



HAL
open science

Resonance scattering in a waveguide with identical thick perforated barriers

Andrey Delitsyn, Denis S Grebenkov

► **To cite this version:**

Andrey Delitsyn, Denis S Grebenkov. Resonance scattering in a waveguide with identical thick perforated barriers. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2022, 412, pp.126592. 10.1016/j.amc.2021.126592 . hal-03805545

HAL Id: hal-03805545

<https://hal.science/hal-03805545>

Submitted on 10 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Resonance scattering in a waveguide with identical thick barriers

Andrey Delitsyn

Kharkevich Institute for Information Transmission Problems of RAN, Moscow, Russia

National Research University Higher School of Economics, Myasnikskaya Street 20, Moscow 101000, Russia

Denis S. Grebenkov

*Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée,
CNRS – Ecole Polytechnique, IP Paris, 91128 Palaiseau, France*

Institute of Physics & Astronomy, University of Potsdam, 14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany

Abstract

We consider wave propagation across an infinite waveguide of an arbitrary bounded cross-section, whose interior is blocked by two identical thick barriers with holes. When the holes are small, the waves over a broad range of frequencies are almost fully reflected. However, we show the existence of a resonance frequency at which the wave is almost fully transmitted, even for very small holes. This resonance scattering, which is known as tunneling effect in quantum mechanics, is demonstrated in a constructive way by rather elementary tools, in contrast to commonly used abstract methods such as searching for complex-valued poles of the scattering matrix or non-stationary scattering theory. In particular, we derived an explicit equation that determines the resonance frequency. The employed elementary tools make the paper accessible to non-experts and educationally appealing.

Keywords: wave propagation; quantum waveguide; resonance scattering; tunneling effect; barriers

1. Introduction

Since classic works by Rayleigh [1], scattering in waveguides is known to exhibit resonance features. The resonance character can be curvature-induced, be related to scattering near the waveguide cut-off frequency or to a resonance in the waveguide cross-section, or originate from obstacles forming a resonator that is weakly coupled to the waveguide [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. In the latter case, the resonator can be either joint to the waveguide from outside, or made inside. The former setting is used in mufflers: incoming waves at frequencies far from the resonance one are almost fully transmitted; in contrast, the waves near the resonance frequency are almost fully reflected. In the second setting, when barriers with small holes are inserted inside the waveguide, the situation is different. If there is a single barrier with Dirichlet boundary condition, the incoming wave cannot “squeeze” through a small hole and is thus almost fully reflected. Intuitively, putting more barriers might seem to help further blocking the wave transmission. However, if there are two barriers, they can form a resonator, which is coupled to the waveguide, so that an incoming wave at the resonance frequency can be almost fully transmitted. This somewhat counter-intuitive effect in acoustics is known as tunneling effect in quantum mechanics [11].

In spite of a large amount of works on resonance scattering in physics literature, most of them were focused on approximate computations of the wave transmission coefficients (see, e.g., [12]). In turn, mathematical aspects of resonance scattering of the last type have been less studied (see [3, 6, 7] and references

Email addresses: delitsyn@mail.ru (Andrey Delitsyn), denis.grebenkov@polytechnique.edu (Denis S. Grebenkov)

therein). One can also mention several works by Arsen'ev [13, 14, 15] who applied non-stationary scattering theory. While the geometric structure of the problem can be rather general, the derived results are typically formulated in the form of an alternative: either scattering is resonant at a given frequency, or this frequency corresponds to a trapping mode. Another technique of asymptotic expansions was applied by Sarafanov and co-workers [16, 17] in order to analyze the limiting behavior in planar waveguides when the size of a hole in two barriers goes to zero. It is worth emphasizing that the mathematical proofs in these works are rather complicated.

In this paper, we provide a much simpler analysis of the resonance scattering problem for the case of a waveguide of arbitrary constant bounded cross-section with two identical barriers that are perpendicular to the waveguide axis. This problem has two small parameters: the size of the hole and the difference between the wave frequency and the resonance frequency. Our goal is to reveal how these two parameters should be related to ensure wave transmission. In particular, we show that the width of barriers can be arbitrary large that may have interesting applications. Former studies of resonance transmission commonly relied on the notion of resonances, i.e., complex-valued poles of the scattering matrix. We do not use this notion that facilitates all the proofs. In fact, our proofs are constructive and conceptually simple, even though some formulas are cumbersome. Showing a possibility of such mathematically simple proofs in resonance scattering problems is one of the educational goals of this paper.

2. Formulation and main result

Let us consider scattering in a waveguide Q_0 of a bounded cross-section $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, which contains two identical barriers of thickness w separated by distance $L - w$: $D \times (0, w)$ and $D \times (L, L + w)$, with $D \subset \Omega$ (Fig. 1):

$$Q_0 = (\Omega \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \left((D \times (0, w)) \cup (D \times (L, L + w)) \right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}. \quad (1)$$

We study wave propagation through the waveguide Q_0 when the barriers are closing, i.e., the opening part of the barriers, $\Gamma = \Omega \setminus D$, is vanishing. As a similar problem for infinitely thin barriers was studied in [18], the main focus and novelty of the present paper is a finite thickness w of barriers.

We consider the stationary wave equation

$$\Delta u + k^2 u = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_0, \quad (2)$$

with Dirichlet boundary condition on the waveguide walls and on the barriers,

$$u|_{\partial Q_0} = 0, \quad (3)$$

and standard radiation conditions

$$u(\mathbf{x}, z) = e^{i\gamma_1 z} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) + r_1 e^{-i\gamma_1 z} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} r_n e^{\gamma_n z} \psi_n(\mathbf{x}) \quad (z < 0), \quad (4a)$$

$$u(\mathbf{x}, z) = t_1 e^{i\gamma_1 z} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} t_n e^{-\gamma_n z} \psi_n(\mathbf{x}) \quad (z > L + w), \quad (4b)$$

where r_n and t_n are unknown reflection and transmission coefficients, points in Q_0 are written as (\mathbf{x}, z) (with $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ being the transverse coordinate and $z \in \mathbb{R}$ the longitudinal coordinate along the waveguide axis), $\psi_n(\mathbf{x})$ and λ_n are the $L_2(\Omega)$ -normalized eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the Laplace operator in the cross-section Ω :

$$-\Delta \psi_n = \lambda_n \psi_n, \quad \psi_n|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \quad (5)$$

and

$$\gamma_1 = \sqrt{k^2 - \lambda_1}, \quad \gamma_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n - k^2} \quad (n \geq 2). \quad (6)$$

The reflection coefficients can be expressed by using the orthogonality of eigenfunctions $\{\psi_n\}$:

$$1 + r_1 = (u|_{z=0}, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Omega)}, \quad r_n = (u|_{z=0}, \psi_n)_{L_2(\Omega)}. \quad (7)$$

In this paper, we prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\delta = \text{diam}\{\Gamma\}$ be the diameter of the opening part Γ of the barriers. For any fixed wavelength k between $\sqrt{\lambda_1}$ and $\sqrt{\lambda_2}$, we show that*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} r_1 = -1, \quad (8)$$

i.e., the wave is fully reflecting in the limit of closed barriers. In turn, for any non-empty Γ with $\delta > 0$ small enough, there exists a resonance wavelength k_D at which

$$r_1 \approx 0, \quad (9)$$

i.e., the wave is almost fully propagating across two almost closed barriers. In other words, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta' > 0$ such that for any Γ with $\text{diam}\{\Gamma\} < \delta'$, there exists k_D such that $|r_1| < \varepsilon$.

Moreover, as our proof is constructive, we will derive an equation, from which the resonance wavelength k_D can be found.

3. Derivation

We consider weak solutions of Eq. (2) from $H^{1,loc}(Q_0)$, i.e., the restriction of the solution to any finite subdomain Q' of Q_0 should belong to $H^1(Q')$. Moreover, the series determining the solution should converge in $L_2(\Omega)$. Under standard conditions on the boundary ∂Q , these solutions are smooth up to regular parts of the boundary.

3.1. Reduction to two single-barrier problems

First, we show that the original problem can be reduced to two problems in a half cylinder with a single barrier:

$$Q = (\Omega \times (-\infty, z_0)) \setminus (D \times (0, w)), \quad (10)$$

where $z_0 = (w + L)/2$.

(i) The first problem involves Dirichlet boundary condition on the cross-section at $z = z_0$:

$$\Delta u^D + k^2 u^D = 0 \quad \text{in } Q, \quad (11a)$$

$$u^D|_{\partial Q} = 0, \quad (11b)$$

$$u^D(\mathbf{x}, z) = e^{i\gamma_1 z} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) + r_1^D e^{-i\gamma_1 z} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} r_n^D e^{\gamma_n z} \psi_n(\mathbf{x}) \quad (z < 0), \quad (11c)$$

$$u^D|_{z=z_0} = 0. \quad (11d)$$

(ii) The second problem involves Neumann boundary condition on the cross-section at $z = z_0$:

$$\Delta u^N + k^2 u^N = 0 \quad \text{in } Q, \quad (12a)$$

$$u^N|_{\partial Q} = 0, \quad (12b)$$

$$u^N(\mathbf{x}, z) = e^{i\gamma_1 z} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) + r_1^N e^{-i\gamma_1 z} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} r_n^N e^{\gamma_n z} \psi_n(\mathbf{x}) \quad (z < 0), \quad (12c)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial u^N}{\partial z} \right|_{z=z_0} = 0. \quad (12d)$$




Figure 1: Infinite cylinder $Q = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ of a bounded cross-section $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with two identical thick barriers of cross-section D and thickness w , separated by distance $L - w$. Each barrier has a hole of cross-section $\Gamma = \Omega \setminus D$.

From the solutions of these problems, we can construct the solution of the original scattering problem in Q_0 . Indeed, let us extend the solution of u^D antisymmetrically and the solution u^N symmetrically onto Q_0 :

$$u^D(\mathbf{x}, z) = -u^D(\mathbf{x}, 2z_0 - z) \quad (z > z_0), \quad (13a)$$

$$u^N(\mathbf{x}, z) = u^N(\mathbf{x}, 2z_0 - z) \quad (z > z_0). \quad (13b)$$

These extensions are the solutions of the Helmholtz equation (2) in Q_0 , subject to Dirichlet conditions on ∂Q_0 (i.e., on the cylinder walls and on the barriers) and the following radiation conditions for $z > L + w$

$$u^D(\mathbf{x}, z) = -e^{i2\gamma_1 z_0} e^{-i\gamma_1 z} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) - r_1^D e^{-i2\gamma_1 z_0} e^{i\gamma_1 z} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{n=2} r_n^D e^{2\gamma_n z_0} e^{-\gamma_n z} \psi_n(\mathbf{x}), \quad (14a)$$

$$u^N(\mathbf{x}, z) = e^{i2\gamma_1 z_0} e^{-i\gamma_1 z} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) + r_1^N e^{-i2\gamma_1 z_0} e^{i\gamma_1 z} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{n=2} r_n^N e^{2\gamma_n z_0} e^{-\gamma_n z} \psi_n(\mathbf{x}). \quad (14b)$$

The half sum of u^D and u^N gives the solution of the original scattering problem, with

$$r_n = \frac{r_n^N + r_n^D}{2} \quad (n \geq 1), \quad (15)$$

$$t_1 = \frac{r_1^N - r_1^D}{2} e^{-2i\gamma_1 z_0}, \quad t_n = \frac{r_n^N - r_n^D}{2} e^{2\gamma_n z_0} \quad (n \geq 2). \quad (16)$$

3.2. Dirichlet problem solution u^D

To find the solution u^D of the first problem in Q , let us also introduce the $L_2(\Gamma)$ -normalized eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the Laplace operator in the cross-section of the hole, $\Gamma = \Omega \setminus D$:

$$-\Delta \chi_n = \mu_n \chi_n, \quad \chi_n|_{\partial\Gamma} = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \quad (17)$$

and set

$$\beta_n = \sqrt{\mu_n - k^2} \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (18)$$

The eigenfunctions χ_n form an orthonormal basis in $L_2(\Gamma)$. In the following, we consider that

$$\lambda_1 < k^2 < \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2\} \quad (19)$$

so that the coefficients γ_n and β_n are real for all $n \geq 1$. Moreover, if the hole Γ is small, μ_1 is large so that k lies between $\sqrt{\lambda_1}$ and $\sqrt{\lambda_2}$.

We can consider a general solution of the Helmholtz equation in the domain $\Gamma \times (0, w)$

$$u^D(\mathbf{x}, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e_{1n} \sinh(\beta_n(z - w)) + e_{2n} \sinh(\beta_n z)) \chi_n(\mathbf{x}), \quad (20)$$

where the coefficients A_{1n} and A_{2n} can be expressed as

$$e_{1n} = -\frac{(u_0, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)}}{\sinh(\beta_n w)}, \quad e_{2n} = \frac{(u_1, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)}}{\sinh(\beta_n w)}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$u_0 = u^D|_{z=0}, \quad u_1 = u^D|_{z=w}. \quad (22)$$

Similarly, in the domain $\Omega \times (w, z_0)$, we have

$$u^D(\mathbf{x}, z) = e_1 \sin(\gamma_1(z - z_0))\psi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e_n \sinh(\gamma_n(z - z_0))\psi_n(\mathbf{x}), \quad (23)$$

where the coefficients e_n can be expressed as

$$e_1 = -\frac{(u_1, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Gamma)}}{\sin(\gamma_1 \ell)}, \quad e_n = -\frac{(u_1, \psi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)}}{\sinh(\gamma_n \ell)}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\ell = z_0 - w = \frac{L - w}{2}. \quad (25)$$

Remark. At this moment, we do not discuss the convergence of the series. Moreover, we will differentiate formally the series without studying the validity of this operation up to the introduction of Eqs. (27). These formal steps are just needed as a background to establish these equations. The solution of these equations will solve the problem (3). In fact, if Eqs. (27) have a solution in the functional space W (introduced below in Eq. (30)), it can be extended to the whole waveguide Q with the aid of Eqs. (11c, 20, 23). Indeed, these series determine u^D in the whole domain Q as an element of $H^{1,loc}(Q)$ that satisfies the Helmholtz equation, boundary and radiation conditions. The series determining the radiation condition converges in L_2 at any cross-section because $u \in H^{1,loc}(Q)$.

Using formal representations

$$\left. \frac{\partial u^D}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0-0} = -i\gamma_1(u_0, \psi_1)\psi_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n(u_0, \psi_n)\psi_n + 2i\gamma_1\psi_1, \quad (26a)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial u^D}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0+0} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \left(\text{ctanh}(\beta_n w)(u_0, \chi_n) - \frac{1}{\sinh(\beta_n w)}(u_1, \chi_n) \right) \chi_n, \quad (26b)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial u^D}{\partial z} \right|_{z=w-0} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \left(\frac{1}{\sinh(\beta_n w)}(u_0, \chi_n) - \text{ctanh}(\beta_n w)(u_1, \chi_n) \right) \chi_n, \quad (26c)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial u^D}{\partial z} \right|_{z=w+0} = -\gamma_1 \text{ctan}(\gamma_1 \ell)(u_1, \psi_1)\psi_1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n \text{ctanh}(\gamma_n \ell)(u_1, \psi_n)\psi_n, \quad (26d)$$

and imposing the continuity of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$ at $z = 0$ and $z = w$, we obtain two functional equations on u_0 and u_1 :

$$-i\gamma_1(u_0, \psi_1)\psi_1 + A_0 u_0 + C u_1 = -2i\gamma_1\psi_1, \quad (27a)$$

$$B u_0 + \gamma_1 \text{ctan}(\gamma_1 \ell)(u_1, \psi_1)\psi_1 + A_1 u_1 = 0, \quad (27b)$$

where the operators A_0 , A_1 , B and C are defined as

$$A_0 f = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n (f, \psi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} \psi_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\beta}_n (f, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} \chi_n, \quad (28a)$$

$$A_1 f = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n \text{ctanh}(\gamma_n \ell) (f, \psi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} \psi_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\beta}_n (f, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} \chi_n, \quad (28b)$$

$$B f = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{\sinh(\beta_n w)} (f, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} \chi_n, \quad (28c)$$

$$C f = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{\sinh(\beta_n w)} (f, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} \chi_n, \quad (28d)$$

and

$$\hat{\beta}_n = \beta_n \text{ctanh}(\beta_n w). \quad (29)$$

We understand Eqs. (27) and the operators A_0, A_1, B, C as follows. Let us consider two Hilbert spaces

$$W_i = \left\{ v \in L_2(\Gamma) : \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n^{(i)} (v, \psi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\beta}_n (v, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 < \infty \right\}, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad (30)$$

with the inner products

$$(f, g)_{W_i} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n^{(i)} (f, \psi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} (g, \psi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\beta}_n (f, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} (g, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)}, \quad (31)$$

where $\gamma_n^{(0)} = \gamma_n$ and $\gamma_n^{(1)} = \gamma_n \text{ctanh}(\gamma_n \ell)$. Since $\text{ctanh}(\gamma_n \ell)$ rapidly tend to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, these functional spaces are equivalent, i.e., any function belonging to W_0 , also belongs to W_1 , and vice-versa. For this reason, we do not distinguish W_0 and W_1 and denote either of them as W . It is easy to see that these functional spaces are also equivalent to $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ but this equivalence is not needed in the following. Note also that for any $v \in W$,

$$\|v\|_W \geq C \|v\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}, \quad (32)$$

with a strictly positive constant C .

The operators B and C are bounded in $L_2(\Gamma)$ and their norms are small if the diameter of the opening Γ is small, see Sec. 4. The operators A_0 and A_1 in Eqs. (28a, 28b) can also be rigorously defined; however, for our purposes, it is sufficient to understand these operators in terms of the associated quadratic forms, i.e. by setting

$$(A_i f, g)_{L_2(\Gamma)} = (f, g)_W, \quad i = 0, 1. \quad (33)$$

As the operators A_0 and A_1 are positive definite (in the sense of positive definite quadratic forms determined by A_0 and A_1), their inverses A_0^{-1} and A_1^{-1} are well defined (see discussion in Sec. 4).

Applying A_0^{-1} and A_1^{-1} to Eq. (27a) and Eq. (27b) respectively, we rewrite them in a matrix operator form

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} I & A_0^{-1}C \\ A_1^{-1}B & I \end{pmatrix}}_{=M} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -i\gamma_1(u_0, \psi_1)A_0^{-1}\psi_1 \\ \gamma_1 \text{ctan}(\gamma_1 \ell)(u_1, \psi_1)A_1^{-1}\psi_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2i\gamma_1 A_0^{-1}\psi_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (34)$$

where I is the identity operator. We multiply Eq. (34) by the operator inverse to the operator M ,

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -A_0^{-1}C \\ -A_1^{-1}B & I \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$R_1 = (I - A_0^{-1}CA_1^{-1}B)^{-1}, \quad R_2 = (I - A_1^{-1}BA_0^{-1}C)^{-1}. \quad (35)$$

We obtain the functional equations

$$u_0 - i\gamma_1(u_0, \psi_1)R_1A_0^{-1}\psi_1 - \gamma_1\text{ctan}(\gamma_1\ell)(u_1, \psi_1)R_1A_0^{-1}CA_1^{-1}\psi_1 = -2i\gamma_1R_1A_0^{-1}\psi_1, \quad (36a)$$

$$u_1 + i\gamma_1(u_0, \psi_1)R_2A_1^{-1}BA_0^{-1}\psi_1 + \gamma_1\text{ctan}(\gamma_1\ell)(u_1, \psi_1)R_2A_1^{-1}\psi_1 = 2i\gamma_1R_2A_1^{-1}BA_0^{-1}\psi_1. \quad (36b)$$

Multiplying each of these equations by ψ_1 and integrating over the hole Γ , we obtain two linear equations which can be written in a matrix form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+a & b\text{ctan}(\gamma_1\ell) \\ c & 1+d\text{ctan}(\gamma_1\ell) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (u_0, \psi_1) \\ (u_1, \psi_1) \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}, \quad (37)$$

where

$$a = -i\gamma_1(R_1A_0^{-1}\psi_1, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Gamma)}, \quad (38a)$$

$$b = -\gamma_1(R_1A_0^{-1}CA_1^{-1}\psi_1, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Gamma)}, \quad (38b)$$

$$c = i\gamma_1(R_2A_1^{-1}BA_0^{-1}\psi_1, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Gamma)}, \quad (38c)$$

$$d = \gamma_1(R_2A_1^{-1}\psi_1, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Gamma)}, \quad (38d)$$

Since $(u_i, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Omega)} = (u_i, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Gamma)}$ for both $i = 0, 1$ given that $(u_0)|_D = (u_1)|_D = 0$ according the boundary condition (3), we did not specify the functional space for these two scalar products. Inverting the 2×2 matrix in Eq. (37), one finds (u_0, ψ_1) and (u_1, ψ_1) .

Taking the limit $z \rightarrow 0$ in the radiation condition (11c), multiplying it by ψ_1 and integrating over Ω , the reflection coefficient r_1^D can be expressed as

$$r_1^D = (u_0, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Omega)} - 1 = \frac{a - 1 + (ad - bc - d)\text{ctan}(\gamma_1\ell)}{a + 1 + (ad - bc + d)\text{ctan}(\gamma_1\ell)}. \quad (39)$$

This is the main technical result of this paper that determines resonance scattering properties.

3.3. Resonance transmission

It is important to emphasize that the reflection coefficient r_1^D in Eq. (39) depends on $\text{ctan}(\gamma_1\ell)$ and on the coefficients a, b, c, d . Here, $\text{ctan}(\gamma_1\ell)$ is determined by the wavelength k , the resonator half-length ℓ , and the shape of the cross-section Ω , but does not depend on the hole Γ . In turn, the coefficients a, b, c, d depend on the hole diameter δ . As shown in Sec. 4 below, the coefficients a, b, c, d vanish as the diameter δ of the hole Γ goes to 0. As a consequence, for a fixed wavelength k , we obtain in the limit of the vanishing hole:

$$r_1^D \rightarrow -1 \quad (\delta \rightarrow 0). \quad (40)$$

Repeating the same analysis for the Neumann problem (12) (which is fairly similar and thus not provided here), one can show that $(u^N|_{z=0}, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Omega)}$ is close to zero and thus

$$r_1^N = (u^N|_{z=0}, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Omega)} - 1 \rightarrow -1 \quad (\delta \rightarrow 0). \quad (41)$$

Substituting these expressions into Eq. (15), we get

$$r_1 \rightarrow -1 \quad (\delta \rightarrow 0), \quad (42)$$

i.e., the wave is fully reflected in the limit of two closed barriers, as intuitively expected.

Let us now consider the case of two *almost* closed barriers, i.e., δ is small but strictly positive. By continuity arguments, one can argue that r_1 remains close to -1 for most wavelengths, except for the resonance one. Indeed, for a fixed hole Γ , Eq. (39) implies

$$r_1^D = 1 \quad (43)$$

under the condition on the wavelength k :

$$1 + d \operatorname{ctan}(\gamma_1 \ell) = 0. \quad (44)$$

The wavelength k_D determined by this equation, is the resonance wavelength of the resonator with Dirichlet condition (11d), and it cannot be the resonance frequency of the same resonator with Neumann condition (12d). As a consequence, Eq. (41) is still applicable at k_D , and Eqs. (41, 43) imply

$$r_1 \approx 0, \quad (45)$$

i.e., the wave almost fully propagates across two almost closed barriers. In other words, we have shown that for the hole diameter δ small enough, the waveguide is almost totally reflecting for most wavelengths, except for the resonance wavelength k_D , at which it is almost fully propagating.

4. Estimates for operators

4.1. Estimates for operators A_0^{-1} and A_1^{-1}

As the operators A_0 and A_1 are positive definite (in the sense of positive definite quadratic forms determined by A_0 and A_1), their inverses A_0^{-1} and A_1^{-1} are well defined and for all $f \in L_2(\Gamma)$:

$$\|A_i^{-1} f\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \quad (i = 0, 1), \quad (46)$$

for some $C > 0$, and

$$\|A_i^{-1} f\|_W \leq C' \|f\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \quad (i = 0, 1), \quad (47)$$

for some $C' > 0$.

Indeed, the inverse $A_0^{-1} f$ is defined in a weak sense as the solution of the equation

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n (A_0^{-1} f, \psi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} (v, \psi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\beta}_n (A_0^{-1} f, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} (v, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} = (f, v)_{L_2(\Gamma)}$$

for any $v \in W_0$. Substituting $v = A_0^{-1} f$ into this equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_0^{-1} f\|_W^2 &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n (A_0^{-1} f, \psi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} (A_0^{-1} f, \psi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\beta}_n (A_0^{-1} f, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} (A_0^{-1} f, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} \\ &= (f, A_0^{-1} f)_{L_2(\Gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\|A_0^{-1} f\|_W^2 \leq \|f\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \|A_0^{-1} f\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \leq C'_0 \|f\|_{L_2(\Gamma)} \|A_0^{-1} f\|_W,$$

where we used (32). We conclude that

$$\|A_0^{-1} f\|_W \leq C'_0 \|f\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}.$$

A similar bound can be obtained for A_1^{-1} .

4.2. Estimates for operators B and C

The estimates for operators B and C are much stronger. Indeed,

$$\|Bf\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{\sinh \beta_n} (f, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)} \chi_n \right\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n^2}{\sinh^2 \beta_n} (f, \chi_n)_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 \underbrace{\|\chi_n\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2}_{=1} \leq \frac{2\beta_1^2}{\sinh^2 \beta_1} \|f\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2,$$

given that β_n monotonously grow with n , whereas the function $z/\sinh(z)$ is monotonously decreasing. We get thus

$$\|B\|_{L_2} \leq C \frac{\beta_1}{\sinh \beta_1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

In fact, as the diameter $\delta = \text{diam}\{\Gamma\}$ of the hole Γ vanishes, the eigenvalue μ_1 goes to infinity, implying very fast decay of $\|B\|_{L_2}$. The same analysis holds for $\|C\|_{L_2}$.

From the above estimates we deduce that

$$\|A_0^{-1}CA_1^{-1}Bf\|_W \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0$$

so that the operator R_1 defined in Eq. (35), is bounded in W . The same is true for R_2 .

From these estimates we finally obtain that

$$(R_1A_0^{-1}\psi_1, \psi_1)_{L_2(\Gamma)} \leq C\|\psi_1\|_{L_2(\Gamma)}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0, \quad (48)$$

implying that a given by Eq. (38a), also vanishes as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Similar estimates take place for b , c , and d .

References

- [1] J. W. S. Rayleigh, *The theory of sound*, Volumes 1-2 (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [2] R. Parker, Resonance effects in wake shedding from parallel plates: calculation of resonance frequencies, *J. Sound Vib.* **5**, 330-343 (1967).
- [3] D. V. Evans, M. Levitin, and D. Vassiliev, Existence theorems for trapped modes, *J. Fluid Mech.* **261**, 21-31 (1994).
- [4] P. Duclos and P. Exner, Curvature-induced bound states in quantum waveguides in two and three dimensions, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **7**, 73-102 (1995).
- [5] P. Exner, P. Seba, M. Tater, and D. Vanek, Bound states and scattering in quantum waveguides coupled laterally through a boundary window, *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 4867-4887 (1996).
- [6] W. Bulla, F. Gesztesy, W. Renger, and B. Simon, Weakly coupled bound states in quantum waveguides, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125**, 1487-1495 (1997).
- [7] E. B. Davies and L. Parnowski, Trapped modes in acoustic waveguides, *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* **51**, 477-492 (1998).
- [8] C. M. Linton and P. McIver, Embedded trapped modes in water waves and acoustics, *Wave Motion* **45**, pp. 16-29 (2007).
- [9] S. Hein and W. Koch, Acoustic resonances and trapped modes in pipes and tunnels", *J. Fluid Mech.* **605**, 401-428 (2008).
- [10] D. S. Grebenkov and B.-T. Nguyen, Geometrical structure of Laplacian eigenfunctions, *SIAM Rev.* **55**, 601-667 (2013).
- [11] A. Zhang, Z. Cao, Q. Shen, X. Douf, and Y. Chen, Tunnelling coefficients across arbitrary potential barriers, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33**, 5449-5456 (2000).
- [12] A. A. Arsen'ev, Resonances and tunneling in a quantum wire, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **147**, 524-532 (2006).
- [13] A. A. Arsen'ev, Resonances and trapped modes in a quantum waveguide, *Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz.* **45**: 9, 1630-1638 (2005).
- [14] A. A. Arsen'ev, Relation Between a Pole of the Scattering Matrix and the Transmission and Reflection Coefficients in Scattering in a Quantum Waveguide, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **140**, 1151-1156 (2004).
- [15] A. A. Arsen'ev, Resonance scattering in quantum wave guides, *Sb. Math.* **194**, 119 (2003).
- [16] O. V. Sarafanov, Asymptotics of the resonant tunneling of high-energy electrons in two-dimensional quantum waveguides of variable cross-section, *J. Math. Sci.* **238**, 736-749 (2019).
- [17] L. M. Baskin, M. Kabardov, P. Neittaanmäki, B. A. Plamenevskii, and O. V. Sarafanov, Asymptotic and numerical study of resonant tunneling in two-dimensional quantum waveguides of variable cross section, *Comput. Math. Math. Phys.* **53**, 1664-1683 (2013).
- [18] A. Delitsyn and D. S. Grebenkov, Mode matching methods in spectral and scattering problems, *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* **71**, 537-580 (2018).