

Mn-Mediated α -Radical Addition of Carbonyls to Olefins: Systematic Study, Scope, and Electrocatalysis

Sylvain Charvet, Maurice Médebielle, Julien Vantourout

▶ To cite this version:

Sylvain Charvet, Maurice Médebielle, Julien Vantourout. Mn-Mediated α -Radical Addition of Carbonyls to Olefins: Systematic Study, Scope, and Electrocatalysis. Journal of Organic Chemistry, 2022, 87 (9), pp.5690-5702. 10.1021/acs.joc.2c00054. hal-03798627

HAL Id: hal-03798627 https://hal.science/hal-03798627

Submitted on 16 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. The Journal of Organic Chemistry

pubs.acs.org/joc

Article

¹ Mn-Mediated α-Radical Addition of Carbonyls to Olefins: Systematic ² Study, Scope, and Electrocatalysis

3 Sylvain Charvet, Maurice Médebielle, and Julien C. Vantourout*



4 **ABSTRACT**: A systematic study of the manganese-mediated α -radical addition of carbonyl groups to olefins is presented. After an s in-depth investigation of the parameters that govern the reaction, a first round of optimization allowed the development of a unified 6 stoichiometric set of conditions, which were subsequently assessed during the exploration of the scope. Due to observed limitations, 7 the knowledge accumulated during the initial study was reengaged to quickly optimize promising substrates that were so far 8 inaccessible under previously reported conditions. Altogether these results led to the creation of a predictive model based on the pKa 9 of the carbonyl compound and both the substitution and geometry of the alkene coupling partner. Finally, a departure from the use 10 of stoichiometric manganese was enabled through the development of a robust and practical electrocatalytic version of the reaction.

1. INTRODUCTION

11 One of the central challenges of our century is the 12 development of sustainable chemical processes.¹ Following 13 this concept, the use of first row transition metals has become 14 essential in catalysis.² Manganese is abundant, inexpensive, and 15 nontoxic and participates in a myriad of transformations due to 16 the wide range of oxidation states it can adopt (from 0 to +7, 17 [Ar] $3d^54s^2$).³ In the framework of a new research program 18 dedicated to manganese catalysis, we are particularly interested 19 in the systematic study of reactions previously neglected due to 20 low practicality and lack of mechanistic understanding.

For our first study, we selected the field of α -alkylation of 22 carbonyls as it is a central and well-established transformation 23 in organic synthesis that could still benefit from improvement 24 (Figure 1).⁴ Indeed, the coupling of enolates with nucleofuge-25 containing molecules under basic conditions is extensively 26 employed, but its low atom-economy makes it often 27 industrially impracticable.⁵ Similarly, modern procedures 28 employing a combination of a metal-based catalyst with 29 directing amines have allowed the use of alkenes as coupling 30 partners, which circumvent any waste generation.⁶ However, 31 the expensive catalysts and/or reagents employed in these 32 protocols make them difficult to implement on scale. Despite 33 the current limitations associated with the Mn-mediated



Figure 1. Introduction to α -alkylation of carbonyls.

Received: January 10, 2022

f1



pubs.acs.org/joc

Scheme 1. Introduction: (A) State of the Art and (B) Core of This Study



34 carbonyl α -radical addition to olefins (vide infra), we identified 35 this transformation as a full atom-economy alternative to 36 existing enolates and metal-based systems. Therefore, we 37 decided to systematically investigate the parameters that 38 govern this reaction to assemble a general and robust set of 39 conditions that would supplant existing procedures.⁷

2. STATE OF THE ART

s1

⁴⁰ Since the seminal 1968 back-to-back publications of ⁴¹ Finkbeiner and Heiba, Mn(III) has emerged as an ideal ⁴² catalyst to promote various oxidative free-radical reactions.^{8,9} ⁴³ In these pioneering reports, a nonactivated olefin reacts with ⁴⁴ solvent quantities of AcOH in the presence of a stoichiometric ⁴⁵ amount of Mn(OAc)₃ and KOAc under reflux to afford the ⁴⁶ corresponding lactone (Scheme 1A.1). After 2 years, the group ⁴⁷ of Nikishin demonstrated that a large amount of the carbonyl ⁴⁸ compound (20 equiv) was also able to react with alkenes in an intermolecular fashion to deliver the α -functionalized ketone 2, 49 albeit in low yield (Scheme 1A.2).¹⁰ Indeed, Mn(III) is able to 50 oxidize carbonyl compounds to generate an α -keto free radical, 51 which can subsequently react with an unsaturated substrate to 52 deliver the desired coupled product.¹¹ The detailed mechanism 53 of this reaction has been extensively studied and proposed by 54 Peterson and Snider.^{12,13} It subtly depends on the nature of the 55 carbonyl starting material but can generally be summarized as 56 depicted in Scheme 1A.3. It begins with the coordination of 57 carbonyl 3 onto the $Mn(OAc)_3$ catalyst to form complex 4, 58 followed by loss of the α -proton assisted by one of the acetate 59 groups to generate enolate 5. The latter is oxidized by $Mn(III)_{60}$ to give the free-radical intermediate 6 that subsequently reacts $_{61}$ with the olefin, forging the C–C bond and affording the more $_{62}$ stable radical species 7. This sequence may also be concerted if ₆₃ the enolate oxidation step is sluggish.¹³ Finally, a termination 64 Scheme 2. Development of a Unified Stoichiometric Set of Conditions: (A) Study of the Influence of Key Parameters and (B) Optimized Set of Reaction Conditions^a



"Reactions were run on a 0.25 mmol scale. A1: cyclohexanone (3 equiv), hexadecene (1 equiv), $Mn(OAc)_3$ (1.5 equiv), *solvent* (0.75 M), 70 °C, Ar, 16 h; A2: cyclohexanone (3 equiv), hexadecene (1 equiv), *catalyst* (1 equiv), EtOH (0.75 M), 70 °C, Ar, 16 h; A3: cyclohexanone (3 equiv), hexadecene (1 equiv), $Mn(OAc)_3$ (1 equiv), EtOH (0.75 M), 70 °C, Ar, 16 h; A4: cyclohexanone (3 equiv), hexadecene (1 equiv), $Mn(OAc)_3$ (1 equiv), EtOH (0.75 M), 70 °C, Ar, 16 h; A4: cyclohexanone (3 equiv), hexadecene (1 equiv), $Mn(OAc)_3$ (1 equiv), EtOH (0.75 M), 70 °C, Ar, 16 h; A5: cyclohexanone (3 equiv), hexadecene (1 equiv), $Mn(OAc)_3$ (1 equiv), EtOH (0.75 M), 70 °C, Ar, 16 h; A5: cyclohexanone (3 equiv), hexadecene (1 equiv), $Mn(OAc)_3$ (1 equiv), EtOH (0.75 M), 70 °C, *atmosphere*, 16 h; A7: cyclohexanone (*equivalents*), hexadecene (1 equiv), $Mn(OAc)_3$ (1 equiv), EtOH (0.75 M), 70 °C, Ar, 16 h; A6: cyclohexanone (1 equiv), $Mn(OAc)_3$ (1 equiv), EtOH (0.75 M), 70 °C, *atmosphere*, 16 h; A7: cyclohexanone (*equivalents*), hexadecene (1 equiv), $Mn(OAc)_3$ (1 equiv), EtOH (0.75 M), 70 °C, Ar, 16 h; A8: cyclohexanone (3 equiv), hexadecene (1 equiv), $Mn(OAc)_3$ (1 equiv), EtOH (0.75 M), 70 °C, Ar, 16 h; A7: cyclohexanone (*equivalents*), hexadecene (1 equiv), $Mn(OAc)_3$ (1 equiv), EtOH (0.75 M), 70 °C, Ar, 16 h; A7: cyclohexanone (*equivalents*), EtOH (*concentration*), 70 °C, Ar, 16 h. Yields refer to GCMS yields using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. Isolated yields are shown in brackets.

65 step via hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) allows the formation 66 of desired product **8**. The reaction tolerates a wide range of ubiquitous carbonyl 67 compounds, including ketones, esters, keto-esters, diesters, 68

69 aldehydes, and carboxylic acids.¹¹ In addition, the possibility 70 for the carbonyl moieties to react with nonactivated olefins 71 using earth abundant manganese renders this transformation 72 highly attractive and broadly applicable, especially in the 73 context of natural product synthesis (Scheme 1A.4).¹⁴ While 74 the Snider group among others reported several intramolecular 75 polycyclization cascades,¹⁵ the Mamdapur groups applied the 76 intermolecular version of the Mn-mediated α -radical addition 77 of carbonyl groups to olefins as a key step for the synthesis of 78 queen bee pheromone 9 and himasecolone 10, respectively.¹⁶ 79 Despite all the advantages it offers, the intermolecular version so of the reaction still suffers from major limitations such as (1)81 the absence of a general set of conditions; (2) the need for a 82 large amount of the carbonyl starting material to be employed 83 (usually more than 10 equiv); (3) the use of acetic acid as the 84 solvent which lowers the functional group tolerance; and (4) 85 the lack of understanding with regard to some reaction 86 parameters including temperature, concentration, atmosphere, 87 and more importantly, the nature of the alkene coupling 88 partner (Scheme 1A.4). These limitations hamper the 89 widespread use of this powerful transformation. Another 90 significant drawback that reduces the broad application of 91 this reaction is the (over)stoichiometric amount of the 92 Mn(OAc)₃ catalyst often required to afford satisfactory 93 yields.¹¹ Such an excess amount of the manganese catalyst 94 leads to a tedious workup, as exemplified in several studies.^{15e} 95 To overcome this issue, several groups have attempted to run 96 the reaction under oxidative conditions to regenerate Mn(III) 97 from Mn(II).^{11,17} As a selected example, the Ishii group 98 reported the coupling between cyclohexanone 3 and octene 11 99 to afford desired product 12 in 59% yield (Scheme 1A.5).¹⁸ 100 This set of conditions only requires 0.1 mol % of the 101 Mn(OAc)₂ catalyst and 0.05 mol % of the $Co(OAc)_2$ co-102 catalyst to be employed under a mixed atmosphere of oxygen 103 and nitrogen. However, the large amount of the ketone starting 104 material and the use of AcOH as the solvent remain two major 105 limitations which reduce the applicability of the reaction. As an 106 alternative to chemical oxidative conditions, it was demon-107 strated that Mn(II) could be oxidized to Mn(III) under 108 electrochemical conditions (Scheme 1A.5).¹⁹ In these studies, 109 the products are obtained in good yield using low Mn(II) 110 catalyst loadings (down to 10 mol %). Nevertheless, the use of 111 solvent quantities of AcOH, the necessity to run the reaction in 112 a divided cell, and the absence of a general procedure reduce 113 the synthetic value of this catalytic approach.

The aforementioned limitations have certainly lowered the 115 uptake of the Mn-mediated α -radical addition of carbonyl 116 groups to olefins,^{11f} and although this reaction has been 117 exemplified multiple times in the literature, it has only been 118 sporadically studied.²⁰ We recognized that if rendered 119 practical, such a reaction could undoubtedly impact the field 120 of carbonyl α -functionalization. Therefore, we decided to (1) 121 investigate the parameters that govern this transformation; (2) 122 assemble a general set of stoichiometric reaction conditions; 123 (3) evaluate the scope and its limitations; (4) reengage the 124 knowledge accumulated during the initial study to quickly 125 optimize low yielding substrates; and (5) depart from the use 126 of stoichiometric manganese with the development of a general 127 electrocatalytic version of the reaction (Scheme 1B).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Systematic Study of the Reaction Parameters. We began our investigation by studying the parameters that govern

the Mn-mediated α -radical addition of carbonyls to olefins 130 between cyclohexanone 3 and 1-hexadecene 13 (Scheme 2A). 131 s2 As most of the existing intermolecular processes suffer from 132 the requirement for a large amount of the carbonyl compound 133 to be used under oxidative conditions, the excess of ketone 3 134 was limited to 3 equiv and the reaction was run under argon. 135 Based on previously reported conditions, $Mn(OAc)_3$ was 136 selected as the stoichiometric catalyst source and the reaction 137 was conducted at 70 °C. Inspired by the elegant Mn(III)- 138 catalyzed intramolecular cyclization study disclosed by the 139 Snider group, where the authors described the impact of the 140 solvent and especially the beneficial effect of using EtOH to 141 enhance the HAT termination step, we initially studied this 142 parameter.²¹ To our surprise, AcOH, which is the preferred 143 solvent for the majority of previously reported conditions, only 144 afforded 14% of desired product 14 (Scheme 2A.1). This is 145 potentially due to the limited amount of ketone (3 equiv) used 146 in the reaction, which cannot compete with the competitive 147 lactone formation reported by Finkbeiner and Heiba (Scheme 148 1A.1). DMA, DME, DMF, MeCN, DCE, and HFIP were also 149 tested but only delivered moderate yields (22-46%, Scheme 150 2A.1). This trend can be rationalized by the reduced ability of 151 these solvents to facilitate the final HAT process as the H-atom 152 source, constraining the ketone starting material or the desired 153 product to serve this role. The subsequent radicals can then 154 result in the formation of unwanted byproducts (see the 155 Supporting Information for details). As expected, based on 156 Snider initial disclosure, out of all the solvents that were 157 screened, EtOH conferred the best result (74% yield), while 158 toluene provided a moderate yield of 58% (Scheme 2A.1).²¹ 159 This result matches Snider initial observation. In each case, the 160 corresponding stabilized α -hydroxy or benzylic radical afforded 161 by the HAT termination step can explain why these solvents 162 offered an improved outcome. The remarkably enhanced 163 conversion observed in EtOH can also be supported by several 164 studies which previously highlighted its ability to enhance the 165 reactivity of manganese-based processes.²² Screening of 166 commercially available catalyst sources was then attempted 167 using EtOH as the solvent (Scheme 2A.2). Unfortunately, 168 Mn(dpm)₃ and Mn(acac)₃ resulted in none and 14% 169 conversion to product 14, respectively. The steric hindrance 170 engendered by dpm or acac ligands surrounding the metal 171 center obstructs the formation of coordinated intermediate 4, 172 which impedes product formation. In addition, such ligands 173 could lead to the formation of undesired α -functionalized 174 byproducts. A non-commercially available Mn(pic)₃ catalyst 175 was also tried under the reaction conditions but did not 176 provide a satisfactory yield compared to $Mn(OAc)_3$ (see the 177 Supporting Information for details). Unsurprisingly, the use of 178 $Mn(OAc)_2$ did not afford any product as oxidation to $Mn(III)_{179}$ is impossible under an argon atmosphere. The influence of 180 temperature on the reaction was also investigated (Scheme 181 2A.3). Temperatures lower than 60 °C considerably 182 diminished the yield as it decreased the solubility of the 183 manganese catalyst, while temperatures higher than 70 °C 184 significantly increased byproduct formation. The impact of the 185 amount of manganese was then studied (Scheme 2A.4). While 186 increasing the number of equivalents from 1 to 1.5 improved 187 the yield of compound 14 from 70 to 74%, lowering the 188 loading from 1 to 0.5 equivalents resulted in a decreased yield 189 of 51%. This result is in accordance with the proposed 190 mechanism where Mn(III) is reduced to Mn(II) to generate 191 keto-radical 6. Under inert conditions, Mn(II) cannot be re- 192

pubs.acs.org/joc

Article

Scheme 3. Scope of the Reaction: (A) Carbonyls, (B) Alkenes, (C) Limitations, and (D) Robustness Test^a



^{*a*}Yields refer to GCMS yields using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. Isolated yields are shown in brackets. ^{*b*}Acetone is used as the solvent.

193 oxidized and a (super)stoichiometric amount of manganese 194 needs to be employed. Of note, using a large amount of the 195 manganese catalyst (e.g., 3 equiv) resulted in low conversion to 196 the desired product (44%), possibly due to precipitation of the 197 catalyst. Several additives were screened, and their impact on the reaction efficiency was studied (Scheme 2A.5). Doping the 198 reaction with acidic additives such as AcOH, PivOH, and 199 $B(OH)_3$ resulted in unexpectedly lower conversions. Unin- 200 tuitively, adding external bases including KOAc, Et₃N, DIPEA, 201 imidazole, NaOEt, or ^tBuOK to facilitate the deprotonation 202

203 step did not increase the yield of the reaction and instead 204 considerably hampered conversion. We next turned our 205 attention to the atmosphere under which the coupling reaction 206 was being conducted. Running the reaction under argon 207 provided 70% of desired product 14, while an atmosphere of 208 oxygen only afforded 44% (Scheme 2A.6). A careful analysis of 209 the byproduct distribution allowed us to explain the drastic 210 differences observed between an inert and oxidizing environ-211 ment (see the Supporting Information for details). Indeed, 212 under air or oxygen, the ketone starting material is consumed 213 by undesired processes leading to dimeric and α -oxidized 214 species, thus lowering the overall yield of the reaction. Based 215 on the elegant study from the group of Ishii, the impact of ²¹⁶ using a Co(II) co-catalyst was also reevaluated (vide supra).¹⁸ 217 Attempts to apply these conditions to our system resulted in 218 low efficiency and only gave a 21% yield of desired product 14. 219 A reduction in ketone stoichiometry (1 or 2 equiv) diminished 220 the yield considerably, and compound 14 was only obtained in 221 27 and 34% yields, respectively (Scheme 2A.7). The use of a 222 large amount of ketone (5 or 10 equiv) delivered high yields, ²²³ matching with previously reported results from the literature.¹ 224 Finally, as both the solubility of the manganese catalyst and 225 overall concentration of the ketone starting material appeared 226 to greatly impact the yield of the reaction, we hypothesized 227 that the concentration should also play an important role in the 228 outcome of the transformation (Scheme 2A.8). Moving from a 229 concentration of 0.5 to 0.1 M significantly decreased the yield 230 from 70 to 38%. Similarly, the yield of the reaction was 231 markedly lowered when the concentration was too high due to 232 catalyst insolubility and lower stirring efficiency.

3.2. Optimized Stoichiometric Set of Reaction 3.3. Conditions. Using the knowledge gained throughout this **3.3.** in-depth study, a simple and general Mn-mediated α -radical **3.4.** addition of carbonyls to olefins was developed (see the **3.7.** Supporting Information for details). Running the reaction with **3.8.** a slight amount of Mn(OAc)₃ in EtOH at suitable temperature **3.9.** (70 °C) and concentration (0.75 M) under an atmosphere of **3.9.** argon delivered desired product **14** in 67% isolated yield with **3.9.** and **3.9.** (Scheme 2B).

3.3. Scope of the Reaction. With the optimized 242 243 conditions in hand, we first started to assess the carbonyl 244 scope (Scheme 3A). Ketones such as cyclopentanone, acetone, 245 and indanone delivered compounds 15, 16, and 17 in 70, 59, 246 and 40% isolated yields, respectively. Noteworthily, the 247 conversion for compounds 16 and 17 (83 and 97%, 248 respectively) was much higher than the isolated yield due to 249 challenging purification. α -Tetralone, which was known to be 250 unreactive under previously reported conditions, afforded 251 compound 18 in 17% yield. Boc-protected piperidin-4-one 252 afforded desired product 19 in 63% isolated yield. Strikingly, 253 this compound was only obtained in a trace amount following 254 the original literature conditions, which highlights the 255 importance of using EtOH instead of AcOH to improve the 256 functional group tolerance. Nitroacetate did not give the 257 desired linear product but the cyclized compound 20 in a 258 moderate isolated yield of 33%. Other carbonyls including 259 diester, keto-ester, and cyanoacetate proved compatible under 260 the reaction conditions with compounds 21, 22, and 23 261 obtained in good yields (63-74%). Unfortunately, due to 262 higher α -C-H pKa values, amides and esters did not react 263 under the reaction conditions (compounds 32 and 33, Scheme 264 3C). Similarly, compound 34 issued from the coupling between methyl isopropyl ketone (MIPK) and 1-hexadecene 265 13 was only detected in negligible amounts (traces in GCMS). 266

Regarding the alkene scope, stabilized disubstituted olefins 267 such as prop-1-en-2-yl acetate when reacting with cyclo- 268 hexanone 3 delivered product 27 in 61% isolated yield. 269 Alkynes were also successfully coupled under the reaction 270 conditions with alkene 29 obtained in 43% yield (14:1 E/Z 271 ratio). Functionalization of valuable alkenes such as camphene 272 and (+)- β -citronellene afforded different outcomes with 273 compounds 30 obtained in 66% yield, while only a trace 274 amount of compound 31 was detected. In addition, cyclo- 275 hexene when coupled with indanone delivered compound 28 276 in 16% isolated yield, highlighting that 1,2-disubstituted 277 nonstabilized olefins are challenging compounds to function- 278 alize. This trend was further confirmed when 1-methylcyclo- 279 hex-1-ene, (E)-non-4-ene, and (Z)-non-4-ene were used as 280 alkene coupling partners with no desired or trace product 281 formation observed. 282

Regarding functional group tolerance, the optimized 283 reaction conditions proved highly efficient. Indeed, ester 284 (21-23 and 27), cyano (23), nitro (20), free (25), or TBS 285 (24)-protected alcohols, and acetyl groups (27) were all 286 tolerated under the reaction conditions and afforded the 287 desired products from moderate to good yields (27-74%). 288 Notably, halogen moieties were also stable under the reaction 289 conditions with bromine containing compound 25 obtained in 290 56% yield. To further assess the functional group tolerance, a 291 robustness test was performed by adding 1 equiv of an additive 292 bearing a specific reactive handle (Scheme 3D).²³ While 293 phenol, amide, and carboxylic acid moieties did not impact the 294 outcome of the reaction, amine- and nitro-based compounds 295 hampered both the conversion and yield. Interestingly, 296 pyridine lowered the conversion (73% instead of 92%) but 297 afforded a serviceable yield (53% instead of 74%). 298

All these results can be rationalized based on the nature of 299 the olefin or carbonyl coupling partners. Alkenes that afford 300 secondary radicals are not oxidized by Mn(III) allowing the 301 HAT step to proceed efficiently. However, when they react 302 with highly reactive carbonyl, the secondary radical can engage 303 with the oxygen and then undergo oxidation to give lactones or 304 dihydrofurans such as compound **20**. 1,2-Disubstituted alkenes 305 work poorly since the initial addition is slow. Finally, 1,1- 306 disubstituted alkenes and styrenes are the most reactive 307 alkenes, but the radicals issued from the initial addition step 308 are subsequently oxidized, so there is little or no HAT product 309 as exemplified by compound **30**.

Overall, the optimized set of reaction conditions delivered a 311 broad scope, but some unexpected and contrasting results 312 highlighted the difficulty to identify a truly general set of 313 conditions, especially with respect to the alkene coupling 314 partners. To equally compare our newly developed conditions 315 to the previously reported ones, we decided to run a control 316 where 10 equiv of carbonyl was used in AcOH in the presence 317 of 2.0 equiv of $Mn(OAc)_3$ at 70 °C. Strikingly, except for 318 nitroacetate, our reaction conditions outperformed the control 319 experiments. More importantly, for compounds **18**, **28**, **31**, **34**, 320 and **37**, our conditions always afforded products, while 321 previously reported ones were ineffective. This observation 322 motivated us to try to understand the reaction further to 323 optimize for those low yielding substrates. 324

3.4. Rapid Optimization of Low Yielding Substrates. 325 We envisioned that we could re-apply the knowledge 326 accumulated during our systematic study to identify key 327 Scheme 4. Broadening the Scope of the Reaction: (A) Reengaging Data from the Systematic Study, (B) Rapid Optimization of Initially Low Yielding Compounds, and (C) Predicting Reaction Outcome^a



^aYields refer to GCMS yields using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. Isolated yields are shown in brackets.

328 parameters that should impact the outcome of the reaction. If 329 successful, this approach would allow the practitioner to 330 quickly identify optimal reaction conditions to give at least 331 some product under the general stoichiometric set of 332 conditions for challenging substrates.

Throughout our initial investigation of the Mn-mediated α -333 334 radical addition of carbonyls to olefins, parameters such as 335 solvent, temperature, manganese loading, and concentration 336 were found to dramatically impact the reaction outcome 337 (Scheme 2). Due to its importance in previously reported 338 conditions by the Snider group, AcOH was also a variable that 339 needed to be considered.^{11g} With those parameters in mind, 340 eight sets of conditions were designed and assessed on eight 341 substrates containing seven ketones and two alkenes. Depend-342 ing on the substrates and the conditions used, huge reactivity 343 differences were observed matching with the observed 344 difficulty to develop a truly general protocol (vide supra). As 345 an example, cyanoester afforded high conversions to desired 346 product 23 under all tested conditions even at room 347 temperature. This extremely high reactivity can explain why 348 it is one of the most frequently used substrates in literature ³⁴⁹ reports.²⁴ Conversely, several changes impacted the conversion 350 to product 20 which results from the coupling between 351 nitroacetate and hexadecene. Running the reaction with more 352 equivalents of manganese (from 1.5 to 2.5 equiv), higher 353 temperature (from 70 to 90 °C), higher concentration (from 354 0.75 to 1 M), or using AcOH as an additive (5 or 10 equiv) 355 provided better conversions versus the original conditions. The 356 reactivity of α -tetralone, which already delivered reasonable 357 conversion (25%), was enhanced by simply adding 5 equiv of 358 AcOH to afford 56% of desired product 18. Similarly, 359 compound 38 was obtained in a moderate yield of 43% by 360 increasing the amount of manganese from 1.5 to 2.5 equiv.

While trying to optimize compounds 28, 34, and 39 proved more difficult, several conditions still afforded decent conversions (20-50%). Therefore, it was envisioned that the combination of all promising set of conditions could significantly increase the yield of initially low conversion set reactions. This merged approach was attempted on several so substrates, and the results are highlighted in Scheme 4B. Set Outstandingly, compounds 34, 18, 28, and 20, which were initially only observed in trace amounts or isolated in low yields using the initial set of conditions, were obtained in 50, so and 50,

Testing of these simple parameters (manganese loading, temperature, concentration, and AcOH additive) can quickly tidentify optimized conditions for challenging substrates. However, we thought it would be even better if the practitioner could predict the reaction outcome based on the nature of the carbonyl and the olefin.

378 3.5. Predicting Reaction Outcome. The systematic 379 optimization, scope evaluation, and the subsequent re-380 optimization of low yielding compounds afforded copious 381 data on reaction outcomes and allowed for a predictive model 382 that relied on (1) the pKa of the carbonyl and (2) the 383 geometry and substitution of the olefin to be assembled 384 (Scheme 4C).

Several studies have highlighted the importance of steric hindrance and pKa depending on the nature of the carbonyl partner.^{11,25} However, no general trends have been myriad due to the complexity of the transformation and the myriad of conditions associated to it. Based on our stoichiometric set of conditions, the reaction outcome can be predicted by simply using the pKa of the carbonyl starting 391 material (Scheme 4C.1). Carbonyl compounds with a pKa 392 lower than 24 will provide good yields under the optimized 393 stoichiometric conditions. For carbonyls with pKas between 24 394 and 29, the approach developed for the low yielding 395 compounds should be applied to obtain satisfactory yields. 396 Compounds with pKas higher than 29 such as esters and 397 amides will not deliver the desired product. 398

As highlighted by several reviews, the impact of the 399 substitution and geometry of the alkene coupling partner has 400 not been deeply studied.¹¹ Indeed, most of the examples 401 reported in the literature focus on the functionalization of 402 terminal nonsubstituted and cyclic olefins. The evaluation of 403 the reaction scope and the optimization of its limitations 404 allowed us to rationalize outcomes based on the nature of the 405 olefin (Scheme 4C.2). Terminal alkenes and alkynes as well as 406 stabilized disubstituted olefins are well tolerated under the 407 reaction conditions and should afford good yields using the 408 standard stoichiometric protocol. For nonstabilized, cyclic, and 409 cis/trans alkenes, the eight conditions designed using the 410 systematic study should deliver moderate yields. When the 411 olefin is too encumbered, no desired product should be 412 expected. 413

3.6. Development of an Electrocatalytic Set of 414 Conditions. With the stoichiometric set of conditions, the 415 knowledge accumulated from the systematic study, and the 416 ability to predict the reaction outcome, the practitioner will be 417 able to confidently run the Mn-mediated α -radical addition of 418 carbonyls to olefins. However, the need for a stoichiometric 419 amount of $Mn(OAc)_3$ was still a serious limitation from a 420 sustainability and scalability standpoint. The development of a 421 catalytic version was therefore sought. As mentioned in the 422 Introduction, electrochemical alternatives have been attempted 423 for Mn(III)-mediated reactions but have either not proven 424 general, were not deeply investigated, or suffered from 425 limitations such as the requirement for a divided cell and 426 limited substrate scope.^{15e,19,24b,26} Therefore, the development 427 of a robust electrochemical version of the Mn-mediated 428 carbonyl α -radical addition to olefins was undertaken. 42.9

The oxidation of Mn(II) to Mn(III) was first studied in an 430 undivided cell equipped with a graphite anode and cathode 431 (Scheme 5A). The reaction was conducted using $Mn(OAc)_2$ as 432 s5 the catalyst in AcOH at room temperature under argon. AcOH 433 was selected as the solvent due to the dual role it would ensure 434 through the course of the catalytic reaction. While proton 435 reduction would occur at the cathode, the subsequently 436 generated acetate would assist the regeneration of the 437 $Mn(OAc)_3$ active catalyst at the anode. After 1 F·mol⁻¹, the 438 formation of Mn(OAc)₃ was clearly detected. However, 439 passivation of the electrodes was also observed due to 440 accumulation of the Mn-based species on the anode surface. 441 Pleasingly, this undesirable phenomenon was easily suppressed 442 by simply applying alternating polarity. Indeed, such a mode 443 allow for the polarity of each electrode to be switched at a 444 defined frequency lowering charge accumulation. 445

With this proof of concept in hand, the carbonyl starting 446 material was carefully selected. Indeed, cyanoacetate was an 447 ideal coupling partner to start the optimization with as it 448 allowed the reaction to be conducted at room temperature and 449 tolerated the use of AcOH (vide supra, Scheme 4). The excess 450 of carbonyl was maintained at 3 equiv, while the amount of 451 $Mn(OAc)_2$ was fixed at 10 mol %. Based on our earlier 452 optimization studies, the impact of the [Mn]/[AcOH] ratio on 453

Scheme 5. Electrochemical Mn-Mediated α -Radical Addition of Carbonyls to Olefins: (A) e-Oxidation of Mn(II) to Mn(III), (B) Optimum [Mn]/[AcOH] Ratio, (C) Optimization, and (D) Scope^{*a*}



^{*a*}Reactions were conducted on a 0.6 mmol unless otherwise state. ^aGCMS yields using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ^bIsolated yields are shown in brackets. ^cReactions were conducted on a 1.2 mmol scale in EtOH (0.5 M) at rt. ^dReactions were conducted on a 1.2 mmol scale in EtOH (0.5 M) at 70 °C. ^eReaction was conducted on a 1.2 mmol scale using 20 equiv of AcOH in toluene/ EtOH (1:1, 0.5 M) at 90 °C.

the reaction outcome was first investigated (Scheme 5B). As 454 expected, a high [Mn]/[AcOH] ratio did not lead to sufficient 455 concentration of the active catalyst and afforded the desired 456 product in low yield. Conversely, too much AcOH in the 457 reaction gave a low [Mn]/[AcOH] ratio and reduced the 458 efficiency of the transformation. The optimal [Mn]/[AcOH] 459 ratio was obtained using 7.5 equiv of AcOH which afforded the 460 desired product 22 in 88% yield. With this optimized ratio in 461 hand, we turned our attention to the electrochemical reaction 462 conditions (Scheme 5C). To maximize the conversion, 15 mol 463 % of the $Mn(OAc)_2$ catalyst was used in the presence of 11.3 464 equiv of AcOH to maintain the [Mn]/[AcOH] ratio (0.02). 465 Under these conditions, the desired product was obtained in 466 more than 95% yield. A control experiment without AcOH led 467 to extremely low conversion. In the absence of alternating 468 polarity, compound 23 was only obtained in 15% yield, 469 supporting the detrimental impact of electrode passivation on 470 the reaction outcome. Using 1.5 $\text{F} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ instead of 3.0 $\text{F} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ 471 only delivered 49% of the desired compound, while using 10 472 mA instead of 5 mA also lowered the yield to 68%. To avoid 473 scalability issues associated with the use of perchlorate-based 474 electrolytes, LiBF₄ was used instead of LiClO₄, which 475 maintained high conversion to product 23 and good isolated 476 yield (77%). Finally, aware of the beneficial effect of running 477 the reaction at high concentration, the electrochemical 478 conditions were concentrated up to 0.5 M (instead of 0.25 479 M), and compound 23 was isolated in 84% yield. 480

We then decided to synthesize several molecules to assess 481 the efficiency of the electrochemical protocol (Scheme 5D). 482 Compound 40 bearing a halogen moiety was isolated in 40% 483 yield. As expected, compounds 14 and 21 which usually 484 require higher temperature to proceed in good yield did not 485 give satisfactory results at room temperature. Simply heating 486 these reactions to 70 °C afforded good yields (71% for 14 and 487 67% for 21). In addition, the reaction was easily scaled-up to 6 488 mmol in a single 20 mL ElectraSyn vial by adjusting the 489 current to 25 mA. Finally, the knowledge gained throughout 490 the study was easily transferable to the electrochemical 491 protocol with compound 34 obtained in 59% by using 20 492 equiv of AcOH in toluene at 90 °C. Altogether, these results 493 not only highlight the efficiency of the optimized paired 494 electrochemical protocol but also demonstrate its scalability. 27 495

4. SUMMARY

The key mechanistic features of the developed conditions are 496 summarized in Scheme 6. To increase the practicality of the 497 s6 Mn-mediated α -radical addition of carbonyls to olefins, the 498 goal of this study was to lower the amount of the carbonyl 499 starting material to 3 equiv. Fixing this parameter impacted the 500 first step of the mechanism where the carbonyl moiety needs to 501 coordinate the Mn-catalyst. Therefore, increasing the concen- 502 tration (from 0.1 to 0.75 M) was crucial to obtain the desired 503 product in good yield (Scheme 6, panel A). The following loss 504 of proton is afforded through an intramolecular deprotonation 505 process where the presence of the acetate ligands proved 506 essential. Indeed, external bases or additives were detrimental 507 for the reaction to occur with high efficiency (Scheme 6, panel 508 B). The oxidation of the resulting enolate has been extensively 509 studied in the literature and none of the reaction parameters 510 we have investigated improved it (Scheme 6, panel C). 511 Conversely, for the free-radical addition step, our study 512 systematically highlighted the importance of the alkene 513 geometry and substitution (Scheme 6, panel D). The high 514

Scheme 6. Key Mechanistic Features of the Developed Conditions



515 concentration also favored this step of the mechanism. The use 516 of EtOH instead of AcOH, in addition to increasing the 517 functional group tolerance, positively impacted the final HAT 518 step (Scheme 6, panel E). Finally, the use of electrochemical 519 conditions to reoxidize Mn(II) to Mn(III) allowed the 520 development of a robust and general catalytic set of conditions 521 where a precisely controlled [Mn]/[AcOH] ratio was found to 522 be critical (Scheme 6, panel E).

5. CONCLUSIONS

s23 An in-depth study of the Mn-mediated α -radical addition of 524 carbonyls to olefins has been assembled, allowing the 525 development of a new and general set of reaction conditions. 526 A wide range of functional groups including ester, cyano, nitro, 527 free, and TBS-protected alcohols and acetyl groups were 528 tolerated, and several carbonyls and olefins proved competent 529 coupling partners. Understanding the effect of solvent, 530 concentration, temperature, amount of the catalyst, as well as 531 the impact of additives has enabled us to identify a list of eight 532 key experiments that can quickly be performed to optimize 533 challenging reactions. This approach was successfully applied 534 to four different initially low yielding substrates, proved highly 535 efficient, and led to the creation of a predictive model based on 536 the pKa of the carbonyl compound and both the substitution 537 and geometry of the alkene coupling partner. Finally, 538 development of a robust and practical electrocatalytic version 539 of the reaction enabled the departure from stoichiometric 540 manganese loadings. The e-procedure requires only 3 equiv of 541 the carbonyl starting material to achieve good conversions and 542 uses two inexpensive graphite electrodes and a safe electrolyte. 543 Importantly, this paired electrochemical process does not 544 require the use of an undivided cell and was straightforwardly 545 scaled-up to 6 mmol in a single 20 mL vial. Overall, both

B. Key features of the developed conditions

- As the amount of carbonyl (3 equiv) was lowered compared to reported conditions, concentration (0.75 M) and amount of catalyst (1.5 equiv) were crucial parameters to optimize.
- **pKa of the carbonyl** proved important (Scheme 4C.1). The deprotonation occurs via an intramolecular mechanism and therefore the **nature of the ligand (acetate)** on the manganese catalyst is important. *External bases did not afford any improvement and proved detrimental for the reaction outcome.*
- Mn(III) is able to oxidize the enolate affording the formation of the alpha-keto radical and Mn(II).
- Alkene substitution and geometry are essential and afford different reaction outcomes. Concentration (0.75 M) was also an important parameter to optimize to favor this step.
- The HAT step is important as it delivers the desired product. **EtOH** or **toluene** proved optimal.
- Regeneration of the Mn(III) active catalyst was not possible under argon atmosphere and chemical oxidants increased the amount of by-products. Electrochemical conditions using a controled amount of AcOH (optimum ratio Mn/AcOH) enabled the development of robust and easily scalable catalytic conditions.

stoichiometric and electrocatalytic protocols should find 546 applications in academic and industrial environments and 547 will enable the development of new Mn-based catalytic 548 systems. 549

ASSOCIATED CONTENT 550

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at 552 https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.2c00054. 553

Experimental procedures and graphical abstracts for 554 both the stoichiometric and catalytic reactions, inves- 555 tigation of the reaction parameters, optimization of the 556 reaction conditions (stoichiometric and catalytic), 557 optimization of low yielding substrates, analysis, and 558 compound characterization data (PDF) 559

AUTHOR INFORMATION

560 561

551

- Corresponding Author 561 Julien C. Vantourout – Univ Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, 562 INSA, CPE-Lyon, ICBMS, UMR 5246, 69622 Villeurbanne, 563
 - France;
 • orcid.org/0000-0002-0602-069X;
 564

 Email:
 julien.vantourout@univ-lyon1.fr
 565

 thors
 566
 566

Authors

Sylvain Charvet – Univ Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, 567
 INSA, CPE-Lyon, ICBMS, UMR 5246, 69622 Villeurbanne, 568
 France; orcid.org/0000-0003-1612-9338
 Maurice Médebielle – Univ Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, 570
 INSA, CPE-Lyon, ICBMS, UMR 5246, 69622 Villeurbanne, 571
 France; orcid.org/0000-0002-6032-4790
 572

Complete contact information is available at: 573 https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.2c00054 574 576 The manuscript was written through contributions of all 577 authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of 578 the manuscript.

579 Notes

580 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

581 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by the CNRS, the French Ministry of
Research, the ICBMS, and the Université Claude Bernard
Lyon 1. The NMR and Mass Centres of the Université Claude
Bernard Lyon 1 are thanked for their contribution. We thank J.
Merad, A. Amgoune, A. Tlili, G. Tran, E. Metay, F. Popowycz,
K. S. McClymont, S. Gnaim, and P. S. Baran for insightful
discussions.

589 DEDICATION

590 Dedicated to the memory of Professor Gérard Cahiez for his 591 pioneering work in manganese catalysis.

592 **REFERENCES**

(1) For a selection of book chapters and reviews: (a) Horváth, I. T.
Introduction: Sustainable Chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, 369–371.
(b) Zuin, V. G.; Eilks, I.; Elschami, M.; Kümmerer, K. Education in
Green Chemistry and in Sustainable Chemistry: Perspectives
Towards Sustainability. *Green Chem.* 2021, *23*, 1594–1608.
(c) Nikolopoulou, A.; Ierapetritou, M. G. Optimal Design of
Sustainable Chemical Processes and Supply Chains: A Review. *Comput. Chem. Eng.* 2012, *44*, 94–103. (d) Ranke, J.; Stolte, S.;
Störmann, R.; Arning, J.; Jastorff, B. Design of Sustainable Chemical
Products: The Example of Ionic Liquids. *Chem. Rev.* 2007, *107*,
2183–2206. (e) Clarke, C. J.; Tu, W.-C.; Levers, O.; Bröhl, A.;
Hallett, J. P. Green and Sustainable Solvents in Chemical Processes. *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, 747–800.

606 (2) For a selection of reviews: (a) Alig, L.; Fritz, M.; Schneider, S. 607 First-Row Transition Metal (De)Hydrogenation Catalysis Based on 608 Functional Pincer Ligands. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 2681–2751. 609 (b) Taylor, L. J.; Kays, D. L. Low-Coordinate First-Row Transition 610 Metal Complexes in Catalysis and Small Molecule Activation. *Dalton* 611 *Trans.* **2019**, *48*, 12365–12381. (c) Peng, J.-B.; Wu, F.-P.; Wu, X.-F. 612 First-Row Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Carbonylative Transformations 613 of Carbon Electrophiles. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 2090–2127. (d) Van 614 der Vlugt, J. I. Cooperative Catalysis with First-Row Late Transition 615 Metals. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *2012*, 363–375. (e) Miao, J.; Ge, H. 616 Recent Advances in First-Row-Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Dehydro-617 genative Coupling of C(Sp³)-H Bonds: Metal-Catalyzed Dehydrogen-618 ative Coupling of C(Sp³)-H Bonds. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *2015*, 619 7859–7868.

(3) For a selection of book chapters and reviews: (a) Carney, J. R.; 620 621 Dillon, B. R.; Thomas, S. P. Recent Advances of Manganese Catalysis 622 for Organic Synthesis. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 2016, 3912-3929. 623 (b) Kallmeier, F.; Kempe, R. Manganese Complexes for (De)-624 Hydrogenation Catalysis: A Comparison to Cobalt and Iron Catalysts. 625 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 46-60. (c) Aneeja, T.; Neetha, M.; 626 Afsina, C. M. A.; Anilkumar, G. Recent Advances and Perspectives in 627 Manganese-Catalyzed C-H Activation. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2021, 11, 628 444-458. (d) Huang, X.; Bergsten, T. M.; Groves, J. T. Manganese-629 Catalyzed Late-Stage Aliphatic C-H Azidation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 630 2015, 137, 5300-5303. (e) Hu, Y.; Zhou, B.; Wang, C. Inert C-H 631 Bond Transformations Enabled by Organometallic Manganese 632 Catalysis. Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51, 816-827. (f) Borghs, J. C.; 633 Tran, M. A.; Sklyaruk, J.; Rueping, M.; El-Sepelgy, O. Sustainable 634 Alkylation of Nitriles with Alcohols by Manganese Catalysis. J. Org. 635 Chem. 2019, 84, 7927-7935. (g) Trovitch, R. J. The Emergence of 636 Manganese-Based Carbonyl Hydrosilylation Catalysts. Acc. Chem. Res. 637 2017, 50, 2842-2852. (h) Cahiez, G.; Duplais, C.; Buendia, J.

Chemistry of Organomanganese(II) Compounds. *Chem. Rev.* 2009, 638 109, 1434–1476. (i) Melikyan, G. G. Carbon-Carbon Bond-Forming 639 Reactions Promoted by Trivalent Manganese. *Org. React.* 1997, 49, 640 427–675. 641

(4) For a selection of book chapters and reviews: (a) Lamoureux, G.; 642 Agüero, C. A Comparison of Several Modern Alkylating Agents. 643 ARKIVOC 2009, 2009, 251–264. (b) Caine, D. In Comprehensive 644 Organic Chemistry; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Pattenden, G., Eds.; 645 Pergamon: Oxford, U.K., 1991; Vol. 3, pp 1–63. (c) Reetz, M. T. 646 Lewis Acid Induced α -Alkylation of Carbonyl Compounds. Angew. 647 Chem. Int. Ed. 1982, 21, 96–108. (d) Carey, F. A.; Sundberg, R. J. 648 Part B: Reactions and Synthesis; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, 649 Heidelberg, 2001. (e) Modern Carbonyl Chemistry; Junzo, O., Eds.; 650 Wiley-VCH Weinheim, 2000. (e) Organic Chemistry; Clayden, J., 651 Greeves, N., Warren, S., Wothers, P., Eds.; Oxford University Press: 652 Oxford, 2001. (53)

(5) For a selection of total synthesis and methodology papers: 654 (a) Smith, B. T.; Wendt, J. A.; Aubé, J. First Asymmetric Total 655 Synthesis of (+)-Sparteine. Org. Lett. **2002**, 4, 2577–2579. 656 (b) Ataraschi, S.; Choi, J.-K.; Ha, D.-C.; Hart, D. J.; Kuzmich, D.; 657 Lee, C.-S.; Ramesh, S.; Wu, S. C. Free Radical Cyclizations in Alkaloid 658 Total Synthesis: (\pm)-21-Oxogelsemine and (\pm)-Gelsemine. J. Am. 659 Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 6226–6241. (c) Taber, D. F.; Neubert, T. B.; 660 Rheingold, A. L. Synthesis of (–)-Morphine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 661 124, 12416–12417. (d) Shneider, O. S.; Pisarevsky, E.; Fristrup, P.; 662 Szpilman, A. M. Oxidative Umpolung α -Alkylation of Ketones. Org. 663 Lett. **2015**, 17, 282–285. (e) Tomioka, K.; Ando, K.; Takemasa, Y.; 664 Koga, K. Asymmetric Alkylation of α -Alkyl β -Keto Esters. J. Am. 665 Chem. Soc. **1984**, 106, 2718–2719.

(6) For a selection of papers: (a) Nakamura, M.; Hatakeyama, T.; 667 Nakamura, E. α -Alkylation of Ketones by Addition of Zinc Enamides 668 to Unactivated Olefins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11820-11825. 669 (b) Mo, F.; Dong, G. C-H bond activation. Regioselective ketone α - 670 alkylation with simple olefins via dual activation. Science 2014, 345, 671 68-72. (c) Dang, Y.; Qu, S.; Tao, Y.; Deng, X.; Wang, Z.-X. 672 Mechanistic Insight into Ketone α -Alkylation with Unactivated 673 Olefins via C-H Activation Promoted by Metal-Organic Cooper- 674 ative Catalysis (MOCC): Enriching the MOCC Chemistry. J. Am. 675 Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 6279-6291. (d) Huang, Z.; Lim, H. N.; Mo, 676 F.; Young, M. C.; Dong, G. Transition Metal-Catalyzed Ketone- 677 Directed or Mediated C-H Functionalization. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 678 44, 7764-7786. (e) Xing, D.; Qi, X.; Marchant, D.; Liu, P.; Dong, G. 679 Branched-Selective Direct α -Alkylation of Cyclic Ketones with Simple 680 Alkenes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 4366-4370. (f) Lim, H. N.; 681 Xing, D.; Dong, G. Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Ketone α-Alkylation 682 and Alkenylation with Simple Alkenes and Alkynes Through a Dual 683 Activation Strategy. Synlett 2019, 30, 674-684. (g) Mo, F.; Dong, G. 684 Regioselective Ketone α -Alkylation with Simple Olefins via Dual 685 Activation. Science 2014, 345, 68-72. (h) Iuchi, Y.; Obora, Y.; Ishii, Y. 686 Iridium-Catalyzed α -Alkylation of Acetates with Primary Alcohols and 687 Diols. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 2536-2537. (i) Piehl, P.; Amuso, 688 R.; Alberico, E.; Junge, H.; Gabriele, B.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. 689 Cyclometalated Ruthenium Pincer Complexes as Catalysts for the α - 690 Alkylation of Ketones with Alcohols. Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 6050- 691 6055. 692

(7) An initial version of this work was deposited in ChemRxiv on 693 December the 14th 2021 Charvet, S.; Medebielle, M.; Vantourout, J. 694 C. Mn-Mediated α -Radical Addition of Carbonyls to Olefins: System-695 atic Study, Scope, and Electrocatalysis. *ChemRxiv* 2021, 696 DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2021-1fd9k. 697

(8) Bush, J. B.; Finkbeiner, H. Oxidation Reactions of Manganese- 698
(III) Acetate. Formation of Gamma-Lactones from Olefins and Acetic 699
Acid. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 5903–5905. 700

(9) Heiba, E. I.; Dessau, R. M.; Koehl, W. J. Oxidation by Metal 701 Salts. IV. A New Method for the Preparation of γ -Lactones by the 702 Reaction of Manganic Acetate with Olefins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1968**, 703 90, 5905–5906. 704 705 (10) Vinogradov, M. G.; Verenchikov, S. P.; Nikishin, G. I. 706 Preparation of Acetonyl Radicals in the Oxidation of Acetone with 707 Manganese Triacetate. *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **1971**, *20*, 189–189.

(11) (a) Snider, B. B. Manganese(III)-Based Oxidative Free-Radical 708 709 Cyclizations. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 339-364. (b) Badanyan, S. O.; 710 Melikyan, G. G.; Mkrtchyan, D. A. The Introduction of Functional 711 Groups Into Unsaturated Systems by Carbonyl Compounds in the 712 Presence of Manganese(III) Acetate. Russ. Chem. Rev. 1989, 58, 286-713 296. (c) Snider, B. B. Manganese(III) Acetate-Mediated Cyclizations. 714 In Manganese Catalysis in Organic Synthesis; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 715 2021; pp. 293-322. (d) Snider, B. B. Manganese(III)-Mediated 716 Radical Reactions. In Radicals in Organic Synthesis; Renaud, P., Sibi, 717 M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; 718 pp. 198-218. (e) Mondal, M.; Bora, U. Recent Advances in 719 Manganese(III) Acetate Mediated Organic Synthesis. RSC Adv. 720 2013, 3, 18716-18754. (f) Sanz-Navarro, S.; Garnes-Portolés, F.; 721 López-Cruz, C.; Espinós-Ferri, E.; Corma, A.; Leyva-Pérez, A. Radical 722 α -Alkylation of Ketones with Unactivated Alkenes Under Catalytic 723 and Sustainable Industrial Conditions. Appl. Catal. 2021, 613, 724 118021-118028. (g) O'Neil, S. V.; Quickley, C. A.; Snider, B. B. 725 Synthesis of (±)-Gymnomitrol. Mn(OAc)3-Initiated Free-Radical 726 Cyclization of Alkynyl Ketones. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1970-1975. 727 (h) Vinogradov, M. G.; Verenchikov, S. P.; Fedorova, T. M.; Nikishin, 728 G. I. Radical reactions of carbonyl compounds initiated by 729 manganese(III) acetate. VI. Reactions of aliphatic ketones with 1-730 alkenes, 1-alkynes, and aromatic hydrocarbons. Zh. Org. Khim. 1975, 731 11, 947-953. (i) Vinogradov, M. G.; Direi, P. A.; Nikishin, G. I. 732 Radical reactions of carbonyl compounds initiated by salts and oxides 733 of metals. XI. Reaction of cyclic ketones with 1-alkenes and 1-alkynes 734 Radical reactions of carbonyl compounds initiated by manganese(III) 735 acetate. VI. Reactions of aliphatic ketones with 1-alkenes, 1-alkynes, 736 and aromatic hydrocarbons. Zh. Org. Khim. 1977, 9, 2498-2504.

737 (12) Fristad, W. E.; Peterson, J. R.; Ernst, A. B.; Urbi, G. B. 738 Mechanisms for Manganese(III) Oxidations with Alkenes. *Tetrahe*-739 *dron* **1986**, *42*, 3429–3442.

740 (13) Snider, B. B. Mechanisms of Mn(OAc)₃-Based Oxidative Free-741 Radical Additions and Cyclizations. *Tetrahedron* **2009**, *65*, 10735– 742 10744.

(14) (a) Melikyan, G. G.; Mkrtchyan, D. A.; Lebedeva, K. V.; 743 744 Myaéorg, U. Y.; Panosyan, G. A.; Badanyan, S. O. Synthesis of 745 (\pm)-Cis-etradec-5-En-4-Olide the Racemate of the Sex Pheromone of 746 the Japanese Beetle. Chem. Nat. Compd. 1984, 20, 94-98. (b) Yang, 747 F. Z.; Trost, M. K.; Fristad, W. E. Simple Route to Substituted 748 Tetralones. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 1493-1496. (c) Gardrat, C. A 749 Synthesis of Norbisabolide via a Synthon Prepared by a Free Radical 750 Reaction. Synth. Commun. 1984, 14, 1191-1192. (d) White, J. D.; 751 Somers, T. C.; Yager, K. M. Synthesis of Dihydropallescensin D via 752 Manganese(III) Mediated Cyclization of an Olefinic β -Keto Ester. 753 Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 59-62. (e) Corey, E. J.; Wu, Y. J. Total 754 Synthesis of (±)-Paeoniflorigenin and Paeoniflorin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 755 1993, 115, 8871-8872. (f) Paquette, L. A.; Schaefer, A. G.; Springer, 756 J. P. Synthesis of (\pm) -14-Epiupal by Manganese(III) γ -Lactone 757 Annulation. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 5567-5582.

(15) (a) Snider, B. B.; Mohan, R. M.; Kates, S. A. Manganese(III)-758 759 Based Oxidative Free-Radical Cyclization. Synthesis of (\pm) -Podo-760 carpic Acid. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3659-3661. (b) Zoretic, P. A.; 761 Ramchandani, M.; Caspar, M. L. Aspects of Manganese (III)-762 Promoted Oxidative Free Radical Cyclizations to Functionalized 763 Bicyclic Systems. Synth. Commun. 1991, 21, 915-922. (c) Pettus, T. 764 R. R.; Chen, X.-T.; Danishefsky, S. J. A Fully Synthetic Route to the 765 Neurotrophic Illicinones by Sequential Aromatic Claisen Rearrange-766 ments. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 12684-12685. (d) Trotta, A. H. 767 Total Synthesis of Oridamycins A and B. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3358-768 3361. (e) Merchant, R. R.; Oberg, K. M.; Lin, Y.; Novak, A. J. E.; 769 Felding, J.; Baran, P. S. Divergent Synthesis of Pyrone Diterpenes via 770 Radical Cross Coupling. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 7462-7465. 771 (f) Corey, E. J.; Kang, M. C. A New and General Synthesis of 772 Polycyclic Gamma-Lactones by Double Annulation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 773 1984, 106, 5384-5385.

(16) (a) Subramaniam, C. S.; Thomas, P. J.; Mamdapur, V. R.; 774
Chadha, M. S. A convenient synthesis of queen bee pheromone. 775 *Indian J. Chem., Sect. B: Org. Chem. Incl. Med. Chem.* 1978, 16B, 318-776
319. (b) Trivedi, S. V.; Mamdapur, V. R. Terminal olefin oxidation: a 777
short synthesis of himasecolone. *Indian J. Chem., Sect. B: Org. Chem.* 778 *Incl. Med. Chem.* 1986, 25B, 1160. 779

(17) (a) Qian, C. Y.; Nishino, H.; Kurosawa, K.; Korp, J. D. 780
Manganese(II) Acetate-Mediated Double 2-Hydroperoxyalkylations 781
of Barbituric Acid and Its Derivatives. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 4448- 782
4451. (b) Qian, C. Y.; Yamada, T.; Nishino, H.; Kurosawa, K. 783
Manganese (II and III)-Mediated Synthesis of Cyclic Peroxides from 784
Alkenes, Active Methylene Compounds, and Molecular Oxygen. Bull. 785
Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1992, 65, 1371-1378. 786

(18) Kagayama, T.; Fuke, T.; Sakaguchi, S.; Ishii, Y. A Remarkable 787 Effect of Bases on the Catalytic Radical Addition of Cyanoacetates to 788 Alkenes Using a $Mn(II)/Co(II)/O_2$ Redox System. *Bull. Chem. Soc.* 789 *Jpn.* **2005**, 78, 1673–1676. 790

(19) Shundo, R.; Nishiguchi, I.; Matsubara, Y.; Hirashima, T. 791
 Selective Coupling of Non-Activated Olefins with Ethyl Cyanoacetate 792
 by Mn³⁺-Mediated Anodic Oxidation. *Chem. Lett.* **1990**, *19*, 2285–793
 2288. 794

(20) While the intramolecular version of the reaction has been 795 extensively studied (see references 10-14) and applied in total 796 synthesis, the intramolecular version has only been developed for 797 specific substrates with no general set of conditions reported to date. 798 This observation has been reported in several book chapters and 799 reviews.

(21) (a) Snider, B. B.; Meritt, J. E.; Dombroski, M. A.; Buckman, B. 801 O. Solvent Effects on Manganese(III)-Based Oxidative Free-Radical 802 Cyclizations: Ethanol and Acetic Acid. J. Org. Chem. **1991**, 56, 5544–803 5553. (b) Okano, M. The Participation of Solvents in the Reaction of 804 Alkenes with Manganese(III) Acetate. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1976**, 49, 805 1041–1046. (c) Snider, B. B.; McCarthy, B. A. Ligand, Solvent, and 806 Deuterium Isotope Effects in Mn(III)-Based Oxidative Free-Radical 807 Cyclizations. J. Org. Chem. **1993**, 58, 6217–6223. 808

(22) (a) Magnus, P.; Payne, A. H.; Waring, M. J.; Scott, D. A.; 809 Lynch, V. Conversion of α,β -Unsaturated Ketones Into α -Hydroxy 810 Ketones Using an Mn(III) Catalyst, Phenylsilane and Dioxygen: 811 Acceleration of Conjugate Hydride Reduction by Dioxygen. 812 *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, 41, 9725–9730. (b) Shevick, S. L.; Wilson, 813 C. V.; Kotesova, S.; Kim, D.; Holland, P. L.; Shenvi, R. A. Catalytic 814 Hydrogen Atom Transfer to Alkenes: a Roadmap for Metal Hydrides 815 and Radicals. *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, 11, 12401–12422. 816

(23) Collins, K. D.; Glorius, F. A Robustness Screen for the Rapid 817
Assessment of Chemical Reactions. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 597–601. 818
(24) (a) Corey, E. J.; Gross, A. W. Carbolactonization of Olefins 819
Under Mild Conditions by Cyanoacetic and Malonic Acids Promoted 820
by Manganese(III) Acetate. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 4291–4294. 821
(b) Nedelec, J.-Y.; Lachaise, I.; Nohair, K.; Paugam, J. P.; Hakiki, M. 822
Mn(III)-Mediated In-Cell Electrochemical Addition of Active 823
Methylene Compounds to Olefins: Synthetic and Mechanistic 824
Aspects. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1995, 132, 843–849. 825

(25) (a) Nikishin, G. I.; Vinogradov, M. G.; Il'ina, G. One-Electron- 826 Transfer Oxidation by Metal Salts. A Convenient Synthesis of γ , δ - 827 Unsaturated Aldehydes. *Synthesis* **1972**, *1972*, 376. (b) Nikishin, G. I. 828 Homolytic Reactions of Functional Oxygen-Containing Compounds 829 Initiated by Compounds of Variable Valency Metals. *Russ. Chem. Bull.* 830 **1984**, 33, 109–119. (c) Vinogradov, M. G.; Dolinko, V. I.; Nikishin, 831 G. I. Oxidative Addition of Chloromalonic Ester to Unsaturated 832 Compounds under the Mn(OAc)₃–LiCl System. *Russ. Chem. Bull.* 833 **1984**, 33, 1884–1887. (d) Ito, N.; Nishino, H.; Kurosawa, K. The 834 reaction of Olefins with Malonic Acid in the Presence of 835 Manganese(III) Acetate. *Bull. Chem. Jpn.* **1983**, *56*, 3527–3528. 836 (e) Fristad, W. E.; Hershberger, S. S. Manganese(III)-Mediated 837 Spirodilactonization. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 1026–1031. 838

(26) (a) Warsinsky, R.; Steckhan, E. Oxidative Free-Radical 839 Additions of α -Nitro Ketones and α -Nitro Amides to Alkenes and 840 Alkynes Mediated by Electrochemically Regenerable Manganese(III) 841 Acetate. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. **1994**, *I*, 2027–2037. (b) Snider, 842

pubs.acs.org/joc

843 B. B.; McCarthy, B. A. Mn(III)-Mediated Electrochemical Oxidative
844 Free-Radical Cyclizations. ACS Symp. Ser. 1994, 7, 84–97.
845 (27) Vantourout, J. C. From Bench to Plant: An Opportunity for
846 Transition Metal Paired Electrocatalysis. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2021,
847 25, 2581.