

# Rupture Segmentation of the 14 August 2021 Mw 7.2 Nippes, Haiti, Earthquake Using Aftershock Relocation from a Local Seismic Deployment

Roby Douilly, Sylvert Paul, Tony Monfret, Anne Deschamps, David Ambrois, Steeve Symithe, Sadrac St Fleur, Françoise Courboulex, Eric Calais,

Dominique Boisson, et al.

## ► To cite this version:

Roby Douilly, Sylvert Paul, Tony Monfret, Anne Deschamps, David Ambrois, et al.. Rupture Segmentation of the 14 August 2021 Mw 7.2 Nippes, Haiti, Earthquake Using Aftershock Relocation from a Local Seismic Deployment. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 2023, pp.58-72. 10.1785/0120220128 . hal-03814347

## HAL Id: hal-03814347 https://hal.science/hal-03814347v1

Submitted on 22 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Rupture Segmentation of the August 14, 2021 Mw7.2 Nippes, Haiti, Earthquake Using
2	Aftershock Relocation from a Local Seismic Deployment
3	Roby Douilly <sup>1</sup> , Sylvert Paul <sup>2,3,4</sup> , Tony Monfret <sup>2,4,6</sup> , Anne Deschamps <sup>2,4</sup> , David Ambrois <sup>2,4</sup> ,
4	Steeve J Symithe <sup>3,4</sup> , Sadrac St Fleur <sup>3</sup> , Françoise Courboulex <sup>2,4</sup> , Eric Calais <sup>2,4,5</sup> , Dominique
5	Boisson <sup>3,4</sup> , Bernard Mercier de Lépinay <sup>2,4</sup> , Yvonne Font <sup>2,4</sup> , Jérôme Chèze <sup>2,4</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> University of California, Riverside, Riverside California, USA
8	<sup>2</sup> Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, IRD, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, Géoazur, France
9	<sup>3</sup> Unité de Recherche en Géosciences (URGeo), Faculté des Sciences, Port-au-Prince, Haiti
10	<sup>4</sup> CARIBACT Joint Research Laboratory, Port-au-Prince, Haiti
11	<sup>5</sup> Ecole Normale Supérieure, Department of Geosciences, Paris, France
12	<sup>6</sup> Barcelona Center for Subsurface Imaging, Institut de Ciències del Mar (ICM), CSIC, Barcelona,
13	Spain
14	
15	Key Points:
16	• Aftershocks detected by local seismic deployment are used to delineate the geometry of
17	the faults that ruptured during the Mw 7.2 Haiti earthquake
18	<ul> <li>Aftershocks delineated three clusters with slightly variable dip</li> </ul>
19	• First motion focal mechanisms are primarily composed of left-lateral both strike-slip and
20	thrust motions consistently with geodetic observations.
21	
22	0. Abstract:
23	The 14 August 2021 $M_w$ 7.2 Haiti earthquake struck 11 years after the devastating 2010 event
24	within the Enriquillo Plantain Garden Fault Zone in the Southern peninsula of Haiti. Space
25	geodetic results show that the rupture is composed of both left-lateral strike-slip and thrust motion,
26	similar to the 2010 rupture, but aftershock locations from a local short-period network are too
27	diffuse to precisely delineate the segments that participated in this rupture. A few days after the
28	mainshocks, we installed 12 broadband stations in the epicentral area. Here we use data from those
29	stations in combination with 4 local Raspberry Shakes stations that were already in place as part
30	of a citizen seismology experiment to precisely relocate 2528 aftershocks from August to
31	December 2021 and derive one-dimensional P and S crustal velocity models for this region. We
32	show that the aftershocks delineate three north dipping structures with different strikes, located to
33	the north of the Enriquillo Plantain Garden (EPG) fault. Additionally, two smaller aftershock
34	clusters occurred on the EPG fault near the hypocenter area, indicative of triggered seismicity.
35	Focal mechanisms are in agreement with coseismic slip inversion from InSAR data with nodal
36	planes that are consistent with the transpressional structures illustrated by the aftershock zones.
37	
38	
30	

#### 41 **1. Introduction**

42 The 14 August 2021 Mw7.2 Nippes, Haiti, earthquake is the second major event to strike southern Haiti this century, 11 years after the devastating 2010 Mw7.0 event. These two 43 44 earthquakes struck a fault zone that encompasses the Enriquillo Plantain Garden fault (or EPG 45 fault for short), that cuts through the southern peninsula of Haiti in the E-W direction and accommodates part of the relative motion between the Caribbean and North American plates. 46 47 Geological mapping shows that the EPG fault is a left-lateral strike-slip fault with geomorphic 48 evidence for Quaternary activity (Mann et al., 1995; Saint Fleur et al., 2015) and a geodetically-49 determined slip rate of 7 to 9 mm/yr (Benford et al., 2012; S. Symithe et al., 2015). Historical 50 archives show that southern Haiti was struck by 4 significant earthquakes in the 18th century in 51 1701, 1751, 1751, and 1770 and a sequence of moderate earthquakes in 1860 (Bakun et al., 2012; 52 Scherer, 1912; Vogt, 2004, 2005), followed by a long period of relative guiescence until 2010. To 53 the north, the remainder of the relative motion between the Caribbean and North American plates 54 is taken up by the Oriente-Septentrional strike-slip fault and, further north, by the North Hispaniola 55 thrust fault, continuous to the east with the Puerto Rico Trench (Figure 1).

56

57 The 2010 earthquake occurred near Léogâne with an epicenter less than 25 km from the capital city of Port-au-Prince (Nettles & Hjörleifsdóttir, 2010). The rupture was initially believed 58 59 to have initiated on the EPG fault, on the basis of the proximity of the mainshock epicenter to that fault. Most subsequent finite-fault inversions using geodetic (interferometric synthetic aperture 60 61 radar (InSAR) and GPS), seismological (teleseismic data) and geological data (coral uplift) 62 concluded that more than 80% of the seismic moment was released on a north dipping fault, 63 different from the EPG fault, referred to as the Léogâne fault (Calais et al., 2010; Hayes et al., 64 2010). The 2010 earthquake ruptured at least two fault segments, a first one in the east with mostly 65 reverse motion, then propagated westward to a second, strike-slip, segment but did not transfer to 66 the nearby EPG fault (Douilly et al., 2013; Symithe et al., 2013). Dynamic rupture models show 67 that the two rupture is well explained by the successive rupture of two fault segments oriented 68 favorably with respect to the rupture propagation, while the geometry of the EPG fault did not 69 allow shear stress to reach failure (Douilly et al., 2015).

70

71 While a detailed analysis of the 2010 earthquake aftershocks - hence a direct characterization of the rupture geometry – had to wait for the analysis of data from the deployment 72 73 of a temporary seismic network (Douilly et al., 2013), a rapid preliminary analysis of the 2021 74 earthquake was made possible thanks to low-cost, citizen-hosted seismic stations that operated 75 during and after the mainshock (Calais et al., 2022a). Their real-time data allowed for the rapid 76 identification of two aftershock clusters that coincide with the two areas of coseismic slip 77 identified in inversions of conventional seismological and geodetic data. The 2021 rupture bears 78 similarities with its 2010 counterpart as the event is transpressional, initiated on a mostly reverse 79 fault segment, then propagated westward onto a purely strike-slip segment. Though the presence 80 of citizen-hosted seismic stations proved invaluable for a rapid assessment of the 2021 earthquake 81 source, much remains to be understood as the low-cost stations used in this preliminary analysis

82 have a limited dynamic range (Anthony et al., 2019) and are sparsely distributed. A rapid field

83 response led by the Haiti State University in collaboration with other institutions from France and

84 USA however allowed for the deployment of 12 broadband seismic stations in the epicentral area

- 85 within 6-10 days of the mainshock.
- 86

87 Ground deformation from InSAR interferogram images indicates that the eastern half of the rupture is dominated by reverse motion while the western half has mostly left-lateral motion, 88 89 with coseismic ground displacement limited to the north of the EPG fault (Calais et al., 2022a; 90 Maurer et al., 2022). This implies that the 2021 rupture occurred on a secondary unmapped fault, 91 as in 2010, or that it ruptured the EPG fault proper, but with a curved geometry at depth. To test 92 these possibilities, and considering that well located early aftershocks tend to better delineate the 93 ruptured segments that participated in the mainshock (Douilly et al., 2013; Ratchkovski et al., 94 2004; Shelly, 2020), we used a subset of the aftershocks detected from August to December 2021 95 to infer a 1D P and S crustal velocity model for this region and to precisely relocate those 96 aftershocks to resolve the geometry of the fault segments involved in the rupture.

97

## 98 2. Data and methods

## 99 2.1. Station deployment

100 A few days following the August 14, 2021 mainshock, we deployed 10 Nanometric and 2 101 Guralp broadband seismic stations in the epicentral area to complement the 4 local 4-component 102 (3-component accelerometer and 1-component velocimeter) Raspberry Shake (RS) stations that 103 were installed during the citizen seismology experiment in southwestern Haiti (Calais et al., 104 2022a). The real-time aftershock detection from the aviti-séisme platform allowed us to optimize 105 the geographic distribution of broadband stations. Each Nanometric station consists of a Trillium 106 Compact 120 s posthole broadband seismometer, a 24 bit Centaur digital recorder and the 107 remaining accessories such as GPS receiver, 12 V battery, solar panels, and a deployment box. 108 The Guralp systems, installed three days after the earthquake, were each composed of a 24 bit 109 Minimus recorder associated with CMG40T broadband sensor and other accessories such as a GPS 110 receiver, a 12 V battery, and a solar panel. All the stations were fully operational starting August 111 25, 2021 and we plan on leaving them in the field for at least a year to continuously record the seismic data. The first service maintenance and data collection took place in early October 2021 112 113 but two stations had stopped working. Station PAST had a battery problem that was later fixed. 114 The solar panel at station LBOR was stolen, so we decided to move it to a more secure location 115 and rename it SALO. This renamed station was operational on October 10. The complete list of 116 all broadband and short period Raspberry Shake stations with their deployment date is given in 117 Table 1. 118

- 118
- 119
- 120

#### 121 **2.2. Event detection and magnitude calculation**

122 In this study, we used continuous waveforms recorded between August 20 and December 123 31st 2021. In total, 63 GB of recordings were collected by the temporary stations over the studied 124 period. The waveforms were stored in standard miniseed format in a database organized by daily 125 continuous files (24 h), completed with data from the four RS of the HY Haiti network. Such a 126 database allows for direct access to the data with the processing software, and for verifying data availability via the fdsn web service. We use SeisComp3, a tool for real-time monitoring, to 127 128 analyze the data. The realtime stream is simulated with records collected by injecting time-ordered 129 multiplexed data (playback) in blocks of 24 hours.

130

The data is processed in two steps. The first one is a phase detection based on a standard 131 132 STA/LTA analysis (0.5-60s) (Allen, 1982) on filtered data (4-20Hz). These parameters have been 133 defined in such a way that the algorithm is sensitive to rapid increases in amplitude over time, 134 characteristic of local earthquakes. For S phases, we use the AIC (Akaike Information Criterion) 135 (Maeda, 1985) detection algorithm implemented in SC3. The second step is the association of the 136 automatic picks. This is performed by the SC3 scanloc module, which uses DBSCAN (density-137 based spatial clustering of applications with noise; Ester et al., 1996), a machine learning algorithm 138 for clustering tasks. To limit false detections, a minimum of 6 associated phases is required to 139 create an event. In total, the automatic catalog contains 5560 events detected and located during 140 the time interval considered here. We calculate the magnitude of these events and compare the 141 event detection from the playback catalog with the aviti-séisme catalog (Figure 2). With the addition of the local broadband stations, we were able to detect significantly more daily events and 142 143 with lower magnitude compared to the original catalog.

144

#### 145 **2.3. Velocity and hypocenter determination**

146 To properly delimitate the structures involved in the 2021 earthquake, we selected a subset 147 of well located aftershocks within the original catalog described above. We decided to only use 148 local stations in our analysis since a 1D velocity model would not be able to fully accommodate 149 the phase delays from the distant regional stations considering the heterogeneity of the crustal 150 structure over the Hispaniola island (Corbeau et al., 2017; Douilly et al., 2016; Possee et al., 2019; 151 Quiros et al., 2018). We retain a total of 2877 aftershocks with 22249 P-wave arrivals and 9652 S-152 wave arrivals that have an RMS < 0.7 s and that are only located on land and inside the area 153 covered by our stations. Therefore all the events that occurred offshore near the town of Jérémie 154 or near the 2010 rupture by Léogâne are not considered in the analysis below. We then used the 155 location software Velest (Kissling et al., 1994, 1995) to jointly invert those arrivals for the 1D P&S 156 velocity models, hypocenter locations, and station corrections. After performing the joint-157 hypocenter inversion, we incorporated the final velocity model and hypocenter locations from 158 Velest into the HypoDD double-difference software (Waldhauser, 2001; Waldhauser & Ellsworth, 159 2000, 2002) to reduce the first order scattering effect due to regional heterogeneities and to further 160 improve the aftershock locations. The double-difference method iteratively minimizes the residual

161 between the observed and calculated travel times for pairs of earthquakes observed at common

- 162 stations by changing their hypocenter vector. This approach cancels common errors when the
- 163 distribution of seismicity is sufficiently dense and can better delineate the active structures. As an
- example, for the 2010 Haiti earthquake, the relocations from hypoDD were more tightly clustered
- 165 compared to the Velest locations and the ruptured segments were better defined (Douilly et al.,
   166 2013). However, the hypoDD earthquake catalog will have fewer events compared to the Velest
- 167 catalog. This is due to the fact that by fixing the maximum event separation to 10 km and the
- number of links to define a neighbor to 6, the reweighting process after each iteration will cause
- some events to exceed the separation criteria and be removed in the process (Waldhauser, 2001).
- 170 Thus, after computing the inversion with hypoDD, the number of aftershocks is reduced to 2528 171 events and those high-precision location events are then used to identify the structures that were 172 activated by the mainshock.
- 173

### 174 **2.4. P-wave first motion focal mechanisms**

175 To investigate the deformation patterns of the ruptured segments delineated by the 176 aftershock relocations, we manually picked two hundred events from the hypoDD catalog with at 177 least 10 P-wave first motion polarities to compute their preferred fault plane solution using the 178 HASH software (Hardebeck & Shearer, 2008). Given the limited number of local stations in our 179 study, we also incorporate the manual picks from regional stations with the purpose of increasing 180 the station distribution ratio and reducing the misfit to obtain a reliable set of focal mechanisms. 181 We use the 1D velocity model obtained from the Velest joint inversion to compute the take-off 182 angles and determine the best focal mechanisms. Following Hardebeck & Shearer (2008), we only 183 consider focal mechanisms with a misfit less than 0.3 and a station distribution ratio greater than 184 0.3. This results in a focal mechanism dataset of 53 events.

185

## 186 **3. Results**

## 187 **3.1. Minimum 1D Velocity structure and station corrections**

188 To derive the best fitting velocity structure, we first select a subset of events that have the 189 most recordings and an azimuthal gap less than 180°. We chose station BFIN as the reference (i.e. 190 P station correction is set to zero) because it is located near the center of the aftershock zone and 191 has the most recordings. We tested a range of starting velocity models including the recent one 192 from Douilly et al., (2016) that was derived in the southeastern part of the peninsula near the 2010 193 mainshock. After several iterations, the residuals and average Root Mean Square (RMS) decrease 194 from 0.40 and 0.16 s to 0.22 and 0.05 s respectively. Figure 3 shows the results considering only 195 two input velocity models (dashed lines). The velocities after the iterations are shown with solid 196 lines where the black solid line is the final model used in this study. Despite the differences with 197 the input velocities, the final models are consistent with each other, implying a good stability of 198 our velocity models. We observe that the final P and S velocities for almost all the layers are 199 consistently smaller compared to ones obtained further east near Léogâne by Douilly et al., (2016) 200 (gray dashed lines in Figure 3). In the upper 5 km, the decrease in velocity is on the order of 0.4

201 km/s for the P and  $\sim 0.1$  km for the S. For the layers between 8-18 km, the decrease is  $\sim 0.2$  km/s 202 for both P and S. This suggests that there is a lateral heterogeneity for both P and S velocities in 203 the Southern Peninsula.

204

205 To further assess the sensitivity of the inversion to the initial parameters, we performed 206 two additional tests. For the first test, we split the dataset equally into two groups with similar 207 spatial distribution of aftershocks. Using the same initial model shown in black dashed line in 208 Figure 3, we inverted both groups individually and compared their final velocities. Figure 4 209 compares the final velocity model from Figure 3 to the velocities for the two separate datasets. The 210 velocities for the two datasets appear to converge towards our final velocity model for this region. 211 Finally, similarly to Douilly et al., (2016), we used different starting model magnitudes with low 212 and high values for the P and S models (dashed lines in Figure 5). After inverting each of them, 213 we observe that all models are converging to the final velocity model (solid lines in Figure 5 and 214 Table 2) that we will refer to as the minimum 1D velocity model for this region. Those two tests 215 show high convergence and stability of our minimum 1D velocity model.

216

217 Using Velest, we also invert for the P and S station corrections which are the average time 218 residuals that the 1D velocity model could not fully accommodate due to a number of factors such 219 as lateral heterogeneity of the velocity structure. The corrections are initially set to zero and vary 220 during the inversion with respect to the reference station. Table 1 and Figure 6 show the final P 221 and S station corrections. Considering that RS stations SPRIV, SJER2, SAQUI and SMESL have 222 only one vertical velocity component and no horizontal velocity components, their S station 223 corrections are by default set to zero and should not be interpreted. RS stations SPRIV and SJRE2 224 have ~8 times fewer P-arrivals compared to the other two RS stations (SAQUI and SMESL) and 225 thus their P-station corrections are not be well constrained. For the P-wave station correction 226 distribution, we observe that the outermost stations (TROU, HASL, LBOR, CHAR) have positive 227 station corrections on the order of +0.2-0.3 s while stations in the center of the network have either 228 nearly zero (e.g. CAVA, PEST) or negative anomalies (e.g. HBAR). Stations SMESL and SALO 229 are located on the same site and as expected their P corrections are nearly the same, which further 230 showcases the high accuracy and stability of the results. The S-wave station corrections show 231 similar distribution as for the P corrections, where stations TROU, HASL, LBOR and CHAR have 232 positive corrections while the center stations such as PEST, HBAR, CAVA and PBEA have 233 negative corrections. This variability in station correction distribution is most likely due to a 234 change in velocities, which further supports the argument of a lateral heterogeneity of the velocity 235 model in the Southern Peninsula.

236

#### 237 **3.2. Spatial distribution of aftershocks**

After identifying the best fitting 1D velocity model (Table 2) from the joint inversion described above, we run the Velest program one last time to relocate the entire set of 2820 aftershocks by keeping the final P&S velocities and station corrections fixed. While doing so, we

241 incorporate the final hypocenter locations from Velest to hypoDD to further refine the locations 242 using the catalog of phase arrival picks only. For the reasons explained above, the hypoDD catalog is reduced to 2528 total events, and their locations, coded by hypocenter depth and magnitude, are 243 244 shown in Figure 7a (see Table S1 in the electronic supplement for a complete list of the earthquake 245 locations). Similar to the results of Calais et al. (2022a), the aftershocks cluster north of the EPG 246 fault has a spatial distribution that seems to follow the topography. Overall, the aftershock distribution illustrates several zones, or clusters, with slightly different behavior and orientation. 247 The eastern one, which is located between the longitudes of -73.35° and -73.65°, shows a 248 249 northwest-southeast striking feature that has significantly more events compared to the other two 250 clusters. Furthermore, events in this zone reach greater depth (up to  $\sim 25$  km) while events in the 251 other zones are shallower (maximum depth ~15 km). In the center zone (longitudes -73.65° to -252  $73.8^{\circ}$ ), we observe a slight rotation in the strike of the aftershock cluster, to a southwest-northeast 253 strike with shallower events. As one moves west, aftershocks transition to an east-west direction 254 (longitudes -73.8° and -74.10°) which is in agreement with the orientation and surface trace of the 255 Ravine du Sud fault (Saint Fleur et al., 2020). To better understand the vertical distribution of 256 aftershocks, we represent them along different depth slices of  $\pm 2$  km (Figure 8). The structures 257 described above are well expressed at different depth slices where the eastern, central and western zones dip to the north with a strike of N60°W  $\pm$  5°, N60°E  $\pm$  5° and N85°E  $\pm$  5° respectively. 258

259

260 For all clusters, we observe very few near-surface events, *i.e.* located in the upper 4 km. 261 The shallowest events are primarily located in the western cluster near the intersection with the central one, which is consistent with the sharp transition in the InSAR data indicative of surface 262 263 rupture along the Ravine du Sud fault (Calais et al., 2022a; Maurer et al., 2022). Figures 7b, c and 264 d show the aftershock locations coded by hypocenter depth but for different time intervals. While more than 50% of the events occurred between August 20th and September 30th, for the remaining 265 days in the catalog, the aftershocks still display the same behaviors where the central and western 266 267 cluster have significantly fewer events compared to the eastern one, while the deeper events are 268 clustered in the eastern zone. We did not observe a clear migration of the aftershocks during either 269 time slice, events were continuously occurring on all clusters (Figures 7 and S2). We also observe 270 a small cluster of shallower aftershocks, less than 15 km-long, outside of the mainshock area and 271 to the east (longitude -73.25°) with events that occurred primarily during the first month after the 272 mainshock. They are likely related to shallow triggered afterslip along the EPG fault, as 273 documented by Maurer et al. (2022) and Yin et al. (2022).

274

The P-wave first motion focal mechanism nodal planes match the overall pattern of the aftershock distribution (see Table S2 in the electronic supplement for a complete list of the focal mechanisms). Figure 9 shows the focal mechanisms sorted by the plunge of their principal axes (following Zoback, 1992) where the red focal mechanisms indicate primarily thrust motion, the green ones strike-slip events, and the black ones are the rest. The events within the eastern cluster are primarily composed of thrust and left strike-slip motion consistently with the coseismic rupture models (Calais et al., 2022a; Maurer et al., 2022; Okuwaki & Fan, 2022). Most importantly, one of the nodal planes for most of these events is parallel to the NW-SE as illustrated by the aftershocks. Furthermore, the western cluster is mostly composed of left lateral strike-slip mechanisms with nodal planes that are aligned with the direction of the Ravine du Sud fault, consistent with the aftershock distribution. Nevertheless, a more detailed analysis of the focal mechanisms is necessary to confirm this assertion.

287

#### 288 4. Discussion

#### 289 4.1 Was the EPG fault part of the main rupture?

290 The Mw7.2 2021 Nippes, Haiti, earthquake can now be added to a long list of complex 291 branch fault earthquakes involving rupture along multiple fault segments such as the 1979 Imperial 292 Valley (Archuleta, 1984), the 1980 El Asnam (Cisternas et al., 1982; Yielding, 1985), the 1980 293 Irpinia (Bernard et al., 1993), the 1992 Landers earthquake (Sowers et al., 1994), the 1999 Hector 294 mine earthquake (Treiman et al., 2002), the 2002 Denali earthquake (Frankel, 2004), the 2010 Darfield earthquake (Beavan et al., 2012), the 2010 El-Mayor Cucapah earthquake (Hauksson et 295 296 al., 2011), the 2010 Haiti earthquake (Douilly et al., 2013; Meng et al., 2012; S. J. Symithe et al., 297 2013) and the 2016 Kaikoura earthquake (W. Xu et al., 2018). This event ruptured several north 298 dipping segments close to the EPG fault in a pattern that is similar to the 2010 rupture further east 299 (Douilly et al., 2013). It is important to note that the dip angle of the EPG fault is not directly 300 constrained in the area of the 2021 rupture, though this fault is believed to be primarily vertical or 301 south-dipping near the 2010 mainshock (Prentice et al., 2010). However one cannot rule out a 302 variable dip along strike for the EPG fault in accordance with the spatial distribution of the 303 topography. Near the 2010 rupture, the high topography is located to the south of the rupture, 304 consistent with the south dipping configuration of the EPG fault inferred by Prentice et al. (2010) 305 further east. However, near the 2021 mainshock, the high topography is located to the north of the 306 rupture (Pic Macaya) and thus, without the presence of other north-dipping secondary faults, a 307 north-dipping EPG fault could explain the high topography north of the surface trace. This warped 308 fault configuration with laterally-variable dip has also been inferred for the San Andreas fault (Fuis 309 et al., 2012), another plate boundary fault in a similar tectonic setting. Using potential field data, 310 active source imaging and seismicity, Fuis et al., (2012) indicate that the SAF dips to the southwest 311 north of the Big Bend area but immediately rotates to a northeast dip after the bend, consistent 312 with the asymmetric topography in that region. However, considering the lack of evidence for a 313 dipping EPG fault in our study area, in the following we will assume the EPG fault to be purely 314 vertical.

315

Considering the proximity of those ruptured segments to the nearby EPG fault, it is worth investigating whether the latter did participate in this earthquake, as the outcome could alter seismic hazard estimates for this region following the 2021 rupture. Therefore, to further understand the geometry of the structures and investigate the likelihood for the main structures to coincide with the EPG fault, we display on Figure 10 a series of cross sections perpendicular to 321 the orientation of each aftershock cluster. Events in cross section A-A' located outside of the 322 rupture zone delineate a vertical structure which is in agreement with the assumption of a vertical 323 EPG fault. These events coincide with the zone of afterslip that has been observed using InSAR 324 data (Maurer et al., 2022; Yin et al., 2022). In cross section B-B', which is perpendicular to the 325 NW-SE striking direction of the eastern cluster, we observe that the aftershocks delineate primarily 326 a north dipping segment ( $\sim 60^{\circ}$ -  $65^{\circ}$ ), though its dip angle seems to be steeper below 18 km depth. 327 Moreover, we find aftershocks along the vertical projection of the EPG surface fault trace (vertical 328 gray line in Figure 10) near 10 km depth. This suggests that the eastern cluster occurred on a fault 329 north of – and separate from – the EPG fault, a pattern similar to with the Léogâne fault rupture 330 during the 2010 earthquake (Calais et al., 2010; Mercier de Lépinay et al., 2011; Symithe et al., 331 2013). Aftershocks within the central cluster in cross section C-C' define a dip angle down to 332 18 km that is consistent with the eastern cluster, but appear to dip at a slightly shallower angle 333 below that depth. Finally, aftershocks in cross section D-D' show a clear ~N75° dip angle on a 334 fault segment that coincides with the Ravine du sud fault, parallel to the EPG fault but separate 335 from it, in accordance with slip inversion from InSAR data (Calais et al., 2022a; Maurer et al., 336 2022).

337

We also display north-south, NE-SW and NW-SE directed cross sections on Figures 11, S1, S2. Cross section A-A' in Figures 11 and S2 clearly shows events on the EPG fault (assumed to be vertical) that may have been triggered by the mainshock. Events within cross section B-B' and C-C' show a north-dipping structure adjacent to the EPG fault. We observe a rotation in strike between the eastern and central clusters in cross sections E-E' in Figures 11 and S2. Overall, our favored interpretation of the 2021 Nippes earthquake involves the rupture of north-dipping faults separate from the main EPG fault, with a compressional bend configuration.

345

346 This raises the question as to why would the rupture favor secondary, compressional faults 347 rather than the perhaps more mature EPG fault? This was observed during the 1989 Loma Prieta 348 earthquake in California where the ruptured occurred on a steeply dipping thrust fault near the 349 main San Andreas fault (Dietz & Ellsworth, 1990). This was also the case for the 2010 Haiti 350 earthquake, where Douilly et al., (2015) argued that the geometry of the faults and particularly a 351 weak (lower friction) eastern Léogâne fault were necessary for the rupture to break both north 352 dipping segments and bypass the EPG fault. We hypothesize that this could also be the case for 353 the 2021 rupture. Also, that the EPG fault did not rupture, does not imply that the 2021 rupture 354 had no impact on the that fault. In the case of the 2010 Haiti earthquake, the Léogâne fault rupture 355 intersected the EPG fault at depth, causing an increase in stresses in the upper part of the EPG fault 356 and a decrease below the intersection (Douilly et al., 2015; Symithe et al., 2013). Given the 357 similarity in rupture pattern between the 2010 and 2021 events, and the fact that the surface 358 projection of the ruptured segments intersect the EPG fault near the surface and not at depth, one 359 would expect the 2021 rupture to cause a slight decrease in shear stress on the EPG fault segments 360 adjacent to the ruptured structures. Future Coulomb stress change calculations on nearby faults

and dynamic rupture studies are needed to clarify this hypothesis about the shear stress variationon the EPG fault.

363

## 364 **4.2. Activation of secondary faults**

365 Following major crustal earthquakes, aftershocks can sometimes occur on secondary structures (Douilly et al., 2013; Hauksson et al., 1993; Shearer et al., 2003; Shelly, 2020), *i.e.* fault 366 367 segments that did not slip coseismically during the mainshock but were activated or triggered due to mechanisms such as creep, postseismic deformation, static or dynamic stress change etc. Such 368 369 secondary faults were observed following the 2019 Ridgecrest sequence. This earthquake involved 370 two main events of magnitude 6.4 and 7.1 with complex rupture on nearly parallel and nearly 371 perpendicular fault segments (DuRoss et al., 2020; Ponti et al., 2020; Shelly, 2020). The Mw6.4 372 event occurred on a set of left-lateral faults and the Mw7.1 one took place nearly 36 hours later on 373 a right-lateral fault that crossed the left-lateral fault system. This event triggered significant 374 seismicity on the Garlock fault, particularly on the segment close to the rupture area (Shelly, 2020) 375 where shallow creep and shear stress increase have been observed (Ramos et al., 2020; Toda & 376 Stein, 2020). Furthermore, the aftershock distribution delineated numerous cross-cutting faults 377 perpendicular to the right lateral fault (Shelly, 2020). The activation of these secondary cross-378 cutting structures was also corroborated by phase gradient analysis with InSAR where those 379 surface fractures showed slip polarity in retrograde with the background tectonic stress (Xu et al., 380 2020). Secondary structures were also observed during the 2010 Haiti earthquake. The offshore 381 Trois Baies fault experienced significant seismicity following the mainshock (Douilly et al., 2013), 382 consistent with coseismic Coulomb stress change calculations (Symithe et al., 2013). In addition, 383 the aftershocks also delineated antithetic and cross-cutting structures with respect to the fault 384 segments that ruptured during the main event (Douilly et al., 2013).

385

386 Consistent with those crustal earthquakes, the aftershock sequence that followed the 2021 387 Nippes earthquake activated several secondary structures. Similar to the 2010 earthquake, the 2021 388 rupture also triggered significant seismicity along offshore faults (see aviti-séismes), though we 389 did not incorporate these events in this analysis as their locations are not well constrained because 390 they occurred outside of the footprint of our network. In addition, the aftershocks seem to delineate 391 some north-south striking secondary structures. In map view, these structures are for the most part 392 buried within the central cluster of seismicity. But if we analyze the catalog between November 393 and December (Figure 7d), the aftershocks show alignments different from the orientation of the 394 central cluster described above. While the presence of these structures in map view is debatable, 395 there are some focal mechanisms within that region that have one of their nodal planes parallel to 396 the orientation of these secondary structures, which further support this hypothesis (Figure 8). 397 These structures can also be seen in cross sections B-B' and C-C' in Figure S3 and C-C' in Figure 398 10 where the aftershocks delineate some south-dipping fault planes. Moreover, phase gradient 399 analysis of InSAR images (Sandwell et al., 2000; Sandwell & Price, 1998; Xu et al., 2020), applied

to the 2021 Nippes earthquake, revealed the presence of some secondary fault features (Yin et al.,
2022) in agreement with our interpretation.

402

### 403 **5.** Conclusion

404 In this study, we used data from a local seismic station deployment from August 20 to 405 December 31, 2021 to perform a high-resolution aftershock relocation for the 2021 Mw 7.2 Nippes 406 earthquake in southern Haiti. We find two small clusters of events located on the EPG fault. The 407 first one is located just to the east of the rupture area, coincident with afterslip observed in InSAR 408 data. The second one is located in the near vicinity of the hypocenter, where some events delineate 409 a vertical structure that coincides with the vertical projection of the surface trace of the EPG fault. 410 However, the majority of the seismicity is located on structures that are adjacent to the EPG fault, 411 which indicates that the EPG fault proper likely did not rupture during the Nippes earthquake and 412 remains a source of significant regional hazard.

413

414 The majority of the aftershocks form three separate clusters with slightly different strike 415 and dip. The eastern one defines a fault segment north of the EPG fault with a strike of N60°W  $\pm$ 416  $5^{\circ}$  and a dip of ~60° towards the north. The central one has a similar dip as the eastern one but the 417 strike rotates to ~N60°E. The western one follows the surface trace of the Ravine du Sud fault, 418 with an east-west strike and northward dip of about  $\sim 75^{\circ}$ . The spatial distribution of aftershocks 419 is not uniform, as the eastern cluster has significantly more events compared to the central and 420 western ones, with deeper events in the eastern cluster as well (greater than 18 km depth). We did 421 not investigate the Moho depth in this study. Variable Moho depth was observed on a north-south 422 configuration near the capital Port-au-Prince from receiver function analysis where the Moho was imaged at 22 km in the south and increased to 41 km in central Haiti (Corbeau et al., 2017). Future 423 424 receiver function studies should be considered to investigate whether the Moho depth is also 425 variable throughout the Southern Peninsula.

426

427 We also invert for a 1D P&S velocity structure for this region. In general, the final P and 428 S velocities for almost all the layers are consistently smaller compared to the velocities near the 429 2010 Haiti mainshock. This suggests the presence of lateral velocity heterogeneity near the 2021 430 mainshock area. Prominent low and fast velocity anomalies were observed near the 2010 ruptured area and bimaterial interfaces were observed along all the fault segments (Douilly et al., 2016). 431 432 Bimaterial interfaces can sometimes generate dynamic dilatation at one end of a rupture and 433 dynamic compression at the other end which could cause the rupture to die in the direction of 434 compression and facilitate the rupture to propagate in the dilational direction (Shi & Ben-Zion, 435 2006). Therefore bimaterial interfaces along the EPG fault and the 2021 ruptured segments could 436 potentially explain the unilateral nature of this event where the rupture only propagates west of the 437 hypocenter. Local earthquake tomography and ambient noise tomography with the existing data 438 should be considered in the future to not only define a 3D crustal structure for this region but also 439 to investigate the likelihood of bimaterial interfaces.

### 441 Data and Resources

442 The seismic data was collected by a temporary broadband stations following the 2021 Nippes 443 earthquake in Haiti and will be available through the IRIS Data Center. Additional data from RS 444 stations are obtained from the Webservice FDSN of the Aviti-séismes platform (https://aviti.unice.fr/aviti-seismes/fdsnws/) and the IRIS Data Center. The stations used in this 445 446 study are composed of four RS of the HY Haiti network (DOI: https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/HY) 447 and twelve broadband stations of the Z2 Haiti network (https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/Z2 2021). SeisComp3 (SC3, https://www.seiscomp.de/) is used for the real-time monitoring of the seismic 448 449 data. The figures in study are plotted with Generic Mapping Tools (Wessel and Smith, 2001; 450 Wessel et al., 2019). This article includes supplemental material that consists of (1) a figure (Figure 451 S1) showing cross sections with respect to time perpendicular to the orientation of the main 452 aftershock clusters, (2) a figure (Figure S2) showing NE-SW cross sections illustrating possible 453 fault structures, (3) a figure (Figure S3) showing NW-SE cross sections illustrating possible fault 454 structures, (4) a table (Table S1) with the Final hypoDD catalog for the 2520 events shown in 455 Figures 7,8,10 and 11 and (5) a table (Table S2) with the P-wave first motion focal mechanisms 456 for the 53 events used in this study.

457

## 458 **Declaration of Competing Interests**

- 459 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 460

## 461 Acknowledgments:

462 This project received support from several institutions and projects. We thank Susan Hough and an anonymous reviewer for their helpful comments that helped improved the manuscript. This 463 464 research was supported by grants from the U.S. National Science Foundation (award number EAR-2217976 to RD). Startup fund to RD was used to ship the Nanometric broadband stations to Haiti. 465 466 Funding to EC was provided by the FEDER European Community program within the Interreg 467 Caraïbes "PREST" project. EC acknowledges support from the Institut Universitaire de France and from the French National Research Agency (project ANR-21CE03-0010 "OSMOSE"). This 468 469 project has been supported via SJS by the Grant and Cooperative Agreement between USGS 470 (G20AC00100) and the Faculté Des Sciences (FDS) of the State University of Haiti (UEH). 471 Funding from this grant was provided by the USAID Bureau of Humanitarian Assistance. SJS also 472 acknowledges that funds from the "Fonds D'appui à la Recherche" (FAR) of the Rectorat of States 473 University of Haiti (RUEH) have been used to support partly deployment of broadband stations 474 within the epicentral area of the 2021 Nippes earthquake a few days after the main shock. SP is 475 supported by a grant from the French Embassy in Haiti and funding from the Université Côte 476 d'Azur, France.

477

The authors acknowledge the Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD) and the Université d'Etat d'Haïti (UEH) who provided financial support, human resources and logistical 480 means for the installation and maintenance of the temporary field stations. Some of the Raspberry

481 Shake instruments (RS) were acquired as part of the Interreg Caribbean project "PREST" of the

482 FEDER European Community program and the CNRS-IRD project "S2RHAI". The Bureau of

483 Mines and Energy (BME) of Haiti helped with the transportation as their contribution to the

- 484 deployment of the broadband stations.
- 485

The authors are grateful to the citizen-hosts of the RS stations who made it possible to monitor seismic activity in real time from the mainshock of the Nippes earthquake on August 14, 2021, until December 31, 2021, the end date of this study. The authors would also thank all the people who, in one way or another, allowed the installation of the temporary seismological stations in the epicentral zone and ensured the security of these stations throughout the experiment, despite a complicated social, political, economic, and climatic context.

- 492493 References
- Allen, R. (1982). Automatic phase pickers: Their present use and future prospects. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 72(6B), S225–S242.
- Anthony, R. E., Ringler, A. T., Wilson, D. C., & Wolin, E. (2019). Do low-cost seismographs
  perform well enough for your network? An overview of laboratory tests and field
  observations of the OSOP Raspberry Shake 4D. *Seismological Research Letters*, *90*(1),
  219–228.
- Archuleta, R. J. (1984). A faulting model for the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 89(B6), 4559–4585.
- Bakun, W. H., Flores, C. H., & ten Brink, U. S. (2012). Significant earthquakes on the Enriquillo
  fault system, Hispaniola, 1500–2010: Implications for seismic hazard. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 102(1), 18–30.
- Beavan, J., Motagh, M., Fielding, E. J., Donnelly, N., & Collett, D. (2012). Fault slip models of
  the 2010–2011 Canterbury, New Zealand, earthquakes from geodetic data and
  observations of postseismic ground deformation. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics*, 55(3), 207–221.
- Benford, B., DeMets, C., & Calais, E. (2012). GPS estimates of microplate motions, northern
   Caribbean: Evidence for a Hispaniola microplate and implications for earthquake hazard.
   *Geophysical Journal International*, 191(2), 481–490.
- Bernard, P., Zollo, A., Trifu, C.-I., Herrero, A., & others. (1993). Details of the rupture *Kinematics and mechanism of the 1980 Irpinai earthquake: New results and remaining*questions.
- Calais, E., Freed, A., Mattioli, G., Amelung, F., Jónsson, S., Jansma, P., Hong, S.-H., Dixon, T.,
  Prépetit, C., & Momplaisir, R. (2010). Transpressional rupture of an unmapped fault
  during the 2010 Haiti earthquake. *Nature Geoscience*, *3*(11), 794–799.
- Calais, E., Symithe, S., Monfret, T., Delouis, B., Lomax, A., Courboulex, F., Ampuero, J. P.,
  Lara, P., Bletery, Q., Chèze, J., & others. (2022a). Citizen seismology helps decipher the
  2021 Haiti earthquake. *Science*, *376*(6590), 283–287.
- Calais, E., S.J. Symithe, and B.M. de Lépinay (2022b), Strain partitioning within the Caribbean North America transform plate boundary in southern Haiti, tectonic and hazard
   implications, Bull. Soc. Seism. America, under review.

- 524 Cisternas, A., Dorel, J., & Gaulon, R. (1982). Models of the complex source of the El Asnam
  525 earthquake. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 72(6A), 2245–2266.
- Corbeau, J., Rolandone, F., Leroy, S., Guerrier, K., Keir, D., Stuart, G., Clouard, V., Gallacher,
   R., Ulysse, S., Boisson, D., & others. (2017). Crustal structure of western Hispaniola
   (Haiti) from a teleseismic receiver function study. *Tectonophysics*, 709, 9–19.
- 529 Dietz, L. D., & Ellsworth, W. L. (1990). The October 17, 1989, Loma Prieta, California,
   530 earthquake and its aftershocks: Geometry of the sequence from high-resolution locations.
   531 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 17(9), 1417–1420.
- Douilly, R., Aochi, H., Calais, E., & Freed, A. (2015). Three-dimensional dynamic rupture
  simulations across interacting faults: The Mw7. 0, 2010, Haiti earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *120*(2), 1108–1128.
- Douilly, R., Ellsworth, W. L., Kissling, E., Freed, A. M., Deschamps, A., & Mercier de Lépinay,
  B. (2016). 3-D velocity structure in southern Haiti from local earthquake tomography. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *121*(12), 8813–8832.
- Douilly, R., Haase, J. S., Ellsworth, W. L., Bouin, M.-P., Calais, E., Symithe, S. J., Armbruster,
  J. G., de Lépinay, B. M., Deschamps, A., Mildor, S.-L., & others. (2013). Crustal
  Structure and Fault Geometry of the 2010 Haiti Earthquake from Temporary
  Seismometer Deployments. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, *103*(4),
  2305–2325.
- 543 DuRoss, C. B., Gold, R. D., Dawson, T. E., Scharer, K. M., Kendrick, K. J., Akciz, S. O.,
  544 Angster, S. J., Bachhuber, J., Bacon, S., Bennett, S. E., & others. (2020). Surface
  545 displacement distributions for the July 2019 Ridgecrest, California, earthquake ruptures.
  546 *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, *110*(4), 1400–1418.
- 547 Ester, M., Kriegel, H.-P., Sander, J., Xu, X., & others. (1996). A density-based algorithm for
  548 discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. *Kdd*, 96(34), 226–231.
- Frankel, A. (2004). Rupture process of the M 7.9 Denali fault, Alaska, earthquake: Subevents,
   directivity, and scaling of high-frequency ground motions. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 94(6B), S234–S255.
- Fuis, G. S., Scheirer, D. S., Langenheim, V. E., & Kohler, M. D. (2012). A new perspective on
  the geometry of the San Andreas fault in southern California and its relationship to
  lithospheric structure. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 102(1), 236–251.
- Hardebeck, J., & Shearer, P. (2008). HASH: A FORTRAN Program for Computing Earthquake
  First-Motion Focal Mechanisms–v1. 2. US Geological Survey and Institution of
  Oceanography, 1–17.
- Hauksson, E., Jones, L. M., Hutton, K., & Eberhart-Phillips, D. (1993). The 1992 Landers
  earthquake sequence: Seismological observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 98(B11), 19835–19858.
- Hauksson, E., Stock, J., Hutton, K., Yang, W., Vidal-Villegas, J. A., & Kanamori, H. (2011).
  The 2010 M w 7.2 El Mayor-Cucapah Earthquake Sequence, Baja California, Mexico
  and Southernmost California, USA: Active Seismotectonics along the Mexican Pacific
  Margin. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 168(8–9), 1255–1277.
- Hayes, G., Briggs, R., Sladen, A., Fielding, E., Prentice, C., Hudnut, K., Mann, P., Taylor, F.,
  Crone, A., Gold, R., & others. (2010). Complex rupture during the 12 January 2010 Haiti
  earthquake. *Nature Geoscience*, *3*(11), 800–805.
- Kissling, E., Ellsworth, W., Eberhart-Phillips, D., & Kradolfer, U. (1994). *Initial reference models in local earthquake tomography*.

- Kissling, E., Kradolfer, U., & Maurer, H. (1995). Program VELEST USERS GUIDE-Short
   Intrduction. *Institute of Geophysics, ETH Zurich*.
- Maeda, N. (1985). A method for reading and checking phase times in autoprocessing system of
   seismic wave data. *Zisin*, *38*, 365–379.
- Mann, P., Taylor, F., Edwards, R. L., & Ku, T.-L. (1995). Actively evolving microplate
  formation by oblique collision and sideways motion along strike-slip faults: An example
  from the northeastern Caribbean plate margin. *Tectonophysics*, 246(1–3), 1–69.
- Maurer, J., Dutta, R., Vernon, A., & Vajedian, S. (2022). Complex rupture and triggered
   aseismic creep during the August 14, 2021 Haiti earthquake from satellite geodesy.
   *Geophysical Research Letters*, e2022GL098573.
- Meng, L., Ampuero, J.-P., Sladen, A., & Rendon, H. (2012). High-resolution backprojection at
   regional distance: Application to the Haiti M7. 0 earthquake and comparisons with finite
   source studies. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth (1978–2012), 117*(B4).
- Mercier de Lépinay, B., Deschamps, A., Klingelhoefer, F., Mazabraud, Y., Delouis, B., Clouard,
  V., Hello, Y., Crozon, J., Marcaillou, B., Graindorge, D., & others. (2011). The 2010
  Haiti earthquake: A complex fault pattern constrained by seismologic and tectonic
  observations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38(22).
- Nettles, M., & Hjörleifsdóttir, V. (2010). Earthquake source parameters for the 2010 January
  Haiti main shock and aftershock sequence. *Geophysical Journal International*, 183(1),
  375–380.
- Okuwaki, R., & Fan, W. (2022). Oblique Convergence Causes Both Thrust and Strike-Slip
   Ruptures During the 2021 M 7.2 Haiti Earthquake. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49(2),
   e2021GL096373.
- Ponti, D. J., Blair, J. L., Rosa, C. M., Thomas, K., Pickering, A. J., Akciz, S., Angster, S.,
  Avouac, J.-P., Bachhuber, J., Bacon, S., & others. (2020). Documentation of Surface
  Fault Rupture and Ground-Deformation Features Produced by the 4 and 5 July 2019 M w
  6.4 and M w 7.1 Ridgecrest Earthquake Sequence. *Seismological Society of America*,
  91(5), 2942–2959.
- Possee, D., Keir, D., Harmon, N., Rychert, C., Rolandone, F., Leroy, S., Corbeau, J., Stuart, G.,
  Calais, E., Illsley-Kemp, F., & others. (2019). The tectonics and active faulting of Haiti
  from seismicity and tomography. *Tectonics*, 38(3), 1138–1155.
- Prentice, C., Mann, P., Crone, A., Gold, R., Hudnut, K., Briggs, R., Koehler, R., & Jean, P.
  (2010). Seismic hazard of the Enriquillo-Plantain Garden fault in Haiti inferred from
  palaeoseismology. *Nature Geoscience*, *3*(11), 789–793.
- Quiros, D. A., Pulliam, J., Barman, D., Polanco Rivera, E., & Huerfano, V. (2018). Ambient
   noise tomography images accreted terranes and igneous provinces in Hispaniola and
   Puerto Rico. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(22), 12–293.
- Ramos, M. D., Neo, J. C., Thakur, P., Huang, Y., & Wei, S. (2020). Stress Changes on the
   Garlock fault during and after the 2019 Ridgecrest Earthquake Sequence. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*.
- Ratchkovski, N. A., Wiemer, S., & Hansen, R. A. (2004). Seismotectonics of the central Denali
  fault, Alaska, and the 2002 Denali fault earthquake sequence. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 94(6B), S156–S174.
- 613 Saint Fleur, N., Feuillet, N., Grandin, R., Jacques, E., Weil-Accardo, J., & Klinger, Y. (2015).
- 614 Seismotectonics of southern Haiti: A new faulting model for the 12 January 2010 M7. 0 615 earthquake. *Geophysical Research Letters*.

- Saint Fleur, N., Klinger, Y., & Feuillet, N. (2020). Detailed map, displacement, paleoseismology,
  and segmentation of the Enriquillo-Plantain Garden Fault in Haiti. *Tectonophysics*, 778,
  228368.
- Sandwell, D. T., & Price, E. J. (1998). Phase gradient approach to stacking interferograms.
   *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 103(B12), 30183–30204.
- Sandwell, D. T., Sichoix, L., Agnew, D., Bock, Y., & Minster, J.-B. (2000). Near real-time radar
   interferometry of the Mw 7.1 Hector Mine earthquake. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
   27(19), 3101–3104.
- Scherer, J. (1912). Great earthquakes in the island of Haiti. Bulletin of the Seismological Society
   of America, 2(3), 161–180.
- Shearer, P. M., Hardebeck, J. L., Astiz, L., & Richards-Dinger, K. B. (2003). Analysis of similar
   event clusters in aftershocks of the 1994 Northridge, California, earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 108(B1).
- Shelly, D. R. (2020). A high-resolution seismic catalog for the initial 2019 Ridgecrest earthquake
   sequence: Foreshocks, aftershocks, and faulting complexity. *Seismological Research Letters*, 91(4), 1971–1978.
- Shi, Z., & Ben-Zion, Y. (2006). Dynamic rupture on a bimaterial interface governed by slipweakening friction. *Geophysical Journal International*, 165(2), 469–484.
- Sowers, J., Unruh, J., Lettis, W., & Rubin, T. (1994). Relationship of the Kickapoo fault to the
   Johnson Valley and Homestead Valley faults, San Bernardino County, California.
   *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 84(3), 528–536.
- 637 Symithe, S., Calais, E., Chabalier, J., Robertson, R., & Higgins, M. (2015). Current block
  638 motions and strain accumulation on active faults in the Caribbean. *Journal of*639 *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.*
- 640 Symithe, S. J., Calais, E., Haase, J. S., Freed, A. M., & Douilly, R. (2013). Coseismic Slip
  641 Distribution of the 2010 M 7.0 Haiti Earthquake and Resulting Stress Changes on
  642 Regional Faults. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 103(4), 2326–2343.
- Toda, S., & Stein, R. S. (2020). Long-and Short-Term Stress Interaction of the 2019 Ridgecrest
   Sequence and Coulomb-Based Earthquake Forecasts. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 110(4), 1765–1780.
- Treiman, J. A., Kendrick, K. J., Bryant, W. A., Rockwell, T. K., & McGill, S. F. (2002). Primary
  surface rupture associated with the M w 7.1 16 October 1999 Hector Mine earthquake,
  San Bernardino County, California. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*,
  92(4), 1171–1191.
- 650 Vogt, J. (2004). A glimpse at the historical seismology of the West Indies. *Annals of Geophysics*.
- Vogt, J. (2005). Deux séismes majeurs de Sainte-Domingue au XVIIIéme siécle. 2. Le séisme du
  3 juin 1770. *Genéalogie Det Histoire de La Caraïbe*, 178(4424–4432).
- 653 Waldhauser, F. (2001). *HypoDD–A program to compute double-difference hypocenter locations*.
- Waldhauser, F., & Ellsworth, W. L. (2000). A double-difference earthquake location algorithm:
   Method and application to the northern Hayward fault, California. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 90(6), 1353–1368.
- Waldhauser, F., & Ellsworth, W. L. (2002). Fault structure and mechanics of the Hayward fault,
  California, from double-difference earthquake locations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 107(B3), ESE-3.

- Ku, W., Feng, G., Meng, L., Zhang, A., Ampuero, J. P., Bürgmann, R., & Fang, L. (2018).
  Transpressional rupture cascade of the 2016 Mw 7.8 Kaikoura earthquake, New Zealand. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 123(3), 2396–2409.
- Ku, X., Sandwell, D. T., & Smith-Konter, B. (2020). Coseismic displacements and surface
   fractures from Sentinel-1 InSAR: 2019 Ridgecrest earthquakes. *Seismological Research Letters*, 91(4), 1979–1985.
- 466 Yielding, G. (1985). Control of rupture by fault geometry during the 1980 El Asnam (Algeria)
  467 earthquake. *Geophysical Journal International*, 81(3), 641–670.
- Yin, H.Z., X, Xu, J. Haase, R. Douilly, D.T. Sandwell, & de Lépinay, B. (2022). Surface
  deformation surrounding the 2021 M7.2 Haiti earthquake illuminated by InSAR
  observations, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, in revision.
- K. Zoback, M. L. (1992). First-and second-order patterns of stress in the lithosphere: The World
  Stress Map Project. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 97(B8), 11703–
  11728.
- 674

### 675 Full mailing address

- 676 University of California, Riverside
- 677 Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences
- 678 900 University Avenue, Geology building
- 679 Riverside CA, 92521
- 680 robyd@ucr.edu
- 681 (R.D.)
- 682
- 683 Géoazur
- 684 Université Côte d'Azur, Campus Azur CNRS
- 685 250 av A. Einstein
- 686 06560 Valbonne, France
- 687 sylvert.paul@geoazur.unice.fr; monfret@geoazur.unice.fr
- 688 deschamps@geoazur.unice.fr; ambrois@geoazur.unice.fr
- 689 courboulex@geoazur.unice.fr; mercier@geoazur.unice.fr
- 690 font@geoazur.unice.fr; cheze@geoazur.unice.fr
- 691 (S.P., T.M., A.D., D.A., F.C., B.M.d., Y.F., J.C.)
- 692
- 693 Ecole Normale Supérieure
- 694 Department of Geosciences
- 695 24 rue Lhomond
- 696 75231 Paris cedex 5, France
- 697 ecalais@geologie.ens.fr
- 698 (E.C.)
- 699
- 700 Unité de Recherche en Géosciences (URGeo)
- 701 Faculté des Sciences, Port-au-Prince, Haiti
- 702 symithesteevej@gmail.com
- 703 sadrac.stfleur@gmail.com
- 704 dmboisson@yahoo.com
- 705 (S.J.S., S.S.F., D.M.B.)

### 707 List of figure captions

708 Figure 1: The upper panel shows the seismotectonic context of the Caribbean – North America 709 plate boundary at the longitude of Hispaniola. Main active faults are shown with black lines. Stars 710 indicate major destructive historical (white) and instrumental (red) earthquakes (Scherer, 1912; 711 Bakun et al., 2012). Large arrows show the relative motion between the Caribbean and North 712 American plates. The black dashed rectangle displays the area shown in the lower panel. Top right 713 inset shows the large-scale tectonic setting of the study area, with arrows showing the GPS-derived 714 velocity of the surrounding plates with respect to the Caribbean. Numbers are in cm/yr. The lower 715 panel shows the active faults with red lines from Calais et al., (2022b) in the southern peninsula of 716 Haiti. The white circles mark the aftershock distribution for the 2010 Haiti earthquake (Douilly et 717 al., 2013) and the yellow circles indicate the aftershock relocation for the 2021 Nippes rupture 718 from this study. The dashed blue rectangle shows the area encompassed in figures 6 and beyond. 719 J: Jérémie, L: Léogâne, PaP: Port-au-Prince, TBF: Trois Baies fault.

720

Figure 2: Temporal variation of seismicity from the ayiti séisme catalog (real time detection in blue) and the playback catalog (green) with temporary stations. The top panel is the distribution with respect to magnitude and the bottom panel is with respect to the number of events per day. The yellow star marks the mainshock and the red dash line indicates the installation date of the

temporary stations. Significant more daily events are detected with the temporary stations.

Figure 3: 1D P and S velocity profiles considering two input velocities. Initial models are shown
with dashed lines and final models after several runs are shown with solid lines.

729

Figure 4: 1D P and S velocity models for the two separate datasets. Initial models are shown withdash lines and final models after several runs are shown with solid lines.

732

Figure 5: A) 1D velocity profiles for three different starting models in dashed lines. B) Their
corresponding final velocity models (solid lines) for each model in A. The black dashed line is
the same starting velocity as in Figures 4 and 5.

736

Figure 6: Spatial distribution of P and S station corrections for the local stations in southern Haiti.
The outer circles mark the P-wave station corrections and the inner circles the S-waves station
corrections.

740

Figure 7: Aftershock locations from hypoDD color-coded by hypocenter depth and sized as a function of their magnitudes. The black triangles show the local seismic station distribution. The top left is a map view of seismicity, the right and bottom panels are with respect to depth; (a) Complete catalog of 2520 events between the period of August 20th to December 31st, 2021; (b)

- events between August 20th and September 30th of the catalog; (c) events between October 1st
- and November 14 of the catalog; (d) events between November 15 and December 31 of the catalog.

Figure 8: Aftershock hypocenters at different depth intervals; (a) 4-8 km, (b) 8-12 km; (c) 12-16
km; (d) 16-20 km; (e) 20-24 km; (f) 24-28 km.

750

Figure 9: P wave first motion focal mechanisms for 53 events categorized by the plunge of their principal stresses based on the classification of Zoback, (1992). Thrust mechanisms are represented in red, strike-slip mechanisms are in green, and the black mechanisms indicate the unclassified type of faulting.

755

Figure 10: Cross sections color coded by hypocenter depth perpendicular to the orientation of the main aftershock clusters. Hypocenters included within a box are projected into the corresponding cross sections. Gray curve above each cross section indicates the surface topography. The vertical gray lines mark the location of either the EPG fault (EF) or the Ravine du Sud fault (RF).

760

761 Figure 11: North-South cross sections illustrating the correlation of the fault structures with respect

to the EPG fault. Hypocenters within the rectangular box are projected into the corresponding cross

sections. Gray curve above each cross section indicates the surface topography. The vertical gray

764 lines mark the location of either the EPG fault (EF) or the Ravine du Sud fault (RF).

765

## 766 Electronic Supplement

Figure S1: Cross sections with respect to time perpendicular to the orientation of the main aftershock clusters. Hypocenters included within a box are projected into the corresponding cross sections. The vertical gray lines mark the location of either the EPG fault (EF) or the Ravine du Sud fault (RF).

771

Figure S2: NE-SW cross sections illustrating possible fault structures. Hypocenters within the
rectangular box are projected into the corresponding cross sections. The vertical gray lines mark
the location of either the EPG fault (EF) or the Ravine du Sud fault (RF).

775

Figure S3: NW-SE cross sections illustrating possible fault structures. Hypocenters within the
rectangular box are projected into the corresponding cross sections. The vertical gray lines mark
the location of either the EPG fault (EF) or the Ravine du Sud fault (RF).

- 779
- Table S1: Final hypoDD catalog for the 2520 events shown in Figures 7,8,10 and 11
- Table S2: P-wave first motion focal mechanisms for the 53 events used in this study

## 782 Table 1: Station information with their P and S time corrections obtained from the joint

#### 783 inversion

#### 

Name	Code	Long. (deg.)	Lat. (deg.)	Elev (m)	P-wave station correction (s)	S-wave station correction (s)	Date installed (yyyy/mm/ dd)	Date recovered (yyyy/mm/ dd)	Station Type
CHARD	Z2	-74.166	18.275	8	0.38	0.39	2021/08/27		Nanometrics
HBAR	Z2	-73.643	18.481	19.2	-0.08	-0.41	2021/08/18	—	Guralp
PBEAU	Z2	-73.957	18.477	600	0.11	-0.24	2021/08/26		Nanometrics
CAMPP	Z2	-73.386	18.325	215	0.15	-0.12	2021/08/26		Nanometrics
SALO	Z2	-73.616	18.227	0	0.12	-0.15	2021/10/02		Nanometrics
CAVA	Z2	-73.656	18.415	784	0.06	-0.19	2021/08/26		Nanometrics
BFIN	Z2	-73.612	18.394	454	0.00	-0.29	2021/08/17		Nanometrics
HASL	Z2	-73.415	18.384	157	0.46	0.72	2021/08/17		Guralp
ROCH	Z2	-73.016	18.180	24	0.09	-0.23	2021/08/26	—	Nanometrics
STTHE	Z2	-73.993	18.534	204	0.21	-0.10	2021/08/26		Nanometrics
LBOR	Z2	-73.804	18.280	66	0.23	0.03	2021/08/27	2021/09/21	Nanometrics
TROU	Z2	-73.474	18.513	31	0.17	0.04	2021/08/25		Nanometrics
PEST	Z2	-73.799	18.541	39	0.01	-0.41	2021/08/25		Nanometrics
SPRIV	HY	-73.244	18.477	1	0.93	0.00	2021/08/18		Raspberry Shake
SAQUI	HY	-73.397	18.283	26	0.11	0.00	2021/08/18	—	Raspberry Shake
SMESL	HY	-73.616	18.227	0	0.17	0.00	2020/12/10		Raspberry Shake
SJER2	HY	-74.121	18.650	19	0.51	0.00	2019/09/10		Raspberry Shake

Depth (km)	Vp (km/s)	Vs (km/s)	Vp/Vs
0	4.90	2.42	2.02
3	5.16	2.85	1.81
6	5.91	3.20	1.85
9	6.16	3.41	1.81
12	6.43	3.60	1.79
15	6.46	3.69	1.75
18	6.78	3.80	1.78
22	7.11	3.90	1.82
25	7.33	4.01	1.83
30	8.04	4.49	1.79

## 787 Table 2: Best fitting 1D model for the P-Wave and S-Wave velocity structure



793 Figure 1: The upper panel shows the seismotectonic context of the Caribbean – North 794 America plate boundary at the longitude of Hispaniola. Main active faults are shown with 795 black lines. Stars indicate major destructive historical (white) and instrumental (red) 796 earthquakes (Scherer, 1912; Bakun et al., 2012). Large arrows show the relative motion 797 between the Caribbean and North American plates. The black dashed rectangle displays 798 the area shown in the lower panel. Top right inset shows the large-scale tectonic setting of 799 the study area, with arrows showing the GPS-derived velocity of the surrounding plates with respect to the Caribbean. Numbers are in cm/yr. The lower panel shows the active 800 801 faults with red lines from Calais et al., (2022b) in the southern peninsula of Haiti. The 802 white circles mark the aftershock distribution for the 2010 Haiti earthquake (Douilly et al., 803 2013) and the yellow circles indicate the aftershock relocation for the 2021 Nippes rupture 804 from this study. The dashed blue rectangle shows the area encompassed in figures 6 and 805 beyond. J: Jérémie, L: Léogâne, PaP: Port-au-Prince, TBF: Trois Baies fault. 806





Figure 2: Temporal variation of seismicity from the ayiti séisme catalog (real time detection in blue) and the playback catalog (green) with temporary stations. The top panel is the distribution with respect to magnitude and the bottom panel is with respect to the number of events per day. The yellow star marks the mainshock and the red dash line indicates the installation date of the temporary stations. Significant more daily events are detected with the temporary stations.





817 Figure 3: 1D P and S velocity profiles considering two input velocities. Initial models are

818 shown with dashed lines and final models after several runs are shown with solid lines.





821 Figure 4: 1D P and S velocity models for the two separate datasets. Initial models are

822 shown with dash lines and final models after several runs are shown with solid lines.



824

825 Figure 5: A) 1D velocity profiles for three different starting models in dashed lines. B)

826 Their corresponding final velocity models (solid lines) for each model in A. The black
827 dashed line is the same starting velocity as in Figures 4 and 5.



832 southern Haiti. The outer circles mark the P-wave station corrections and the inner circles

833 the S-waves station corrections.



Figure 7: Aftershock locations from hypoDD color coded by hypocenter depth and sized with
respect to their magnitudes. The black triangles show the local seismic station distribution.
The top left is a map view of seismicity, the right and bottom panels are with respect to depth;
(a) Complete catalog of 2520 events between the period of August 20th to December 31st,
2021; (b) events between August 20th and September 30th of the catalog; (c) events between
October 1st and November 14 of the catalog; (d) events between November 15 and December
31 of the catalog.



846 Figure 8: Aftershock hypocenters at different depth intervals; (a) 4-8 km, (b) 8-12 km; (c)

- 847 12-16 km; (d) 16-20 km; (e) 20-24 km; (f) 24-28 km.
- 848



850 Figure 9: P wave first motion focal mechanisms for 53 events categorized by the plunge of

851 their principal stresses based on the classification of Zoback, (1992). Thrust mechanisms

852 are represented in red, strike-slip mechanisms are in green and the black mechanisms

- 853 indicate the unclassified type of faulting.
- 854



Figure 10: Cross sections color coded by hypocenter depth perpendicular to the orientation
of the main aftershock clusters. Hypocenters included within a box are projected into the
corresponding cross sections. Gray curve above each cross section indicates the surface
topography. The vertical gray lines mark the location of either the EPG fault (EF) or the
Ravine du Sud fault (RF).



862

Figure 11: North-South cross sections illustrating the correlation of the fault structures with respect to the EPG fault. Hypocenters within the rectangular box are projected into the corresponding cross sections. Gray curve above each cross section indicates the surface topography. The vertical gray lines mark the location of either the EPG fault (EF) or the Ravine du Sud fault (RF).