

SnO 2 films elaborated by radio frequency (RF)magnetron sputtering as a potential TCOs alternative for organic solar cells

Wissal Belayachi, Gérald Ferblantier, Thomas Fix, Guy Schmerber, Jean-Luc Rehspringer, Thomas Heiser, Abdelilah Slaoui, Mohammed Abd-Lefdil, Aziz

Dinia

► To cite this version:

Wissal Belayachi, Gérald Ferblantier, Thomas Fix, Guy Schmerber, Jean-Luc Rehspringer, et al.. SnO 2 films elaborated by radio frequency (RF)magnetron sputtering as a potential TCOs alternative for organic solar cells. ACS Applied Energy Materials, 2022, 5 (1), pp.170-177. 10.1021/acsaem.1c02711 . hal-03799422

HAL Id: hal-03799422 https://hal.science/hal-03799422v1

Submitted on 5 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SnO₂ films elaborated by radio frequency (RF)-1 magnetron sputtering as a potential TCOs alternative 2 for organic solar cells 3 Wissal Belayachi^{†, §,*}, Gérald Ferblantier[‡], Thomas Fix[‡], Guy Schmerber[†], Jean-Luc 4 Rehspringer[†], Thomas Heiser[‡], Abdelilah Slaoui[‡], Mohammed Abd-Lefdil[§] and Aziz Dinia[†]. 5 Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, Institut de Physique et Chimie des Matériaux de 6 † 7 Strasbourg, UMR 7504, 23 rue du Loess, F-67000 Strasbourg, France. 8 8 Mohammed V University in Rabat, Faculty of Sciences, MANAPSE, B.P. 1014, 10000 9 Rabat, Morocco. ‡ 10 ICube laboratory (Université de Strasbourg and CNRS), 23 rue du Loess, BP 20 CR, F-11 67037 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France 12 13 KEYWORDS: Organic solar cells; Transparent conducting oxide, Tin oxide; Reactive magnetron 14 sputtering; Thin films; Bulk heterojunction. 15

18 Transparent conducting oxides (TCOs) are crucial component of solar cells. Tin doped indium 19 oxide (ITO) is the most employed TCO, but the scarcity and high price of indium induce a search 20 for lower cost TCOs with equivalent properties as substitute. Tin dioxide (SnO₂) films have many 21 advantages, such as rich sources of material, low prices, and non-toxicity. SnO₂ films present a 22 high visible light transmittance, near-infrared light reflectivity, and excellent electrical properties. 23 They also have a higher chemical and mechanical stability compared to ITO. The aim of this work 24 is to elaborate SnO_2 films by RF-magnetron sputtering in order to use them as electrodes for 25 Organic Solar Cells (OSCs). The SnO₂ films were deposited on glass, SiO₂ and quartz substrates 26 in a mixed environment of Ar and O₂. XRD measurements show that the as-deposited SnO₂ films 27 are polycrystalline with cassiterite tetragonal structure. SEM analysis showed that the films are 28 homogeneous, continuous, and nanostructured. The electrical resistivity and average optical 29 transmittance of the samples are about 10^{-3} Ω .cm and over 80%, respectively. The estimated 30 optical band gap (Eg) is around 4.0 eV while the work function of the films is around 5.0 eV. The 31 SnO₂ films are used as electrodes for inverted OSCs, using poly(3-hexylthiophene-2,5-diyl): [6,6]-32 phenyl-C60-butryric acid methyl ester (P3HT:PC₆₀BM) as active layer. The device's open circuit voltage (V_{OC}) and short circuit current density (J_{SC}) are similar to those obtained for the inverted 33 34 OSCs employing ITO as the same electrode. Even if the achieved power conversion efficiency is 35 lower compared to the value for the reference OSC with an ITO electrode, these results are promising and place SnO₂ TCO as a potential candidate to replace ITO. 36

37 1. INTRODUCTION

38 Transparent conductive oxides (TCOs) are extensively used in modern semiconductor devices 39 such as liquid crystal displays (LCD)^{1,2}, organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs)³, touch-sensitive 40 screens⁴, photovoltaic devices^{5,6}, gas sensors^{7,8}, and smart windows⁹ due to their high electrical 41 conductivity and high optical transmission. Tin doped indium oxide (ITO) thin films are widely 42 used as TCOs because of their low resistivity (in the range of $10^{-4} \Omega$.cm), high light transmittance 43 in the visible region (around 90%) and multitude of wide-area deposition techniques². However, 44 indium is scarce and in high demand, making it an expensive source material¹⁰.

45 Tin dioxide (SnO₂) has received significant attention as a viable replacement for ITO due to its 46 attractive properties. SnO₂ is by nature an n-type semiconductor with a wide and direct band gap, of 3.6 up to 4.0 eV at room temperature^{9,11}, and a high work function of about 5.1 eV¹¹. SnO₂ is 47 48 highly transparent in the visible region, chemically stable in some acidic and basic solutions¹², 49 thermally stable in oxidizing environments at high temperature, and mechanically hard¹⁰. SnO₂ 50 thin films are prepared through different deposition techniques such as DC/RF magnetron sputtering^{10,13–19}, pulsed laser deposition^{20,21}, thermal evaporation^{22,23}, sol-gel^{24–26}, spray 51 pyrolysis^{27,28}, chemical vapor deposition^{8,29,30}, and hydrothermal process^{31,32}. 52

53 RF-magnetron sputtering has various advantages such as good adhesion to substrates, 54 homogeneity of deposited thin films, good reproducibility, and the possibility to extend the 55 deposition technique to industrial scale³³. Therefore, it was selected as deposition technique for 56 this study. The structural, optical, and electrical properties of SnO_2 thin films deposited by RF-57 magnetron sputtering have been investigated for a substrate temperature ranging from 100 up to 58 400° C and for an Ar/O₂ gas ratio of 8/3. The film with the lowest electrical resistivity was then 59 employed as electrode for an inverted Organic Solar Cell (OSC), and the photovoltaic properties 60 of the solar cell were studied.

61 2. Experimental section

62 **2.1.Materials**

The following chemicals were purchased: polyethylenimine (80% ethoxylated, 37 wt. % in 63 64 H₂O), acetone (CH₃COCH₃, ACS reagent, \geq 99.5%), anhydrous 1,2-Dichlorobenzene (C₆H₄Cl₂, 65 99%), and Hellmanex[™] III from Sigma-Aldrich; [6,6]-phenyl-C60-butryric acid methyl ester ([60]PCBM, 99.5%) from Solenne BV; poly(3-hexylthiophene-2,5-diyl) (P3HT) from Solaris 66 67 Chem; molybdenum oxide (MoO₃, 99.95%) from Neyco; silver pellets (Ag, 99.99%) from RD 68 Mathis Company; ethanol absolute (C_2H_5OH , 100%), hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37%) and 2-69 propanol (IPA) ((CH₃)₂CHOH, 100%) from VWR Chemicals; zinc powder (metals basis, -70 140+325 mesh, 99.9%) from Alfa Aesar; chromium powder (metals basis, $\leq 5 \mu m$, 99.8%) from 71 CERAC; AZ 4533 positive photoresist and AZ 726 metal ion free (MIF) developer from Clariant. 72 All the chemicals were directly used without further purification.

73

74

75

2.2.1. SnO₂ thin films preparation

2.2.SnO₂ thin film and solar cell fabrication

76 In order to characterize the active layer, SnO_2 thin films were deposited on oriented SiO₂ (100), 77 polished soda lime glass and synthetic quartz substrates. Prior to the deposition the substrates were 78 ultrasonically cleaned with deionized water with HellmanexTM III, deionized water, acetone, and 79 2-propanol for 15 min each at 45°C and dried under N₂ gas flow. The thin films were deposited by 80 (RF)-magnetron sputtering using high purity (99.95%) tin (Sn) target from AJA Int. High purity 81 argon (Ar) and oxygen (O_2) were introduced into the sputtering chamber through flowmeters. The 82 Ar and O_2 flows are kept 8 sccm and 3 sccm, respectively. These gas flows were chosen based on 83 the results obtained of the study of the effect of Ar/O₂ ratio on the films resistivity (Support A). The substrates were placed on a substrate holder with heating block. The working pressure was kept at 3.4×10^{-3} mbar with a source-substrate distance of 25 cm and sputtering power of 50 W. The deposition rate was 3 nm/min. The substrate temperatures were ranging from 100 up to 400°C and an increment of 100°C is made between each treatment.

- 88
- 89

2.2.2. Device fabrication

90 The SnO_2 thin films deposited on glass substrates (2 cm \times 2 cm) were patterned by optical 91 photolithography and wet etching (Supplementary B). The thin films were treated in UV Ozone 92 for 30 min to remove any organic residue.

93 ITO coated glass substrates ($2 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm}$) were purchased from Lumtec (Taiwan). They were 94 characterized as a mean of comparison and employed for the elaboration of a reference device. 95 Prior to the deposition the ITO substrates were ultrasonically cleaned with deionized water with 96 Hellmanex[™] III, deionized water, acetone, and 2-propanol for 15 min each at 45°C and dried 97 under N₂ gas flow. They were also treated in UV Ozone for 30 min to remove any organic residue. 98 The electron transport layer was prepared by spin-coating polyethylenimine (PEIE) solution 99 (0.4% in mass of PEIE in IPA) onto the SnO₂ film at 5000 rpm for 60s. The layer is then annealed 100 at 100 °C for 10 min in N₂ glove box. The P3HT:PC₆₀BM solution (donor/acceptor ratio 1:0.6) 101 was prepared by dissolving the precursors in 1,2-Dichlorobenzene (ODCB). The solution was 102 heated and stirred on a hot plate at 60°C for at least 24 h. The active layer was spin-coated from 103 the solution on the substrate in N₂ glove box at 500 rpm for 20 s then 1200 rpm for 90 s. The layer 104 is then annealed at 150 °C for 15 min. A 7 nm-thick MoO₃ layer, or hole transporting layer, and a 105 120 nm-thick Ag electrode were deposited in a single run by thermal evaporation, under high vacuum (5×10^{-6} to 2×10^{-7} Torr). A metal shadow mask is used in proximity of the substrate to 106

delimit the areas to coat with the organic layer and the metal electrode. The MoO_3 and Ag layers were deposited at a rate of 0.5 Å/s and 3.5 Å/s, respectively, as measured by a quartz microbalance.

110 **2.3.Characterization**

111 X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected using a Rigaku Smartlab X-ray 112 diffractometer with $CuK_{\alpha 1}$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.54056$ Å) operated at 45 kV and 200 mA, in the 20 113 range of $15 - 70^{\circ}$ with a step size of 0.06° and with a scanning rate (20) of 0.3°/min. Phase analysis 114 was conducted using the Eva XRD software.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed using a field emission scanning electron microscope. Surface SEM images were recorded using a Jeol 6700 F and the cross-section SEM images using a Zeiss Gemini 500. The SEM scans were recorded for 5K, 50K and 80K magnification at 5 kV applied voltage for Zeiss and 7kV applied voltage for Jeol.

119 UV-Vis spectroscopy was carried out using a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 950 spectrophotometer over 120 the spectral range 300 – 1000 nm. An integrating sphere was used to collect both, specular and 121 diffuse transmittance to reduce the effect of light scattering originated from refraction and 122 reflection.

The thickness of the films was measured using a Veeco Dektak 150 surface profilometer. To produce a step in the films, Kapton tape was applied to a small area of the SiO_2 substrate before the deposition. The tape was easily removed with acetone after the deposition to reveal a step in the film down to the substrate.

127 Electrical characteristics were acquired in the dark using Hall measuring equipment in the Van128 der Pauw's geometry, with an Ecopia HMS-5000 system, at room temperature. A direct current of

1 mA and a magnetic field of 0.55 T were applied. The measurements were repeated 5 times to
ensure reproducibility and the results were averaged to compensate deviations.

131 The films work function was measured using a Single-Point Kelvin Probe system (KP020) from

132 KP Technology in ambient air and at room temperature.

The current density-voltage (J-V) characteristics were recorded with a Keithley 2400 source meter and a Sun 3000 Solar Simulator with the light intensity of 100 mW/cm² under AM 1.5 G solar light. The active area of the measured devices was 0.12 cm², and a mask was used during the measurements.

- 137 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
- 138 **3.1.Structure and morphology**
- 139 **3.1.1. X-Ray Diffraction**

The XRD patterns of the SnO₂ films deposited at different substrate temperatures T_S are shown in Figure 1. All the observed diffraction peaks can be indexed according to the cassiterite tetragonal structure of SnO₂ (JCPDS card n° 01-071-5323). A peak characteristic of the SnO_x phase is observed at approximately 24.42° (2θ). An increase in sharpness and intensity of the XRD peaks can be observed with the increase of the substrate temperature.



Figure 1. X-ray diffraction pattern of a) SnO₂ films deposited on glass substrates with different
substrate temperatures and, b) commercial ITO. The patterns have been translated vertically for
better viewing purposes.

149 The tetragonal structure lattice parameters *a*, *b* and *c* are defined as follows:

$$\frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{h^2 + k^2}{a^2} + \frac{l^2}{c^2} , \qquad (1)$$

where *d* is the lattice spacing between two different crystallographic planes, and *h*, *k*, *l* the miller indexes of the reflection plane. From the results presented in Table 1 we can see that *a* and *c* are rather stable when increasing the substrate temperature T_s . Overall the lattice parameters *a*, *b* and *c* are slightly lower with respect to the standard values a=b=4.737 Å, c=3.185 Å present in the literature⁹ (JCPDS card n° 01-071-5323). The lower lattice parameters and the presence of a SnO_x phase show that the SnO₂ films are probably non-stoichiometric.

156 The crystallite size D of the SnO₂ films is estimated using the Scherrer formula³⁴ (Equation 2):

$$D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta\cos(\theta)} , \qquad (2)$$

157 where *D* is the average crystallite size perpendicular to the reflecting planes, λ is the X-ray light 158 wavelength, β is the width of the X-ray peak on the 2θ axis, normally measured as full width at 159 half maximum (FWHM), θ is the Bragg angle, and *K* is the so-called Scherrer constant. *K* depends 160 on the crystallite shape and the size distribution, indices of the diffraction line and taken equal to 161 0.9 here. The calculated values of *D* (Table 1) represent estimates (discussion on the accuracy of 162 Equation (2) can be found in the literature³⁵). *D* slightly rises with the substrate temperature as it 163 was previously reported by Bansal et al and by Kim et al^{11,33}.

164 The amount of defects in the SnO₂ films can be determined by estimating the dislocation density 165 δ which is defined using the approach of Williamson and Smallman³⁶ (Equation 3):

$$\delta = \frac{1}{D^2},\tag{3}$$

166 where *D* is the average crystallite size. As the substrate temperature increases δ decreases 167 indicating the reduction of the defects generated in the SnO₂ samples. To our knowledge no studies 168 report on the effect of substrate temperature on the dislocation density of undoped SnO₂ films 169 elaborated by (RF)-magnetron sputtering. In comparison, the commercial ITO presents a 170 dislocation density δ (Table 1) lower than all SnO₂ films which is explained by the ITO's higher 171 average crystallite size *D*. Therefore, the commercial ITO presumably presents fewer defects than 172 all SnO₂ films.

173 In conclusion, the XRD analysis shows that a substrate temperature of 400°C gives the best174 crystallinity.

176 **Table 1.** Unit cell parameters *a*, *b* and *c*, unit cell volume *V*, crystallite size *D*, and dislocation 177 density δ of the SnO₂ films and commercial ITO.

Sample	<i>a=b</i> (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	$V(Å^3)$	<i>D</i> (nm)	δ (10 ³ lines/ μ m ²)
$T_S = 100^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	4.614 ± 0.011	3.063 ± 0.016	65.23 ± 0.37	$\begin{array}{rrr} 11.3 & \pm \\ 4.8 \end{array}$	7.95 ± 0.48
$T_S = 200^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	4.629 ± 0.023	3.110 ± 0.050	66.65 ± 0.86	$\begin{array}{ll} 11.7 & \pm \\ 4.7 \end{array}$	7.29 ± 0.44
$T_S = 300^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	4.611 ± 0.010	3.140 ± 0.041	66.76 ± 0.84	$\begin{array}{c} 12.3 \pm \\ 4.2 \end{array}$	6.67 ± 0.33
$T_S = 400^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	4.628 ± 0.013	3.110 ± 0.035	66.61 ± 0.67	12.6 ± 2.7	6.28 ± 0.25
ITO	10.173 ± 0.001	10.173 ± 0.001	1052.80 ± 0.31	42.6 ± 1.3	5.51 ± 0.33

178

179 **3.1.2.** SEM characterization

The SnO₂ films obtained were slightly yellowish, very clear and transparent, with smooth mirrorlike surface characteristic to tin dioxide³⁷. The surface and cross-section SEM images of the SnO₂ films deposited at different substrate temperatures are given in Figure 2. As for the surface, because the grains of the films are small-sized, only some blurred SEM images can be obtained. The SEM images show that as predicted by the XRD analysis the commercial ITO presents larger sized grains compared to all SnO₂ films.

186 The SnO_2 films are homogenous in surface. A columnar morphology can be observed with the 187 cross-section images. The thickness of the films is homogenous and continuous throughout the 188 whole length of the films.

190









(e)



(b)



(**d**)



(**f**)



Figure 2. Surface morphology and cross-section SEM images of (a-h) SnO₂ films deposited on
glass substrates for different substrate temperatures and, (i-j) commercial ITO.

3.2.Optical properties

194 Optical characteristics such as the average transmittance in the visible region and the value of 195 the optical band gap are considered to be key features for solar cell applications. The transmittance 196 spectra of the SnO₂ films deposited on glass substrate are displayed in Figure 3a. The average transmittance T_{avg} in the visible range increases from 83.6 up to 84.7% with the substrate 197 temperature (Table 3). As explained by Yang et al¹⁰ a low crystallinity of the films creates inherent 198 199 defects, which could cause defect scattering. On the other hand, when the crystallinity of the film 200 is improved and the light scattering due to the inherent defect decreases an increase in the average 201 transmittance of the film ensues, as observed for our samples. The effect of substrate temperature

on the average transmittance of the SnO_2 films concurs with the results in the litterature^{10,12}. The average transmittance of the prepared SnO_2 films are close to the values reported for undoped SnO_2 films^{37–39} and slightly lower than the average transmittance of the commercial ITO (Table 2).

The incident photon energy hv and the optical bandgap energy E_g are related through Tauc's equation⁴⁰ (Equation 4):

$$(\alpha hv) = A \left(hv - E_g \right)^{\frac{1}{n}},\tag{4}$$

where α is the absorption coefficient, *h* is the Planck constant, *hv* is the photon frequency, *A* a constant and n is related to the type of band transition (2 or 1/2 for direct and indirect transitions, respectively). The absorption coefficient α is calculated through the following relation:

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{t} \ln\left(\frac{1}{T}\right),\tag{5}$$

where *T* is the optical transmittance and *t* the thickness of the sample. The thickness *t* is determined by profilometric analysis for each sample (Table 2). In the assumption of a direct band transition for $\text{SnO}_2^{10,38}$, E_g was estimated by extrapolating the linear part of Tauc's plots $(ahv)^2$ vs. (hv) that intercepts the energy axis (Figure 3b). The estimated optical band gaps are 3.86 ± 0.04 eV for T_S $= 100^{\circ}$ C, 4.01 ± 0.04 eV for $T_S = 200^{\circ}$ C, 3.93 ± 0.04 eV for $T_S = 300^{\circ}$ C and 3.93 ± 0.04 eV for T_S $= 400^{\circ}$ C. The E_g values obtained are in agreement with the reported values for tetragonal SnO_2^{14} .



Figure 3. (a,c) UV - Visible transmittance spectrum and (b,d) Tauc's plot of the SnO₂ films for
different substrate temperature and commercial ITO.

219 **3.3.Electrical properties**

220 The electrical resistivity ρ is connected to the carrier concentration *n* and Hall mobility μ by the 221 following relation³⁹:

$$\rho = \frac{1}{ne\mu},\tag{6}$$

The values of ρ , *n* and μ measured at room temperature for the SnO₂ films grown at various 222 223 substrate temperatures on quartz are presented in Table 2. The measurements confirm the n-type 224 nature of all prepared SnO₂ films. With the growing substrate temperature, the electrical resistivity increases from 4.45×10^{-3} up to 3.26Ω .cm while the carrier concentration decreases from 6.78×10^{19} 225 down to 1.21×10^{19} cm⁻³. The mobility of the free electrons also declines from 20.7 down to 226 1.58×10^{-1} cm²/V.s. The effect of the substrate temperature on the resistivity of SnO₂ films observed 227 is in agreement with the literature^{11,41,42}. The source of carriers for undoped SnO_2 is oxygen 228 229 vacancies. An increment of temperature leads to a reduction in oxygen vacancies due to 230 chemisorption of oxygen. This phenomenon results in a drop in resistivity but also in carrier concentration observed in our study¹¹. It should be noted that a resistivity of order of $10^{-3} \Omega$.cm is 231 obtained for $T_S = 100^{\circ}$ C, while it is usually attained for higher substrate temperature^{33,41,42}. 232

Compared to commercial ITO (Table 2), the SnO₂ film prepared at $T_S = 100^{\circ}$ C has a higher electrical resistivity by an order of magnitude. The difference can be explained by the higher carrier concentration *n* for the commercial ITO by two orders of magnitude.

In addition, a useful tool for comparing the performance of TCOs is the figure of merit Φ_{TC} , as defined by Haacke⁴³:

$$\Phi_{TC} = \frac{T^{10}}{R_S},\tag{7}$$

where *T* is the optical transmittance and R_S the electrical sheet resistance of the sample. R_S is defined by the electrical resistivity ρ and the thickness *d* of the sample:

$$R_S = \frac{\rho}{d},\tag{8}$$

The figure of merit Φ_{TC} being inversely proportional to the electrical resistivity ρ , Φ_{TC} decreases with the temperature. The estimated values of Φ_{TC} (Table 2) show that the SnO₂ film prepared at $T_S = 100^{\circ}$ C has the potential to be a superior TCO compared to the films elaborated at higher substrate temperatures. The resistivity of ITO being lower than the SnO₂ film prepared at $T_S =$ 100°C the ITO's figure of merit is higher.

The SnO₂ films work function (WF) must match that of the layers of the device employed in. The estimated values are presented in Table 2. For all the samples WF is around 5 eV and in agreement with the literature¹¹. The estimated values are similar to the WF of the commercial ITO. Thus, the use of a buffer layer is necessary to improve the energy level alignment with the transport levels of the donor and acceptor employed in the devices.

250

Table 2. Thickness *t*, average optical transmittance T_{avg} in the visible range, electrical parameters

252 (n, ρ, μ) , figure of merit Φ_{TC} and work function WF values of the SnO₂ films and commercial ITO.

Sample	<i>t</i> (nm)	<i>T</i> _{avg} (%)	$n (\mathrm{cm}^{-3})$	ρ (Ω.cm)	μ (cm ² /V.s)	$\Phi_{TC}\left(\Omega^{-1} ight)$	WF (eV)
$T_S = 100 ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	155 ± 3	83.6	-6.78×10 ¹⁹	4.45×10^{-3}	20.7	5.77×10^{-4}	5.02
$T_S = 200 \ ^\circ \mathrm{C}$	160 ± 5	84.0	-5.84×10 ¹⁹	2.75×10 ⁻²	3.89	1.02×10 ⁻⁴	5.00
$T_S = 300 \ ^\circ \mathrm{C}$	155 ± 5	84.5	-4.22×10 ¹⁹	2.53×10 ⁻¹	5.85×10 ⁻¹	1.14×10 ⁻⁵	4.96
$T_S = 400 \ ^\circ \mathrm{C}$	250 ± 10	84.7	-1.21×10 ¹⁹	3.26	1.58×10^{-1}	1.45×10 ⁻⁶	4.99
ITO	165 ± 5	90.5	-1.38×10 ²¹	1.91×10 ⁻⁴	26.3	1.37×10 ⁻³	4.97

253

254 **3.4.Photovoltaic devices**

The requirements for an optimal TCO as replacement for ITO include a high electrical conductivity, a high transmission, and most importantly a proper work function. Therefore, the

SnO₂ film with the lowest electrical resistivity of $4.45 \times 10^{-3} \Omega$.cm was selected for the elaboration of an inverted OSC, using a blend of poly(3-hexylthiophene-2,5-diyl) (P3HT) and [6,6]-phenyl-C60-butryric acid methyl ester (PC₆₀BM) as active layer. A reference device with the same active layer and ITO as electrode is also elaborated. The current density–voltage (J–V) characteristics of the photovoltaic devices are shown in Figure 4 and the photovoltaic parameters are listed in Table 3.



Figure 4. Photocurrent-Voltage (J-V) characteristics of (a) the SnO₂ based photovoltaic device
and, (b) the ITO based photovoltaic device.

The SnO₂ based device has an open circuit voltage (V_{OC}) of 572 mV, a short circuit current density (J_{SC}) of 4.53 mA/cm², a fill factor (FF) of 30.7%, and a power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 0.79%. The obtained J_{SC} and V_{OC} are comparable to those the ITO based device, as opposed to the FF and PCE which are lower as shown in Table 3. This difference can be explained by the impact of the series (R_S) and the shunt (R_{Sh}) resistances, and by the electrical properties of both TCOs. The SnO₂ device presents an R_{Sh} of $3.97 \times 10^3 \Omega$.cm² compared to $4.86 \times 10^4 \Omega$.cm² for the ITO based device indicating the presence of greater number of shunt paths in the SnO₂ based 272 device compared to the ITO one. The higher dislocation density δ of the SnO₂ film, compared to the commercial ITO $(79.5 \pm 0.48 \ 10^2 \ \text{lines}/\mu\text{m}^2$ for SnO₂ compared to $5.51 \pm 0.33 \ 10^2 \ \text{lines}/\mu\text{m}^2$ 273 274 for ITO), correlates with the assessment of made in regard of the shunt resistance. In addition, the SnO₂ based device presents a R_S of 187.3 Ω .cm² about ten times higher than for the ITO based 275 device (17.3 Ω .cm²). The main effect of such a high R_S is to reduce the *FF* as observed. Therefore, 276 277 a lower PCE for the SnO₂ based device compared to the ITO based device is observed and could 278 be attributed to the lower electrical properties for SnO₂ compared to ITO and therefore to the lower 279 factor of merit Φ_{TC} . Nonetheless the SnO₂ based device is functional with a high V_{OC} . And to our 280 knowledge no undoped SnO_2 was employed as electrode in OSCs, since most of research studies 281 on SnO₂ thin films as TCOs are focused on foreign element doping^{10,44}. These results are 282 promising, since there is a hope to continue improving the electrical properties of SnO₂ films in 283 order to reach efficiency close to the values obtained with ITO.

284

Table 3. Photovoltaic characteristics of the SnO₂ and ITO based photovoltaic devices.

Substrate	V_{OC} (mV)	J_{SC} (mA/cm ²)	P_m (mW)	Fill Factor (%)	PCE (%)	$R_{S}(\Omega.\mathrm{cm}^{2})$	$R_{Sh} (\Omega.cm^2)$
SnO ₂	572	5.98	7.959×10 ⁻⁵	30.7	0.79	187.3	3.97×10 ³
ITO	597	5.1	2.293×10 ⁻⁴	61.5	1.91	17.3	4.86×10 ⁴

286

287 4. CONCLUSION

Nanostructured SnO_2 films were deposited by (RF)-magnetron sputtering at different substrate temperatures for an Ar/O_2 gas flow ratio of 8/3. The structural, optical, and electrical properties of the films were investigated. The XRD profiles show that all deposited films are polycrystalline 291 and in the cassiterite tetragonal structure. The crystallinity of the films increases with the substrate 292 temperature. The average transmittance of all the films is above 80% in the visible range and the 293 optical band gap is around 4.0 eV. The SnO₂ film prepared at 100°C presents the lowest resistivity of 4.45×10^{-3} Ω .cm with a carrier concentration of 6.78×10^{19} cm⁻³. The transmittance, optical 294 295 bandgap, and work function SnO₂ films are similar to those of the commercial ITO. This leads to 296 a figure of merit (Haacke) in the same range for both SnO₂ and ITO. The SnO₂ based device 297 presents a J_{SC} and a V_{OC} values close to those obtained with the ITO based device, which is very 298 promising. Indeed, the PCE of the OSC is lower for SnO₂ than ITO only because the fill factor is 299 lower. Therefore, it is still possible to continue improving the electrical properties of the SnO₂ 300 films by improving the surface quality (to raise the shunt resistance) and the conductivity (to lower 301 the series resistance, possibly by adding dopants in SnO₂). The fact that the energy levels of ITO 302 and SnO₂ are similar (bandgap, work function) demonstrates the potential of SnO₂ to replace ITO 303 in organic solar cells.

305	Supplementary information
-----	---------------------------

306

307 The supplementary information is available free of charge. It contains additional experimental 308 details on the effect of O_2 partial pressure on the electrical properties of the elaborated SnO₂ thin 309 films by (RF)-magnetron sputtering, for a fixed substrate temperature of 100°C. 310 The supplementary information also contains a description of the method of patterning the 311 elaborated SnO₂ thin films. 312 **Corresponding Author** * Email: wissal.belayachi@jpcms.unistra.fr. 313 314 315 **Author Contributions** 316 The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval 317 318 to the final version of the manuscript. 319 Conceptualization, Gérald Ferblantier and Aziz Dinia; Formal analysis, Wissal Belayachi; 320 Funding acquisition, Abdelilah Slaoui, Mohammed Abd-Lefdil and Aziz Dinia; Investigation, 321 Wissal Belavachi, Thomas Fix, Stéphane Rocques, and Cédric Leuvrey; Methodology, Gérald 322 Ferblantier, Stéphane Rocques, Nicolas Zimmermann, Cédric Leuvrey, Thomas Fix and Guy 323 Schmerber; Project administration, Mohammed Abd-Lefdil and Aziz Dinia; Resources, Gérald 324 Ferblantier, Guy Schmerber, Thomas Fix, Abdelilah Slaoui, Mohammed Abd-Lefdil and Aziz 325 Dinia; Supervision, Gérald Ferblantier, Guy Schmerber, Abdelilah Slaoui, Mohammed Abd-Lefdil 326 and Aziz Dinia; Validation, Gérald Ferblantier, Jean-Luc Rehspringer, Mohammed Abd-Lefdil 327 and Aziz Dinia; Visualization, Wissal Belayachi; Writing - original draft, Wissal Belayachi;

328	Writing - review & editing, Wissal Belayachi, Gérald Ferblantier, Thomas Fix, Guy Schmerber,
329	Jean-Luc Rehspringer, Thomas Heiser, Abdelilah Slaoui, Mohammed Abd-Lefdil and Aziz Dinia.
330	
331	Funding Sources: This research was co-funded by H2020-MSCA-RISE-777968 and the
332	Erasmus+ Program of the European Union, grant number 573722.
333	
334	Acknowledgments: The authors thank the C3Fab platform (ICUBE. Strasbourg) for TCO thin
335	films and solar cells characterizations, especially Nicolas Zimmerman for the patterning of SnO ₂
336	films and preparation of the solar cells. The authors thank the SEM platform of CNRS-
337	Cronenbourg, especially Cedric Leuvrey for the SEM and EDX observations, the DRX platform
338	(IPCMS, Strasbourg), especially Marc Lenertz for the DRX observation. W.B. thanks the H2020
339	Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions program and the Erasmus+ Program of the European Union
340	project for financial support.
341	

343 **REFERENCES**

- 344 (1) Granqvist, C. G. Transparent Conductors as Solar Energy Materials: A Panoramic Review. 345 Solar Materials Solar Cells 2007, 91 Energy and (17),1529–1598. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2007.04.031. 346
- 347 (2) Minami, T. Transparent Conducting Oxide Semiconductors for Transparent Electrodes.
 348 Semicond. Sci. Technol. 2005, 20 (4), S35–S44. https://doi.org/10.1088/0268-1242/20/4/004.
- 349 (3) Kim, E.; Kwon, J.; Kim, C.; Kim, T.-S.; Choi, K. C.; Yoo, S. Design of Ultrathin OLEDs
- 350 Having Oxide-Based Transparent Electrodes and Encapsulation with Sub-Mm Bending Radius.
- 351 *Organic Electronics* **2020**, *82*, 105704. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgel.2020.105704.
- 352 (4) Template Deformation-Tailored ZnO Nanorod/Nanowire Arrays: Full Growth Control and
- 353 Optimization of Field-Emission Zeng 2009 Advanced Functional Materials Wiley Online
- Library https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/adfm.200900714 (accessed 2021 -08 -28).
- 355 (5) Chen, Z.; Pan, D.; Li, Z.; Jiao, Z.; Wu, M.; Shek, C.-H.; Wu, C. M. L.; Lai, J. K. L. Recent 356 Advances in Tin Dioxide Materials: Some Developments in Thin Films, Nanowires, and
- 357 Nanorods. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114 (15), 7442–7486. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr4007335.
- 358 (6) Fortunato, E.; Ginley, D.; Hosono, H.; Paine, D. C. Transparent Conducting Oxides for
 359 Photovoltaics. *MRS Bulletin* 2007, *32* (3), 242–247. https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs2007.29.
- 360 (7) Ihokura, K.; Watson, J. *The Stannic Oxide Gas Sensor: Principles and Applications*; CRC
 361 Press: Boca Raton, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203735893.
- 362 (8) Lalauze, R.; Breuil, P.; Pijolat, C. Thin Films for Gas Sensors. *Sensors and Actuators B:* 363 *Chemical* 1991, *3* (3), 175–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/0925-4005(91)80003-3.
- 364 (9) Batzill, M.; Diebold, U. The Surface and Materials Science of Tin Oxide. *Progress in* 365 *Surface Science* 2005, 79 (2), 47–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progsurf.2005.09.002.
- 366 (10) Yang, W.; Yu, S.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, W. Properties of Sb-Doped SnO2 Transparent
- Conductive Thin Films Deposited by Radio-Frequency Magnetron Sputtering. *Thin Solid Films* **2013**, *542*, 285–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2013.06.077.
- 369 (11) Bansal, S.; Pandya, D. K.; Kashyap, S. C. Charge Transport Mechanism in High
 370 Conductivity Undoped Tin Oxide Thin Films Deposited by Reactive Sputtering. *Thin Solid Films*
- 371 **2012**, *524*, 30–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2012.09.062.
- 372 (12) Wang, Y.; Ma, J.; Ji, F.; Yu, X.; Ma, H. Structural and Photoluminescence Characters of
- 373 SnO2:Sb Films Deposited by RF Magnetron Sputtering. Journal of Luminescence 2005, 114 (1),
- 374 71–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2004.12.003.
- 375 (13) Montero, J.; Guillén, C.; Herrero, J. Nanocrystalline Antimony Doped Tin Oxide (ATO)
- 376 Thin Films: A Thermal Restructuring Study. *Surface and Coatings Technology* **2012**, *211*, 37–40.
- 377 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2011.07.068.

378 (14) Ferreira, M.; Loureiro, J.; Nogueira, A.; Rodrigues, A.; Martins, R.; Ferreira, I. SnO2 Thin

379 Film Oxides Produced by Rf Sputtering for Transparent Thermoelectric Devices. *Materials Today:*

380 *Proceedings* **2015**, *2* (2), 647–653. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2015.05.090.

- 381 (15) Kaur, M.; Dadhich, B. K.; Singh, R.; KailasaGanapathi; Bagwaiya, T.; Bhattacharya, S.;
- 382 Debnath, A. K.; Muthe, K. P.; Gadkari, S. C. RF Sputtered SnO2: NiO Thin Films as Sub-Ppm
- 383 H2S Sensor Operable at Room Temperature. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical 2017, 242, 389–
- 384 403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.11.054.
- Ma, J.; Hao, X.; Ma, H.; Xu, X.; Yang, Y.; Huang, S.; Zhang, D.; Cheng, C. RF Magnetron
 Sputtering SnO2: Sb Films Deposited on Organic Substrates. *Solid State Communications* 2002, *121* (6), 345–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-1098(02)00009-1.
- 388 (17) de Moure-Flores, F.; Quiñones-Galván, J. G.; Hernández-Hernández, A.; Guillén-
- 389 Cervantes, A.; Santana-Aranda, M. A.; Olvera, M. de la L.; Meléndez-Lira, M. Structural, Optical
- 390 and Electrical Properties of Cd-Doped SnO2 Thin Films Grown by RF Reactive Magnetron Co-
- 391 Sputtering. *Applied Surface Science* 2012, 258 (7), 2459–2463.
- 392 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2011.10.072.
- Xu, B.; Ren, X.-G.; Gu, G.-R.; Lan, L.-L.; Wu, B.-J. Structural and Optical Properties of
 Zn-Doped SnO2 Films Prepared by DC and RF Magnetron Co-Sputtering. *Superlattices and Microstructures* 2016, *89*, 34–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spmi.2015.10.043.
- 396 (19) Aragón, F. H.; Aquino, J. C. R.; Gomes, N. C. S.; Ardisson, J. D.; da Silva, S. W.; Pacheco-
- 397 Salazar, D. G.; Coaquira, J. A. H. Characterization of Polycrystalline SnO2 Films Deposited by
- 398 DC Sputtering Technique with Potential for Technological Applications. Journal of the European
- 399 *Ceramic Society* **2017**, *37* (10), 3375–3380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2017.04.014.
- 400 Mehraj, S.; Ansari, M. S.; Alimuddin. Annealed SnO2 Thin Films: Structural, Electrical (20)401 and Their Magnetic Properties. Thin Solid Films 2015, 589, 57-65. 402 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2015.04.065.
- 403 (21) Singh, J.; Kumar, R.; Verma, V.; Kumar, R. Structural and Optoelectronic Properties of
- 404 Epitaxial Ni-Substituted Cr2O3 Thin Films for p-Type TCO Applications. Materials Science in
- 405 *Semiconductor Processing* **2021**, *123*, 105483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2020.105483.
- 406 (22) Patel, P.; Karmakar, A.; Jariwala, C.; Ruparelia, J. P. Preparation and Characterization of
- 407 SnO2 Thin Film Coating Using Rf-Plasma Enhanced Reactive Thermal Evaporation. Procedia
- 408 Engineering **2013**, *51*, 473–479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2013.01.067.
- 409 (23) Yadav, S.; Kumari, S.; Ghoshal, S. K.; Kumar, R.; Chaudhary, S. K.; Mohan, D. Effect of
- 410 Ultraviolet Radiation Exposure on Optical Nonlinearity and Switching Traits of SnO2 Thin Films
- 411 Deposited by Thermal Evaporation. Optics & Laser Technology 2021, 133, 106575.
- 412 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optlastec.2020.106575.
- 413 (24) Vaufrey, D.; Ben Khalifa, M.; Besland, M. P.; Sandu, C.; Blanchin, M. G.; Teodorescu,
- 414 V.; Roger, J. A.; Tardy, J. Reactive Ion Etching of Sol-Gel-Processed SnO2 Transparent

- 415 Conducting Oxide as a New Material for Organic Light Emitting Diodes. *Synthetic Metals* 2002,
 416 *127* (1), 207–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-6779(01)00624-5.
- 417 (25) Seo, M.; Akutsu, Y.; Kagemoto, H. Preparation and Properties of Sb-Doped SnO2/Metal
- 418 Substrates by Sol-Gel and Dip Coating. Ceramics International 2007, 33 (4), 625-629.
- 419 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2005.11.013.
- 420 (26) Carvalho, D. H. Q.; Schiavon, M. A.; Raposo, M. T.; de Paiva, R.; Alves, J. L. A.; Paniago,
- 421 Roberto. M.; Speziali, N. L.; Ferlauto, A. S.; Ardisson, J. D. Synthesis and Characterization of
- 422 SnO2 Thin Films Prepared by Dip-Coating Method. *Physics Procedia* 2012, 28, 22–27.
- 423 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2012.03.664.
- 424 (27) Bouznit, Y.; Henni, A. Characterization of Sb Doped SnO2 Films Prepared by Spray
- 425 Technique and Their Application to Photocurrent Generation. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*
- 426 **2019**, *233*, 242–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2019.05.072.
- 427 (28) Korotcenkov, G.; Brinzari, V.; Boris, Y.; Ivanov, M.; Schwank, J.; Morante, J. Influence
- 428 of Surface Pd Doping on Gas Sensing Characteristics of SnO2 Thin Films Deposited by Spray
- 429
 Pirolysis. Thin Solid Films
 2003,
 436
 (1),
 119–126.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040

 430
 6090(03)00506-6.
 6090(03)00506-6.
 6090(03)00506-6.
 6090(03)00506-6.
- 431 (29) Dagkaldiran, Ü.; Gordijn, A.; Finger, F.; Yates, H. M.; Evans, P.; Sheel, D. W.; Remes, Z.;
- 432 Vanecek, M. Amorphous Silicon Solar Cells Made with SnO2:F TCO Films Deposited by
- 433 Atmospheric Pressure CVD. Materials Science and Engineering: B 2009, 159–160, 6–9.
- 434 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2008.10.037.
- (30) Sharma, S.; Chhoker, S. CVD Grown Doped and Co-Doped SnO2 Nanowires and Its
 Optical and Electrical Studies. *Materials Today: Proceedings* 2020, 28, 375–378.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.02.774.
- 438 (31) Karunakaran, C.; Sakthi Raadha, S.; Gomathisankar, P. Microstructures and Optical,
- 439 Electrical and Photocatalytic Properties of Sonochemically and Hydrothermally Synthesized SnO2
- 440 Nanoparticles. Journal of Alloys and Compounds 2013, 549, 269–275.
- 441 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2012.09.035.
- 442 (32) Cao, X.; Shu, Y.; Hu, Y.; Li, G.; Liu, C. Integrated Process of Large-Scale and Size-
- 443 Controlled SnO2 Nanoparticles by Hydrothermal Method. *Transactions of Nonferrous Metals*444 *Society of China* 2013, *23* (3), 725–730. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326(13)62521-2.
- 445 (33) Kim, S. E.-K.; Oliver, M. Structural, Electrical, and Optical Properties of Reactively 446 Sputtered SnO2 Thin Films. *Met. Mater. Int.* **2010**, *16* (3), 441–446.
- 447 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12540-010-0614-6.
- 448 (34) Scherrer, P. Bestimmung Der Größe Und Der Inneren Struktur von Kolloidteilchen Mittels
 449 Röntgenstrahlen. 1918.

- (35) Uvarov, V.; Popov, I. Metrological Characterization of X-Ray Diffraction Methods at
 Different Acquisition Geometries for Determination of Crystallite Size in Nano-Scale Materials.
- 452 *Materials Characterization* **2013**, *85*, 111–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2013.09.002.
- 453 (36) Williamson, G. K.; Smallman, R. E. III. Dislocation Densities in Some Annealed and Cold-
- 454 Worked Metals from Measurements on the X-Ray Debye-Scherrer Spectrum. The Philosophical
- 455 Magazine: A Journal of Theoretical Experimental and Applied Physics 1956, 1 (1), 34–46.
- 456 https://doi.org/10.1080/14786435608238074.
- 457 (37) Gorley, P. M.; Khomyak, V. V.; Bilichuk, S. V.; Orletsky, I. G.; Horley, P. P.; Grechko,
- 458 V. O. SnO2 Films: Formation, Electrical and Optical Properties. *Materials Science and* 459 *Engineering: B* 2005, *118* (1), 160–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2004.12.026.
- 460 (38) Alhuthali, A.; El-Nahass, M. M.; Atta, A. A.; Abd El-Raheem, M. M.; Elsabawy, K. M.;
- 461 Hassanien, A. M. Study of Topological Morphology and Optical Properties of SnO2 Thin Films
- 462 Deposited by RF Sputtering Technique. Journal of Luminescence 2015, 158, 165-171.
- 463 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2014.09.044.
- 464 (39) Minami, T.; Nanto, H.; Takata, S. Highly Conducting and Transparent SnO2 Thin Films
 465 Prepared by RF Magnetron Sputtering on Low-Temperature Substrates. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 1988,
 466 27 (3A), L287. https://doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.27.L287.
- 467 (40) Amorphous and Liquid Semiconductors; Tauc, J., Ed.; Springer US, 1974.
 468 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-8705-7.
- 469 (41) Kim, I. H.; Ko, J. H.; Kim, D.; Lee, K. S.; Lee, T. S.; Jeong, J. -h.; Cheong, B.; Baik, Y.-
- 470 J.; Kim, W. M. Scattering Mechanism of Transparent Conducting Tin Oxide Films Prepared by
- 471 Magnetron Sputtering. *Thin Solid Films* 2006, 515 (4), 2475–2480.
 472 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2006.07.020.
- 473 (42) Beensh-Marchwicka, G.; Król-Stępniewska, L.; Misiuk, A. High Temperature Oxidized
- 474 SnO2 Films Prepared by Reactive Sputtering. *Active and Passive Electronic Components* 1987,
 475 *12* (3), 191–200. https://doi.org/10.1155/1987/49720.
- 476 (43) Haacke, G. New Figure of Merit for Transparent Conductors. Journal of Applied Physics
- 477 **2008**, 47 (9), 4086. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.323240.
- 478 (44) Lee, J.; Kim, N.-H.; Park, Y. S. Characteristics of SnO2:Sb Films as Transparent
- 479 Conductive Electrodes of Flexible Inverted Organic Solar Cells. Journal of Nanoscience and
- 480 *Nanotechnology* **2016**, *16* (5), 4973–4977. https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2016.12173.
- 481

Table of Content

485	Figure 1. X-ray diffraction pattern of a) SnO ₂ films deposited on glass substrates with different
486	substrate temperatures and, b) commercial ITO. The patterns have been translated vertically for
487	better viewing purposes
488	Figure 2. Surface morphology and cross-section SEM images of (a-h) SnO ₂ films deposited on
489	glass substrates for different substrate temperatures and, (i-j) commercial ITO12
490	Figure 3. (a,c) UV - Visible transmittance spectrum and (b,d) Tauc's plot of the SnO ₂ films for
491	different substrate temperature and commercial ITO
492	Figure 4. Photocurrent-Voltage (J-V) characteristics of (a) the SnO ₂ based photovoltaic device
493	and, (b) the ITO based photovoltaic device
494	

List of tables

496	
497	Table 1. Unit cell parameters a, b and c, unit cell volume V, crystallite size D, and dislocation
498	density δ of the SnO ₂ films and commercial ITO
499	Table 2. Thickness <i>t</i> , average optical transmittance T_{avg} in the visible range, electrical parameters
500	(n, ρ, μ) , figure of merit Φ_{TC} and work function WF values of the SnO ₂ films and commercial ITO.
501	
502	Table 3. Photovoltaic characteristics of the SnO ₂ and ITO based photovoltaic devices
503	