

Degradable Polyampholytes from Radical Ring-Opening Copolymerization Enhance Cellular Cryopreservation

Théo Pesenti, Chen Zhu, Natalia Gonzalez-Martinez, Ruben M F Tomás, Matthew I Gibson, Julien Nicolas

▶ To cite this version:

Théo Pesenti, Chen Zhu, Natalia Gonzalez-Martinez, Ruben M F Tomás, Matthew I Gibson, et al.. Degradable Polyampholytes from Radical Ring-Opening Copolymerization Enhance Cellular Cryopreservation. ACS Macro Letters, 2022, 11 (7), pp.889-894. 10.1021/acsmacrolett.2c00298 . hal-03805533

HAL Id: hal-03805533 https://hal.science/hal-03805533

Submitted on 7 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Degradable Polyampholytes from Radical Ring-Opening

Copolymerization Enhance Cellular Cryopreservation

Théo Pesenti,^a Chen Zhu,^a Natalia Gonzalez-Martinez^{b, c} Ruben M. F. Tomás,^b Matthew I.

Gibson^{*^{b, c}} and Julien Nicolas^a*

^a Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Institut Galien Paris-Saclay, 92296 Châtenay-Malabry, France

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, CV4 7AL, Coventry, UK; ^c Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, CV4 7AL, Coventry, UK

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: <u>M.I.Gibson@warwick.ac.uk</u> (MIG) E-mail: julien.nicolas@u-psud.fr (JN)

ABSTRACT

Macromolecular cryoprotectants based on polyampholytes are showing promise as supplemental cryoprotectants alongside conventional DMSO-based freezing. Here we exploit radical ring-opening (ter)polymerization to access ester-containing cryoprotective polyampholytes, which were shown to be degradable. Using a challenging cell monolayer cryopreservation model, the degradable polyampholytes were found to enhance post-thaw recovery when supplemented into DMSO. This demonstrates that degradable macromolecular cryoprotectants can be developed for application in biotechnology and biomedicine.

Introduction

Macromolecular cryoprotectants¹ are an emerging class of polymeric materials capable of mitigating damage to biological materials (cells, proteins, viruses) associated with the cold stress during cryopreservation. Current cryopreservation strategies based on DMSO do not always lead to full recovery. Not all cell types or formats (e.g. 2/3-D cell models^{2,3}) can be efficiently stored, or are incompatible,⁴ with DMSO alone. There are also concerns of DMSO cytotoxicity, so strategies to reduce or remove it, are required.⁵⁻⁷ Several classes of macromolecular cryoprotectants are emerging, including those inspired by, or mimicking, ice binding proteins⁸⁻¹¹ such as poly(vinyl alcohol),¹² alanine/lysine copolypeptides,¹³ graphenics¹⁴ and cyclic peptides.^{15,16} These materials have been used to improve the cryopreservation of various cell types,¹⁷⁻²⁰ bacteria²¹ and proteins.^{22,23} Matsumura and co-workers have introduced polyampholytes (i.e. polymers with mixed cationic/anionic side chains) as potent macromolecular cryoprotectants.²⁴ Polyampholytes have proven to lead to large increases in cell recovery post-thaw in both slow freezing and vitrification across a range of cell types.²⁵⁻²⁸ The mechanism of action of polyampholytes is not fully understood,²⁹ but they only have weak effects on ice growth.³⁰

A key challenge in the design and discovery of any biomaterial which could have *in vivo* use is the need for it to be removed from the body, by being sufficiently small to be excreted, or by using degradable polymers. Dextran-based (a polysaccharide) polyampholytes are (to the best of our knowledge) the only potentially degradable polyampholytes reported for cryopreservation.³¹ Biomaterials derived from controlled radical polymerization are appealing due to the wide range of potential monomers and opportunities for precision macromolecular engineering. However, a drawback is that their carbon-carbon backbones are not degradable. Cyclic ketene acetals (CKAs), under appropriate conditions, undergo radical ring-opening polymerization (rROP), leading to main-chain esters being installed into a backbone that is otherwise derived from conventional vinyl monomers.^{32,33} In recent years,³⁴ the development of rROP-based systems by copolymerization of

CKAs with vinyl monomers has gained considerable momentum for a wide range of applications, including nanocarriers for drug delivery applications,^{35,36} bioconjugates,^{37,38} marine antibiofouling surfaces,³⁹ and latex particles.⁴⁰ Copolymerization of chloro-vinyl acetate with 5,6-benzo-2-methylene-1,3-dioxepane (BMDO) as a CKA, allowed access to ice-binding poly(vinyl alcohol) with esters in the main chain for degradation.⁴¹ However, there are no reports of polyester-like polyampholytes, and hence the impact of such functionality on their cryoprotective function is unknown. Importantly, degradable polyampholytes would also enable macromolecular cryoprotectants to move from *ex vivo/in vitro* applications towards *in vivo*.

We herein incorporate ester linkages into polyampholytes using radical-ring-opening terpolymerization, allowing up to 15 mol% of ester backbone units to be introduced. To enable methacrylic acid units to be included, a synthetic strategy using *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl (TBDMS) protection was developed anticipating side reactions between BMDO and methacrylic acid (MAA), and allowing efficient deprotection under mild conditions. The copolymers are shown to be hydrolytically degradable in alkaline medium and to match the cryopreservation performance of a non-degradable polyampholyte counterpart.

To obtain a polyampholyte for cryopreservation it is essential to have the correct balance of anionic/cationic units.^{1,24} Poly(dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate)-*co*-poly(methacrylic acid) P(DMAEMA-*co*-MAA) were chosen as the target copolymers based on previous reports that this pairing can lead to a cryoprotective outcome in suspension cryopreservation, although they have not been used in challenging monolayer cryopreservation (explored here).⁴² The synthesis of P(DMAEMA-*co*-CKA) copolymers has been successfully reported, ^{43–46} but the copolymerization with MAA with CKA is not straightforward.⁴⁷ Electrophilic addition of the carboxylic acid group of MAA onto the C=C double bond of the CKA indeed results in the formation of two distinct copolymers (depending on the initial monomer feed ratio), that lack either the pendant carboxylic acids or the ester bonds in the backbone. Consequently, a protected MAA is required. From initial considerations the use of methyl methacrylate (MMA) could appear as a suitable candidate,

especially considering the already reported synthesis of P(DMAEMA-*co*-MMA-*co*-MDO) terpolymer.⁴⁸ However, we suspected that the demethylation conditions would be too harsh to allow deprotection without cleavage of the ester backbone, as recently hypothesized in the acid-mediated deprotection of poly(2-methylene-1,3-dioxepane-*co-tert*-butylacrylate) copolymers.⁴⁹ We therefore used *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl methacrylic acid (TBDMSMA) as a protected methacrylic acid,⁵⁰ whose deprotection required milder conditions (Figure 1) to which a main-chain ester would be stable. Silyl ethers have already been used as hydroxyl protecting groups for CKA-based polymers and have been easily deprotected by fluorine anions (F⁻).⁵¹ On the other hand, the copolymerization of CKA with silylated methacrylates have also been reported, but never subjected to deprotection.⁵²



Figure 1. Synthesis of poly(*N*,*N*-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate-*co*-methacrylic acid-*co*-5,6-benzo-2methylene-1,3-dioxepane) P(DMAEMA-*co*-MAA-*co*-BMDO) terpolymers by RAFT terpolymerization of DMAEMA, TBDMSMA and BMDO with CPADB as a RAFT agent, followed by selective KF-mediated deprotection of TBDMSMA units.

The RAFT terpolymerization conditions were based on those for the homopolymerization of TBDMSMA.⁵⁰ 4-Cyano-4-(phenylcarbonothioylthio)pentanoic acid (CPADB) was used as the RAFT agent at 70 °C for 16 h in anhydrous toluene. Three different P(DMAEMA-*co*-TBDMSMA-*co*-BMDO) terpolymers were synthesized by varying the initial BMDO content (**P0-TBDMS**, **P1-TBDMS** and **P2-TBDMS** for $f_{BMDO,0} = 0$, 0.375 and 0.5, respectively), while keeping a TBDMSMA:DMAEMA molar ratio of 2:3 (Table S1). M_n values were in the 7 100– 8 600 g.mol⁻¹ range with fairly low dispersities (D = 1.33-1.43). The ¹H NMR spectra (Figure 2a) showed the characteristic proton signals from DMAEMA (protons *i*, *j* and *k*) and TBDMSMA units (protons *h* and *g*). The ¹H NMR spectra of **P1-TBDMS** and **P2-TBDMS** also exhibited characteristic proton signals from BMDO (protons *b*, *c*, and *c'*), thus confirming the formation of the expected terpolymers.



Figure 2. (a) ¹H NMR spectra in CDCl₃ or *d*₆-DMSO of **P0-TBDMS**, **P1-TBDMS**, **P2-TBDMS**, **P0**, **P1** and **P2** terpolymers. (b) SEC chromatograms (DMSO with 0.1 M LiBr, PMMA calibration) of **P0-TBDMS**, **P1-TBDMS**, **P2-TBDMS**, **P0**, **P1** and **P2** terpolymers, as well as their degradation products (**P0d**, **P1d**, **P2d**, respectively).

Deprotection of terpolymers **P0-TBDMS**, **P1-TBDMS** and **P2-TBDMS** (into **P0**, **P1** and **P2**, respectively), was first attempted using TBAF in THF for 1 h at room temperature. Purification was performed either by precipitation into methanol or by dialysis in THF and water. However, purification was not successful as

remaining tetra-*n*-butylammonium (TBA) signals were still observed by ¹H NMR, likely because TBA cations might act as a counterion of some negatively charged carboxylates. An alternative deprotection route was therefore employed, using aqueous KF as the deprotecting agent for 1 h at room temperature, followed by purification by dialysis in water. By ¹H NMR (Figure 2a), TBDMS-related signals at δ = 0.96 and 0.25 ppm disappeared while all the other signals remained. The efficient removal of fluorinated byproducts was confirmed by ¹⁹F NMR analysis (Figure S1). SEC analyses did not show any significant shift towards lower M_n values, thus ruling out degradation of the terpolymer backbone during deprotection (Figure 2b). Conversely, a slight shift towards higher M_n values was observed upon deprotection, which is likely related to the formation of carboxylic acid groups that may impact the conformation of terpolymer chains and thus their elution. This confirmed the formation of **P0–P2** (M_n = 8 200–11 300 g.mol⁻¹ and D = 1.24–1.41), with **P1** and **P2** exhibiting ~85% open BMDO units and F_{BMDO} = 0.12 and 0.15, respectively.

Hydrolytic degradation of **P1** and **P2** terpolymers was then performed under accelerated conditions (2.5 wt.% KOH in DMSO/MeOH 1:1 (v/v)) for 1 day at room temperature to demonstrate that these polymers could be degraded. Significant shifts towards lower molar masses were observed (Figure 2b), thus confirming the successful insertion of open BMDO units into the terpolymers. The M_n loss increased with the F_{BMDO} value as -73 % and -82 % decrease in M_n were measured for F_{BMDO} = 0.12 and 0.15, respectively, which were in rather good agreement with the theoretical values (Table 1). As expected, the CKA-free copolymer (**P0**) did not show any degradation after 1 day under the same conditions, which was evidenced by the perfect overlay of the two SEC traces.

Table 1. Macromolecular characteristics of P(DMAEMA-*co*-MAA-*co*-BMDO) terpolymers synthesized by RAFT polymerization of DMAEMA, TBDMSMA (and BMDO) at 70 °C for 16 h at [M] = 1.5 mol.L⁻¹ in anhydrous toluene with M = all monomers and [M]:[CPADB]:[AIBN] = 100:1:0.2.

Entry	F _{dmaema} :F _{maa} :F _{bmdo} ª	open BMDO	M _{n, exp}	Ð	theo M _{n, deg} (g.mol ⁻¹) ^d /	exp M _{n, deg} (g.mol ⁻¹) ^c /
		(%) ^b	(g.mol ⁻¹) ^c		theo <i>M</i> _n loss (%) ^e	exp <i>M</i> _n loss (%) ^f
P0	0.67:0.33:0	-	11 300	1.24	-	- / -
P1	0.52:0.36:0.12	84	8 200	1.41	1 300 / - 83%	2 100 / - 73 %
P2	0.48:0.37:0.15	85	8 300	1.24	1 000 / - 88 %	1 500 / - 82 %

^a Determined by ¹H NMR, by integrating 6H from Si-CH₃ groups (0-0.35 ppm), 2H of DMAEMA (4 ppm), 2H of open BMDO (5.0– 5.2 ppm) and 4H of closed BMDO (4.6–4.8 ppm). ^b Determined by ¹H NMR by integrating 2H of open BMDO (5.0–5.2 ppm) and 4H of closed BMDO from (4.6–4.8 ppm). ^c Determined by SEC (DMSO with 0.1 M LiBr, PMMA calibration). ^d Determined according to: theo $M_{n, deg} = [1 / (open BMDO \times F_{BMDO}) - 1] \times [(F_{DMAEMA} \times MW_{DMAEMA} + F_{MAA} \times MW_{MAA})/(F_{DMAEMA} + F_{MAA})] +$ MW_{BMDO} with MW being the molecular weight of the considered monomer. ^e Determined according to: theo M_n loss = (theo M_n , $deg - M_{n, exp}$) / $M_{n, exp}$. ^f Determined according to: exp M_n loss = (exp $M_{n, deg} - M_{n, exp}$) / $M_{n, exp}$.

With successful demonstration of the synthesis of a degradable polyampholyte, the proof-of-principle for cryopreservation could be undertaken. A549 (lung epithelial adenocarcinoma) cell monolayers were selected as they are a commonly used cell line, but have been previously explored in monolayer cryopreservation, allowing comparison to previous studies.^{20,26} It is important at this point to stress that the cryopreservation of cells in monolayer format is significantly more challenging than that in suspension, as in addition to cell death, cell detachment can reduce the post-thaw yield.⁵³ The cytotoxicity of **P1** was evaluated by a resazurin (metabolic assay) following 24 h incubation (Supporting Information). As expected for this class of copolymer, there was a reduction in cell viability to ~ 50 % at higher (20 mg.mL⁻¹) concentrations. This has previous been observed for polyampholytes,^{26,54} and does not limit their use in cryopreservation. For cryopreservation the cells are only exposed to the cryoprotectant copolymer for a very short period of time (<30 minutes) before freezing, and upon thawing is washed away. Hence, although cytotoxicity information is important it does not exclude application. To evaluate cryopreservation, 20 mg.mL⁻¹ copolymer in 10 % (v/v) DMSO was applied to A549 monolayers for 10 min, then excess media was removed and the cells were directly frozen and stored at -80 °C. After 24 h, the cells were thawed and allowed to recover for 24 h before total cell recovery was measured (Figure 3a). This latter point is essential as shorter post-thaw times and only measuring viability

(not cell yield) has been shown to over-estimate the potency of cryoprotectants and give false positives.⁵⁵ With DMSO, the post-thaw cell yield was approximately 50 %, which is higher than previously reported²⁶ due to the use of optimized freezing conditions (Figure 3b). Addition of **P1** lead to 80.3 % post-thaw cell yield, demonstrating a significant enhancement. A positive control of a non-degradable polyampholyte ((poly(vinyl ether-*alt*-maleic acid mono(dimethylamino ethyl)ester))), **polyampholyte-1**²⁶ gave 89.3 % recovery. Such apparent very small decrease in performance of **P1** compared to **polymampholyte-1** in terms of cell recovery was however not statistically significant, which shows that conferring degradability to P(DMAEMA-*co*-MAA) was not at the expense of its cryoprotective ability. Cell viability measurements agree with the cell-recovery, showing ~90 % viability for **P1**, which is also similar to that of **polyampholyte-1** (Figure 3c). Finally, imaging of the cells confirms a higher surface coverage post-thaw with the polyampholytes, compared to DMSO alone (Figure 3d).



Figure 3. A549 cell monolayer cryopreservation. (a) Schematic of the monolayer cryopreservation and post-thaw process; (b) Cell recovery 24 h post-thaw, relative to pre-freezing, determined using trypan blue exclusion test; (c) Cell viability 24 h post thaw determined using trypan blue exclusion test; (d) Phase contrast microscopy of A549 cells 24 h post-thaw. Scale bar indicates 200 μ m. Results expressed as mean ± SD (*n* = 3 for each condition). One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. * = *P* < 0.05, ** = *P* < 0.001 considered as statistically significant different using a 95% confidence level, ns = not significant.

Macromolecular cryoprotectants have the potential to revolutionize cellular cryopreservation but for many biomedical applications degradable materials will be essential. Here we introduce the use of rROP to insert ester units into polyampholytes introducing degradability, whilst allowing the use of conventional vinyl-based monomers and controlled radical polymerization. To enable the incorporation of methacrylic acid (as anionic component) *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl protecting groups were used, ensuring chemo-selective deprotection, without significant M_n loss which is an important improvement in comparison to deprotection conditions requiring acidic conditions.⁴⁹ These new polyampholytes were shown to be non-cytotoxic under conditions relevant for cryopreservation. The polyampholytes were shown to significantly increase the post-thaw cell yield, and cell viability, of a challenging cell monolayer cryopreservation model demonstrating that the

dilution of the charged monomer units with the esters did not remove the cryoprotectant activity. These results are important as it shows that polyampholytes can be designed and synthesized which may be suitable for *in vivo* usage as components to protect emerging cell-based therapies from damage during cold chain handling.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement n° 866056 to MIG). JN thank the French Ministry of Research and the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for the financial support of the PhD thesis of TP and CZ, respectively. MIG thanks the Royal Society for an Industry Fellowship (191037) joint with Cytivia. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

MIG is a named inventor on patents relating to some of the materials presented here and director/shareholder of Cryologyx Ltd.

REFERENCES

(1) Stubbs, C.; Bailey, T. L.; Murray, K.; Gibson, M. I. Polyampholytes as Emerging Macromolecular Cryoprotectants. *Biomacromolecules* **2020**, *21* (7), 7–17.

(2) Xu, X.; Cowley, S.; Flaim, C. J.; James, W.; Seymour, L.; Cui, Z. The Roles of Apoptotic Pathways in the Low Recovery Rate after Cryopreservation of Dissociated Human Embryonic Stem Cells. *Biotechnol. Prog.* **2010**, *26* (3), 827–837.

(3) Boon, C. H.; Chao, P. Y.; Liu, H.; Wei, S. T.; Rufaihah, A. J.; Yang, Z.; Boon, H. B.; Ge, Z.; Hog, W. O.; Eng, H. L.; Cao, T. Loss of Viability during Freeze-Thaw of Intact and Adherent Human Embryonic Stem Cells with Conventional Slow-Cooling Protocols Is Predominantly Due to Apoptosis Rather than Cellular Necrosis. *J. Biomed. Sci.* **2006**, *13* (3), 433–445.

(4) Timm, M.; Saaby, L.; Moesby, L.; Hansen, E. W. Considerations Regarding Use of Solvents in in Vitro Cell Based Assays. *Cytotechnology* **2013**, *65* (5), 887–894.

(5) Fahy, G. M. Cryoprotectant Toxicity Neutralization. Cryobiology 2010, 60 (3 SUPPL.), S45-53.

(6) Arakawa, T.; Carpenter, J. F.; Kita, Y. A.; Crowe, J. H. The Basis for Toxicity of Certain Cryoprotectants: A Hypothesis. *Cryobiology* **1990**, *27* (4), 401–415.

(7) Zenhäusern, R.; Tobler, A.; Leoncini, L.; Hess, O. M.; Ferrari, P. Fatal Cardiac Arrhythmia after Infusion of Dimethyl Sulfoxide-Cryopreserved Hematopoietic Stem Cells in a Patient with Severe Primary Cardiac Amyloidosis and End-Stage Renal Failure. *Ann. Hematol.* **2000**, *79* (9), 523–526.

(8) Bar Dolev, M.; Braslavsky, I.; Davies, P. L. Ice-Binding Proteins and Their Function. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2016, 85 (1), 515–542.

(9) Biggs, C. I.; Bailey, T. L.; Graham, B.; Stubbs, C.; Fayter, A.; Gibson, M. I. Polymer Mimics of Biomacromolecular Antifreezes. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8* (1), 1546.

(10) Voets, I. K. From Ice-Binding Proteins to Bio-Inspired Antifreeze Materials. Soft Matter 2017, 13 (28), 4808–4823.

(11) He, Z.; Liu, K.; Wang, J. Bioinspired Materials for Controlling Ice Nucleation, Growth, and Recrystallization. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2018, *51* (5), 1082–1091.

(12) Deller, R. C. R. C.; Vatish, M.; Mitchell, D. A. D. A.; Gibson, M. I. M. I. Synthetic Polymers Enable Non-Vitreous Cellular Cryopreservation by Reducing Ice Crystal Growth during Thawing. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 3244.

(13) Wierzbicki, A.; Knight, C. A.; Rutland, T. J.; Muccio, D. D.; Pybus, B. S.; Sikes, C. S. Structure - Function Relationship in the Antifreeze Activity of Synthetic Alanine - Lysine Antifreeze Polypeptides. *Biomacromolecules* **2000**, *1* (2), 268–274.

(14) Geng, H.; Liu, X.; Shi, G.; Bai, G.; Ma, J.; Chen, J.; Wu, Z.; Song, Y.; Fang, H.; Wang, J. Graphene Oxide Restricts Growth and Recrystallization of Ice Crystals. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56* (4), 997–1001.

(15) Stevens, C. A.; Bachtiger, F.; Kong, X. D.; Abriata, L. A.; Sosso, G. C.; Gibson, M. I.; Klok, H. A. A Minimalistic Cyclic Ice-Binding Peptide from Phage Display. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12* (1), 2675.

(16) Surís-Valls, R.; Hogervorst, T. P.; Schoenmakers, S. M. C.; Hendrix, M. M. R. M.; Milroy, L.; Voets, I. K. Inhibition of Ice Recrystallization by Nanotube-Forming Cyclic Peptides. *Biomacromolecules* **2022**, *23* (2), 520–529.

(17) Carpenter, J. F.; Hansen, T. N. Antifreeze Protein Modulates Cell Survival during Cryopreservation: Mediation through Influence on Ice Crystal Growth. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1992**, *89* (19), 8953–8957.

(18) Tomas, R. M. F.; Bailey, T. L.; Hasan, M.; Gibson, M. I. Extracellular Antifreeze Protein Significantly Enhance the Cryopreservation of Cell Monolayers. *Biomacromolecules* **2019**, *20* (10), 3864–3872.

(19) Qin, Q.; Zhao, L.; Liu, Z.; Liu, T.; Qu, J.; Zhang, X.; Li, R.; Yan, L.; Yan, J.; Jin, S.; Wang, J.; Qiao, J. Bioinspired I -Proline Oligomers for the Cryopreservation of Oocytes via Controlling Ice Growth. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12* (16), 18352–18362.

(20) Graham, B.; Bailey, T. L.; Healey, J. R. J.; Marcellini, M.; Deville, S.; Gibson, M. I. Polyproline as a Minimal Antifreeze Protein Mimic That Enhances the Cryopreservation of Cell Monolayers. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2017**, *129* (50), 16157–16160.

(21) Hasan, M.; Fayter, A. E. R.; Gibson, M. I. Ice Recrystallization Inhibiting Polymers Enable Glycerol-Free Cryopreservation of Microorganisms. *Biomacromolecules* **2018**, *19* (8), 3371–3376.

(22) Mitchell, D. E.; Fayter, A. E. R.; Deller, R. C.; Hasan, M.; Gutierrez-Marcos, J.; Gibson, M. I. Ice-Recrystallization Inhibiting Polymers Protect Proteins against Freeze-Stress and Enable Glycerol-Free Cryostorage. *Mater. Horizons* **2019**, *6* (2), 364–368.

(23) Mancini, R. J.; Lee, J.; Maynard, H. D. Trehalose Glycopolymers for Stabilization of Protein Conjugates to Environmental Stressors. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134 (20), 8474–8479.

(24) Matsumura, K.; Hyon, S. H. Polyampholytes as Low Toxic Efficient Cryoprotective Agents with Antifreeze Protein Properties. *Biomaterials* **2009**, *30* (27), 4842–4849.

(25) Matsumura, K.; Kawamoto, K.; Takeuchi, M.; Yoshimura, S.; Tanaka, D.; Hyon, S.-H. H. Cryopreservation of a Two-Dimensional Monolayer Using a Slow Vitrification Method with Polyampholyte to Inhibit Ice Crystal Formation. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2016**, *2* (6), 1023–1029.

(26) Bailey, T. L.; Stubbs, C.; Murray, K.; Tomas, R. M. F.; Otten, L.; Gibson, M. I. A Synthetically Scalable Poly(Ampholyte) Which Dramatically Enhances Cellular Cryopreservation. *Biomacromolecules* **2019**, *20*, 3104–3114.

(27) Kamoshita, M.; Kato, T.; Fujiwara, K.; Namiki, T.; Matsumura, K.; Hyon, S. H.; Ito, J.; Kashiwazaki, N. Successful Vitrification of Pronuclear-Stage Pig Embryos with a Novel Cryoprotective Agent, Carboxylated ε-Poly-L-Lysine. *PLoS One* **2017**, *12* (4), 1–12.

(28) Matsumura, K.; Hayashi, F.; Nagashima, T.; Hyon, S. H. Long-Term Cryopreservation of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells Using Carboxylated Poly-I-Lysine without the Addition of Proteins or Dimethyl Sulfoxide. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed.* **2013**, *24* (12), 1484–1497.

(29) Matsumura, K.; Hayashi, F.; Nagashima, T.; Rajan, R.; Hyon, S.-H. Molecular Mechanisms of Cell Cryopreservation with Polyampholytes Studied by Solid-State NMR. *Commun. Mater.* **2021**, *2* (1), 15.

(30) Stubbs, C.; Lipecki, J.; Gibson, M. I. Regio-Regular Alternating Polyampholytes Have Enhanced Biomimetic Ice Recrystallization
Activity Compared to Random Copolymers and the Role of Side Chain Verses Main Chain Hydrophobicity. *Biomacromolecules* 2017, *18* (1), 295–302.

(31) Jain, M.; Rajan, R.; Hyon, S.-H.; Matsumura, K. Hydrogelation of Dextran-Based Polyampholytes with Cryoprotective Properties via Click Chemistry. *Biomater. Sci.* **2014**, *2* (3), 308–317.

(32) Delplace, V.; Nicolas, J. Degradable Vinyl Polymers for Biomedical Applications. Nat. Chem. 2015, 7 (10), 771–784.

(33) Tardy, A.; Nicolas, J.; Gigmes, D.; Lefay, C.; Guillaneuf, Y. Radical Ring-Opening Polymerization: Scope, Limitations, and Application to (Bio)Degradable Materials. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117* (3), 1319–1406.

(34) Pesenti, T.; Nicolas, J. 100th Anniversary of Macromolecular Science Viewpoint: Degradable Polymers from Radical Ring-Opening Polymerization: Latest Advances, New Directions, and Ongoing Challenges. *ACS Macro Letters*. American Chemical Society December 15, 2020, pp 1812–1835.

(35) Guégain, E.; Tran, J.; Deguettes, Q.; Nicolas, J. Degradable Polymer Prodrugs with Adjustable Activity from Drug-Initiated Radical Ring-Opening Copolymerization. *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9* (43), 8291–8306.

(36) Zhu, C.; Denis, S.; Nicolas, J. A Simple Route to Aqueous Suspensions of Degradable Copolymer Nanoparticles Based on Radical Ring-Opening Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly (RROPISA). *Chem. Mater.* **2022**, *34* (4), 1875–1888.

(37) Decker, C. G.; Maynard, H. D. Degradable PEGylated Protein Conjugates Utilizing RAFT Polymerization. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2015**, *65*, 305–312.

(38) Lau, U. Y.; Pelegri-O'Day, E. M.; Maynard, H. D. Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of a Degradable Trehalose Glycopolymer Prepared by RAFT Polymerization. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2018**, *39* (5), 1700652.

(39) Dai, G.; Xie, Q.; Ma, C.; Zhang, G. Biodegradable Poly(Ester- Co-Acrylate) with Antifoulant Pendant Groups for Marine Anti-Biofouling. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11* (12), 11947–11953.

(40) Galanopoulo, P.; Gil, N.; Gigmes, D.; Lefay, C.; Guillaneuf, Y.; Lages, M.; Nicolas, J.; Lansalot, M.; D'Agosto, F. One-Step
Synthesis of Degradable Vinylic Polymer-Based Latexes via Aqueous Radical Emulsion Polymerization. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* 2022, *61* (15), e202117498.

(41) Hedir, G.; Stubbs, C.; Aston, P.; Dove, A. P.; Gibson, M. I. Synthesis of Degradable Poly(Vinyl Alcohol) by Radical Ring-Opening Copolymerization and Ice Recrystallization Inhibition Activity. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2017**, *6* (12), 1404–1408.

(42) Stubbs, C.; Murray, K. A.; Ishibe, T.; Mathers, R. T.; Gibson, M. I. Combinatorial Biomaterials Discovery Strategy to Identify New Macromolecular Cryoprotectants. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2020**, *9*, 290–294.

(43) Agarwal, S.; Zhang, Y.; Maji, S.; Greiner, A. PDMAEMA Based Gene Delivery Materials. Mater. Today 2012, 15 (9), 388–393.

(44) Zhang, Y.; Zheng, M.; Kissel, T.; Agarwal, S. Design and Biophysical Characterization of Bioresponsive Degradable Poly(Dimethylaminoethyl Methacrylate) Based Polymers for in Vitro DNA Transfection. *Biomacromolecules* **2012**, *13* (2), 313–322.

(45) Agarwal, S.; Ren, L.; Kissel, T.; Bege, N. Synthetic Route and Characterization of Main Chain Ester-Containing Hydrolytically Degradable Poly(N,N-Dimethylaminoethyl Methacrylate)-Based Polycations. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *211* (8), 905–915.

(46) Zhang, Y.; Aigner, A.; Agarwal, S. Degradable and Biocompatible Poly(N,N-Dimethylaminoethyl Methacrylate-Co-Caprolactone)s as DNA Transfection Agents. *Macromol. Biosci.* **2013**, *13* (9), 1267–1275.

(47) Ren, L.; Speyerer, C.; Agarwal, S. Free-Radical Copolymerization Behavior of 5,6-Benzo-2-Methylene-1,3- Dioxepane and Methacrylic Acid via the in Situ Generation of 3-Methyl-1,5-Dihydrobenzo[e][1,3]Dioxepin-3-Yl Methacrylate and 2-(Acetoxymethyl)Benzyl Methacrylate. *Macromolecules* **2007**, *40* (22), 7834–7841.

(48) Seema, A.; Liqun, R. Polycaprolactone-Based Novel Degradable Ionomers by Radical Ring-Opening Polymerization of 2-Methylene-1,3-Dioxepane. *Macromolecules* **2009**, *42* (5), 1574–1579.

(49) Jackson, A. W.; Mothe, S. R.; Ang, P.; Chennamaneni, L. R.; Herk, A. M. V.; Thoniyot, P. Backbone Degradable Poly(Acrylic Acid) Analogue via Radical Ring-Opening Copolymerization and Enhanced Biodegradability. *Chemosphere* **2022**, *293*, 133487.

(50) Nguyen, M. N.; Bressy, C.; Margaillan, A. Controlled Radical Polymerization of a Trialkylsilyl Methacrylate by Reversible Addition-Fragmentation Chain Transfer Polymerization. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A Polym. Chem.* **2005**, *43* (22), 5680–5689. (51) Zhang, Y.; Chu, D.; Zheng, M.; Kissel, T.; Agarwal, S. Biocompatible and Degradable Poly(2-Hydroxyethyl Methacrylate) Based Polymers for Biomedical Applications. *Polym. Chem.* **2012**, *3* (10), 2752–2759.

(52) Xie, Q.; Ma, C.; Zhang, G.; Bressy, C. Poly(Ester)-Poly(Silyl Methacrylate) Copolymers: Synthesis and Hydrolytic Degradation Kinetics. *Polym. Chem.* **2018**, *9* (12), 1448–1454.

(53) Bailey, T. L.; Wang, M.; Solocinski, J.; Nathan, B. P.; Chakraborty, N.; Menze, M. A. Protective Effects of Osmolytes in Cryopreserving Adherent Neuroblastoma (Neuro-2a) Cells. *Cryobiology* **2015**, *71* (3), 472–480.

(54) Murray, K. A.; Tomás, R. M. F.; Gibson, M. I. Low DMSO Cryopreservation of Stem Cells Enabled by Macromolecular Cryoprotectants. *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.* **2020**, *3* (9), 5627–5632.

(55) Murray, K. A.; Gibson, M. I. Post-Thaw Culture and Measurement of Total Cell Recovery Is Crucial in the Evaluation of New Macromolecular Cryoprotectants. *Biomacromolecules* **2020**, *21* (7), 2864–2873.