

## **Modelling the spatiotemporal spread of beneficial alleles using ancient genomes**

Rasa Muktupavela, Martin Petr, Laure L. Segurel, Thorfinn Korneliussen, John Novembre, Fernando Racimo

## **To cite this version:**

Rasa Muktupavela, Martin Petr, Laure L. Segurel, Thorfinn Korneliussen, John Novembre, et al.. Modelling the spatiotemporal spread of beneficial alleles using ancient genomes. 2022. hal-03796564

## **HAL Id: hal-03796564 <https://hal.science/hal-03796564>**

Preprint submitted on 4 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# **Modelling the spatiotemporal spread** of beneficial alleles using ancient

## genomes

## Rasa Muktupavela<sup>1\*</sup>, Martin Petr<sup>1</sup>, Laure Ségurel<sup>2</sup>, Thorfinn Korneliussen<sup>1</sup>. Iohn

Novembre<sup>3</sup>, Fernando Racimo<sup>1</sup>

### \*For correspondence:

- rasa.muktupavela@gmail.com (  $\lambda$
- <sup>1</sup> Lundbeck GeoGenetics Centre. GLOBE Institute. Faculty of Health: <sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de
- Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive UMR5558, CNRS Université Lyon 1, Université de Lyon,
- Villeurbanne, France; <sup>3</sup>University of Chicago, Department of Human Genetics, Chicago,
- IL. USA

 $10$ 

- **Abstract** Ancient genome sequencing technologies now provide the opportunity to study  $\overline{11}$ natural selection in unprecedented detail. Rather than making inferences from indirect footprints  $\overline{12}$ left by selection in present-day genomes, we can directly observe whether a given allele was  $13$ present or absent in a particular region of the world at almost any period of human history within  $14$ the last 10,000 years. Methods for studying selection using ancient genomes often rely on 15
- partitioning individuals into discrete time periods or regions of the world. However, a complete  $16$
- understanding of natural selection requires more nuanced statistical methods which can  $17$
- explicitly model allele frequency changes in a continuum across space and time. Here we 18
- introduce a method for inferring the spread of a beneficial allele across a landscape using 19
- two-dimensional partial differential equations. Unlike previous approaches, our framework can  $\overline{20}$
- handle time-stamped ancient samples, as well as genotype likelihoods and pseudohaploid
- sequences from low-coverage genomes. We apply the method to a panel of published ancient  $22$
- West Eurasian genomes to produce dynamic maps showcasing the inferred spread of candidate  $2<sup>3</sup>$
- beneficial alleles over time and space. We also provide estimates for the strength of selection and  $\overline{24}$
- diffusion rate for each of these alleles. Finally, we highlight possible avenues of improvement for 25
- accurately tracing the spread of beneficial alleles in more complex scenarios.  $26$
- 

#### **Introduction**  $28$

- Understanding the dynamics of the spread of a beneficial allele through a population is one of the  $29$
- fundamental problems in population genetics (Ewens, 2012). We are often interested in knowing 30
- the location where an allele first arose and the way in which it spread through a population, but  $31$
- this is often unknown, particularly in natural, non-experimental settings where genetic sampling is  $32$
- scarce and uneven.  $\overline{33}$
- Patterns of genetic variation can be used to estimate how strongly natural selection has affected the trajectory of an allele and to fit the parameters of the selection process. The problem of 35
- estimating the age of a beneficial allele, for example, has yielded a rich methodological literature 36 (Slatkin and Rannala, 2000), and recent methods have exploited fine-scale haplotype information
- $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$ to produce highly accurate age estimates (Mathieson and McVean, 2014; Platt et al., 2019; Albers 38
- and McVean, 2020). In contrast, efforts to infer the geographic origins of beneficial mutations are ٦q
- scarcer. These include Novembre et al. (2005), who developed a maximum likelihood method to 40

model the origin and spread of a beneficial mutation and applied it to the CCR5-A32 allele, which

was, at the time, considered to have been under positive selection (Stephens et al., 1998; Sabeti  $\overline{42}$ 

- et al., 2005; Novembre and Han, 2012). Similarly, Itan et al. (2009) developed an approximate 43
- Bayesian computation (ABC) approach using demic simulations, in order to find the geographic  $\overline{a}$
- and temporal origins of a beneficial allele, based on present-day allele frequency patterns. 45
- As ancient genome sequences become more readily available, they are increasingly being used 46 to understand the process of natural selection (see reviews in Malaspinas et al. (2012); Dehasque  $\overline{a}$
- et al. (2020)). However, few studies have used ancient genomes to fit spatial dynamic models of  $\overline{AB}$
- the spread of an allele over a landscape. Most spatiotemporal analyses which included ancient 49
- genomes have used descriptive modelling in order to learn the spatiotemporal covariance struc-50
- ture of allele frequencies (Segurel et al., 2020) or hidden ancestry clusters (Racimo et al., 2020b).  $51$
- and then used that structure to hindcast these patterns onto a continuous temporally-evolving  $52$ landscape. In contrast to descriptive approaches, dynamic models have the power to infer inter-
- Б3 pretable parameters from genomic data and perhaps reveal the ultimate causes for these patterns
- (Wikle et al., 2019). 55

Dynamic models can also contribute to ongoing debates about the past trajectories of pheno-56 typically important loci. For example, the geographic origin of the rs4988235(T) allele—upstream 57 of the LCT gene and associated with adult lactase persistence in most of Western Eurasia (Engttgh  $55$ et al., 2002)—remains elusive, as is the way in which it spread (an extensive review can be found in 59 Ségurel and Bon, 2017). The allele has been found in different populations, with frequencies rang-60 ing from 5% up to almost 100%, and its selection coefficient has been estimated to be among the 61 highest in human populations (Bersaglieri et al., 2004; Enattah et al., 2008; Tishkoff et al., 2007). 62 However, the exact causes for its adaptive advantage are contested (Sznak et al., 2019), and it has **A3** been suggested that the selection pressures acting on the allele may have been different in differ-64 ent parts of the continent (Gerbault et al., 2009). Ancient DNA evidence shows that the allele was **AR** rare in Europe during the Neolithic (Burger et al., 2007; Gamba et al., 2014; Allentoft et al., 2015; 66 Mathieson et al., 2015) and only became common in Northern Europe after the Iron Age, suggest-67 ing a rise in frequency during this period, perhaps mediated by gene flow from regions east of the 68 Baltic where this allele was more common during the onset of the Bronze Age (Krüttli et al., 2014; 69 Margaryan et al., 2020). Itan et al. (2009) deployed their ABC approach to model the spatial spread 70 of the rs4988235(T) allele and estimated that it was first under selection among farmers around  $\overline{21}$ 7.500 years ago possibly between the central Balkans and central Europe. Others have postulated  $72$ a steppe origin for the allele (Allentoft et al., 2015), given that the rise in frequency appears to have  $73$ occurred during and after the Bronze Age migration of steppe peoples into Western Eurasia (Hagk  $74$ et al., 2015; Allentoft et al., 2015). However, the allele is at low frequency in genomes of Bronze 75 Age individuals associated with Corded Ware and Bell Beaker assemblages in Central Europe who 76 have high steppe ancestry (Mathieson et al., 2015; Margaryan et al., 2020), complicating the story 77 further (Ségurel and Bon. 2017). **78** The origins and spread dynamics of large-effect pigmentation-associated SNPs in ancient Eurasians 79

have also been intensely studied (ly and Mathieson, 2020). Maior loci of large effect on skin, eve 80 and hair pigmentation have been documented as having been under recent positive selection in 81

Western Eurasian history (Voight et al., 2006; Sabeti et al., 2007; Pickrell et al., 2009; Lao et al.,  $\overline{a}$ 

2007; Mathieson et al., 2015; Alonso et al., 2008; Hudjashov et al., 2013). These include genes  $83$ 

SLC45A2, OCA2, HERC2, SLC24A5 and TYR. While there is extensive evidence supporting the adaptive significance of these alleles, debates around their exact origins and spread are largely driven

85 by comparisons of allele frequency estimates in population groups which are almost always dis-86

cretized in time and/or space. Among these, selection at the TYR locus is thought to have occurred  $\overline{a}$ 

particularly recently, over the last 5,000 years (Stern et al., 2019), driven by a recent mutation (Al-88

bers and McVean, 2020) that may have spread rapidly in Western Eurasia. <sub>80</sub>

Here, we develop a method to model the spread of a recently selected allele across both space 90 and time, avoiding artificial discretization schemes to more rigorously assess the evidence for or  $\mathbf{a}$ 

- against a particular dispersal process. We begin with the model proposed by Novembre et al.
- (2005), and adapt it in order to handle ancient low-coverage genomic data, and explore more com- $\mathbf{a}$
- plex models that allow for both diffusion and advection (i.e. directional transport) in the distribu-
- tion of allele frequencies over space, as well as for a change in these parameters at different periods  $\alpha$
- of time. We apply the method to alleles in two of the aforementioned loci in the human genome.
- which have been reported to have strong evidence for recent positive selection: LCT/MCM6 and 97
- TYR. We focus on Western Eurasia during the Holocene, where ancient genomes are most densely  $98$
- sampled, and infer parameters relevant to the spread of these alleles, including selection, diffusion  $\alpha$
- and advection coefficients. 100
- **Results**  $101$

#### **Summary of model** 102

- We based our statistical inference framework on a model proposed by Novembre et al. (2005) to fit 103
- allele frequencies in two dimensions to present-day genotype data spread over a densely sampled 104
- map. We extend this model in several ways: 105
- . We incorporate temporally sampled data (ancient genomes) to better resolve changes in fre- $106$ quency distributions over time 107
- We make use of genotype likelihoods and pseudohaploid genotypes to incorporate low-coverage 108 data into the inference framework 109
- We permit more general dynamics by including advection parameters. 110
- We allow the selection, advection and diffusion parameters to be different in different periods 11: of time. Specifically, to reflect changes in population dynamics and mobility before and after 112 the Bronze Age (Loog et al., 2017; Racimo et al., 2020a), we partitioned the model fit into two  $113$
- time periods: before and after 5,000 years BP. 114

We explored the performance of two different spread models, which are extensions of the orig-115 inal model by Novembre et al. (2005), hereby called model A. This is a diffusion model containing 116 a selection coefficient s (determining the rate of local allele frequency growth) and a single diffu-117 sion term  $(a)$ . A more general diffusion model - hereby model B - allows for two distinct diffusion 118 parameters for latitudinal ( $\sigma$ .) and longitudinal ( $\sigma$ .) spread. Finally, model C is even more general 119 and includes two advection terms ( $v_{\alpha}$  and  $v_{\alpha}$ ), allowing the center of mass of the allele's frequency 120 to diverge from its origin over time. The incorporation of advection is meant to account for the fact  $121$ that population displacements and expansions could have led to allele frequency dynamics that 122 are poorly explained by diffusion alone.  $123$ 

In order to establish a starting time point for our diffusion process, we used previously pub- $124$ lished allele age estimates obtained from a non-parametric approach leveraging the patterns of 125 haplotype concordance and discordance around the mutation of interest (Albers and McVean.  $126$ 2020). In the case of the allele in the LCT/MCM6 region, we also used age estimates based on an  $127$ approximate Bayesian computation approach (Itan et al., 2009).  $128$ 

#### Performance on deterministic simulations 120

To characterize the accuracy of our inference method under different parameter choices we first 130 generated deterministic simulations from several types of diffusion models. First, we produced an 131 allele frequency surface map with a specified set of parameters from which we drew 1.040 samples 132 matching the ages, locations and genotype calling format (diploid vs. pseudo-haploid) of the 1,040 133

genomes that we analyze below when studying the rs1042602(A) allele.  $134$ 

We generated six different simulations with different diffusion coefficients and afterwards ran 135 our method assuming model B. The results (simulations B1-B6) are summarised in Figure 1. Fig-

- ure 1-Figure Supplement 1, Figure 1-Figure Supplement 2, Figure 1-Figure Supplement 3, Figure 1-137
- Figure Supplement 4. Figure 1-Figure Supplement 5 and Table A1. Overall, the model is more ac-



curate at correctly inferring the parameters for the time period before 5,000 years BP (Figure 1b), 139 with decreased performance when longitudinal diffusion is high (Figure 1-Figure Supplement 5). 140

Figure 1. a) Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for a simulation with diffusion and no advection (B5). The green dot corresponds to the origin of the allele. The parameter values used to generate the frequency surface maps are summarised in Table A1. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 1-Figure supplement 1. Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation B1. Figure 1-Figure supplement 2. Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation B2. Figure 1-Figure supplement 3. Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation B3. Figure 1-Figure supplement 4. Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation B4. Figure 1-Figure supplement 5. Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation B6. Figure 1-Figure supplement 6. Comparison of true allele frequency dynamics for simulation B1 and those inferred by the model C.

Figure 1-Figure supplement 7. Comparison of true allele frequency dynamics for simulation B4 and those inferred by the model C.

Next, we investigated the performance of model C, which includes advection coefficients. We 141 generated four different simulations including advection (simulations C1-C4: Figure 2, Figure 2- $14<sup>5</sup>$ Figure Supplement 1, Figure 2-Figure Supplement 2, Figure 2-Figure Supplement 3 and Table A2).  $143$ We found that our method is generally able to estimate the selection coefficient accurately. How-144 ever, in some of the simulations, we found discrepancies between the estimated and true diffusion 145 and advection coefficients, often occurring because of a misestimated origin forcing the other pa-146 rameters to adjust in order to better fit the allele frequency distribution in later stages of the allele's 147 spread (Figure 2). Despite the disparities between the true and inferred parameter values, the resulting surface plots become very similar as we approach the present, suggesting that different 149



combinations of parameters can produce similar present-day allele frequency distributions.

Figure 2. a) Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for one of the simulations including advection (C4). The green dot corresponds to the origin of the allele. The parameter values used to generate the frequency surface maps are summarised in Table A2. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 2-Figure supplement 1. Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation C1. Figure 2-Figure supplement 2. Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation C2. Figure 2-Figure supplement 3. Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation C3.

#### Advection model application to non-advection simulations 151

We assessed the model performance when we apply the model C, which includes advection coef-152 ficient estimates, to simulations generated without advection (see Figure 1-Figure Supplement 6

153 and *Figure 1-Figure Supplement 7*). We can observe that the advection coefficients are inferred to

154 be non-zero (Figure 1-Figure Supplement 6b and Figure 1-Figure Supplement 7b), however the in-

155 ferred allele frequency dynamic plots closely resemble the ones obtained with with true parameter  $156$ 

values (Figure 1-Figure Supplement 6a and Figure 1-Figure Supplement 7a). This shows that com-157

plex interactions between the diffusion and advection coefficients can result in similar outcomes 158

even when only diffusion is considered in the model. 159

The inference of the origin of the allele also differs when we compare the results for using 160 model B and model C. In order to understand better how the model estimates the allele origin, we 161 highlighted the first individual in simulations B1 and B4 that contains the derived allele. We can 162 see that in case of simulation B1 the inferred origin of the allele is close to the first observance 163 of the derived allele in the model which includes advection. In contrast when the advection is not 164 included, the origin of the allele is inferred to be closer to where it is initially rising in frequency 165

(Figure 1-Figure Supplement 1a and Figure 1-Figure Supplement 4a). However, this is not always 166

#### the case. For instance, if we look at the results from the advection model on simulation B4, we can  $167$

see that the origin of the allele is inferred relatively far from the sample known to have carried the 1 AS

- first instance of the derived allele. Therefore, if there is a relatively large interval between the time  $165$
- when the allele originated and when the first ancient genomes are available, the beneficial allele 170
- can spread widely, but as this spread is not captured by any of the data points, inference of the  $17$
- precise origin of the selected allele is nearly impossible.  $172$

#### Impact of sample clustering on parameter estimates  $173$

We evaluated the impact of different sampling and clustering schemes on our inferences that could 174 potentially arise by aggregating aDNA data from studies with different sampling schemes. We used  $171$ a deterministic simulation to create three different degrees of clustering which we will refer to as  $176$ "homogeneous", "intermediate" or "extreme" by varying the area from which we sample individuals  $17$ to be used in our inferences (*Figure 3-Figure Supplement 1*). Additionally, we also tested the impact 178 of biased temporal sampling in the periods before and after 5000 year BP by oversampling in the 17 ancient period (75%/25%), equal sampling in the two periods (50%/50%), and oversampling in the 180 recent period (25%/75%). Because we evaluated this temporal bias for each of the three spatial  $18$ clustering sampling scenarios, this resulted in a total of 9 different sampling scenarios. We note 182 that the third "extreme" spatial clustering scenarios is completely unrealistic and one would not 183 expect inferences of any degree of accuracy from it, but we believe it gives a good idea of the 184 behaviour of our method in the limit case of extremely restricted spatial sampling. 185

A comparison of allele frequency maps generated using true parameter values and using pa-186 rameter estimates from the different sampling schemes are shown in *Figure 3-Figure Supple-*187 ment 2. Figure 3-Figure Supplement 3. Figure 3-Figure Supplement 4. Figure 3-Figure Supple-188 ment 5, Figure 3-Figure Supplement 6, Figure 3-Figure Supplement 7, Figure 3-Figure Supple-189 ment 8. Figure 3-Figure Supplement 9. In Figure 3 we show the allele frequency map generated using the "intermediate 75%/25%" clustering scheme. Parameter estimates used to generate all 191 these figures are summarised in Table A3. Overall we can see that the allele frequency maps in-192 ferred from these scenarios closely resemble the maps generated using the true parameter values, 193 despite the challenges in finding accurate values for the individual point estimates of some of the 19 parameters, highlighting that various combinations of diffusion and advection coefficients can pro-195 duce similar underlying frequency maps (as discussed in the manuscript section "Performance on 19 deterministic simulations"). This suggests that the joint spatiotemporal information encoded in the 107 inferred maps (not just the individual parameters estimates) should be used in interpreting model 198 outputs, particularly when it comes to the advection and diffusion parameters. The selection coef-100 ficient estimates are inferred highly accurately, regardless of the sampling scheme chosen, and lie 200

- close to the true value, with only a slight underestimation in the time period after 5000 years BP  $201$
- (with the exception of "extreme 25%/75%").  $202$

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.21.453231; this version posted August 20, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bi



Figure 3. Left - Allele frequency map generated using true parameter values. Right - Allele frequency map generated using parameter estimates for "intermediate 75%/25%" clustering scheme. Parameter values used to generate the maps are summarised in Table A3.

- Figure 3-Figure supplement 1. Examples of spatial sampling scenarios for each of the three clustering schemes.
- Figure 3-Figure supplement 2. Allele frequency map generated using true parameter values and using parameter estimates for "homogeneous 75%/25%" clustering scheme
- Figure 3-Figure supplement 3. Allele frequency map generated using true parameter values and using parameter estimates for "homogeneous 50%/50%" clustering scheme
- Figure 3-Figure supplement 4. Allele frequency map generated using true parameter values and using parameter estimates for "homogeneous 25%/75%" clustering scheme
- Figure 3-Figure supplement 5. Allele frequency map generated using true parameter values and using parameter estimates for "intermediate 50%/50%" clustering scheme
- Figure 3-Figure supplement 6. Allele frequency map generated using true parameter values and using parameter estimates for "intermediate 25%/75%" clustering scheme
- Figure 3-Figure supplement 7. Allele frequency map generated using true parameter values and using parameter estimates for "extreme 75%/25%" clustering scheme
- Figure 3-Figure supplement 8. Allele frequency map generated using true parameter values and using parameter estimates for "extreme 50%/50%" clustering scheme
- Figure 3-Figure supplement 9. Allele frequency map generated using true parameter values and using parameter estimates for "extreme 25%/75%" clustering scheme

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.21.453231; this version posted August 20, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to every the preprint in perpetuity. It is<br>(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bio

#### **Spatially-explicit forward simulations** 203

In addition to drawing simulated samples from a diffusion model, we used SLiM (Haller and Messer 204

(2019)) to perform spatially explicit individual-based forward-in-time simulations of selection acting 205

on a beneficial allele, by leveraging an R interface for spatial population genetics now implemented 206 in an R package slendr (Petr (2021)). 207

We introduced a single beneficial additive mutation in a single individual and let it evolve across 208 the European landscape. Before applying our method on the simulated data, we sampled 1,040  $209$ individuals whose ages were log-uniformly distributed, to ensure that there were more samples 210 closer to the present, as in the real data. We transformed the diploid genotypes to pseudohaploid  $211$ genotypes by assigning a heterozygous individual an equal probability of carrying the ancestral or 212 the derived genotype. The parameter values estimated by our model to the simulations described 213 in this section are summarised in Table A4. 214

We can see that the origin of the allele inferred by the model closely corresponds to the first 215 observation of the derived allele in the simulation (Figure 4). The inferred selection coefficient is 216 only slightly higher than the true value from the simulation (0.0366 vs 0.030). In general, the model 217 accurately captures the spread of the allele centered in central Europe, though we observe some 218 discrepancies due to differences between the model assumed in the simulation (which, for exam-219 ple, accounts for local clustering of individuals, Figure 4-Figure Supplement 1), and that assumed 220 by our diffusion-based inference. 221



Figure 4. A) Individual-based simulation of an allele that arose in Central Europe 15,000 years ago with a selection coefficient of 0.03. Each dot represents a genotype from a simulated genome. To avoid overplotting, only 1,000 out of the total 20,000 individuals in the simulation in each time point are shown for each genotype category. B) Allele frequency dynamics inferred by the diffusion model on the individual-based simulation to the left, after randomly sampling 1,040 individuals from the simulation and performing pseudohaploid genotype sampling on them. The ages of sampled individuals were log-uniformly distributed. The estimated parameter values of the fitted model are shown in Table A4.

Figure 4-Figure supplement 1. Distribution of individuals across the map under neutrality, showing the tendency of individuals to cluster together.

#### Dynamics of the rs4988235(T) allele 222

Having tested the performance of our method on simulated data, we set out to infer the allele  $223$ frequency dynamics of the rs4988235(T) allele (associated with adult lactase persistence) in ancient  $224$ Western Eurasia. For our analysis, we used a genotype dataset compiled by Segurel et al. (2020),  $225$ which amounts to 1,434 genotypes from ancient Eurasian genomes individuals, and a set of 36,659  $226$ genotypes from present-day Western and Central Eurasian genomes (Ségurel and Bon, 2017; Heyer 227 et al., 2011; Marchi et al., 2018; Liebert et al., 2017; Gallego Romero et al., 2012; Itan et al., 2010; 228 Charati et al., 2019). After filtering out individuals falling outside of the range of the geographic 229 boundaries considered in this study, we retained 1,332 ancient individuals. The locations of ancient 230 and present-day individuals used in the analysis to trace the spread of rs4988235(T) are shown in 231 Figure 5. 232 We used a two-period scheme by allowing the model to have two sets of estimates for the 233

selection coefficient and the diffusion and advection coefficients in two different periods of time: 234 before and after 5,000 years ago, reflecting the change in population dynamics and mobility before 235 and after the Bronze Age transition (Loog et al., 2017; Racimo et al., 2020a). We used two allele  $23<sub>0</sub>$ 

- age estimates as input: a relatively young one (7,441 years ago) obtained from Itan et al. (2009), 237
- and a relatively old one (20.106 years ago) obtained from Albers and McVean (2020). The results 238
- obtained for fitting the model on rs4988235(T) are summarised in Table A5 and Table A6, and in 239
- Figure 6b (younger age) and Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1 (older age). 240



Figure 5. Locations of samples used to model the spread of the rs4988235(T) allele. The upper panel shows the spatiotemporal locations of ancient individuals, the bottom panel represents the locations of present-day individuals.

Assuming the age estimate from (Itan et al., 2009), the origin of the allele is estimated to be  $241$ north of the Caucasus, around what is now southwestern Russia and eastern Ukraine (Figure 6b).  $242$ Given that this age is relatively young, our method fits a very strong selection coefficient ( $\approx 0.1$ ) 243 during the first period in order to accommodate the early presence of the allele in various points  $244$ throughout Eastern Europe, and a weaker (but still strong) selection coefficient ( $\approx 0.03$ ) in the sec- $245$ ond period. We also estimate stronger diffusion in the second period than in the first, to accommo- $246$ date the rapid expansion of the allele throughout Western Europe, and a net westward advection  $247$ parameter, indicating movement of the allele frequency's center of mass to the west as we ap-248 proach the present. 249 Assuming the older age estimate from Albers and McVean (2020), the origin of the allele is 250 estimated to be in the Northeast of Europe (Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1), which is at a much 251 higher latitude than the first occurrence of the allele, in Ukraine. Due to the deterministic nature 252 of the model, the frequency is implicitly imposed to expand in a region where there are no actual 253 observed instances of the allele. The model compensates for this by placing the origin in an area 254

with a lower density of available aDNA data and thus avoiding an overlap of the increasing allele 255 frequencies with individuals who do not carry the derived rs4988235(T) allele (see Figure 6a). As 256

the model expands rapidly in the southern direction (Table A6) it eventually reaches the sample 257

carrying the derived variant in Ukraine.  $258$ 



Figure 6. a) Top: Pseudohaploid genotypes of ancient samples at the rs4988235 SNP in different periods. Yellow corresponds to the rs4988235(T) allele. Bottom: allele frequencies of present-day samples represented as pie charts. The size of the pie charts corresponds to the number of available sequences in each region. b) Inferred allele frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T). The green dot indicates the inferred geographic origin of the allele.

Figure 6-Figure supplement 1. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T) using the allele age that was inferred in Albers and McVean (2020).

- Figure 6-Figure supplement 2. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T) when the origin of the allele is moved 10 degrees west from the original estimate.
- Figure 6-Figure supplement 3. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T) when the origin of the allele is moved 10 degrees east from the original estimate.
- Figure 6-Figure supplement 4. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T) when the origin of the allele is moved 10 degrees north from the original estimate.
- Figure 6-Figure supplement 5. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T) when the origin of the allele is moved 10 degrees south from the original estimate.
- Figure 6-Figure supplement 6. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T) forcing the geographic origin of the allele to be at the location inferred in Itan et al. (2009).
- Figure 6-Figure supplement 7. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T) assuming the allele age to be the lower end of the 95% credible interval for the allele age inferred in Itan et al. (2009).
- Figure 6-Figure supplement 8. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T) assuming the allele age to be the higher end of the 95% credible interval for the allele age inferred in Itan et al. (2009).
- Figure 6-Figure supplement 9. Log-likelihood values for model runs using different ages of the rs4988235(T) allele as input.

#### Dynamics of the rs1042602(A) allele  $250$

- Next, we investigated the spatiotemporal dynamics of the spread of an allele at a pigmentation-260
- associated SNP in the TYR locus (rs1042602(A)), which has been reported to be under recent selec-261
- tion in Western Eurasian history (Stern et al., 2019). For this purpose, we applied our method to 262
- the Allen Ancient DNA Resource data (Reich and Mallick, 2019), which contains randomly sampled
- pseudohaploid genotypes from 1,513 published ancient Eurasian genomes (listed in Supplemen-264

- tary File 1), from which we extracted those genomes that had genotype information at this locus in **265**
- Western Eurasia. We merged this dataset with diploid genotype information from high-coverage 266
- present-day West Eurasian genomes from the Human Genome Diversity Panel (HGDP) (Bergström 267
- et al., 2020), which resulted in a total of 1,040 individuals with genotype information at rs1042602, 268
- which were as input to our analysis. Geographic locations of individuals in the final dataset are  $269$
- shown in Figure 7. 270



Figure 7. Spatiotemporal sampling locations of sequences used to model the rs1042602(A) allele in Western Eurasia. Upper panel: ancient individuals dated as older than 10,000 years ago. Middle panel: ancient individuals dated as younger than 10,000 years ago. Bottom panel: present-day individuals from HGDP.

Similarly to our analysis of the spread of the allele in rs4988235(T), we inferred the dynamics of  $271$ the rs1042602(A) allele separately for the time periods before and after 5,000 years BP and assum- $272$ ing the age of the allele to be 26,361 years (Albers and McVean, 2020). The inferred parameters 273 for both time periods are summarised in Table A7 and the allele frequency surface maps gener- $274$ ated using these parameters are shown in Figure 8b. The origin of the rs1042602(A) corresponds 275 closely to the region where the allele initially starts to segregate in the time period between 7,500  $276$ and 10,000 years BP as seen in *Figure 8a*. Estimates of the selection coefficient for both time pe-277 riods (0.0221 and 0.0102 for the period before and after 5000 years BP, respectively) suggest that  $276$ selection acting on the allele has decreased after 5000 years BP. 279



Figure 8. a) Top: Pseudohaploid genotypes of ancient samples of the rs1042602 in different periods. Yellow corresponds to the A allele. Bottom: diploid genotypes of present-day samples. b) Inferred allele frequency dynamics of rs1042602(A). The green dot corresponds to the inferred geographic origin of the allele.

Figure 8-Figure supplement 1. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs1042602(A) when the origin of the allele is moved 10 degrees east from the original estimate.

Figure 8-Figure supplement 2. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs1042602(A) when the origin of the allele is moved 10 degrees north from the original estimate.

Figure 8-Figure supplement 3. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs1042602(A) when the origin of the allele is moved 10 degrees south from the original estimate.

Figure 8-Figure supplement 4. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs1042602(A) assuming the allele age to be the lower end of the 95% confidence interval for the allele age inferred in Albers and McVean (2020).

Figure 8-Figure supplement 5. Frequency dynamics of rs1042602(A) assuming the allele age to be the higher end of the 95% confidence interval for the allele age inferred in Albers and McVean (2020).

Figure 8-Figure supplement 6. Log-likelihood values for model runs using different ages of the rs1042602(A) allele as input.

#### Robustness of parameters to the inferred geographic origin of allele 280

We carried out an analysis to characterize how sensitive the selection, diffusion and advection 281 parameters are to changes in the assumed geographic origin of the allele. For the rs4988235(T) 282 allele, we forced the origin of the allele to be 10 degrees away from our inferred origin in each car-283 dinal direction, while assuming the allele age from Itan et al. (2009) (Table A8). In Figure 6-Figure 284 Supplement 2. Figure 6-Figure Supplement 3. Figure 6-Figure Supplement 4 and Figure 6-Figure 285 Supplement 5, we can see the allele frequency dynamics of these four scenarios, respectively. We 286 also forced the allele origin to be at the geographic origin estimated in Itan et al. (2009) (Table A9, 287 Figure 6-Figure Supplement 6), which is westward of our estimate. In all five cases during the pe-288 riod prior 5,000 years BP, the allele is inferred to expand in the direction of the first sample that 289 is observed to carry the rs4988235(T) allele and is located in Ukraine. During the time period after 290 5000 years BP, the patterns produced by the model are rather similar, although the parameters 291 associated with diffusion and advection differ, in order to account for the different starting condi- $292$ tions.  $293$ 

We also investigated how the results are affected when the estimated geographic origin of the 294

rs1042602(A) allele is moved with respect to the initial estimate. We set the allele to be 10 degrees  $20<sub>0</sub>$ 

east. 10 degrees north and 10 degrees south of the original estimate as shown in *Figure 8-Figure* 

Supplement 1, Figure 8-Figure Supplement 2 and Figure 8-Figure Supplement 3, respectively. We  $297$ 

did not look at a scenario in which the origin of the allele is moved to the west, since it would either 29 end up in the Black sea or more westwards than 10 degrees. The selection coefficient remains

 $20<sup>2</sup>$ similar to the original estimate throughout all three scenarios. The way the allele spreads across

300 the landscape is also similar in all cases and, as in the case of rs4988235(T), the model accounts for  $301$ 

the different origins of the allele by adjusting the diffusion and advection coefficients in the time 302

period after 5000 years BP. 30

#### Robustness of parameters to the assumed age of the allele 304

In order to investigate how sensitive our inferences are to the point estimates of allele ages we 30 obtained from the literature (Albers and McVean, 2020; Itan et al., 2009), we also fitted our model 306 using the upper and lower ends of the 95% confidence intervals or credible intervals for each age 30 estimate (depending on whether the inference procedure in the literature was via a maximum  $308$ likelihood or a Bayesian approach). For the rs4988235(T) allele, the reported credible intervals for  $30<sub>5</sub>$ the *Itan et al. (2009)* age are 8.683 and 6.256 years BP. For the rs1042602(A) allele, the reported 310 confidence intervals for the age are 27,315 and 25,424 years BP (Albers and McVean, 2020). 311

When re-fitting the model for the rs4988235(T) allele, we found that the inferred selection co- $312$ efficient is slightly lower when the allele age is assumed to be at the lower bound of the 95% credi-313 ble interval (0.0867 vs 0.0993 before 5000 years BP and 0.0321 vs 0.0328 after 5000 years BP) and  $31<sub>0</sub>$ slightly higher when assumed to be at the upper bound (0.0994 vs 0.0993 before 5000 years BP and  $315$ 0.0572 vs 0.0328 after 5000 years BP) (Table A5 and Figure 6-Figure Supplement 7 and Figure 6-316 Figure Supplement 8). This occurs because the selection intensity must be higher or lower when 317 there is more or less time, respectively, for the allele to reach the allele frequencies observed in the data. In the case of the rs1042602(A) allele, this only affects the earlier time period (Table A7). The 319 rs4988235(T) allele's geographic distribution in the more recent time periods is also less extended  $320$ geographically when the age is assumed to be young. The inferred geographic origin of both alleles  $321$ slightly differs under different assumed ages (Figure 8-Figure Supplement 4 and Figure 8-Figure  $322$ Supplement 5)  $323$ 

In addition, we assessed the likelihood of the best fitted models with varying the ages of the  $324$ rs4988235(T) and rs1042602(A) alleles (Figure 6-Figure Supplement 9 and Figure 8-Figure Supple-จวร ment 6, respectively). We can see that in the case of rs4988235(T) allele the allele age used in  $326$ this study (7.441 years) gives the most likely solution among the explored ages. In case of the 327 rs1042602(A) allele, we found that there are multiple nearly equally likely ages when looking at  $328$ ages at least as old as 15,000 years.  $326$ 

#### **Discussion** าง

A spatially explicit framework for allele frequency diffusion can provide new insights into the dy-331 namics of selected variants across a landscape. We have shown that under the conditions of strong. 332 recent selection, our method can infer selection and dispersal parameters, using a combination of 333 ancient and present-day human genomic data. However, when allowing for advection, the inferred 334 location tends to become less accurate. This suggests that migration events early in the dispersal 338 of the selected allele could create difficulties in finding the true allele origin if net directional move-336 ment (i.e. via major migratory processes) had a large effect in this dispersal. This issue could be 337 alleviated with the inclusion of more ancient genomes around the time of the origin of the muta-338 tion, perhaps in combination with a more fine-scaled division into periods where advection may 330 have occurred in different directions. 340

The inferred geographic origin of the rs4988235(T) allele reflects the best guess of our frame-341 work given the constraints provided by its input, namely the previously inferred age of the allele  $343$ 

the allele must have arisen somewhere within the bounding box of our studied map. When assum- $341$ ing a relatively young allele age (7,441 years ago, Itan et al. (2009)), the origin of the allele is placed  $34!$ north of the Caucasus, perhaps among steppe populations that inhabited the area at this time 346 (Haak et al., 2015; Allentoft et al., 2015). This origin is further east than the geographic origin es- $347$ timate from *Itan et al. (2009*), likely reflecting additional ancient DNA information that is available  $245$ to us, and indicates an early presence of the allele in eastern Europe. When assuming a relatively 349 old allele age (20.106 years ago, *Albers and McVean (2020)*), the age is placed in northeast Europe. 350 perhaps among Eastern hunter-gatherer groups that inhabited the region in the early Holocene. 351 We note that the number of available genomes for eastern and northeastern Europe during the 362 early Holocene is scarce, so the uncertainty of the exact location of this origin is relatively high. Re-353 gardless of the assumed age, we estimate a net westward displacement of the allele frequency's 354 center of mass, and a rapid diffusion, particularly in the period after 5,000 years ago. 355 Various studies have estimated the selection coefficient for the rs4988235(T) allele, and these range from as low as 0.014 to as high as 0.19 (Engttgh et gl., 2008; Mathieson and Mathieson, 357 2018: Mathieson, 2020: Stern et al., 2019: Burger et al., 2020: Peter et al., 2012: Gerbault et al. 35 2009: Itan et al., 2009: Bersaglieri et al., 2004). Recent papers incorporating ancient DNA estimate 3EC

and the observed instances of this allele throughout Western Eurasia. We are also assuming that

the selection coefficient to be as low as 0 (in certain regions of Southern Europe) and as high as 360 0.06 (Mathieson and Mathieson, 2018; Mathieson, 2020; Burger et al., 2020). It is also likely that the 361 selection coefficient was different for different regions of Europe, perhaps due to varying cultural 362 practices (Mathieson, 2020). In our case, the estimated selection coefficient during the first period 367 - before 5.000 years ago - depends strongly on the assumed allele age (s = 0.0993 ys. s = 0.0285). As 364 in the case of the geographic origin, these estimates should be taken with caution as the number of 365 available allele observations in the early Holocene is fairly low. The estimates for the second period 366 - after 5,000 years ago - are more robust to the assumed age:  $s = 0.0328$  (95% CJ: 0.0327–0.0329)  $247$ if we assume the vounger allele age  $(7.441$  years ago) and  $s = 0.0255$  (95% CI: 0.0252-0.0258) if 368 we assume the older allele age (20.106 years ago). These estimates are also within the range of 369 previous estimates. 370

In the case of the rs1042602(A) allele, our estimated selection coefficients of 0.0221 (95% CI: 37 0.0216-0.0227) and 0.0102 (95% CI: 0.0083-0.0120) for the time periods before and after 5000 years 372 BP, respectively, are generally in agreement with previous results. Wilde et al. (2014) used a for- $37<sup>2</sup>$ ward simulation approach to infer a point estimate of 0.026. Another study using an approximate 374 Bayesian computation framework (Nakagome et al., 2019) estimated the strength of selection act- $371$ ing on rs1042602 to be 0.013 (0.002-0.029). Although both studies utilized ancient DNA data, the 376 estimates were obtained without explicitly modelling the spatial dimension of the selection pro- $\frac{1}{277}$ cess. 378

Our estimates of the longitudinal advection parameter are negative for both the SNPs in the TYR 370 and  $LCT$  loci: the mutation origins are always to the east of the center of mass of the allele frequency 380 distribution seen in present-day data. This perhaps reflects common migratory processes, like the 381 large-scale Neolithic and Bronze Age population movements from east to west, affecting the allele 382 frequencies at these loci across the Eurasian landscape (Allentoft et al., 2015; Haak et al., 2015). As 383 a form of regularization, we kept the range of explored values for the advection parameters to be 384 small (-2.5 to 2.5 km per generation), while allowing the diffusion parameters to be explored over 385 a much wider range of values. In certain cases, like the second period of the rs4988235(T) spread 38 when the allele age is assumed to be young (Table A5), we find that the advection parameters are 387 fitted at the boundary of the explored range, because the allele needs to spread very fast across 38 the landscape to fit the data.  $280$ A future improvement to our method could include other forms of regularization that better ac-390

count for the joint behavior of the advection and diffusion processes, or the use of priors for these 301 parameters under a Bayesian setting, which could be informed by realistic assumptions about the 392

movement of individuals on a landscape. Bayesian parameter fitting would likely provide a more  $30<sub>5</sub>$ 

robust understanding of the uncertainty of the estimates as well as an opportunity to formally 394 compare different models using Bayes factors, although at the cost of an increase of computa- $20<sub>1</sub>$ 

tional intensity 396

When investigating the robustness of the geographic origin of both rs4988235(T) and rs1042602(A). 397 we found that parameters related to the beneficial allele's expansion change in response to differ-398 ent assumed origins of the allele. The resulting allele frequency surface plots, however, appear 399

- very similar throughout the later stages of the process, showing that the model tends to adjust the 400
- diffusion and advection coefficients in a way such that the allele will end up expanding into the  $\overline{a}$
- same areas regardless of the origin. 402

As we apply these methods to longer time scales and broader geographic areas, the assump-403 tions of spatiotemporal homogeneity of the parameters seem less plausible. There may be cases 404 where the allele may have been distributed over a wide geographic area but remained at low fre-405 quencies for an extended period of time, complicating the attempts to pinpoint the allele's origin. 406 In our study, we estimated diffusion and selection coefficients separately for two time periods before and after 5000 years ago to account for changes in mobility during the Bronze Age, but this 408 approach may still be hindered by uneven sampling, especially when the allele in question exists at 40 very low frequencies. Notably, our results for the spread of the rs4988235(T) allele during the older 410 time period should be interpreted with caution, since they may be affected by sparse sampling in 411 the early Holocene. 412

Potential future extensions of our method could incorporate geographic features and historical 413 migration events that create spatially or temporally varying moderators of gene flow. An example  $\overline{A}$ 14 of this type of processes is the retreat of glaciers after the last Glacial maximum, which allowed 415 migration of humans into Scandinavia (Günther et al., 2018). These changing geographic features  $\overline{A}$ 16 could lead to changes in the rate of advection or diffusion across time or space. They could also 417 serve to put more environmentally-aware constraints on the geographic origin of the allele, given  $\overline{a}$ 15 that it cannot have existed in regions uninhabitable by humans, and to extend our analyses beyond 419 the narrow confines of the Western Eurasian map chosen for this study. One could also envision 420 incorporating variation in population densities over time, or known migration processes in the 421 time frames and regions of interest. These might have facilitated rapid, long-range dispersal of 422 beneficial alleles (Bradburd et al., 2016; Hallatschek and Fisher, 2014) or caused allelic surfing on 423 the wave of range expansions (Klopfstein et al., 2006). Additional information like this could come. 424 for example, from previously inferred spatiotemporal demographic processes (e.g. Racimo et al.  $425$  $(2020h)$ 426

As described above, our model only accounts for diffusion in two directions. Further extension  $427$ of our model could therefore incorporate anisotropic diffusion (Othmer et al., 1988; Painter and 428 Hillen, 2018). Another possibility could be the introduction of stochastic process components, in or-420 der to convert the partial differential equations into stochastic differential equations (Brown et al., 430 2000). Stochastic components could serve to induce spatial autocorrelation and capture local pat-431 terns of allele frequency covariance in space that might not be well modeled by the deterministic 432 PDEs (Cressie and Wikle, 2015). They could also serve to induce stochasticity in allele frequency  $\overline{1}$ changes over time as a consequence of genetic drift (Crow et al., 1970), allowing one to model 434 the dynamics of more weakly selected variants, where drift plays an important role. Eventually, 435 one could perhaps combine information across loci to jointly model the spatiotemporal frequency 436 surfaces at multiple loci associated with the same trait. This could help clarify the dynamics of polygenic adaptation and negative selection on complex traits (*Irving-Pegse et gl., 2021*), and perhaps 438 hindcast the genetic value of traits across a landscape. 439

The availability of hundreds of ancient genomes (Marciniak and Perry, 2017) and the increas- $\overline{a}$ ing interest in spatiotemporal method development (Bradburd and Ralph, 2019), such as the one 441 described in this manuscript, will likely lead researchers to posit new questions and hypotheses 442 about the behavior of natural selection. In the case of a beneficial allele spreading on a landscape.  $44<sup>3</sup>$ new ontologies and vocabulary for describing positive selection in time and space will be needed.  $\overline{A}$ 

- Abundant terms exists to classify the initial conditions and dynamics of a selective sweep in a sin-445
- gle population (hard sweep, multiple origin soft sweep, single origin soft sweep, partial sweep)  $5.56$
- (Hermisson and Pennings, 2005; Pritchard and Di Rienzo, 2010; Hermisson and Pennings, 2017). In 44
- contrast, there is a lack of vocabulary for distinguishing between a scenario of strong selection that 448
- is locally constrained in space from a scenario of widespread selection extended over a landscape. 449
- or a model of neutral diffusion in space followed by parallel non-neutral increases in frequency 450
- at multiple locations. For example, Ralph and Coop (2010) showed how multiple localized hard 451
- sweeps may be seen as a soft sweep at a larger population-wide scale. Existing vocabulary for spa- $452$ tiotemporal genetic processes is clearly not enough, limiting the types of questions or hypotheses 453
- we can pose about them. 454
- Population genetic models that explicitly account for space and time are an important area of 455
- future methodological development (Bradburd and Ralph, 2019). We believe that methods such 456
- as the one described in this study show great promise at broadening the horizon of our under-457
- standing of natural selection across space and time in humans and other species. As in the case
- of demographic reconstruction (Ray and Excoffier, 2009), spatiotemporal information can greatly 459
- help improve our knowledge of how natural selection operated in the past. 46

#### **Methods** 461

#### The model  $162$

To describe the allele frequency dynamics in time and space, we first begin by using a deterministic 463

model based on a two-dimensional partial differential equation (PDE) (Fisher, 1937; Kolmogorov

- et al., 1937; Novembre et al., 2005). This PDE represents the distribution  $p(x, y, t)$  of the allele fre-465
- quency across a two dimensional  $(x, y)$  landscape at time t.

 $\dot{\epsilon}$ 

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} + \gamma(p, s, d) \tag{1}
$$

where 467

$$
\gamma(p, s, d) = p(1 - p)(pd + s(1 - 2p)).
$$
\n(2)

Here,  $\sigma$  is the diffusion coefficient,  $s$  is the selection coefficient, and d is the dominance coeffi-**461** cient (Novembre et al., 2005). We assumed an additive model and fixed  $d = 2s$  in all analyses below. 469 We call this "model A", but we also evaluated the fit of our data under more complex models which are more flexible, and are described below.  $471$ 

Model B is a more general diffusion-reaction model, which incorporates distinct diffusion terms 472 in the longitudinal and latitudinal directions ( $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$ , respectively):  $477$ 

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_x^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_y^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} + \gamma(p, s, d) \tag{3}
$$

Model C is a generalization of model B that incorporates advection terms in the longitudinal and 474 latitudinal directions (see e.g. Cantrell and Cosner (2004) for a motivation of this type of model in  $475$ the context of spatial ecology): 476

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_x^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_y^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} + v_x \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \gamma(p, s, d) \tag{4}
$$

Here,  $v_r$  and  $v_v$  represent the coefficients for advective velocity along the longitude and latitude 477 respectively. 478

In the Appendix, we motivate the construction of these equations using model C as an example, 479

- and show that equation 4 can be obtained by taking an infinitesimal limit of a random walk on a 480 two-dimensional lattice, after including a reaction term due to selection. Models A and B are then 481
- shown to be special cases of model C.  $\overline{a}$

For evaluating the likelihood of the observed data, we use a binomial genotype sampling model. 483 Let  $g_i \in 0, 1, 2$  be the genotype of individual *i* at the locus of interest, let a, be the number of reads  $0<sup>a</sup>$ carrying ancestral alleles, let d, be the number of reads carry derived reads. Let  $(x_i, y_i)$  be the 485 coordinates of the location from which individual i was sampled, and t, its estimated age (e.g. from 486

radiocarbon dating). Then, the likelihood for individual  $i$  can be computed as follows: 48

$$
L(d_i, a_i) = \sum_{h=0}^{2} P[d_i, a_i | g_i = h] P[g_i = h] p(x_i, y_i, t_i)]
$$
\n(5)

Here,  $p(x_i, y_i, t_i)$  is the solution to one of the partial differential equations described above (equa-488 tions (1), (2) or (4), depending on the process model chosen), evaluated at location  $(x_i, y_i)$  and time 489 t<sub>i</sub>. In turn,  $P[d_i, a_i|g_i = h]$  is the likelihood for genotype *i*. Furthermore,  $P[g_i = h|p(x_i, y_i, t_i)]$  is a 490 binomial distribution, where  $n$  represents the ploidy level, which in this case is 2:  $0<sup>1</sup>$ 

$$
P[g_i = h | p(x_i, y_i, t_i)] = {n \choose h} p(x_i, y_i, t_i)^h (1 - p(x_i, y_i, t_i))^{n-h}
$$
\n(6)

Then, the likelihood of the entire data can be computed as 492

$$
L(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{a}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} L(x_i, y_i, t_i)
$$
 (7)

where M is the total number of individuals for which we have data, **d** is the vector containing the 49 derived read count for each individual and **a** is the vector containing the ancestral read count  $\overline{a}$ for each individual. We computed genotype likelihoods directly on the BAM file read data, using 495 the SAMtools genotype model (Li, 2011) implemented in the software ANGSD (Korneliussen et al. 496  $2014$ ). 497

When only randomly sampled pseudohaploid allele counts are available, we used a Bernoulli  $\overline{a}$ sampling likelihood (conditional on the genotype  $g_i$ ) on the right-hand side of equation 6 instead. 499 Briefly, assuming that the probability of an individual having genotype g at a particular locus given 500 the underlying allele frequency  $p$  follows a binomial distribution and that the probability of sam-501 pling a read given the genotype of an individual follows a Bernoulli distribution with probability of 502 success  $\frac{1}{2}$ g, then the probability of sampling a read given the genotype follows a Bernoulli distribu-503 tion with probability of success  $p$ . 504

#### Map 505

We restricted the geographic area explored by our model fit to be between 30°N to 75°N, and be-506 tween 10°W and 80°E. For numerical calculations, we used a grid constructed using a resolution 507 of approximately 1 grid cell per latitude and longitude. We used Harvesine functions in order to **ROS** transform the distance from degrees to kilometers between two geographic points. The diffusion 509 of the allele frequency was disallowed in the map regions where the topology is negative (i.e. re-510 gions under water), based on ETOPO5 data (NOAA (1988)). For this reason we added land bridges 511 between the European mainland and Sardinia, and between the mainland and Great Britain, in 512 order to allow the allele to diffuse in these regions (see Figure A1). 513

#### **Parameter search E14**

Parameter optimization was done via maximum likelihood estimation with a two-laver optimiza-51 tion set-up. The first layer consists of a simulated annealing approach (Bélisle (1992)) starting from 516  $51^{\circ}$ 50 random points in the parameter space. The initial 50 points are sampled using latin hypercube sampling to ensure an even spread across the parameter space. The output of this fit was then 518 fed to the L-BFGS-B algorithm to refine the parameter estimates around the obtained maximum 519 and obtain confidence intervals for the selection, diffusion and advection parameters (Byrd et al. 520  $(1995)$ ). 521

The parameters optimised were: 522

- the selection coefficient (s), restricted to the range 0.001-0.1  $523$
- two dispersal parameters  $\sigma_{\rm w}$  and  $\sigma_{\rm w}$  in the longitudinal and latitudinal directions respectively. 524 restricted to the range of 1-100 square-kilometers per generation 525
- the longitudinal and latitudinal advection coefficients  $v<sub>z</sub>$  and  $v<sub>z</sub>$  respectively. As a form of 526 regularization, we set the range of explored values to be narrowly centered around zero: 527 -2.5 to 2.5 kilometers per generation  $528$
- the geographic origin of the allele, which is randomly initialized to be any of the 28 spatial 529 points shown in *Figure A2* at the start of the optimization process 530

We chose to construct our method in a way that uses the age of the allele as an input parameter 531 rather than estimating it. We do this since there are multiple equally possible solutions with various 532 combinations of allele age and selection coefficient values as shown in *Figure A3*. The latitude and 533 longitude are discretized in our model in order to solve the differential equations numerically, thus 534 the origin of a mutation is measured in terms of discrete units. For this reason, when using the 535 L-BFGS-B algorithm, we fixed the previously estimated origin of the allele, and did not explore it during this second optimization laver. For numerical calculations we used the Livermore Solver for 537 Ordinary Differential Equations (Hindmarsh, 1983) implemented in R package "deSolve" (Soetgert 538 et al., 2010a), which is a general purpose solver that can handle both stiff and nonstiff systems. In 530 case of stiff problems the solver uses a lacobian matrix. Absorbing boundary conditions were used 540 at the boundaries of the map. For visualisation purposes we masked the allele frequencies from 541 areas with negative topology (i.e. areas covered by large bodies of water). Time was measured in 542 generations, assuming 29 years per generation. During the optimization we scaled the time and 543 the parameters by a factor of 10, which allowed us to decrease the execution time of the model. 544

- We initialized the grid by setting the initial allele frequency to be  $p_0$  in a grid cell where the allele 545
- originates and 0 elsewhere.  $p_0$  was calculated as  $1/(2 * D * A)$ , where D is the population density 546 and is equal to 2.5 inhabitants per square-kilometer, which is the estimated population density in
- 547 Europe in 1000 B.C. (Colin McEvedy, 1978; Novembre et al., 2005). In the equation, D is multiplied
- 548 by 2 because we assume that the allele originated in a single chromosome in a diploid individual. 549
- A is the area in square-kilometers of the grid cell where the allele emerged.  $E$ 
	- Asymptotic 95% confidence intervals for a given parameter  $\theta$ , were calculated using equation

$$
\hat{\theta}_j \pm 1.96 \sqrt{(F(\theta)^{-1})_{jj}}
$$

where  $F(\theta)$  is an estimate of the observed Fisher information matrix (*Fisher, 1922, Efron and Hastie,* 551 2016: Casella and Berger, 2021). 55:

#### Implementation 553

- The above described model was implemented in R version 3.6. To numerically solve the differential 554
- equations and obtain maximum likelihood estimates, we used the libraries *deSolve (Soetgert et gl.,* 555
- 2010b). ReacTran (Soetaert and Meysman. 2012) and bbmle (Bolker and R Development Core Team. **556**
- 2020). Scripts containing the code used in this paper are available on github: 557
- https://github.com/RasaMukti/stepadna

### **Indvidual-based simulations**

- For the individual-based spatiotemporal forward simulations, we first defined a spatial boundary EAO
- for a population spread across a broad geographic region of Europe. In order to ensure a rea-561
- sonably uniform distribution of individuals across this spatial range throughout the course of the **EA2**
- simulation, we set the maximum distance for spatial competition and mating choice between indi-563
- viduals to 250 km (translated, on a SLIM level, to the interaction parameter *maxDistance*), and the 564
- standard deviation of the normal distribution governing the spread of offspring from their parents **565**
- at 25 km (leveraged in SLiM's modifyChild() callback function) (Haller and Messer, 2019). We note  $54$
- that we have chosen the values of these parameters merely to ensure a uniform spread of individ-567
- uals across a simulated landscape. They are not intended to represent realistic estimates for these EAS
- parameters at any time in human history. 569
- After defining the spatial context of the simulations and ensuring the uniform spread of indi-570 viduals across their population boundary, we introduced a single beneficial additive mutation in a
- 571 single individual. In order to test how accurately our model can infer the parameters of interest, we
- 572 simulated a scenario in which the allele appeared in Central Europe 15,000 years ago with the selec-573
- tion coefficient of the beneficial mutation set to 0.03. Over the course of the simulation, we tracked 574
- the position of each individual that ever lived together with its location on a two-dimensional map, 575
- as well as its genotype (i.e. zero, one, or two copies of the beneficial allele). We then used this 576
- complete information about the spatial distribution of the beneficial allele in each time point to 577
- study the accuracy of our model in inferring the parameters of interest. 578

#### **Acknowledgments** 579

- We thank Graham Gower, Evan Irving-Pease, Montgomery Slatkin, the members of the Racimo  $50$
- group and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments and advice. FR and RM were funded by 581
- a Villum Fonden Young Investigator award to FR (project no, 00025300). MP and FR were supported Eo-
- by a Lundbeckfonden grant (R302-2018-2155) and a Novo Nordisk Fonden grant (NNF18SA0035006) 583
- to the GeoGenetics Centre. TSK was funded by a Carlsberg grant (CF19-0712). IN was funded by 584
- NIH grant R01 GM132383. 585

#### **Competing interests**  $= 0.6$

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. 587

#### **References** EQO

- Albers PK, McVean G. Dating genomic variants and shared ancestry in population-scale sequencing data. PLoS ERC biology. 2020; 18(1):e3000586. 590
- Allentoft ME, Sikora M, Siögren KG, Rasmussen S, Rasmussen M, Stenderup J, Damgaard PB, Schroeder H, 501 Ahlström T, Vinner L, et al. Population genomics of bronze age Eurasia. Nature. 2015; 522(7555):167-172. 592
- Alonso S, Izagirre N, Smith-Zubiaga I, Gardeazabal J, Díaz-Ramón JL, Díaz-Pérez IL, Zelenika D, Boyano MD. Smit 593
- N. De la Rúa C. Complex signatures of selection for the melanogenic loci TYR. TYRP1 and DCT in humans. 5QZ BMC evolutionary biology. 2008; 8(1):1-14. 505
- Bergström A, McCarthy SA, Hui R, Almarri MA, Ayub Q, Danecek P, Chen Y, Felkel S, Hallast P, Kamm J, et al. **ROA** Insights into human genetic variation and population history from 929 diverse genomes. Science. 2020; 597 367(6484). 598
- Bersaglieri T, Sabeti PC, Patterson N, Vanderploeg T, Schaffner SF, Drake JA, Rhodes M, Reich DE, Hirschhorn JN. 599
- Genetic signatures of strong recent positive selection at the lactase gene. The American Journal of Human 600 Genetics. 2004; 74(6):1111-1120. 601
- Bolker B. R Development Core Team, bbmle: Tools for General Maximum Likelihood Estimation: 2020, https: 602 //CRAN.R-project.org/package=bbmle. r package version 1.0.23.1. 603
- Bradburd GS, Ralph PL, Spatial population genetics: it's about time. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and 604 Systematics. 2019; . 605
- Bradburd GS, Ralph PL, Coop GM. A spatial framework for understanding population structure and admixture. 606 PLoS genetics, 2016; 12(1):e1005703. 607
- Brown PE, Roberts GO, Kåresen KF, Tonellato S. Blur-generated non-separable space-time models. Journal of 608 the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology). 2000; 62(4):847-860. 609
- Burger I, Kirchner M, Bramanti B, Haak W, Thomas MG, Absence of the lactase-persistence-associated allele 610 in early Neolithic Europeans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2007; 104(10):3736-3741. 611

> Burger I, Link V, Blöcher I, Schulz A, Sell C, Pochon Z, Diekmann Y, Žegarac A, Hofmanová Z, Winkelbach 612 L. Revna-Blanco CS. Bieker V. Orschiedt I. Brinker U. Scheu A. Leuenberger C. Bertino TS. Bollongino R. 613 Lidke G, Stefanović S, et al. Low Prevalence of Lactase Persistence in Bronze Age Europe Indicates Ongo-614

> ing Strong Selection over the Last 3,000 Years. Current Biology, 2020 Nov; 30(21):4307-4315.e13. https: 615

//www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960982220311878.doi: 10.1016/i.cub.2020.08.033 616

Byrd RH, Lu P, Nocedal J, Zhu C. A Limited Memory Algorithm for Bound Constrained Optimization. SIAM 617 Journal on Scientific Computing. 1995 Sep; 16(5):1190-1208. https://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/0916069. 618 doi: 10.1137/0916069, publisher: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. 619

Bélisle CJP. Convergence Theorems for a Class of Simulated Annealing Algorithms on Rd. Journal of Applied 620 Probability, 1992; 29(4):885-895. https://www.istor.org/stable/3214721, doi: 10.2307/3214721, publisher: 621

- Applied Probability Trust. 622
- Cantrell RS, Cosner C. Spatial ecology via reaction-diffusion equations. John Wiley & Sons; 2004. 623
- Casella G, Berger RL. Statistical inference. Cengage Learning; 2021. 624

Charati H, Peng MS, Chen W, Yang XY, Jabbari Ori R, Aghajanpour-Mir M, Esmailizadeh A, Zhang YP. The evolu-62E tionary genetics of lactase persistence in seven ethnic groups across the Iranian plateau. Human Genomics. 626 2019 Feb: 13(1):7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40246-019-0195-5. doi: 10.1186/s40246-019-0195-5. 627

Colin McEvedy RJ. Atlas of World Population History. Great Britain: Penguin Books Lyd. and Allen Lane; 1978. 628

Cressie N, Wikle CK. Statistics for spatio-temporal data. John Wiley & Sons; 2015. 629

Crow JF, Kimura M, et al. An introduction to population genetics theory. An introduction to population genetics 630 theory, 1970: 631

Dehasque M, Ávila-Arcos MC, Díez-del Molino D, Fumagalli M, Guschanski K, Lorenzen ED, Malaspinas AS, 632 Marques-Bonet T, Martin MD, Murray GG, et al. Inference of natural selection from ancient DNA. Evolu-633 tion Letters. 2020; 4(2):94-108. 634

Efron B, Hastie T. Computer age statistical inference, vol. 5. Cambridge University Press; 2016. 635

Enattah NS, Jensen TG, Nielsen M, Lewinski R, Kuokkanen M, Rasinpera H, El-Shanti H, Seo JK, Alifrangis M, 636 Khalil IF, et al. Independent introduction of two lactase-persistence alleles into human populations reflects 637 different history of adaptation to milk culture. The American Journal of Human Genetics, 2008; 82(1):57-72. 638

Enattah NS, Sahi T, Savilahti E, Terwilliger JD, Peltonen L, Järvelä I. Identification of a variant associated with 639 adult-type hypolactasia. Nature genetics. 2002; 30(2):233-237. 640

Ewens WI. Mathematical population genetics 1: theoretical introduction, vol. 27. Springer Science & Business 641 Media: 2012. 642

Fisher RA. On the mathematical foundations of theoretical statistics. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal So-643

ciety of London Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical or Physical Character. 1922; 222(594-604):309-644 368 645

Fisher RA. The wave of advance of advantageous genes. Annals of eugenics, 1937; 7(4):355-369. **646** 

Gallego Romero I, Basu Mallick C, Liebert A, Crivellaro F, Chaubey G, Itan Y, Metspalu M, Eaaswarkhanth M, 647

Pitchappan R, Villems R, Reich D, Singh L, Thangaraj K, Thomas MG, Swallow DM, Mirazón Lahr M, Kivisild T. 648

Herders of Indian and European Cattle Share Their Predominant Allele for Lactase Persistence. Molecular 649

Biology and Evolution. 2012 Jan; 29(1):249-260. https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ 650 molbev/msr190, doi: 10.1093/molbev/msr190. 651

Gamba C, Jones ER, Teasdale MD, McLaughlin RL, Gonzalez-Fortes G, Mattiangeli V, Domboróczki L, Kővári I, 652

Pap I, Anders A, et al. Genome flux and stasis in a five millennium transect of European prehistory. Nature 653 communications 2014: 5(1):1-9

Gerbault P. Moret C. Currat M. Sanchez-Mazas A. Impact of selection and demography on the diffusion of 655 lactase persistence. PLoS One. 2009; 4(7):e6369. 656

- Günther T. Malmström H. Svensson EM. Omrak A. Sánchez-Ouinto F. Kılınc GM. Krzewińska M. Eriksson G. 657
- Fraser M, Edlund H, et al. Population genomics of Mesolithic Scandinavia: Investigating early postglacial 658 **659**

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.21.453231; this version posted August 20, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is<br>(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted b

- Haak W. Lazaridis L. Patterson N. Rohland N. Mallick S. Llamas B. Brandt G. Nordenfelt S. Harney E. Stewardson 660
- K, et al. Massive migration from the steppe was a source for Indo-European languages in Europe. Nature, ga1 2015: 522(7555):207-211 662
- Hallatschek Q. Fisher DS. Acceleration of evolutionary spread by long-range dispersal. Proceedings of the 663 National Academy of Sciences, 2014; 111(46):E4911-E4919. 664
- Haller BC, Messer PW. SLIM 3: Forward Genetic Simulations Beyond the Wright-Fisher Model. Molecular 66E Biology and Evolution. 2019 Mar; 36(3):632-637. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy228, doi: 10.1093/mol-666 bev/msv228. 667
- Hermisson J, Pennings PS. Soft sweeps: molecular population genetics of adaptation from standing genetic 668 variation. Genetics. 2005: 169(4):2335-2352. 669
- Hermisson J. Pennings PS. Soft sweeps and beyond: understanding the patterns and probabilities of selection 670 footprints under rapid adaptation. Methods in Ecology and Evolution. 2017: 8(6):700-716. 671
- Hever E. Brazier L. Ségurel L. Hegay T. Austerlitz F. Quintana-Murci L. Georges M. Pasquet P. Veuille M. Lactase 672 persistence in central Asia: phenotype, genotype, and evolution. Human Biology. 2011 Jun; 83(3):379-392. 673 doi: 10.3378/027.083.0304 674
- Hindmarsh AC. ODEPACK, A Systematized Collection of ODE Solvers, Scientific Computing, 1983; p. 55-64. 675
- Hudjashov G, Villems R, Kivisild T. Global patterns of diversity and selection in human tyrosinase gene. PLoS 676 One. 2013: 8(9):e74307.  $-1$
- Irving-Pease EK, Muktupavela R, Dannemann M, Racimo F. Quantitative Paleogenetics: what can ancient DNA 678 tell us about complex trait evolution? arXiv preprint arXiv:210502754, 2021: 670
- Itan Y, Jones BL, Ingram CJ, Swallow DM, Thomas MG. A worldwide correlation of lactase persistence phenotype 680 and genotypes. BMC Evolutionary Biology. 2010 Feb; 10(1):36. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-36, doi: 681 10.1186/1471-2148-10-36. **682**
- Itan Y. Powell A. Beaumont MA. Burger I. Thomas MG. The Origins of Lactase Persistence in Europe. PLoS 683 Computational Biology. 2009 Aug; 5(8):e1000491. https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000491, doi: 684 10.1371/journal.pcbj.1000491. **AOE**
- Ju D, Mathieson I. The evolution of skin pigmentation associated variation in West Eurasia. bioRxiv. 2020; . 686
- Karlin S. Taylor H. A first course in Stochastic Processes. Academic Press. New York: 1975. 687
- Klopfstein S, Currat M, Excoffier L. The fate of mutations surfing on the wave of a range expansion. Molecular 688 biology and evolution. 2006: 23(3):482-490. 689
- Kolmogorov A, Petrovskii I, Piskunov N. A Study of the Diffusion Equation with Increase in the Amount of 690 Substance, and Its Application to a Biological Problem. Byull Moskov Univ Ser AMat Mekh. 1937; 1(6):1-26. 691
- Korneliussen TS. Albrechtsen A. Nielsen R. ANGSD: analysis of next generation sequencing data. BMC bioin-692 formatics 2014: 15(1):356 693
- Krüttli A. Bouwman A. Akgül G. Della Casa P. Rühli F. Warinner C. Ancient DNA analysis reveals high frequency 694 of European lactase persistence allele (T-13910) in medieval central europe. PLoS One, 2014: 9(1):e86251. 695
- Lao O, De Gruijter J, van Duijn K, Navarro A, Kayser M. Signatures of positive selection in genes associated with 696 human skin pigmentation as revealed from analyses of single nucleotide polymorphisms. Annals of human 697
- genetics. 2007; 71(3):354-369. **AOS**
- Li H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical 699 parameter estimation from sequencing data. Bioinformatics, 2011; 27(21):2987–2993.  $700$
- Liebert A, López S, Jones BL, Montalva N, Gerbault P, Lau W, Thomas MG, Bradman N, Maniatis N, Swallow 701 DM. World-wide distributions of lactase persistence alleles and the complex effects of recombination and 702 selection. Human Genetics. 2017 Nov: 136(11-12):1445-1453. doi: 10.1007/s00439-017-1847-y.  $703$
- Loog L, Lahr MM, Kovacevic M, Manica A, Eriksson A, Thomas MG. Estimating mobility using sparse data: Appli-704
- cation to human genetic variation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2017: 114(46):12213-705 12218. 706
- Malaspinas AS, Malaspinas O, Evans SN, Slatkin M. Estimating allele age and selection coefficient from time-707 serial data. Genetics. 2012: 192(2):599-607.  $709$
- Marchi N, Mennecier P, Georges M, Lafosse S, Hegay T, Dorzhu C, Chichlo B, Ségurel L, Heyer E. Close inbreeding 709 and low genetic diversity in Inner Asian human populations despite geographical exogamy. Scientific Reports, 710
- 2018 Jun: 8(1):9397. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-27047-3.  $711$
- Marciniak S, Perry GH. Harnessing ancient genomes to study the history of human adaptation. Nature Reviews 712 Genetics, 2017: 18(11):659.  $713$
- Margaryan A. Lawson Dl. Sikora M. Racimo F. Rasmussen S. Moltke I. Cassidy LM. Jørsboe E. Ingason A. Peder-714 sen MW, et al. Population genomics of the Viking world. Nature. 2020; 585(7825):390-396.  $715$
- Mathieson I. Estimating time-varying selection coefficients from time series data of allele frequencies. Genet-716 ics: 2020. 717
- Mathieson I. Lazaridis I. Rohland N. Mallick S. Patterson N. Roodenberg SA. Harney E. Stewardson K. Fernandes **718** D. Novak M. et al. Genome-wide patterns of selection in 230 ancient Eurasians. Nature. 2015; 528(7583):499-719
- 503 720
- Mathieson I. McVean G. Demography and the age of rare variants. PLoS Genet. 2014: 10(8):e1004528.  $721$
- Mathieson S, Mathieson I. FADS1 and the Timing of Human Adaptation to Agriculture. Molecular Biology 722 and Evolution. 2018 Dec: 35(12):2957-2970. https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article/35/12/2957/5112969, doi:  $723$ 10.1093/molbev/msv180. 724
- Nakagome S. Hudson RR. Rienzo AD. Inferring the model and onset of natural selection under varying popula-725
- tion size from the site frequency spectrum and haplotype structure. The Royal Society, 2019 Feb: 286(1896):8.  $726$
- NOAA BC National Geophysical Data Center, Data Announcement 88-MGG-02, , Digital relief of the Surface 727 of the Earth.; 1988. https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/etopo5.HTML, publisher: U.S. Department of 728 Commerce. 720
- Novembre I. Galvani AP. Slatkin M. The geographic spread of the CCR5 A32 HIV-resistance allele. PLoS Biol. 730 2005: 3(11):e339. 731
- Novembre J, Han E. Human population structure and the adaptive response to pathogen-induced selection 732 pressures. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2012; 367(1590):878-886. 733
- Okubo A et al. Diffusion and ecological problems: mathematical models 1980;  $\overline{220}$
- Othmer HG, Dunbar SR, Alt W. Models of dispersal in biological systems. Journal of mathematical biology, 735 1988: 26(3):263-298. 736
- Painter KJ, Hillen T. From Random Walks to Fully Anisotropic Diffusion Models for Cell and Animal Movement. 737 In: Cell Movement Springer; 2018.p. 103-141. 738
- Peter BM. Huerta-Sanchez E. Nielsen R. Distinguishing between Selective Sweeps from Standing Variation and 739 from a De Novo Mutation. PLOS Genetics. 2012 Oct; 8(10):e1003011. https://journals.plos.org/plosgenetics/ 740
- article?id=10.1371/journal.pgen.1003011, doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003011, publisher: Public Library of Sci-741
- **Ance** 742
- Petr M, bodkan/slendr; 2021. https://github.com/bodkan/slendr, original-date: 2021-02-18T15:07:15Z. 743
- Pickrell JK, Coop G, Novembre J, Kudaravalli S, Li JZ, Absher D, Srinivasan BS, Barsh GS, Myers RM, Feldman MW  $744$
- et al. Signals of recent positive selection in a worldwide sample of human populations. Genome research. 745 2009; 19(5):826-837. 746
- Platt A, Pivirotto A, Knoblauch J, Hey J. An estimator of first coalescent time reveals selection on young variants  $747$ and large heterogeneity in rare allele ages among human populations. PLoS genetics, 2019; 15(8):e1008340.  $745$
- Pritchard JK, Di Rienzo A. Adaptation-not by sweeps alone. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2010; 11(10):665-667.
- Racimo F, Sikora M, Vander Linden M, Schroeder H, Lalueza-Fox C. Beyond broad strokes: sociocultural insights 750 from the study of ancient genomes. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2020; 21(6):355-366. 751

- Racimo F, Woodbridge J, Fyfe RM, Sikora M, Sjögren KG, Kristiansen K, Vander Linden M. The spatiotempo-752 ral spread of human migrations during the European Holocene. Proceedings of the National Academy of  $753$
- Sciences, 2020: 117(16):8989-9000. 754
- Ralph P. Coop G. Parallel adaptation: one or many waves of advance of an advantageous allele? Genetics. 755 2010: 186(2):647-668. 756
- Ray N, Excoffier L. Inferring past demography using spatially explicit population genetic models. Human 757 Biology 2009: 81(3):141-157  $755$
- **DNA** Downloadable 759 Reich D. Mallick S. Allen Ancient Resource (AADR): genotypes present-day and **DNA** 2019  $\alpha$ f ancient data: https://reich.hms.harvard.edu/ 760 allen-ancient-dna-resource-aadr-downloadable-genotypes-present-day-and-ancient-dna-data. 761
- Sabeti PC, Varilly P, Fry B, Lohmueller J, Hostetter E, Cotsapas C, Xie X, Byrne EH, McCarroll SA, Gaudet R, 762 et al. Genome-wide detection and characterization of positive selection in human populations. Nature 763 2007; 449(7164): 913-918. 764
- Sabeti PC, Walsh E, Schaffner SF, Varilly P, Fry B, Hutcheson HB, Cullen M, Mikkelsen TS, Roy J, Patterson N, 765 Cooper R, Reich D, Altshuler D, O'Brien S, Lander ES. The Case for Selection at CCR5-32. PLoS Biology, 2005 766 Nov; 3(11). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1275522/, doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030378. 767
- Ségurel L. Bon C. On the evolution of lactase persistence in humans. Annual review of genomics and human 768  $769$ genetics, 2017; 18.
- Segurel L, Guarino-Vignon P, Marchi N, Lafosse S, Laurent R, Bon C, Fabre A, Hegay T, Heyer E. Why and when 770 was lactase persistence selected for? Insights from Central Asian herders and ancient DNA. PLoS Biology. 771 2020: 18(6):e3000742. 772
- Slatkin M. Rannala B. Estimating allele age. Annual review of genomics and human genetics, 2000; 1(1):225-773 249. 774
- Soetaert K, Meysman F. Reactive transport in aquatic ecosystems: Rapid model prototyping in the open source 775 software R. Environmental Modelling Software, 2012; 32:49-60.  $776$
- Soetaert K, Petzoldt T, Setzer RW. Solving Differential Equations in R: Package deSolve. Journal of 777 Statistical Software, 2010; 33(9):1-25. https://www.istatsoft.org/index.php/jss/article/view/v033i09, doi: 778 10.18637/jss.v033.i09. 779
- Soetaert K, Petzoldt T, Setzer RW. Solving Differential Equations in R: Package deSolve. Journal of Statistical 780 Software. 2010; 33(9):1-25. http://www.jstatsoft.org/v33/i09. doi: 10.18637/jss.v033.i09. 781
- Stephens JC, Reich DE, Goldstein DB, Shin HD, Smith MW, Carrington M, Winkler C, Huttley GA, Allikmets R, 782 Schriml L, et al. Dating the origin of the CCR5-A32 AIDS-resistance allele by the coalescence of haplotypes. 783
- The American Journal of Human Genetics. 1998; 62(6):1507-1515. 784
- Stern AJ, Wilton PR, Nielsen R. An approximate full-likelihood method for inferring selection and allele fre-785 quency trajectories from DNA sequence data. PLOS Genetics. 2019 Sep; 15(9):e1008384. https://dx.plos.org/ 786 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008384.doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008384. 787
- Szpak M, Xue Y, Ayub Q, Tyler-Smith C. How well do we understand the basis of classic selective sweeps in 788 .<br>humans? FEBS letters. 2019: 593(13):1431–1448. **780**
- Tishkoff SA, Reed FA, Ranciaro A, Voight BF, Babbitt CC, Silverman JS, Powell K, Mortensen HM, Hirbo JB, Osman  $700$
- M, et al. Convergent adaptation of human lactase persistence in Africa and Europe. Nature genetics. 2007: 791 39(1):31-40. 792
- Voight BF, Kudaravalli S, Wen X, Pritchard JK. A map of recent positive selection in the human genome. PLoS 793 Biol. 2006: 4(3):e72. 794
- Wikle CK, Zammit-Mangion A. Cressie N. Spatio-temporal Statistics with R. CRC Press: 2019. 795
- Wilde S, Timpson A, Kirsanow K, Kaiser E, Kayser M, Unterländer M, Hollfelder N. Potekhina ID. Schier W. 796 Thomas MG. Burger I. Direct evidence for positive selection of skin, hair, and eve pigmentation in Europeans 797
- during the last 5,000 y. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2014 Apr; 111(13):4832-4837. 798
- http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1316513111\_doi: 10.1073/pnas.1316513111. 799
- **Appendix** 800

### **Appendix 1**

802

803

80

 $801$ 

807

80

 $80<sub>6</sub>$ 

810

811

812

 $813$ 

814

815<br>816 817

Here, we motivate the construction of model C as a large scale limit of a random walk model on a lattice (Karlin and Taylor, 1975; Cantrell and Cosner, 2004). We think of the allele frequency as a variable  $p$  that can increase in magnitude due to its inherent advantage (selection), spread across a landscape (diffusion) or move directionally as a consequence of migration (advection). We imagine a lattice composed of small square cells of size  $\Delta x \times \Delta y$ , where a certain amount of allele frequency p can occur at a given time point t. At each small time step (of duration  $\Delta t$ ), inflow and outflow of p can occur in the x-direction with probability h or in the y-direction with probability 1-h, and the magnitude of these flows depend on the amount of  $p$  present in neighboring cells. If flow of  $p$  is along the x-axis, it does so in the positive direction with probability  $\alpha$  and in the negative direction with probability  $1 - \alpha$ . If flow of p is along the y-axis, it does so in the positive direction with probability  $\beta$  and in the negative direction with probability  $1 - \beta$ . The allele frequency can also increase in magnitude locally, via a function  $\gamma$ () that depends on its dominance (d), selection coefficient (s) and current magnitude  $(p(x, y, t))$ . Then, we obtain:

$$
p(x, y, t + \Delta t) = p(x, y, t) + \gamma (p(x, y, t), s, d)\Delta t +
$$
  
\n
$$
h\alpha p(x - \Delta x, y, t) + h(1 - \alpha)p(x + \Delta x, y, t) +
$$
  
\n
$$
(1 - h)\beta p(x, y - \Delta y, t) + (1 - h)(1 - \beta)p(x, y + \Delta, y, t)
$$
\n(8)

We can also write this as:

$$
p(x, y, t + \Delta t) - p(x, y, t) = h\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\right) [p(x + \Delta x, y, t) - p(x - \Delta x, y, t)] +
$$
  
\n
$$
(1 - h)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) [p(x, y + \Delta y, t) - p(x, y - \Delta y, t)] +
$$
  
\n
$$
h\frac{1}{2} [p(x + \Delta x, y, t) - 2p(x, y, t) + p(x + \Delta x, y, t)] +
$$
  
\n
$$
(1 - h)\frac{1}{2} [p(x, y + \Delta y, t) - 2p(x, y, t) + p(x, y + \Delta y, t)] +
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma(p(x, y, t), s, d)\Delta t
$$
 (9)

If we divide both sides by  $\Delta t$  and take the limit of infinitesimally small  $\Delta x$ ,  $\Delta y$  and  $\Delta t$ , while assuming that, in this limit,  $\frac{\Delta x^2}{\Delta t}$  and  $\frac{\Delta y^2}{\Delta t}$  are finite (*Okubo et al., 1980*), we obtain:

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} h \lambda_x \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - h) \lambda_y \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} + h(1 - 2\alpha) u_x \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + (1 - h)(1 - 2\beta) u_y \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \gamma (p(x, y, t), s, d)
$$
(10)

where  $u_x = \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t}$ ,  $u_y = \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta t}$ ,  $\lambda_x = \frac{\Delta x^2}{\Delta t}$ ,  $\lambda_y = \frac{\Delta y^2}{\Delta t}$ .<br>If we let  $\sigma_x^2 = h \lambda_{x}$ ,  $\sigma_y^2 = (1 - h)\lambda_{y}$ ,  $v_x = h(1 - 2\alpha)u_{x}$ ,  $v_y = (1 - h)(1 - 2\beta)u_{y}$ , then we obtain equation 4. Thus, we can see that the squared diffusion coefficient  $\sigma_x^2$  depends on the square of the length of the cells in the x-axis relative to the duration of a time step  $(\lambda_x)$ , and on the probability that flows occurs in the x-axis at a given time step  $(h)$ . Similarly, the squared diffusion coefficient  $\sigma_v^2$  depends on the square of the length of the cells in the y-axis relative to the duration of a time step  $(\lambda_v)$ , and on the probability that flows occurs in the y-axis at a given time step  $(1 - h)$ . The advection coefficient  $v<sub>x</sub>$  depends on the advective velocity along the x-axis  $(u<sub>x</sub>)$  as well as on the probability of flow occurring along the x-axis (h) and the directional bias  $1-2\alpha$ , which depends on the probability that flow occurs in the positive x-direction ( $\alpha$ ). Finally, the advection coefficient  $v_n$  depends on the advective velocity along the y-axis  $(u_n)$  as well as on the probability of flow occurring along the y-axis  $(1 - h)$  and the directional bias  $1 - 2\beta$ , which depends on the probability that flow occurs in the positive y-direction  $(\beta)$ .

 $84$ 



bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.21.453231; this version posted August 20, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bi

#### **Appendix 2** 84<sub>8</sub>



Figure A1. Maps showing areas where diffusion in the model is allowed (green) and where it is forbidden (blue). Figure a) map without land bridges. Figure b) map containing land bridges indicated with red circles.



Figure A2. Geographic locations for points used as potential origins of the allele at the initialization of the simulated annealing optimization algorithm. Note that, after initialization, the algorithm can continuously explore any points on the map grid that are not necessarily included in this point set



Figure A3. Log-likelihood as a function of selection coefficient and age of the allele. Dark blue regions correspond to optimal solutions.

	<b>Simulation</b>		$\sigma_x$ (km/gen)	$\sigma_{\rm v}$ (km/gen)	Long	Lat	
		<b>True/Pred</b>	<b>True/Pred</b>	<b>True/Pred</b>	<b>True/Pred</b>	<b>True/Pred</b>	
		(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)			
	Sample	0.02/0.0192	10/15.244	20/16.963	25/24	52/52	
	age	$(0.0187 -$	$(2.5042 -$	$(11.9263 -$			
<b>B1</b>	>5000	0.0196)	27.9828)	21.9993)			
	Sample	0.02/0.0027	10/8.805	20/97.432			
	age	$(0 -$	$(0.5631 -$	$(97.2566 -$			
	<5000	0.0074)	17.0468)	97.6081)			
	Sample	0.02/0.0193	10/15.348	30/20.427	25/25	52/51	
	age	$(0.0189 -$	$(0 -$	$(0 -$			
B <sub>2</sub>	>5000	0.0198)	95.5192)	51.514)			
	Sample	0.02/0.001	10/10.015	30/100			
	age	$(0 -$	$(1.6837 -$	(99.9933-			
	<5000	0.0059	18.3472)	100.0067)			
	Sample	0.02/0.0196	10/8.149	40/49.432	25/24	52/51	
	age	$(0.0191 -$	$(6.1551 -$	$(0 -$			
B <sub>3</sub>	>5000	0.02)	10.143)	135.9428)			
	Sample	0.02/0.0265	10/7.855	40/100			
	age	$(0.0145 -$	$(0 -$	(99.9933-			
	<5000	0.0386)	19.751)	100.0067)			
	Sample	0.02/0.0188	20/19.037	10/19.254	25/25	52/51	
	age	$(0.0188 -$	$(19.0311 -$	$(19.2439 -$			
<b>B4</b>	>5000	0.0188)	19.0435)	19.2638)			
	Sample	0.02/0.0142	20/17.354	10/1.489			
	age	$(0.0111 -$	$(4.4083 -$	$(0 -$			
	<5000	0.0173)	30.2991)	18.5993)			
	Sample	0.02/0.0196	30/26.409	10/11.429	25/27	52/51	
	age	$(0.019 -$	$(11.1997 -$	$(7.1825 -$			
<b>B5</b>	>5000	0.0202)	41.6184)	15.6759)			
	Sample	0.02/0.0215	30/14.3	10/1.554			
	age	$(0.0183 -$	$(0 -$	$(0 -$			
	<5000	0.0246	68.176)	16.5985)			
	Sample	0.02/0.0199	40/85.415	10/9.02	25/6	52/51	
	age	$(0.0192 -$	$(41.6058 -$	$(7.2853 -$			
<b>B6</b>	>5000	0.0206)	129.2248)	10.7538)			
	Sample	0.02/0.0163	40/10.403	10/22.623			
	age	$(0.0112 -$	$(0 -$	$(12.0841 -$			
	<5000	0.0213)	22.533)	33.1614)			

Table A1. Parameter values used to generate simulations using numerical solutions to equation 3, compared to parameter estimates assuming model B. The age of the allele was set to 29,000 years in all simulations. Columns named "Long" and "Lat" indicate the longitude and latitude of the geographic origin of the allele, respectively.



Table A2. Parameter values used to generate simulations using numerical solutions to equation 4, compared to parameter estimates assuming model C. The age of the allele was set to 29,000 years in all simulations. Columns named "Long" and "Lat" indicate the longitude and latitude of the geographic origin of the allele, respectively.



Table A3. Parameter value estimates for each of the 9 clustering schemes and true parameter values used to generate the deterministic simulation. The age of the allele was set to 17,400 years. Columns named "Long" and "Lat" indicate the longitude and latitude of the geographic origin of the allele, respectively.



Table A4. Parameter values estimated using model C for the forward simulation created using SLIM. Columns named "Long" and "Lat" indicate the longitude and latitude of the geographic origin of the allele, respectively.



Table A5. Summary of parameter estimates for rs4988235(T). The upper two rows correspond to results obtained assuming the allele age to be the point estimate from Itan et al. (2009): 7,441 years ago. The middle two rows and the bottom two rows show results assuming the age to be either the lower or the higher ends of the allele age's 95% confidence interval from Itan et al. (2009). Columns named "Long" and "Lat" indicate the longitude and latitude of the geographic origin of the allele, respectively.

	s (95% CI)	$\sigma_{\rm r}$ (km/gen) (95% CI)	$\sigma_{\rm v}$ (km/gen) (95% CI)	$v_{r}$ (km/gen) (95% CI)	$v_{\rm v}$ (km/gen) (95% CI)	Long	Lat	Allele age (years)
Sample	0.0285	1.25	44.619	$-0.177$	1.925	32	66	20106
age	$(0.0285 -$	$(1.2492 -$	(44.5944-	$(-0.1773 -$	$(1.9247 -$			
>5000	0.0285)	1.25)	44.6445)	$-0.1771$	1.9262)			
Sample	0.0255	92.545	87.545	$-2.499$	$-2.271$			
age	$(0.0252 -$	$(91.6963 -$	$(85.3525 -$	$(-2.4992 -$	(-2.4127–			
$<$ 5000	0.0258	93.3941)	89.7369)	$-2.4989$	$-2.1297$			

Table A6. Parameter estimates for rs4988235(T) using the allele age inferred in Albers and McVean (2020). Columns named "Long" and "Lat" indicate the longitude and latitude of the geographic origin of the allele, respectively.



Table A7. Summary of parameter estimates for rs1042602(A). Upper two rows corresponds to model fit when allele age is set to be the point estimate Albers and McVean (2020): 26,367 years ago. The middle two rows and the bottom two rows show results assuming the age to be either the lower or the higher ends of the allele age's 95% confidence interval from Albers and McVean (2020). Columns named "Long" and "Lat" indicate the longitude and latitude of the geographic origin of the allele, respectively.

	S (95% CI)	$\sigma_{r}$ (km/gen) (95% CI)	$\sigma_{\rm v}$ (km/gen) (95% CI)	$v_{r}$ (km/gen) (95% CI)	$v_{\rm v}$ (km/gen) (95% CI)	Long	Lat
Sample age >5000	0.0993 $(0.0993 -$ 0.0993)	20.293 $(15.5643 -$ 25.0226)	15.642 $(9.9963 -$ 21.2871)	$-0.575$ $(-0.8055 -$ $-0.3446$	0.435 $(0.319 -$ 0.5512)	43	51
Sample age <5000	0.0328 $(0.0327 -$ 0.0329	94.901 $(94.2585 -$ 95.5435)	85.612 $(84.6975 -$ 86.526)	$-1.211(-$ 1.2197- $-1.2019$	$-2.5$ $(-2.5136 -$ $-2.4855$		
Sample age >5000 Sample	0.0985 $(0.0985 -$ 0.0985) 0.0413	3.103 $(3.1027 -$ 3.1031) 96.029	44.876 $(44.8747 -$ 44.8768) 85.711	0.354 $(0.3537 -$ 0.3537) $-2.5$	$-0.663$ $(-0.6634 -$ $-0.6633)$ $-1.318$	33	51
age <5000	$(0.0411 -$ 0.0415)	(95.8493- 96.2087)	$(83.6634 -$ 87.7594)	$(-2.5002 -$ $-2.4998$	$(-1.46 -$ $-1.1764)$		
Sample age >5000	0.0979 $(0.0978 -$ 0.0979)	70.388 $(70.3697 -$ 70.4065)	2.628 $(2.6271 -$ 2.6286)	$-2.328$ $(-2.3286 -$ $-2.3276$	1.216 $(1.2159 -$ 1.2164)	53	51
Sample age <5000	0.0376 $(0.0374 -$ 0.0377	3.705 $(1.9497 -$ 5.4607)	77.019 $(74.9065 -$ 79.1311)	$-2.413$ $(-2.4174 -$ $-2.4084$	$-2.5$ $(-2.4999 -$ $-2.4995$		
Sample age >5000	0.0991 $(0.0991 -$ 0.0992)	1.218 $(1.218 -$ 1.2183)	15.127 $(15.1256 -$ 15.1287)	$-0.781$ $(-0.781 -$ $-0.7807$	2.452 $(2.452 -$ 2.4526)	43	61
Sample age <5000	0.0359 $(0.0357 -$ 0.0361)	96.836 $(96.6538 -$ 97.0183)	86.616 (83.9434- 89.2891)	$-2.499$ $(-2.4994 -$ $-2.499$	$-2.219$ $(-2.3368 -$ $-2.1009$		
Sample age >5000	0.0999 $(0.0999 -$ 0.0999)	27.442 $(27.4385 -$ 27.4464)	11.879 $(11.8781 -$ 11.8801)	$-1.582$ $(-1.5824 -$ $-1.582)$	$-1.638$ $(-1.6382 -$ $-1.638$	43	41
Sample age <5000	0.0355 $(0.0353 -$ 0.0357)	97.044 $(96.8637 -$ 97.2236)	86.223 $(83.4533 -$ 88.992)	$-2.499$ $(-2.4996 -$ $-2.4992$	$-2.148$ $(-2.2811 -$ 2.0141)		

Table A8. Summary of parameter estimates for rs4988235(T) when the origin of the allele is forced to be at different points in the map (top panel corresponds to the original fit for the geographic position). In all cases, the estimated age of allele that was inputted into the model is 7,441 years ago. Columns named "Long" and "Lat" indicate the longitude and latitude of the geographic origin of the allele, respectively.

	s (95% CI)	$\sigma_{\rm v}$ (km/gen) (95% CI)	$\sigma_{\rm v}$ (km/gen) (95% CI)	υ. (km/gen) (95% CI)	$v_{\cdot}$ (km/gen) (95% CI)	Long	Lat	Allele age (years)
Sample	0.0989	9.341	3.264	2.338	$-0.21$	13	48	7441
age	$(0.0989 -$	(9.3402-	(3.2635–	$(2.3379 -$	$(-0.2098 -$			
>5000	0.0989	9.341)	3.2643	2.3381	$-0.2098$			
Sample	0.0358	97.086	87.043	$-2.434$	$-2.499$			
age	$(0.0357 -$	$(96.9059 -$	$(85.1968 -$	$(-2.4385 -$	$(-2.4994 -$			
$<$ 5000	0.036)	97.2657)	88.8895)	$-2.4294)$	$-2.499$			

Table A9. Parameter estimates for rs4988235(T) using the geographic origin of the allele inferred in Itan et al. (2009). Columns named "Long" and "Lat" indicate the longitude and latitude of the geographic origin of the allele, respectively.



Table A10. Summary of parameter estimates for rs1042602(A) when the origin of the allele is forced to be at different points in the map (top panel corresponds to the original fit for the geographic position). In all cases, the estimated age of allele that was inputted into the model is 26,367 years ago. Columns named "Long" and "Lat" indicate the longitude and latitude of the geographic origin of the allele, respectively.



Figure 1-Figure supplement 1. a) Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation B1. The green dot corresponds to the origin of the allele. The parameter values used to generate the frequency surface maps are summarised in Table A1. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 1-Figure supplement 2. a) Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation B2. The green dot corresponds to the origin of the allele. The parameter values used to generate the frequency surface maps are summarised in Table A1. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 1-Figure supplement 3. a) Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation B3. The green dot corresponds to the origin of the allele. The parameter values used to generate the frequency surface maps are summarised in Table A1. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 1-Figure supplement 4. a) Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation B4. The green dot corresponds to the origin of the allele. The parameter values used to generate the frequency surface maps are summarised in Table A1. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 1-Figure supplement 5. a) Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for simulation B6. The green dot corresponds to the origin of the allele. The parameter values used to generate the frequency surface maps are summarised in Table A1. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 1-Figure supplement 6. a) Comparison of true allele frequency dynamics for simulation B1 and those inferred by the model C. The green dot shows the origin of the derived allele and the cross represents the location of the first individual that carried it. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 1-Figure supplement 7. a) Comparison of true allele frequency dynamics for simulation B4 and those inferred by the model C. The green dot corresponds to the origin of the allele and the cross represents the first sample having the derived variant. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 2-Figure supplement 1. a) Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for one of the simulations including advection (C1). The green dot corresponds to the origin of the allele. The parameter values used to generate the frequency surface maps are summarised in Table A2. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 2-Figure supplement 2. a) Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for one of the simulations including advection (C2). The green dot corresponds to the origin of the allele. The parameter values used to generate the frequency surface maps are summarised in Table A2. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 2-Figure supplement 3. a) Comparison of true and inferred allele frequency dynamics for one of the simulations including advection (C3). The green dot corresponds to the origin of the allele. The parameter values used to generate the frequency surface maps are summarised in Table A2. b) Comparison of true parameter values and model estimates. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 3-Figure supplement 1. Examples of spatial sampling scenarios for each of the three clustering schemes. We chose five locations and increasingly restricted the area where we allowed the individuals to be sampled. a) Map showing homogeneous sampling scheme in which we did not impose any spatial restrictions of individuals sampled. b) Intermediate sampling scheme with the region restricted to 7 degrees in each cardinal direction from each of the chosen locations c) Extreme sampling scheme with the sampling region restricted to 2 degrees in each cardinal direction from the chosen locations.



































Figure 4-Figure supplement 1. Distribution of individuals across the map under neutrality, showing the tendency of individuals to cluster together.























Figure 6-Figure supplement 6. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T) forcing the geographic origin of the allele to be at the location inferred in Itan et al. (2009).



Figure 6-Figure supplement 7. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T) assuming the allele age to be the lower end of the 95% credible interval for the allele age inferred in Itan et al. (2009).



Figure 6-Figure supplement 8. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs4988235(T) assuming the allele age to be the higher end of the 95% credible interval for the allele age inferred in Itan et al. (2009).



Figure 6-Figure supplement 9. Log-likelihood values for model runs using different ages of the rs4988235(T) allele as input, with the age inferred by Itan et al. (2009) we use as fixed input highlighted in red.















Figure 8-Figure supplement 4. Inferred frequency dynamics of rs1042602(A) assuming the allele age to be the lower end of the 95% confidence interval for the allele age inferred in Albers and McVean (2020).



Figure 8-Figure supplement 5. Frequency dynamics of rs1042602(A) assuming the allele age to be the higher end of the 95% confidence interval for the allele age inferred in Albers and McVean  $(2020).$ 



Figure 8-Figure supplement 6. Log-likelihood values for model runs using different ages of the rs1042602(A) allele as input, with the age inferred by Albers and McVean (2020) we use as fixed input highlighted in red.