

# Revealing the role of supernatant and granular sludge fractions on granular anaerobic membrane bioreactor fouling

Lucie Sanchez, Geoffroy Lesage, Yasar Onur Demiral, Ignasi Rodriguez-Roda, Marc Heran, Gaetan Blandin

# ► To cite this version:

Lucie Sanchez, Geoffroy Lesage, Yasar Onur Demiral, Ignasi Rodriguez-Roda, Marc Heran, et al.. Revealing the role of supernatant and granular sludge fractions on granular anaerobic membrane bioreactor fouling. Journal of Water Process Engineering, 2022, 49, pp.103168. 10.1016/j.jwpe.2022.103168 . hal-03808335

# HAL Id: hal-03808335 https://hal.science/hal-03808335v1

Submitted on 10 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Revealing the role of supernatant and granular sludge								
2	fractions on granular anaerobic membrane bioreactor fouling								
3	Lucie Sanchez <sup>a,b</sup> , Geoffroy Lesage <sup>a*</sup> , Yasar Onur Demiral <sup>b,c</sup> , Ignasi Rodriguez-Roda <sup>b</sup> ,								
4	Marc Heran <sup>a</sup> , Gaetan Blandin <sup>b</sup>								
5	<sup>a</sup> Institut Européen des Membranes (IEM), Université de Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM,								
6	Montpellier, France								
7	<sup>b</sup> LEQUIA, Institute of the Environment, University of Girona, Spain								
8	<sup>c</sup> Dokuz Eylül University, The Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences,								
9	Tinaztepe Campus, Izmir, Turkey								
10	*Corresponding author (e-mail: geoffroy.lesage@umontpellier.fr)								
11	ABSTRACT								
12	In order to design efficient fouling mitigation strategies in granular anaerobic membrane								
13	bioreactors (G-AnMBR), foulant characteristics and their role have to be thoroughly								
14	investigated. Raw mixed liquor of G-AnMBR was split by sieving into granules and								
15	supernatant fractions at 0.125 mm. Then, the fouling potential and reversibility of the								
16	different samples (granules, supernatant and raw mixed liquor) were assessed by								
17	measuring critical fluxes and through filtration tests. Various hydrodynamic conditions,								
18	i.e. gas sparging and recirculation, were applied to evaluate the impact of shear stress on								
19	fouling propensity. Results revealed that the supernatant fraction, composed of fine								
20	compounds and micro-particles, had a strong fouling potential, whilst the granule fraction								
21	led to minor fouling filtration resistance. Three-dimensional excitation emission								
22	fluorescence spectroscopy emphasised the prominent role of colloidal proteins in G-								
23	AnMBR membrane fouling. During the filtration test of raw mixed liquor, the fouling								

24 propensity of the micro-particles was lowered, since the structural cake layer was 25 modified. Gas sparging allowed for the mitigation of cake formation, but excess of shear forces may lead to granule break-up and more irreversible fouling. Liquid recirculation 26 27 led to a higher filtration resistance, but almost all the membrane permeability was 28 recovered by physical cleaning. A short filtration cycle without gas sparging followed by 29 a short period of relaxation and gas sparging could be a suitable fouling mitigation 30 method. In this way, release of micro-particles from granule break-up could be limited, 31 the cake build-up would be mostly reversible by physical cleaning, and the energy demand of gas sparging would be greatly reduced, thereby improving the energy 32 33 neutrality of the G-AnMBR biotechnology.

#### 34 **KEYWORDS**

35 membrane; granular sludge; membrane fouling; gas sparging; membrane cleaning.

36

## 1. Introduction

The anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR) is an emergent biotechnology that combines anaerobic digestion and membrane filtration. This hybrid technology is drawing attention for domestic wastewater treatment due to its competitiveness in terms of (i) conversion of organics into methane, (ii) effluent quality and (iii) reactor compactness [1,2]. Many lab- and pilot-scale studies have proven that AnMBR is a sustainable and efficient alternative to conventional energy-intensive processes, which could be suitable for low-energy, water-scarce, low-income and space-limited areas [3,4].

Nonetheless, a major hindrance to AnMBR scale-up and implementation in mainstream
wastewater treatment is membrane fouling, as this reduces process productivity and
increases energy, operational and maintenance costs (e.g. chemicals, membrane
replacement, etc.) [1,5]. Among strategies to reduce AnMBR fouling, the granular-

48 sludge-based anaerobic membrane bioreactor (G-AnMBR) has gained prominence in the 49 last decade, since granules simultaneously boost the biomass activity and reduce 50 membrane fouling [4,6,7]. Granular sludge is characterised by a self-immobilisation of 51 biomass into compact and dense aggregates which form well-established micro-52 ecosystems. The structural arrangement of the granules imparts high settling capacity, 53 efficient methanogenic activity and high strength to loading rates changes and shocks [2]. 54 Zhang et al. (2021) found that 39.9% of fouling mitigation in a granular membrane 55 bioreactor (MBR) was due to the scouring effect of granules over the membrane surface, 56 while 50.3% was attributed to granule structure [8]. The mechanical scouring effect of 57 the granular material expanded by gas sparging has been reported effective in diminishing 58 membrane fouling in MBR by friction with the membrane and by enhancing the collision 59 between granules and suspended sludge, thus reducing their deposition [8,9]. Due to the 60 higher density of granules, granular sludge is less easily pushed towards the membrane 61 surface than suspended sludge. It is further hypothesised that the large size and solid 62 structure of granular biomass and the immobilisation of extracellular polymeric 63 substances (EPS) within granule structure limit fouling (i.e. pore blocking, deposition and 64 thickness of the cake layer on membrane surface) compared to conventional flocculated 65 sludge MBR [9,10]. Actually, Martin-Garcia et al. (2013) measured a concentration of 66 soluble microbial products (SMP) at least twice as high in a flocculated AnMBR than in 67 a G-AnMBR. Moreover, some solid and colloidal organics are adsorbed and biodegraded 68 inside the granular sludge bed, which is supposed to cause less membrane fouling [11,6]. 69 [12] found that large granules ( $d_p \ge 1.2 \text{ mm}$ ) and small granules ( $d_p \le 1 \text{ mm}$ ) were 70 associated to high flux and low membrane fouling because of loose cake layer structure 71 and less EPS-membrane adhesion, respectively. Conversely, they found that granular 72 sludge with intermediate size  $(1 \le d_p \le 1.2 \text{ mm})$  was responsible of more severe fouling due to both compact cake layer and higher adhesion of EPS to membrane surface. Hence,
the size of granules has been also identified as a determining factor in the extent of
membrane fouling.

76 The granular sludge matrix is a complex mixture. Based on the size distribution, the 77 granular sludge matrix is generally divided into various fractions, such as (i) granules, (ii) 78 sludge flocs, (iii) micro-particles – including free bacteria and micro-organisms, colloidal 79 and sub-visible particles  $(0.45 - 15 \,\mu\text{m})$ , and (iv) dissolved compounds, e.g. biopolymers, 80 salts and SMP [13,14]. All these fractions could be of influent origin, the result of the 81 bacterial activity, or process dependent and they all might cause membrane fouling 82 [15,14,2]. Several studies have focused on the characterisation of the fouling phenomena 83 in conventional AnMBR. In AnMBR studies, micro-particles were found to dominate the 84 membrane fouling phenomenon [14,15]. Yao et al. (2020) suggested that cake layer 85 formation and biofouling occurred concurrently within the AnMBR, since analogous 86 organics and micro-organisms were found in micro-particle fraction and foulant components. Subsequently, even though granular sludge partly helps membrane fouling 87 88 mitigation compared to conventional flocculated sludge, fouling concerns remain and 89 need to be better understood to define effective fouling mitigation and cleaning strategies.

90 Based on the most common MBR fouling mitigation strategy, some studies have investigated different permeate fluxes (from 5 to 20 L.m<sup>-2</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup> (LMH)) and specific gas 91 demand (SGD)  $(0.1 - 2.0 \text{ m}^3 \text{.m}^2 \text{.h}^{-1})$  to identify the best operating and hydrodynamic 92 93 conditions for G-AnMBR to maintain high membrane permeability with low energy 94 requirements and treatment costs [16,17]. Vinardell et al. (2022) stated that operating at moderate fluxes and gas sparging rates ( $J_{20} = 7.8$  LMH; SGD = 0.5 m<sup>3</sup>.m<sup>-2</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>) could be 95 96 the most favourable membrane fouling control strategy in G-AnMBR and balance process 97 productivity and process economics. Wang et al. (2018a) tested continuous and 98 intermittent gas sparging regimes and filtration cycles and stated that shear rate, gas 99 sparging frequency and filtration cycle length are of high importance for delivering 100 sustained membrane filtration. Intermittent filtration associated with intermittent gas 101 sparging has been identified as the best fouling mitigation method in G-AnMBR, since 102 low residual fouling resistance and energy neutrality can be achieved [17]. However, the 103 authors suggested further investigations to properly manage operating conditions.

104 To implement suitable and affordable fouling management, it is essential to understand 105 the inherent G-AnMBR fouling phenomenon, since membrane fouling characteristics are 106 matrix-dependent. To the best of the authors' knowledge, no previous studies have 107 explicitly explored the fouling potential of an anaerobic granular sludge matrix. The aim 108 of this study is therefore to reveal the fouling potential and mechanisms of an anaerobic 109 granular sludge. To provide an in-depth assessment, the G-AnMBR mixed liquor was 110 fractionated by sieving into two parts, the granules fraction and the supernatant fraction. 111 The threshold size that distinguishes a granule from a flocculated sludge varies from 0.1 mm to 1 mm, depending on the study [18–20]. In this study, bioparticles above 0.125 mm 112 113 were regarded as granules. Filtration tests were conducted on the raw granular mixed 114 liquor, the granules fraction and the supernatant fraction to evaluate their impact on 115 membrane fouling. Since hydrodynamic conditions and the resulting shear stress are a 116 key driver in membrane fouling mitigation, two gas sparging conditions and a liquid 117 recirculation condition were tested in each filtration test. The critical flux concept and 118 resistance-in-series model were used to determine fouling rate and reversibility of each 119 fraction for the three different hydrodynamic conditions. Three-dimensional 120 excitation/emission fluorescence analyses were conducted to characterise the foulants. 121 Specific objectives are to (i) make a direct and systematic comparison of the fouling 122 behaviour of the different fractions, (ii) determine the main compounds responsible for

membrane fouling, (iii) find out the possible interactions between granules and supernatant fractions and their effect on fouling, and (iv) identify the impact of the hydrodynamic conditions on the granular sludge and fouling behaviour to increase understanding and help decision making about fouling strategies.

127

# 2. Materials and methods

128 **2.1 Anaerobic granular sludge fractions** 

129 Raw granular anaerobic sludge (called the "raw mixed liquor") was taken from a 130 mesophilic (35-38°C) industrial Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB) reactor 131 treating the process water from the manufacturing of recycled paper (Saica Paper 132 Champblain-Laveyron, France). Four litres of raw mixed liquor at a constant total 133 suspended solids (TSS) concentration of 10 g/L were split through a standard sieve of 134 0.125 mm mesh size. Granules retained on the sieve ( $d_p \ge 0.125$ ) were resuspended into 135 four litres of deionised water and represent the granules fraction from now on. The four 136 litres of liquid and particles that flowed through the sieve were regarded as the supernatant 137 fraction ( $d_p < 0.125$ ). Fouling propensities of (i) granules, (ii) supernatant, and (iii) raw 138 mixed liquor were systematically assessed.

139

### 2.2 Experimental set-up

The experimental lab-scale system, shown in Fig. 1, was composed of two sections with an effective volume of 4 L. The lower part of the reactor consisted of a thin parallelepipedshaped zone (215 x 24 x 405 mm) where the membrane cartridge was immersed and the upper part was a flared section. The bottom of the reactor included both a liquid recirculation and an aeration diffuser used separately according to the operating conditions applied. The liquid recirculation was carried out by a peristaltic pump (Watson Marlow (WMFTG), UK). Supernatant was pumped from the top of the reactor and 147 reintroduced under the membrane through a 10 mm hole placed in the middle of the 148 section. The gas sparging was done by a hollow tube with three drilled holes (1 mm 149 diameter) distributed along the length and controlled by a gas flowmeter. The 150 microfiltration membrane used was a flat sheet module from KUBOTA Membrane 151 Europe (UK) in Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) and Chlorinated Polyethylene (PE-C) 152 with a nominal pore size of  $0.4 \,\mu\text{m}$ ,  $0.11 \,\text{m}^2$  surface area and 6 mm cartridge width. The 153 permeate was suctioned through a peristaltic pump (Watson Marlow (WMFTG), UK) and 154 returned to the reactor to maintain a constant volume. The transmembrane pressure (TMP) 155 was obtained through a pressure gauge installed on the permeate line. The water 156 temperature (T) in the reactor was monitored. Pressure and temperature data were 157 recorded using a Bluetooth-based system provided by Instrument Works (Waterloo, 158 Australia). Visualisation, acquisition and storage of all data was realised thanks to the 159 Dataworks software (Instrument Works, Waterloo, Australia). Membrane flux (J<sub>T</sub>) was set at 20 L.m<sup>-2</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup> (LMH) and the corresponding normalised flux at 20°C (J<sub>20</sub>) was 160 161 recalculated using Equation (1).

$$J_{20} = \frac{J_T \cdot \mu_T}{\mu_{20}}$$
(1)

where  $J_{20}$  is the normalised flux at 20°C (m<sup>3</sup>.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) and  $\mu_{20}$  and  $\mu_{T}$  (in Pa.s) are the viscosity of water at 20°C and at the working temperature T (°C) respectively.



165 Fig. 1 – Schematic representation of the experimental set-up

166

## 167 **2.3 Filtration tests**

168 Filtrations tests were conducted for the three sludge fractions (raw mixed liquor, granules 169 and supernatant). Each experiment consisted of filtration tests based on five consecutive 170 operating cycles composed of 45 min of filtration and 90 s of relaxation. Three 171 hydrodynamic conditions were investigated with the aim of evaluating the influence of 172 turbulence on filtration performances. Hence, a liquid recirculation of 24 L/h (RE) and 173 two aeration flow rates of 25 L/h (A25) and 100 L/h (A100) were applied resulting in shear stress of 15, 205 and 409 s<sup>-1</sup> respectively (see equations in supplementary data). For 174 175 RE, the crossflow velocity was about 11 m/h and the specific gas demand was 0.23 and 0.91 m<sup>3</sup>.m<sup>-2</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup> for A25 and A100, respectively. Before each filtration test, the
permeability of the clean membrane was measured with deionised water through fluxstepping increments and determined as follows:

$$J_{20} = Lp.TMP \tag{2}$$

where Lp is the permeability (L.m<sup>-2</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>.bar<sup>-1</sup>),  $J_{20}$  is the normalised flux at 20°C (L.m<sup>-2</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>) and TMP is the transmembrane pressure (bar).

**2.4 Critical flux** 

182 Critical fluxes (J<sub>c</sub>), corresponding to the onset of prominent fouling, were assessed by the 183 flux-step method [21] for each fraction and hydrodynamic condition tested. The 184 permeation rate was increased stepwise from 2 LMH to 30 LMH and then incrementally 185 decreased. The corresponding TMP was continually recorded. The step duration was 186 fixed at 10 minutes and a step height of 2 LMH and 4 LMH was chosen for the ascending 187 and descending phases, respectively. Le Clech et al. (2003) established three key TMP-188 based parameters to determine the critical flux, namely: (i) the initial TMP increase ( $\Delta P_n$ ), 189 (ii) the TMP increase rate  $(dTMP/dt)_n$ , and (iii) the average TMP  $(P_{average})_n$ . All these 190 parameters are depicted below:

$$\Delta P_n = TMP_i^n - TMP_f^{n-1} \tag{3}$$

$$({^{dTMP}}/_{dt})_n = \frac{(TMP_f^n - TMP_i^n)}{t_f^n - t_i^n}$$
(4)

$$P_{average_n} = \frac{TMP_i^n + TMP_f^n}{2}$$
(5)

where  $\text{TMP}_{i}^{n}$  and  $\text{TMP}_{f}^{n}$  are the initial and final TMP, respectively, of the n flux step,  $t_{i}^{n}$ is the starting time and  $t_{f}^{n}$  is the ending time of this step. The three parameters were calculated for each flux step. When the TMP-based parameters were no longer constant between flux steps and deviated from clean water values, the critical flux was considered to have been reached. The critical flux values given in this study are the average of the critical flux obtained through each parameter. Hence, the critical flux mentioned in this study is not in its zero-rate strict form, but corresponds to the flux level under which a sustainable filtration can be achieved.

## 199 **2.5 Fouling propensity and fouling reversibility**

200 Filtration resistances were determined following Darcy's law (Equation (6)).

$$R_t = \frac{TMP}{\mu_{20}.J_{20}} \tag{6}$$

201 where  $R_t$  is the resistance (m<sup>-1</sup>) and TMP is the transmembrane pressure (Pa).

202 Membrane fouling was characterised by means of the resistance-in-series model. In this 203 study, the total resistance ( $R_t$ ) is defined as the sum of the intrinsic membrane resistance 204 ( $R_m$ ) and the fouling resistance ( $R_f$ ) which, in turn, was divided into the resistances caused 205 by reversible fouling, irreversible fouling and residual fouling ( $R_{reversible}$ ,  $R_{irreversible}$ , 206  $R_{residual}$  respectively) as described in Equation (7) and (8).

$$R_t = R_m + R_f \tag{7}$$

$$R_f = R_{reversible} + R_{irreversible} + R_{residual} \tag{8}$$

The above-mentioned resistances were determined by filtering deionised water in the same hydrodynamic conditions as the filtration tests, using the following experimental procedure: (i)  $R_m$  was measured by filtering deionised water through the clean membrane; (ii)  $R_t$  was evaluated using the fouled membrane at the end of the filtration test; (iii)  $R_f$ was deduced from Equation (7); (iv) superficial cleaning with water was undertaken, taking the fouled membrane out of the reactor and flushing the surface with 1 litre of deionised water, after which the remaining resistances ( $R_{irreversible} + R_{residual}$ ) were measured by filtering deionised water; R<sub>reversible</sub> was then calculated using Equation (8);
finally, (v) a two-hour chemical cleaning by soaking in a 0.2% sodium hypochlorite
solution was carried out under aeration, and the leftover resistance R<sub>residual</sub> was measured;
R<sub>irreversible</sub> was then deducted from Equation (8).

218

## 2.6 Analytical methods

219

## 2.6.1 Particle size distribution

Particle size distribution (PSD) was performed on the raw mixed liquor before and after filtration tests at A25, A100 and RE. Size fractionation was done by wet sieving. The standard sieves used were of 1.0, 0.63 and 0.125 mm mesh sizes, resulting in four fractions: large granules ( $d_{p \ge 1}$ ), medium granules ( $d_{p \ 1-0.63}$ ), small granules ( $d_{p \ 0.63-0.125}$ ), and flocs and fines ( $d_{p < 0.125}$ ). Total solids of each fraction were measured according to Standard methods [22]. The PSD was expressed as a fraction's mass distribution [2].

226

## 2.6.2 Three-dimensional excitation emission matrix fluorescence

227 Three-dimensional Excitation Emission Matrix (3DEEM) fluorescence was used to 228 characterise and semi-quantify the dissolved and colloidal organic matter (DCOM), as 229 3DEEM samples were filtered at 0.45 µm. The cleaning water from the physical cleaning 230 and the raw mixed liquor before and after filtration tests were analysed. Three-231 dimensional excitation emission matrices were obtained using a Perkin-Elmer FL6500 232 spectrometer (USA). Excitation and emission scan ranges were fixed at 200-500 nm and 233 280-600 nm, respectively, while scan speed was set at 12,000 nm/min, incremented to 10 nm. The slit width was 5 nm for both excitation and emission. Every sample was 234 235 associated with a Milli-Q water blank analysed in the same conditions. To circumvent the 236 over-quantification caused by Raman and Rayleigh water scatter peaks, all spectra were 237 scatter-corrected by the blank sample [23]. From the 3DEEM spectra, four regions were 238 distinguished, based on their specific fluorophores [24]. Region I+II was associated with 239 protein-*like* fluorophores (tyrosine) ranging from  $\lambda_{ex} = 200-250$  nm to  $\lambda_{em} = 280-380$  nm, 240 Region III ( $\lambda_{ex} = 200-250$  nm /  $\lambda_{em} = 380-600$  nm) corresponded to fulvic acid-*like* 241 molecules, Region IV ( $\lambda_{ex} = 250-350$  nm /  $\lambda_{em} = 280-380$  nm) was associated with soluble microbial product (SMP)-like molecules (Tryptophan), and Region V ( $\lambda_{ex} = 250$ -242 243 500nm /  $\lambda_{em}$  = 380-600nm) corresponded to humic acid-*like* molecules. Region III and 244 IV were merged into a single region III+IV, called humic substances. The normalised 245 volume of fluorescence (in arbitrary unit per nm<sup>2</sup> (A.U/nm<sup>2</sup>)) beneath each area was 246 calculated as a function of the fluorescence intensity at each excitation-emission pair.

247

## 2.6.3 Proteins and polysaccharides

Protein (PN) and polysaccharide (PS) contents were used to characterise the different fractions and to follow any modification or release of these organic compounds during the experiments. The colorimetric Lowry and Dubois methods were used for PN and PS, respectively [25,26]. Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) and glucose were used as calibration solutions. All samples were pre-filtered through a 0.45 µm acetate cellulose filter before dosing.

254

# 3. Results and discussion

# **3.1 Granular sludge characteristics' behaviour during the filtration test**

In order to follow the raw mixed liquor trends during the filtration test, particle size distribution (Fig. 2a), total volume of fluorescence (Fig. 2b), and PS and PN concentrations (Fig. 2c) were measured. The initial raw mixed liquor was mainly composed of large granules, i.e. around 95% of mass fraction of particles over 0.125 mm in diameter ( $d_{p \ge 0.125}$ ). Initially, the DCOM of the raw mixed liquor was predominantly composed of proteins (54.2 ± 4.0 %) and humic substances (40.9 ± 5.0 %) and with a total volume of fluorescence of  $3.6 \pm 0.5 \ 10^{10} \text{ A.U.nm}^2$ . The recirculation (RE) condition had

263 a slight effect on the PSD with a lower proportion of smaller compounds, probably due 264 to the aggregation of particles resulting from the low shear rate, however, no significant 265 change in organic composition was observed. In contrast, in the A25 and A100 266 conditions, the large granule content  $(d_{p \ge 1.0})$  decreased from 88% to 83% and 77%, 267 respectively, showing that higher shear stress increased the number of smaller granules. In the same way, the volume of fluorescence reached  $4.9 \pm 0.5 \ 10^{10}$  and  $5.6 \pm 0.3 \ 10^{10}$ 268 269 A.U/nm<sup>2</sup> for A25 and A100, respectively, that is, +40% and +58% more than the initial 270 value. Similarly, the concentration of PN increased especially for both aeration rates A25 271 and A100 (+67% and +129%, respectively) confirming the release of protein substrates 272 from the granules. The greater the forces of attrition, the higher the total volume of 273 fluorescence and proteins became, underlining granule degradation and its release of fines 274 and DCOM. This confirmed the pre-established positive correlation between 275 hydrodynamic forces, attrition forces and granule disruption. Granule attrition created 276 crevices on the granule surface and pushed surface bacteria and DCOM off the granule 277 [27]. Moreover, soluble COD (sCOD) membrane removals are shown in supplementary 278 materials. The sCOD removal rate was globally not affected by the hydrodynamic 279 conditions, as the sCOD rejection was constant and about  $27.2 \pm 10.5$  %.



Fig. 2 - Evolution of (a) Particle Size Distribution (PSD), (b) relative percentage volume of fluorescence
from 3DEEM and (c) concentration of PN and PS of the raw mixed liquor before the experiment (initial)
and after each hydrodynamic operating condition applied (A25, A100, RE).

#### **3.2 Effect of sludge fraction and operating conditions on critical flux**

287 The critical flux results are given in Fig. 3. In all conditions, the granule fraction showed 288 lower fouling potential, with the lowest TMP in all conditions applied, which resulted in 289 highest critical flux values ( $J_c > 22$  LMH) (Fig. 3d). The TMP profiles also showed that 290 no incremental effect occurred when the supernatant and granules were both present in 291 the raw mixed liquor. Hence, the supernatant was found to be the major foulant, 292 emphasised by the lower and similar critical fluxes of both the raw mixed liquor and 293 supernatant fractions. These results support those observed in recent studies which stated 294 that micro-particles (0.45-10µm) – including some colloids – were mainly responsible for 295 membrane fouling in AnMBR [13,14,28].





Fig. 3 – Evolution of TMP and flux for the raw mixed liquor and the two fractions at the different operating conditions (a) A25, (b) A100, (c) RE and the (d) critical flux obtained through the TMP-based indicators.

299

300 The increase in hydrodynamic conditions, induced by gas sparging, had a beneficial effect 301 on filtration performance, since the critical flux values were positively related to the shear 302 stress, except for the granule fraction (Fig. 3d). In the latter case, hydrodynamic 303 conditions were too high and detrimental for the granule filtration capacity with a drop in 304 critical flux from 31.3 LMH in A25 condition to 24 LMH for A100. This phenomenon 305 can be linked to the granule disruption mentioned earlier which led to a rise of fine 306 particles and colloidal and dissolved compounds (Fig. 2). These findings support that 307 shear forces are of high importance in mitigating membrane fouling but the use of gas 308 scouring as a fouling mitigation method has to be precisely adapted to avoid the 309 detrimental effect of the shear stress, such as granular biomass disruption, membrane 310 fouling by fines, and energy overspending.

311 In addition, while comparing the TMP reached during the flux increasing phase and 312 decreasing phase, several phenomena were observed. An apparent hysteresis was 313 observed, for the supernatant fraction and raw mixed liquor especially, suggesting non-314 reversible fouling by the tested turbulence (see supplementary data). Interestingly, below 315 the critical flux, the gap between the ascending TMP and the descending TMP was 316 reduced in conditions A25 and A100. This phenomenon highlights that above the critical 317 flux, there was still an accumulation of foulant on the membrane surface. Conversely, 318 below the critical flux, no significant deposition of particles occurred, so during the 319 decreasing phase, the TMP declined because of the flux reduction and the cake layer 320 detachment under the aeration effects. Nevertheless, the granule fractions showed no 321 apparent hysteresis under both aeration conditions, meaning that particle deposition was 322 mostly reversible regardless of flux. Finally, in the RE condition, a strong level of 323 hysteresis was observed for all fractions. During the decreasing flux steps, the TMP dropped linearly, which emphasised the absence of additional accumulation and a lack offoulant detachment [29].



#### 3.3 Membrane filtration behaviour

326

Fig. 4 – Evolution of the TMP over time during the five cycles of filtration with aeration at 25L/min for
(a) the raw mixed liquor, (b) granules,(c) supernatant and (d) the corresponding average reversible fouling
rate for each filtration condition (A25, A100, RE) and for raw mixed liquor and the two fractions.

The change in TMP during the filtration tests of raw mixed liquor and the two fractions for the A25 condition are presented in Fig. 4. An overview of the A100 and RE conditions is provided in the supplementary data. From these TMP profiles, two fouling rates were determined: (i) the average short-term fouling rate, which corresponds to the mean fouling rate observed between intermittent relaxation steps and (ii) the long-term fouling rate (Fig. 4a and Fig. 4d). First, the lowest fouling capacities of the granule fraction were confirmed, whatever the hydrodynamic conditions, with a negligible TMP increment 339  $(\sim 0.1-0.2 \text{ mbar.min}^{-1})$  during operation cycles. In comparison, the supernatant presented 340 a TMP increase almost three times higher ( $\sim 0.45-0.65$  mbar.min<sup>-1</sup>), highlighting its 341 stronger fouling propensity. This is in accordance with previous results which stated that 342 the membrane permeability declines with the decrease of the particle size deposition 343 which forms a more compact fouling deposit and leads to higher pore blocking [8,30]. 344 Interestingly, under aeration conditions (A25 and A100), the raw mixed liquor sample, 345 which combines granules and supernatant fractions, exhibited TMP profiles and fouling 346 rates below the supernatant ones, with a short-term fouling rate around 0.35 mbar.min<sup>-1</sup>, 347 confirming the benefit effect of granules upon the supernatant fouling behaviour. Indeed, 348 it has been reported that the granular sludge structure is largely favourable for membrane 349 mitigation due to the larger particle diameter than membrane pore, leading to low pore 350 blocking. Moreover, when combined with gas sparging, the granules had an additional 351 scouring effect, which helped to diminish the flocs accumulation on the membrane 352 surface and decrease the penetration driving force of fine particles on membrane pores 353 [8].

354 With regards to the hydrodynamic conditions applied, no significant differences were 355 observed for short-term fouling rates between the A25 and A100 conditions, regardless 356 of the fraction filtered. Numerous studies have shown that there is a critical gas velocity 357 above which the gas sparging flow rate no longer impacts the fouling rate [11,17,31]. 358 Above the threshold gas sparging rate, the increase of shear stresses has no additional 359 effect on particle deposition mitigation and the coalescence of the air bubbles can even 360 reduce the shear events in the vicinity of the membrane [32]. Furthermore, the back-361 transport resulting from the hydrodynamic conditions has been positively correlated to 362 the particle size, meaning that smaller particles face lower shear-induced diffusion 363 [33,34]. Hence, it is likely that membrane fouling in G-AnMBR is mainly a result of364 smaller compounds and dissolved matter.

365 Moreover, the long-term fouling rate values (Fig. 4d) for the A100 condition were found 366 to be higher than the A25 for the granules and raw mixed liquor (0.07 vs 0.03 mbar.min<sup>-</sup> 367 <sup>1</sup> and 0.16 vs 0.10 mbar.min<sup>-1</sup> respectively). This phenomenon is almost certainly due to 368 the attrition of the granules that increased the amount of smaller compounds (see Fig. 2a), 369 as well as extracellular polymeric substances [31], which might contribute to membrane 370 fouling that is less responsive to the relaxation step. Moreover, for the granule fraction 371 with RE condition, the short-term and long-term fouling rates were almost similar, 372 suggesting that the relaxation steps did not have a significant fouling mitigation effect. 373 Conversely, the RE condition is the least effective solution for fouling management when 374 fine compounds  $(d_{p < 0.125})$  are in abundance (i.e. raw and supernatant samples), probably 375 due to a lack of shear events at the water-membrane interface. These filtration tests 376 support the careful consideration that should be given to the hydrodynamic parameters in 377 G-AnMBR. Shear conditions have to be great enough to prevent membrane fouling and 378 provide a long-lasting filtration, but not too high to avoid excessive energy consumption 379 and adverse effects on granular biomass. This is of great importance, because the damage 380 of granular sludge does not only have a detrimental effect on membrane fouling, but it 381 also reduces the organic removal efficiency, since biomass activity and syntrophic 382 associations are hindered [4,35].

383

## **3.4 Filtration resistance**

Fig. 5 shows the filtration resistances measured at the successive stages of the filtration tests and the different types of fouling deducted from the resistance-in-series. A significant difference was observed between the resistance recorded at the end of the filtration test and the total resistance measured on the fouled membrane by filtering 388 deionised water, notably for the A25 and A100 conditions. Therefore, the average total 389 resistances measured during the 10 last minutes of fifth filtration cycle are also presented 390 in Fig. 5. This difference may be due to the concentration polarisation occurring during 391 the filtration of fractions but mainly to the manipulation of the membrane and aeration 392 shear stresses during the permeability measurement, which unintentionally contributed to 393 removing the fouling during the total resistance measurement. In fact, it is as if a 394 membrane cleaning by gas sparging had been performed. Nonetheless, these facts show 395 that the filtration resistances caused by cake build-up were easily suppressed by gas 396 sparging and, therefore, counted as reversible fouling.

397 The higher fouling potential of the supernatant fraction and the synergetic effect between granules and supernatant fractions were confirmed by the total resistance, measured at 398 399 the end of the fifth filtration cycle, which diminished from  $14.2 \ 10^{11} \text{ m}^{-1}$  for supernatant filtration to 7.5 10<sup>11</sup> m<sup>-1</sup> for raw mixed liquor in A25 condition, from 13.6 10<sup>11</sup> to 8.5 10<sup>11</sup> 400  $m^{-1}$  at A100, and from 60.7  $10^{11}$  to 33.3  $10^{11} m^{-1}$  at RE. In the presence of granular sludge, 401 402 it has been reported that the cake layer built on the membrane surface had a lower 403 filtration resistance by means of a high cake porosity and the collision of granules with 404 flocs, biopolymers and membrane surface (Zhang et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). These 405 results clearly show that the lack of shear stress in the vicinity of the membrane (e.g. RE 406 condition) led to a stronger increase in fouling resistance, since the applied shear forces 407 were not sufficient to counteract the penetration driving forces [8] and to promote the 408 scouring effect of the granules. It should be noticed that in the RE condition, constant 409 filtration fluxes were difficult to sustain and thus high resistance variabilities were 410 observed in the experiment (see supplementary material).

Fig. 5d describes the relative reversibility of the fouling deposit for each experiment. Inany hydrodynamic condition, the filtration resistance built up during the filtration of the

413 supernatant was predominantly reversible ( $\geq 98\%$ ), meaning that almost all of the fouling 414 was removed by the physical cleaning. Interestingly, for the granule fraction, in both 415 aeration conditions, around 20% of the total resistance remained after superficial cleaning 416  $(R_{irreversible} + R_{irrecoverable})$ , and 7% of the filtration resistance was not even recovered after 417 chemical cleaning (Rirrecoverable). Regarding the raw mixed liquor, about 10% of the fouling 418 resistance remained after physical cleaning. Based on these findings, it could be suggested 419 that different fouling mechanisms were implicated relative to the fraction filtered. During 420 the filtration of the supernatant fraction, the rapid accumulation of micro-particles and 421 fines led to the build-up of a cake layer. Then, under the continuous filtration, the cake 422 layer was compressed, causing the change in cake structure and a shift in particle size 423 distribution to a larger size next to the membrane surface [36]. The cake consolidation 424 allowed for an easier and effective cake removal, since it allows the detachment of large 425 agglomerates and large layer fragments [37]. In contrast, when the supernatant was 426 combined with the granule fraction (i.e. raw mixed liquor), the cake layer was more 427 porous because of larger particle size, which was beneficial for the filtration performance. 428 However, soluble and smallest substances can pass through the loose cake layer and 429 attach to the membrane surface or block the membrane pores [10]. A lower reversibility 430 of small and single particles which interact with the membrane surface has been reported 431 [37] which could explain the measured irreversible and residual resistances.

These distributions of the nature of the fouling should be interpreted with caution, because although it describes the relative repartition of the resistances, it does not highlight their effective resistance to the filtration. Hence, even if a part of the fouling caused by granules (i.e. raw and granule fractions) was not removed by the cleaning methods employed, the filtration resistance caused by the persistent foulants was still low compared to the initial filtration resistance ( $R_m = 16.5 \pm 1.1 \ 10^{10} \ m^{-1}$ ).

438 In contrast, for all fractions in the RE conditions, the initial resistance was restored almost 439 entirely with the physical cleaning ( $\geq$  96%). In this case, the low hydrodynamic conditions 440 resulted in a barely fixed granular sludge bed, so that the granule fraction was not in the 441 vicinity of the membrane and did not take part of the cake layer formation. Therefore, the 442 membrane fouling that took place during the raw and granule fractions must have been 443 composed of micro-particles and non-settable compounds similar to the supernatant 444 fraction and thus had the same compact structure which induced the same degree of 445 reversibility.

Based on these results, a combine fouling mitigation method can be suggested with short intermittent cycles composed of filtration without gas sparging intersected by relaxation and gas sparging periods. In this way, the granules would not be degraded, the fouling deposition would be mostly reversible and the gas sparging energy demand would be lowered. This is in accordance with a previous G-AnMBR study in which, in absence of gas sparging, high fouling resistance was observed, but almost all the accumulated cake was removed by simultaneous use of relaxation and gas sparging [17].



454 Fig. 5 – Evolution of the filtration resistance at different operating stages: (i) the initial stage (i.e.  $R_t = R_m$ ), 455 (ii) the end of the fifth cycle of filtration, (iii) the fouled membrane, (iv) after the superficial cleaning, and 456 (v) after the chemical cleaning for (a) the 25L/min aeration rate, (b) the 100 L/min aeration rate, (c) the 457 recirculation, and (d) repartition of the different type of fouling resistance for raw mixed liquor and the two 458 fractions at different operating conditions.

459

460

#### 3.5 Membrane fouling characteristics

461 Table 1 presents the repartition of the volume of fluorescence obtained through 3DEEM 462 for rinsing water collected from each superficial cleaning -i.e. the reversible foulant. In 463 all cases, the largest amount of fluorescence appeared in region I+II, accounting for 68-464 85% of the total fluorescence. According to Jacquin et al. (2017), region I+II from the 465 3DEEM fluorescence is associated with colloidal proteins and, consistent with the present 466 results, they appeared to be the major foulants in G-AnMBR. It appears that micro-467 particles (0.45-10 µm) play a key role in G-AnMBR, as already observed in classical 468 AnMBR studies [14], and organic foulant compounds are mainly protein-like substances 469 [38]. The critical role of proteins has already been underlined due to their greater 470 hydrophobicity, which induces a higher adhesion capacity of protein-rich compounds to 471 the polymeric membrane surface [17,39]. The total volume of fluorescence of every 472 fraction in RE conditions was definitely higher than under aeration conditions. It seems 473 that the higher filtration resistance is due to a larger amount of foulants on the membrane 474 surface rather than a different and harsher type of foulant. Moreover, the volume of 475 fluorescence increased in the A100 condition relative to A25 which described a stronger 476 organic matter deposition, certainly linked to the granule disruption and protein release 477 mentioned previously (see Section 3.1).

478

# 4. Conclusion

479 From the present study, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- 480 Granules (d<sub>p ≥ 0.125</sub>) had a negligible fouling potential with a fouling rate below
   481 0.2 mbar.min<sup>-1</sup> whatever the hydrodynamic conditions used.
- The supernatant fraction, composed of fine compounds and flocs ( $d_{p < 0.125}$ ), was the key driver of membrane fouling in G-AnMBR. Nevertheless, the related membrane fouling was reversible with more than 98% of the fouling resistance recovered by simple water cleaning. Moreover, the compression of the cake layer under the drag forces led to a denser layer, which enables its complete removal.
- In the raw mixed liquor, where supernatant and granules are mixed, the fouling
   rate was lower compared to the supernatant fraction. It is suggested that granules
   diminished the impact of the fines and micro-particles over membrane
   permeability through mechanical scouring action and the formation of a more
   porous and loose cake layer structure. Interestingly, around 20% of the total
   fouling resistance remained after the superficial cleaning, suggesting that the

493 loose cake layer does not prevent small and adherent foulants from entering the494 membrane pore, causing residual fouling.

Based on the 3DEEM analysis, at least 68% of the fluorescent organic matter from
the reversible fouling came from the protein-*like* region regardless of the fraction.
Hence, colloidal proteins seemed to be the main organic foulant in G-AnMBR.

Hydrodynamic conditions were of high importance in mitigating membrane
 fouling. In tested conditions, gas sparging was more efficient in limiting
 membrane fouling than recirculation. However, a plateau was reached in gas
 sparging rate, above which the increase gas flow does not lead to a decrease in
 fouling rate. Moreover, higher shear stress led to stronger granule disruption,
 releasing smaller compounds which in turn increased membrane fouling.

504 Based on the results, it is evident that well-shaped and high-strength granules have to be 505 privileged in G-AnMBR. The induced shear forces have to be sufficient to scour the 506 membrane surface whilst not damaging the granular biomass, nor incurring unnecessary 507 energy consumption. The fouling mitigation-energy nexus could lie at an intermittent 508 filtration cycle associated with the threshold sparging rate. Further investigation and 509 technical-economic analysis have to be conducted to define the most favourable filtration 510 cycle which maximises the net energy balance of the G-AnMBR process. In addition, 511 long-term experiments need to be studied to see the potential composition change of the 512 mixed liquor and fractions over time, and its consequences on fouling behaviour.

513 Acknowledgements

514 Gaetan Blandin received the support of a fellowship from the "la Caixa" Foundation (ID
515 100010434). The fellowship code is LCF/BQ/PR21/11840009.

- 516 This work was supported by a grant overseen by the French National Research Agency
- 517 (ANR) as part of the "JCJC" Programme BàMAn (ANR-18-CE04-0001-01).

		RAW MIXED LIQUOR			GRANULES			SUPERNATANT		
Region	Units	A25	A100	RE	A25	A100	RE	A25	A100	RE
I+II	×10 <sup>9</sup> (A.U.m <sup>-2</sup> .L <sup>-1</sup> )	2,0 (82.1%)	3,0 (84.8%)	18,3 (81.3%)	1,2 (68.0%)	2,3 (78.4%)	8,3 (78.6%)	1,7 (81.7%)	2,8 (76.0%)	18,0 (85.0%)
IV	×10 <sup>9</sup> (A.U.m <sup>-2</sup> .L <sup>-1</sup> )	0,1 (4.6%)	0,2 (4.4%)	1,5 (6.8%)	0,1 (3.5%)	0,1 (3.6%)	0,4 (3.6%)	0,1 (3.8%)	0,2 (4.1%)	1,3 (6.0%)
III+V	×10 <sup>9</sup> (A.U.m <sup>-2</sup> .L <sup>-1</sup> )	0,3 (13.3%)	0,4 (10.8%)	2,7 (11.8%)	0,5 (28.5%)	0,5 (18.0%)	1,9 (17.8%)	0,3 (14.5%)	0,7 (19.9%)	1,9 (9.0%)
Total	×10 <sup>9</sup> (A.U.m <sup>-2</sup> .L <sup>-1</sup> )	2,4 (100%)	3,6 (100%)	22,6 (100%)	1,8 (100%)	2,9 (100%)	10,6 (100%)	2,0 (100%)	3,7 (100%)	21,1 (100%)

518 Table 1 – Repartition of the volume of fluorescence within 3DEEM regions of the superficial cleaning for the three fractions and hydrodynamics conditions studied.

- M. Maaz, M. Yasin, M. Aslam, G. Kumar, A.E. Atabani, M. Idrees, F. Anjum, F. Jamil,
  R. Ahmad, A.L. Khan, G. Lesage, M. Heran, J. Kim, Anaerobic membrane bioreactors for
  wastewater treatment: Novel configurations, fouling control and energy considerations,
  Bioresource Technology. 283 (2019) 358–372.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.03.061.
- L. Sanchez, M. Carrier, J. Cartier, C. Charmette, M. Heran, J.-P. Steyer, G. Lesage,
  Enhanced organic degradation and biogas production of domestic wastewater at
  psychrophilic temperature through submerged granular anaerobic membrane bioreactor
  for energy-positive treatment, Bioresource Technology. 353 (2022) 127145.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127145.
- [3] C. Chen, M. Sun, J. Chang, Z. Liu, X. Zhu, K. Xiao, G. Song, H. Wang, G. Liu, X. Huang,
  Unravelling temperature-dependent fouling mechanism in a pilot-scale anaerobic
  membrane bioreactor via statistical modelling, Journal of Membrane Science. 644 (2022)
  120145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2021.120145.
- 535 [4] C. Chen, W. Guo, H.H. Ngo, Advances in Granular Growth Anaerobic Membrane
  536 Bioreactor (G-AnMBR) for Low Strength Wastewater Treatment, (2016) 7.
- 537 R. Chen, Y. Nie, Y. Hu, R. Miao, T. Utashiro, Q. Li, M. Xu, Y.-Y. Li, Fouling behaviour [5] 538 of soluble microbial products and extracellular polymeric substances in a submerged 539 anaerobic membrane bioreactor treating low-strength wastewater at room temperature, 540 Journal of Membrane Science. 531 (2017)1–9. 541 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.02.046.
- [6] C. Chen, W. Guo, H.H. Ngo, S.W. Chang, D. Duc Nguyen, P. Dan Nguyen, X.T. Bui, Y.
  Wu, Impact of reactor configurations on the performance of a granular anaerobic
  membrane bioreactor for municipal wastewater treatment, International Biodeterioration
  & Biodegradation. 121 (2017) 131–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2017.03.021.
- 546 [7] O.T. Iorhemen, R.A. Hamza, M.S. Zaghloul, J.H. Tay, Aerobic granular sludge membrane
  547 bioreactor (AGMBR): Extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) analysis, Water
  548 Research. 156 (2019) 305–314. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.03.020.
- [8] W. Zhang, W. Liang, Z. Zhang, T. Hao, Aerobic granular sludge (AGS) scouring to mitigate membrane fouling: Performance, hydrodynamic mechanism and contribution quantification model, Water Research. 188 (2021) 116518.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116518.
- 553 [9] O.T. Iorhemen, R.A. Hamza, J.H. Tay, Membrane fouling control in membrane
  554 bioreactors (MBRs) using granular materials, Bioresource Technology. 240 (2017) 9–24.
  555 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.03.005.
- [10] B. Zhang, D. Huang, Y. Shen, W. Yin, X. Gao, B. Zhang, W. Shi, Treatment of municipal
  wastewater with aerobic granular sludge membrane bioreactor (AGMBR): Performance
  and membrane fouling, Journal of Cleaner Production. 273 (2020) 123124.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123124.
- [11] I. Martin-Garcia, M. Mokosch, A. Soares, M. Pidou, B. Jefferson, Impact on reactor configuration on the performance of anaerobic MBRs: Treatment of settled sewage in temperate climates, Water Research. 47 (2013) 4853–4860. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.05.008.
- 564 [12] W. Zhang, F. Jiang, Membrane fouling in aerobic granular sludge (AGS)-membrane
  565 bioreactor (MBR): Effect of AGS size, Water Research. 157 (2019) 445–453.
  566 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.07.069.
- 567 [13] C. Xu, Z. Li, J. Wang, Z. Zhou, Exposure to stressful conditions alters the properties and
  568 fouling behavior of suspended microparticles in anaerobic processes, Journal of
  569 Environmental Chemical Engineering. 9 (2021) 106782.
  570 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.106782.a

- 571 [14] Y. Yao, Z. Zhou, D.C. Stuckey, F. Meng, Micro-particles—A Neglected but Critical
  572 Cause of Different Membrane Fouling between Aerobic and Anaerobic Membrane
  573 Bioreactors, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 8 (2020) 16680–16690.
  574 https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c06502.
- 575 [15] K.M. Wang, N.M. Garcia, A. Soares, B. Jefferson, E.J. McAdam, Comparison of fouling
  576 between aerobic and anaerobic MBR treating municipal wastewater, H2Open Journal. 1
  577 (2018) 131–159. https://doi.org/10.2166/h2oj.2018.109.
- 578 [16] S. Vinardell, L. Sanchez, S. Astals, J. Mata-Alvarez, J. Dosta, M. Heran, G. Lesage,
  579 Impact of permeate flux and gas sparging rate on membrane performance and process
  580 economics of granular anaerobic membrane bioreactors, Science of The Total
  581 Environment. 825 (2022) 153907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153907.
- [17] K.M. Wang, D. Cingolani, A.L. Eusebi, A. Soares, B. Jefferson, E.J. McAdam,
  Identification of gas sparging regimes for granular anaerobic membrane bioreactor to
  enable energy neutral municipal wastewater treatment, Journal of Membrane Science. 555
  (2018) 125–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2018.03.032.
- 586 [18] A. Alphenaar, Anaerobic granular sludge: characterization, and factors affecting its 587 functionning, Wageningen Agricultural University, 1994. https://edepot.wur.nl/202099.
- 588 [19] J. O'Reilly, C. Lee, F. Chinalia, G. Collins, T. Mahony, V. O'Flaherty, Microbial
  589 community dynamics associated with biomass granulation in low-temperature (15°C)
  590 anaerobic wastewater treatment bioreactors, Bioresource Technology. 101 (2010) 6336–
  591 6344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.03.049.
- [20] C. Chen, W.S. Guo, H.H. Ngo, Y. Liu, B. Du, Q. Wei, D. Wei, D.D. Nguyen, S.W. Chang,
  Evaluation of a sponge assisted-granular anaerobic membrane bioreactor (SG-AnMBR)
  for municipal wastewater treatment, Renewable Energy. 111 (2017) 620–627.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.04.055.
- 596 [21] P. Le Clech, B. Jefferson, I.S. Chang, S.J. Judd, Critical flux determination by the flux597 step method in a submerged membrane bioreactor, Journal of Membrane Science. 227
  598 (2003) 81–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2003.07.021.
- 599 [22] APHA, AWWA, WEF, eds., Standard methods: for the examination of water and 600 wastewater, 20. ed, American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, 1998.
- [23] R. Zepp, W. Sheldon, M.A. Moran, Dissolved organic fluorophores in southeastern US coastal waters: Correction method for eliminating Rayleigh and Raman scattering peaks in excitation-emission matrices, Marine Chemistry. 89 (2004) 15–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2004.02.006.
- [24] C. Jacquin, G. Lesage, J. Traber, W. Pronk, M. Heran, Three-dimensional excitation and
  emission matrix fluorescence (3DEEM) for quick and pseudo-quantitative determination
  of protein- and humic-like substances in full-scale membrane bioreactor (MBR), Water
  Res. 118 (2017) 82–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.009.
- 609 [25] M. Dubois, K. Gilles, J.K. Hamilton, P.A. Rebers, F. Smith, A Colorimetric Method for
  610 the Determination of Sugars, Nature. 168 (1951) 167–167.
  611 https://doi.org/10.1038/168167a0.
- 612 [26] OliverH. Lowry, NiraJ. Rosebrough, A.L. Farr, RoseJ. Randall, Protein measurement with
  613 the Folin phenol reagent, Journal of Biological Chemistry. 193 (1951) 265–275.
  614 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(19)52451-6.
- [27] J. Wu, Z.Y. Lu, J.C. Hu, L. Feng, J.D. Huang, X.S. Gu, Disruption of granules by
  hydrodynamic force in internal circulation anaerobic reactor, Water Sci Technol. 54
  (2006) 9–16. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2006.868.
- [28] Z. Zhou, Y. Tao, S. Zhang, Y. Xiao, F. Meng, D.C. Stuckey, Size-dependent microbial
   diversity of sub-visible particles in a submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor

- 620 (SAnMBR): Implications for membrane fouling, Water Research. 159 (2019) 20–29.
  621 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.04.050.
- [29] D. Jeison, J.B. van Lier, Cake layer formation in anaerobic submerged membrane
  bioreactors (AnSMBR) for wastewater treatment, Journal of Membrane Science. 284
  (2006) 227–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2006.07.035.
- [30] A. Massé, M. Spérandio, C. Cabassud, Comparison of sludge characteristics and performance of a submerged membrane bioreactor and an activated sludge process at high solids retention time, Water Research. 40 (2006) 2405–2415.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.04.015.
- [31] L. Böhm, A. Drews, H. Prieske, P.R. Bérubé, M. Kraume, The importance of fluid
  dynamics for MBR fouling mitigation, Bioresource Technology. 122 (2012) 50–61.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.069.
- [32] E. Nguyen Cong Duc, L. Fournier, C. Levecq, B. Lesjean, P. Grelier, A. Tazi-Pain, Local
  hydrodynamic investigation of the aeration in a submerged hollow fibre membranes
  cassette, Journal of Membrane Science. 321 (2008) 264–271.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2008.05.001.
- [33] S. Chellam, M.R. Wiesner, Particle Back-Transport and Permeate Flux Behavior in
  Crossflow Membrane Filters, Environ. Sci. Technol. 31 (1997) 819–824.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/es9605228.
- [34] E. Tardieu, A. Grasmick, V. Geaugey, J. Manem, Hydrodynamic control of bioparticle
  deposition in a MBR applied to wastewater treatment, Journal of Membrane Science. 147
  (1998) 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(98)00091-X.
- [35] H. Lin, W. Peng, M. Zhang, J. Chen, H. Hong, Y. Zhang, A review on anaerobic
  membrane bioreactors: Applications, membrane fouling and future perspectives,
  Desalination. 314 (2013) 169–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2013.01.019.
- [36] W.J. Gao, H.J. Lin, K.T. Leung, H. Schraft, B.Q. Liao, Structure of cake layer in a
  submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor, Journal of Membrane Science. 374 (2011)
  110–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2011.03.019.
- [37] J. Altmann, S. Ripperger, Particle deposition and layer formation at the crossflow
  microfiltration, Journal of Membrane Science. 124 (1997) 119–128.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(96)00235-9.
- [38] H. Yang, Z. Li, Y. Chen, Z. Zhou, Role of microparticles in membrane fouling from
  acidogenesis to methanogenesis phases in an anaerobic baffled reactor, Science of The
  Total Environment. 806 (2022) 150663. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150663.
- [39] Z. Yang, Q.N. Tran, X. Jin, Ultrafiltration of aerobic granular sludge bioreactor effluent:
  Fouling potentials and properties, Journal of Water Process Engineering. 47 (2022)
  102805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2022.102805.
- 657