

Past and present giant viruses diversity explored through permafrost metagenomics

Sofia Rigou, Sébastien Santini, Chantal Abergel, Jean-Michel Claverie,

Matthieu Legendre

► To cite this version:

Sofia Rigou, Sébastien Santini, Chantal Abergel, Jean-Michel Claverie, Matthieu Legendre. Past and present giant viruses diversity explored through permafrost metagenomics. Nature Communications, 2022, 13 (1), pp.5853. 10.1038/s41467-022-33633-x . hal-03807788

HAL Id: hal-03807788 https://hal.science/hal-03807788

Submitted on 11 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Article

Past and present giant viruses diversity explored through permafrost metagenomics

Received: 4 February 2022

Accepted: 27 September 2022

Published online: 07 October 2022

Check for updates

Sofia Rigou \mathbb{O}^1 , Sébastien Santini¹, Chantal Abergel¹, Jean-Michel Claverie¹ & Matthieu Legendre \mathbb{O}^1

Giant viruses are abundant in aquatic environments and ecologically important through the metabolic reprogramming of their hosts. Less is known about giant viruses from soil even though two of them, belonging to two different viral families, were reactivated from 30,000-y-old permafrost samples. This suggests an untapped diversity of *Nucleocytoviricota* in this environment. Through permafrost metagenomics we reveal a unique diversity pattern and a high heterogeneity in the abundance of giant viruses, representing up to 12% of the sum of sequence coverage in one sample. *Pithoviridae* and *Orpheoviridae*like viruses were the most important contributors. A complete 1.6 Mb *Pithoviridae*-like circular genome was also assembled from a 42,000-y-old sample. The annotation of the permafrost viral sequences revealed a patchwork of predicted functions amidst a larger reservoir of genes of unknown functions. Finally, the phylogenetic reconstructions not only revealed gene transfers between cells and viruses, but also between viruses from different families.

Permafrost, soil remaining continuously frozen for at least 2 years, covers 15% of the Northern hemisphere1 and gathers complex communities of living organisms and variable soil types. The microbial community of the surface cryosol is in some cases subject to freezing and thawing of the soil every year² whereas communities from deeper layers are trapped as the sediments are deposited (syngenetic permafrost) or as the sediment freezes (epigenetic permafrost). Pleistocene permafrost has been shown to harbor up to 5×10^7 cells per wet gram of soil, a fifth of which are alive³. The permafrost has thus the ability to preserve organisms for tens if not hundreds of thousands years and acts as a huge reservoir of ancient microorganisms. For instance, it has been shown that numerous bacteria isolated from permafrost samples remained viable^{4,5}, even potentially for up to 1.1 million years⁶. Even in low biomass-containing frozen environments such as glacier ice, metagenomics approaches have recently revealed hundreds of distinct bacterial genera⁷. Unicellular⁸⁻¹⁰ and even multicellular^{11,12} eukaryotes can also be preserved for thousands of years and be revived from such frozen environments.

Besides cellular organisms, metagenomics studies have revealed bacteriophages communities archived in surface¹³ or deeper⁷ glacier ice, the majority of which being taxonomically unassigned. Due to the

high bacterial abundance¹⁴, bacteriophages are expected to be the most abundant viruses in the permafrost. However, in the unfiltered size fraction, the eukaryotic viruses *Nucleocytoviricota* (formerly known as Nucleocytoplasmic large DNA viruses or NCLDVs) are also highly represented¹⁴. This phylum gathers large double-stranded DNA viruses such as *Pokkesviricetes* (*Poxviridae* and *Asfarviridae*) as well as all the known giant viruses (i.e., viruses visible by light microscopy): the *Megaviricetes* (*Phycodnaviridae*, *Mimiviridae*, and *Pimascovirales*). More importantly, among *Nucleocytoviricota*, the two giant viruses *Pithovirus sibericum* and *Mollivirus sibericum*, were reactivated from a 30,000-y-old permafrost sample on *Acanthamoeba castellanii*^{15,16}. Taking into account the presence of numerous protists (in particular ameba) in permafrost⁹, many more giant viruses probably exist in such environments.

Recently, several studies specifically targeting environmental viruses have started to grasp the diversity and gene content of the *Nucleocytoviricota*¹⁷⁻¹⁹. They seem to be widespread in aquatic environments. More specifically, *Mimiviridae* (in particular the proposed *Mesomimivirinae* sub-family²⁰) and *Phycodnaviridae* are major contributors of the marine viromes all over the world, as revealed by thousands of metagenome-assembled viral genome (MAG)

¹Aix-Marseille University, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Information Génomique & Structurale (Unité Mixte de Recherche 7256), Institut de Microbiologie de la Méditerranée (FR3479), 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France. 🖂 e-mail: legendre@igs.cnrs-mrs.fr

sequences¹⁷⁻¹⁹. They have also been found active by metatranscriptomics at the surface layer of the ocean²¹ and bloom-forming bays^{22,23}. In addition to these two major groups, *Asfarviridae, Ascoviridae, Iridoviridae* and *Marseilleviridae* have been found active by marine metatranscriptomics²⁴. Importantly, the genomes of giant viruses code for various auxiliary metabolic genes, making them capable of reprogramming their host's metabolism and thus, to potentially play an important role in global biogeochemical cycles^{17,18,25}.

The *Nucleocytoviricota* ecological functions and diversity in terrestrial samples are far less known, with the exception of *Klosneuvirinae* sequences recovered from forest soil samples²⁶ and of *Pithoviridae* sequences assembled from the Loki's castle deep sea sediments sequences²⁷. The overwhelming proportion of *Nucleocytoviricota* metagenomic sequences of marine origin as compared to terrestrial is most likely due to the difficulty at revealing their hidden diversity in these environments²⁶. Indeed, the high proportion of closely related strains in soil communities notoriously hampers sequence assembly, making soil metagenomic studies challenging^{28,29}.

Current giant viruses' metagenomic and metatranscriptomic studies rely on the detection of *Nucleocytoviricota* core genes^{17,18,22,26,27}. However, among the handful of core genes, some of them are highly divergent or even completely absent from certain viral families. For instance, the Major Capsid Protein (MCP), often used as a marker gene to detect *Nucleocytoviricota* within metagenomic and metatranscriptomic assemblies^{18,24}, is absent from *Pandoraviridae*³⁰ and only present in a divergent form in *Pithoviridae*¹⁵. Thus, the probability to detect these types of non-icosahedral giant viruses is drastically lowered.

Although two distinct non-icosahedral giant viruses were initially isolated from permafrost samples^{15,16}, little is known about the diversity of *Nucleocytoviricota* in this type of environment. Here, we propose an analysis of these viruses from eleven permafrost samples ranging from the active layer up to 49,000-y-old sediment¹⁴. We show that the permafrost has a high viral diversity. Although the samples are very heterogeneous in *Nucleocytoviricota* content, they can reach up to an estimated relative abundance of 12% of the sequenced organisms (from sequence coverage). We found here that *Pithoviridae* and *Orpheoviridae*-like families followed by *Mimiviridae* are the main contributors of the giant virus diversity of the deep permafrost.

Results

Cryosol metagenomes assemblies

We gathered permafrost and surface cryosol raw metagenomic data from a previous study¹⁴ on the three surface samples from Kamchatka (C-D-E, Supplementary Table 1) and eight deep samples from the Yukechi Alas area dated from 53 to over 49,000-y-old, four of which are syngenetic (Supplementary Table 1). Importantly, Cedratvirus kamchatka³¹ and Mollivirus kamchatka³² were isolated from the mentioned Kamchatka surface samples.

Previous analysis of this dataset¹⁴ showed that prokaryotes are the most abundant (90% of the total coverage). Accordingly, the assembly of the reads (Supplementary Table 2) predominantly revealed bacterial contigs (mean = 94%, sd = 7%) according to the Lowest Common Ancestor (LCA) taxonomy based on BLASTP results against RefSeq. The samples with least bacterial contigs (N and R) still contain 80% of those, along with archaeal (10 and 7% respectively), unclassified (5%), viral (2%), and eucaryotic (1.3% and 1.6% respectively) contigs. Owing to the majority of bacterial contigs we reasoned that CheckM³³ could be applied to assess the overall validity of our assembly procedure of the complete dataset. This resulted in clean contigs with very few potential chimeras (0.004%) and no strain level chimera (Supplementary Fig. 1A). Next, as is custom in metagenomics studies, we performed a binning of the contigs to obtain less fragmented assemblies³⁴. This revealed potential chimeras (Supplementary Fig. 1A). We thus chose not to consider bins as unique organisms but instead we used binning as a procedure to decrease complexity in our datasets. More precisely, the reads were first separated according to the bin they belonged to. Next, a second de novo assembly was made within each bin. This resulted in significantly longer scaffolds and a larger total assembly (Supplementary Table 2) while keeping contamination at a negligible level (on average 0.005% potential chimeras and again none at the strain level, Supplementary Fig. 1A). Thus, our method significantly gained in reliability by lowering the proportion of chimeras in comparison to conventional binning, while providing longer assembled sequences compared to standard assemblies.

To further validate this strategy, we applied the same assembly method on three complex mock communities generated by a previous study³⁵. Aligning the reference genomes used in that study on the resulting assembled sequences revealed a similar pattern: a clean first assembly, a noisier binned assembly, and a clean final assembly (Supplementary Fig. 1B). The proportion of chimeras in the final scaffolds accounts for only 0.2%.

Discriminating Nucleocytoviricota in metagenomic samples

From the permafrost dataset we then sought to filter Nucleocytoviricota sequences. Our method is based on the detection of both Nucleocytoviricota genes (including the ones specific to the nonicosahedral Pithoviridae and Pandoraviridae) and cellular ones. We used a control metagenomic mimicking database containing reference Nucleocytoviricota genomes, cellular genomes randomly sampled from GenBank in addition to ameba and algae genomes (known hosts of Nucleocytoviricota) as well as ameba-hosted intracellular bacteria (Babela massiliensis and Parachlamydia acanthamoebae). Clearly the combination of the cellular and viral gene counts showed a very distinct pattern for Nucleocytoviricota compared to cellular genomic sequences (Fig. 1a). Using this control database, we computed the optimal parameters discriminating Nucleocytoviricota sequences (slope = 0.1, intercept = 1; Fig. 1), yielding high classification performance (sensitivity = 87.47% and specificity $\geq 99.53\%$; Supplementary Fig. 2). Taking proportions instead of viral and cellular ORFs counts did not yield better results (Supplementary Fig. 3). For comparison, we also tested the ViralRecall tool (35) that confirmed 1848 out of the 1973 (94%) scaffolds detected by our pipeline. Further controls for contamination in the Nucleocytoviricota dataset involved a search for ribosomal sequences, none of which were found. Manual functional annotation of all potential Nucleocytoviricota scaffolds allowed the identification of 7 scaffolds potentially belonging to intracellular bacteria, a phage and a nudivirus. All these sequences were removed. At the end, we identified 1966 Nucleocytoviricota scaffolds ranging from 10 kb up to 1.6 Mb in the permafrost dataset, corresponding to 1% of all scaffolds over 10 kb in size (Fig. 1b). Applying CheckM specifically fueled with viral HMM profiles made from low-copy NCVOGs (44) on this final sequence dataset resulted in virtually no contamination (mean = 0.0047%, s.d. = 0.027%) and strain heterogeneity (mean = 0.066%, s.d. = 1.8%).

As previously mentioned, *Nucleocytoviricota* metagenomic studies often rely on the MCP as a bait, making it hard, if not impossible, to catch some of the non-icosahedral viruses. By adding *Pithoviridae* and *Pandoraviridae* HMMs to the original profiles¹⁸ and VOG's HMMs, we gained 5% (n = 110) more scaffolds that were mainly unclassified or from *Pithoviridae* and divergent *Pithoviridae* families (see further for phylogenies).

Heterogeneous Nucleocytoviricota abundance in cryosols

The permafrost samples were very heterogeneous in *Nucleocytoviricota* relative abundance (Fig. 2) and number of scaffolds, ranging from 2 to 721 scaffolds, found in samples O (core permafrost under a lake in Yedoma, frozen for 40,000 years) and R (core permafrost under a drained thermokarst lake, frozen for over 42,000 years), respectively. *Nucleocytoviricota* scaffolds corresponded to 12% of the R sample



Fig. 1 | **Viral scaffolds filtering.** Each point corresponds to one scaffold. Viral matches (*y*-axis) were counted as the number of ORFs matching a *Nucleocytoviricota*-specific HMM at an *E* value of 10^{-10} . These HMMs come from a previous study¹⁸ to which were added specific HMMs from the VOG database and HMMs constructed on *Pandoraviridae* and *Pithoviridae* genomes. Cellular matches (x-axis) are the number of DIAMOND BLASTP matches against the cellular RefSeq database

with a threshold of 35% of sequence identity and an *E* value $\leq 10^{-5}$. The dashed lines represent the chosen threshold excluding all point under or on the line. **a** Control dataset. The inset is a zoom of the bottom-left corner of the plot. For clarity, 1 bacterial point with over 1000 cellular matches and 1 viral match are not shown. **b** Permafrost data. For clarity, 5 points with over 1000 cellular matches are not shown. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

sequence coverage (Fig. 2) and 17% of total reads mapped on scaffolds over 10 kb (4% of all raw reads). This sample was also the richest in eukaryotes with mostly Streptophyta (35%), Dikarya (14%), Platy-helminthes (9%), Eumycetozoa (8%) and Longamoebia (7%). Interest-ingly, amebas (Longamoebia) are on average 46.7 times more abundant in this sample than in the other ones (Supplementary Fig. 4A).

The relative proportion of giant viruses (Fig. 2) showed a strong correlation to the ones of Eukaryota. Precisely, Spearman correlation coefficients of $\rho = 0.72$ for the sum of coverages (two-sided correlation test p value = 0.017, Fig. 2) and $\rho = 0.83$ for the number of scaffolds (two-sided correlation test p value = 0.003) were observed. Such correlation could be explained by host-parasites dynamics. We therefore looked for potential co-occurrences of viral and eukaryotic families. Despite working with only 11 samples, we found significant associations (Supplementary Fig. 4B), including two Pithoviridae-like viruses with Entamoebidae. More surprisingly, we also found two other Pithoviridae-like associated with Hydrozoa. HGT between Mimiviridae and this eukaryotic class has already been observed³⁶. Finally, two other Pithoviridae-like were also found associated with Cryptomonadaceae. Although these eukaryotes are not known to be infected with giant viruses, metagenomics co-occurrence analyses showed association between cryptophytes and Mimiviridae²² as well as virophages37.

Nucleocytoviricota scaffolds could also correspond to endogenized viruses in eukaryotes (GEVE), as previously shown in green algae³⁸. This hypothesis is plausible as 57% (193 out of 338) of the GEVE pseudocontigs (see Methods) were captured by our *Nucleocytoviricota* detection method. To explore this possibility, we thus checked for endogenization signs in the viral scaffolds using ViralRecall³⁹ (example in Supplementary Fig. 5) but none was found. In addition, *Nucleocytoviricota* largely outnumber eukaryotes with a 4:1 *Nucleocytoviricota*/ Eukaryota ratio in the sum of coverages (mean = 4.06, s.d. = 4.22) and number of scaffolds (mean = 4.40, s.d. = 3.34). Altogether, this suggests that most of the discovered permafrost *Nucleocytoviricota* scaffolds correspond to bona fide unintegrated viruses.

Exploration of the sequence diversity

To further investigate which viral families were present in the samples, we conducted a phylogenetic analysis based on 7 marker genes

(Supplementary Data 1) and a curated database produced by a former study⁴⁰. We excluded the transcription elongation factor TFIIS as its phylogeny breaks well-established clades (*Alphairidovirinae, Ascoviridae, Asfarviridae, Pimascovirales,* Supplementary Fig. 6). It should also be noted that the primase D5 revealed an unexpected grouping of the Cedratviruses with *Phycodnaviridae* instead of *Pithoviridae,* suggesting that this gene was acquired from an unknown source in Cedratviruses (Supplementary Fig. 6). We first classified permafrost scaffolds containing at least three of the seven marker genes to avoid split genomes in the tree. This resulted in 37 classified scaffolds (corresponding to 16.5% of the 72 Mb of total *Nucleocytoviricota* identified sequences) with 21 scaffolds within the *Pithoviridae* and *Orpheoviridae*-like clades, 8 in the *Megamimiviridae* clade and the rest associated to *Klosneuviridae, Phycodnaviridae* and one *Asfarviridae* (Fig. 3a).

However, filtering scaffolds with less than three marker genes only reveals the ones representing a substantial portion of the viral genome and thus probably under-estimate the true diversity of viral families. Indeed, counts derived from single markers (Fig. 3b) show that Pithoviridae and Orpheoviridae-like sequences might be particularly under-estimated as they lack the packaging ATPase and contain a highly divergent MCP. In addition, they contain a substantially lower fraction of duplicated marker genes than Megamimivirinae and Klosneuvirinae (Fig. 3b). We thus also performed a classification of all scaffolds containing at least one marker gene. This increased the taxonomically classified dataset to 369 Nucleocytoviricota scaffolds (40.1% of the Nucleocytoviricota sequences). Again, Pithoviridae and Orpheoviridae-like viral families were the most diverse, followed by Mimiviridae (Supplementary Fig. 7). In contrast, Marseilleviridae, Alphairidovirinae, Betairidovirinae, and Ascoviridae were completely absent in our samples. Interestingly, unclassified sequences do not encode for more ORFans (ORFs with no similar sequence in the public databases) than classified sequences (Supplementary Fig. 8A). This suggests that these sequences are not more divergent to known relatives than any other Nucleocytoviricota sequence but remained unclassified due to the lack of the marker genes.

We further confirmed the observed taxonomy pattern from individual marker genes phylogenies (Supplementary Fig. 9) and the best BLASTP matches of the unclassified sequences against the nr database (Supplementary Fig. 8B). Finally, an alternative phylogeny of the bins (instead of scaffolds) probably noisier but representing 85.4%



Fig. 2 | Relative abundance of *Nucleocytoviricota* and Eukaryota across samples. The relative abundance is calculated as the sum of scaffold coverages belonging to the given group divided by the total sample coverage among scaffolds ≥10 kb. Sample names in red are surface samples from Kamchatka while samples in blue, green and purple indicate that they come from three different forages in the

of the total *Nucleocytoviricota* sequences confirms the pattern (Supplementary Fig. 10). Altogether, these results clearly support *Pithoviridae* and *Orpheoviridae*-like as the most diverse families in our samples.

Most viruses are specific to the sample they were recovered from, in particular the ones from surface samples (Supplementary Fig. 11). Surprisingly, we also found viruses that were common to samples from close locations in Central Yakutia but from different ages (samples K, L, M, N, P, Q, and R; Supplementary Table 1). As the samples are unlikely contaminated¹⁴, this indicates that part of the viral community was maintained over time.

Enrichment of *Pithoviridae* and *Orpheoviridae*-like genomes in the Permafrost

Not only *Pithoviridae* were unexpectedly diverse (Fig. 3, Supplementary Figs. 7 and 10), they were also the most abundant *Nucleocytoviricota* according to their normalized coverage (Fig. 2). *Pithoviridae/Orpheoviridae*-like families appear in all samples and are particularly abundant in samples R and N (Fig. 2). The single most covered (i.e., abundant) sequences in five samples (C, N, R, K, and Q) come from these, and from Extended_phycodnaviridae, *Megamimivirinae* and *Klosneuvirinae* in the other samples.

The *Pithoviridae* diversity and abundance observed in the Siberian permafrost (in particular in samples R and N) could either highlight the enrichment of this viral family in this environment or represent the improvement in our method for the detection of non-icosahedral viruses. To compare the diversity found in the presented samples to other soil environments, we applied the same detection method to 1835 terrestrial datasets collected from the JGI IMG/M database⁴¹. The vast majority of these terrestrial samples exhibited no *Nucleocytoviricota* sequences and few contigs over 10 kb in general, probably due to the difficulty at assembling sequence data from these complex environments. Comparatively, the diversity of *Pithoviridae* and *Orpheoviridae* observed in the cryosol samples is unique as they were significantly enriched in these viruses, followed by forest soil (Supplementary Fig. 12). Noteworthy, Pandoravirus-like sequences were

Yukechi Alas area. The pie charts indicate the taxonomy of the *Nucleocytoviricota* in different samples (see further for phylogeny) whose abundance has also been estimated from the scaffold coverages. Only classified scaffolds were considered. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

found in sand and a 900 kb contig grouping next to *Pandoraviridae* and *Molliviridae* in peat permafrost samples.

Large viral genome fragments from the deep permafrost

Although our strategy to exclude conventional binning was primarily designed to capture high confidence MAGs at the price of completeness, we were still able to recover large *Nucleocytouiricota* genomes in single scaffolds with no apparent chimera (see "Methods"). Eight of them, assembled from 16 m to 19 m deep permafrost samples (R, N and M, Supplementary Table 1) dating from 42,000 to 49,000 years, reached over 500 kb (Fig. 4). The largest one of 1.6 Mb, referred to as "Hydrivirus", is likely complete as it was successfully circularized. Although these large scaffolds are deeply sequenced (with an average coverage in between 14 and 72), they do not belong to the most abundant viruses in their samples (the highest coverages are of 53, 181, and 1572 in samples M, N, R respectively).

These MAGs vary in divergence from known genomes, having from 22% up to 72% of ORFans for Unknown Permafrost:M_b2437_k1 (Fig. 4). As is common for newly discovered giant viruses, their genomes also match cellular genes from all domains of life (with very few Archaea). The four largest scaffolds were classified within *Pithoviridae/Orpheoviridae*-like families. Two are putative *Megaminivirinae* (Mimivirus Permafrost:R_b548_k1 and Mimivirus Permafrost:R_b2349_k1) and one is a putative *Klosneuvirinae*. Finally, the Unknown Permafrost:M_b2437_k1 scaffold is placed near the root of the tree (Fig. 3) and its evenly distributed viral best BLASTP matches have no specific family standing out (Fig. 4). Taking its scaffold phylogeny (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 7) together with its high ORFan content suggests that it belongs to a *Nucleocytoviricota* viral family with no isolate so far.

The complete 1.6 Mb Hydrivirus genome reaches a size similar to the isolated Orpheovirus⁴². The other 715 to 855 kb scaffolds are slightly larger than isolated *Pithoviridae* (ca. 600 kb)^{15,43,44}. However, they were not circularized as expected for a *Pithoviridae* genome structure¹⁵ and are thus potentially even larger. Still, in the four of them, most of the core genes are present (Supplementary Data 1).





than the genomes of isolated viruses. The colored clades were manually created to be monophyletic. The marker genes used for this phylogeny are indicated as colored squares. Empty squares correspond to marker genes absent from the reference genomes. Black bars show the relative mean coverage of the scaffolds (%). The Extended_phycodnaviridae group includes *Pandoraviridae* and Mollivirus. The Extended_klosneuvirinae group includes the Cafeteria roenbergensis virus. **b** Total marker gene count associated to the taxonomy of scaffolds with at least one marker gene. Total counts of each viral clade and each marker gene are shown as barplots on the right and top panels, respectively. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Fig. 4 | Gene content of the large genomes recovered from ancient permafrost samples. For each genome, the position of ORFans (ORFs with no match in the nr database), cellular and viral matches are recorded along the genome. The positions of tRNAs are also shown as red arrows. The pie charts present the proportion and taxonomy of viral matches with slices \geq 5% labeled. Groups that match less than 5%

of the Unknown Permafrost:M_b2437_k1 scaffold were gathered in "other" except for *Pithoviridae*. The environmental *Pithoviridae*/*Orpheoviridae*-like category contains metagenomic sequences from Bäckström et al.^{26, 27}. The Hydrivirus genome was circularized. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Furthermore, except for Pithovirus/Orpheovirus Permafrost:R_b629_k1, all the *Pithoviridae*-like large genomes and Klosneuvirus Permafrost:N_b891_k1 have a near complete base excision repair system.

Functions encoded in the permafrost *Nucleocytoviricota* sequences

To get insight into the functions encoded by the permafrost *Nucleocytoviricota* we manually annotated a total of 64,648 viral ORFs over 50 amino acids that were assigned to functional categories. The distribution follows the one of *Nucleocytoviricota* references (Supplementary Fig. 13), with most of the predicted proteins (81%) being of unknown function (as compared to 64% in reference genomes, Supplementary Fig. 13). We searched for significantly enriched Pfam and Gene Ontology annotations in the permafrost viral datasets compared to references but found none after false discovery p-value correction apart from a couple of core function (Supplementary Data 2). We also did not find specific functional enrichment when comparing samples to each other within the same viral families (Supplementary Data 3). Likewise, when mixing all viral families together, ecological parameters do not discriminate samples based on Pfam annotations (Supplementary Fig. 14). Altogether, this indicates that viral genome content

and ecological parameters are not directly correlated or, more likely, that the high proportion of genes with unknown functions and the limited number of samples prevent this from being revealed at this time.

As expected from their reference counterpart, permafrost *Nucleocytoviricota* encode auxiliary metabolic genes that are scattered within the different viral families (Supplementary Figs. 15 and 16). In addition, they encode functions not previously observed, such as ATP synthases subunit F (in the N_b713_k2 Pithoviridae_div1 sequence), as well as truncated hemoglobins in 3 permafrost *Pithoviridae*-like (R_b2567_k1, M_b1150_k2 and N_b1127_k2) and in an Extended_phycodnaviridae sequence (M_b2028).

Looking at highly shared functions (i.e., present in most families) among the reference genomes and permafrost MAGs, we identified the known core genes (Fig. 5) with the exception of the mRNA capping enzyme, absent from the *Iridoviridae/Ascoviridae* clade. The patatin phospholipase, suspected to be conserved among *Nucleocytoviricota*⁴⁵, is confirmed as a core gene, only absent from *Alphairidoviridae* (Fig. 5). Conversely the A32-like packaging ATPase presumably encoded by a "core" gene in large DNA viruses is no longer a universal *Nucleocytoviricota* marker gene, as it is not only lacking from the reference *Pithoviridae* genomes⁴⁶ but also absent from all



Fig. 5 | Comparison of most shared functions among metagenomic and reference *Nucleocytoviricota* families. Functions were selected among the annotations found in at least 10 clades. Metagenomic sequences are marked as black rectangles at the bottom of the plot while blank spaces correspond to reference genomes. Groups with less than 250 ORFs were marked as "Other". The size of the bubbles

genomes. as a Source Data file. the bubbles

clades ranging from Pitho-orpheo_div8 to *Pithoviridae* (Fig. 5). Overall, our analysis highlights a patchwork of functions encoded by these viruses (Supplementary Figs. 15 and 16).

Virally-encoded translation-related genes

Virally-encoded translation-related genes are a landmark of giant viruses. They were found in a large spectrum of viral families and might give clues on their evolution and interaction with cellular organisms. We thus specifically analyzed the translation-related genes in the permafrost data and found 20 different types of virally-encoded aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRSs). As previously observed in other *Klosneuvirinae*⁴⁷, the Klosneuvirus Permafrost:N_b891_k large genome fragment (Fig. 4) encodes an expanded translation-related gene repertoire (10 translation initiation factors, 4 translation elongation factors, a translation termination factor, 11 different aaRSs and 5 tRNAs clustered together). More surprisingly, ten different types of aaRSs were also found in the Pithoviridae_div1 clade, including 7 different ones in the Hydrivirus genome (Fig. 4). This virus also encodes 9 tRNA,

3 translation initiation and elongation factors, and a translation termination factor.

the group). The right-most column indicates the number of distinct clades having the function. The lines are sorted according to this value. Source data are provided

We then investigated the phylogeny of the different types of aaRSs found in our datasets that revealed entangled evolutionary pathways between viruses and cellular organisms (Supplementary Figs. 17-19). In most cases, the viral aaRSs were likely acquired by HGT from Eukaryotes (tryptophan, leucine, glutamine, threonine, methionine, isoleucine, arginine, aspartate, serine and phenylalanine) (Supplementary Figs. 17 and 19). In rare cases, we detected a possible HGT from an Archaea to a virus as for the glycine- and tyrosine-tRNA synthetases (Supplementary Fig. 18). Genes have also passed from Bacteria to Nucleocytoviricota, as for the glycine-tRNA synthetase of Hydrivirus and the valine-tRNA synthetase of a permafrost Megamimivirinae. For the latter, the bacterial sources were Rickettsiales, which are endosymbionts of ameba⁴⁸, and thus probably share the same host. The source of the tryptophan-tRNA synthetase in Hydrivirus is less clear, but a duplication event occurred probably at the same locus right after the gene was acquired (Supplementary Fig. 17).

Discussion

Recent large-scale metagenomic data analyses strikingly revealed that Nucleocytoviricota are widespread in various environments^{17,18,26,27}. Our analysis of cryosol samples confirmed this ubiquity. Nevertheless, we highlighted an important heterogeneity in Nucleocytoviricota proportions across the samples, in agreement with the already observed heterogeneity at various scales (domain, phylum, class, order and functional annotation) for all domains of life¹⁴. This heterogeneity is probably the testimony of the absence of mixing between layers but also of a spatially heterogeneous microbiome. It has been pointed out that the bacterial community of agricultural soil changes at a centimeter-level⁵¹. Thus, the heterogeneity we observe might translate a sampling bias although probably attenuated by the large amount of soil from each sample (20 g) used for DNA extraction. In any case, such heterogeneity includes eukaryotes which likely strongly influences the abundance of Nucleocytoviricota. The co-occurrence analysis of Nucleocytoviricota and eukaryotes performed in this study linked Pithoviridae-like clades to ameba. More surprisingly others were associated with Cryptomonadaceae and Hydrozoa. This widens the possible host range of Pithoviridae in the same way Mimiviridae infect various distant clades⁵². However, co-occurrence might translate indirect correlation and not direct virus-host interactions.

Importantly, our samples are among the most enriched in *Nucleocytoviricota*, reaching up to 12% of the estimated abundance of sequenced organisms. Moreover, the relative DNA sequence coverage (Fig. 2) suggests that they outnumber their hosts, in the same way bacteriophages often outnumber bacteria in the ocean^{52,53}. This high abundance is the result of a high diversity in the samples, as it does not come from a single virus that would be at the origin of all sequenced reads since the individual maximum relative coverage never exceeds 0.3%. Furthermore, by taking advantage of the permafrost's ability to preserve ancient organisms, we showed that some *Nucleocytoviricota* strains have been present in the surface community for a long time (Supplementary Fig. 11). Considering only syngenetic permafrost samples, we found *Nucleocytoviricota* shared in samples of up to 13,000 years difference. This indicates that they probably are important players of this particular area of central Yakutia.

The *Nucleocytoviricota* diversity explored in this study revealed large genomic sequences of unknown families, such as the Permafrost:M_b2437_k1 scaffold (Fig. 4). In addition, we identified many divergent *Pithoviridae*-like sequences which constitute new clades within the *Pimascovirales*. In contrast, *Megamimivirinae*, *Klosneuvirinae* and *Mesomimivirinae* were the groups with the least ORFans within the permafrost sequences. These groups are thus better sampled than all other viral families found in this study (Supplementary Fig. 8A). Overall, the high ORFan content in our dataset probably explains the paucity of functions significantly enriched in permafrost samples compared to reference sequences. In addition, the patchwork-like pattern of *Nucleocytoviricota* functions might also blur the statistical signal.

Importantly, the 1.6 Mb Hydrivirus genome recovered by our method is complete. So, together with Pandoraviruses³⁰, Orpheoviruses⁴², Klosneuviruses⁴⁷, and Mimiviruses⁵⁴, Hydrivirus is another example of a viral genome largely over 1 Mb. The nature of the evolutionary forces pushing some viruses to retain or acquire so many genes remains a matter of debate⁵⁵⁻⁵⁸. Horizontal gene transfers from cellular hosts is hypothesized by some authors to account for their large gene content^{47,59}. We indeed found examples of cellular genes gained by HGT in this study (Supplementary Figs. 17–19) but this only accounts for a small proportion of their gene content, with the vast majority having no identifiable cellular homolog. Gene duplication, on the other hand, a well-known source of functional innovation since the pioneering work of Susumu Ohno⁶⁰, may contribute to the genome inflation of giant viruses^{49,61}. Another possible source of genetic innovation is the de novo gene creation from intergenic regions^{49,62}. The present work expanded the *Nucleocytoviricota* families' pangenomes, in particular the *Pithoviridae*-like with an overwhelming proportion of ORFans. Part of these genes might originate from de novo gene creation, a hypothesis that remains to be further tested.

The functional annotation performed in this work highlights the paucity of functions strictly shared between Nucleocytoviricota. This includes proteins thought to be central for viral replication/transmission, like the A32 Packaging ATPase⁴⁶ which is absent from the entire Pithoviridae-like clade (Fig. 5). Likewise, the MCP is not encoded in the Pandoraviridae genomes³⁰. Our work also highlights a patchwork of functions and independent cases of HGT from Eukarvotes to viruses but also between viruses belonging to different families (Supplementary Figs. 17-19). This is probably the testimony of coinfections, as members of the Marseilleviridae, Mimiviridae, Pithoviridae, Pandoraviridae and Molliviridae families can infect the same host. Although endogenization may blur the counts, it was estimated by single-cell sequencing that as much as 37% of cells carry 2 or more viruses⁶³, thus promoting gene exchanges between viruses. In line with this hypothesis, it was recently showed that DNA methylation, widespread in giant viruses, is mediated by methyltransferases and Restriction-Modification systems that are frequently horizontally exchanged between viruses from different families³¹.

The functional patchwork, the gene exchanges between viruses of different families and the very few shared genes may challenge the monophyly of the recently established Nucleocytoviricota phylum by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV)⁶⁴. Except for the DNA primase of Cedratviruses, our trees of seven marker genes would indeed indicate a shared ancestry of the different Nucleocytoviricota families analyzed in this work (Supplementary Fig. 6). However, when cellular genes are integrated to the phylogenetic trees, only three of the five most shared genes strictly support the monophyly of the Nucleocytoviricota⁶⁵. These are the viral late transcription factor 3, the Holliday junction resolvase and the A32 packaging ATPase genes. The latter has also been shown to be exchanged between Mimiviridae and Yaravirus, an Acanthamoeba infecting virus that does not belong to the phylum^{65,66}. The other core genes such as the DNA polymerase is separated by several cellular clades between Pokkesviricetes and Megaviricetes⁶⁷. Likewise the two largest subunits of the RNA polymerase of Asfarviridae and Mimiviridae have a different history than the other Nucleocytoviricota⁴⁰. These examples question the consistency of the phylum.

The objective of this study was to assess the diversity of large DNA viruses in permafrost. Our analyses revealed an unexpected number of unknown viral sub-groups and clades, some among of the previously established families of the *Nucleocytoviricota* phylum. The phylogenetic diversity recovered from the ancient permafrost translated into an intricate functional patchwork amidst a majority of anonymous genes of unknown functions.

Methods

No approval by board/committee and institution was required for this study.

Data preparation

Illumina sequencing reads from all samples (Supplementary Table 1) were assembled into contigs using MEGAHIT (v1.1.3)⁶⁸ and then binned

using Metabat2⁶⁹ (v2.15) with a minimal contig length of 1500 and bin length of 10,000. Reads corresponding to each contig were retrieved and gathered from their respective bins using an in-house script. The read subsets were then reassembled using SPAdes⁷⁰ (v3.14) in default mode or with the "-meta" option. Reads were mapped on the resulting scaffolds \geq 10 kb using Bowtie 2⁷¹ (v2.3.4.1) with the "-very-sensitive" option and filtered with SAMtools (-q 3 option). Reads \leq 30 nucleotides were discarded. Scaffold relative abundance was estimated as the mean scaffold coverage divided by the total sample coverage. Bins, contigs and scaffolds were verified with CheckM³³ (v1.1.2) using the lineage workflow. CheckM was also applied on a custom set of HMMs made from the NCVOGs database⁴⁵ using the "analyze" and "qa" tools. NCVOGs with 1.1 copies or less in average were used to construct the HMM profiles for a low-copy NCVOG database.

The validation of the method was performed on three datasets from a previous study³⁵. We used high complexity mock communities with strain diversity within each species (ani100_cHIGH_stTrue_r0, ani100_cHIGH_stTrue_r1 and ani100_cHIGH_stTrue_r2) on which we applied the same assembly procedure (see previous paragraph). We then aligned the resulting contigs and scaffolds to the corresponding reference genomes with BLASTN (from BLAST + v2.8.1, options -evalue 1e-10 -perc_identity 99)⁷². Then matches ≥99.99% of identity were cut if overlapping with previous better matches and kept if they were ≥500 nucleotides using an in-house script. Bins with only one contig were not considered to assess the level of chimerism of bins or of the second assembly. With these data we assessed the proportion of chimeras (a contig, bin or scaffold matching different genomes) at each assembly step.

Control database preparation

Reference Nucleocytoviricota were chosen following a former phylogenetic study⁴⁰. The corresponding genomes were gathered from the NCBI repository. Lausannevirus, Melbournevirus, Ambystoma tigrinum virus, Infectious spleen and kidney necrosis virus, Invertebrate iridovirus 22. Invertebrate iridovirus 25 and Singapore grouper iridovirus were removed to avoid an overrepresentation of their families. We added the genomes of A. castellanii medusavirus (AP018495.1). Bodo saltans virus (MF782455.1). Cedratvirus kamchatka (MN873693.1) and Tetraselmis virus 1 (KY322437.1). Genomes from Archaea, Eukaryota, and Bacteria (Supplementary Data 4) were retrieved from GenBank. For each genome, non-overlapping sequences were cut with an in-house script following a distribution similar to our dataset to simulate metagenomic contigs. Genes were then predicted by GeneMark (v3.36)⁷³ using the metagenomic model. For the *Nucleocytoviricota* phylogeny, core genes previously identified⁴⁰ were used in addition to the ones found by PSI-BLAST⁷⁴. We also added Amsacta moorei entomopoxvirus (AF250284.1), Variola virus (NC_001611.1) and Cyprinid herpesvirus 2 (MN201961.1) as outgroup.

Nucleocytoviricota specific profiles databases

The database constructed by Schulz et al.¹⁸ was completed with specific signatures of *Pithoviridae* using the genomes of Cedratvirus A11⁴⁴, Cedratvirus kamchatka³¹, Cedratvirus lausannensis⁷⁵, Cedratvirus zaza⁷⁶, Brazilian cedratvirus⁷⁶, Pithovirus massiliensis⁴³, Pithovirus sibericum ¹⁵, Orpheovirus⁴², all the metagenomic *Pithoviridae* (with the exception of Pithovirus LCPAC101) released from one study of Loki's Castle hydrothermal vents²⁷, the divergent *Orpheoviridae/Pithoviridae* SRX247688.42¹⁷, the GVMAG-S-1056828-40¹⁸ and other Cedratvirus/ Pithovirus sequences (Supplementary Data 5). For *Pandoraviridae* we gathered sequences from Pandoravirus braziliensis⁷⁷, Pandoravirus celtis⁶², Pandoravirus dulcis³⁰, Pandoravirus inopinatum⁷⁸, Pandoravirus macleodensis⁴⁹, Pandoravirus neocaledonia⁴⁹, Pandoravirus pampulha⁷⁷, Pandoravirus quercus⁶², Pandoravirus salinus³⁰, Mollivirus kamchatka³² and Mollivirus sibericum¹⁶. The ORFs were then predicted using GeneMark (v4.32) with the "–virus" option and ORFs ≥50 amino acids were kept. Orthogroups were calculated with OrthoFinder⁷⁹ and HMM profiles were built using the Hmmer suite⁸⁰ (v3.2.1) for each one. HMMs were further aligned to the RefSeq protein database (from March 2020) using the same suite. Only HMMs specific to *Pithoviridae*, *Orpheoviridae*, *Pandoraviridae* or Molliviruses with *E* value $\leq 10^{-10}$ were kept to complete the database. To these were added *Nucleocytoviricota*-specific VOG orthogroups (https://vogdb.org/).

Retrieving viral sequences

The *Nucleocytoviricota*-specific profile database was searched against the control and permafrost ORFs using hmmsearch (with *E* value <10⁻¹⁰). To check for cellular signatures, all the ORFs were aligned to the RefSeq protein database using DIAMOND BLASTP (v0.9.31.132) with the "-taxonlist 2,2759,2157" option and hits \ge 35% sequence identity and *E* value <10⁻⁵. On the control metagenomic simulated dataset, the number of false positives and false negatives were assessed according to the cellular and viral matches for each group (*Nucleocytoviricota*, Archaea, Bacteria, Eukaryota). We set the threshold at less than 1% of false eukaryotic positives. The same threshold was applied to the permafrost data to retrieve viral scaffolds. For comparison, we also tested ViralRecall (v2.0) with the "-contiglevel" option, contigs with a score >0 were considered as viral.

Functional annotation

All the ORFs \geq 50 amino acids were queried against the nr database (from June 2020) using BLASTP, the VOG database using hmmsearch, the Pfam database using InterProScan (v.5.39-77) and against EggNOG⁸¹ using the online version of Emapper-1.03. For all, the *E* value threshold was set to 10⁻⁵. Functional annotations of each predicted protein were defined manually, first based on the matching domains annotations, then by considering the full sequence alignments (BLAST, EggNOG and VOG). EggNOG categories were also set manually for each gene. When existing, the functional annotations of reference viral genomes (see control database preparation) were retrieved from GenBank. Grouper iridovirus, Heliothis virescens ascovirus 3e and Invertebrate iridescent virus 6 were manually reannotated using the same protocol as for the permafrost ORFs.

Functional enrichment analysis

The Pfam and GO term annotations were retrieved from the Inter-ProScan output for statistical analysis. Each Pfam annotation was compared either to the references or between samples within taxonomical groups using fisher exact tests to search for enriched functions. The p-values were corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini & Hochberg FDR correction. Biological Processes GO terms were analyzed using the topGO package with the "weight" algorithm. Samples were also compared to each other based on viral Pfam annotations with all viral families together applying Bray-Curtis dissimilarity for clustering. We used the nonpareil diversity of the complete sequence data of each sample computed in Rigou et al.¹⁴. Lastly, in order to search for complete or near complete functional pathways in the large genome fragments recovered we screened them for KEGG annotations using BlastKOALA⁸².

Contamination control

The functional annotation step helped to remove non-*Nucleocytoviricota* scaffolds based on the presence of typical viral/phage genes or with ORFs consistently matching cellular organisms. The scaffolds were checked for the presence of ribosomes using Barrnap (v0.9)⁸³. Finally, we checked for possible GEVEs (Giant Endogenous Viral Elements) in our curated scaffolds. We made pseudo-contigs from the GEVEs identified by Moniruzzaman et al.³⁸ and applied our method on them. As 57% (193 out of 338) of the GEVEs peudo-contigs were caught, we proceeded to check for endogenization signs in our permafrost scaffolds. This was done by plotting the domain of the best BLASTP

hits as well as the VOG matches for each scaffold with the results of the ViralRecall (v2.0) rolling score using default parameters³⁹. Scaffolds with at least one region with a negative ViralRecall score were visually inspected.

Large genomes assembly verification and circularization

The eight largest MAGs (\geq 500 kb) were scrutinized for possible chimeric assemblies. First, we checked visually that there was a single trend in the coverage along the scaffolds in log scale. Then we used the Integrative Genome Viewer⁸⁴ to scrutinize the positions where the coverage dropped under 3× (mainly due to ambiguous bases added during scaffolding). In each case, read pairs overlapped the low coverage intervals. For circularization, we created a model contig concatenating both ends of the MAG, mapped the reads using Bowtie 2 and visually checked the uniformity of the coverage at the junctions using the Integrative Genome Viewer.

Abundance estimation and mapping

The relative mean coverage of the scaffolds calculated from the mapping data described above were used as estimators of the scaffold abundance in the sample. The taxonomy of all non-viral scaffolds was retrieved using the same Lowest Common Ancestor methodology than previously published for the same dataset¹⁴. For co-occurrence analysis, the abundance of pairs of eukaryotes and viruses present in at least two samples were compared by spearman correlations. The resulting *p* values were corrected using Benjamini & Hochberg FDR correction.

For in-between sample comparisons of viruses, read longer than 30 nucleotides were mapped to viral sequences from all samples with Bowtie 2 and a minimum quality filter of 30 was applied with SAMtools. Then, only scaffold with more than 10 kb covered was considered.

Phylogenetic analysis

For the selected marker genes, individual gene trees were built from reference genomes only. Multiple alignments were performed using MAFFT (v7.407)⁸⁵, removal of divergent regions with ClipKIT⁸⁶ and models estimations⁸⁷ and tree inference using IQ-TREE (v1.6.12)⁸⁸ (options "-bb 1000"⁸⁹, "-bi 100" and "-m MFP"). The best model was VT + F + R4 for the TFIIS tree, LG + F + G4 for the MCP and LG + F + R5 for all the other marker genes. A global tree was calculated by a partitioned analysis⁹⁰ to include genomes with missing data. In addition, individual gene trees were computed with the same options and models.

To identify the marker genes in the permafrost data, PSI-BLAST was used to align reference marker genes to the viral ORFs (initial *E* value $\leq 10^{-5}$). Next, in order to reduce the number of paralogs of the marker genes, we defined a second stringent *E* value threshold the following way: *E* values of all second matches for scaffolds with multiple copies were sorted in ascending order, then the stringent threshold was defined based on the first quartile (Supplementary Table 3). Finally, only the best match per scaffold was kept for phylogenetic reconstruction if it was better than the stringent threshold for this gene.

The 7 marker genes were aligned using PASTA⁹¹, clipped with ClipKIT and concatenated by Catsequences⁹². The global tree was then inferred by IQ-TREE with ultrafast bootstraps options "-bb 1000" "-bi 200" and "-spp -m MFP" that calculates the best model per marker gene. Tree visualization was handled using FigTree (http://tree.bio.ed. ac.uk/software/FigTree/) and the Itol web server⁹³.

Terrestrial Nucleocytoviricota distribution

We downloaded 1835 terrestrial assemblies from the JGI IMG/M⁴¹ database (Supplementary Data 6) from March 2021, of which we kept only contigs \geq 10 kb reducing the analysis to 1502 datasets. The ORFs were predicted using GeneMark using the metagenomic model as previously. *Nucleocytoviricota* sequences were extracted as described above (see Retrieving viral sequences). The same method than previously described (see Phylogenetic analysis) was applied to search for

marker genes for phylogeny. Reference and metagenomic marker genes were aligned using MAFFT with the "–auto" option. Amsacta moorei entomopoxvirus, Variola virus and Cyprinid herpesvirus 2 were included in the analysis. The alignments were clipped with ClipKIT and concatenated for a partitioned analysis. Empirical models for each partition were inferred by Modelestimator⁹⁴. Finally, the trees were computed using IQ-TREE (with options -bb 1000 -bi 200).

Phylogenetic analyses of translation-related genes

A dataset of proteins was built using a combination of *Nucleocytoviricota* ORFs, corresponding BLAST matched proteins from the nr database and reference proteins from specific databases. The latter includes UniProt reviewed proteins of domains IPR001412 (class I aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases) and IPR006195 (class II aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases). The multiple alignments were performed using PASTA⁹¹ or MAFFT⁸⁵ and trimmed with ClipKit⁸⁶. The tree was then computed by IQ-TREE⁸⁸ with options -bb 5000 -bi 200 -m TEST.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

Large genome fragments and annotations were deposited to the EBI under the study PRJEB47746 with the following accessions: ERS10539962, ERS10539964, ERS10539963, ERS10539961, ERS10539960, ERS10539959, ERS10539958, ERS10539957. Accession codes of complete datasets can be found in Supplementary Table 1. In addition, previously published public data used for analysis includes: Genbank NR (from June 2020), GVMAGs (https:// figshare.com/s/14788165283d65466732 and https://genome.jgi. doe.gov/portal/GVMAGs/GVMAGs.home.html), VOG orthogroups (https://vogdb.org/), Refseq protein database (from March 2020), EggNOG (v5), GEVEs (https://zenodo.org/record/3975964#. XzFj0hl7mfZ), JGI IMG/M (database 2021, https://img.jgi.doe. gov/). Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability

Custom scripts codes⁹⁵ used in this study can be accessed here: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.20101850.

References

- Obu, J. How much of the earth's surface is underlain by permafrost? J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 126, e2021JF006123 (2021).
- 2. Mackelprang, R. et al. Metagenomic analysis of a permafrost microbial community reveals a rapid response to thaw. *Nature* **480**, 368–371 (2011).
- Burkert, A., Douglas, T. A., Waldrop, M. P. & Mackelprang, R. Changes in the active, dead, and dormant microbial community structure across a Pleistocene permafrost chronosequence. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **85**, e02646–18 (2019).
- Vishnivetskaya, T., Kathariou, S., McGrath, J., Gilichinsky, D. & Tiedje, J. M. Low-temperature recovery strategies for the isolation of bacteria from ancient permafrost sediments. *Extremophiles* 4, 165–173 (2000).
- 5. Hinsa-Leasure, S. M. et al. Characterization of a bacterial community from a Northeast Siberian seacoast permafrost sample. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **74**, 103–113 (2010).
- Liang, R. et al. Predominance of anaerobic, spore-forming bacteria in metabolically active microbial communities from ancient Siberian permafrost. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 85, e00560–19 (2019).
- 7. Zhong, Z.-P. et al. Glacier ice archives nearly 15,000-year-old microbes and phages. *Microbiome* **9**, 160 (2021).
- 8. Turchetti, B. et al. Psychrophilic yeasts in glacial environments of Alpine glaciers. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **63**, 73–83 (2008).

Article

- Malavin, S., Shmakova, L., Claverie, J.-M. & Rivkina, E. Frozen Zoo: a collection of permafrost samples containing viable protists and their viruses. *Biodivers. Data J.* 8, e51586 (2020).
- Vishnivetskaya, T. A. et al. The resistance of viable permafrost algae to simulated environmental stresses: implications for astrobiology. *Int. J. Astrobiol.* 2, 171–177 (2003).
- Yashina, S. et al. Regeneration of whole fertile plants from 30,000y-old fruit tissue buried in Siberian permafrost. *PNAS* **109**, 4008–4013 (2012).
- 12. Shmakova, L. et al. A living bdelloid rotifer from 24,000-year-old Arctic permafrost. *Curr. Biol.* **31**, R712–R713 (2021).
- Bellas, C., Anesio, A. & Barker, G. Analysis of virus genomes from glacial environments reveals novel virus groups with unusual host interactions. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 656 (2015).
- 14. Rigou, S. et al. Metagenomic survey of the microbiome of ancient Siberian permafrost and modern Kamchatkan cryosols. *microLife* uqac003. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsml/uqac003 (2022).
- Legendre, M. et al. Thirty-thousand-year-old distant relative of giant icosahedral DNA viruses with a pandoravirus morphology. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 4274–4279 (2014).
- Legendre, M. et al. In-depth study of *Mollivirus sibericum*, a new 30,000-y-old giant virus infecting Acanthamoeba. *PNAS* **112**, E5327–E5335 (2015).
- Moniruzzaman, M., Martinez-Gutierrez, C. A., Weinheimer, A. R. & Aylward, F. O. Dynamic genome evolution and complex virocell metabolism of globally-distributed giant viruses. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 1–11 (2020).
- Schulz, F. et al. Giant virus diversity and host interactions through global metagenomics. *Nature* 578, 432–436 (2020).
- Endo, H. et al. Biogeography of marine giant viruses reveals their interplay with eukaryotes and ecological functions. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01288-w. (2020).
- Gallot-Lavallée, L., Blanc, G. & Claverie, J.-M. Comparative genomics of chrysochromulina ericina virus and other microalgainfecting large DNA viruses highlights their intricate evolutionary relationship with the established Mimiviridae family. J. Virol. 91, e00230–17 (2017).
- Ha, A. D., Moniruzzaman, M. & Aylward, F. O. High transcriptional activity and diverse functional repertoires of hundreds of giant viruses in a coastal marine system. *mSystems* 6, e0029321 (2021).
- 22. Moniruzzaman, M. et al. Virus-host relationships of marine singlecelled eukaryotes resolved from metatranscriptomics. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 16054 (2017).
- Gann, E. R., Kang, Y., Dyhrman, S. T., Gobler, C. J. & Wilhelm, S. W. Metatranscriptome library preparation influences analyses of viral community activity during a brown tide bloom. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 664189 (2021).
- 24. Pound, H. L. et al. The "Neglected Viruses" of Taihu: abundant transcripts for viruses infecting eukaryotes and their potential role in phytoplankton succession. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, 338 (2020).
- 25. Needham, D. M. et al. A distinct lineage of giant viruses brings a rhodopsin photosystem to unicellular marine predators. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **116**, 20574-20583 (2019).
- Schulz, F. et al. Hidden diversity of soil giant viruses. Nat. Commun. 9, 1–9 (2018).
- 27. Bäckström, D. et al. Virus genomes from deep sea sediments expand the ocean megavirome and support independent origins of viral gigantism. *mBio* **10**, e02497–18 (2019).
- Alteio, L. V. et al. Complementary metagenomic approaches improve reconstruction of microbial diversity in a forest soil. *mSystems* 5, e00768–19 (2020).
- Sczyrba, A. et al. Critical assessment of metagenome interpretationa benchmark of metagenomics software. *Nat. Methods* 14, 1063–1071 (2017).

- Philippe, N. et al. Pandoraviruses: amoeba viruses with genomes up to 2.5 Mb reaching that of parasitic eukaryotes. *Science* **341**, 281–286 (2013).
- 31. Jeudy, S. et al. The DNA methylation landscape of giant viruses. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2657 (2020).
- 32. Christo-Foroux, E. et al. Characterization of *Mollivirus kamchatka*, the first modern representative of the proposed *Molliviridae* family of giant viruses. *J. Virol.* **94**, e01997–19 (2020).
- Parks, D. H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C. T., Hugenholtz, P. & Tyson, G. W. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res.* 25, 1043–1055 (2015).
- Chen, L.-X., Anantharaman, K., Shaiber, A., Eren, A. M. & Banfield, J. F. Accurate and complete genomes from metagenomes. *Genome Res.* **30**, 315–333 (2020).
- 35. Parks, D. H. et al. Evaluation of the microba community profiler for taxonomic profiling of metagenomic datasets from the human gut microbiome. *Front. Microbiol.* **12**, 643682 (2021).
- Leclère, L. et al. The genome of the jellyfish *Clytia hemisphaerica* and the evolution of the cnidarian life-cycle. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 3, 801–810 (2019).
- Roux, S. et al. Ecogenomics of virophages and their giant virus hosts assessed through time series metagenomics. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 858 (2017).
- Moniruzzaman, M., Weinheimer, A. R., Martinez-Gutierrez, C. A. & Aylward, F. O. Widespread endogenization of giant viruses shapes genomes of green algae. *Nature* 588, 141–145 (2020).
- Aylward, F. O. & Moniruzzaman, M. ViralRecall—a flexible command-line tool for the detection of giant virus signatures in 'Omic Data. Viruses 13, 150 (2021).
- Guglielmini, J., Woo, A. C., Krupovic, M., Forterre, P. & Gaia, M. Diversification of giant and large eukaryotic dsDNA viruses predated the origin of modern eukaryotes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 116, 19585–19592 (2019).
- Chen, I.-M. A. et al. The IMG/M data management and analysis system v.6.0: new tools and advanced capabilities. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, D751–D763 (2021).
- 42. Andreani, J. et al. Orpheovirus IHUMI-LCC2: a new virus among the giant viruses. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, 2643 (2017).
- Levasseur, A. et al. Comparison of a modern and fossil pithovirus reveals its genetic conservation and evolution. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 8, 2333–2339 (2016).
- 44. Andreani, J. et al. Cedratvirus, a double-cork structured giant virus, is a distant relative of pithoviruses. *Viruses* **8**, 300 (2016).
- 45. Yutin, N., Wolf, Y. I., Raoult, D. & Koonin, E. V. Eukaryotic large nucleo-cytoplasmic DNA viruses: clusters of orthologous genes and reconstruction of viral genome evolution. *Virol. J.* **6**, 223 (2009).
- Koonin, E. V. & Yutin, N. Evolution of the large nucleocytoplasmic DNA viruses of eukaryotes and convergent origins of viral gigantism. *Adv. Virus Res.* **103**, 167–202 (2019).
- 47. Schulz, F. et al. Giant viruses with an expanded complement of translation system components. *Science* **356**, 82–85 (2017).
- 48. Schulz, F. et al. A Rickettsiales symbiont of amoebae with ancient features. *Environ. Microbiol.* **18**, 2326–2342 (2016).
- 49. Legendre, M. et al. Diversity and evolution of the emerging Pandoraviridae family. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2285 (2018).
- Nasir, A. & Caetano-Anollés, G. A phylogenomic data-driven exploration of viral origins and evolution. *Sci. Adv.* https://doi.org/ 10.1126/sciadv.1500527 (2015).
- 51. O'Brien, S. L. et al. Spatial scale drives patterns in soil bacterial diversity. *Environ. Microbiol* **18**, 2039–2051 (2016).
- Bergh, O., Børsheim, K. Y., Bratbak, G. & Heldal, M. High abundance of viruses found in aquatic environments. *Nature* **340**, 467–468 (1989).

- Cochlan, W. P., Wikner, J., Steward, G. F., Smith, D. C. & Azam, F. Spatial distribution of viruses, bacteria and chlorophyll a in neritic, oceanic and estuarine environments. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 92, 77–87 (1993).
- 54. Raoult, D. et al. The 1.2-megabase genome sequence of Mimivirus. Science **306**, 1344–1350 (2004).
- Claverie, J.-M. & Abergel, C. Giant viruses: the difficult breaking of multiple epistemological barriers. *Stud. Hist. Philos. Biol. Biomed. Sci.* 59, 89–99 (2016).
- Filée, J. Genomic comparison of closely related Giant Viruses supports an accordion-like model of evolution. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 593 (2015).
- Krupovic, M. & Koonin, E. V. Polintons: a hotbed of eukaryotic virus, transposon and plasmid evolution. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol* 13, 105–115 (2015).
- Yutin, N., Wolf, Y. I. & Koonin, E. V. Origin of giant viruses from smaller DNA viruses not from a fourth domain of cellular life. *Virology* 466–467, 38–52 (2014).
- Moreira, D. & Brochier-Armanet, C. Giant viruses, giant chimeras: the multiple evolutionary histories of Mimivirus genes. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 8, 12 (2008).
- Ohno, S. The creation of a new gene from a redundant duplicate of an old gene. In *Evolution by Gene Duplication* (ed. Ohno, S.) 71–82 (Springer, 1970).
- 61. Suhre, K. Gene and genome duplication in *Acanthamoeba polyphaga* Mimivirus. *J. Virol.* **79**, 14095–14101 (2005).
- Legendre, M. et al. Pandoravirus Celtis illustrates the microevolution processes at work in the giant Pandoraviridae genomes. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 430 (2019).
- Munson-McGee, J. H. et al. A virus or more in (nearly) every cell: ubiquitous networks of virus-host interactions in extreme environments. *ISME J.* 1706–1714 (2018).
- Koonin, E. V. et al. Global organization and proposed megataxonomy of the virus world. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 84, e00061–19 (2020).
- Mönttinen, H. A. M., Bicep, C., Williams, T. A. & Hirt, R. P. The genomes of nucleocytoplasmic large DNA viruses: viral evolution writ large. *Microb Genom.* 7, 000649 (2021).
- Boratto, P. V. M. et al. Yaravirus: a novel 80-nm virus infecting Acanthamoeba castellanii. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 117, 16579–16586 (2020).
- Kazlauskas, D., Krupovic, M., Guglielmini, J., Forterre, P. & Venclovas, Č. Diversity and evolution of B-family DNA polymerases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, 10142–10156 (2020).
- Li, D., Liu, C.-M., Luo, R., Sadakane, K. & Lam, T.-W. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 1674–1676 (2015).
- Kang, D. D. et al. MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ* 7, e7359 (2019).
- Nurk, S., Meleshko, D., Korobeynikov, A. & Pevzner, P. A. metaS-PAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. *Genome Res.* 27, 824–834 (2017).
- 71. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 357–359 (2012).
- Camacho, C. et al. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinform. 10, 1–9 (2009).
- Besemer, J., Lomsadze, A. & Borodovsky, M. GeneMarkS: a selftraining method for prediction of gene starts in microbial genomes. Implications for finding sequence motifs in regulatory regions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 2607–2618 (2001).
- Altschul, S. F. et al. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 3389–3402 (1997).

- 75. Bertelli, C. et al. Cedratvirus lausannensis digging into Pithoviridae diversity. *Environ. Microbiol.* **19**, 4022–4034 (2017).
- Rodrigues, R. A. L. et al. Morphologic and genomic analyses of new isolates reveal a second lineage of Cedratviruses. J. Virol. 92, e00372–18 (2018).
- 77. Aherfi, S. et al. A large open pangenome and a small core genome for giant Pandoraviruses. *Front Microbiol* **9**, 1486 (2018).
- 78. Scheid, P. A strange endocytobiont revealed as largest virus. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol* **31**, 58–62 (2016).
- 79. Emms, D. M. & Kelly, S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 238 (2019).
- Eddy, S. R. A new generation of homology search tools based on probabilistic inference. In *Genome Informatics 2009, 205–211* (Imperial College Press, 2009). https://doi.org/10.1142/ 9781848165632_0019.
- Huerta-Cepas, J. et al. eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D309–D314 (2019).
- Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y. & Morishima, K. BlastKOALA and Ghost-KOALA: KEGG tools for functional characterization of genome and metagenome sequences. J. Mol. Biol. 428, 726–731 (2016).
- 83. GitHub tseemann/barrnap: Bacterial ribosomal RNA predictor. *GitHub* https://github.com/tseemann/barrnap.
- Robinson, J. T. et al. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat. Biotechnol. 29, 24–26 (2011).
- Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).
- Steenwyk, J. L., Iii, T. J. B., Li, Y., Shen, X.-X. & Rokas, A. ClipKIT: a multiple sequence alignment trimming software for accurate phylogenomic inference. *PLoS Biol.* 18, e3001007 (2020).
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L. S. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods* 14, 587–589 (2017).
- Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32, 268–274 (2015).
- Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q. & Vinh, L. S. UFBoot2: improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 518–522 (2018).
- Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. Terrace aware data structure for phylogenomic inference from supermatrices. *Syst. Biol.* 65, 997–1008 (2016).
- Mirarab, S., Nguyen, N. & Warnow, T. PASTA: Ultra-Large Multiple Sequence Alignment. in *Research in Computational Molecular Biology* (ed. Sharan, R.) 177–191 (Springer International Publishing, 2014).
- 92. Chris Creevey & Nathan Weeks. ChrisCreevey/catsequences: Version 1.3. (Zenodo, 2021).
- Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Bioinformatics* 23, 127–128 (2007).
- 94. Arvestad, L. Efficient methods for estimating amino acid replacement rates. *J. Mol. Evol.* **62**, 663–673 (2006).
- Rigou, S. Scripts used in 'Past and present giant viruses diversity explored through permafrost metagenomics'. https://doi.org/10. 6084/m9.figshare.20101850.v1 (2022).

Acknowledgements

We thank the PACA Bioinfo platform for computing support. Regarding the samples from Rigou et al.¹⁴ used in this study, we would like to thank Alexander Morawitz for collecting the Kamchatka soil samples and Eugène Christo-Foroux for processing the sample and performing DNA extraction, Dr. Jens Strauss and Dr. Guido Grosse for providing the Yukechi permafrost samples and Dr. Karine Labadie for supervising the sequencing on the Genoscope platform. We also thank François Enault and Hugo Bisio for carefully reading the manuscript. This work was supported by the CNRS Projets de Recherche Conjoints (PRC) grant (PRC1484-2018) to C.A. S.R. is supported by a doctoral fellowship obtained from Aix-Marseille University.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: M.L., C.A., and J-M.C.; Methodology: S.R. and M.L.; Software: S.R.; Validation: S.R., M.L., and S.S.; Formal analysis: S.R.; Resources: J-M.C. and S.S.; Data curation: S.R.; Writing – original draft: S.R. and M.L.; Writing – review and editing: S.R., C.A., J-M.C., and M.L.; Visualization: S.R. and M.L.; Supervision: M.L.; Project administration: M.L.; Funding acquisition: C.A. and J-M.C.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33633-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Matthieu Legendre.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022