## Supplementary Information: Transition from

## Phononic to Geometrical Mie Modes Measured in Single Subwavelength Polar Dielectric

 SpheresLoubnan Abou-Hamdan, ${ }^{\dagger, \ddagger}$ Laure Coudrat, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Sébastien Bidault, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Valentina Krachmalnicoff, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Riad Haïdar, ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Patrick Bouchon, ${ }^{*, \ddagger}$ and Yannick De Wilde*, $\dagger$<br>$\dagger$ Institut Langevin, ESPCI Paris, PSL University, CNRS, 1 rue Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France<br>$\ddagger$ DOTA, ONERA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91123 Palaiseau, France<br>E-mail: patrick.bouchon@onera.fr; yannick.dewilde@espci.fr

## 1 METHODS

### 1.1 Sample preparation

A $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of commercially available microspheres (Sigma Aldrich for $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ and Polysciences for PTFE), of known size, was diluted with $99 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of solvent (distilled water for $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ and ethanol for PTFE), and sonicated to prevent particle aggregation. The diluted solution was spin-coated on a sample consisting of a 100 nm thick gold layer on top of a silicon ( Si ) substrate. The sample was viewed using a microscope with a visible objective (x50, Numerical aperture NA=0.55) to determine the positions of single spheres. The spheres were then imaged using a visible cam-
era (Figs. 1 (b)-(e) of the main text, insets) and the thermal radiation of the imaged spheres was characterized using infrared spatial modulation spectroscopy. ${ }^{1,2}$

### 1.2 Finite element method simulations

### 1.2.1 Electromagnetic simulations

Finite element method simulations (FEM), using the commercially available software, Comsol Multiphysics, were carried out to calculate the field distributions inside a single sphere in vacuum and the electric field enhancements of spheres in vacuum and on a 100 nm thick gold substrate, in addition to the absorption cross-section of a single sphere on gold. To simulate a single sphere, perfectly matched layers were set for all boundaries. For the sphere on gold, a plane wave port is incident at an angle $\theta$ with respect to the normal to the sphere/substrate. The simulation is first run without the sphere, then run again with the sphere so that the field is slightly modified by the presence of the sphere, allowing to take into account the influence of the sub- $\lambda$ sphere on the large simulation region. For a sphere in vacuum, a plane wave background field was implemented.

The absorption cross-section was calculated by integrating losses of the incident field due to absorption over the volume of the sphere. The computation of the sphere's absorption cross-section on gold is effected for unpolarized light incident at various angles of incidence, $\theta=10,20$, and $30^{\circ}$ (see Fig. S2), and the resultant spectra are averaged in order to properly take into account the conic collection of the Cassegrain objective used in the measurements. Field distributions (Fig. 2 (a) and Figs. 3 (b) and (d) of the main text) were obtained by calculating the fields in a slice of the geometry. The simulation span was made twice the wavelength in order to reduce stray reflections off the boundaries and to ensure proper convergence of the simulations.

Data form ref. ${ }^{3}$ were used in the simulations for the dielectric function of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (Fig. 2 (b), main text), while the dielectric properties of PTFE (Fig. 4 (b), inset, main text) and gold were taken from refs. ${ }^{4}$ and, ${ }^{5}$ respectively.

### 1.2.2 Heat transfer simulation

To assess the possibility of a temperature gradient arising in the measured $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ spheres on the hot substrate, we performed FEM simulations of the temperature distribution in our system using the Comsol Multiphysics Heat Transfer module. A $50 \times 50 \mu m^{2}$ region of the substrate is considered along with a $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick air layer above it. The substrate is maintained at a temperature of 440 K while we take into account conductive cooling through air and glass. To simulate the poor contact between the sphere and substrate, the sphere is considered to intersect the substrate at a single point. As shown in Fig. S1 (a), we find that, under these conditions, the sphere temperature deviates at maximum by 0.35 K from the substrate temperature.

We note that conduction through the air layer is the main heat transfer channel. ${ }^{6}$ We have verified this by suppressing the solid-solid contact so that the sphere is $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ above the substrate (Fig. S1 (b)), for which we find that the temperature deviation is still below 1 K . Thus, temperature variations within the sphere are negligible, showing that the assumption that the sphere is thermalized at the temperature of the substrate $(440 \mathrm{~K})$ is valid under our experimental conditions.


Figure S 1 : FEM calculations of the temperature distribution in a $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ radius $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ sphere (a) on and (b) $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ above a gold substrate with $T_{\text {substrate }}=440 \mathrm{~K}$.

## 2 VIRTUAL MODES AND SPHERE CROSS-SECTIONS

The transverse modes of a polar dielectric sphere of radius $r_{o}$ and dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon$, illuminated by light of wavelength $\lambda$ in vacuum, are given by the following equations ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left[\rho_{1} j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right]^{\prime}-\varepsilon j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{2} h^{(1)}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right]^{\prime}=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(electric modes)

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left[\rho_{1} j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right]^{\prime}-j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{2} h^{(1)}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right]^{\prime}=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(magnetic modes)
where $j_{l}$ and $h_{l}^{(1)}$ are the spherical Bessel and Hankel functions of the first kind, respectively, $\rho_{1}=$ $\frac{2 \pi r_{o}}{\lambda} \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \rho_{2}=\frac{2 \pi r_{o}}{\lambda}$, and ${ }^{\prime}$ denotes differentiation with respect to the argument of the Bessel functions. The cross-sections of a sphere in free space illuminated by a plane wave can be calculated from Mie theory, and are given by ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{e x t}=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 \pi} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(2 l+1) \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{l}+b_{l}\right),  \tag{3}\\
C_{s c a t}=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 \pi} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(2 l+1)\left(\left|a_{l}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{l}\right|^{2}\right), \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{a b s}=C_{e x t}-C_{s c a t}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $C_{a b s}, C_{s c a t}$, and $C_{e x t}$, are the sphere's absorption, scattering, and extinction cross-sections, respectively. $a_{l}$ and $b_{l}$, are the scattering coefficients, and $l$ is the mode number.

The scattering coefficients can be cast in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{l} & =\frac{\varepsilon j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{2} j_{l}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right]^{\prime}-j_{l}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left[\rho_{1} j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right]^{\prime}}{\varepsilon j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{2} h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right]^{\prime}-h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left[\rho_{1} j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right]^{\prime}},  \tag{6}\\
b_{l} & =\frac{j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{2} j_{l}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right]^{\prime}-j_{l}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left[\rho_{1} j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right]^{\prime}}{j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{2} h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right]^{\prime}-h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\left[\rho_{1} j_{l}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right]^{\prime}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

By comparing Eqs. 1-2 to Eqs. 6-7, it can be seen immediately that the frequencies of the sphere's transverse virtual modes correspond to that of vanishing denominators of the scattering coefficients $a_{l}$ and $b_{l}$. Thus, the sphere's virtual modes are fully accounted for by the Mie formalism.

## 3 ANGLE RESOLVED FEM SPECTRA OF A SPHERE ON GOLD



Figure S2: FEM calculations of absorption cross-section $\left(C_{a b s}\right)$ of an $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ sphere on gold, with (a) $r_{o}=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and (b) $r_{o}=2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, for unpolarized light incident at various angles $\theta$, as sketched in the inset of panel (a). The curves in panel (b) are shifted vertically for clarity and the dashed vertical line shows the surface mode peak position $\left(\omega_{S}\right)$.
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