, J.R., Stahl, E., Bolouri, M.S., Ray, H.N., Sihag, S., Kamal, M., et al. (2003). Integrated analysis of protein composition, tissue diversity, and gene regulation in mouse mitochondria. Cell *115*, 629-640. 10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00926-7.

Morgenstern, M., Peikert, C.D., Lubbert, P., Suppanz, I., Klemm, C., Alka, O., Steiert, C., Naumenko, N., Schendzielorz, A., Melchionda, L., et al. (2021). Quantitative high-confidence human mitochondrial proteome and its dynamics in cellular context. Cell Metab. 10.1016/j.cmet.2021.11.001.

Niemi, N.M., and Pagliarini, D.J. (2021). The extensive and functionally uncharacterized mitochondrial phosphoproteome. J Biol Chem, 100880. 10.1016/j.jbc.2021.100880.

Nunnari, J., and Suomalainen, A. (2012). Mitochondria: in sickness and in health. Cell *148*, 1145-1159. 10.1016/j.cell.2012.02.035.

Oelkrug, R., Polymeropoulos, E.T., and Jastroch, M. (2015). Brown adipose tissue: physiological function and evolutionary significance. J Comp Physiol B *185*, 587-606. 10.1007/s00360-015-0907-7.

Ogbi, M., and Johnson, J.A. (2006). Protein kinase Cepsilon interacts with cytochrome c oxidase subunit IV and enhances cytochrome c oxidase activity in neonatal cardiac myocyte preconditioning. Biochem J *393*, 191-199. 10.1042/BJ20050757.

Pagliarini, D.J., Calvo, S.E., Chang, B., Sheth, S.A., Vafai, S.B., Ong, S.E., Walford, G.A., Sugiana, C., Boneh, A., Chen, W.K., et al. (2008). A mitochondrial protein compendium elucidates complex I disease biology. Cell *134*, 112-123. 10.1016/j.cell.2008.06.016.

Palmieri, F., and Monne, M. (2016). Discoveries, metabolic roles and diseases of mitochondrial carriers: A review. Biochim Biophys Acta *1863*, 2362-2378. 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2016.03.007.

Panda, S., Srivastava, S., Li, Z., Vaeth, M., Fuhs, S.R., Hunter, T., and Skolnik, E.Y. (2016). Identification of PGAM5 as a Mammalian Protein Histidine Phosphatase that Plays a Central Role to Negatively Regulate CD4(+) T Cells. Mol Cell *63*, 457-469. 10.1016/j.molcel.2016.06.021.

Patel, M.S., Nemeria, N.S., Furey, W., and Jordan, F. (2014). The pyruvate dehydrogenase complexes: structure-based function and regulation. J Biol Chem *289*, 16615-16623. 10.1074/jbc.R114.563148.

Perrone, M., Caroccia, N., Genovese, I., Missiroli, S., Modesti, L., Pedriali, G., Vezzani, B., Vitto, V.A.M., Antenori, M., Lebiedzinska-Arciszewska, M., et al. (2020). The role of mitochondria-associated membranes in cellular homeostasis and diseases. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol *350*, 119-196. 10.1016/bs.ircmb.2019.11.002.

Ping, P., Song, C., Zhang, J., Guo, Y., Cao, X., Li, R.C., Wu, W., Vondriska, T.M., Pass, J.M., Tang, X.L., et al. (2002). Formation of protein kinase C(epsilon)-Lck signaling modules confers cardioprotection. J Clin Invest *109*, 499-507. 10.1172/JCI13200.

Rangaraju, V., Lauterbach, M., and Schuman, E.M. (2019). Spatially Stable Mitochondrial Compartments Fuel Local Translation during Plasticity. Cell *176*, 73-84 e15. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.013.

Rath, S., Sharma, R., Gupta, R., Ast, T., Chan, C., Durham, T.J., Goodman, R.P., Grabarek, Z., Haas, M.E., Hung, W.H.W., et al. (2021). MitoCarta3.0: an updated mitochondrial proteome now with sub-organelle localization and pathway annotations. Nucleic Acids Res *49*, D1541-D1547. 10.1093/nar/gkaa1011. Roberts, R.F., Bayne, A.N., Goiran, T., Levesque, D., Boisvert, F.M., Trempe, J.F., and Fon, E.A. (2021). Proteomic Profiling of Mitochondrial-Derived Vesicles in Brain Reveals Enrichment of Respiratory Complex Sub-assemblies and Small TIM Chaperones. J Proteome Res *20*, 506-517. 10.1021/acs.jproteome.0c00506.

Robin, M.A., Anandatheerthavarada, H.K., Fang, J.K., Cudic, M., Otvos, L., and Avadhani, N.G. (2001). Mitochondrial targeted cytochrome P450 2E1 (P450 MT5) contains an intact N terminus and requires mitochondrial specific electron transfer proteins for activity. J Biol Chem *276*, 24680-24689. 10.1074/jbc.M100363200.

Rochette, L., Meloux, A., Zeller, M., Malka, G., Cottin, Y., and Vergely, C. (2020). Mitochondrial SLC25 Carriers: Novel Targets for Cancer Therapy. Molecules *25*. 10.3390/molecules25102417.

Rueda, C.B., Traba, J., Amigo, I., Llorente-Folch, I., Gonzalez-Sanchez, P., Pardo, B., Esteban, J.A., del Arco, A., and Satrustegui, J. (2015). Mitochondrial ATP-Mg/Pi carrier SCaMC-3/Slc25a23 counteracts PARP-1-dependent fall in mitochondrial ATP caused by excitotoxic insults in neurons. J Neurosci *35*, 3566-3581. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2702-14.2015.

Ruprecht, J.J., and Kunji, E.R.S. (2020). The SLC25 Mitochondrial Carrier Family: Structure and Mechanism. Trends Biochem Sci *45*, 244-258. 10.1016/j.tibs.2019.11.001.

Russell, O.M., Gorman, G.S., Lightowlers, R.N., and Turnbull, D.M. (2020). Mitochondrial Diseases: Hope for the Future. Cell *181*, 168-188. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.051.

Sadana, P., Geyer, R., Pezoldt, J., Helmsing, S., Huehn, J., Hust, M., Dersch, P., and Scrima, A. (2018). The invasin D protein from Yersinia pseudotuberculosis selectively binds the Fab region of host antibodies and affects colonization of the intestine. J Biol Chem *293*, 8672-8690. 10.1074/jbc.RA117.001068. Sandhu, S.K., Fassan, M., Volinia, S., Lovat, F., Balatti, V., Pekarsky, Y., and Croce, C.M. (2013). B-cell malignancies in microRNA Emu-miR-17~92 transgenic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *110*, 18208-18213. 10.1073/pnas.1315365110.

Sathe, G., Deepha, S., Gayathri, N., Nagappa, M., Parayil Sankaran, B., Taly, A.B., Khanna, T., Pandey, A., and Govindaraj, P. (2021). Ethylmalonic encephalopathy ETHE1 p. D165H mutation alters the mitochondrial function in human skeletal muscle proteome. Mitochondrion *58*, 64-71. 10.1016/j.mito.2021.02.011.

Schober, F.A., Atanassov, I., Moore, D., Calvo-Garrido, J., Moedas, M.F., Wedell, A., Freyer, C., and Wredenberg, A. (2021). Stable Isotope Labeling of Amino Acids in Flies (SILAF) Reveals Differential Phosphorylation of Mitochondrial Proteins Upon Loss of OXPHOS Subunits. Mol Cell Proteomics *20*, 100065. 10.1016/j.mcpro.2021.100065.

Schulenberg, B., Aggeler, R., Beechem, J.M., Capaldi, R.A., and Patton, W.F. (2003). Analysis of steadystate protein phosphorylation in mitochondria using a novel fluorescent phosphosensor dye. J Biol Chem *278*, 27251-27255. 10.1074/jbc.C300189200.

Shai, N., Yifrach, E., van Roermund, C.W.T., Cohen, N., Bibi, C., L, I.J., Cavellini, L., Meurisse, J., Schuster, R., Zada, L., et al. (2018). Systematic mapping of contact sites reveals tethers and a function for the peroxisome-mitochondria contact. Nat Commun *9*, 1761. 10.1038/s41467-018-03957-8.

Silva Ramos, E., Motori, E., Bruser, C., Kuhl, I., Yeroslaviz, A., Ruzzenente, B., Kauppila, J.H.K., Busch, J.D., Hultenby, K., Habermann, B.H., et al. (2019). Mitochondrial fusion is required for regulation of mitochondrial DNA replication. PLoS Genet *15*, e1008085. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008085.

Smith, A.C., and Robinson, A.J. (2019). MitoMiner v4.0: an updated database of mitochondrial localization evidence, phenotypes and diseases. Nucleic Acids Res *47*, D1225-D1228. 10.1093/nar/gky1072.

Spinelli, J.B., and Haigis, M.C. (2018). The multifaceted contributions of mitochondria to cellular metabolism. Nat Cell Biol *20*, 745-754. 10.1038/s41556-018-0124-1.

Suomalainen, A., and Battersby, B.J. (2018). Mitochondrial diseases: the contribution of organelle stress responses to pathology. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *19*, 77-92. 10.1038/nrm.2017.66.

Taguchi, N., Ishihara, N., Jofuku, A., Oka, T., and Mihara, K. (2007). Mitotic phosphorylation of dynaminrelated GTPase Drp1 participates in mitochondrial fission. J Biol Chem *282*, 11521-11529. 10.1074/jbc.M607279200.

Toyama, E.Q., Herzig, S., Courchet, J., Lewis, T.L., Jr., Loson, O.C., Hellberg, K., Young, N.P., Chen, H., Polleux, F., Chan, D.C., and Shaw, R.J. (2016). Metabolism. AMP-activated protein kinase mediates mitochondrial fission in response to energy stress. Science *351*, 275-281. 10.1126/science.aab4138.

Traba, J., Del Arco, A., Duchen, M.R., Szabadkai, G., and Satrustegui, J. (2012). SCaMC-1 promotes cancer cell survival by desensitizing mitochondrial permeability transition via ATP/ADP-mediated matrix Ca(2+) buffering. Cell Death Differ *19*, 650-660. 10.1038/cdd.2011.139.

van der Bliek, A.M., Shen, Q., and Kawajiri, S. (2013). Mechanisms of mitochondrial fission and fusion. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 5. 10.1101/cshperspect.a011072.

Ventura-Clapier, R., Garnier, A., Veksler, V., and Joubert, F. (2011). Bioenergetics of the failing heart. Biochim Biophys Acta *1813*, 1360-1372. 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2010.09.006.

Volkert, M.R., and Crowley, D.J. (2020). Preventing Neurodegeneration by Controlling Oxidative Stress: The Role of OXR1. Front Neurosci 14, 611904. 10.3389/fnins.2020.611904.

Voytik, E., Bludau, I., Willems, S., Hansen, F.M., Brunner, A.D., Strauss, M.T., and Mann, M. (2021). AlphaMap: an open-source python package for the visual annotation of proteomics data with sequence specific knowledge. Bioinformatics. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btab674.

Watanabe, T., Saotome, M., Nobuhara, M., Sakamoto, A., Urushida, T., Katoh, H., Satoh, H., Funaki, M., and Hayashi, H. (2014). Roles of mitochondrial fragmentation and reactive oxygen species in mitochondrial dysfunction and myocardial insulin resistance. Exp Cell Res *323*, 314-325. 10.1016/j.yexcr.2014.02.027.

Wieckowski, M.R., Giorgi, C., Lebiedzinska, M., Duszynski, J., and Pinton, P. (2009). Isolation of mitochondria-associated membranes and mitochondria from animal tissues and cells. Nature Protocols *4*, 1582-1590. 10.1038/nprot.2009.151.

Williams, E.G., Wu, Y., Wolski, W., Kim, J.Y., Lan, J., Hasan, M., Halter, C., Jha, P., Ryu, D., Auwerx, J., and Aebersold, R. (2018). Quantifying and Localizing the Mitochondrial Proteome Across Five Tissues in A Mouse Population. Mol Cell Proteomics *17*, 1766-1777. 10.1074/mcp.RA118.000554.

Xu, L., Wang, X., Zhou, J., Qiu, Y., Shang, W., Liu, J.P., Wang, L., and Tong, C. (2020). Miga-mediated endoplasmic reticulum-mitochondria contact sites regulate neuronal homeostasis. Elife *9*. 10.7554/eLife.56584.

Zeileis, A., and Hothorn, T. (2015). Diagnostic Checking in Regression Relationships.

Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Bai, J., Tian, X., Zhao, X., Liu, W., Duan, X., Shang, W., Fan, H.Y., and Tong, C. (2016). Mitoguardin Regulates Mitochondrial Fusion through MitoPLD and Is Required for Neuronal Homeostasis. Mol Cell *61*, 111-124. 10.1016/j.molcel.2015.11.017.

Zhao, X., Bak, S., Pedersen, A.J., Jensen, O.N., and Hojlund, K. (2014). Insulin increases phosphorylation of mitochondrial proteins in human skeletal muscle in vivo. J Proteome Res *13*, 2359-2369. 10.1021/pr401163t.

Zhao, X., Leon, I.R., Bak, S., Mogensen, M., Wrzesinski, K., Hojlund, K., and Jensen, O.N. (2011). Phosphoproteome analysis of functional mitochondria isolated from resting human muscle reveals

extensive phosphorylation of inner membrane protein complexes and enzymes. Mol Cell Proteomics 10, M110 000299. 10.1074/mcp.M110.000299.

#### List of figure supplements

- Figure 2 figure supplement 1 Mitochondria enriched samples show tissue-specific clustering
- Figure 4 figure supplement 1 Gene Ontology enrichment displays tissue specificity
- Figure 6 figure supplement 1 Sequence annotation highlights phosphorylation clusters on MIGA2
- Figure 6 figure supplement 2 Localization distribution of mitochondrial phosphoproteome diverges from

mitochondrial proteome

- List of Source Data
- Figure 1 Source Data 1
- Figure 1 Source Data 2
- Figure 1 Source Data 3
- Figure 1 Source Data 4
- Figure 1 Source Data 5
- Figure 1 Source Data 6
- Figure 1 Source Data 7

#### List of Supplementary Files

Supplementary Table 1 Overview of mitochondrial phosphoproteome studies in mammalian tissue

Supplementary File 1 Coefficient of variation and dynamic range of protein identification

Supplementary File 2 Mitochondrial protein intensity ranking

## **Supplementary Figures**

## Mitochondrial phosphoproteomes are functionally specialized across tissues

Fynn M. Hansen, Laura S. Kremer, Ozge Karayel, Inge Kühl, Isabell Bludau, Nils-Göran Larsson, Matthias Mann



Figure 2 – figure supplement 1 Mitochondria enriched samples show tissue-specific clustering

(A) Heatmap showing Pearson correlations for biological replicates (n=6) for proteins of all mitochondrial enriched samples. (B) Principal component analysis of all proteins of all acquired biological replicates (n=6) (Figure 2 – Source Data 1). Skeletal muscle (SKM), brown adipose tissue (BAT).



#### Figure 4 – figure supplement 1 Gene Ontology enrichment displays tissue specificity

Geno Ontology biological process (GOBP) enrichment for mitochondrial (orange) and non-mitochondrial (blue) proteins. Enrichment analysis was performed in Perseus (1.6.7.0) against the set of identified proteins in the corresponding tissue and the results were filtered for an intersection size >10 and the top 5 enriched terms of each tissue are displayed (Figure 4 – Source Data 1). Skeletal muscle (SKM), brown adipose tissue (BAT).



#### Figure 6 – figure supplement 1 Sequence annotation highlights phosphorylation clusters on MIGA2

Sequence plot of MIGA1 (top panel) and MIGA2 (bottom panel) show structural information (AlphaFold confidence scores) and protein coverage based on identified peptides. All identified STY sites are marked with a star.



# Figure 6 – figure supplement 2 Localization distribution of mitochondrial phosphoproteome diverges from mitochondrial proteome

(A) Scheme of a mitochondrion shows four different mitochondrial localizations – outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM), intermembrane space (IMS), inner mitochondrial membrane (IMM), Matrix – and the distribution of mitochondrial proteins contained in and classified by the MitoCarta3.0 database. Bar graphs for each individual tissue show the precentral distribution of mitochondrial proteins (left), phosphoproteins (middle) and STY sites (right) across different mitochondrial localizations. Fitting of OMM proportions to a beta-regression model shows significant differences between protein-phosphoprotein and protein-STY sites for all tissues (adjusted p-value <0.0001) (see Methods and Figure 6 – Source Data 1). Skeletal muscle (SKM), brown adipose tissue (BAT).

## **Supplemental information**

Mitochondrial phosphoproteomes are functionally specialized across tissues

Fynn M. Hansen, Laura S. Kremer, Ozge Karayel, Inge Kühl, Isabell Bludau, Nils-Göran Larsson, Matthias Mann

## Supplementary Table 1 Overview of mitochondrial phosphoproteome studies in mammalian tissue

The table was adapted and extended from Kruse at al. (Kruse and Hojlund, 2017).

Strategy	Species	Tissue	Phosphoproteins and phosphorylation sites identified	Reference
2-DE-ProQ staining-MS	Bovine	Heart	13 phosphoproteins	(Schulenberg et al., 2003)
2-DE-ProQ staining-MS or P32 labelling-2-DE-MS	Pig	Heart	45 phosphoproteins	<u>(Hopper et al.,</u> <u>2006)</u>
IMAC-MS/MS/MS	Mouse	Liver	84 phosphorylation sites in 62 distinct proteins	(Lee et al., 2007)
2D-BN-PAGE-phos—anti- Ser/Thr/Tyr Ab-MS SCX/IMAC-LC-MS/MS	Rat	Heart	2-DE: 45 phosphoproteins LC- MS/MS: 26 phosphorylation sites in 19 distinct proteins	<u>(Feng et al., 2008)</u>
Anti-Tyr Ab-MS	Rat	Brain	7 tyrosine-phosphorylation sites in 7 distinct proteins	<u>(Lewandrowski et</u> <u>al., 2008)</u>
32-P labelling/phos-tag 540 gel staining-2-DE-MS	Pig	Liver, Heart	68 phosphoproteins	<u>(Aponte et al.,</u> <u>2009)</u>
iTRAQ labelling- SCX/HILIC/TiO2-LC- MS/MS-HCD	Pig	Heart	56 phosphorylation sites in 38 distinct proteins	<u>(Boja et al., 2009)</u>
IMAC/TiO2-2D-nano LC- MS/MS	Rat	INS-1β cells	84 distinct phosphoproteins	<u>(Cui et al., 2010)</u>
SAX/SCX-LC-MS/MS	Rat	Liver	447 phosphorylation sites in 228 distinct proteins	<u>(Deng et al., 2010)</u>
SDS-PAGE-TiO2-LC- MS/MS	Mouse	Heart	236 phosphorylation sites in 181 distinct proteins	<u>(Deng et al., 2011)</u>
SDS-PAGE- TiO2/CPP/HILIC-LC- MS/MS	Human	Muscle	155 phosphorylation sites in 77 distinct proteins	<u>(Zhao et al., 2011)</u>
IMAC-SCX-nano-LC- MS/MS	Mouse	Liver	811 phosphosites in 295 distinct proteins	<u>(Grimsrud et al.,</u> <u>2012)</u>
TiO2-HILIC-LC-MS/MS	Rat	Liver, Heart, Skeletal muscle	899 phosphorylation sites in 354 distinct proteins	<u>(Bak et al., 2013)</u>
TiO2-HILIC-LC-MS/MS	Human	Skeletal muscle	207 phosphorylation sites in 95 distinct proteins	<u>(Zhao et al., 2014)</u>
iTRAQ labelling-TiO2-LC- MS/MS	Human	Ovary	124 phosphorylation sites in 67distinct proteins	<u>(Li et al., 2019)</u>
Anti-Tyr Ab-MS	Mouse	Liver	79 tyrosine-phosphorylated mitochondrial proteins	<u>(Guedouari et al.,</u> <u>2020)</u>
TMT labeling - IMAC-LC- MS/MS	Human	Skeletal muscle	480 phosphopeptides that correspond to 196 proteins	(Sathe et al., 2021)