

Inner-Shell-Ionization-Induced Femtosecond Structural Dynamics of Water Molecules Imaged at an X-Ray Free-Electron Laser

T. Jahnke, R. Guillemin, L. Inhester, S.-K. Son, G. Kastirke, M. Ilchen, J. Rist, D. Trabert, N. Melzer, N. Anders, et al.

► To cite this version:

T. Jahnke, R. Guillemin, L. Inhester, S.-K. Son, G. Kastirke, et al.. Inner-Shell-Ionization-Induced Femtosecond Structural Dynamics of Water Molecules Imaged at an X-Ray Free-Electron Laser. Physical Review X, 2021, 11 (4), 10.1103/PHYSREVX.11.041044 . hal-03797407

HAL Id: hal-03797407 https://hal.science/hal-03797407

Submitted on 4 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Inner-shell-ionization-induced femtosecond structural dynamics of water molecules imaged at an x-ray free-electron laser

T. Jahnke^{1,†,*}, R. Guillemin^{2,†}, L. Inhester^{3,†}, S.-K. Son³, G. Kastirke⁴, M. Ilchen^{1,5}, J. Rist⁴, D. Trabert⁴, N. Melzer⁴, N. Anders⁴, T. Mazza¹, R. Boll¹, A. De Fanis¹, V. Music^{1,5}, Th. Weber⁶, M. Weller⁴, S. Eckart⁴, K. Fehre⁴, S. Grundmann⁴, A. Hartung⁴, M. Hofmann⁴, C. Janke⁴, M. Kircher⁴, G. Nalin⁴, A. Pier⁴, J. Siebert⁴, N. Strenger⁴, I. Vela-Perez⁴, T. M. Baumann¹, P. Grychtol¹, J. Montano¹, Y. Ovcharenko¹, N. Rennhack¹, D. E. Rivas¹, R. Wagner¹, P. Ziolkowski¹, P. Schmidt^{1,5}, T. Marchenko², O. Travnikova², L. Journel², I. Ismail², E. Kukk⁷, J. Niskanen⁷, F. Trinter^{8,9}, C. Vozzi¹⁰, M. Devetta¹⁰, S. Stagira¹¹, M. Gisselbrecht¹², A. L. Jäger¹³, X. Li¹⁴, Y. Malakar¹⁴, M. Martins¹⁵, R. Feifel¹⁶, L. Ph. H. Schmidt⁴, A. Czasch⁴, G. Sansone¹³, D. Rolles¹⁴, A. Rudenko¹⁴, R. Moshammer¹⁷, R. Dörner⁴, M. Meyer¹, T. Pfeifer¹⁷, M. S. Schöffler⁴, R. Santra^{3,18,*}, M. Simon² and M. N. Piancastelli^{2,19,*}

15

20

30

35

¹European XFEL, D-22869 Schenefeld, Germany.

²Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Chimie Physique-Matière et Rayonnement, LCPMR, F-75005, Paris, France.

³Center for Free-Electron Laser Science, DESY, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany.

- ⁴Institut für Kernphysik, Goethe-Universität, D- 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.
- ⁵Institut für Physik und CINSaT, Universität Kassel, D-34132 Kassel, Germany.
 - ⁶Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Chemical Sciences, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ⁷Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, FI-20014 Turku, Finland.
 - ⁸FS-PETRA-S, Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), D-22607 Hamburg, Germany.
- ⁹Molecular Physics, Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, D-14195 Berlin, Germany.
 - ¹⁰IFN Istituto di Fotonica e Nanotecnologie, CNR, I-20133 Milan, Italy
 - ¹¹Politecnico di Milano, Physics Department, Milan, Italy
 - ¹²Department of Physics, Lund University, SE-22100 Lund, Sweden
 - ¹³Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany
 - ¹⁴J. R. Macdonald Laboratory, Department of Physics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA
 - ¹⁵Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, D-22761 Hamburg, Germany
 - ¹⁶Department of Physics, University of Gothenburg, SE-412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden.
 - ¹⁷Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany
 - ¹⁸Department of Physics, Universität Hamburg, D-20355 Hamburg, Germany.
 - ¹⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, SE-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden

[†]These authors have contributed equally

40

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: <u>maria-novella.piancastelli@physics.uu.se</u>, <u>robin.santra@cfel.de</u>, <u>till.jahnke@xfel.eu</u>

Abstract

The ultrafast structural dynamics of water following inner-shell ionization are a crucial issue in high-energy radiation chemistry. We have exposed isolated water molecules to a short x-ray pulse from a free-electron laser, and detected momenta of all produced ions in coincidence. By combining experimental results and theoretical modeling, we can image dissociation dynamics of individual molecules in unprecedented detail. We reveal significant molecular structural dynamics in H₂O²⁺, such as asymmetric deformation and bond-angle opening, leading to two-body or three-body fragmentation on a timescale of a few femtoseconds. We thus reconstruct several snapshots of structural dynamics at different time intervals, which highlight dynamical patterns that are relevant as initiating steps of subsequent radiation damage processes.

I Introduction

The response of water to ionizing radiation and the accompanying formation of ions and/or radicals is a key question for many research areas, such as radiation damage in biological tissue [1], radio-oncology [2], and corrosion in nuclear reactors [3]. Moreover, understanding the radiolysis of water is crucial for mechanisms in the magnetosphere of Saturn [4,5] and is essential to explain the formation of O_2 in cometary ice [6].

65 High-energy ionizing radiation can trigger extremely fast processes in water. While several

particularly the precise timescale of the underlying processes have so far remained elusive. Due to internal relaxation mechanisms, ionization of a core electron in water leads to a valence electron filling the core vacancy and another valence electron being emitted (Auger decay). From gas-phase data, it is known that the resulting water dication (H_2O^{2+}) eventually dissociates into fragments, which are mainly either hydroxyl cation (OH^+) or atomic oxygen (O), and protons (H^+) [7]. In a liquid environment, secondary electrons cause further ionization in the surroundings, resulting in valence-ionized water molecules (H_2O^+) . These eventually dissociate via proton transfer leading to the production of hydroxyl radicals (OH) and hydronium ions (H_3O^+) [3,8-10]. The dynamics of core ionization and core excitation in liquid water have been studied via fluorescence [11,12], and Auger spectroscopy [13]. However, the formation and evolution of ions and radicals upon core ionization, which ultimately determine the subsequent radiation chemistry in the liquid, have remained untraceable.

Probing the dynamics in the liquid is extremely challenging since the dynamics are dominated by the hydrogen motion that has in general little impact on spectroscopic features accessible in the

70



femtosecond domain. Assuming that the immediate response to core ionization of water is mostly governed by strong intra-molecular forces, insight into the dynamics triggered by core ionization of liquid water can be obtained from gas-phase water. The abundance of ion fragments and their asymptotic momenta produced by core-exciting and/or core-ionizing an isolated H₂O molecule have been studied by ion-mass spectroscopy [14], or ion/electron coincidence measurements [7,14]. However, while these techniques allow identification of the produced fragments, they do not shed full light on the temporal evolution of the dissociation events. Studies using intense infrared multiple ionization identify the occurrence of dynamics on a timescale of a few femtoseconds [15,16]. Moreover, the dissociation pathway of the H₂O²⁺ dication has been reported only for the lowest dication states reached after valence double ionization [15-20]. The full characterization of its fragmentation dynamics, including both structural and timing information, is of immediate relevance for the understanding of subsequent radiation chemistry processes.

With the novel opportunities offered by an x-ray free-electron laser source, in particular an 95 intensity high enough to allow multiple photon absorption, we here provide a complete picture of the dynamics of the core-ionized water molecule. We demonstrate that, on a timescale of a few femtoseconds after core ionization and subsequent Auger decay, water undergoes structural deformation such as asymmetric O-H bond stretching and/or opening of the bond angle all the way up to 180 degrees, leading eventually to rapid two-body or three-body fragmentation in 100 asymmetric and/or unbent geometries. That evolution is traced at different time intervals thanks to a combination of experimental advances and theoretical modeling.

4

II Results and discussion



FIG. 1. Two-photon absorption dynamics. (A) Initial H₂O geometry. (B) As a consequence of the absorption of a photon (visualized using a bright flash) at time t_1 , and subsequent Auger decay, the water molecule loses two electrons (symbolized by the two green blobs ejected). (C) The doubly charged water molecule undergoes structural deformations. The yellow curve on top represents the temporal shape of the photon beam. (D) Through the absorption of a second photon at time t_2 , the molecule becomes quadruply charged and undergoes a Coulomb explosion. The molecular geometry at time t_2 leads to characteristic momentum vectors for the three fragments, i.e., the two protons and the O²⁺ ion that are detected for each molecule individually. (E) Using the ion momentum vectors detected in coincidence in combination with theory, information is retrieved on the structural properties of the doubly charged water molecule at a delay of t_2 - t_1 .

In Fig. 1 we show the sequence of events most relevant for the purpose of illuminating the dynamics of the core-ionized water molecule. Upon absorption of the first photon at time t_1 , core-ionized water is created, and subsequently a water dication (H₂O²⁺) is formed via Auger decay. Both singly and doubly charged molecular ions undergo structural deformation, where chemical bonds still play a role. Since the dynamics in the core-ionized water are negligible [21],

5

115

- due to the short Auger lifetime (~ 3 fs) and the small effect of the core hole on the nuclear geometry, the relevant fragmentation dynamics occur in H₂O²⁺ [*15-20*]. The evolution of the system continues until a second photon is absorbed at time t_2 . After the second core photoionization and the following Auger decay, the total charge is +4, dissociation induced by strong Coulomb repulsion occurs, and three ions (O²⁺, H⁺, H⁺) are detected in coincidence. The momenta of the three ions preserve information on the structural dynamics undergone inbetween the absorptions of the two photons. Any deviation from a pure Coulomb explosion in geometries close to the ground-state one is a signature of chemical dynamics in the H₂O²⁺ species.
- In our specific experiment, we exposed water vapor to x-ray pulses provided by the European Xray Free-Electron Laser (EuXFEL) at the SQS (Small Quantum Systems) scientific instrument. We employed a cold-target recoil-ion momentum spectroscopy (COLTRIMS) reaction microscope (REMI) permanently installed at SQS to perform triple ion-ion-ion coincidence measurements of the Oⁿ⁺/H⁺/H⁺ charged fragments (see Appendix A for a detailed description of the instrumentation and experimental parameters). The photon energy was fixed at 1 keV, high enough to allow consecutive core ionization events [22].

A. Newton diagrams

The coincidence channel leading to two protons and an oxygen charge 2+ can be almost entirely associated with sequential absorption of two photons and their subsequent Auger decay process. Fig. 2(a) shows the Newton diagrams, i.e., the final momenta of ion fragments ($O^{2+}+2H+$) detected in coincidence, oriented such that the oxygen momentum points along the x-axis. The experimental diagram is compared with simulations of the ionization and fragmentation

dynamics conducted with the XMOLECULE toolkit [22-24] (see Appendix A for details on the simulation).



FIG. 2. Newton diagrams. (a) Newton diagrams (experiment and theory) of the ion momenta for O^{2+} and two H⁺ ions detected in coincidence, where the oxygen momentum defines the x-axis,

- for the full kinetic energy release (KER) range. On the left, the employed momentum coordinate system is shown. The red dots mark the momentum values expected for an instantaneous Coulomb explosion. (b) Distribution of the KER for the three fragments. (c) Newton diagrams (experiment and theory) for selected KER ranges, indicated in (b) by the different color shadings. Essentially, the Newton diagrams show two dominant peaks for H⁺ momenta, with a pronounced
- tail. These main peaks result mostly from an almost instantaneous Coulomb explosion as a result
 of two photoabsorptions within a very short time interval: the final charge +4 is reached before
 the dicationic molecular ion has time to undergo a substantial structural rearrangement. In
 contrast, the pronounced tail in the H⁺ momenta pointing towards the momentum direction of
 O²⁺ can be attributed to a scenario where the delay between the two photoabsorption events is
 sufficiently large, allowing the molecule to evolve after the first photoionization before it is fully
 ripped apart by the strong Coulomb repulsion induced by the second photoionization. In
 particular, we underline the role of the kinetic energy release (KER), i.e., the excess energy of
 the molecular ion that is released as kinetic energy of the fragments. In Fig. 2(b) we show the
 total KER distribution for the three fragments. In Fig. 2(c) we show the Newton diagrams
 constructed for different slices of the KER distribution, indicated by different colors in Fig. 2(b),
 at variance with Fig. 2(a) where the diagrams are shown without KER selection.

One can expect that at larger time delays intermediate dynamics lead, in general, to an increase of inter-atomic distances resulting eventually in a lower KER. The trend in the Newton diagrams exhibiting a suppression of the tail with higher KER [see upper and middle panels in Fig. 2(c)], therefore, confirms that the tail corresponds to events with significant time between the two photoabsorptions and thus contains information on the chemical dynamics in H_2O^{2+} , which we are probing. Our approach is an effective pump-probe method, because the time interval between the absorption of two photons, which is the crucial parameter influencing the dynamics, is encoded in the KER (see the discussion about Figure 4 to further support this assumption). A

175

conceptually similar approach has been presented in strong-field experiments, in which a "molecular clock" has been used to monitor the time delay between two photoionization steps 180 occurring within the same pulse [25,26].

B. Direct correlation of proton momenta

- While the Newton diagrams provide a first demonstration of dynamics beyond the Coulomb 185 explosion picture (see Appendix B for further analysis of the tail structure in the Newton diagrams), more detailed insight into the dynamics triggered by core photoionization-Auger events is provided by the scatter plots in Fig. 3. These plots show proton emission angles with respect to the final oxygen momentum direction and the magnitudes of the final momenta of the two protons. All plots are for coincidences with oxygen charge 2+. 190
- This particular choice of plotting the ion momenta goes beyond the analysis of the usual Newton diagrams and allows us to precisely image specific dynamical patterns, since Newton diagrams do not capture all correlations between events. From the correlation of the angles of the two protons shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) (experiment and simulation), it is evident that the main part of the emitted protons is distributed at angles around $\sim 115^{\circ}$, which is close to what one would 195 expect from an immediate Coulomb explosion taking place in almost ground-state geometry. However, more interestingly, in a significant number of events the angles of the two protons are strongly anticorrelated, and exhibit an angle sum up to $\sim 180^{\circ}$. In Fig. 3(c) (simulation), the events with large HOH-bond angle at the time of the second photon absorption are shown in green. This signal must be attributed to unbending motion where the water molecule reaches an

almost linear geometry at the point in time when the second photoabsorption triggers the final Coulomb explosion.



FIG. 3. Scatter plots. (a), (b): Scatter plots showing the angles of the final proton momenta with respect to the final oxygen momentum (experiment and simulation); (c): scatter plot of proton angles (simulation), where large HOH bond angle at the time of the second photoionization is indicated by green color; (d), (e): Scatter plots showing the magnitudes of the final proton

momenta (experiment and simulation); (f): scatter plot showing final proton momenta (simulation), where structural asymmetry at the time of the second photoionization is indicated by pink color. The relevant momentum- and real- space coordinates are also shown.

Figures 3(d) and 3(e) (experiment and simulation) show the absolute momenta of the two protons. Whereas most of the proton momenta are clearly correlated, i.e., they show similar absolute values, a significant fraction of proton momenta is asymmetric. In Fig. 3(f) (simulation), the events in which the two protons have asymmetric momenta at the time of the absorption of the second photon are shown in pink. We can clearly assign these asymmetric proton momenta to asymmetric dissociation that is linked to the dissociation of H_2O^{2+} into OH^+ and H^+ after the first core photoionization and Auger decay. This fragmentation channel of H_2O^{2+} into OH^+ and H^+ is characteristic of the three lowest dicationic states in the water molecule [27-29], which accounts for ~25-30% of the total Auger yield [19,30].

220

215

210

C. Timing information from KER

The evolution in time of the unbending and asymmetry parameters is reported in Fig. 4. Based on simulation, Fig. 4(a) shows the relation of KER vs. time between photoabsorptions (see Appendix C for a further analysis of the KER on other time parameters). While most of the events correspond to a PAPA (photoionization-Auger-photoionization-Auger) sequence, an alternative path has been identified in previous studies [*31*], namely PPAA (photoionizationphotoionization-Auger-Auger). PPAA sequences are related to a particularly short time delay between the two photoabsorptions. The two ionization sequences are marked in Fig. 4(a) with a different color code. Figures 4(b) and 4(c) show the ratio of coincidence events with large angle and large relative asymmetry in the proton momenta as a function of KER for the data from both experiment and simulation.



FIG. 4. Evolution in time. (a) KER as a function of time between the first and the second photoionization, t_2 - t_1 (simulation). Two different ionization sequences, alternating photoionization and Auger decay (PAPA) and two photoionizations followed by two Auger decays (PPAA), are marked in different colors. The yellow stars mark average delay times for the three KER bins considered in Fig. 1 and Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). The bars indicate standard deviations of the delay times within the respective KER bins. (b), (c): Fraction of coincidence events with large protonproton momentum angle and large relative proton momentum asymmetry as a function of KER (experiment and simulation). (d), (e): HOH bond angles and asymmetry ratio of the OH distances at the point in time of the second photoabsorption vs. time delay with respect to the first pho-

toabsorption (simulations) accompanied by selected snapshots of the molecular geometry. All plots are for coincidences with oxygen charge 2+ and 2H⁺ ions. Combining Figs. 4(a), 4(b), and 4(c), lower asymmetry and lower momenta angles, related to higher KER, can in turn be connected to shorter times between photoabsorptions. In this way, the increase of bond asymmetry and bond angle is imaged as a function of delay, and snapshots of the dissociating molecular dication are obtained in real time.

The two identified dynamical patterns triggered by the first core-shell ionization and Auger 285 decay – the unbending dynamics and the asymmetric dissociation into OH^+ and H^+ – and their consequences for the final fragment momenta can be further understood from the simulation data. Figures 4(d) and 4(e) demonstrate how the bond angle [Fig. 4(d)] and the structural asymmetry [Fig. 4(e)] evolve in time until the second photoabsorption occurs. A large asymmetry in the OH bond length and a larger HOH bond angle at this point in time are mapped, 290 respectively, to a large asymmetry and large angles in the final proton momenta. This mapping is reflected by the color labeling, which highlights asymmetry and large angles in the final fragment momenta. The plot also shows that only for sufficient delay times between the two photoabsorption events (~10 fs and ~5 fs, respectively), a large asymmetry and/or a large HOH bond angle is reached (the simultaneous occurrence of the two deformation patterns is possible, 295 but not shown for simplicity). We can thus identify the time delay between the two photoabsorption steps as a crucial parameter for the abundance of the observed correlation patterns in the final proton momenta.

300

The estimated pulse duration at the EuXFEL is ~10-25 fs. This determines the statistically most probable range of observable delay times, in particular the time interval between two photoabsorptions. We note that the obtained time resolution is limited by the rather broad distribution of delays t_2 - t_1 for a given KER [see Fig. 4(a)]. The employed KER-time relation

relies on the mechanism that the bond stretching at the onset of 2-body and 3-body fragmentation of H_2O^{2+} results in a universal trend lowering the KER for the quadruply charged molecules. The method to extract time information is, thus, intertwined with the dynamics that we are measuring.

In reality, each dicationic state shows specific deviations from a universal KER/ t_2 - t_1 relation that in combination with the uncertain temporal pulse shape may result in discrepancies between simulation and experimental data. Moreover, the employed electronic structure model may not accurately cover all details of the involved dicationic potential energy surfaces, which could also cause the observed discrepancies between simulation and experiment in Fig. 4.

Timing information from higher charges

305

310

We strengthen our findings further by making use of coincidences with higher charge states
(implying more than two consecutive photoabsorptions) and employing the corresponding timing distribution for these multiple photoabsorptions [32] without relying on a KER-time relation. Figure 5 shows the Newton diagrams for the coincidences with O⁴⁺+2H⁺ and O⁶⁺+2H⁺. As can be seen, the final momentum pattern becomes simpler and more peaked towards a pure Coulomb explosion mechanism as a function of total charge, because the time between the first and second photoabsorptions is on average shorter when more than two photons are absorbed within the same pulse. The trends for the different coincidences are further quantified in Fig. 6 showing the distribution of proton momentum angle [Fig. 6(a)] and proton momentum asymmetry [Fig. 6(b)] (see Appendix D for the corresponding scatter plots). The two distributions indicate a consistent trend that proton momentum angles larger than 150° and asymmetries beyond 0.15 are significantly suppressed with higher oxygen charges due to the statistically shorter time in which

the molecule evolves in the dicationic state (See Appendix H for a further discussion on timing information from coincidences at higher charges).



330

FIG. 5. Newton diagrams for the coincidences $O^{4+}+2H^+$ and $O^{6+}+2H^+$. The left panel shows experimental data, the right panel shows data from the simulation.



FIG. 6. Distribution of proton momentum angle (a) and proton momentum asymmetry (b) for different charge coincidences and for simulation (crosses) and experiment (bars). The errorbars show standard errors due to finite sampling.

345 **III Conclusion**

Overall, our study shows that both unbending and two-body fragmentation are significant dynamical features occurring in the fragmentation of H₂O after core ionization. According to our simulation, $\sim 33\% \pm 1\%$ of the formed H₂O²⁺ molecular ions undergo a two-body fragmentation and $\sim 26\% \pm 1\%$ undergo a three-body fragmentation with a proton momentum angle larger than 150° (see also Supplementary Information, movies S1-S4).

Due to their low charge and small electron density, the monitoring of ultrafast hydrogen dynamics is particularly challenging with other imaging techniques such as ultrafast x-ray scattering [33] or ultrafast electron diffraction [34]. The technique employed here, i. e., time-dependent Coulomb-explosion imaging, allows us to separately identify different processes with unprecedented detail. With the short and intense pulses and the high pulse-repetition rate available at the European XFEL, we were able to collect coincident events with sufficient



statistics to characterize multiple photoionization processes. This enabled us to unravel dynamical aspects of the radiation damage processes in H₂O. Our experimental findings are in excellent agreement with our computational modeling and simulations of the multiple ionization and fragmentation dynamics.

By combining an appropriate choice of experimental parameters with suitable theoretical modeling we have exploited the properties of an intense femtosecond x-ray light source to extract dynamical information. In order to characterize more quantitatively the time evolution of a system and to make a so-called molecular movie, which is a sought-after goal, further advances are required. Such advances include better control over the x-ray pulse shape and the application of x-ray pump/x-ray probe experimental setups combined with high repetition rate.

The dynamical patterns we uncovered here have implications for the subsequent radiation chemistry in liquid water. As an example, the momentum of the neutral oxygen is significantly reduced due to the unbending motion, which in a liquid environment improves the reactivity of the remaining oxygen atom and might facilitate the formation of OH radicals.

The immediate response of a water molecule to x-rays and the related molecular deformation and subsequent bond rupture set the stage for radiation chemistry processes, e.g. in a liquid environment. Our insights are therefore broadly relevant in fields related to high-energy radiation damage, not only in gas phase but also in a multitude of aqueous environments. Our work sets the stage to study further implications of the found dynamical patterns. In the future, these can be accessed by studying small water clusters and extending the accessible timescale by making use of two-x-ray pulse setups with variable time delay.

365

360

370

Acknowledgments

380

We acknowledge European XFEL in Schenefeld, Germany, for provision of x-ray free-electron laser beam time at the SOS instrument and would like to thank the staff for their assistance. We are indebted to S. Santra for preparing Fig. 1. This work has been supported by the DOE Office 385 of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division grant under contract No DE-SC0019451 (L.I., X.L., Y.M., D.R., A.R., and R.S.) and Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 (Th. W). M.S., R.G., T.Mar., O.T., L.J. and I.I acknowledge the financial support of the French CNRS GotoXFEL program. M. I., V. M., and P. Schmidt acknowledge funding by the Volkswagen foundation within a Peter Paul Ewald fellowship 390 (Volkswagen Foundation, Fund Ref ID, DE/Federal Republic of Germany). This work has been supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF), Grants No. 05K13RF4 and No. 05K16RF1. M. S. S., S. G., K.F., T.J., I.V.-P., M.H. and R. D. acknowledge support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft via Sonderforschungsbereich 1319 (ELCH), S. E. acknowledges support by the German Research Foundation (DFG) through priority program 395 SPP 1840 QUTIF. M.D., S.S. and C.V. acknowledge financial support from the Italian Ministry of Research (ELI project - ESFRI Roadmap)

Author contributions

M. N. P. and M. S. originated the project. T. J., M. S. S., G. K. and R. D. devised and built the REMI instrument. Additional help in preparation and commissioning of the REMI and SQS instruments was provided by L. Ph. H. S., A. C., M. W., S. E., K. F., S. G., A. H., M. H., C. J., M. K., G. N., A. P., J. S., N. S., I. V.-P., T. M. B., P. G., J. M., Y. O., N. R., D. E. R., R. W., P.

Z., P. S. and M. Me. T. J., M. S. S., M. I., J. R., D. T., N. M., N. A., T. Maz., R. B., A. De F., V.

M. and Th. W. executed the experiment and collected the experimental data. T. Mar., O. T., L. J., I. I., E. K., J. N., F. T., C. V., M. D., S. S., M. G., A. L. J., X. L., Y. M., M. Ma., R. F., G. S., D. R., A. R., M. Me., R. M., R. D., T. P., M. S. and M. N. P. participated in the experiment and discussed the results. T. J. and R. G. analyzed the experimental data and interpreted the results. L. I., S-K. S. and R. S performed the simulations and interpreted the data in terms of dication dynamics.

M. N. P., R. S., L. I., S.-K. S., M. S. and R. G. wrote the paper with input from all authors.

415 **Appendix A: Methods**

1. SQS Photon Source

The experiment was performed at the European XFEL in Schenefeld, Germany [35], at the Small Ouantum Systems (SOS) scientific instrument [36]. The European XFEL provides femtosecond x-ray pulses in trains at a repetition rate of 10 Hz. In the present experiment, the pulse spacing 420 within the trains was 1.1 MHz from which we employed every third to fourth bunch with up to a total of 97 pulses per train and therefore an effective number of 970 pulses per second, depending on the required time window for detecting the ions in the reaction microscope. Using a bunch charge of 250 pC at 14 GeV electron energy in the accelerator, the SASE3 soft x-ray undulators delivered x-ray pulses with durations of about 10-25 fs. The pulse duration is 425 estimated by simulations and indirectly deduced from spectral measurements. Pulse energies of 4.4 mJ with a typical shot-to-shot variation in the order of 10% were achieved. The photon energy bandwidth is determined to be $\sim 0.9\%$. The SOS beamline transmission for the used photon energy of 1 keV was measured to be 45%. The focus in the interaction volume was 430 produced by a pair of highly polished mirrors mounted in Kirkpatrick-Baez geometry and has been determined to be as small as $1.6 \times 1.4 \mu m^2$ via a Hartmann-type wave-front sensor. We estimate that the average focus during the experiment was, however, somewhat larger, i.e., 2.85 x 2.85 μ m². Further details on how we estimated the fluence distribution of the pulse can be found in Appendix E.

435 **2. The COLTRIMS Reaction Microscope**

The experimental data presented in this article have been measured using a COLTRIMS reaction microscope [37], which is available at the SQS instrument of the EuXFEL. In our measurement,

a supersonic gas jet consisting of a mixture of water vapor and helium has been intersected with the x-ray beam of the EuXFEL. Ionic reaction fragments generated by the ionizing x-rays were guided by an electric field to a large area (120 mm diameter) microchannel plate detector with 440 delay-line position readout [38]. The impact times t and the positions of impact (x, y) of all ionic fragments have been recorded by means of fast analog-to-digital converters. All ions from a single photoreaction were measured in coincidence. From the (x, y, t) information, the ions' trajectories inside the COLTRIMS spectrometer were reconstructed. From these, the ions' initial vector momenta were deduced. The electric field at the target region was set to E = 213 V/cm 445 and the total distance from the target region to the detector was 250 mm. In order to limit the overall voltage, which needed to be applied to the spectrometer and the detector to a feasible amount of ± 4000 V, the high acceleration field of 213 V/cm was limited to a region of 153 mm. The remaining part of the spectrometer was set to the same voltage as the exit of the acceleration region. This unusual compromise yielded an electrostatic lens which slightly degraded the ion 450 momentum resolution.

The gas target was generated by expanding a mixture of water vapor and helium through a nozzle with a diameter of 200 μ m. The water reservoir, gas line, and nozzle were heated to 40 °C, 50 °C, and 70 °C, respectively. The supersonic gas jet was formed by using a three-stage skimmer setup and a set of adjustable slits in front of the last skimmer.

455

3. Experimental Data Analysis

Multi-coincidence experiments on molecular fragments may suffer from false coincidences, e.g. detected fragments that do not belong to the same parent molecule. This is in particular true if extreme radiation as XFEL light is employed for ionization. Typically, the ion detection efficiency and the average ion count rate per XFEL pulse provides a first estimate whether the

data is strongly affected by such false coincidence events. In the present experiment, the ion detection efficiency is in the range of 40% to 60%. The average number of ions per shot was adjusted using collimating apertures in the molecular beam vielding approx. 1.3 ions/shot for lowest fluence measurements and 4.1 ions/shot at highest XFEL fluence. In our experimental approach, however, the amount of false coincidence events can be drastically reduced by examining (and restricting) the sum of the momenta of all measured ions in the data analysis. Due to momentum conservation, the sum momenta of all fragments from a molecule add up to 0 in the center-of-mass frame of the molecule. In the analysis, the sum of all measured ions is examined. After subtracting momenta to consider the average linear momentum of the absorbed photons and the average molecular beam velocity, this sum momentum depicts yet the recoil momentum of the emitted electrons. We consider therefore events as true coincidences if the sum momentum is less than < 20 a.u. Restricting the dataset to these events, we estimated a contamination of the data with false coincidence events (for highest XFEL fluence) by 7%. A particular case is the break-up channel yielding $D^+/D^+/O^{4+}$. As our measurement is sensitive to the m/q ratio of the ions and not to m or q individually, this channel is contaminated by He^+ ions (from our carrier gas) measured in coincidence with two D^+ ions (as the m/q ratio of He⁺ and O^{4+} is the same). These contaminations differ, however, in KER, as indicated in Fig. 9.

480

465

470

475

Molecules in the gas phase are randomly oriented in space. The measured coincidence data can, however, be transferred to a molecular coordinate frame by selecting the emission direction of ions as a reference. For the molecular-frame momentum distributions depicted in Fig. 2, the Newton diagrams, the x-axis of the coordinate frame was defined to point along the measured momentum of the oxygen ion. The momenta of the proton ions were used to define the y-axis, the direction of which is defined such that the y-component of the first-arriving proton

momentum is always positive and the second is always negative. Momentum analysis also allows us to deduce the angle between ions, as depicted in Fig. 3 in the main text.

4. Simulations

Under the intense x-ray pulse, a molecule undergoes several ionization processes. X-ray light preferably ionizes core electrons, and the created holes are predominantly refilled via Auger decay. Because of the high intensity, photoionization can happen many times such that ultimately the water molecule can be stripped off all electrons. For the water molecule, this means that many hundreds of different electronic configurations can be populated in the course of the ionization dynamics. The described dynamics is a challenge for electronic structure calculations and demands an efficient electronic structure model capable of handling all the involved highly excited and multiply ionized electronic configurations. To model the many ionization steps and the accompanying fragmentation dynamics, we employ the XMOLECULE electronic structure toolkit [22, 23]. Within XMOLECULE, we solve the electronic structure of the water molecule for any given electronic configuration. Here, we employed the Hartree-Fock (HF) electronic structure model in combination with the 6-31G(d,p) basis set [39].

500

485

490

495

For open-shell configurations, we applied the restricted open-shell Hartree-Fock variant for the respective high-spin configuration. For each electronic configuration, photoionization cross sections, Auger decay rates, and fluorescence rates are calculated [22]. The ionization and fragmentation dynamics is simulated employing the kinetic Monte Carlo technique, where we propagate the nuclei with forces calculated on-the-fly and in each time step we randomly conduct electronic transitions (photoionization, Auger decay, fluorescence) according to calculated probabilities [40]. The simulation of electronic dynamics is similar to previous works [22, 24] where the nuclei have been fixed.

For the current simulation, we used a photon energy of 1 keV. The starting geometry and momenta were sampled from a Wigner distribution of the vibrational ground state. For the molecular dynamics, we used a time step of 0.1 fs and propagated each trajectory for a total time of 200 fs, where the trajectories begin 90 fs before the x-ray pulse's peak. For each parameter set, we have collected 8,000 trajectories, respectively. To verify our methodology, we have conducted simulations also for the deuterated and semi-deuterated water molecule (D₂O and HDO).

Since the x-ray pulse has an inhomogeneous spatial fluence distribution, different molecules in

the sample beam are exposed to different fluence values of up to 8×10^{11} ph./µm² (See Appendix 515 E for details on the fluence distribution of the pulse). The number of absorbed photons and, consequently, the yield for a specific charge state depend on the fluence. This fluence dependence is illustrated in Fig. 7 showing the population of charge states as a function of fluence. As can be seen, the highest yield for quadruply charged states is achieved at fluences around 2×10^{11} ph./µm², whereas at larger fluences the population of quadruply charged molecules drops and is transferred to higher charge states.

510



FIG. 7. Population of different charge states q as a function of fluence. The solid lines show results for a fixed-geometry simulation, the crosses show results for a dynamic geometry calculation.

525

530

For the fluence value of 2×10^{11} ph./µm², Fig. 8(a) shows the number of trajectories for different numbers of absorbed photons. As can be seen, with the employed fluence value we cover a broad region of ionization dynamics ranging from 0-photon absorption (no ionization at all) to up to 6 absorbed photons, where 1-, 2-, 3-, and 4-photon absorptions dominate. In Fig. 8(b), one can see the number of trajectories for the different fragmentation channels, where $q_0-q_{H1}-q_{H2}$ labels the charges of the respective atomic fragments after three-body fragmentation. In most cases, the absorption of a photon leads to ionization of the core shell that most likely induces a further ionization step via Auger decay. This leads to the alternating sequence in the abundance of

fragment charges: even charge states (0-1-1, 2-1-1, and 4-1-1) are more abundant than odd charge states (1-1-1, 3-1-1, 5-1-1) [22, 24]. Accordingly, 0-1-1, 2-1-1, 4-1-1, and 6-1-1 can be almost exclusively identified with 1, 2, 3, and 4 core-shell photoionizations, respectively. We do not see any neutral hydrogen fragments.



540

FIG. 8. Number of trajectories for H_2O grouped according to the number of absorbed photons (a) and grouped according to the fragment charges (only three-body fragmentations) (b). The total number of trajectories is 8,000.

Because of the clear association of charge states with number of absorbed photons, the fragmentation dynamics within a given charge coincidence varies only slightly with the fluence in the interaction region. See a detailed discussion on this in Appendix F. If not mentioned otherwise, our analysis is based on a fluence value of $F = 2 \times 10^{11}$ ph./µm² because it yields a large amount of charge state q=+4. 555

a. Temporal pulse shape

In our simulations, we observe that details of the fragments' final momenta sensitively depend on the actual temporal pulse shape. Since the precise shape of the x-ray pulse envelope in the experiment is unknown, we used a number of different pulse shapes to model the resulting fragmentation: a Gaussian with a full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) of 10 fs, a Gaussian with a FWHM of 30 fs, and a double-Gaussian,

 $f(t) = F(0.6f_{5fs}(t) + 0.4f_{35fs}(t)),$

where $f_{5fs}(t)$ and $f_{35fs}(t)$ are Gaussian functions with a FWHM of 5 fs and 35 fs, respectively.

For three different temporal pulse shapes, Fig. 9 compares the resulting KER for different coincidence channels and for H₂O and D₂O that has been measured in the same experiment. As can be seen, the 10-fs FWHM Gaussian pulse [Fig. 9(a) and Fig. 9(b)] leads to too large KER compared with the experimental data for the charge coincidences 4-1-1 and 6-1-1. In addition, for the 2-1-1 coincidences, the distribution is somewhat too narrow. The 30-fs FWHM Gaussian pulse [Fig. 9(c) and Fig. 9(d)] yields too low KER for all coincidences. Overall, we see a somewhat better agreement between experimental and calculated KER for the 5-fs/35-fs double-Gaussian pulse shape [Fig. 9(e) and Fig. 9(f)], where the KERs of the simulations are only slightly lower than the experimental values.



FIG. 9. Total kinetic energy release for H_2O and D_2O for different coincidence channels and for different temporal pulse shapes. x-1-1 labels coincidence channels with final oxygen fragment charge +x and hydrogen charges +1. The squares show data from the simulation, the asterisks and the shaded areas show data from the experiment. The error bars show standard errors due to finite sampling. Note that the peak at 10 eV for D_2O in the experimental data is an artifact caused by He⁺.

The reported trends are consistent for D₂O and H₂O and therefore suggest that the remaining mismatch is largely not due to a discrepancy in the involved potential energy surfaces but rather due to further details that are missing in the temporal pulse shape employed in the calculation. If not stated otherwise, presented results are shown for the double-Gaussian temporal pulse shape, as it shows the best agreement with the experimental data. For an extended discussion on how different temporal pulse shapes impact the extraction of time information, see Appendix G.

b. Dicationic fragmentation

For the trajectories with single photoabsorption, we observe different fragmentation channels. The majority of trajectories shows a three-body breakup into $O + 2H^+/D^+$, while a smaller part (33±1%, 34±1%, 30±1% for H₂O, D₂O, and HDO, respectively) shows two-body breakup into $OH^+/OD^+ + D^+/H^+$. We see a strong asymmetry regarding the OH^+ and OD^+ production from HDO resulting in OD^+ being ~5 times more probable than OH^+ . Both of these observations are in excellent agreement with earlier findings [*18, 41, 42*] and thus confirm the accuracy of our theoretical model.

635

630

c. Double core holes

640

For the trajectories resulting in a total charge +4, which are almost exclusively due to two coreshell photoionization and two Auger decay events, we observe several events where the two photoionizations happen so rapidly that the Auger decay has not yet refilled the initial core vacancy. The created double core-hole is subsequently refilled via two Auger decay events. For the fluence value of $F = 2 \times 10^{11}$ ph./µm², the ratio of double core-hole creation (PPAA sequence)

is 17%, whereas 82% of the trajectories exhibit a sequence where photoionization is directly followed by Auger decay (PAPA sequence). Whereas PPAA sequences result in ion fragments with particularly high KER, consistently with the required short delay time t_2 - t_1 (see Fig.4(a)), our simulation data does not exhibit any feature in the ion momentum data that allows to uniquely distinguish PPAA from PAPA events. Notably, such distinction would be possible with coincidently detected photoelectrons due to the distinct photoelectron lines of the two sequences [24].

645

650

Appendix B: Analysis of the Tail Structure in the Newton Diagrams

In the Newton diagrams, a considerable part of the protons forms a tail pointing towards the momentum of the oxygen. This tail structure cannot solely be associated with a sequential fragmentation (as it has been done in different contexts before [43-46]).

To elucidate the relation of the tail structure in the Newton diagrams in Fig. 2 with dynamics in the dication, we show in Fig. 10(a) the final momentum data from the simulation in a Newton diagram (oxygen momentum direction defines x-axis), where we have now marked the tail structure, i.e., the proton momenta that have contributions along the oxygen momentum (positive x-axis) with green color. The corresponding partner oxygen and protons momenta are marked with blue color. Figure 10(b) shows the same data, but here the momentum data is plotted in the molecular frame, i.e., where in the initial geometry the oxygen is oriented along the positive *x*axis. The same color coding as in Fig. 10(a) is used. As can be seen, a qualitatively different picture arises in the two diagrams. One can clearly see that the blue and green marked

momentum values concentrate in the region around $p_x \sim 0$, i.e., where the molecule must have dissociated from an almost linear geometry. A substantial part of the tail-structure must thus be associated with large HOH bending dynamics. Moreover, one can see that in a number of trajectories oxygen atoms are emitted in the negative x-axis direction, indicating that a substantial part of the trajectories shows overbending dynamics, i.e., the HOH bond angle increases beyond a linear geometry. From the simulations of the H₂O²⁺ dynamics following single-photon absorption, we can quantify that after core ionization and Auger decay, 22% of the trajectories that dissociate in a three-body-fragmentation channel show overbending.



675

FIG. 10. Final ion momenta for H_2O^{4+} in two different orientations: (a) the momenta are oriented such that the final oxygen momentum is oriented along the x-axis, (b) the momenta are oriented according to the initial geometry (oxygen is initially on the positive x-axis). Gray dots mark proton momenta, red dots mark oxygen momenta. Green dots mark proton momenta with positive component along the corresponding oxygen momentum. Blue dots mark the corresponding partner proton/oxygen momenta.

Appendix C: Correlation of Kinetic Energy with Different Time-Delays



FIG. 11 Scatter plots [(a), (b), and (c)] showing the relation of different ionization times with KER and histograms [(d), (e), and (f)] showing the distribution of ionization times for H₂O and the coincidence with O^{2+} and $2H^+$. In the plots, t_{P1} and t_{P2} are the times of the two photoionization events, t_{A1} and t_{A2} are the times of the two Auger decay steps. PAPA ionization sequences are marked in blue, PPAA ionization sequences are marked in red.

To obtain timing information, we exploit that the KER is strongly correlated with the time between the two photoionization events $t_{P2}-t_{P1}$ [Fig. 4(a)]. In principle, the timing for the charging-up of the molecule and thereby the KER also depends on how fast Auger decays follow photoionization events. Figure 11 shows scatter plots of the kinetic energy release and various time delay parameters: time between the two photoabsorptions, $t_{P2}-t_{P1}$, [Fig. 11(a)] time between first Auger decay and first photoabsorption, $t_{A1}-t_{P1}$ [Fig. 11(b)], and time between second Auger decay and second photoabsorption, $t_{A2}-t_{P2}$ [Fig. 11(c)]. The bottom plots [Figs. 11(d), 11(e), 11(f)] show the corresponding histograms. As can be seen, the time between two photoionizations, $t_{P2}-t_{P1}$, shows a marked correlation with the resulting kinetic energy through the fact that large delay times correspond to lower KER [see Fig. 11(a)]. The distribution of delay times $t_{P2}-t_{P1}$ [Fig. 11(d)] extends up to 50fs, whereas large delay times are considerably less frequent. PPAA events particularly focus on short delay times <5 fs. The time between first photoionization and first Auger decay $t_{AI}-t_{PI}$ shows almost no correlation with the KER [see Fig. 11(b)], the values are distributed following an exponential decay with decay constant ~3fs [Fig. 11(e)]. For the PPAA events, no marked difference in this distribution can be seen. The time between second photoionization and second Auger decay, $t_{A2}-t_{P2}$, shows a slight correlation to the KER for high kinetic energies [Fig. 11(c)]. The distribution of the values shown in Fig. 11(f) extends to somewhat larger values as compared to $t_{A1}-t_{P1}$ [Fig. 11(e)]; also here, the timing distribution of PPAA events is not markedly different as compared to PAPA events.

695

700

705

715

The dependence of the timing parameters on the KER is further quantified by Pearson correlation coefficients as -0.73, 0.01, and -0.28, for the correlation between KER and $t_{P2}-t_{P1}$, $t_{A1}-t_{P1}$, and $t_{A2}-t_{P2}$, respectively. We conclude that the time between two photoionization events, $t_{P2}-t_{P1}$, is the major factor determining the KER distribution.

720 Appendix D: Extended Results for Higher Charge Coincidences

Figure 12 and Figure 13 show the scatter plots (proton momentum vs. proton momentum, proton angle vs. proton angle) for the coincidence channels $O^{4+}+2H^+$ and $O^{6+}+2H^+$. The top row shows experimental data, the bottom row shows data from the simulation, respectively. As can be seen, the features associated with strong unbending dynamics and asymmetric dissociation become weaker at coincidences with higher oxygen charges, respectively.



FIG. 12. Hydrogen absolute momenta pairs for different coincidence channels (top: 4-1-1, bottom: 6-1-1). The left column shows experimental results, the right column shows results from the simulation, respectively.



FIG. 13. Hydrogen-oxygen momentum angle pairs for different coincidence channels (top: 4-1-1, bottom: 6-1-1). The left column shows experimental results, the right column shows results from the simulation, respectively.

740

Appendix E. Pulse Calibration

Fig 14. Argon calibration measurement. The black circles show measured ion yields (open circles are excluded for calibration), and the lines show ion yields as calculated from atomic simulations. The red line shows results obtained with a single-Gaussian for the spatial fluence distribution, while the blue line shows results obtained with a double-Gaussian fluence distribution. See text for the optimized parameters of the fluence distribution.

To obtain a reliable value for the x-ray fluence, we employed a calibration measurement with

750 argon gas. The argon gas has been exposed to the x-ray pulse at identical experimental conditions as used for H₂O, and the ion yields for different charge states have been recorded. To determine the spatial fluence distribution of the x-ray pulse, we compare the measured ion distribution with results from atomic calculations employing different spatial fluence distributions. Figure 14 shows a comparison of calculated and measured ion yields. The red and blue lines show ion yields calculated with optimal parameters for single and double-Gaussian spatial pulse shapes, fitted to experimental ion yields, as determined by the XCALIB tool (*47*). A pulse duration of 30 fs is assumed and the charge states of +6, +7, and +16 are excluded for calibration, because of inaccuracies of calculated values (+6 and +7) and plausible influence of the second harmonic contribution and pulse duration (+16). As can be seen, the agreement is

significantly improved when a double-Gaussian pulse shape is used. The resulting parameters that characterize the spatial pulse shape are: the peak fluence of 7.0×10^{11} ph./µm² for a single Gaussian; the peak fluence of 8.5×10^{11} ph./µm², the width ratio of 1.77, and the fluence ratio of 0.355 when assuming a double Gaussian. Assuming a focal area of 1.6×1.6 µm², the beamline transmission is calculated as 14.0%, which is equivalent to 44.5% with 2.85×2.85 µm², since the calibration determines only the ratio between the transmission and the focal area. More details on the calibration procedure can be found in Ref. 47.

Appendix F: Fluence dependence

The ionization and fragmentation dynamics of the molecule are linked to the respective timings of the photoionization events that depend in principle on the fluence value. Because the considered charge states q can almost exclusively be associated with a respective number of photoabsorptions, it turns out that the fragmentation dynamics can be studied independently of the fluence. This can be understood based on the following arguments: Photoionization occurs predominantly at the oxygen core shell. Assuming that a created core hole is sufficiently rapidly refilled via Auger decay, the associated cross section can be considered to be constant throughout the whole ionization and fragmentation dynamics. Within such an approximation, the differential probability distribution for n photoionization at times $t_1, ..., t_n$ is given by

$$\frac{dP^{(n)}(t_1,\cdots,t_n)}{dt_1\cdots dt_2} = (\sigma F)^n e^{-\sigma F} \prod_{m=1\dots n} f(t_n),$$
(F1)

where σ is the photoionization cross section, *F* is the fluence, and *f*(*t*) is the temporal pulse profile. As can be seen, the fluence can be extracted into a timing-independent prefactor. As a consequence, the *relative* amount of, e.g., rapid ionization events with small t₂-t₁, compared to delayed ionization events with large t₂-t₁, is not affected by the fluence.

770



To verify the fluence independence of the studied ion momentum coincidence signal we performed simulations for fluence values 10^{11} ph./µm², 2×10^{11} ph./µm², and 4×10^{11} ph./µm². These fluences cover the range that has relevant contributions to charge state +4 (see Fig. 7). Figure 15 shows the same analysis as in Fig. 4(a), (b), and (c) but for the three different fluence values. As suggested by Eq. (F1) the relative timing distribution and, consequently, the resulting fragmentation dynamics are barely affected by the fluence. Accordingly, the fractions for larger proton asymmetry and large proton angle are almost identical. We see, however, that the count rate of the coincidence signal for charge states +4 is affected, resulting in a reduced statistics in particular for the high fluence [Fig. 15(g)] because at this fluence ionization leading to charge states beyond +4 is more likely. The lower statistics results in slightly larger statistical error bars. Apart from some deviations within these error bars, the results at different fluence values are consistent. This result confirms that when looking into particular ion coincidences, the fragmentation dynamics are barely affected by the fluence.

We note that the relative contributions of the different ionization sequences PPAA vs. PAPA are somewhat fluence dependent, as these dynamics are beyond the assumption of a constant cross section. For the three considered fluence values we obtain a ratio of PPAA/PAPA of 0.18, 0.21, and 0.27, respectively, for the three fluence values considered. A PPAA sequence, however, does not result in fragmentation dynamics that are significantly different from a PAPA sequence at small delay t_2 - t_1 since both lead more or less to an almost immediate Coulomb explosion.

790



Fig 15. Evolution in real time [same as Fig. 4 (a), (b), (c) but for different fluences]. (a), (d), (g) KER as a function of time between the first and the second photoionization, t_2 - t_1 (simulation) for different fluence values. The yellow stars mark average delay times for the three KER bins considered in Figs. (b), (e), (h) and (c), (f), (i). The bars indicate standard deviations of the delay times within the respective KER bins. (b), (e), (h): Ratio of coincidence events with large proton-proton momentum angle and large relative proton momentum asymmetry as a function of KER (experiment and simulation). (c), (f), (i): HOH bond angles and asymmetry ratio of the OH distances at the point in time of the second photoabsorption vs. time delay with respect to the first photoabsorption (simulations) accompanied by selected snapshots of the molecular geometry. All plots are for coincidences with oxygen charge 2+ and 2H⁺ ions. The calculated results are obtained for different fluence values (a), (b), (c): 10¹¹ ph./µm², (d), (e), (f): 2×10¹¹ ph./µm², (g), (h), (i): 4×10¹¹ ph./µm²

810

Appendix G: Mapping of KER to Time Between Photoionizations for Different Pulse Shapes



Fig 16. (a), (b), and (c): KER as a function of time between the first and the second photoionization t_2-t_1 for different pulse shapes. The yellow stars mark the average timing values for the selected KER bins. The error bar shows the standard deviation of t_2-t_1 within the bin. (d), (e), and (f): Fraction of proton angles larger than 150° as a function of t_2-t_1 . (g), (h), and (i): Fraction of proton asymmetry larger than 0.15 as a function of t_2-t_1 . The circles show the data as extracted from the simulations, the stars show data, where the timing information has been deduced by the KER–time relation. Vertical error bars indicate standard error, horizontal error bars indicate the standard deviation of t_2-t_1 within the selected KER bin.

830

835

840

Figures 16 (a), (b), and (c) show the KER as a function of time between photoionizations t_2 - t_1 for the three different pulse shapes discussed in Fig. 9. Because the distribution of photoionization timings are considerably different for the different temporal pulses, the KER vs. t_2 - t_1 scatter plot also show differences. However, all three distributions show a consistent trend. Regardless of the employed temporal pulse shape, a small delay time t_2 - $t_1 < 10$ fs can be associated with a KER \geq 10 eV. A larger delay time t_2 - $t_1 > 20$ fs can be linked to a KER < 25 eV. Note that the 10-fs Gaussian pulse does not show any data for t_2 - $t_1 > 20$ fs.

To be comparable, we employ the same KER bins as for the experimental data (0-30eV, 30-60eV, and 60-90eV) to map timing information. However, due to the missing data for the 10-fs pulse, the lower KER bin indicates a too small delay time of t_2 - t_1 = 11.0 fs for this KER range. Furthermore, due to the somewhat shifted distribution, the delay time t_2 - t_1 for the second KER bin is somewhat larger for the 30-fs Gaussian pulse as compared to the 5/35-fs Gaussian pulse (9.3fs instead of 6.1fs). The remaining associations of KER with timing differ only slightly for the different pulse shapes.

To discuss the reliability of the extraction of timing information from the KER, we show in Fig. 16 (d), (e), and (f) the fraction of final proton angles >150° as a function of t_2 - t_1 directly taken from the simulations. Due to the bending dynamics initiated by the first photoionization, this fraction increases rapidly and shows a maximum at t_2 - t_1 =12 fs and decreases for larger t_2 - t_1 . The stars show the reconstructed values, where the data has been binned according to the KER and assigned to a delay time t_2 - t_1 corresponding to the average within the respective KER bin. The horizontal error bars indicate the standard deviation of t_2 - t_1 within the KER bin. As can be seen, the trend indicated that larger t_2 - t_1 leads to a larger proton angle within the first 10 fs is

qualitatively reproduced for all three employed temporal pulse shapes. The reconstruction of the data is clearly limited by the imprecise relation between KER and t_2 - t_1 that is indicated by the broad distribution of delay times t_2 - t_1 for a given KER range.

For the asymmetric stretching dynamics, the analogous comparison is shown in Figs. 16 (g), (h), and (i). As one can see in the original simulation data shown by the open circles, the fraction of 855 larger asymmetry in the proton momenta increases as a function t_2 - t_1 and reaches a value of ~0.22 at around 20 fs. For the double-Gaussian and the 30-fs Gaussian pulse, the reconstructed data qualitatively reproduces the trend indicating larger asymmetry for larger delay time t_2 - t_1 . The reconstructed timings match within the indicated standard deviation for t_2-t_1 with the original simulation data. For the 10-fs Gaussian pulse, the asymmetry fraction remains close to zero, which is attributed to the fact that the pulse is too short to capture any asymmetric dynamics. Overall, the reconstruction of timing information for the asymmetry performs considerably worse compared to the one for the bending. The middle KER bins are associated with a too small time delay (or a too large asymmetry fraction), whereas the lower KER bins (large t_2 - t_1) show too little asymmetry as compared to the original simulation data. We link this 865 discrepancy to the fact that the KER-time delay dependence at larger t_2 - t_1 is different for a 2body fragmentation compared to a 3-body fragmentation. The KER-time delay relation, thus, can be expected to be biased because for the same time delay the 2-body fragmentation resulting in the dissociation into OH^+ and H^+ should result in a larger KER as compared to a 3-body fragmentation into O + H⁺ + H⁺ at the same delay. Consequently, the time delay t_2 - t_1 for 870 asymmetry in the middle KER bin is somewhat underestimated, and in the lowest KER bin, the fraction of asymmetry is also underestimated.

Appendix H: Ionization Timing Statistics

In an alternative approach, inspection of higher charge coincidences allows us to infer timing information because they imply a larger number of absorbed photons and thus correlate with a shorter time between first and second photoionization. To elucidate this relation, we here inspect the distribution of time between the first and second photoionizations for a given number of absorbed photons. Assuming that the ionization cross section $\sigma(t) = \sigma$ is constant (by far, the dominant cross section is for core ionization, which is rapidly refilled via Auger decay), the differential probability to have a time $\tau_2 = t_2 - t_1$ between the first and the second photoionizations when there are in total *n* total photoionizations is

$$\frac{dP^{(n)}(\tau_2)}{d\tau_2} = (\sigma F)^n e^{-\sigma F} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 f(t_1) f(t_1 + \tau_2) \int_0^{\infty} d\tau_3 \dots d\tau_n \prod_{m=3\dots n} f(t_1 + \tau_2 + \sum_{k=3\dots m} \tau_k), (G1)$$

where $\tau_k = t_k - t_{k-1}$ is the time between the *k*-th and *k*-1-th photoabsorptions, f(t) is the temporal pulse profile, and F the fluence [48]. We note that for very short time intervals $t_2 - t_1$ close to or smaller than the core-hole lifetime of ~3 fs [21] the analytical model in Eq. (G1) is not applicable as a consequence of the finite core-hole lifetime that causes a transiently decreased photoionization cross section [49,50].

880

885



FIG. 17. Differential probability distribution of the time between the first and second photoionizations, t_2-t_1 . The inset shows the employed double-Gaussian temporal pulse shape. Solid lines show results from an analytical model assuming constant ionization cross sections, dots depict results from the actual simulation. Error bars depict standard errors.

900

895

Figure 17 shows the resulting photoionization timing distributions. The solid lines are due to the analytical model [Eq. (G1)], the points are from the simulation data. As one might intuitively expect, the probability to have short times t_2-t_1 is larger, when more photons are absorbed within the whole pulse. For the temporal pulse shape used here, one can see that the three probability curves for 2-, 3-, and 4-photon absorption events cross at a delay time $t_2-t_1 \sim 8$ fs. This indicates that the probability for having a delay time $t_2-t_1 > 8$ fs is relatively suppressed when we inspect

- higher charge coincidences that result from a larger number of absorbed photons. At the same 905 time, for lower charge coincidences, it is more likely to have a delay time $t_2-t_1 < 8$ fs since lower charges imply fewer absorbed photons. Since the dynamical momentum patterns discussed in the main text are predominantly a result of the dynamics between the first and second photoabsorptions, the suppression of these patterns at coincidences with higher charges indicates that the underlying dynamics occur on a timescale smaller than ~ 8 fs. 910

References

- (1) B. Boudaïffa, P. Cloutier, D. Hunting, M. A. Huels, L. Sanche, Resonant Formation of DNA Strand Breaks by Low-Energy (3 to 20 eV) Electrons. *Science* 287, 1658-1660 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5458.1658
 - (2) M. Baumann, M. Krause, J. Overgaard, J. Debus, S. M. Bentzen, J. Daartz, C. Richter, D. Zips, T. Bortfeld, Radiation oncology in the era of precision medicine. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 16, 234–249 (2016). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2016.18</u>
- (3) B. C. Garrett *et al.*, Role of Water in Electron-Initiated Processes and Radical Chemistry: Issues and Scientific Advances. *Chem. Rev.* 105, 355–390 (2005). <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/cr030453x</u>.
 - (4) R. W. Carlson *et al.*, Hydrogen Peroxide on the Surface of Europa. *Science* 283, 2062-2064 (1999). <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.283.5410.2062</u>
- (5) M. Blanc, D. J. Andrews, A. J. Coates, D. C. Hamilton, C. M. Jackman, X. Jia, A. Kotova,
 M. Morooka, H. T. Smith, J. H. Westlake, Saturn Plasma Sources and Associated
 Transport Processes. *Space Sci. Rev.* 192, 237–83 (2015).

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-015-0172-9

(6) I. G. Draganić, Radiolysis of water: a look at its origin and occurrence in the nature. *Radiat*. *Phys. Chem.* **72**, 181–86 (2005).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2004.09.012.

(7) A. Sankari *et al.*, Non-radiative decay and fragmentation in water molecules after 1a₁⁻¹4a₁ excitation and core ionization studied by electron-energy-resolved electron-ion coincidence spectroscopy. *J. Chem. Phys.* 152, 074302 (2020). <u>doi:10.1063/1.5141414</u>

920

915

- (8) Z.-H. Loh *et al.*, Observation of the Fastest Chemical Processes in the Radiolysis of Water.
 Science 367, 179–82 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz4740.
 - (9) E. Kamarchik, O. Kostko, J. M. Bowman, M. Ahmed, A. I. Krylov, Spectroscopic signatures of proton transfer dynamics in the water dimer cation. *J. Chem. Phys.* 132, 194311 (2010).
- 940 (10) O. Marsalek, C. G. Elles, P. A. Pieniazek, E. Pluharova, J. VandeVondele, S. E. Bradforth,
 P. Jungwirth, Chasing charge localization and chemical reactivity following photoionization in liquid water. *J. Chem. Phys.* 135, 224510 (2011).
 https://doi:10.1063/1.3664746pmid:2216870
- (11) O. Fuchs *et al.*, Isotope and Temperature Effects in Liquid Water Probed by X-Ray
 Absorption and Resonant X-Ray Emission Spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, 027801
 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.027801
 - (12) T. Tokushima, Y. Harada, O, Takahashi, Y. Senba, H. Ohashi, L. G. M. Pettersson, A. Nilsson, S. Shin, High Resolution X-Ray Emission Spectroscopy of Liquid Water: The Observation of Two Structural Motifs. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 460, 387–400 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2008.04.077
 - (13) S. Thürmer, M. Oncak, N. Ottosson, R. Seidel, U. Hergenhahn, S. E. Bradforth, P. Slavicek,
 B. Winter, On the nature and origin of dicationic, charge-separated species formed in liquid water on X-ray irradiation. *Nat. Chem.* 5, 590–96 (2013).

https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1680.

950

955 (14) M. N. Piancastelli, A. Hempelmann, F. Heiser, O. Gessner, A. Rüdel, U. Becker, Resonant photofragmentation of water at the Oxygen K edge by high-resolution ion-yield spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. A* 59, 300–306 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.59.300.

- (15) J. H. Sanderson, A. El-Zein, W. A. Bryan, W. R. Newell, A. J. Langley, P. F. Taday,
 Geometry modifications and alignment of H2O in an intense femtosecond laser pulse.
 Phys. Rev. A 59, R2567-R2570 (1999)
 - (16) F. Légaré, K. F. Lee. V. Litvinyuk, P. W. Dooley, S. S. Wesolowski, P. R. Bunker, P. Dombi, F. Krausz, A. D. Bandrauk, D. M. Villeneuve, P. B. Corkum, Laser Coulomb-explosion imaging of small molecules. *Phys. Rev. A* 71, 013415 (2005)
- 965 (17) T. J. Van Huis, S. S. Wesolowski, Y. Yamaguchi, H. F. Schaefer, Scratching the Surface of the Water Dication. J. Chem. Phys. 110, 11856–64 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.479127.
 - (18) B. Gervais, E. Giglio, L. Adoui, A. Cassimi, D. Duflot, M. E. Galassi, The H₂O²⁺ potential energy surfaces dissociating into H⁺/OH⁺: Theoretical analysis of the isotopic effect. *J. Chem. Phys.* **131**, 024302 (2009). <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3157164</u>.
 - (19) Z. L. Streeter, F. L. Yip, R. R. Lucchese, B. Gervais, T. N. Rescigno, C. W. McCurdy, Dissociation dynamics of the water dication following one-photon double ionization. I. Theory. *Phys. Rev. A* 98, 053429 (2018)
 - (20) D. Reedy *et al.*, Dissociation dynamics of the water dication following one-photon double ionization. II. Experiment. *Phys. Rev. A* 98, 053430 (2018).
 - (21) L. Inhester, C. F. Burmeister, G. Groenhof, H. Grubmüller, Auger spectrum of a water molecule after single and double core ionization. J. Chem. Phys. 136, 144304 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3700233

970

- (22) L. Inhester, K. Hanasaki, Y. Hao, S.-K. Son, R. Santra, X-ray multiphoton ionization dynamics of a water molecule irradiated by an x-ray free-electron laser pulse. *Phys. Rev. A* 94, 023422 (2016). doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.94.023422
 - (23) Y. Hao, L. Inhester, K. Hanasaki, S.-K. Son, R. Santra, Efficient electronic structure calculation for molecular ionization dynamics at high x-ray intensity. *Struct. Dyn.* 2, 041707 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4919794
- (24) J. M. Schäfer, L. Inhester, S.-K. Son, R. F Fink, R. Santra, Electron and fluorescence spectra of a water molecule irradiated by an x-ray free-electron laser pulse. *Phys. Rev. A* 97, 053415 (2018).https://doi.org./10.1103/PhysRevA.97.053415
 - (25) H. Niikura, F. Légaré, R. Hasbani, A. D. Bandrauk, M. Yu. Ivanov, D. M. Villeneuve, P. B.
 Corkum, Sub-laser-cycle electron pulses for probing molecular dynamics. *Nature* 417, 917-922 (2002)
 - (26) F. Légaré, I.V. Litvinyuk, P.W. Dooley, F. Quéré, A. D. Bandrauk, D.M. Villeneuve, P. B. Corkum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91, 093002 (2003)
 - (27) D. Winkoun, G. Dujardin, L. Hellner, M. J. Besnard, One- and Two-Step Double Photoionisation Processes in Valence Shells of H₂O. J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 21, 1385–1394 (1988). https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/21/8/011
 - (28) K. H. Tan, C. E. Brion, Ph. E. van der Leeuw, M. J. van der Wiel, Absolute Oscillator Strengths (10–60 EV) for the Photoabsorption, Photoionisation and Fragmentation of H₂0s. *Chem. Phys.* 29, 299–309 (1978). https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(78)85080-0.
 - (29) H. B. Pedersen *et al.*, Photolysis of Water-Radical Ions H₂O⁺ in the XUV: Fragmentation through Dicationic States. *Phys. Rev. A* 87, 013402 (2013).

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.013402

985

980

990

995

(30) H. Siegbahn, L. Asplund, P. Kelfve, The Auger electron spectrum of water vapour. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 35, 330-335 (1975). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(75)85615-6</u>

- (31) L. J. Frasinski *et al.*, Dynamics of Hollow Atom Formation in Intense X-Ray Pulses Probed by Partial Covariance Mapping. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 073002 (2013), https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.073002 and references therein.
- (32) L. Fang *et al.*, Multiphoton ionization as a clock to reveal molecular dynamics with intense short x-ray free electron laser pulses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 263001 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.263001
 - (33) A. Barty, J. Küpper, H. N. Chapman, Molecular imaging using X-ray free-electron lasers. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 64, 415–435 (2013).
 - (34) A. H. Zewail, 4D ultrafast electron diffraction, crystallography, and microscopy, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **57**, 65–103 (2006).

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevphyschem.57.032905.104748.

- (35) W. Decking, *et al.*, A MHz-repetition-rate hard X-ray free-electron laser driven by a superconducting linear accelerator. *Nat. Photonics* **14**, 391-397 (2020).
- (36) T. Tschentscher, C. Bressler, J. Grünert, A. Madsen, A. P. Mancuso, M. Meyer, A. Scherz, H. Sinn, U. Zastrau, Photon Beam Transport and Scientific Instruments at the European XFEL. *Appl. Sci.* 7, 592 (2017).
 - (37) J. Ullrich, R. Moshammer, A. Dorn, R. Dörner, L. Ph. H. Schmidt, H. Schmidt-Böcking, Recoil-ion and electron momentum spectroscopy: reaction-microscopes. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 66, 1463-1545 (2003).

1010

1005

1015

- (38) O. Jagutzki, J. S. Lapington, L. B. C. Worth, U. Spillman, V. Mergel, H. Schmidt-Böcking, Position sensitive anodes for MCP read-out using induced charge measurement. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* 477, 256-261 (2002).
 - (39) P.C. Hariharan, J. A. Pople, The influence of polarization functions on molecular orbital hydrogenation energies. *Theor. Chim. Acta* **28**, 213-222 (1973)
- (40) A. Rudenko *et al.*, Femtosecond response of polyatomic molecules to ultra-intense hard X-rays. *Nature* 546, 129-132 (2017).

1035

- (41) P. J. Richardson, J. H. D. Eland, P. G. Fournier, D. L. Cooper, Spectrum and decay of the doubly charged water ion. J. Chem. Phys. 84, 3189-3194 (1986).
- (42) S. Legendre, E. Giglio, M. Tarisien, A. Cassimi, B. Gervais, L Adoui, Isotopic effects in water dication fragmentation. J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 38, L233-L241 (2005).
 - (43) N. Neumann *et al.*, Fragmentation Dynamics of CO₂³⁺ Investigated by Multiple Electron Capture in Collisions with Slow Highly Charged Ions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 103201 (2010).
- (44) C. Wu *et al.*, Nonsequential and Sequential Fragmentation of CO₂³⁺ in Intense Laser Fields.
 Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 103601 (2013).
 - (45) B. Wales *et al.*, Coulomb imaging of the concerted and stepwise break up processes of OCS ions in intense femtosecond laser radiation. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* 195, 332-336 (2014).
- (46) R. Guillemin *et al.*, Selecting core-hole localization or delocalization in CS₂ by
 photofragmentation dynamics. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6166 (2015).
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7166

- (47) K. Toyota et al., xcalib: a focal spot calibrator for intense X-ray free-electron laser pulses based on the charge state distributions of light atoms. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* 26, 1017-1030 (2019).
- (48) X. Li, R. Boll, D. Rolles, A. Rudenko, <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.06632</u> (2020).
 - (49) M. Hoener *et al.*, Ultraintense X-Ray Induced Ionization, Dissociation, and Frustrated Absorption in Molecular Nitrogen. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 253002 (2010).
 - (50) L. Young *et al.*, Femtosecond electronic response of atoms to ultra-intense X-rays. *Nature*466, 56-61 (2010).