

SnO 2 films elaborated by radio frequency (RF)magnetron sputtering as a potential TCOs alternative for organic solar cells

Wissal Belayachi, Gérald Ferblantier, Thomas Fix, Guy Schmerber, Jean-Luc Rehspringer, Thomas Heiser, Abdelilah Slaoui, Mohammed Abd-Lefdil, Aziz

Dinia

To cite this version:

Wissal Belayachi, Gérald Ferblantier, Thomas Fix, Guy Schmerber, Jean-Luc Rehspringer, et al.. SnO 2 films elaborated by radio frequency (RF)magnetron sputtering as a potential TCOs alternative for organic solar cells. ACS Applied Energy Materials, 2022, 5 (1), pp.170-177. 10.1021/acsaem.1c02711. hal-03799422

HAL Id: hal-03799422 <https://hal.science/hal-03799422v1>

Submitted on 5 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

$1 \quad$ SnO₂ films elaborated by radio frequency (RF)- magnetron sputtering as a potential TCOs alternative for organic solar cells *Wissal Belayachi †, ⸹,* , Gérald Ferblantier ‡ , Thomas Fix ‡ , Guy Schmerber † , Jean-Luc Rehspringer † , Thomas Heiser ‡ , Abdelilah Slaoui ‡ , Mohammed Abd-Lefdil ⸹ and Aziz Dinia † .* † Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, Institut de Physique et Chimie des Matériaux de Strasbourg, UMR 7504, 23 rue du Loess, F-67000 Strasbourg, France. 8 8 Mohammed V University in Rabat, Faculty of Sciences, MANAPSE, B.P. 1014, 10000 Rabat, Morocco. ‡ ICube laboratory (Université de Strasbourg and CNRS), 23 rue du Loess, BP 20 CR, F- 67037 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France KEYWORDS: Organic solar cells; Transparent conducting oxide, Tin oxide; Reactive magnetron sputtering; Thin films; Bulk heterojunction.

 Transparent conducting oxides (TCOs) are crucial component of solar cells. Tin doped indium oxide (ITO) is the most employed TCO, but the scarcity and high price of indium induce a search 20 for lower cost TCOs with equivalent properties as substitute. Tin dioxide $(SnO₂)$ films have many 21 advantages, such as rich sources of material, low prices, and non-toxicity. $SnO₂$ films present a high visible light transmittance, near-infrared light reflectivity, and excellent electrical properties. They also have a higher chemical and mechanical stability compared to ITO. The aim of this work is to elaborate SnO² films by RF-magnetron sputtering in order to use them as electrodes for 25 Organic Solar Cells (OSCs). The SnO₂ films were deposited on glass, $SiO₂$ and quartz substrates 26 in a mixed environment of Ar and O_2 . XRD measurements show that the as-deposited Sn O_2 films are polycrystalline with cassiterite tetragonal structure. SEM analysis showed that the films are homogeneous, continuous, and nanostructured. The electrical resistivity and average optical 29 transmittance of the samples are about 10^{-3} Ω .cm and over 80%, respectively. The estimated 30 optical band gap (E_g) is around 4.0 eV while the work function of the films is around 5.0 eV. The SnO² films are used as electrodes for inverted OSCs, using poly(3-hexylthiophene-2,5-diyl): [6,6]- 32 phenyl-C60-butryric acid methyl ester (P3HT: $PC_{60}BM$) as active layer. The device's open circuit 33 voltage (V_{OC}) and short circuit current density (J_{SC}) are similar to those obtained for the inverted OSCs employing ITO as the same electrode. Even if the achieved power conversion efficiency is lower compared to the value for the reference OSC with an ITO electrode, these results are promising and place SnO² TCO as a potential candidate to replace ITO.

1. INTRODUCTION

38 Transparent conductive oxides (TCOs) are extensively used in modern semiconductor devices 39 such as liquid crystal displays $(LCD)^{1,2}$, organic light emitting diodes $(OLEDs)^3$, touch-sensitive 40 screens⁴, photovoltaic devices^{5,6}, gas sensors^{7,8}, and smart windows⁹ due to their high electrical 41 conductivity and high optical transmission. Tin doped indium oxide (ITO) thin films are widely used as TCOs because of their low resistivity (in the range of $10^{-4} \Omega$.cm), high light transmittance 43 in the visible region (around 90%) and multitude of wide-area deposition techniques². However, 44 indium is scarce and in high demand, making it an expensive source material¹⁰.

 45 Tin dioxide (SnO₂) has received significant attention as a viable replacement for ITO due to its 46 attractive properties. SnO₂ is by nature an n-type semiconductor with a wide and direct band gap, 47 of 3.6 up to 4.0 eV at room temperature^{9,11}, and a high work function of about 5.1 eV¹¹. SnO₂ is 48 highly transparent in the visible region, chemically stable in some acidic and basic solutions¹², 49 thermally stable in oxidizing environments at high temperature, and mechanically hard¹⁰. SnO₂ 50 thin films are prepared through different deposition techniques such as DC/RF magnetron 51 sputtering^{10,13–19}, pulsed laser deposition^{20,21}, thermal evaporation^{22,23}, sol-gel^{24–26}, spray 52 pyrolysis^{27,28}, chemical vapor deposition^{8,29,30}, and hydrothermal process^{31,32}.

 RF-magnetron sputtering has various advantages such as good adhesion to substrates, homogeneity of deposited thin films, good reproducibility, and the possibility to extend the deposition technique to industrial scale³³. Therefore, it was selected as deposition technique for 56 this study. The structural, optical, and electrical properties of $SnO₂$ thin films deposited by RF- magnetron sputtering have been investigated for a substrate temperature ranging from 100 up to 58 400 $^{\circ}$ C and for an Ar/O₂ gas ratio of 8/3. The film with the lowest electrical resistivity was then employed as electrode for an inverted Organic Solar Cell (OSC), and the photovoltaic properties of the solar cell were studied.

2. Experimental section

2.1.Materials

 The following chemicals were purchased: polyethylenimine (80% ethoxylated, 37 wt. % in H2O), acetone (CH3COCH3, ACS reagent, ≥99.5%), anhydrous 1,2-Dichlorobenzene (C6H4Cl2, 99%), and Hellmanex™ III from Sigma-Aldrich; [6,6]-phenyl-C60-butryric acid methyl ester ([60]PCBM, 99.5%) from Solenne BV; poly(3-hexylthiophene-2,5-diyl) (P3HT) from Solaris Chem; molybdenum oxide (MoO3, 99.95%) from Neyco; silver pellets (Ag, 99.99%) from RD 68 Mathis Company; ethanol absolute $(C_2H_5OH, 100\%)$, hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37%) and 2- propanol (IPA) ((CH3)2CHOH, 100%) from VWR Chemicals; zinc powder (metals basis, - 70 140+325 mesh, 99.9%) from Alfa Aesar; chromium powder (metals basis, \leq 5 μ m, 99.8%) from CERAC; AZ 4533 positive photoresist and AZ 726 metal ion free (MIF) developer from Clariant. All the chemicals were directly used without further purification.

2.2.SnO² thin film and solar cell fabrication

2.2.1. SnO² thin films preparation

76 In order to characterize the active layer, $SnO₂$ thin films were deposited on oriented $SiO₂$ (100), polished soda lime glass and synthetic quartz substrates. Prior to the deposition the substrates were ultrasonically cleaned with deionized water with Hellmanex™ III, deionized water, acetone, and 79 2-propanol for 15 min each at 45 \degree C and dried under N₂ gas flow. The thin films were deposited by (RF)-magnetron sputtering using high purity (99.95%) tin (Sn) target from AJA Int. High purity 81 argon (Ar) and oxygen (O_2) were introduced into the sputtering chamber through flowmeters. The 82 Ar and O₂ flows are kept 8 sccm and 3 sccm, respectively. These gas flows were chosen based on 83 the results obtained of the study of the effect of $Ar/O₂$ ratio on the films resistivity (Support A). The substrates were placed on a substrate holder with heating block. The working pressure was \pm kept at 3.4×10⁻³ mbar with a source-substrate distance of 25 cm and sputtering power of 50 W. The deposition rate was 3 nm/min. The substrate temperatures were ranging from 100 up to 400°C 87 and an increment of 100°C is made between each treatment.

-
-

2.2.2. Device fabrication

90 The SnO₂ thin films deposited on glass substrates (2 cm \times 2 cm) were patterned by optical photolithography and wet etching (Supplementary B). The thin films were treated in UV Ozone for 30 min to remove any organic residue.

93 ITO coated glass substrates (2 cm \times 2 cm) were purchased from Lumtec (Taiwan). They were characterized as a mean of comparison and employed for the elaboration of a reference device. Prior to the deposition the ITO substrates were ultrasonically cleaned with deionized water with Hellmanex™ III, deionized water, acetone, and 2-propanol for 15 min each at 45°C and dried 97 under N_2 gas flow. They were also treated in UV Ozone for 30 min to remove any organic residue. The electron transport layer was prepared by spin-coating polyethylenimine (PEIE) solution (0.4% in mass of PEIE in IPA) onto the SnO² film at 5000 rpm for 60s. The layer is then annealed 100 at 100 °C for 10 min in N₂ glove box. The P3HT:PC₆₀BM solution (donor/acceptor ratio 1:0.6) was prepared by dissolving the precursors in 1,2-Dichlorobenzene (ODCB). The solution was heated and stirred on a hot plate at 60°C for at least 24 h. The active layer was spin-coated from 103 the solution on the substrate in N₂ glove box at 500 rpm for 20 s then 1200 rpm for 90 s. The layer 104 is then annealed at 150 °C for 15 min. A 7 nm-thick MoO₃ layer, or hole transporting layer, and a 120 nm-thick Ag electrode were deposited in a single run by thermal evaporation, under high 106 vacuum (5×10⁻⁶ to 2×10⁻⁷ Torr). A metal shadow mask is used in proximity of the substrate to 107 delimit the areas to coat with the organic layer and the metal electrode. The MoO₃ and Ag layers 108 were deposited at a rate of 0.5 Å/s and 3.5 Å/s, respectively, as measured by a quartz microbalance.

2.3.Characterization

 X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected using a Rigaku Smartlab X-ray 112 diffractometer with CuK_{α1} radiation ($\lambda = 1.54056$ Å) operated at 45 kV and 200 mA, in the 2θ range of 15 – 70° with a step size of 0.06° and with a scanning rate (2θ) of 0.3°/min. Phase analysis was conducted using the Eva XRD software.

115 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed using a field emission scanning electron microscope. Surface SEM images were recorded using a Jeol 6700 F and the cross-section SEM images using a Zeiss Gemini 500. The SEM scans were recorded for 5K, 50K and 80K magnification at 5 kV applied voltage for Zeiss and 7kV applied voltage for Jeol.

 UV-Vis spectroscopy was carried out using a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 950 spectrophotometer over the spectral range 300 – 1000 nm. An integrating sphere was used to collect both, specular and diffuse transmittance to reduce the effect of light scattering originated from refraction and reflection.

 The thickness of the films was measured using a Veeco Dektak 150 surface profilometer. To 124 produce a step in the films, Kapton tape was applied to a small area of the $SiO₂$ substrate before the deposition. The tape was easily removed with acetone after the deposition to reveal a step in 126 the film down to the substrate.

 Electrical characteristics were acquired in the dark using Hall measuring equipment in the Van-der Pauw's geometry, with an Ecopia HMS-5000 system, at room temperature. A direct current of

 1 mA and a magnetic field of 0.55 T were applied. The measurements were repeated 5 times to ensure reproducibility and the results were averaged to compensate deviations.

The films work function was measured using a Single-Point Kelvin Probe system (KP020) from

KP Technology in ambient air and at room temperature.

 The current density-voltage (J-V) characteristics were recorded with a Keithley 2400 source 134 meter and a Sun 3000 Solar Simulator with the light intensity of 100 mW/cm² under AM 1.5 G 135 solar light. The active area of the measured devices was 0.12 cm^2 , and a mask was used during the measurements.

- **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
- **3.1.Structure and morphology**
- **3.1.1. X-Ray Diffraction**

140 The XRD patterns of the $SnO₂$ films deposited at different substrate temperatures T_S are shown in Figure 1. All the observed diffraction peaks can be indexed according to the cassiterite tetragonal 142 structure of SnO₂ (JCPDS card n° 01-071-5323). A peak characteristic of the SnO_x phase is observed at approximately 24.42° (*2θ*). An increase in sharpness and intensity of the XRD peaks can be observed with the increase of the substrate temperature.

146 **Figure 1.** X-ray diffraction pattern of a) SnO₂ films deposited on glass substrates with different 147 substrate temperatures and, b) commercial ITO. The patterns have been translated vertically for 148 better viewing purposes.

149 The tetragonal structure lattice parameters *a*, *b* and *c* are defined as follows:

$$
\frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{h^2 + k^2}{a^2} + \frac{l^2}{c^2} \,,\tag{1}
$$

 where *d* is the lattice spacing between two different crystallographic planes, and *h*, *k*, *l* the miller indexes of the reflection plane. From the results presented in Table 1 we can see that *a* and *c* are rather stable when increasing the substrate temperature *TS*. Overall the lattice parameters *a, b* and *c* are slightly lower with respect to the standard values *a=b=*4.737 Å, *c*=3.185 Å present in the 154 literature⁹ (JCPDS card n° 01-071-5323). The lower lattice parameters and the presence of a SnO_x 155 phase show that the $SnO₂$ films are probably non-stoichiometric.

156 The crystallite size *D* of the SnO₂ films is estimated using the Scherrer formula³⁴ (Equation 2):

$$
D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos(\theta)}\,,\tag{2}
$$

157 where *D* is the average crystallite size perpendicular to the reflecting planes, *λ* is the X-ray light 158 wavelength, *β* is the width of the X-ray peak on the 2*θ* axis, normally measured as full width at 159 half maximum (FWHM), *θ* is the Bragg angle, and *K* is the so-called Scherrer constant. *K* depends 160 on the crystallite shape and the size distribution, indices of the diffraction line and taken equal to 161 0.9 here. The calculated values of *D* (Table 1) represent estimates (discussion on the accuracy of 162 Equation (2) can be found in the literature³⁵). *D* slightly rises with the substrate temperature as it 163 was previously reported by Bansal et al and by Kim et al^{11,33}.

164 The amount of defects in the $SnO₂$ films can be determined by estimating the dislocation density *l* δ which is defined using the approach of Williamson and Smallman³⁶ (Equation 3):

$$
\delta = \frac{1}{D^2},\tag{3}
$$

166 where *D* is the average crystallite size. As the substrate temperature increases δ decreases 167 indicating the reduction of the defects generated in the $SnO₂$ samples. To our knowledge no studies 168 report on the effect of substrate temperature on the dislocation density of undoped $SnO₂$ films 169 elaborated by (RF)-magnetron sputtering. In comparison, the commercial ITO presents a 170 dislocation density δ (Table 1) lower than all SnO₂ films which is explained by the ITO's higher 171 average crystallite size *D*. Therefore, the commercial ITO presumably presents fewer defects than 172 all SnO₂ films.

173 In conclusion, the XRD analysis shows that a substrate temperature of 400°C gives the best 174 crystallinity.

176 **Table 1.** Unit cell parameters *a, b* and *c*, unit cell volume *V*, crystallite size *D*, and dislocation 177 density δ of the SnO₂ films and commercial ITO.

178

179 **3.1.2. SEM characterization**

 The SnO² films obtained were slightly yellowish, very clear and transparent, with smooth mirror-181 like surface characteristic to tin dioxide³⁷. The surface and cross-section SEM images of the SnO₂ films deposited at different substrate temperatures are given in Figure 2. As for the surface, because the grains of the films are small-sized, only some blurred SEM images can be obtained. The SEM images show that as predicted by the XRD analysis the commercial ITO presents larger sized 185 grains compared to all $SnO₂$ films.

186 The SnO² films are homogenous in surface. A columnar morphology can be observed with the 187 cross-section images. The thickness of the films is homogenous and continuous throughout the 188 whole length of the films.

(**e**) (**f**)

191 **Figure 2.** Surface morphology and cross-section SEM images of (a-h) SnO₂ films deposited on 192 glass substrates for different substrate temperatures and, (i-j) commercial ITO.

193 **3.2.Optical properties**

 Optical characteristics such as the average transmittance in the visible region and the value of the optical band gap are considered to be key features for solar cell applications. The transmittance spectra of the SnO² films deposited on glass substrate are displayed in Figure 3a. The average transmittance *Tavg* in the visible range increases from 83.6 up to 84.7% with the substrate 198 temperature (Table 3). As explained by Yang et al¹⁰ a low crystallinity of the films creates inherent defects, which could cause defect scattering. On the other hand, when the crystallinity of the film is improved and the light scattering due to the inherent defect decreases an increase in the average transmittance of the film ensues, as observed for our samples. The effect of substrate temperature 202 on the average transmittance of the $SnO₂$ films concurs with the results in the litterature^{10,12}. The 203 average transmittance of the prepared $SnO₂$ films are close to the values reported for undoped 204 SnO₂ films^{37–39} and slightly lower than the average transmittance of the commercial ITO (Table 205 2).

206 The incident photon energy *hυ* and the optical bandgap energy *E^g* are related through Tauc's 207 equation⁴⁰ (Equation 4):

$$
(\alpha h v) = A\left(hv - E_g\right)^{\frac{1}{n}},\tag{4}
$$

208 where α is the absorption coefficient, h is the Planck constant, $h\nu$ is the photon frequency, A a 209 constant and n is related to the type of band transition (2 or 1/2 for direct and indirect transitions, 210 respectively). The absorption coefficient α is calculated through the following relation:

$$
\alpha = \frac{1}{t} \ln \left(\frac{1}{T} \right),\tag{5}
$$

211 where *T* is the optical transmittance and *t* the thickness of the sample. The thickness *t* is determined 212 by profilometric analysis for each sample (Table 2). In the assumption of a direct band transition 213 for SnO₂^{10,38}, E_g was estimated by extrapolating the linear part of Tauc's plots $(ahv)^2$ vs. (hv) that 214 intercepts the energy axis (Figure 3b). The estimated optical band gaps are 3.86 ± 0.04 eV for T_S 215 = 100°C, 4.01 \pm 0.04 eV for $T_s = 200$ °C, 3.93 \pm 0.04 eV for $T_s = 300$ °C and 3.93 \pm 0.04 eV for T_s 216 = 400°C. The E_g values obtained are in agreement with the reported values for tetragonal SnO₂¹⁴.

217 **Figure 3.** (a,c) UV - Visible transmittance spectrum and (b,d) Tauc's plot of the SnO₂ films for different substrate temperature and commercial ITO.

3.3.Electrical properties

220 The electrical resistivity ρ is connected to the carrier concentration *n* and Hall mobility μ by the 221 following relation³⁹:

$$
\rho = \frac{1}{ne\mu},\tag{6}
$$

222 The values of ρ , *n* and μ measured at room temperature for the SnO₂ films grown at various 223 substrate temperatures on quartz are presented in Table 2. The measurements confirm the n-type 224 nature of all prepared $SnO₂$ films. With the growing substrate temperature, the electrical resistivity 225 increases from 4.45×10⁻³ up to 3.26 Q.cm while the carrier concentration decreases from 6.78×10¹⁹ 226 down to 1.21×10^{19} cm⁻³. The mobility of the free electrons also declines from 20.7 down to 227 1.58 \times 10⁻¹ cm²/V.s. The effect of the substrate temperature on the resistivity of SnO₂ films observed 228 is in agreement with the literature^{11,41,42}. The source of carriers for undoped $SnO₂$ is oxygen 229 vacancies. An increment of temperature leads to a reduction in oxygen vacancies due to 230 chemisorption of oxygen. This phenomenon results in a drop in resistivity but also in carrier 231 concentration observed in our study¹¹. It should be noted that a resistivity of order of 10⁻³ Ω.cm is 232 obtained for $T_s = 100$ °C, while it is usually attained for higher substrate temperature^{33,41,42}.

233 Compared to commercial ITO (Table 2), the SnO₂ film prepared at $T_S = 100$ °C has a higher 234 electrical resistivity by an order of magnitude. The difference can be explained by the higher carrier 235 concentration *n* for the commercial ITO by two orders of magnitude.

236 In addition, a useful tool for comparing the performance of TCOs is the figure of merit Φ_{TC} , as 237 defined by Haacke⁴³:

$$
\Phi_{TC} = \frac{T^{10}}{R_S},\tag{7}
$$

238 where *T* is the optical transmittance and R_S the electrical sheet resistance of the sample. R_S is 239 defined by the electrical resistivity ρ and the thickness *d* of the sample:

$$
R_S = \frac{\rho}{d},\tag{8}
$$

240 The figure of merit Φ_{TC} being inversely proportional to the electrical resistivity ρ , Φ_{TC} decreases 241 with the temperature. The estimated values of Φ_{TC} (Table 2) show that the SnO₂ film prepared at 242 $T_S = 100$ ^oC has the potential to be a superior TCO compared to the films elaborated at higher 243 substrate temperatures. The resistivity of ITO being lower than the SnO₂ film prepared at T_s = 244 100°C the ITO's figure of merit is higher.

 The SnO² films work function (WF) must match that of the layers of the device employed in. The estimated values are presented in Table 2. For all the samples WF is around 5 eV and in 247 agreement with the literature¹¹. The estimated values are similar to the WF of the commercial ITO. Thus, the use of a buffer layer is necessary to improve the energy level alignment with the transport levels of the donor and acceptor employed in the devices.

250

Table 2. Thickness *t*, average optical transmittance T_{avg} in the visible range, electrical parameters

252 (*n*, ρ , μ), figure of merit Φ_{TC} and work function WF values of the SnO₂ films and commercial ITO.

Sample				$t \text{ (nm)}$ T_{avg} (%) $n \text{ (cm}^3)$ ρ (Ω .cm) μ (cm ² /V.s) Φ_{TC} (Ω ⁻¹) WF (eV)		
$T_s = 100 \text{ °C}$ 155 ± 3 83.6			-6.78×10^{19} 4.45×10^{-3} 20.7		5.77×10^{-4} 5.02	
$T_s = 200 \degree C$ 160 ± 5 84.0			-5.84×10^{19} 2.75×10^{-2} 3.89		1.02×10^{-4} 5.00	
$T_S = 300 \text{ °C}$ 155 ± 5 84.5				-4.22×10^{19} 2.53×10^{-1} 5.85×10^{-1} 1.14×10^{-5} 4.96		
$T_S = 400$ °C 250 ± 10 84.7				-1.21×10^{19} 3.26 1.58×10^{-1} 1.45×10^{-6} 4.99		
ITO	165 ± 5	90.5		-1.38×10^{21} 1.91×10^{-4} 26.3 1.37×10^{-3} 4.97		

253

254 **3.4.Photovoltaic devices**

255 The requirements for an optimal TCO as replacement for ITO include a high electrical 256 conductivity, a high transmission, and most importantly a proper work function. Therefore, the

 SnO₂ film with the lowest electrical resistivity of 4.45×10⁻³ Ω.cm was selected for the elaboration of an inverted OSC, using a blend of poly(3-hexylthiophene-2,5-diyl) (P3HT) and [6,6]-phenyl-259 C60-butryric acid methyl ester (PC_{60} BM) as active layer. A reference device with the same active layer and ITO as electrode is also elaborated. The current density–voltage (J–V) characteristics of the photovoltaic devices are shown in Figure 4 and the photovoltaic parameters are listed in Table 3.

 Figure 4. Photocurrent-Voltage (J-V) characteristics of (a) the SnO² based photovoltaic device and, (b) the ITO based photovoltaic device.

 The SnO² based device has an open circuit voltage (*VOC*) of 572 mV, a short circuit current 266 density (J_{SC}) of 4.53 mA/cm², a fill factor (*FF*) of 30.7%, and a power conversion efficiency (*PCE*) of 0.79%. The obtained *JSC* and *VOC* are comparable to those the ITO based device, as opposed to the *FF* and *PCE* which are lower as shown in Table 3. This difference can be explained by the impact of the series (*RS*) and the shunt (*RSh*) resistances, and by the electrical properties of both 270 TCOs. The SnO₂ device presents an *R_{Sh}* of 3.97 × 10³ Ω.cm² compared to 4.86 × 10⁴ Ω.cm² for 271 the ITO based device indicating the presence of greater number of shunt paths in the $SnO₂$ based 272 device compared to the ITO one. The higher dislocation density δ of the SnO₂ film, compared to the commercial ITO (79.5 \pm 0.48 10² lines/ μ m² for SnO₂ compared to 5.51 \pm 0.33 10² lines/ μ m² 273 274 for ITO), correlates with the assessment of made in regard of the shunt resistance. In addition, the 275 SnO₂ based device presents a R_S of 187.3 Ω.cm² about ten times higher than for the ITO based 276 device (17.3 Ω.cm²). The main effect of such a high R_S is to reduce the *FF* as observed. Therefore, 277 a lower *PCE* for the SnO² based device compared to the ITO based device is observed and could 278 be attributed to the lower electrical properties for $SnO₂$ compared to ITO and therefore to the lower 279 factor of merit *ΦTC*. Nonetheless the SnO² based device is functional with a high *VOC*. And to our 280 knowledge no undoped $SnO₂$ was employed as electrode in OSCs, since most of research studies 281 on SnO₂ thin films as TCOs are focused on foreign element doping^{10,44}. These results are 282 promising, since there is a hope to continue improving the electrical properties of $SnO₂$ films in 283 order to reach efficiency close to the values obtained with ITO.

284

285 **Table 3.** Photovoltaic characteristics of the SnO₂ and ITO based photovoltaic devices.

				Substrate V_{OC} (mV) J_{SC} (mA/cm ²) P_m (mW) Fill Factor (%) PCE (%) R_S ($\Omega.cm^2$) R_{Sb} ($\Omega.cm^2$)		
SnO ₂ 572		5.98	7.959×10^{-5} 30.7		0.79 187.3	3.97×10^{3}
ITO	597	5.1	2.293×10^{-4} 61.5		1.91 17.3	4.86×10^{4}

286

287 **4. CONCLUSION**

288 Nanostructured SnO_2 films were deposited by (RF)-magnetron sputtering at different substrate 289 temperatures for an $Ar/O₂$ gas flow ratio of 8/3. The structural, optical, and electrical properties of 290 the films were investigated. The XRD profiles show that all deposited films are polycrystalline

291 and in the cassiterite tetragonal structure. The crystallinity of the films increases with the substrate 292 temperature. The average transmittance of all the films is above 80% in the visible range and the 293 optical band gap is around 4.0 eV. The SnO₂ film prepared at 100° C presents the lowest resistivity 294 of 4.45×10⁻³ Ω.cm with a carrier concentration of 6.78×10^{19} cm⁻³. The transmittance, optical 295 bandgap, and work function $SnO₂$ films are similar to those of the commercial ITO. This leads to 296 a figure of merit (Haacke) in the same range for both $SnO₂$ and ITO. The $SnO₂$ based device 297 presents a J_{SC} and a V_{OC} values close to those obtained with the ITO based device, which is very 298 promising. Indeed, the *PCE* of the OSC is lower for $SnO₂$ than ITO only because the fill factor is 299 lower. Therefore, it is still possible to continue improving the electrical properties of the $SnO₂$ 300 films by improving the surface quality (to raise the shunt resistance) and the conductivity (to lower 301 the series resistance, possibly by adding dopants in $SnO₂$). The fact that the energy levels of ITO 302 and $SnO₂$ are similar (bandgap, work function) demonstrates the potential of $SnO₂$ to replace ITO 303 in organic solar cells.

REFERENCES

- (1) Granqvist, C. G. Transparent Conductors as Solar Energy Materials: A Panoramic Review. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells* **2007**, *91* (17), 1529–1598. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2007.04.031.
- (2) Minami, T. Transparent Conducting Oxide Semiconductors for Transparent Electrodes. *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *20* (4), S35–S44. https://doi.org/10.1088/0268-1242/20/4/004.
- (3) Kim, E.; Kwon, J.; Kim, C.; Kim, T.-S.; Choi, K. C.; Yoo, S. Design of Ultrathin OLEDs
- Having Oxide-Based Transparent Electrodes and Encapsulation with Sub-Mm Bending Radius.
- *Organic Electronics* **2020**, *82*, 105704. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgel.2020.105704.
- (4) Template Deformation‐Tailored ZnO Nanorod/Nanowire Arrays: Full Growth Control and
- Optimization of Field‐Emission Zeng 2009 Advanced Functional Materials Wiley Online
- Library https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/adfm.200900714 (accessed 2021 -08 -28).
- (5) Chen, Z.; Pan, D.; Li, Z.; Jiao, Z.; Wu, M.; Shek, C.-H.; Wu, C. M. L.; Lai, J. K. L. Recent
- Advances in Tin Dioxide Materials: Some Developments in Thin Films, Nanowires, and
- Nanorods. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114* (15), 7442–7486. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr4007335.
- (6) Fortunato, E.; Ginley, D.; Hosono, H.; Paine, D. C. Transparent Conducting Oxides for Photovoltaics. *MRS Bulletin* **2007**, *32* (3), 242–247. https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs2007.29.
- (7) Ihokura, K.; Watson, J. *The Stannic Oxide Gas Sensor: Principles and Applications*; CRC
- Press: Boca Raton, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203735893.
- (8) Lalauze, R.; Breuil, P.; Pijolat, C. Thin Films for Gas Sensors. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* **1991**, *3* (3), 175–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/0925-4005(91)80003-3.
- (9) Batzill, M.; Diebold, U. The Surface and Materials Science of Tin Oxide. *Progress in Surface Science* **2005**, *79* (2), 47–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progsurf.2005.09.002.
- (10) Yang, W.; Yu, S.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, W. Properties of Sb-Doped SnO2 Transparent
- Conductive Thin Films Deposited by Radio-Frequency Magnetron Sputtering. *Thin Solid Films* **2013**, *542*, 285–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2013.06.077.
- (11) Bansal, S.; Pandya, D. K.; Kashyap, S. C. Charge Transport Mechanism in High Conductivity Undoped Tin Oxide Thin Films Deposited by Reactive Sputtering. *Thin Solid Films*
- **2012**, *524*, 30–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2012.09.062.
- (12) Wang, Y.; Ma, J.; Ji, F.; Yu, X.; Ma, H. Structural and Photoluminescence Characters of
- SnO2:Sb Films Deposited by RF Magnetron Sputtering. *Journal of Luminescence* **2005**, *114* (1),
- 71–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2004.12.003.
- (13) Montero, J.; Guillén, C.; Herrero, J. Nanocrystalline Antimony Doped Tin Oxide (ATO)
- Thin Films: A Thermal Restructuring Study. *Surface and Coatings Technology* **2012**, *211*, 37–40.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2011.07.068.
- (14) Ferreira, M.; Loureiro, J.; Nogueira, A.; Rodrigues, A.; Martins, R.; Ferreira, I. SnO2 Thin
- Film Oxides Produced by Rf Sputtering for Transparent Thermoelectric Devices. *Materials Today:*

Proceedings **2015**, *2* (2), 647–653. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2015.05.090.

- (15) Kaur, M.; Dadhich, B. K.; Singh, R.; KailasaGanapathi; Bagwaiya, T.; Bhattacharya, S.;
- Debnath, A. K.; Muthe, K. P.; Gadkari, S. C. RF Sputtered SnO2: NiO Thin Films as Sub-Ppm
- H2S Sensor Operable at Room Temperature. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* **2017**, *242*, 389–
- 403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.11.054.
- (16) Ma, J.; Hao, X.; Ma, H.; Xu, X.; Yang, Y.; Huang, S.; Zhang, D.; Cheng, C. RF Magnetron Sputtering SnO2: Sb Films Deposited on Organic Substrates. *Solid State Communications* **2002**, *121* (6), 345–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-1098(02)00009-1.
- (17) de Moure-Flores, F.; Quiñones-Galván, J. G.; Hernández-Hernández, A.; Guillén-
- Cervantes, A.; Santana-Aranda, M. A.; Olvera, M. de la L.; Meléndez-Lira, M. Structural, Optical
- and Electrical Properties of Cd-Doped SnO2 Thin Films Grown by RF Reactive Magnetron Co-
- Sputtering. *Applied Surface Science* **2012**, *258* (7), 2459–2463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2011.10.072.
- (18) Xu, B.; Ren, X.-G.; Gu, G.-R.; Lan, L.-L.; Wu, B.-J. Structural and Optical Properties of Zn-Doped SnO2 Films Prepared by DC and RF Magnetron Co-Sputtering. *Superlattices and Microstructures* **2016**, *89*, 34–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spmi.2015.10.043.
- (19) Aragón, F. H.; Aquino, J. C. R.; Gomes, N. C. S.; Ardisson, J. D.; da Silva, S. W.; Pacheco-
- Salazar, D. G.; Coaquira, J. A. H. Characterization of Polycrystalline SnO2 Films Deposited by
- DC Sputtering Technique with Potential for Technological Applications. *Journal of the European*
- *Ceramic Society* **2017**, *37* (10), 3375–3380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2017.04.014.
- (20) Mehraj, S.; Ansari, M. S.; Alimuddin. Annealed SnO2 Thin Films: Structural, Electrical and Their Magnetic Properties. *Thin Solid Films* **2015**, *589*, 57–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2015.04.065.
- (21) Singh, J.; Kumar, R.; Verma, V.; Kumar, R. Structural and Optoelectronic Properties of
- Epitaxial Ni-Substituted Cr2O3 Thin Films for p-Type TCO Applications. *Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing* **2021**, *123*, 105483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2020.105483.
-
- (22) Patel, P.; Karmakar, A.; Jariwala, C.; Ruparelia, J. P. Preparation and Characterization of
- SnO2 Thin Film Coating Using Rf-Plasma Enhanced Reactive Thermal Evaporation. *Procedia*
- *Engineering* **2013**, *51*, 473–479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2013.01.067.
- (23) Yadav, S.; Kumari, S.; Ghoshal, S. K.; Kumar, R.; Chaudhary, S. K.; Mohan, D. Effect of
- Ultraviolet Radiation Exposure on Optical Nonlinearity and Switching Traits of SnO2 Thin Films
- Deposited by Thermal Evaporation. *Optics & Laser Technology* **2021**, *133*, 106575.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optlastec.2020.106575.
- (24) Vaufrey, D.; Ben Khalifa, M.; Besland, M. P.; Sandu, C.; Blanchin, M. G.; Teodorescu,
- V.; Roger, J. A.; Tardy, J. Reactive Ion Etching of Sol–Gel-Processed SnO2 Transparent
- Conducting Oxide as a New Material for Organic Light Emitting Diodes. *Synthetic Metals* **2002**, *127* (1), 207–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-6779(01)00624-5.
- (25) Seo, M.; Akutsu, Y.; Kagemoto, H. Preparation and Properties of Sb-Doped SnO2/Metal
- Substrates by Sol–Gel and Dip Coating. *Ceramics International* **2007**, *33* (4), 625–629.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2005.11.013.
- (26) Carvalho, D. H. Q.; Schiavon, M. A.; Raposo, M. T.; de Paiva, R.; Alves, J. L. A.; Paniago,
- Roberto. M.; Speziali, N. L.; Ferlauto, A. S.; Ardisson, J. D. Synthesis and Characterization of
- SnO2 Thin Films Prepared by Dip-Coating Method. *Physics Procedia* **2012**, *28*, 22–27.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2012.03.664.
- (27) Bouznit, Y.; Henni, A. Characterization of Sb Doped SnO2 Films Prepared by Spray
- Technique and Their Application to Photocurrent Generation. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*
- **2019**, *233*, 242–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2019.05.072.
- (28) Korotcenkov, G.; Brinzari, V.; Boris, Y.; Ivanov, M.; Schwank, J.; Morante, J. Influence
- of Surface Pd Doping on Gas Sensing Characteristics of SnO2 Thin Films Deposited by Spray
- Pirolysis. *Thin Solid Films* **2003**, *436* (1), 119–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040- 6090(03)00506-6.
- (29) Dagkaldiran, Ü.; Gordijn, A.; Finger, F.; Yates, H. M.; Evans, P.; Sheel, D. W.; Remes, Z.;
- Vanecek, M. Amorphous Silicon Solar Cells Made with SnO2:F TCO Films Deposited by
- Atmospheric Pressure CVD. *Materials Science and Engineering: B* **2009**, *159–160*, 6–9.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2008.10.037.
- (30) Sharma, S.; Chhoker, S. CVD Grown Doped and Co-Doped SnO2 Nanowires and Its Optical and Electrical Studies. *Materials Today: Proceedings* **2020**, *28*, 375–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.02.774.
- (31) Karunakaran, C.; Sakthi Raadha, S.; Gomathisankar, P. Microstructures and Optical,
- Electrical and Photocatalytic Properties of Sonochemically and Hydrothermally Synthesized SnO2
- Nanoparticles. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* **2013**, *549*, 269–275.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2012.09.035.
- (32) Cao, X.; Shu, Y.; Hu, Y.; Li, G.; Liu, C. Integrated Process of Large-Scale and Size-
- Controlled SnO2 Nanoparticles by Hydrothermal Method. *Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China* **2013**, *23* (3), 725–730. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326(13)62521-2.
- (33) Kim, S. E.-K.; Oliver, M. Structural, Electrical, and Optical Properties of Reactively
- Sputtered SnO2 Thin Films. *Met. Mater. Int.* **2010**, *16* (3), 441–446. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12540-010-0614-6.
- (34) Scherrer, P. Bestimmung Der Größe Und Der Inneren Struktur von Kolloidteilchen Mittels Röntgenstrahlen. **1918**.
- (35) Uvarov, V.; Popov, I. Metrological Characterization of X-Ray Diffraction Methods at Different Acquisition Geometries for Determination of Crystallite Size in Nano-Scale Materials.
- *Materials Characterization* **2013**, *85*, 111–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2013.09.002.
- (36) Williamson, G. K.; Smallman, R. E. III. Dislocation Densities in Some Annealed and Cold-
- Worked Metals from Measurements on the X-Ray Debye-Scherrer Spectrum. *The Philosophical*
- *Magazine: A Journal of Theoretical Experimental and Applied Physics* **1956**, *1* (1), 34–46.
- https://doi.org/10.1080/14786435608238074.
- (37) Gorley, P. M.; Khomyak, V. V.; Bilichuk, S. V.; Orletsky, I. G.; Horley, P. P.; Grechko,
- V. O. SnO2 Films: Formation, Electrical and Optical Properties. *Materials Science and Engineering: B* **2005**, *118* (1), 160–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2004.12.026.
- (38) Alhuthali, A.; El-Nahass, M. M.; Atta, A. A.; Abd El-Raheem, M. M.; Elsabawy, K. M.;
- Hassanien, A. M. Study of Topological Morphology and Optical Properties of SnO2 Thin Films
- Deposited by RF Sputtering Technique. *Journal of Luminescence* **2015**, *158*, 165–171.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2014.09.044.
- (39) Minami, T.; Nanto, H.; Takata, S. Highly Conducting and Transparent SnO2 Thin Films Prepared by RF Magnetron Sputtering on Low-Temperature Substrates. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **1988**, *27* (3A), L287. https://doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.27.L287.
- (40) *Amorphous and Liquid Semiconductors*; Tauc, J., Ed.; Springer US, 1974. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-8705-7.
- (41) Kim, I. H.; Ko, J. H.; Kim, D.; Lee, K. S.; Lee, T. S.; Jeong, J. -h.; Cheong, B.; Baik, Y.-
- J.; Kim, W. M. Scattering Mechanism of Transparent Conducting Tin Oxide Films Prepared by Magnetron Sputtering. *Thin Solid Films* **2006**, *515* (4), 2475–2480.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2006.07.020.
- (42) Beensh-Marchwicka, G.; Król-Stępniewska, L.; Misiuk, A. High Temperature Oxidized
- SnO2 Films Prepared by Reactive Sputtering. *Active and Passive Electronic Components* **1987**, *12* (3), 191–200. https://doi.org/10.1155/1987/49720.
- (43) Haacke, G. New Figure of Merit for Transparent Conductors. *Journal of Applied Physics*
- **2008**, *47* (9), 4086. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.323240.
- (44) Lee, J.; Kim, N.-H.; Park, Y. S. Characteristics of SnO2:Sb Films as Transparent
- Conductive Electrodes of Flexible Inverted Organic Solar Cells. *Journal of Nanoscience and*
- *Nanotechnology* **2016**, *16* (5), 4973–4977. https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2016.12173.
-

Table of Content

List of tables

