

# Rayleigh limit of generalized Lorenz-Mie theory: Axicon terms revisited

Gérard Gouesbet, Leonardo André Ambrosio

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Gérard Gouesbet, Leonardo André Ambrosio. Rayleigh limit of generalized Lorenz-Mie theory: Axicon terms revisited. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 2021, 270, pp.107691. 10.1016/j.jqsrt.2021.107691 . hal-03805517

## HAL Id: hal-03805517 https://hal.science/hal-03805517

Submitted on 24 May 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

### Rayleigh limit of generalized Lorenz-Mie: axicon terms revisited

Gérard Gouesbet<sup>1</sup> and Leonardo André Ambrosio<sup>2</sup>

 CORIA-UMR 6614- Normandie Université CNRS-Université et INSA de Rouen Campus Universitaire du Madrillet 76800, Saint-Etienne-du Rouvray, France.
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering São Carlos School of Engineering, University of São Paulo
400 Trabalhador são-carlense Ave., São Paulo, SP 13566-590, Brazil. Corresponding author: gouesbet@coria.fr

April 17, 2021

Keywords: generalized Lorenz-Mie theory; optical forces; Rayleigh limit

A systematic study of optical forces in the Rayleigh limit of the generalized Lorenz-Mie theory, GLMT in short, has been recently investigated [1]. Although performed in the case of circularly symmetric Bessel beams, a general formulation for arbitrary shaped beams has been simultaneously obtained, both for longitudinal in the z-direction [2] and for transverse forces in the x- and ydirections, see [3], with corrections for the y-component of forces provided in [4]. In this framework, optical forces are expressed in terms of beam shape coefficients  $g_{n,TM}^m$  and  $g_{n,TE}^m$  (*n* from 1 to  $\infty$ , *m* from (-n) to (+n), *TM* standing for "Transverse Magnetic" and *TE* standing for "Transverse Electric"). A remarkable result is that optical forces are then expressed in terms of BSCs associated with only (n = 1)- and (n = 2)-partial waves. This is deep contrast with the dipole theory of forces, e.g. [5], [6] in which optical forces are *explicitly* expressed in terms of the *total* electric field of the illuminating beam **E**, i.e. encompassing all partial waves from n = 1 to  $\infty$  of this beam, and asks the question to know what is the relationship between the Rayleigh limit of the GLMT and the dipole theory of forces (let us note what we here comply with the definition of total field in the dipole theory of forces denoting the illumination beam, in contrast with the point of view in the GLMT framework where *total* field instead denotes the summation of the incident and scattered fields). Relying on numerical [4], [7] and on formal analyses [8], [9], it has however been demonstrated that the

<sup>© 2021</sup> published by Elsevier. This manuscript is made available under the CC BY NC user license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Rayleigh limit of GLMT perfectly identifies with the dipole theory of forces. This implies that the dipole theory of forces actually contains mainly superfluous contributions, specifically all contributions from partial waves of order n > 2. These results furthermore imply that it is necessary to revisit a paper devoted to the existence of axicon terms in the framework of the Rayleigh limit of GLMT [10]. To this purpose, it will be sufficient to limit ourselves to a discussion of longitudinal optical forces.

We start with scattering forces that we define as forces proportional to the Poynting vector at the position of the scattering particle, denoted by the subscript P (this is here the most convenient definition although alternative points of view may be taken). In the Rayleigh limit of GLMT, the longitudinal component of the Poynting vector taken at P is found to read as, e.g. Eq.(66) in [2]:

$$(\widetilde{S_z})_P = 2\operatorname{Re}[i(g_{1,TM}^{-1}g_{1,TE}^{-1*} - g_{1,TM}^1g_{1,TE}^{1*})]$$
(1)

in which the normalization condition  $E_0H_0^*/2 = 1$  has been used and which shows that scattering forces only depend on (n = 1)-partial waves. This implies that, when expressing the corresponding scattering forces in the dipole theory of forces, all partial waves of order n > 1 are superfluous and produce a null contribution as formally checked (after lengthy formal computations) in [8].

Let us now consider gradient forces that we define as being proportional to the derivative of  $|\mathbf{E}|^2$  taken at P. In the case of circularly symmetric Bessel beams, this gradient is 0 in agreement with the fact that longitudinal gradient forces are found to be 0 as well in the Rayleigh limit of GLMT [2]. However, it has been recognized soon after that this result was unsatisfactory and somehow inconsistent insofar as, according to the understanding gained by working in the Rayleigh limit of GLMT, it was clear that most of the partial waves involved in **E** were irrelevant to the computation of the gradient of  $|\mathbf{E}|^2$ . Inspired by Eq.1 above, it was then decided to evaluate this gradient by working with a restricted electric field  $|\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}|$  in which only (n = 1)-partial wave contributions would be retained rather than working with the total field  $\mathbf{E}$ . In the case of on-axis circularly symmetric Bessel beams, it has been found that the gradient of  $|\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}|^2$  was indeed zero as expected [11]. However, when applied to off-axis beams, the gradient of  $|\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}|^2$  generated axicon terms which were not zero, as it was expected at this time [10]. The solution to this issue is that, in contrast with the case of scattering forces which are correctly predicted by using only (n = 1)-contributions, the evaluation of gradient forces and of the corresponding gradient of  $|\mathbf{E}|^2$  taken at P require to account both for (n = 1)- and for (n = 2)contributions. This may be demonstrated as follows. When establishing the identification between the Rayleigh limit of GLMT and the dipole theory of forces, it was established that the longitudinal gradient of  $|\mathbf{E}|^2$  taken at P reads as [8]:

$$(\partial_z |\mathbf{E}|^2)_P = -2k |E_0|^2 \operatorname{Im}(G) \tag{2}$$

in which, see Eq.(24) in [2]:

$$G = \frac{1}{3}g_{1,TM}^{0}g_{2,TM}^{0*} + g_{1,TM}^{1}(g_{2,TM}^{1*} - ig_{1,TE}^{1*}) + g_{1,TM}^{-1}(g_{2,TM}^{-1*} + ig_{1,TE}^{-1*})$$
(3)

But, it has been demonstrated that, in the case of circularly symmetric Bessel beams, see Eqs.(28), (37) and (41) in [2]:

$$\operatorname{Im}(G) = 0 \tag{4}$$

which is to be compared with the fact that the gradient forces are found to be proportional to Im(G), e.g. Eq.(27) in [2]. Therefore, taking into account both (n = 1)- and for (n = 2)-contributions when evaluating  $(\partial_z |\mathbf{E}|^2)_P$  does not produce any axicon term, but a perfectly coherent formulation.

#### Acknowledgments/Funding.

"National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) (426990/2018-8, 307898/2018-0)"

#### References

- G. Gouesbet and G. Gréhan. Generalized Lorenz-Mie theories, second edition. Springer International Publishing AG, 2017.
- [2] G. Gouesbet. Gradient, scattering and other kinds of longitudinal optical forces exerted by off-axis Bessel beams in the Rayleigh regime in the framework of generalized Lorenz-Mie theory. *Journal of Quantitative Spec*troscopy and Radiative Transfer, 246:Article 106913, 2020.
- [3] G. Gouesbet and L.A. Ambrosio. Axicon optical forces and other kinds of transverse optical forces exerted by off-axis Bessel beams in the Rayleigh regime in the framework of generalized Lorenz-Mie theory. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopie and Radiative Transfer, virtual special issue of LIP2020*, 258:Article 107356, 2021.

- [4] L.A. Ambrosio and G. Gouesbet. On transverse radiation pressure crosssections in the generalized Lorenz-Mie theory and their numerical relationships with the dipole theory of forces. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy* and Radiative Transfer, 261:Article 107491, 2021.
- [5] P.C. Chaumet and M. Nieto-Vesperinas. Time-averaged total force on a dipolar sphere in an electromagnetic field. *Optics Letters*, 25, 15:1065– 1067, 2000.
- [6] S. Albaladejo, M.I. Marqués, M. Laroche, and J.S. Saenz. Scattering forces from the curl of the spin angular momentum of a light field. *Physical Review Letters*, 102:Article 113602, 2009.
- [7] L.A. Ambrosio and G. Gouesbet. On longitudinal radiation pressure crosssections in the generalized Lorenz-Mie theory and their numerical relationship with the dipole theory of forces. *Journal of the Optical Society of America B*, 38, 3:825–833, 2021.
- [8] L.A. Ambrosio and G. Gouesbet. On the Rayleigh limit of the generalized Lorenz-Mie theory and its formal indentification with the dipole theory of forces. I. The longitudinal case. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopie and Radiative Transfer*, 262:Article 107531, 2021.
- [9] L.A. Ambrosio and G. Gouesbet. On the Rayleigh limit of the generalized Lorenz-Mie theory and its formal identification with the dipole theory of forces. II. The transverse case. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopic and Radiative Transfer*, 266:Article 107591, 2021.
- [10] G. Gouesbet and L.A. Ambrosio. Axicon terms associated with gradient optical forces in generalized Lorenz-Mie theory. *Journal of Quantitative* Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 257:Article 107260, 2020.
- [11] G. Gouesbet. Optical forces exerted by on-axis Bessel beams on Rayleigh particles in the framework of the generalized Lorenz-Mie theory. *Journal* of Quantitative Spectroscopie and Radiative Transfer, 260:Article 107471, 2021.