

Morphometric variance, evolutionary constraints and their change through time in Late Devonian Palmatolepis conodonts

Sabrina Renaud, Catherine Girard, Anne-béatrice Dufour

▶ To cite this version:

Sabrina Renaud, Catherine Girard, Anne-béatrice Dufour. Morphometric variance, evolutionary constraints and their change through time in Late Devonian Palmatolepis conodonts. Evolution - International Journal of Organic Evolution, 2021, 75 (11), pp.2911-2929. 10.1111/evo.14330. hal-03797032

HAL Id: hal-03797032 https://hal.science/hal-03797032

Submitted on 6 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Morphometric variance, evolutionary constraints and their change through time in Late Devonian
- 2 Palmatolepis conodonts
- 3
- 4 Sabrina RENAUD¹, Catherine GIRARD², Anne-Béatrice DUFOUR¹
- ¹ Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, UMR 5558, CNRS, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1,
- 6 Université de Lyon, 69622, Villeurbanne, France. * Corresponding author. <u>Sabrina.Renaud@univ-</u>
- 7 <u>lyon1.fr</u>
- 8 ² Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution de Montpellier (ISEM), Université de Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE,
- 9 IRD, Montpellier, France
- 10

11 **Published version:**

- 12 *Evolution*, 75(11): 2911-2929.
- 13 doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14330</u>
- 14

15 Abstract

16 Phenotypic variation is the raw material of evolution. Standing variation can facilitate response to selection along "lines of least evolutionary resistance", but selection itself might alter the structure of 17 the variance. Shape was quantified using 2D geometric morphometrics in Palmatolepis conodonts 18 19 through the Late Devonian period. Patterns of variance were characterized along the record by the 20 variance-covariance matrix (P-matrix) and its first axis (Pmax). The Late Frasnian was marked by 21 environmental oscillations culminating with the Frasnian/Famennian mass extinction. A shape 22 response was associated with these fluctuations, together with a deflection of the Pmax and the P-23 matrix. Thereafter, along the Famennian, Palmatolepis mean shape shifted from broad elements 24 with a large platform to slender elements devoid of platform. This shift in shape was associated with 25 a reorientation of Pmax and the P-matrix, due to profound changes in the functioning of the 26 elements selecting for new types of variants. Both cases provide empirical evidences that moving 27 adaptive optimum can reorient phenotypic variation, boosting response to environmental changes. 28 On such time scales, the question seems thus not to be whether the P-matrix is stable, but how it is 29 varying in response to changes in selection regimes and shifts in adaptive optimum.

31 Keywords

32 Geometric morphometrics, outline analysis, Pmax, P-matrix, DISTATIS, Famennian

33

	35	ORCID refere	ences
--	----	---------------------	-------

- 36 Sabrina RENAUD : 0000-0002-8730-3113
- 37 Catherine GIRARD : 0000-0003-3123-8276
- 38 Anne-Béatrice DUFOUR : 0000-0002-9339-4293
- 39
- 40

41 Introduction

42 Phenotypic variation is the raw material of evolution. The potential for adaptive responses is 43 determined by the extent of variation available to the screening of selection, and the structure of the 44 genetic covariations that represent constraints due to the interrelationships between traits. 45 Response to selection can be impeded if the covariation between traits is unfavorable (Arnold et al. 46 2001; Steppan et al. 2002) whereas it can be deflected towards the traits combinations that have the 47 most variation, constituting line of least resistance to evolution (Schluter 1996). This has been 48 formalized based on the quantification of the variance-covariance genetic matrix (G matrix) and its 49 main direction (Gmax) (Steppan et al. 2002; Bégin and Roff 2003; McGuigan et al. 2005). However, 50 morphology is the only information to retrace the evolutionary history of ancient fossils, degradation preventing the extraction of genetic information. In such case, the G-matrix cannot be estimated, but 51 52 the matrix of phenotypic variance-covariance, or P-matrix, could be used instead. G represents the 53 portion of P that is heritable (Polly 2004). Heritability of morphological characters seems to be 54 intermediate to high (Cheverud 1988), for instance reaching >60% for the shape of feeding structures 55 in mice (Pallares et al. 2014; Pallares et al. 2017). This makes P a good surrogate for G in the fossil 56 record (Renaud et al. 2006; Hunt 2007).

57 Estimating P-matrices in the fossil record could allow to test predictions regarding its stability vs. 58 temporal changes. The G/P-matrix can be altered by selection, with variation being enhanced in the 59 direction of an adaptive peak (Eroukhmanoff 2009) or along a moving adaptive optimum (Jones et al. 60 2012). In this case, G/P could "store" ancient environmental pressures, strengthening or weakening 61 its constraining effect on future selection pressures (Eroukhmanoff 2009; McGlothlin et al. 2018). 62 Extreme environmental changes are thus expected not only to exert strong selective pressure on shape itself, but also to affect P, whereas response to moderate environmental changes are more 63 64 likely to surf on the line of least resistance characterized by the directions of main variance.

65 The Late Devonian (382 to 359 Ma) offers opportunities to assess the impact of environmental 66 changes of various intensity and duration on organisms. The Frasnian / Famennian boundary (F/F), 67 371 Ma ago, was marked by one of the major mass extinction in Earth's history (McGhee 1996). The mass extinction was the culmination of a perturbation materialized by anoxic deposits in many 68 69 marine environments (the Upper Kellwasser Event, or UKE); it was associated with a pronounced 70 temperature decrease and sea-level fall (Girard and Renaud 2007). This event was preceded, ca. 2 71 myrs before, by another event of similar nature (the Lower Kellwasser Event, or LKE) but of lesser 72 impact on the biosphere (Joachimski and Buggisch 2002; Girard and Renaud 2007). A temperature 73 decrease associated with a sea-level shallowing occurred towards the end of the LKE. The interval

between the two events was then marked by a progressive temperature increase and sea-level rise
(Girard and Renaud 2007). Following the F/F crisis, temperatures were stable for a while (*ca.* 2 myrs)
and thereafter launched a progressive decrease towards values much colder than the pre-LKE
temperatures (Joachimski et al. 2009). This period thus offers the opportunity to investigate the
response of organisms to environmental changes of different paces and amplitudes.

79 Conodonts are long extinct fossils without modern equivalent. These early vertebrates were small 80 eel-like predators and an important part of the nektonic fauna increasing in richness through the 81 Paleozoic period (Purnell 1995). They had a well-developed feeding apparatus composed of several 82 tooth-like elements of complex shapes. Anterior elements formed a trapping structure, whereas 83 posterior elements processed food items (Aldridge et al. 1987; Purnell and Donoghue 1997). 84 Platform elements P1 (Supp. Fig. 1), located at the rear of the conodont mouth, functioned with an 85 occlusion between right and left elements, sometimes leading to a pronounced asymmetry 86 (Donoghue and Purnell 1999; Martínez-Pérez et al. 2016; Renaud et al. 2021). Conodont elements 87 grew by the incremental addition of lamellae. Anisometric growth, with zones characterized by thicker lamellae, caused changes in the shape of many elements (Girard and Renaud 2008; Chen et 88 89 al. 2016). Being composed of apatite, conodont elements are extremely resistant and are abundant 90 in the fossil record. P1 elements, in particular, displayed a robust shape and a rapid morphological 91 evolution that made them highly used stratigraphic markers, and the focus of many morphometric 92 studies, e.g. (Klapper and Foster 1986; Renaud and Girard 1999; Jones et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2016; 93 Hogancamp et al. 2016).

94 Palmatolepis is the emblematic genus of the Late Devonian (Klapper 1989; Ziegler and Sandberg 95 1990). Its P1 elements were characterized by a reduced bilateral asymmetry (Renaud and Girard 96 1999) and allometric growth (Girard et al. 2007). Shape changes were documented during the 97 interval preceding the F/F crisis, and appeared correlated with temperature (Balter et al. 2008). A 98 large-scale morphological trend was further documented along the Famennian (Girard and Renaud 99 2012). Palmatolepis thus constitutes a good model to quantify shape and shape variation during the 100 Late Devonian period, and assess how the P-matrix was affected by environmental changes of 101 various magnitude and pace.

102 Using a geometric morphometric approach based on an outline analysis of the P1 element,

103 Palmatolepis shape was therefore quantified along a record covering the Latest Frasnian and the

104 Famennian. Along this record, the direction of allometric variation, the P-matrix and its first

- 105 direction, Pmax, as well as evolutionary trajectories characterizing temporal evolution and
- 106 diversification within *Palmatolepis*, were quantified to test the following main hypotheses. (1) Given

107 the magnitude of the environmental changes along the record, *Palmatolepis* likely faced moving 108 adaptive optima; this could have selected for new types of variants, thus reorienting Pmax and the P-109 matrix. (2) Allometry should constitute an important component of the phenotypic variation, and 110 thus be correlated with Pmax. (3) The stability of Pmax and the P-matrix, and their role in channelling 111 evolution, could have been modulated by the magnitude and pace of the environmental fluctuations. 112 During periods of relatively stable environmental conditions, Pmax and the P-matrix should be 113 conserved; shape should evolve following the line of least resistance Pmax, and possibly the 114 allometric direction. In contrast, during periods of intense perturbations, flexibility may override 115 constraints (Beldade et al. 2002), and shape evolution may deviate from Pmax. Pmax and the P-116 matrix could be deflected due to moving adaptive optimum (Eroukhmanoff 2009).

117

118 Material and Methods

119 Fossil material for morphometric analyses

120 The Col des Tribes section (CT, Montagne Noire, France) exposes an almost continuous record from 121 Late Frasnian to Late Famennian deposits (-378 to -360 Ma) (Girard et al. 2014). Conodont elements 122 were picked in seven Frasnian and twelve Famennian levels, designed according to the bed 123 numbering in the outcrop (Girard et al. 2014). A few grams of rocks were enough to deliver hundreds 124 of elements (Girard et al. 2014). To minimize time averaging, efforts were done to sample the piece of rock within few centimeters at basis of each stratigraphic level. Oxygen isotopes were measured 125 126 on the apatite of conodonts picked in the same levels (Girard et al. 2020). Age was estimated for 127 each level using a biostratigraphic analysis (Girard et al. 2014) based on the zonations defined for the 128 Frasnian (Klapper and Kirchgasser 2016) and the Famennian (Spalletta et al. 2017). Absolute ages 129 were estimated according to the current datation framework (Becker et al. 2020). Among the 130 Frasnian levels, CT_06 is situated just after the LKE whereas CT_23 is located just before the F/F 131 boundary (Fig. 1).

132 Numerous stratigraphic species and even subspecies have been described within Palmatolepis, as a 133 way to describe the extensive morphological variation. Rather than being distinct evolutionary units, however, they often correspond to end-members of continuous morphological variation (Scott and 134 135 Collinson 1959; Girard and Renaud 2011). In contrast, subgenera correspond to relatively well 136 identified ranges of the morphospace (Girard and Renaud 2012). Hence, considering the subgenus as unit seems a relevant approach to assess temporal changes in mean shape and morphological 137 138 variance (Girard et al. 2004; Girard and Renaud 2011). Furthermore, reliably assessing shape variance 139 requires good sample size, ideally above 30 specimens per sample (Cardini and Elton 2007). Hence,

140 the sampling of this study was targeted at four well-represented subgenera presented thereafter

141 (Table 1; Fig. 1; descriptive terminology and the orientation of the element: Supp. Fig. 1).

- 142 (1) *Manticolepis* is characteristic of the Late Frasnian. Its P1 elements display a large, wide
 platform with a well-developed outer lobe (Müller 1956). The carina is more or less
 sigmoidal. There is broad consensus as to the taxonomic limits of this subgenus since its
 inception (Helms 1963; van den Boogaard and Kuhry 1979).
- 146 (2) Panderolepis P1 elements are characterized by the narrowness of the platform, with an 147 almost absent lateral lobe. In the initial description of the subgenus (Helms 1963), two 148 species were included: *glabra* and *tenuipunctata*, with the hypothesis that *Pa. (Panderolepis)* 149 glabra evolved from tenuipunctata by the loss of the outer lobe and the development of a parapet at the anterior, inner part (also called ventral, caudal part) of the platform. This was 150 151 later challenged by authors proposing that tenuipunctata was the only Frasnian survivor of 152 the Manticolepis subgenus (van den Boogaard and Kuhry 1979). The initial delineation of the 153 subgenus was retained, but the early sample from the Col des Tribes (PAN 35) is composed 154 of tenuipunctata and late samples (PAN 50 and later) are composed of glabra. The sample 155 PAN_37 is composed of a mix of both species (12 glabra and 30 tenuipunctata).
- 156 (3) Deflectolepis has been initially described based on the morphology of the P1 element (Müller 157 1956). They have a very narrow platform, reduced to margins paralleling the carina. Two species are documented. Palmatolepis (Deflectolepis) gracilis has a smooth surface. 158 159 Palmatolepis (Deflectolepis) minuta is characterized by a straight carina and a platform that is 160 slightly developed, and which sometimes displays a small lateral lobe. The homogeneity of 161 this subgenus has been challenged based on few multi-element reconstructions, suggesting 162 that the two species differed by their P2 element (van den Boogaard and Kuhry 1979). As this 163 study focuses on P1 elements, the delineation of the subgenus based on this element was 164 retained, but its homogeneity was questioned. The early samples from the Col des Tribes 165 (DEF 41 and DEF 42) are composed of *minuta* whereas the later samples (DEF 45 and later) 166 are almost exclusively composed of *gracilis*, to the exception of two *minuta* specimens in the 167 level DEF 59.
- (4) *"rhomboidea"* is not strictly speaking a subgenus, but it was constantly set apart from other
 subgenera because of its very characteristic platform of rhombohedral shape (Müller 1956;
 Helms 1963). The outer lobe is usually reduced. The blade-carina line is usually sigmoidal.
- The Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) were groups corresponding to the subgenus x stratigraphic
 level. Each OTU included all entire P1 conodont elements of the concerned subgenus picked in the
 given level, except in PAN_51 in which only right elements were considered, due to an exceptional

- abundance in this level. The resulting sampling encompassed 22 OTUs, for a total of 1435 P1
- 175 conodont elements (Table 1). Note that phylogenetic relationships between subgenera have been
- 176 proposed (Müller 1956; Helms and Ziegler 1981) but they remain largely hypothetical. This precluded
- 177 the possibility to perform phylogenetically-controlled morphometric analyses.
- 178

179 Methods of morphometric and multivariate analyses

180 Outline extraction and quantification. – Pictures of the conodont elements were taken, the element 181 lying flat on its platform, the blade upward (Fig. 1). Left elements were mirrored and measured as 182 right elements; both right and left elements were analyzed together (Renaud and Girard 1999). The 183 outline of the platform was quantified using 64 equally-spaced points extracted using the image 184 analysis software Optimas, the starting point being positioned at the tip of the carina. Radii (i.e. 185 distance of each point to the center of gravity of the points) were calculated from these coordinates. 186 The empirical function of the radius as a function of the cumulated distance along the outline was 187 decomposed into a sum of trigonometric functions of decreasing wavelengths (the harmonics). Each harmonic is weighted by two Fourier coefficients (FCs) that constitute the shape variables. This 188 189 method, implemented in the R package Momocs (Bonhomme et al. 2014), has been shown to 190 efficiently describe shape variation of Palmatolepis elements (Renaud and Girard 1999; Girard and 191 Renaud 2012). The zero harmonic A0, proportional to the outline size, was used to standardize all 192 other FCs so they correspond to shape variables only. The higher the rank of the harmonics, the more 193 details they represent on the outline; this usually goes with an increasing amount of measurement 194 error (Crampton 1995; Renaud et al. 1996). The first nine harmonics, i.e. 18 variables, were 195 considered to provide a good balance between a satisfying description of the geometry of the 196 element, and an efficient filtering of measurement error.

197

Multivariate shape analysis. – The total shape variance can be mathematically described by the T
 matrix, corresponding to the variance-covariance (VCV) matrix of the standardized 18 FCs x 1435
 specimens. A Principal Component Analysis on T was performed to display the total shape variance
 on few synthetic axes maximizing the total variance.

T can be decomposed in two components: the between-group matrix B and the within-group matrix
 W (T = B+W), the groups being here the OTUs. B corresponds to the VCV matrix between group
 means weighted by the sample size of each group. Its eigenanalysis is termed between-group

Principal Component Analysis (bgPCA) (Culhane et al. 2002; Renaud et al. 2015). Here, a bgPCA was
 performed to characterize the shape differentiation between OTUs.

207

208 Pmax, allometry, and correlations between vectors. – The shape variance within a OTU is described 209 by the VCV matrix of the Fourier coefficients of the specimens composing the OTU (P-matrix). A PCA 210 on this P-matrix leads to a set of eigenvectors characterizing directions of variance within the OTU. 211 The first eigenvector (Pmax) describes the direction on which most shape variance occurs. The 212 comparison of Pmax between two OTUs allows to assess if they share similar patterns of main 213 variance. The correlation (R) between Pmax in OTU1 and OTU2 corresponds to the inner-product of 214 the two vectors scaled to unit length. The angle between the two vectors corresponds to the 215 arccosine of R. The significance of the correlation was assessed by comparing the observed R value to 216 a null distribution of R between random vectors of 18 dimensions and a randomly chosen fixed 217 reference direction; this null distribution was generated by computing 10,000 correlations (Renaud 218 et al. 2006; Hunt 2007). Since the +/- direction of principal axes is arbitrary, the absolute value of R 219 was considered. For the significance levels (type I error) alpha = 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, the obtained |R_{observed}|>|R_{random}| are respectively |R|=0.457 (angle=62.8°); |R|=0.588 (angle=45.0°); and 220 221 |R|=0.699 (angle=45.6°).

The vectors describing the allometric shape variation were estimated using the slope coefficients of multiple regressions of the 18 FCs vs A0 (zero harmonic), performed separately for each OTU. The resulting vectors were scaled to unit length and their correlations were assessed as described above.

225

<u>Correlations between P matrices</u>. – The RV coefficient is defined as the sum of the squared
 covariances between two sets of variables, divided by the total amount of variation in the two sets of
 variables (Escoufier 1973). The RV coefficient varies between 0 and 1 and it can be seen as an
 extension of the notion of correlation for matrices. The significance of the correlation was assessed
 for each pair of P-matrices using permutations (9999 permutations).

Another metric was used to compare P-matrices, based on the contrast defined as the square root of the summed squared logarithms of the relative eigenvalues between the two matrices. Relative eigenvalues express the variances and covariances of one sample relative to that of another sample; they are equal to the eigenvalues of the product of one matrix premultiplied by the inverse of the other matrix (Mitteroecker and Bookstein 2009). The resulting matrix of "MB" contrasts (for the initial of the authors describing it) summarized the distances between P-matrices of the differentOTUs.

238

Multivariate analyses of distances between vectors and matrices. – Distance matrices can be
 decomposed using Principal Coordinate Analyses (PCOA) in order to summarize the relationships
 between items on few synthetic axes. Such an approach can be used to characterize relationships
 between vectors (Pmax, allometry) or P-matrices, provided that Euclidean distances between OTUs
 matrices can be calculated.

For this purpose, the matrix of correlation between Pmax vectors was transformed into a matrix of Euclidean distances using the formula: $d = \sqrt{2^*(1-R^2)}$ (Qannari et al. 1998); the same procedure was used for allometric vectors. The table of RV coefficients between P-matrices was transformed into a matrix of distances using the formula $d = \sqrt{2^*(1-RV)}$ (Robert and Escoufier 1976). The matrix of MB contrasts being itself a distance matrix, it could be analyzed by a PCOA without further transformation.

250

251 Comparison of evolutionary trajectories with Pmax and allometric vectors. – Evolutionary trajectories 252 were defined as directions of shape changes between two groups. Trajectories characterizing the 253 differentiation between subgenera were evaluated as the pairwise differences between the mean 254 shape of the different subgenera. Trajectories of within-subgenus evolution were estimated as the 255 difference between the mean shape of the oldest and most recent OTU within each subgenus. This 256 provided six between-subgenera and four within-subgenus trajectories. In order to assess whether 257 evolutionary changes were channeled along directions of within-OTU variation, the between-258 subgenera and within-subgenus trajectories were compared to the direction of main variance (Pmax) 259 and to the allometric direction of all OTUs. This was done by estimating the correlation of the 260 evolutionary trajectories, scaled to unit length, with the Pmax and the allometric vectors of the 22 261 OTUs.

262

An integrated analysis of shape and shape variance: a K-table approach. – The 22 OTUs were characterized using descriptors of different formats: mean shape; vectors describing directions of shape variation for Pmax and allometry, and P-matrices describing the structure of the variation. For each descriptor, the relationship between the 22 OTUs was assessed using a multivariate analysis (bgPCA or PCOA), raising the question of how the resulting topologies related with the others. The match between pairs of topologies was assessed using a Protest (Peres-Neto and Jackson 2001),
 providing a coefficient of correlation (ProcR) and a P-value, estimating if the configurations are more
 related than random (based on 9999 permutations). The first three dimensions of all multivariate
 analyses were considered for these pairwise tests.

272 Multivariate methods exist to compare the analyses of several tables sharing the same entries ("K-273 tables" approaches). Among them, the DISTATIS method (Abdi et al. 2005) was designed for the 274 simultaneous analysis of multiple distance matrices, allowing to integrate them into a single graphical 275 representation. It was thus applied here to the five distance matrices describing the topology 276 between the 22 conodont OTUs. This approach provides a set of compromise eigenvectors on which 277 the five descriptors of the 22 OTUs are projected; this therefore allows a visualization of which 278 descriptors provide the most similar patterns of differentiation between the 22 OTUs. The method 279 further allows a bootstrap estimate of the position of the OTUs on this compromise. 1000 bootstraps

were performed here.

281

282 Assessment of shape variation due to asymmetry. – All OTUs except PAN_51 included both right and 283 left elements. To assess if asymmetry could be an important driver of morphological variation, two approaches were explored. First, the relative importance of the potential sources of variation 284 285 (subgenus or OTU, bilateral asymmetry and allometry) was estimated using a multivariate analysis of 286 variance on the set of FCs using the "ffmanova" procedure (Langsrud and Mevik 2012). This method 287 has the advantage of being invariant to ordering of the model terms and to handle colinear 288 responses. It may inflate the percentage of variance explained (pve) but allows an estimation of the 289 relative importance of the explanatory variables.

290 Second, the same multivariate analyses (bgPCA, PCOA based on Pmax and on the RV coefficients

291 between P-matrices) as described above were performed on the sub-sample including right elements

only. The analyses based on MB contrasts and allometry could not be performed because in few

293 OTUs, the sample size was inferior to the number of variables (Table 1). The between-OTUs

topologies obtained for shape (bgPCA), Pmax and P-matrices based on all elements, and right

elements only, were compared using Protest on the first three axes.

296

297 <u>Visualization of shape variation.</u> – The outline corresponding to a set of FCs can be visualized using an
 298 inverse Fourier transform. For Pmax, the outlines corresponding to the 10% and 90% percentiles of
 299 the scores along the vector of each OTU were reconstructed. For allometry, outlines corresponding

- to the 10% and 90% percentiles of the size distribution were computed using the same multivariate
- 301 regressions that provided the coefficients of the allometric vector.
- 302 The P-matrices were represented by ellipses defined by their first and second axes, providing a
- 303 representation of the orientation and elongation of each matrix. To be comparable, all matrices had
- to be projected on a common space, here the space of the PCA on the total sample.
- 305 Finally, the temporal changes in mean shape, Pmax, P-matrices, and allometry, were visualized as the
- 306 scores of the OTUs along the first axis of the different multivariate analyses, as a function of
- 307 geological time, based on the age model relating depth along the section to absolute age (Girard et
- al. 2020) and updated according to the most recent datation framework (Becker et al. 2020). To
- 309 provide an environmental background to these morphological variations, paleotemperature
- estimates based on δ^{18} O values measured on conodont apatite from the same samples (Girard et al.
- 311 2020) were also represented.
- 312
- 313 <u>Packages and data accessibility</u>. All analyses were performed under R (R_Core_Team 2017).
- 314 Multivariate analyses were performed using ade4 (Dray and Dufour 2007; Thioulouse et al. 2018) and
- DistatisR (Beaton et al. 2019). Protests were performed using vegan (Oksanen et al. 2017) and
- 316 ffmanova using the ffmanova package (Langsrud and Mevik 2012). The R script and the dataset are
- 317 deposited in Dryad: <u>https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.pvmcvdnmf</u>.
- 318

319 Results

- 320 <u>Shape differentiation between and within conodont subgenera</u>. Considering the analysis of the
- 321 total shape variance using a PCA (Fig. 2A), the prominent morphological signal was the difference
- 322 between elements with a well-developed platform, typical of *Manticolepis* and *rhomboidea*, and
- 323 slender elements without a platform, characteristics of *Deflectolepis* (Fig. 2B). The subgenus
- 324 Panderolepis appears as intermediate between the two extreme morphologies. The second axis does
- 325 not differentiate subgenera, but it expresses within- and between-group variation within
- 326 Deflectolepis.
- 327 Between-group differences, as summarized by a bgPCA (Fig. 2C), represent 68.2% of the total
- 328 variance. The first axis is overwhelmingly important (86.2% of the between-group variance) and
- 329 matches the signal expressed on the first axis of the PCA (difference between elements with a well-
- 330 developed platform and those without a platform). *Panderolepis* is intermediate between

Manticolepis and Deflectolepis along bgPC1, but its OTUs are ordered according to a temporal
 sequence: the oldest OTUs (PAN_35 and PAN_37) are close to Manticolepis while the most recent
 OTU (PAN_56) is close to Deflectolepis. Furthermore, Panderolepis is slightly differentiated from the
 other subgenera along bgPC2 (6.7% of between-group variance).

335

336 Differences between Pmax vectors. – The correlation between the Pmax vectors varies greatly 337 depending on the OTUs considered (Supp. Table 1). It is higher when comparing OTUs from a same 338 subgenus (mean R=0.797) than when the comparison involves different subgenera (mean R=0.467). 339 Pmax is particularly stable within *Deflectolepis* (mean R = 0.960) and *rhomboidea* (mean R=0.925). It 340 varies more within *Manticolepis* (mean R = 0.705) but this is especially due to the outlying direction 341 of the earliest OTU (MAN 06). When focusing on later Manticolepis OTUs (from MAN 07 to MAN 23), Pmax is also stable through time (mean R = 0.909). Pmax is the more variable within 342 343 Panderolepis (mean R=0.612), due to the outlying direction of the two early OTUs, especially 344 PAN_37. It is stable within the three late *Panderolepis* OTUs (mean R=0.943).

345 The main axis of the ellipses corresponding to the projection of the P-matrix provides a visualization 346 of the Pmax direction (Fig. 3A). According to the high within-subgenus correlation, Pmax is stable 347 within *Deflectolepis*. The ellipses vary more in their orientation and elongation in *Panderolepis*. 348 Especially, an inversion of the first and second axes is observed in PAN_37. In *Manticolepis*, Pmax 349 shifts from being aligned with PC2total to being almost aligned with PC1total. The elongation of the 350 ellipse characterizes the anisotropy of the variance. As shown by the elongated ellipses, *Deflectolepis* 351 is characterized by a very anisotropic variance, with Pmax representing 50% or more of the variance 352 in all OTUs (Supp. Fig. 2A).

353 Extreme outlines along each Pmax provides a visualization of the shape variance within each sample 354 (Fig. 3B). In Manticolepis, Pmax corresponds to a variation between pear-shaped elements and 355 elements with a pronounced lobe. MAN_06 constitutes an exception, with a variation from straight 356 to arched elements, without noticeable variation in the extension of the lobe. In rhomboidea, the 357 extension of the platform varies little and Pmax corresponds to a variation between more or less 358 sigmoidal carina. In Deflectolepis, Pmax expresses a variation from straight to curved elements. This 359 kind of variation is also characteristics of *Panderolepis*; however, in the oldest samples PAN 35 and 360 PAN_37, a small lobe is still present. Variation of its extension is predominant over the change in 361 rectitude in PAN_37.

A multivariate analysis (PCOA based on the correlations between Pmax scaled to unit length,
 converted into distances) summarized the relationships between all Pmax (Fig. 4A). The resulting

topology was correlated to the pattern of the bgPCA (Table 2). As for the analysis of shape,

- 365 *Manticolepis* (to the exception of MAN_06) is opposed to *Deflectolepis* along the first axis. In contrast
- to the analysis of shape, however, *Panderolepis* OTUs (to the exception of PAN_37) fall with
- 367 Deflectolepis, and rhomboidea is clearly apart along PC2_{Pmax}. The outlying position of PAN_37
- 368 compared to other *Panderolepis* samples is due to the inversion between the first and second main
- directions of variance (see Fig. 3A, 3B), corresponding to the relative extension of the lobe, and the
- 370 curvature of the element. The similarity of PAN_37 Pmax with *Manticolepis* Pmax is attributable to
- the fact that they all describe variation in the extension of the lobe. The outlying position of MAN_06
- is due to the curvature, and not the extension of the lobe, being the prominent component of shapevariance.
- 374

375 Comparison of the P-matrices. – A first approach to compare P-matrices was based on the RV 376 coefficient, that provides a measure of correlation between two matrices (Supp. Table 2). P-matrices 377 are highly correlated among OTUs of a same subgenus, with particularly high correlations within 378 Deflectolepis and rhomboidea (RV>0.8, P=0.0001). This is also the case for Manticolepis when 379 discarding MAN_06, which P-matrix is less tightly related to the P-matrices of the other OTUs (0.5< 380 RV< 0.9). P-matrices are more variable within Panderolepis (RV>0.6). In contrast, P-matrices are not 381 always significantly correlated between subgenera, especially when comparing Deflectolepis and 382 *Manticolepis* (all RV<0.6).

383 A PCOA was applied to the distance matrix derived from this table of RV coefficients (Fig. 4B). The 384 general pattern resembles the one provided by the multivariate analysis of Pmax and by the bgPCA 385 (Table 2). However, the differentiation between subgenera appears less important than in the Pmax 386 analysis. The first axis once again contrasts Manticolepis to Deflectolepis, showing that the two 387 subgenera have a different structure of morphological variance. In Manticolepis, most variance 388 occurs on the lobe, whereas in Deflectolepis, the prominent component of variance involves the 389 curvature of the elements. Panderolepis OTUs scatter in intermediate positions; the oldest samples 390 PAN_35 and PAN_37 are closer to *Manticolepis* and the more recent PAN_50, PAN_51 and PAN_56 391 are closer to *Deflectolepis*. The second axis differentiates *rhomboidea* from the three other 392 subgenera. Note that when considering Pmax (Fig. 4A), MAN_06 and PAN_37 were outliers 393 compared to other OTUs of the same subgenus. Their position in the PCOA space based on RV 394 coefficients between P-matrices is more in agreement with their subgeneric attribution.

The P-matrices were also compared using the MB contrast (Mitteroecker and Bookstein 2009) (Fig.
4C), providing a topology close to the one obtained based on the RV coefficients (Table 2). The first

397 axis is similar to the axis based on RV coefficients. The difference regards the second axis isolating
398 Panderolepis instead of rhomboidea. The discrepancy between the PCOAs based on RV coefficients
399 and MB contrasts comparing the same P-matrices is due to an inversion of the second and third axes
400 between the two analyses (Supp. Figure 3). Overall, the MB analysis of the P-matrices provides a
401 topology close to those based on mean shape, Pmax and especially on the RV coefficients between P402 matrices, and showed a good congruence of the two descriptors of the relationships between P403 matrices (Table 2).

404

Allometry. – Size variation is comparatively high in Manticolepis and Panderolepis (5%-95% 405 406 range=1.93 for Manticolepis and 1.97 for Panderolepis), low in rhomboidea (range=1.37) and the 407 lowest within *Deflectolepis* (range=1.26) (Fig. 5). Multiple regression of the FCs vs A0 was significant 408 in all groups except DEF 56 (Supp. Figure 2C); it was the highest in RHO 39. Allometry involves weak 409 shape changes compared to the variation along Pmax (Fig. 3C). In Manticolepis, growth is associated 410 with an expansion of the lobe. In *rhomboidea*, the free blade becomes more apparent. In 411 Panderolepis, elements tend to become slender and more arch-shaped. Allometric change is very 412 tenuous in *Deflectolepis*, up to the absence of any change in DEF_56. The coefficients of the multiple 413 regressions between size (A0) and shape (FCs) within each OTU allowed to characterized 22 414 allometric vectors. Being scaled to unit length, their pairwise correlations were assessed (Supp. Table 415 3). Allometric vectors were weakly correlated among subgenera (mean R=0.023) and within 416 Deflectolepis (mean R=0.208). They were moderately related within Panderolepis (mean R=0.650) 417 except for the three latest OTUs (R>0.85). Allometric vectors were highly correlated within 418 Manticolepis (mean R=0.833) and especially within rhomboidea (all R>0.85). Allometric vectors were 419 further compared to Pmax for each of the 22 OTUs, all vectors being scaled to unit length (Supp. 420 Table 4). Hardly more than 10% of the correlations were significant at the significance level alpha = 421 0.001. The only exceptions to this weak relation were the late *Manticolepis* (MAN 22 and MAN 23) 422 and especially *rhomboidea* (|R|>0.8).

The relationships between allometric vectors (scaled to unit length) were summarized using a PCOA (Fig. 4D). The resulting pattern is significantly correlated with those obtained based on Pmax and Pmatrices (Table 2; Fig. 4A, B, C). *Manticolepis* allometric vectors clustered towards positive values along the first axis. *Panderolepis* and *rhomboidea* shared negative values along the first axis but were different along the second axis. *Deflectolepis* allometric vectors were scattered along the first and second axes. The weak allometric signal probably made its estimation unstable across OTUs.

- 430 <u>Evaluation of asymmetry as a source of shape variation</u>. The linear models indicated that
- 431 asymmetry explained less than 0.6% of shape variance in all cases (Table 3), much less than size and
- 432 temporal variation through the successive levels. The effect was however significant in *Manticolepis*,
- 433 *Panderolepis* and *Deflectolepis*, probably due to the large sample size.
- 434 The topologies based on all elements and right elements only were highly correlated when
- 435 considering shape (bgPCA: ProcR = 0.9963, P = 0.0001), Pmax (ProcR = 0.9090, P = 0.0001) and P-
- 436 matrices compared using RV coefficients (ProcR = 0.9743, P = 0.0001). Further note that the OTU
- 437 DEF_51, sampled by right elements only, never emerged as an outlier.
- 438
- 439 Evolutionary trajectories and directions of shape variance. – Evolutionary trajectories characterizing 440 shape changes between subgenera and ancestor-descendent changes within each subgenus were 441 compared to the Pmax and allometric vectors of the 22 OTUs, all vectors being scaled to unit length 442 (Supp. Table 5; Supp. Figure 4). Trajectories between subgenera were poorly related to Pmax (mean 443 R=0.337) and to allometric vectors (mean R=0.414). Nevertheless, the differentiation between the 444 Frasnian Manticolepis and the three Famennian subgenera (Deflectolepis, Panderolepis, and 445 rhomboidea) was moderately related (mean R=0.680) to the direction of Pmax in the late 446 *Manticolepis* (MAN_11c to MAN_23).
- The within-subgenus evolutionary trajectories were more strongly related to the Pmax direction
 within the involved subgenus (mean R=0.675), but not to the allometric direction of shape change
 (mean R=0.325). The match between the ancestor-descendent shape change and Pmax was
 particularly strong within *Deflectolepis* (mean R=0.951). It was also strong within *Manticolepis* (mean
 R=0.651), especially when focusing on OTUs posterior to MAN_06 (mean R=0.751). The relationship
 was moderate within *rhomboidea* (mean R=0.614) and low within *Panderolepis* (mean R=0.358).
- 453
- An integrated analysis of shape, Pmax, allometry, and P-matrices. Five descriptors characterized
 the differences between the 22 OTUs: shape, main direction of shape variance (Pmax), structure of
 shape variance (P-matrices compared using RV coefficients and MB contrasts), and allometric
 vectors. This resulted in five topologies of the 22 OTUs on multivariate axes. These topologies shared
 some features, for instance the important differentiation between *Manticolepis* and *Deflectolepis*,
 and in agreement, these topologies were significantly related according to the Protests.
 Nevertheless, they also differed in important aspects, especially regarding the position of
- 461 *Panderolepis* and *rhomboidea* relative to the two other subgenera.

The DISTATIS method allowed for the simultaneous analysis of these five descriptors. Despite being different in nature (set of Fourier coefficients, vectors, and matrices), the relationships between the 22 OTUs based on the five descriptors were comparable, being expressed as Euclidean distance matrices. The five descriptors shared positive loadings on the first axis of the compromise space of the DISTATIS analysis (Fig. 6A), underlining that the topologies based on mean shape, Pmax, P-matrix and allometry are related (see Table 2). Yet, allometry diverged from the other descriptors along the second axis of the compromise space. Shape, Pmax and the RV comparisons between the P-matrices

- 469 provided the closest results.
- 470 On the same compromise first plane, the pattern of differentiation between the 22 conodont OTUs
- 471 provided by the five descriptors allows to recognize similitudes and discrepancies (Fig. 6B).

472 *Manticolepis* and *rhomboidea*, very close in shape, display distinct P-matrices and even more distinct

allometric directions, since they are the most divergent along the second axis. The samples MAN_06

and PAN_37, diverging from their subgenus for shape and Pmax, clearly display P-matrices and

allometric direction in agreement with their subgeneric attribution.

476 As a result, the compromised position of the different groups is very coherent with their subgeneric

477 identification (Fig. 6C). Samples attributed to a same subgenus are clustered on the compromise

478 space, and bootstrap estimates show that their position is robust regarding sampling issues.

- 479 *Panderolepis* is the most variable, its oldest representative (PAN_35 and PAN_37) being close to
- 480 Manticolepis, typical of the Frasnian, while more recent samples are close to Deflectolepis, typical of

481 the Late Famennian.

482

Temporal changes of shape and shape variance. – The temporal changes of the five descriptors can be visualized as the scores of the 22 OTUs on the first axis of the different multivariate analyses, as a function of geological time (Fig. 7). Mean shape (Fig. 7A) shows an opposition between *Manticolepis* and *rhomboidea* and Late Famennian *Deflectolepis*. *Panderolepis* shifts from shapes plotting towards *Manticolepis* (PAN_35 and PAN_37) to shapes close to *Deflectolepis*. During the Late Frasnian record, *Manticolepis* displays a slight reduction of its platform, leading to a shift towards less extreme scores along the shape axis.

490 All the descriptors of shape variance (Pmax and P-matrices) share similar features (Fig. 7B, C): major

491 difference between *Manticolepis* and *Deflectolepis*, stability in *Deflectolepis*, temporal changes

492 within *Manticolepis* and *Panderolepis*, with the oldest samples (MAN_06 for *Manticolepis* and

493 PAN_35 and/or PAN_37 for *Panderolepis*) diverging from the most recent samples. MB contrasts

494 between VCV matrices insist on the intermediate position of *Panderolepis* between *Manticolepis* and

495 *Deflectolepis*. The idiosyncrasy of *rhomboidea* variance pattern does not appear on these

- 496 representations, because it is always expressed on the second or third multivariate axis; along the
- 497 first axis, rhomboidea shares similar scores with the other subgenus with a well-developed platform,
- 498 *Manticolepis*. Allometry (Fig. 7D) provides a different picture, with *Manticolepis* being most different
- 499 from *rhomboidea* and *Panderolepis*. All subgenera display a temporal stability in their pattern of
- allometric variation, except for *Deflectolepis*. Variation in the allometric pattern within this subgenus
- is however attributable to the weak signal preventing a robust estimate of the direction of allometric
- shape change, if any.
- 503 A paleoenvironmental background was provided by temperature estimates based on oxygen isotope

values derived from conodont apatite (Fig. 7E). Rapid and pronounced temperature changes

- 505 occurred during the Late Frasnian, followed by environmental stability during the beginning of the
- 506 Famennian, and a subsequent step-wise temperature decrease.
- 507

508 Discussion

509 Remodeling of the P-matrices: a tracer of changes in functional constraints?

510 The most striking result of the present study was that long-term morphological evolution, leading 511 from conodont elements with a well-developed platform to slender elements, was associated with 512 deep changes in the pattern of shape variance. This was observed both as a temporal trend along the 513 Famennian, and in the diversification among subgenera. Shapes with a large platform were 514 associated with a variance concentrated on the relative development and morphology of this 515 platform, whereas in groups with a reduced platform, variance corresponded to the curvature of the 516 carina. Allometry was never found to be a main component of morphological variance, but its 517 contribution considerably varied among subgenera. In those with a well-developed platform 518 (Manticolepis and rhomboidea), allometry represented more than 10% of shape variance and 519 involved changes in the shape and extension of the platform. In subgenera with a reduced platform, 520 allometry represented less than 5% of shape variance, since the relative development of an anyway 521 reduced platform did not constitute an important morphological signal.

- 522 The 2D projection of the platform shape provided a good approximation of 3D morphological
- 523 changes in a related taxon, *Polygnathus* (Renaud et al. 2021), belonging as *Palmatolepis* to the
- 524 Ozarkodinida Order (Donoghue et al. 2008). Such 2D simplification allows an extensive sampling, and
- 525 thus the quantification of variance patterns through time; it however provides only indirect
- 526 information about the three-dimensional geometry of the elements (Fig. 8) and thus about the

527 functional constraints related to their occlusion. Manticolepis elements had a well-developed 528 platform (Fig. 8A), but most of it could not come into contact with the platform of the opposite 529 element (Nicoll 1987). Contact between occluding elements should thus have been concentrated 530 along the blades (Donoghue 2001). The large and almost flat platform may have served as anchorage 531 into the soft tissues of the jawless mouth. In contrast, in most recent Deflectolepis (Fig. 8D), the 532 platform was absent and therefore could not vary in shape; the blade and carina were the most 533 prominent features of the elements. The angle in the blade-carina alignment contributed most to the 534 morphological variance in this subgenera and most probably, it constrained the way the two 535 elements went into occlusion. Characterized by a reduced but still present platform, Panderolepis 536 elements (Fig. 8C) displayed a shape marked by an elevated inner anterior platform edge 537 ("shoulder") up to the development of a parapet, delineating a groove of varying depth between the 538 blade and the border of the inner platform. The opposite blade, when coming into contact, should 539 thus have been stabilized along this groove, as observed in *Polygnathus* (Martínez-Pérez et al. 2016). 540 The shape changes associated with Pmax and the P-matrix in this subgenus (Fig. 3) suggested that 541 the main component of variance, corresponding to more or less sigmoidal shapes, expressed more or 542 less pronounced shoulder and parapet. Note that asymmetry was never found important in 543 Palmatolepis elements, being one order of magnitude less that what has been found in Polygnathus 544 (Renaud et al. 2021). Asymmetry was the less pronounced in the subgenera with a large platform, 545 corroborating that the opposite platforms had few functional interactions in these morphologies. The 546 profound remodelling of the P-matrices among subgenera therefore illustrates that important 547 changes in mean shape involved modifications in the functional constraints, in turn conditioning 548 what kinds of morphological variants could be realized within a population. 549 The shift of *Palmatolepis* mean shape along the Famennian, leading from elements with to elements

550 without well-developed platform, observed even when considering whole assemblages (Girard and 551 Renaud 2012), suggests that the adaptive optimum shifted in favor of slender elements devoid of 552 platform. It does not relate to evident abiotic changes, since the first half of the Famennian was a 553 rather stable period (Girard et al. 2020). The cooling characterizing the mid-Late Famennian 554 postponed the shift towards a reduction of the Palmatolepis platform. It could be rather related to a 555 rearrangement of the nektonic fauna, with the expansion of potential competitors and predators of 556 conodonts, such as sharks and bony fishes (Ginter et al. 2002; Gauchey et al. 2014), leading to new 557 functional constraints on the feeding apparatus.

558

559 *Response of P-matrices to short term environmental fluctuations and evolutionary consequences*

560 The Latest Frasnian was a period of intense environmental perturbations. Temperature abruptly 561 dropped towards the end of the LKE (Girard and Renaud 2007), and progressively increased again 562 between the LKE and the UKE (Fig. 7) before a second rapid temperature decrease during the UKE, 563 culminating with the F/F crisis (McGhee 1996; Joachimski and Buggisch 2002). A morphological 564 response was documented in *Palmatolepis*, with changes in mean shape tracking temperature 565 variations during the Latest Frasnian, leading to a back- and forth evolution of larger platform when 566 temperature decreased (e.g., end of the LKE) and narrower platforms when temperature increased 567 (Balter et al. 2008). Temperature changes were associated with productivity variations, suggesting 568 that the paleoenvironmental perturbations had profound effects on the trophic chains (Balter et al. 569 2008), thus probably exerting strong selective pressure on the feeding apparatus of the conodont 570 animal. The reorientation of Pmax and P-matrix documented between MAN_06 and the following 571 Manticolepis OTUs illustrates their remodeling following a moving peak optimum (Eroukhmanoff 572 2009; Jones et al. 2012). Such reorientation can boost evolutionary responses to environmental 573 changes (Hangartner et al. 2019). It may have been a key to the successful response to the even 574 more rapid and drastic environmental changes marking the UKE and the F/F crisis. Most if not all 575 Famennian representatives are supposed to be derived from Frasnian Manticolepis (Helms and 576 Ziegler 1981). The divergence in mean shape leading from Manticolepis to the Famennian subgenera 577 rhomboidea, Panderolepis and Deflectolepis occurred along the Latest Frasnian Manticolepis Pmax, 578 showing that the Famennian diversification surfed on the variance in platform shape that resulted 579 from the response to the environmental perturbations during the LKE-UKE interval.

580

581 Patterns of variance within subgenera: contrasted evidences

582 In contrast with these reorientation of the P-matrices following moving adaptive optima, Pmax and 583 P-matrices were stable within two subgenera, *Deflectolepis* and *rhomboidea*. The temporal extension 584 of the latter is quite short in our sampling (less than 0.5 myrs), letting little time for changes in the 585 pattern of variance; this nevertheless represents a substantial part of the temporal extension of this 586 short-lived group. The temporal range covered by the *Deflectolepis* OTUs was in contrast 5 myrs long. 587 This suggests that these subgenera remained close to a stable adaptive optimum, retaining the same 588 functional constraints and the same patterns of variation. Accordingly, the morphological evolution 589 from early to late *Deflectolepis* was of moderate magnitude and occurred according to Pmax, 590 suggestive of stabilizing or weak directional selection. In such cases, the constraints embodied in the 591 P-matrix are prone to channel morphological evolution (Ackermann and Cheverud 2004; Renaud et 592 al. 2006).

593 In contrast, Pmax and P-matrices were found to vary within the ~3.5 myr record of Panderolepis. This 594 may be due to species replacement, with tenuipunctata occurring in the first two OTUs (PAN 35 and 595 PAN_37) whereas glabra is the sole species in the later OTUs. Shape, Pmax and P-matrices of 596 Panderolepis OTUs dominantly composed of tenuipunctata share similarities with Manticolepis OTUs, 597 whereas later OTUs share more similarities with Deflectolepis. Panderolepis may document, at the 598 micro-evolutionary level, the trend of platform reduction also expressed at the macro-evolutionary 599 level among subgenera and among whole assemblages (Girard and Renaud 2012), confirming a 600 general shift in the adaptive optimum during the Famennian, and that the reduction of the platform 601 was associated with a reorientation of the P-matrices, pointing to new constraints on morphological 602 variation. Nevertheless, all Panderolepis samples, being composed of tenuipunctata or glabra, share 603 common features of the P-matrix (Fig. 4C) and allometry (Fig. 4D), showing homogeneity despite the 604 shape changes characterizing the Famennian record of this subgenus.

Patterns of allometric growth were very stable within *Manticolepis* and *rhomboidea* as well. In contrast, the allometric pattern varied within *Deflectolepis*, but this was due to the little amount of allometric variation. Despite of the stable allometric pattern characterizing most of the subgenera, allometry did not constitute a line of least evolutionary resistance in *Palmatolepis*. This suggests that the basic shape of each element was determined by the shape of the initial nucleus, later on only moderately modified by differential accretion. This also suggests that functional constraints did not deeply changed through age, but remained relatively stable through ontogeny.

612

613 P-matrices through time: stability and changes as a response to moving adaptive optimum

614 The study of G/P-matrices in modern organisms delivered contrasted results, with both evidences of 615 short-term fluctuations (Roff and Mousseau 2005; Guillaume and Whitlock 2007; Eroukhmanoff and 616 Svensson 2008) and stability (Bégin and Roff 2003; McGuigan et al. 2005). The nature of the traits probably influences the results: demographic traits, which may vary rapidly due to differences in 617 618 acquisition/allocation patterns, may be more prone to show rapid variations of the P-matrix 619 (Björklund et al. 2013). Estimates of Pmax and P-matrix in the fossil record are still uncommon, and 620 inevitably raise some issue with time-averaging. However, it seems to have little impact on estimates 621 of trait variation (Hunt 2004). If ever, it may buffer short-term variations, as it does for evolutionary 622 rates (Gingerich 1983).

Few studies delivered insights into the temporal stability of Pmax over a duration similar to the *Palmatolepis* record considered here (~10 myrs). In two related lineages of Late Triassic conodonts,
Pmax was found to be stable over such a time period (Guenser et al. 2019). In murine rodent molars,

626 Pmax was also found to be stable along evolutionary lineages and across phylogenetic divergence 627 over 10 myrs (Renaud et al. 2006; Renaud and Auffray 2013). In contrast, in ostracod shells, the 628 correlation between Pmax of successive OTUs was found to fade away over few million years (Hunt 629 2007). Compared to these records, the subgenus Palmatolepis delivered both examples of mid-term 630 stability and of rapid remodeling of the P-matrix. Contrary to subgenera such as Manticolepis and 631 Deflectolepis, being differentiated by the size of their platform, the Late Triassic conodont lineages 632 shared close morphologies and they probably shared similar constraints regarding occlusal 633 functioning, explaining the conserved pattern of variance. In murine rodents, important 634 modifications occurred on the molar teeth along the 10 myrs of evolution, such as the addition of 635 new crests, and the broadening and heightening of the molars (Renaud et al. 2005). Nevertheless, 636 the typical mastication for murine rodents was not modified (Lazzari et al. 2008), maintaining similar 637 strong functional constraints on the alignment of molar cusps. In contrast, functional constraints on 638 ostracod shells may be less strong, being related to the physical properties of the aquatic habitat, or 639 to anti-predator defenses, letting more latitude for changes of the variance pattern along time and 640 phyletic evolution. Therefore, stability or changes in P-matrices over time could deliver insights into 641 the strength of functional constraints and their persistence or change along morphological evolution. 642 Our results also provide empirical evidences that moving adaptive optima indeed cause a 643 reorientation of the variance pattern by selecting new phenotypic variants (Eroukhmanoff 2009). In 644 this context, one strength of the present study is to have quantified not only Pmax but P-matrices 645 through time. Considering P-matrices provides a more complete assessment of the patterns of 646 variance-covariance and more nuanced insights into temporal changes, because P-matrices are less 647 impacted by instability between the first (Pmax) and second axes of morphological variance.

648

649 Conclusion

650 Beyond the study of mean shape, evaluating Pmax and P-matrices through time may thus shed light 651 on the response of species to changing environments and/or functional constraints. On time scales of 652 several millions of years, the question seems not to be whether the P-matrix is stable, but how it is 653 varying in response to changes in selection regimes and shifts in adaptive optimum. Our study 654 validated the hypothesis that environmental fluctuations impacting the faunas, likely representing 655 moving adaptive optima, selected for new mean shapes but also for new types of variants around 656 mean shape, thus reorienting Pmax and the P-matrix. We also validated the hypothesis that during 657 periods of relatively stable environmental conditions, Pmax and P-matrix were conserved and 658 channeled the moderate evolution in mean shape within subgenera. In contrast, we did not validate

659 allometry as a major component of shape variance in *Palmatolepis*, suggesting that heterochronic 660 processes were not important in the diversification of buccal elements within this genus. Instead, 661 evolution in mean shape seems related to changes in occlusal functioning, switching from a scissor-662 like contact between blades stabilized by a large platform in Manticolepis, to an occlusion stabilized 663 by an inner shoulder up to a parapet in Panderolepis, or a reduction to mere occluding blades in 664 Deflectolepis. By changing the functional constraints, this evolution involved changes in the realized variance around mean shape, traduced by a reorientation of Pmax and the P-matrices. In such deep 665 666 times and with such fossil groups devoid of modern equivalents, the study of stability and changes in 667 morphological variance may help, beyond the study of mean shape alone, to characterize moving 668 adaptive optima, and functional constraints related to a given geometry.

669

670 Conflict of interest

- The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 672

673 Acknowledgements

- 674 We warmly thank Anne-Lise Charruault for her help in acquiring a part of the pictures of the
- 675 conodont elements. We also thank the anonymous reviewers whose constructive comments on
- 676 successive versions of the manuscript significantly contributed to improve the final version. This work
- 677 was supported by the ECODEV grant ANR-13-BSV7-005. This is publication ISEM XXXX-XXX.

678

679

680 References

- Abdi, H., D. Valentin, A. J. O'Toole, and B. Edelman. 2005. DISTATIS: the analyse of multiple distance
 matrices. Pp. 42-47 *in* P. o. t. I. C. Society, ed. International Conference on Computer Vision
 and Pattern Recognition, San Diego, CA, USA.
- Ackermann, R. R. and J. M. Cheverud. 2004. Detecting genetic drift versus selection in human
 evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 101:17946-17951.
- Aldridge, R. J., M. P. Smith, R. D. Norby, and D. E. G. Briggs. 1987. The architecture and function of
 Carboniferous polygnathacean conodont apparatus. Pp. 63-76 *in* R. J. Aldridge, ed.
 Palaeobiology of conodonts. Ellis Horwood, Chichester, England.
- Arnold, S. J., M. E. Pfrender, and A. G. Jones. 2001. The adaptive landscape as a conceptual bridge
 between micro- and macroevolution. Genetica 112-113:9-32.
- Balter, V., S. Renaud, C. Girard, and M. M. Joachimski. 2008. Record of climate-driven morphological
 changes in 376 Ma Devonian fossils. Geology 36:907-910.

- Beaton, D., C. C. Fatt, and H. Abdi. 2019. DistatisR: DISTATIS. Three way metric multidimensional
 Scaling. , <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DistatisR</u>.
- Becker, R. T., J. E. A. Marshall, A.-C. Da Silva, F. P. Agterberg, F. M. Gradstein, and J. G. Ogg. 2020.
 Chapter 22 The Devonian Period. Pp. 733-810 *in* F. M. Gradstein, J. G. Ogg, M. D. Schmitz,
 and G. M. Ogg, eds. Geologic Time Scale 2020. Elsevier.
- Bégin, M. and D. A. Roff. 2003. The constancy of the G matrix through species divergence and the
 effects of quantitative genetic constraints on phenotypic evolution: a case study in crickets.
 Evolution 57:1107-1120.
- Beldade, P., K. Koops, and P. M. Brakefield. 2002. Developmental constraints versus flexibility in
 morphological evolution. Nature 416:844-847.
- Björklund, M., A. Husby, and L. Gustaffson. 2013. Rapid and unpredictable changes of the G-matrix in
 a natural bird population over 25 years. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 25:1-13.
- Bonhomme, V., S. Picq, C. Gaucherel, and J. Claude. 2014. Momocs: Outline Analysis Using R. Journal
 of Statistical Software 56:1-24.
- Cardini, A. and S. Elton. 2007. Sample size and sampling error in geometric morphometric studies of
 size and shape. Zoomorphology 126:121-134.
- Chen, Y., T. A. Neubauer, L. Krystyn, and S. Richoz. 2016. Allometry in Anisian (Middle Triassic)
 segminiplanate conodonts and its implications for conodont taxonomy. Palaeontology
 59:725-741.
- 712 Cheverud, J. M. 1988. A comparison of genetic and phenotypic correlations. Evolution 42:958-968.
- Crampton, J. S. 1995. Elliptic Fourier shape analysis of fossil bivalves: some practical considerations.
 Lethaia 28:179-186.
- Culhane, A. C., G. Perrière, E. C. Considine, T. G. Cotter, and D. G. Higgins. 2002. Between groupanalysisofmicroarray data. Bioinformatics 18:1600-1608.
- Donoghue, P. C. 2001. Microstructural variation in conodont enamel is a functional adaptation.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Biological Sciences (serie B) 268:1691-1698.
- Donoghue, P. C. and M. A. Purnell. 1999. Mammal-like occlusion in conodonts. Paleobiology 25:58 720 74.
- Donoghue, P. C., M. A. Purnell, R. J. Aldridge, and S. Zhang. 2008. The interrelationships of 'complex'
 conodonts (Vertebrata). Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 6:119-153.
- Dray, S. and A.-B. Dufour. 2007. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists.
 Journal of Statistical Software 22:1-20.
- Froukhmanoff, F. 2009. Just how much is the G-matrix actually constraining adaptation? Evolutionary
 Biology 36:323-326.
- Froukhmanoff, F. and E. I. Svensson. 2008. Phenotypic integration and conserved covariance
 structure in calopterygid damselflies. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 21:514-526.
- 729 Escoufier, Y. 1973. Le traitement des variables vectorielles. Biometrics 29:751–760.
- Gauchey, S., C. Girard, S. Adnet, and S. Renaud. 2014. Unsuspected functional disparity in Devonian
 fishes revealed by tooth morphometrics? Naturwissenschaften 101:735-743.
- 732 Gingerich, P. D. 1983. Rates of evolution: effects of time and temporal scaling. Science 222:159-161.
- Ginter, M., V. Hairapetian, and C. Klug. 2002. Famennian chondrichthyans from the shelves of North
 Gondwana. Acta Geologica Polonica 52.
- Girard, C., J.-J. Cornée, C. Corradini, A. Fravalo, and R. Feist. 2014. Palaeoenvironmental changes at
 Col des Tribes (Montagne Noire, France), a reference section for the Famennian of north
 Gondwana-related areas. Geological Magazine 151:864–884.
- Girard, C., J.-J. Cornée, M. Joachimski, A.-L. Charruault, A.-B. Dufour, and S. Renaud. 2020.
 Paleogeographic differences in temperature, water depth and conodont biofacies during the Late Devonian. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 549:108852.
- Girard, C. and S. Renaud. 2007. Quantitative conodont-based approaches for correlation of the Late
 Devonian Kellwasser anoxic events. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology
 250:114-125.

749 ecological dynamics? PLoS ONE 7:e36230. 750 Girard, C., S. Renaud, and R. Feist. 2007. Morphometrics of the Late Devonian conodont genus 751 Palmatolepis : phylogenetic, geographical and ecological contributions of a generic approach. 752 . Journal of Micropalaeontology 26:61-72. 753 Girard, C., S. Renaud, and A. Sérayet. 2004. Morphological variation of Palmatolepis Devonian 754 conodonts: species versus genus. Comptes Rendus Palevol 3:1-8. 755 Guenser, P., L. Souquet, S. Dolédec, M. Mazza, M. Rigo, and N. Goudemand. 2019. Deciphering the 756 roles of environment and development in the evolution of a Late Triassic assemblage of 757 conodont elements. Paleobiology 45:440-457. 758 Guillaume, F. and M. C. Whitlock. 2007. Effects of migration on the genetic covariance matrix. 759 Evolution 61:2398-2409. 760 Hangartner, S., C. Lasne, C. M. Sgrò, T. Connallon, and K. Monro. 2019. Genetic covariances promote climatic adaptation in Australian Drosophila. Evolution 74:326-337. 761 762 Helms, J. and W. Ziegler. 1981. Evolution oft he Pa elements in Palmatolepis Ulrich and Bassler, 763 1926. Pp. W98-99 in D. L. Clark, W. C. Sweet, S. M. Bergstrom, G. Klapper, A. R.L., F. H. T. 764 Rhodes, K. J. Muller, W. Ziegler, M. Lindstrom, J. F. Miller, and A. G. Harris, eds. Treatise on 765 invertebrate paleontology. Geological Society of America and University of Kansas Press, 766 Boulder, Lawrence. 767 Helms, J. J. 1963. Zur "Phylogenese" und Taxonomie von Palmatolepis (Conodontida, Oberdevon). 768 Geologie 4:449-485. 769 Hogancamp, N. J., J. E. Barrick, and R. E. Strauss. 2016. Geometric morphometric analysis and 770 taxonomic revision of the Gzhelian (Late Pennsylvanian) conodont Idiognathodus simulator 771 from North America. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 61:477-502. 772 Hunt, G. 2004. Phenotypic variation in fossil samples: modeling the consequences of time-averaging. 773 Paleobiology 30:426-443. 774 Hunt, G. 2007. Evolutionary divergence in directions of high phenotypic variance in the ostracode 775 genus Poseidonamicus. Evolution 61:1560-1576. 776 Joachimski, M. M., S. Breisig, W. Buggisch, J. A. Talent, R. Mawson, M. Gereke, J. R. Morrow, J. Day, 777 and K. Weddige. 2009. Devonian climate and reef evolution: Insights from oxygen isotopes in 778 apatite. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 284:599-609. 779 Joachimski, M. M. and W. Buggisch. 2002. Conodont apatite d180 signatures indicate climatic cooling 780 as a trigger of the Late Devonian masse exctinction. Geology 30:711-714. 781 Jones, A. G., R. Bürger, S. J. Arnold, P. A. Hohenlohe, and J. G. Uyeda. 2012. The effects of stochastic 782 and episodic movement of the optimum on the evolution of the G-matrix and the response 783 of the trait mean to selection. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 25:2210-2231. 784 Jones, D., M. A. Purnell, and P. H. von Bitter. 2009. Morphological criteria for recognising homology in 785 isolated skeletal elements: comparison of traditional and morphometric approaches in 786 conodonts. Palaeontology 52:1243-1256. 787 Klapper, G. 1989. The Montagne Noire Frasnian (Upper Devonian) conodont succession. Pp. 449-468 788 in N. McMillan, A. Embry, and D. Glass, eds. Devonian of the World. Paleontology, 789 Paleoecology, Biostratigraphy. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geology, Calgary. 790 Klapper, G. and C. T. J. Foster. 1986. Quantification of outlines in Frasnian (Upper Devonian) platform 791 conodonts. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 23:1214-1222. 792 Klapper, G. and W. T. Kirchgasser. 2016. Frasnian Late Devonian conodont biostratigraphy in New 793 York: graphic correlation and taxonomy. Journal of Paleontology 90:525-554.

Girard, C. and S. Renaud. 2008. Disentangling allometry and response to Kellwasser anoxic events in

Girard, C. and S. Renaud. 2011. The species concept in a long-extinct fossil group, the conodonts.

Girard, C. and S. Renaud. 2012. Disparity changes in 370 Ma Devonian fossils: The signature of

the Late Devonian conodont genus Ancyrodella. Lethaia 41:383-394.

Comptes Rendus Palevol 10:107-115.

744

745

746

747

748

Langsrud, Ø. and B.-H. Mevik. 2012. ffmanova: fifty-fifty MANOVA., <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ffmanova</u>.

- Lazzari, V., C. Charles, P. Tafforeau, M. Vianey-Liaud, J.-P. Aguilar, J.-J. Jaeger, J. Michaux, and L.
 Viriot. 2008. Mosaic convergence of rodent dentitions. Plos One 3:e3607.
- Martínez-Pérez, C., E. J. Rayfield, H. Botella, and P. C. J. Donoghue. 2016. Translating taxonomy into
 the evolution of conodont feeding ecology. Geology 44:247-250.
- McGhee, G. R. J. 1996. The Late Devonian mass extinction the Frasnian/Famennian crisis.
 Columbia University Press, New York.
- McGlothlin, J. W., M. E. Kobiela, H. V. Wright, D. L. Mahler, J. J. Kolbe, J. B. Losos, and E. D. Brodie III.
 2018. Adaptive radiation along a deeply conserved genetic line of least resistance in *Anolis* lizards. Evolution Letters 2-4:310-322.
- McGuigan, K., S. F. Chenoweth, and M. W. Blows. 2005. Phenotypic divergence along lines of genetic
 variance. The American Naturalist 165:32-43.
- Mitteroecker, P. and F. Bookstein. 2009. The ontogenetic trajectory of the phenotypic covariance
 matrix, with examples from craniofacial shape in rats and humans. Evolution 63:727-737.
- Müller, K. J. 1956. Die Gattung *Palmatolepis*. Abhandlungen der senckenbergischen
 naturforschenden Gesellschaft 494:1-70.
- Nicoll, R. S. 1987. Form and function of the Pa element in the conodont animal. Pp. 77-90 *in* R. J.
 Aldridge, ed. Paleobiology of conodonts. British micropaleontological Society Series, London.
- Oksanen, J., F. G. Blanchet, M. Friendly, R. Kindt, P. Legendre, D. McGlinn, P. R. Minchin, R. B. O'Hara,
 G. L. Simpson, P. Solymos, M. H. H. Stevens, E. Szoecs, and H. Wagner. 2017. vegan:
 Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.4-3., <u>https://CRAN.R-</u>
 project.org/package=vegan.
- Pallares, L. F., B. Harr, L. M. Turner, and D. Tautz. 2014. Use of natural hybrid zone for genome-wide
 association mapping of craniofacial traits in the house mouse. Molecular Ecology 23:57565770.
- Pallares, L. F., R. Ledevin, S. Pantalacci, L. M. Turner, E. Steingrimsson, and S. Renaud. 2017. Genomic
 regions controlling shape variation in the first upper molar of the house mouse. eLife
 6:e29510.
- Peres-Neto, P. R. and D. A. Jackson. 2001. How well do multivariate data sets match? The advantages
 of a Procrustean superimposition approach over the Mantel test. Oecologia 129:169-178.
- Polly, P. D. 2004. On the simulation of the evolution of morphological shape: multivariate shape
 under selection and drift. Palaeontologia Electronica 7:7A:28p.
- Purnell, M. A. 1995. Microwear on conodont elements and macrophagy in the first vertebrates.
 Nature 374.
- Purnell, M. A. and P. C. J. Donoghue. 1997. Architecture and functional morphology of the skeletal
 apparatus of ozarkodinid conodonts. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, London
 B 352:1545-1564.
- Qannari, E. M., E. Vigneau, and P. Courcoux. 1998. Une nouvelle distances entre variables.
 Application en classification. Revue de Statistique Appliquée 46:21-32.
- R_Core_Team. 2017. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing *in* R. F. f. S.
 Computing, ed, Vienna, Austria.
- Renaud, S. and J.-C. Auffray. 2013. The direction of main phenotypic variance as a channel to
 morphological evolution: case studies in murine rodents. Hystrix, The Italian Journal of
 Mammalogy 24:85-93.
- Renaud, S., J.-C. Auffray, and J. Michaux. 2006. Conserved phenotypic variation patterns, evolution
 along lines of least resistance, and departure due to selection in fossil rodents. Evolution
 60:1701-1717.
- Renaud, S., A.-B. Dufour, E. A. Hardouin, R. Ledevin, and J.-C. Auffray. 2015. Once upon multivariate
 analyses: when they tell several stories about biological evolution. PLoS ONE 10:e0132801.

Renaud, S., B. Ecalle, P. Claisse, A.-L. Charruault, R. Ledevin, and C. Girard. 2021. Patterns of bilateral asymmetry and allometry in Late Devonian Polygnathus conodonts. Palaeontology 64:137 159.

- Renaud, S. and C. Girard. 1999. Strategies of survival during extreme environmental perturbations:
 evolution of conodonts in response to the Kellwasser crisis (Upper Devonian).
 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 146:19-32.
- Renaud, S., J. Michaux, J.-J. Jaeger, and J.-C. Auffray. 1996. Fourier analysis applied to *Stephanomys* (Rodentia, Muridae) molars: nonprogressive evolutionary pattern in a gradual lineage.
 Paleobiology 22:255-265.
- Renaud, S., J. Michaux, D. N. Schmidt, J.-P. Aguilar, P. Mein, and J.-C. Auffray. 2005. Morphological
 evolution, ecological diversification and climate change in rodents. Proceedings of the Royal
 Society of London, Biological Sciences (serie B) 272:609-617.
- Robert, P. and Y. Escoufier. 1976. A unifying tool for linear multivariate statistical methods: the RV coefficient. Applied Statistics 25:257-265.
- Roff, D. A. and T. Mousseau. 2005. The evolution of the phenotypic covariance matrix: evidence for
 selection and drift in *Melanoplus*. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 18:1104-1114.
- Schluter, D. 1996. Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. Evolution 50:1766-1774.
- Scott, A. C. and C. Collinson. 1959. Intraspecific variability in conodonts: *Palmatolepis glabra* Ulrich &
 Bassler. Journal of Paleontology 33:550-565.
- Spalletta, C., M. C. Perri, D. J. Over, and C. Corradini. 2017. Famennian (Upper Devonian) conodont
 zonation: revised global standard. Bulletin of Geosciences 92:1-27.
- Steppan, S. J., P. C. Phillips, and D. Houle. 2002. Comparative quantitative genetics: evolution of the G
 matrix. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 17:320-327.
- Thioulouse, J., S. Dray, A.-B. Dufour, A. Siberchicot, T. Jombart, and S. Pavoine. 2018. Multivariate
 Analysis of Ecological Data with ade4. Springer.
- van den Boogaard, M. and B. Kuhry. 1979. Statistical reconstruction of the *Palmatolepis* apparatus
 (Late Devonian conodontophorids) at the generic, subgeneric, and specific level. Scripta
 Geologica 49:1-57.
- Ziegler, W. and C. Sandberg. 1990. The Late Devonian standard conodont zonation. Courier
 Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg 121:1-115.
- 874

876 Tables

		MAN	RHO	PAN	PAN	DEF	DEF		Right
OTU	Age			glabra	tenuipunctata	gracilis	minuta	OTU	
DEF_63	363.45					86		DEF_63	43
DEF_62	363.80					58		DEF_62	36
DEF_61_1	364.60					62		DEF_61_1	40
DEF_59	366.00					69	2	DEF_59	33
DEF_56	366.21					45		DEF_56	24
PAN_56	366.21			40				PAN_56	21
PAN_51	367.10			102				PAN_51	102
PAN_50	367.22			72				PAN_50	37
DEF_42	368.31						71	DEF_42	40
RHO_42	368.31		61					RHO_42	27
DEF_41	368.48						34	DEF_41	20
RHO_41	368.48		49					RHO_41	25
RHO_39	368.60		149					RHO_39	80
PAN_37	369.22			12	30			PAN_37	21
PAN_35	369.77				65			PAN_35	31
MAN_23	371.47	51						MAN_23	27
MAN_22	371.70	95						MAN_22	68
MAN_12	372.12	56						MAN_12	28
MAN_11c	372.15	56						MAN_11c	37
MAN_08	372.34	39						MAN_08	16
MAN_07	372.38	83						MAN_07	49
MAN_06	372.43	48						MAN_06	24

877

Table 1. Sample size for each OTU. The label summarizes the subgenus (three-letters code) and the
stratigraphic level (from 06 to 63). An estimation of the absolute age is provided for each sample
according to the datation framework of Becker et al. (2020). MAN: *Manticolepis*. RHO: *rhomboidea*.
PAN: *Panderolepis*. DEF: *Deflectolepis*. For these two latter subgenera, the sample size is
decomposed into the two species present. Mixing only occurs in PAN_37 and DEF_59. Right: number
of right elements in the corresponding OTU.

885

ProcR \ P	Shape (bgPCA)	Pmax	P-RV	P-MB	Allometry
Shape (bgPCA)	-	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0002
Pmax	0.741	-	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
P-RV	0.868	0.861	-	0.0001	0.0001
P-MB	0.817	0.655	0.840	-	0.0001
Allometry	0.592	0.632	0.700	0.707	-

886

887 **Table 2.** Relationships between the topologies between the 22 OTUs, based on the different

888 descriptors of shape and shape variance. Protest results are shown (above the diagonal, P-values

based on 10,000 permutations; below the diagonal, ProcR). P-RV: analysis of the P-matrices based on

890 RV coefficients; P-MB: analysis of the P-matrices based on MB contrasts.

891

	pve	Р	pve	Р	pve	Ρ
	Subgenus		Size (A0)		Asymmetry	
All	41.2%	< 2e-16	1.5%	< 2e-16	0.2%	1.02e-05
	Level		Size (A0)		Asymmetry	
Manticolepis	12.1%	< 2e-16	14.2%	< 2e-16	0.3%	0.0005
rhomboidea	6.8%	< 2e-16	13.1%	< 2e-16	0.4%	0.2070
Panderolepis	20.3%	< 2e-16	4.6%	< 2e-16	0.6%	0.0022
Deflectolepis	9.8%	< 2e-16	3.3%	< 2e-16	0.5%	0.0071

892

Table 3. Relative importance of the different sources of morphological variance: subgenus attribution
in the total dataset and level within each subgenus; size (A0) and bilateral asymmetry (Right / Left

Side). Percentage of variance explained (pve) are provided together with P-values estimated using

the ffmanova procedure.



Figure 1. Illustration and temporal distribution of the studied subgenera of *Palmatolepis*. Code of the
levels: cf. Table 1 (e.g. CT42: level 42 of the Col des Tribes section). Stratigraphic zonation is provided
on the left (Frasnian: Klapper and Kirchgasser 2016; Famennian: Spalletta et al. 2017); absolute ages
after Becker et al. (2020). In grey, zones that have not been identified at the Col des Tribes section.
Illustrations are all to the same scale.
Labels of the illustrated specimens, from left to right: *Manticolepis* UM CTB 035 (CT22); *Palmatolepis*

909 (Panderolepis) tenuipunctata UM CTB 036 (mirror image; CT35); Palmatolepis (Panderolepis) glabra

- 910 UM CTB 037 (mirror image; CT48); *Palmatolepis rhomboidea* UM CTB 038 (mirror image; CT41);
- 911 Palmatolepis (Deflectolepis) minuta UM CTB 039 (CT37); Palmatolepis (Deflectolepis) gracilis UM CTB
 912 040 (CT69).



Figure 2. Shape differentiation between the 22 conodont Operational Taxonomic Units (OTU), based
on the 18 shape variables describing the outline. A. Total variation, depicted on the first two axes of a

921 PCA. Each dot represents one specimen. The color code corresponds to the four subgenera. B.

922 Reconstructions of outlines illustrating the shape changes along the first PC axis. Grey dotted line:

923 10% smallest score along PC1. Full black line: 90% highest score along PC1. C. Between-group

variation, depicted on the first two axes of a bgPCA. Each dot represents the mean of one conodont

925 OTU. In A and C, the grid size is indicated by the d value at the upper right of the graph.

926

927



929

930 Figure 3. Visualization of the temporal variation of Pmax, P-matrices and allometry in the 22 OTUs. 931 (A) P-matrices, represented as ellipses defined by their first two axes, projected on the first principal 932 plane of PCA_{total} (see Fig. 2A). Their principal axis corresponds to the direction of the first eigenvector 933 (Pmax), the elongation represents the anisotropy of the variance (relative percentage of variance 934 represented by the first and second axes). (B) Pmax: the outlines in full lines and in transparency 935 visualize the shapes corresponding to 10% and 90% largest scores, respectively, on the first 936 eigenvector (Pmax). Since the +/- direction is arbitrary on such multivariate axes, the representation 937 has been homogenized: in transparent surfaces, outlines with a less developed platform and/or a 938 straighter shape. (C) Allometry: shapes corresponding to the 10% smallest size (in transparency) and 939 90% largest size (full line).

940



942

943 Figure 4. First two axes of PCOAs on four distance matrices describing the relationships between the

944 22 conodont OTUs. A. Distances derived from the correlation between Pmax vectors (squares). B.

945 Distances based on the RV coefficients between P-matrices (up-pointing triangles). C. Contrast

946 between P-matrices, based on the Mitteroecker & Bookstein ("MB") metric (down-pointing

947 triangles). D. Distances based on the correlation between allometric vectors (diamonds).

948



5 Deflectolepis • Manticolepis Panderolepis 4 rhomboidea Size (A0) 3 : #.1 1.1. # .1 Hd .. I idi alk : 2 I... Bil illent at t . . . illull.di. im · 3 #2 · 2 8 2 # 3#8## 8 . · 8 ·· 8 · 388 8 288 8 888 888 • 8 ..! # .:biil 1 !. in itt .. ul illa an It.II Hits 100 8 Has .. Ħ .u. : : Buil 1 0 MAN_12 MAN_23 PAN_56 -DEF_56 DEF_62 **DEF_63** MAN_07 MAN_22 PAN_35 PAN_37 PAN_50 RH0_39 RHO_41 RHO_42 PAN_51 41 VIAN_11c **DEF 42 DEF** 59 MAN_06 MAN_06 **MAN_08** DEF_61_1 DEF

952

953 Figure 5. Size variation in the 22 conodont OTUs. Each dot corresponds to an element; size is



955



957

958

Figure 6. Simultaneous analysis of shape, Pmax, allometry, and P-matrices compared using RV ("P-

959 RV") and "MB" contrast ("P-MB") using the DISTATIS method. A. Relationship between the five

960 descriptors in the compromise space. B. Relationships between the 22 OTUs according to the five

961 descriptors in the compromise space. C. Position of the 22 conodont OTUs in the compromise space,

962 surrounded by bootstrap estimates.



Figure 7. Temporal changes in mean shape, Pmax, P-matrix, and allometry compared with the paleotemperature record. Variations in shape (A) is summarized by the scores of the 22 OTUs on bgPC1; variations on Pmax (B), P-matrix (C) and allometry (D) are summarized by the scores on the respective PC1. Paleotemperature (E) is estimated by δ^{18} O in the Col des Tribes (CT) levels considered in this study (Girard et al. 2020). The Frasnian record is completed by data from the neighboring Coumiac Upper Quarry (CUQ) section, in grey (Balter et al. 2008). These data have been corrected of 0.7‰ in order to account for different standards. Dotted line: Frasnian/Famennian boundary (F/F).

- 973
- 974



- 976
- 977 **Figure 8.** Tridimensional morphology of right P1 elements of the four subgenera considered:
- 978 Manticolepis, rhomboidea, Panderolepis and Deflectolepis (not to the same scale). (A) Pa.
- 979 Manticolepis, level CT22, length = 0.96 μm (UM CTB 078). (B) Pa. rhomboidea, level CT42, length =
- 980 0.96 μ m (UM CTB 079); (C) *Pa.* (*Panderolepis*) glabra, level CT46, length = 0.47 μ m (UM CTB 080); (D)
- 981 *Pa. (Deflectolepis) gracilis,* level CT63, length = $0.62 \mu m$ (UM CTB 081).