1	Supporting information
2	Taylor Dispersion Analysis and Atomic Force
3	Microscopy provide quantitative insight on the
4	aggregation kinetics of A $\beta(1-40)/A\beta(1-42)$ amyloid
5	peptide mixtures
6 7	Mihai Deleanu ¹ , Olivier Deschaume ² , Luca Cipelletti ^{3,4} , Jean-François Hernandez ¹ , Carmen Bartic ² , Hervé Cottet ^{*1} , Joseph Chamieh ^{*1}
8	¹ IBMM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier, France
9	² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Soft-Matter Physics and Biophysics Section, KU Leuven,
10	Celestijnenlaan 200D, Box 2416, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium
11	³ L2C, Université Montpellier, 34095 Montpellier, France
12	⁴ Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), France
13	* CORRESPONDING AUTHOR
14	Tel: +33 4 6714 3920, Fax: +33 4 6763 1046. E-mail: joseph.chamieh@umontpellier.fr
15	Tel: +33 4 6714 3427, Fax: +33 4 6763 1046. E-mail: herve.cottet@umontpellier.fr
16	Table of contents
17	1. TDA: Theory and data processing
18	2. Experimental Taylorgrams

19	3.	Data treatment by Taylor dispersion analysis	S12
20	4.	Kinetics of the aggregation process	S18
21	5.	References	S21

1. TDA: Theory and data processing

Conditions for TDA validity. The band broadening resulting from Taylor dispersion is easily quantified via the temporal variance of the elution profile. The diffusion coefficient D (m² s⁻¹) and the hydrodynamic radius R_h (m) are determined using Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), respectively:

27
$$D = \frac{R_c^2 t_0}{24\sigma^2}$$
 SI.(1)

28
$$R_h = \frac{k_b T}{6\pi\eta D}$$
 SI.(2)

where R_c is the capillary radius (m), t_0 is the average elution time (s), σ^2 is the temporal variance of the peak (s²), k_B is the Boltzmann constant, *T* the temperature (K) and η the viscosity of the carrier liquid (Pa.s). It is noteworthy that Eq. (1) is valid when the peak appearance time t_0 is higher than the characteristic diffusion time of the solute over a distance equal to the capillary radius as verified by Eq. (3)^{1, 2}:

34
$$au = \frac{Dt_0}{R_c^2} \ge 1.25$$
 SI.(3)

where τ is an adimensional characteristic time. Axial diffusion should also be negligible compared to convection as verified by Eq. (4)^{1, 2}:

37
$$P_e = \frac{uR_c}{D} \ge 40$$
 SI.(4)

38 where P_e is the Péclet number and u is the linear mobile phase velocity (m/s).

39 **Data processing of the taylorgrams.** The taylorgram S(t) of a sample mixture containing *n* 40 different components of individual diffusion coefficient D_i can be expressed as a sum of *n* 41 individual Gaussian contributions $S_i(t)$, all centered at the same elution time t_0 :

42
$$S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{A_i}{\sigma_i \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1(t-t_0)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}}$$
 SI.(5)

43 where A_i is a coefficient that is proportional to the concentration in species *i* and depends on the 44 response coefficient of the species *i*, at the specific detection wavelength. The diffusion coefficient 45 of the species *i* is directly related to the standard deviation σ_i according to

$$46 D_i = \frac{R_c^2 t_0}{24\sigma_i^2} SI.(6)$$

47 Different approaches can be used to obtain information about the size distribution of the species 48 in the mixture from the taylorgram S(t).³⁻⁵

49 *A first approach* is based on a direct curve fitting with the sum of *n* Gaussian curves according to 50 Eq. (5), when the total number of species, *n*, is limited ($n \le 4$). The curve fitting was conducted 51 using the Least Significant Difference method using the "GRG nonlinear" algorithm in Microsoft 52 Excel.

A second approach is based on Constrained Regularized Linear Inversion (CRLI)⁴ which aims at finding the probability density function $P_D(D)$ that fits the taylorgram according to the following equation:

56
$$s(t) = c \int_{0}^{\infty} P_D(D) \sqrt{D} \exp\left[-\frac{(t-t_0)^2 12D}{R_c^2 t_0}\right] dD$$
 SI.(7)

with
$$c = \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} P_{D}(D)\sqrt{D}dD\right]^{-1} = \left[\overline{D^{1/2}}\right]^{-1}$$
 a normalization factor and $P_{D}(D)$ the mass-weighted
probability distribution function (PDF) of the diffusion coefficient. The main advantage of this
approach, as compared to the first one, is that there is no need to hypothesize on the number of
populations under the experimental distribution. For more details on that approach, the reader may
refer to original publications ^{3,4}.



Figure SI.1. Experimental taylorgrams obtained for A β (1-40) aggregation monitoring over an incubation period of 65 h. The experimental traces are distributed into several graphs for better

67	clarity. Curves are colored with respect to incubation time, in h. Experimental conditions: Sample:
68	133 μ M A β (1-40) in 20 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Incubation: quiescent conditions at 37 °C.
69	Fused silica capillary: 50 μ m i.d. × 40 cm × 31.5 cm. Eluent: 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4.
70	Mobilization pressure: 100 mbar. Injection: 44 mbar for 3 s (V_{inj} = 7 nL, corresponding to 1% of
71	capillary volume to injection point). Analyses were performed at 37 °C. UV detection at 191 nm.





Figure SI.2. Experimental taylorgrams obtained for $A\beta(1-40):A\beta(1-42)$ 3:1 mixture aggregation monitoring over an incubation period of 65 h. The experimental traces are distributed into several graphs for better clarity. Curves are colored with respect to incubation time, in h. Experimental

77	conditions: Sample: 100 μ M of A β (1-40) and 33 μ M A β (1-42) of in 20 mM phosphate buffer pH
78	7.4. Incubation: quiescent conditions at 37 °C. Fused silica capillary: 50 μ m i.d. × 40 cm × 31.5
79	cm. Eluent: 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Mobilization pressure: 100 mbar. Injection: 44 mbar
80	for 3 s (V_{inj} = 7 nL, corresponding to 1% of capillary volume to injection point). Analyses were
81	performed at 37 °C. UV detection at 191 nm.





Figure SI.3. Experimental taylorgrams obtained for $A\beta(1-40):A\beta(1-42)$ 1:1 mixture aggregation monitoring over an incubation period of 25 h. The experimental traces are distributed into several graphs for better clarity. Curves are colored with respect to incubation time, in h. Experimental conditions: Sample: 66.7 μ M of $A\beta(1-40)$ and 66.7 μ M $A\beta(1-42)$ of in 20 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Incubation: quiescent conditions at 37 °C. Fused silica capillary: 50 μ m i.d. × 40 cm × 31.5

- cm. Eluent: 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Mobilization pressure: 100 mbar. Injection: 44 mbar
- 90 for 3 s (V_{inj} = 7 nL, corresponding to 1% of capillary volume to injection point). Analyses were
- 91 performed at 37 °C. UV detection at 191 nm.



94 **Figure SI.4.** Experimental taylorgrams obtained for $A\beta(1-40):A\beta(1-42)$ 1:3 mixture aggregation

95 monitoring over an incubation period of 15 h. The experimental traces are distributed into several

96 graphs for better clarity. Curves are colored with respect to incubation time, in h. Experimental 97 conditions: Sample: 33 μ M of A β (1-40) and 100 μ M A β (1-42) of in 20 mM phosphate buffer pH 98 7.4. Incubation: quiescent conditions at 37 °C. Fused silica capillary: 50 μ m i.d. × 40 cm × 31.5 99 cm. Eluent: 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Mobilization pressure: 100 mbar. Injection: 44 mbar 100 for 3 s ($V_{inj} = 7$ nL, corresponding to 1% of capillary volume to injection point). Analyses were 101 performed at 37 °C. UV detection at 191 nm.

102



Figure SI.5. Experimental taylorgrams obtained for A β (1-42) aggregation monitoring over an incubation period of 8 h. The experimental traces are distributed into several graphs for better clarity. Curves are colored with respect to incubation time, in h. Experimental conditions: Sample: 133 μ M A β (1-42) in 20 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Incubation: quiescent conditions at 37 °C. Fused silica capillary: 50 μ m i.d. × 40 cm × 31.5 cm. Eluent: 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Mobilization pressure: 100 mbar. Injection: 44 mbar for 3 s ($V_{inj} = 7$ nL, corresponding to 1% of capillary volume to injection point). Analyses were performed at 37 °C. UV detection at 191 nm.

111



112 **3.** Data treatment by Taylor dispersion analysis

Figure SI.6. Average hydrodynamic radii for the monomer, oligomers and protofibrils size populations obtained by the deconvolution of the taylorgrams with a finite number of Gaussian functions and as a function of the % of $A\beta(1-40)$ in $A\beta(1-40):A\beta(1-42)$ mixtures. The error bars are standard deviations calculated on *n* repetitions as indicated in the figure.





Figure SI.7. Size distributions of A β (1-40) (133.3 μ M) obtained by CRLI analysis of the experimental taylorgrams as a function of incubation time $t_{ag} = 0$ to ~60 h. Experimental taylorgrams are shown in figure SI.1.



Figure SI.8. Size distributions of $A\beta(1-40):A\beta(1-42)$ 3:1 mixture (100 µM and 33.3 µM respectively) obtained by CRLI analysis of the experimental taylorgrams as a function of incubation time $t_{ag} = 0$ to ~50 h. Experimental taylorgrams are shown in figure SI.2.



Figure SI.9. Size distributions of $A\beta(1-40):A\beta(1-42)$ 1:1 mixture (66.7 µM and 66.7 µM respectively) obtained by CRLI analysis of the experimental taylorgrams as a function of incubation time $t_{ag} = 0$ to ~12 h. Experimental taylorgrams are shown in figure SI.3.



Figure SI.10. Size distributions of $A\beta(1-40):A\beta(1-42)$ 1:3 mixture (33.3 μ M and 100 μ M respectively) obtained by CRLI analysis of the experimental taylorgrams as a function of incubation time $t_{ag} = 0$ to ~12 h. Experimental taylorgrams are shown in figure SI.4.



Figure SI.11. Size distributions of A β (1-42) (133.3 μ M) obtained by CRLI analysis of the experimental taylorgrams as a function of incubation time $t_{ag} = 0$ to ~7 h. Experimental taylorgrams are shown in figure SI.5.

141 4. Kinetics of the aggregation process



Figure SI.12. Symbols: time evolution of the peak area corresponding to the various populations obtained by deconvolution of the TDA signal for the $A\beta(1-40)$ 0% sample. Lines: fits of the reaction kinetics, considering forward and backward reactions, Eqs. (5-7) in the main manuscript.



142

Figure SI.13. Symbols: time evolution of the peak area corresponding to the various populations obtained by deconvolution of the TDA signal for the A β (1-40) 25% sample. Lines: fits of the reaction kinetics, considering forward and backward reactions, Eqs. (5-7) in the main manuscript.

- 150
- 151



Figure SI.14. Symbols: time evolution of the peak area corresponding to the various populations obtained by deconvolution of the TDA signal for the $A\beta(1-40)$ 50% sample. Lines: fits of the reaction kinetics, considering forward and backward reactions, Eqs. (5-7) in the main manuscript.



Figure SI.15. Symbols: time evolution of the peak area corresponding to the various populations obtained by deconvolution of the TDA signal for the $A\beta(1-40)$ 75% sample. Lines: fits of the reaction kinetics, considering forward and backward reactions, Eqs. (5-7) in the main manuscript.

160



Figure SI.16. Symbols: time evolution of the peak area corresponding to the various populations obtained by deconvolution of the TDA signal for the A β (1-40) 100% sample. Lines: fits of the reaction kinetics, considering forward and backward reactions, Eqs. (5-7) in the main manuscript.

165

166 **5. References**

- H. Cottet, J. P. Biron and M. Martin, On the optimization of operating conditions for
 Taylor dispersion analysis of mixtures, *Analyst*, 2014, **139**, 3552-3562.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C4AN00192C
- G. Taylor, Conditions under Which Dispersion of a Solute in a Stream of Solvent can be
 Used to Measure Molecular Diffusion, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 1954, 225, 473-477.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1954.0216
- J. Chamieh, J. Biron, L. Cipelletti and H. Cottet, Monitoring Biopolymer Degradation by
 Taylor Dispersion Analysis, *Biomacromolecules*, 2015, 16, 3945-3951.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.5b01260
- 176 4. L. Cipelletti, J.-P. Biron, M. Martin and H. Cottet, Measuring Arbitrary Diffusion
- 177 Coefficient Distributions of Nano-Objects by Taylor Dispersion Analysis, *Anal. Chem.*,

178 2015, **87**, 8489-8496. 10.1021/acs.analchem.5b02053

- 179 5. L. Cipelletti, J.-P. Biron, M. Martin and H. Cottet, Polydispersity Analysis of Taylor
- 180 Dispersion Data: The Cumulant Method, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 6471-6478.
- 181 10.1021/ac501115y