



HAL
open science

BRAID GROUP AND q -RACAHA POLYNOMIALS

Nicolas Crampé

► **To cite this version:**

Nicolas Crampé. BRAID GROUP AND q -RACAHA POLYNOMIALS. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2021. hal-03808186

HAL Id: hal-03808186

<https://hal.science/hal-03808186>

Submitted on 10 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

BRAID GROUP AND q -RACA POLYNOMIALS

NICOLAS CRAMPÉ[†], LUC VINET^{*}, AND MERI ZAIMI^{*}

ABSTRACT. The irreducible representations of two intermediate Casimir elements associated to the recoupling of three identical irreducible representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ are considered. It is shown that these intermediate Casimirs are related by a conjugation involving braid group representations. Consequently, the entries of the braid group matrices are explicitly given in terms of the q -Racah polynomials which appear as $6j$ -symbols in the Racah problem for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Formulas for these polynomials are derived from the algebraic relations satisfied by the braid group representations.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper connects the representations of the braid group on three strands to the q -Racah polynomials by using the structure and the representation theory of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The algebraic framework provided by the braid group allows to recover the orthogonality relation of these polynomials and to obtain in particular an addition formula.

The generic braid group is generated by $n - 1$ invertible elements s_i which commute for non-adjacent indices and which satisfy the braid relation $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$ otherwise [1]. As its name suggests, this group has a diagrammatic representation in terms of the braiding of n strands. Several algebras in mathematical physics can be seen as quotients of the braid group algebra; some examples are the symmetric group algebra, the Brauer, Hecke, Temperley–Lieb and Birman–Murakami–Wenzl algebras. The braid group representations play a role in the search for solutions to the Yang–Baxter equation and are related to the R -matrix [11].

The q -Racah polynomials form a finite family of basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials which sits at the top of the discrete q -Askey scheme [13]. They can be obtained from a truncation of the Askey–Wilson polynomials. The bispectral properties of both families of polynomials are encoded in the Askey–Wilson algebra [18]. An important feature of the q -Racah polynomials is that they appear as $6j$ -symbols in the recoupling of three spin representations of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ [9, 12]. These $6j$ -symbols are the overlap coefficients between the bases which diagonalize the intermediate Casimirs associated to the coupling of the first two and last two factors of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes 3}$. This is related to the realization of the Askey–Wilson algebra as the centralizer of the diagonal embedding of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes 3}$ [9, 10].

The connection between the braid group and the q -Racah polynomials is established here by considering the recoupling of three identical spin representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. On the one hand, the intermediate Casimirs are related by a transition matrix with entries given by q -Racah polynomials. On the other hand, the quasi-triangular Hopf algebra structure of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ allows to define braided R -matrices which satisfy the braid relation on three strands. The crucial point of this paper is to observe that the intermediate Casimirs are also related by a conjugation involving these braided R -matrices. This observation is directly based on the results of a previous paper [3] in which the formalism of the universal R -matrix is used to provide an intrinsic algebraic description of the intermediate Casimirs of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes 3}$. The comparison between the transition matrix and the

conjugation by braided R -matrices allows to connect the braid group to the q -Racah polynomials. This leads to a finite irreducible representation of the braid group which has appeared previously in the study and classification of spin Leonard pairs [6].

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents some known facts on $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its representation theory. Section 3 examines how the intermediate Casimirs of the tensor product of three identical irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -representations are conjugated in the two ways described above, and relates precisely the braid group representations to the q -Racah polynomials. Finally, Section 4 makes use of the algebraic interpretation of the q -Racah polynomials in terms of the braid group to obtain formulas involving these polynomials. The paper closes with concluding remarks and is complemented by some appendices.

2. $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ ALGEBRA AND ITS REPRESENTATIONS

In this section, we briefly recall some fundamental aspects of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and of its finite irreducible representations. Throughout this paper, we restrain to the case where $0 < q < 1$ to follow the conventions of [13].

2.1. $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ algebra. The associative algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is generated by E , F and q^H with the defining relations

$$(2.1) \quad q^H E = q E q^H, \quad q^H F = q^{-1} F q^H, \quad [E, F] = [2H]_q,$$

where $[X]_q = \frac{q^X - q^{-X}}{q - q^{-1}}$. The following Casimir element generates the center of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

$$(2.2) \quad C = (q - q^{-1})^2 F E + q q^{2H} + q^{-1} q^{-2H}.$$

There exists an algebra homomorphism $\Delta : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, called comultiplication, which is defined on the generators by

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta(E) = E \otimes q^{-H} + q^H \otimes E, \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes q^{-H} + q^H \otimes F, \quad \Delta(q^H) = q^H \otimes q^H,$$

and which is coassociative

$$(2.4) \quad (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta =: \Delta^{(2)}.$$

2.2. Finite irreducible representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and tensor product decomposition. For each $j \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \dots\}$, the algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has an irreducible (spin- j) representation M_j of finite dimension $2j + 1$. We will denote the representation map by $\pi_j : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(M_j)$. The image by π_j of the Casimir element C is proportional to the $(2j + 1) \times (2j + 1)$ identity matrix \mathbb{I}_{2j+1} :

$$(2.5) \quad \pi_j(C) = \chi_j \mathbb{I}_{2j+1}, \quad \text{where } \chi_j := q^{2j+1} + q^{-2j-1}.$$

Let us now fix an integer or half-integer s . In what follows, we will be interested in taking the tensor product of three copies of M_s . The tensor product of two irreducible spin- s representations decomposes into the following direct sum of irreducible representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

$$(2.6) \quad M_s^{\otimes 2} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{2s} M_j.$$

From this, one deduces the direct sum decomposition of the threefold tensor product

$$(2.7) \quad M_s^{\otimes 3} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}_s} d_j M_j,$$

where $d_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is the degeneracy of M_j and

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{J}_s = \{j_{\min}, j_{\min} + 1, \dots, 3s\}, \quad j_{\min} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \text{ is integer,} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } s \text{ is half-integer.} \end{cases}$$

The degeneracies d_j can be computed explicitly:

$$(2.9) \quad d_j = \min(2s, s + j) - |s - j| + 1 = \begin{cases} 2j + 1 & \text{if } j_{\min} \leq j \leq s, \\ 3s - j + 1 & \text{if } s < j \leq 3s. \end{cases}$$

3. BRAID GROUP REPRESENTATIONS AND q -RACA POLYNOMIALS

In this section, we consider intermediate Casimirs of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ in finite irreducible representations and show how they are related by braid group representations. This allows us to connect precisely those braid representations to the q -Raca polynomials.

3.1. Centralizer and intermediate Casimirs. We define the centralizer \mathcal{C}_s of the image of the diagonal embedding of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ in $\text{End}(M_s^{\otimes 3})$

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{C}_s = \{m \in \text{End}(M_s^{\otimes 3}) \mid [\pi_s^{\otimes 3}(\Delta^{(2)}(x)), m] = 0, \forall x \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\}.$$

Using the properties of the comultiplication and the fact that C is central in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, it is seen that the following elements belong to \mathcal{C}_s

$$(3.2) \quad C_1 = \pi_s(C) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{(2s+1)^2}, \quad C_2 = \mathbb{I}_{2s+1} \otimes \pi_s(C) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{2s+1}, \quad C_3 = \mathbb{I}_{(2s+1)^2} \otimes \pi_s(C),$$

$$(3.3) \quad C_{12} = \pi_s^{\otimes 2}(\Delta(C)) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{2s+1}, \quad C_{23} = \mathbb{I}_{2s+1} \otimes \pi_s^{\otimes 2}(\Delta(C)),$$

$$(3.4) \quad C_{123} = \pi_s^{\otimes 3}(\Delta^{(2)}(C)).$$

The elements C_i and the total Casimir C_{123} are central in \mathcal{C}_s , while the intermediate Casimirs C_{12} and C_{23} satisfy the relations (of a version) of the Askey–Wilson algebra [9]

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{[C_{23}, [C_{12}, C_{23}]_q]_q}{(q - q^{-1})^2} = (q + q^{-1})^2 C_{12} + (C_1 C_3 + C_2 C_{123}) C_{23} - (q + q^{-1})(C_1 C_2 + C_3 C_{123}),$$

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{[[C_{12}, C_{23}]_q, C_{12}]_q}{(q - q^{-1})^2} = (q + q^{-1})^2 C_{23} + (C_1 C_3 + C_2 C_{123}) C_{12} - (q + q^{-1})(C_2 C_3 + C_1 C_{123}),$$

where $[X, Y]_q = qXY - q^{-1}YX$ is the q -commutator. Note that equations (2.5) and (3.2) imply that $C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = \chi_s \mathbb{I}_{(2s+1)^3}$.

3.2. Finite irreducible representations of the centralizer and q -Raca polynomials. The threefold tensor product of the space M_s discussed in Subsection 2.2 can also be decomposed as

$$(3.7) \quad M_s^{\otimes 3} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}_s} M_j \otimes V_j,$$

where the spaces V_j for $j \in \mathcal{J}_s$ are all the non-equivalent finite irreducible representations of the centralizer \mathcal{C}_s . Let us now fix some $\ell \in \mathcal{J}_s$. The space V_ℓ is of dimension d_ℓ , which is given explicitly in (2.9), and it can be constructed from the highest weight vectors of the multiple copies of the representation M_ℓ in the decomposition (2.7). The representations of the elements C_{12} and C_{23} in

$\text{End}(V_\ell)$ can be found with the help of the Askey–Wilson algebra, as it was first shown in [9]. We will only state here the results that are relevant for our purposes¹.

Let us denote for convenience

$$(3.8) \quad a = |s - \ell|, \quad N = d_\ell - 1 = \min(2s, s + \ell) - |s - \ell|.$$

In the irreducible representation V_ℓ , the element C_i for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ (resp. C_{123}) acts as the constant matrix of value χ_s (resp. χ_ℓ). We can choose a basis $\{v_j\}_{j=0}^N$ of V_ℓ such that the intermediate Casimirs C_{12} and C_{23} are represented respectively by the following matrices X_1 and X_2 :

$$(3.9) \quad X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_a & & & & & \\ & \chi_{a+1} & & & & \\ & & \chi_{a+2} & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \chi_{a+N} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{0,0} & \alpha_{0,1} & & & & \\ \alpha_{1,0} & \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & & & \\ & \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots & \alpha_{N-1,N} \\ & & & & \alpha_{N,N-1} & \alpha_{N,N} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad \alpha_{j-1,j} = q^{-2a-1} \frac{(1 - q^{2(j+a)})(1 - q^{2(j+2a)})(1 - q^{2(j-N-1)})(1 - q^{2(j+3a+N+1)})}{(1 - q^{2(2j+2a-1)})(1 - q^{2(2j+2a)})},$$

$$(3.11) \quad \alpha_{j,j-1} = q^{2a+1} \frac{(1 - q^{2(j+a)})(1 - q^{2j})(1 - q^{2(j+2a+N+1)})(1 - q^{2(j-a-N-1)})}{(1 - q^{2(2j+2a)})(1 - q^{2(2j+2a+1)}),$$

$$(3.12) \quad \alpha_{j,j} = \chi_a - (\alpha_{j,j+1} + \alpha_{j,j-1}).$$

It will be useful to define the diagonal matrix

$$(3.13) \quad D := \text{diag}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)$$

with

$$(3.14) \quad \beta_i := \prod_{k=1}^i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{k-1,k}}{\alpha_{k,k-1}}}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

One then verifies that the following matrix is tridiagonal and symmetric:

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{X}_2 := DX_2D^{-1}.$$

Both X_1 and X_2 have the same eigenvalues χ_{a+j} for $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$. As it is known, these finite irreducible representations of the intermediate Casimirs C_{12} and C_{23} are related by a change of basis which involves the $6j$ -symbols for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The next proposition gives precisely this change of basis for the specific case that concerns us. Note that we will use the standard notations for the q -shifted factorials, the q -hypergeometric functions ${}_r\phi_s$ and the q -Racah polynomials [8, 13]. We have included the definitions in Appendix A, and we have also listed there some useful identities.

Proposition 3.1. [12] *In the irreducible representation V_ℓ of the centralizer \mathcal{C}_s , the similarity transformation which relates the tridiagonal matrix X_2 to the diagonal matrix X_1 is*

$$(3.16) \quad X_2 = PX_1P^{-1},$$

¹For more details on how the computations can be reproduced for the specific case that we are considering here, see the proof of Proposition 4.2 in [4]; the results of the present paper are obtained directly by taking $j_1 = j_2 = j_3 = s$.

where P is the invertible matrix whose entries are given by the following renormalized q -Racah polynomials

$$(3.17) \quad P_{ij} = \beta_j^2 R_i(\mu(j); q^{2a}, q^{2a}, q^{2(3a+N+1)}, q^{-2(a+N+1)} | q^2) \quad \text{for } i, j = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$

$$(3.18) \quad = \beta_j^2 {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-2i}, q^{2(2a+1+i)}, q^{-2j}, q^{2(2a+1+j)} \\ q^{2(a+1)}, q^{-2N}, q^{2(3a+N+2)} \end{matrix} ; q^2, q^2 \right),$$

and where the parameters a and N are given in terms of the fixed spins s and ℓ in (3.8). The normalization is explicitly given by

$$(3.19) \quad \beta_j^2 = q^{-2j(2a+1)} \frac{(q^{2a+3}, -q^{2a+3}, q^{2(2a+1)}, q^{2(3a+N+2)}, q^{-2N}; q^2)_j}{(q^2, q^{2a+1}, -q^{2a+1}, q^{-2(a+N)}, q^{2(2a+N+2)}; q^2)_j}.$$

Proof. For some fixed $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$, let $u(j) = \sum_{i=0}^N u_i(j) v_i$ be the eigenvector of X_2 with eigenvalue χ_{a+j} , where v_i are the basis vectors of V_ℓ defined in Subsection 3.2. By construction, the matrix P with entries $P_{ij} = u_i(j)$ is such that relation (3.16) holds. The eigenvalue equation $X_2 u(j) = \chi_{a+j} u(j)$ leads to the following three-term recurrence relation

$$(3.20) \quad \alpha_{i,i-1} u_{i-1}(j) + \alpha_{i,i} u_i(j) + \alpha_{i,i+1} u_{i+1}(j) = \chi_{a+j} u_i(j), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$

where we have used (3.9), with the coefficients $\alpha_{i,j}$ defined in (3.10)–(3.11). Note that these definitions imply the boundary conditions $\alpha_{0,-1} = \alpha_{N,N+1} = 0$. It is straightforward to verify that (3.20) is the recurrence relation for the q -Racah polynomials given in (3.17) (see [13]). The renormalization by β_j^2 will be convenient. The explicit expression (3.19) is obtained by using the definitions (3.14) and (3.10)–(3.11), and the identity (A.4). \square

3.3. Braided group representations. The matrices X_i satisfy the following minimal polynomial equation

$$(3.21) \quad \prod_{j=0}^N (X_i - \chi_{a+j} \mathbb{I}_{N+1}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

This relation allows to define, for $i = 1, 2$ and $r = 0, 1, \dots, N$, the orthogonal idempotents

$$(3.22) \quad E_i^{(r)} := \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq r}}^N \frac{X_i - \chi_{a+k} \mathbb{I}_{N+1}}{\chi_{a+r} - \chi_{a+k}}$$

which satisfy

$$(3.23) \quad E_i^{(r)} E_i^{(p)} = \delta_{rp} E_i^{(r)}, \quad \sum_{r=0}^N E_i^{(r)} = \mathbb{I}_{N+1}, \quad E_i^{(r)} X_i = X_i E_i^{(r)} = \chi_{a+r} E_i^{(r)}.$$

We also define the matrices $S_i \in \text{End}(V_\ell)$, for $i = 1, 2$, by using these idempotents

$$(3.24) \quad S_i := \sum_{r=0}^N \gamma_r E_i^{(r)}, \quad \text{where } \gamma_r := (-1)^r q^{r(r+2a+1)}.$$

The matrices S_i are invertible and their inverses are given by $S_i^{-1} = \sum_{r=0}^N \gamma_r^{-1} E_i^{(r)}$. From the definitions (3.22) and (3.24), it is seen that S_i is a polynomial in X_i

$$(3.25) \quad S_i = \sum_{r=0}^N \gamma_r \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq r}}^N \frac{X_i - \chi_{a+k} \mathbb{I}_{N+1}}{\chi_{a+r} - \chi_{a+k}}.$$

As a consequence, S_1 is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries γ_r for $r = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

The algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra and hence possesses a universal R -matrix which satisfies in particular the Yang–Baxter equation (see Appendix B.1). In [3], it is shown that the intermediate Casimir elements of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes 3}$ can be described with the help of the universal R -matrix of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. In the tensor product of three identical irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -representations, this universal R -matrix allows to define two braided R -matrices \check{R}_i which are closely related to the matrices S_i defined by (3.24) and which satisfy the braid relation in the form of the braided Yang–Baxter equation (see Appendix B.2). On the basis of these remarks, the following proposition gives additional algebraic relations satisfied by the matrices S_i and the representations X_i of the intermediate Casimirs C_{12} and C_{23} that will play a key role in the remaining of this paper.

Proposition 3.2. *The matrices S_i satisfy the braid relation*

$$(3.26) \quad S_1 S_2 S_1 = S_2 S_1 S_2.$$

Moreover, the tridiagonal matrix X_2 is related to the diagonal matrix X_1 as follows

$$(3.27) \quad X_2 = S_1 S_2 X_1 S_2^{-1} S_1^{-1} = S_1^{-1} S_2^{-1} X_1 S_2 S_1.$$

Proof. The idempotent $E_1^{(r)}$ (resp. $E_2^{(r)}$) is the representation in $\text{End}(V_\ell)$ of the element in \mathcal{C}_s which acts trivially on the third (resp. first) factor of $M_s^{\otimes 3}$, and which acts on the two other factors as the projector on the representation M_{a+r} of the decomposition (2.6). Hence, using the expression of the eigenvalue γ_r given in (3.24), it can be shown that S_i is proportional to a representation of the braided R -matrix \check{R}_i of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ [14]. Relation (3.26) then follows from the fact that the braided R -matrices satisfy the braided Yang–Baxter equation. For more details, see Appendix B. The two equalities in (3.27) are a consequence of Theorem 3.1 in [3], which describes two intermediate Casimirs of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ associated to the coupling of the first and third factors of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes 3}$ as conjugations by a universal R -matrix; writing these conjugations in terms of braided R -matrices leads to relations between the intermediate Casimirs C_{12} and C_{23} which are represented by (3.27) in the irreducible representation V_ℓ of the centralizer \mathcal{C}_s . \square

3.4. Relating the braid group representations to the q -Racah polynomials. We now combine the results of Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 to get the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.1. *The braid matrices S_i are related to the transition matrix P as follows*

$$(3.28) \quad S_1 S_2 S_1 = m_q P,$$

$$(3.29) \quad (S_1 S_2 S_1)^{-1} = m_{q^{-1}} P,$$

where

$$(3.30) \quad m_q = q^{2N(3a+N+2)} \frac{(q^{-2(a+N)}; q^2)_N}{(q^{4(a+1)}; q^2)_N}.$$

Proof. Relation (3.16) can be written in terms of the symmetric matrix \tilde{X}_2 (3.15) and the diagonal matrix D (3.13) as follows

$$(3.31) \quad \tilde{X}_2 = (DPD^{-1})X_1(DPD^{-1})^{-1}.$$

We also define $\tilde{S}_2 := DS_2D^{-1}$, which is symmetric by (3.25), and we write the first equality of (3.27) as

$$(3.32) \quad \tilde{X}_2 = (S_1\tilde{S}_2S_1)X_1(S_1\tilde{S}_2S_1)^{-1},$$

where we have used the fact that $S_1X_1 = X_1S_1$. Comparing (3.31) with (3.32), one deduces that

$$(3.33) \quad S_1\tilde{S}_2S_1 = DPD^{-1}M,$$

where M is a matrix which commutes with X_1 . Since X_1 is diagonal with distinct eigenvalues, M must be diagonal. The L.H.S. of equation (3.33) is symmetric and therefore we should have $(DPD^{-1}M)^T = DPD^{-1}M$. The matrix DPD^{-1} has entries $\beta_i P_{ij} \beta_j^{-1}$ and is hence symmetric, as it is seen from (3.18). Since D and M are diagonal, one thus finds that $MP = PM$. This equation is only possible if M is proportional to the identity by some constant m_q , as it can be shown by writing the equation in matrix components and using the fact that the components P_{ij} are never zero. One then recovers (3.28) from (3.33).

To determine the constant m_q , one can use (3.28) in the braid relation (3.26) and examine the $(0, 0)$ matrix component of the resulting equation. One finds

$$(3.34) \quad m_q = \left(\sum_{r=0}^N \gamma_r^{-1} \beta_r^2 \right)^{-1}.$$

It is straightforward to show by using the expression (3.19) for β_r^2 , the definition of γ_r given in (3.24) and the definition (A.6) of the q -hypergeometric series that

$$(3.35) \quad \sum_{r=0}^N \gamma_r^{-1} \beta_r^2 = {}_6\phi_4 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{2a+3}, -q^{2a+3}, q^{2(2a+1)}, q^{2(3a+N+2)}, 0, q^{-2N} \\ q^{2a+1}, -q^{2a+1}, q^{-2(a+N)}, q^{2(2a+N+2)} \end{matrix}; q^2, q^{-2(3a+2)} \right).$$

The following formula, given in [13], is obtained by taking a limit of the Jackson summation formula for a terminating very-well-poised ${}_6\phi_5$ series

$$(3.36) \quad {}_6\phi_4 \left(\begin{matrix} qa_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, -qa_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, a_1, a_2, 0, q^{-n} \\ a_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, -a_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, qa_1a_2^{-1}, a_1q^{n+1} \end{matrix}; q, \frac{q^n}{a_2} \right) = \frac{(qa_1; q)_n}{(qa_1a_2^{-1}; q)_n} a_2^{-n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Equation (3.36) with the substitutions $q \rightarrow q^2$, $a_1 = q^{2(2a+1)}$ and $a_2 = q^{2(3a+N+2)}$ implies

$$(3.37) \quad \sum_{r=0}^N \gamma_r^{-1} \beta_r^2 = \frac{(q^{4(a+1)}; q^2)_N}{(q^{-2(a+N)}; q^2)_N} q^{-2N(3a+N+2)}.$$

Result (3.30) then directly follows from (3.34) and (3.37).

Finally, to prove (3.29), one can use the fact that the matrix P is invariant under $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$. This can be shown by using expressions (3.18) and (3.19), the definition of q -hypergeometric series given in (A.6), and the identity (A.2). One can also show that $S_i \rightarrow S_i^{-1}$ when $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$, for $i = 1, 2$. This is obvious for S_1 , which is diagonal with eigenvalues γ_r given in (3.24), while for S_2 , this follows from the fact that $S_2 = PS_1P^{-1}$, as seen from (3.16) and (3.25). Equation (3.29) is then obtained

by taking $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$ in (3.28). Alternatively, one could proceed in a similar manner as for the proof of (3.28), by using the second equality of (3.27) and the inverse of the braid relation (3.26) instead. \square

Corollary 3.1. *The diagonal matrix $S_1 = \text{diag}(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$ and the matrix S_2 with entries*

$$(3.38) \quad (S_2)_{ij} = m_q \gamma_i^{-1} \gamma_j^{-1} \beta_j^2 R_i(\mu(j); q^{2a}, q^{2a}, q^{2(3a+N+1)}, q^{-2(a+N+1)} | q^2) \quad \text{for } i, j = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

provide a representation of the braid group on three strands.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the braid relation (3.26) in Proposition 3.2. The matrix entries given in (3.38) follow from the equation (3.28) in Theorem 3.1 and from the expression (3.17) in Proposition 3.1. \square

Remark 3.1. *With reference to the previous works in [6, 15], the matrices X_i form a Leonard pair, as it is seen from their definition (3.9) and equation (3.16). Moreover, the pair of invertible matrices S_1, S_2 is a Boltzmann pair for X_1, X_2 ; this is a consequence of definition (3.24) and the relation (3.27). Therefore, X_1, X_2 is an example of a spin Leonard pair. More precisely, it is a spin Leonard pair of type I with the parameters of [6] changed as follows : $q \rightarrow q^2$, $d \rightarrow N$, $\theta_0 \rightarrow \chi_a$, $h \rightarrow q^{-2a-1}$ and $\nu \rightarrow -q^{2a+2}$. The orthogonal polynomials appearing in (3.38) correspond to those appearing in the description of Boltzmann pairs for spin Leonard pairs of type I in [6]. The braid relation (3.26) also arises in the context of spin Leonard pairs and implies that S_1, S_2 is a balanced Boltzmann pair. The fact that spin Leonard pairs are self-dual is reflected here by the fact that the matrix P^2 is proportional to the identity, as will be discussed in the next section.*

4. FORMULAS FOR q -RACA POLYNOMIALS

In this section, we use the results of Theorem 3.1 and the algebraic relations satisfied by the matrices S_i and X_i in order to obtain formulas involving q -Racah polynomials.

4.1. Orthogonality relation. We can recover the orthogonality relation of the q -Racah polynomials from the matrix equation $S_2 S_2^{-1} = \mathbb{I}_{N+1}$. Using results (3.28) and (3.29) to substitute S_2 and S_2^{-1} in the previous equation, we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad m_q m_{q^{-1}} P^2 = \mathbb{I}_{N+1}.$$

Writing this equation in matrix components gives

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^N P_{ik} P_{kj} = (m_q m_{q^{-1}})^{-1} \delta_{ij}.$$

We now substitute expressions (3.17), (3.19) and (3.30) in (4.2), and we take $q \rightarrow q^{1/2}$ to get

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(1 - q^{2a+1+2k})}{q^{k(2a+1)}(1 - q^{2a+1})} \frac{(q^{2a+1}, q^{3a+N+2}, q^{-N}; q)_k}{(q, q^{-(a+N)}, q^{2a+N+2}; q)_k} R_i(\mu(k)) R_j(\mu(k)) \\ = \frac{(q^{2a+2}, q^{-2a-N-1}; q)_N}{(q^{-a-N}, q^{a+1}; q)_N} \frac{q^{i(2a+1)}(1 - q^{2a+1})}{(1 - q^{2a+1+2i})} \frac{(q, q^{-a-N}, q^{2a+N+2}; q)_i}{(q^{2a+1}, q^{3a+N+2}, q^{-N}; q)_i} \delta_{ij},$$

where we have used the notation

$$(4.4) \quad R_i(\mu(j)) = R_i(\mu(j); q^a, q^a, q^{3a+N+1}, q^{-a-N-1} | q).$$

We have also used identities (A.2), (A.3) and (A.5), and the fact that $R_i(\mu(j)) = R_j(\mu(i))$ to arrive at (4.3). It is straightforward to verify that (4.3) is precisely the orthogonality relation of the q -Racah polynomials given in (4.4) (see [13]).

4.2. Addition formula. We now use the braid relation satisfied by the matrices S_i in order to obtain an addition formula for ${}_4\phi_3$ functions.

Proposition 4.1. *For $a, N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, N$, the following formula holds*

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{k=0}^N c_k {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-i}, q^{2a+i+1}, q^{-k}, q^{2a+k+1} \\ q^{a+1}, q^{-N}, q^{3a+N+2} \end{matrix} ; q, q \right) {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-k}, q^{2a+k+1}, q^{-j}, q^{2a+j+1} \\ q^{a+1}, q^{-N}, q^{3a+N+2} \end{matrix} ; q, q \right) \\ = (-1)^{i+j-N} q^{\binom{i}{2} + \binom{j}{2} - \binom{N}{2}} q^{(i+j-2N)(a+1)} \frac{(q^{2a+2}; q)_N}{(q^{a+1}; q)_N} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-i}, q^{2a+i+1}, q^{-j}, q^{2a+j+1} \\ q^{a+1}, q^{-N}, q^{3a+N+2} \end{matrix} ; q, q \right),$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad c_k = (-1)^k q^{-\binom{k}{2}} q^{-k(3a+2)} \frac{(1 - q^{2a+1+2k}) (q^{2a+1}, q^{3a+N+2}, q^{-N}; q)_k}{(1 - q^{2a+1}) (q, q^{-a-N}, q^{2a+N+2}; q)_k}.$$

In terms of q -Racah polynomials, this can be written as

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{k=0}^N c_k R_i(\mu(k)) R_j(\mu(k)) = (-1)^{i+j-N} q^{\binom{i}{2} + \binom{j}{2} - \binom{N}{2}} q^{(i+j-2N)(a+1)} \frac{(q^{2a+2}; q)_N}{(q^{a+1}; q)_N} R_i(\mu(j)),$$

where we have used again the notation given in (4.4).

Proof. One can use result (3.28) to write the braid relation (3.26) in terms of the matrix P and get

$$(4.8) \quad S_1 P S_1 = m_q P S_1^{-1} P.$$

Writing the previous equation in matrix components, one finds

$$(4.9) \quad \gamma_i \gamma_j P_{ij} = m_q \sum_{k=0}^N \gamma_k^{-1} P_{ik} P_{kj}.$$

It is then straightforward to obtain (4.5) by using the explicit expressions of P_{ij} , β_j^2 , γ_k and m_q , given respectively in (3.18), (3.19), (3.24) and (3.30). Note that we have used identity (A.3), and we have taken $q \rightarrow q^{1/2}$ at the end of the computation to write the identity in a nicer form. \square

Remark 4.1. *Formula (4.5) in Proposition 4.1 has a structure similar to the q -analog of the Racah identity [2, 16] obtained in [12]. It did not prove possible to cast relation (4.5) as a special case of the latter nor of the addition formula for the q -Racah polynomials that results from the approach presented in [17].*

4.3. q -Racah polynomials in terms of recurrence coefficients. Let us finally remark that by using result (3.28) to write S_2 in terms of P in the relation (3.25), we find

$$(4.10) \quad P = m_q^{-1} S_1 \left(\sum_{r=0}^N \gamma_r \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq r}}^N \frac{X_2 - \chi_{a+k} \mathbb{I}_{N+1}}{\chi_{a+r} - \chi_{a+k}} \right) S_1.$$

Written in matrix components, this equation provides an expression for the q -Racah polynomials in terms of their recurrence coefficients. The explicit expression is rather complicated, especially for

larger N , but it solves nevertheless the recurrence relation of the q -Racah polynomials. Without the formalism developed in this paper, the proof of this solution to the recurrence relation could be more involved. We give a simple example in Appendix C to illustrate the previous remarks.

5. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have expressed the matrix elements of some representations of the braid group on three strands in terms of q -Racah polynomials. To arrive at this result, we considered two intermediate Casimir elements of the tensor product of three identical spin representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and showed how they are related by a conjugation involving these braid group matrix representations. The comparison of this conjugation to the transition matrix involving $6j$ -symbols allowed us to relate the braid group to the q -Racah polynomials. Using the invertibility of the braid matrices, we recovered the orthogonality relation of the q -Racah polynomials. We also obtained an addition formula for these polynomials from the braid relation, and a solution to their recurrence relation.

Let us stress once again that the key to the results of this paper is the algebraic description of the centralizer of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes 3}$ in terms of the universal R -matrix, as studied in [3]. A similar work has been done for the superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ and its universal R -matrix in [5]. In this case, the centralizer satisfies the Bannai–Ito algebra. Therefore, we expect that the approach described in the present paper could be reproduced for this case and lead to formulas involving the Bannai–Ito polynomials. More simply, we could repeat the procedure for the algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and the Racah polynomials, that is examine what emerges in the limit $q \rightarrow 1$. In this case, the R -matrix should be replaced by a permutation matrix. It could also be possible to generalize this method and obtain results involving multivariate orthogonal polynomials by considering a higher rank tensor product. We plan to examine these aspects.

In this paper, we have mainly considered representations, since it was sufficient for our purposes. However, a more abstract point of view could have been taken by considering the algebra of the centralizer of the diagonal embedding of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ in the tensor product of three identical spin- s representations, that we denoted \mathcal{C}_s . A conjecture that describes algebraically the centralizer of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ in the tensor product of any three irreducible representations of spins j_1, j_2, j_3 was proposed in [4], where it is suggested that this more general centralizer is a quotient of the Askey–Wilson algebra. In the case $j_1 = j_2 = j_3 = s$, the centralizer \mathcal{C}_s should also be a quotient of the braid group algebra on three strands; it is known to be isomorphic to the Temperley–Lieb algebra for $s = \frac{1}{2}$, and to the Birman–Murakami–Wenzl algebra for $s = 1$. We trust that it should be possible to present the centralizer \mathcal{C}_s explicitly as a quotient of the braid group algebra, for any spin s , by using an algebraic version of the braid matrices S_i defined in the present paper. In fact, we plan to report on this in the future. This would provide a better algebraic understanding of the connection between the braid group and the q -Racah polynomials.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Paul Terwilliger for pointing out the connections with spin Leonard pairs. N. Crampé is partially supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche Projet AHA ANR-18-CE40-0001. The work of L. Vinet is funded in part by a discovery grant of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada. M. Zaimi held a graduate scholarship from the Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies (FRQNT) and was also partly funded by an Alexander-Graham-Bell scholarship from the NSERC.

APPENDIX A. SOME STANDARD NOTATIONS AND USEFUL IDENTITIES

The q -shifted factorial, or q -Pochhammer symbol, is defined by

$$(A.1) \quad (z; q)_0 := 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (z; q)_k := \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - zq^{i-1}) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The following identities can be found in [8]

$$(A.2) \quad (z; q^{-1})_n = (z^{-1}; q)_n (-z)^n q^{-\binom{n}{2}},$$

$$(A.3) \quad (z^{-1}q^{1-n}; q)_n = (z; q)_n (-z^{-1})^n q^{-\binom{n}{2}},$$

$$(A.4) \quad (z^2; q^2)_n = (z, -z; q)_n,$$

$$(A.5) \quad \frac{(qz, -qz; q)_n}{(z, -z; q)_n} = \frac{(q^2z^2; q^2)_n}{(z^2; q^2)_n} = \frac{1 - z^2q^{2n}}{1 - z^2}.$$

For r and s any two positive integers, the q -hypergeometric function ${}_r\phi_s$ is defined by

$$(A.6) \quad {}_r\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, z \right) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_k}{(b_1, \dots, b_s; q)_k} \left[(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \right]^{1+s-r} \frac{z^r}{(q; q)_r},$$

where $(z_1, \dots, z_r; q)_k := (z_1; q)_k \dots (z_r; q)_k$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. For N a nonnegative integer, the q -Racah polynomials are defined by [13]

$$(A.7) \quad R_n(\mu(x); \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta | q) := {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha\beta q^{n+1}, q^{-x}, \gamma\delta q^{x+1} \\ \alpha q, \beta\delta q, \gamma q \end{matrix}; q, q \right), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$

where $\mu(x) := q^{-x} + \gamma\delta q^{x+1}$, and either $\alpha = q^{-N-1}$, $\beta\delta = q^{-N-1}$ or $\gamma = q^{-N-1}$.

APPENDIX B. UNIVERSAL R -MATRIX OF $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ AND ITS REPRESENTATIONS

This appendix provides more details for the proof of Proposition 3.2.

B.1. Universal R -matrix. The universal R -matrix of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is an invertible element $\mathcal{R} \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ which satisfies

$$(B.1) \quad \Delta(x)\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}\Delta^{op}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2).$$

In the previous equation, Δ^{op} is the opposite comultiplication and is defined by $\Delta^{op} = \tau \circ \Delta$, where $\tau(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$ for $x, y \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The universal R -matrix also satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation

$$(B.2) \quad \mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{23} = \mathcal{R}_{23}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{12}.$$

We have used the usual notations: if $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^\alpha \otimes \mathcal{R}_\alpha$, then $\mathcal{R}_{12} = \mathcal{R}^\alpha \otimes \mathcal{R}_\alpha \otimes 1$, $\mathcal{R}_{23} = 1 \otimes \mathcal{R}^\alpha \otimes \mathcal{R}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{R}_{13} = \mathcal{R}^\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{R}_\alpha$ (the sum w.r.t. α is understood). The universal R -matrix of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ takes the following explicit form [7]

$$(B.3) \quad \mathcal{R} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q - q^{-1})^n}{[n]_q!} q^{-n(n+1)/2} (E \otimes F)^n (q^{-nH} \otimes q^{nH}) q^{2(H \otimes H)},$$

where $[n]_q! := [n]_q [n-1]_q \dots [2]_q [1]_q$ and, by convention, $[0]_q! := 1$.

B.2. Braided R -matrix. We define the braided R -matrix in $\text{End}(M_s^{\otimes 2})$ as

$$(B.4) \quad \check{R} := \pi_s^{\otimes 2}(\mathcal{R})\sigma,$$

where $\sigma(v \otimes w) = w \otimes v$ for any $v, w \in M_s$. From the Yang–Baxter equation (B.2), one can show that the braided R -matrix satisfies the braided Yang–Baxter equation

$$(B.5) \quad \check{R}_1 \check{R}_2 \check{R}_1 = \check{R}_2 \check{R}_1 \check{R}_2,$$

where $\check{R}_1 = \check{R} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{2s+1}$ and $\check{R}_2 = \mathbb{I}_{2s+1} \otimes \check{R}$ are elements of $\text{End}(M_s^{\otimes 3})$.

We have an explicit expression for the braided R -matrix. This result is known [14], but we give a direct proof for completeness.

Proposition B.1. *The braided R -matrix is given by*

$$(B.6) \quad \check{R} = (-1)^{2s} q^{-2s(s+1)} \sum_{r=0}^{2s} (-1)^r q^{r(r+1)} P(M_r),$$

where $P(M_r)$ is the projector on the representation M_r of the decomposition (2.6).

Proof. From relation (B.1), one can show that \check{R} commutes with $\pi_s^{\otimes 2}(\Delta(x))$ for any $x \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Therefore, we must have

$$(B.7) \quad \check{R} = \sum_{r=0}^{2s} \xi_r P(M_r)$$

for some parameters ξ_r . Let us denote by w_s^+ the highest weight vector of the representation M_s satisfying $Ew_s^+ = 0$ and $q^H w_s^+ = q^s w_s^+$. In the previous relations, E stands for $\pi_s(E)$. We will use this abuse of notation throughout this proof. We also introduce the vectors

$$(B.8) \quad \omega_r^+ := \sum_{p=0}^{2s-r} \rho_p F^p w_s^+ \otimes F^{2s-r-p} w_s^+ \quad \text{for } r = 0, 1, \dots, 2s,$$

with

$$(B.9) \quad \rho_p := (-1)^p q^{p(r+1)} \frac{[2s-p]_q! [r+p]_q!}{[2s-r-p]_q! [p]_q!}.$$

By a direct computation, using the identity $[E, F^n] = [n]_q F^{n-1} [2H - (n-1)]_q$, one can show that $\Delta(E)\omega_r^+ = 0$ and $\Delta(q^H)\omega_r^+ = q^r \omega_r^+$. This means that ω_r^+ is the highest weight vector of the representation M_r in the decomposition (2.6) of $M_s^{\otimes 2}$. In particular, one gets $P(M_k)\omega_r^+ = \delta_{kr}\omega_r^+$. Hence, the eigenvalue of \check{R} on ω_r^+ is ξ_r . Using the explicit expression (B.3) of the universal R -matrix, one gets

$$(B.10) \quad \check{R}\omega_r^+ = \sum_{p=0}^{2s-r} \sum_{n=0}^{2s-r-p} \frac{\rho_p q^{2(s-r-p-n)(p-s)-n(n+1+2r)/2} (q - q^{-1})^n}{[n]_q!} E^n F^{2s-r-p} w_s^+ \otimes F^{n+p} w_s^+.$$

For any integers n and k such that $0 \leq n \leq k \leq 2s$, one can show that

$$(B.11) \quad E^n F^k w_s^+ = \frac{[k]_q! [2s-k+n]_q!}{[k-n]_q! [2s-k]_q!} F^{k-n} w_s^+.$$

Then, by using (B.9) and (B.11) in (B.10), one finds

$$(B.12) \quad \check{R}\omega_r^+ = \sum_{p=0}^{2s-r} \sum_{n=0}^{2s-r-p} A(p, n) F^{2s-r-p-n} w_s^+ \otimes F^{n+p} w_s^+,$$

where we have defined

$$(B.13) \quad A(p, n) := (-1)^p \frac{(q - q^{-1})^n}{[n]_q!} q^{2(s-r-p-n)(p-s) - n(n+1+2r)/2 + p(r+1)} \frac{[2s-p]_q! [r+p+n]_q!}{[2s-r-p-n]_q! [p]_q!}.$$

The two sums in (B.12) can be rearranged as follows

$$(B.14) \quad \check{R}\omega_r^+ = \sum_{m=0}^{2s-r} \sum_{k=0}^{2s-r-m} A(k, 2s-r-m-k) F^m w_s^+ \otimes F^{2s-r-m} w_s^+.$$

Using the definitions (B.9) and (B.13) in (B.14), one gets

$$(B.15) \quad \check{R}\omega_r^+ = q^{2s(s-r-1)+r(r+1)} \sum_{m=0}^{2s-r} (-1)^m q^{-2sm} B_m \rho_m F^m w_s^+ \otimes F^{2s-r-m} w_s^+,$$

where

$$(B.16) \quad B_m := \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{k(r+m+1)} q^{-\binom{n}{2} - \binom{k}{2}} \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!} \frac{[n-k+r+m]_q!}{[r+m]_q!} (q - q^{-1})^{n-k}$$

and $n = 2s - r - m$. In terms of q -shifted factorials, the coefficients B_m can be rewritten as

$$(B.17) \quad B_m = (-1)^n q^{-2sn} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^2; q^2)_n}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^2; q^2)_{n-k}} q^{2(r+m+1)k} (q^{2(r+m+1)}; q^2)_{n-k}.$$

Using the q -analog of the binomial theorem [8]

$$(B.18) \quad (ab; q)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}} b^k (a; q)_k (b; q)_{n-k}$$

with the substitutions $q \rightarrow q^2$, $a = 0$ and $b = q^{2(r+m+1)}$, equation (B.17) simply reduces to

$$(B.19) \quad B_m = (-1)^n q^{-2sn} = (-1)^{2s-r-m} q^{-2s(2s-r-m)}.$$

Equation (B.15) then becomes

$$(B.20) \quad \check{R}\omega_r^+ = (-1)^{2s} q^{-2s(s+1)} (-1)^r q^{r(r+1)} \sum_{m=0}^{2s-r} \rho_m F^m w_s^+ \otimes F^{2s-r-m} w_s^+.$$

Therefore, we can identify the eigenvalue $\xi_r = (-1)^{2s} q^{-2s(s+1)} (-1)^r q^{r(r+1)}$ and the result (B.6) follows from (B.7). \square

It is directly seen from the result (B.6) that the matrix S_i defined in (3.24) is proportional to the representation of \check{R}_i in $\text{End}(V_\ell)$, for $i = 1, 2$.

APPENDIX C. AN EXPLICIT EXAMPLE FOR EQUATION (4.10)

In this appendix, we examine in more details equation (4.10) to underscore its structure with a special case.

For $N = 0$, there is only one matrix component and equation (4.10) leads to a triviality. For $N = 1$, we write (4.10) in matrix components and use (3.9) and (3.30) to get

$$(C.1) \quad P_{ij} = -(1 + q^{2a+2})q^{-4(a+1)}\gamma_i\gamma_j \frac{(\gamma_1 - 1)\alpha_{i,j} + (\chi_{a+1} - \gamma_1\chi_a)\delta_{ij}}{\chi_{a+1} - \chi_a} \quad \text{for } i, j = 0, 1.$$

If i or j is zero, then the q -Racah polynomial given in (3.18) is simply one and the equation (C.1) provides a relation between the recurrence coefficients $\alpha_{m,n}$ that can be verified. If $i = j = 1$, we can use (3.17), (3.14), (3.12) and the explicit value of γ_r given in (3.24) to get

$$(C.2) \quad R_1(\mu(1); q^{2a}, q^{2a}, q^{2(3a+2)}, q^{-2(a+2)}|q^2) = -(1 + q^{2a+2}) \frac{\alpha_{1,0}}{\alpha_{0,1}} \left(\frac{1 + q^{2a+2}}{\chi_{a+1} - \chi_a} \alpha_{1,0} + 1 \right).$$

As expected, equation (C.2) expresses certain q -Racah polynomials in terms of their recurrence coefficients. In comparison, the repetitive application of the recurrence equation (3.20) leads to

$$(C.3) \quad R_1(\mu(1); q^{2a}, q^{2a}, q^{2(3a+2)}, q^{-2(a+2)}|q^2) = \frac{(\chi_{a+1} - \chi_a)}{\alpha_{0,1}} + 1.$$

Using the explicit expressions (3.10) and (3.11) for the recurrence coefficients and the definition (2.5), we find

$$(C.4) \quad \alpha_{0,1} = -q^{-2a-3} \frac{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^{6(a+1)})}{(1 + q^{2(a+1)})} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{1,0} = -q^{-1} \frac{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^{2(a+1)})}{(1 + q^{2(a+1)})} \quad \text{for } N = 1,$$

$$(C.5) \quad \chi_{a+1} - \chi_a = q^{-2a-3}(1 - q^2)(1 - q^{4(a+1)}).$$

It is then straightforward to verify that (C.2) and (C.3) reduce to the same expression, as it should.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Artin, *Theory of Braids*, Ann. of Math. 48 (1947) 101–126.
- [2] L. Biedenharn and J. Louck, *Angular momentum in quantum physics: Theory and application*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [3] N. Crampé, J. Gaboriaud, L. Vinet and M. Zaimi, *Revisiting the Askey–Wilson algebra with the universal R -matrix of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$* , J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 53 (2020) 05LT01 and [arXiv:1908.04806v2](#).
- [4] N. Crampé, L. Vinet and M. Zaimi, *Temperley–Lieb, Birman–Murakami–Wenzl and Askey–Wilson algebras and other centralizers of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , Ann. Henri Poincaré (2021) and [arXiv:2008.04905](#).
- [5] N. Crampé, L. Vinet and M. Zaimi, *Bannai–Ito algebras and the universal R -matrix of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$* , Lett. Math. Phys. 110 (2020) 1043–1055 and [arXiv:1909.06426](#).
- [6] B. Curtin, *Spin Leonard pairs*, Ramanujan J. 13 (2007) 319–332.
- [7] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, in: Proc. ICM (Berkeley,1986), Vol.1 (Academic Press, New York, 1987) 798–820.
- [8] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition (2004).
- [9] Ya.A. Granovskii and A.S. Zhedanov, *Hidden symmetry of the Racah and Clebsch–Gordan problems for the quantum algebra $sl_q(2)$* , Journal of Group Theory in Physics 1 (1993) 161–171 and [arXiv:hep-th/9304138](#).
- [10] H.-W. Huang, *An embedding of the universal Askey–Wilson algebra into $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , Nucl. Phys. B, 922 (2017) 401–434 and [arXiv:1611.02130](#).
- [11] V.F.R. Jones, *Baxterization*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 4 (1990), 5, 701–713.

- [12] A. N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Representations of the algebra $U_q(sl(2))$, q -orthogonal polynomials and invariants of links*, in: Infinite dimensional Lie algebras and groups (World Sci. Publishing, NJ, 1989) 285–339.
- [13] R. Koekoek, P.A. Lesky and R.F. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q -analogues*, Springer, 1-st edition (2010).
- [14] G.I. Lehrer and R.B. Zhang, *Strongly multiplicity free modules for Lie algebras and quantum groups*, Journal of Algebra 306 (2006) 138–174.
- [15] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger, *Leonard pairs, spin models, and distance-regular graphs*, J. Combin. Theory (A) 177 (2021) 105312 and [arXiv:1907.03900](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.03900).
- [16] G. Racah, *Theory of complex spectra. II*, Phys. Rev. 62 (1942) 438–462.
- [17] H. Rosengren, *An elementary approach to $6j$ -symbols (classical, quantum, rational, trigonometric, and elliptic)*, Ramanujan J. 13 (2007) 133-168 and [arXiv:math/0312310](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0312310).
- [18] A.S. Zhedanov, *Hidden symmetry of the Askey–Wilson polynomials*, Theor. Math. Phys. 89 (1991) 1146–1157.

† INSTITUT DENIS-POISSON CNRS/UMR 7013 - UNIVERSITÉ DE TOURS - UNIVERSITÉ D'ORLÉANS, PARC DE GRANDMONT, 37200 TOURS, FRANCE.

Email address: crampe1977@gmail.com

* CENTRE DE RECHERCHES MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL, P.O. BOX 6128, CENTRE-VILLE STATION, MONTRÉAL (QUÉBEC), H3C 3J7, CANADA.

Email address: vinet@crm.umontreal.ca, meri.zaimi@umontreal.ca