

## Crystal structure of the monoglycidyl ether of isoeugenol

Hélène Cattey, Gilles Boni, Sylvie Pourchet, Laurent Plasseraud

### ▶ To cite this version:

Hélène Cattey, Gilles Boni, Sylvie Pourchet, Laurent Plasseraud. Crystal structure of the monoglycidyl ether of isoeugenol. Acta crystallographica Section E: Crystallographic communications [2015-..], 2022, 78 (10), pp.1052-1055. 10.1107/S2056989022009264 . hal-03795465

# HAL Id: hal-03795465 https://hal.science/hal-03795465

Submitted on 4 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





# Crystal structure of the monoglycidyl ether of isoeugenol

### Hélène Cattey, Gilles Boni, Sylvie Pourchet and Laurent Plasseraud

Acta Cryst. (2022). E78, 1052–1055



IUCr Journals CRYSTALLOGRAPHY JOURNALS ONLINE

This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are cited.



### research communications



ISSN 2056-9890

Received 27 July 2022 Accepted 19 September 2022

Edited by G. Diaz de Delgado, Universidad de Los Andes, Venezuela

Keywords: crystal structure: oxirane: phenylpropene; bio-based molecule; isoeugenol derivative.

CCDC reference: 2208338

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Published under a CC BY 4.0 licence

### Crystal structure of the monoglycidyl ether of isoeugenol

### Hélène Cattey,\* Gilles Boni, Sylvie Pourchet and Laurent Plasseraud\*

ICMUB CNRS UMR 6302, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Faculté des Sciences, 9 avenue Alain Savary, 21000 Dijon, France. \*Correspondence e-mail: hcattey@u-bourgogne.fr, Laurent.Plasseraud@u-bourgogne.fr

The title compound, C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [GE-isoEu; systematic name: 2-({2-methoxy-4-[(E)-1-propen-1-y] phenoxy methyl) oxirane], which crystallizes in the triclinic  $P\overline{1}$  space group, was synthesized in one step from *iso*-eugenol, a bio-based phenylpropanoid, with an excess of epichlorohydrin. Colourless prismatic crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from a mixture of ethyl acetate and cyclohexane, during purification by column chromatography on silica gel. GE-isoEu, which corresponds to the *trans* isomer of the monoglycidyl ether of *iso*-eugenol, is based on a 1,2,4-trisubstituted benzene ring by diglycidyl ether, methoxy and 1-(E)-propenyl groups, respectively. In the crystal, molecules are organized through offset  $\pi$ -stacking interactions. Chemically, GE-isoEu constitutes an intermediate in the synthesis protocol of 2-[3-methoxy-4-(2-oxiranylmethoxy)phenyl]-3-methyloxirane (GEEp-isoEu), a diepoxydized monomer used in the manufacturing of thermosetting resins and intended for the elaboration of bio-composites.

#### 1. Chemical context

The bisphenol A diglycidyl ether molecule, also called BADGE or DGEBA, is the main building block used for the formulation of commercial epoxy resins (Mohan, 2013). Synthetically, this reagent is directly produced from 2,2-bis(4hydroxyphenyl)propane (bisphenol A), derived from petroleum resources (phenol) and recognized as being an endocrine disruptor (Fenichel et al., 2013). With the aim of designing more sustainable synthetic routes and alternatives to fossil resources, some of the molecules derived from biomass and in particular phenylpropanoids isolated from the fragmentation of lignin, are considered as potential building blocks to replace bisphenol A and its derivatives (Auvergne et al., 2014). This is particularly the case of the iso-eugenol molecule generally used in the composition of many perfumes and which can be also transformed into a diepoxidized monomer, 2-[3-methoxy-4-(2-oxiranylmethoxy)phenyl]-3-methyloxirane (GEEpisoEu), well-suited to epoxy thermosetting applications and exhibiting comparable thermomechanical properties to DGEBA (François et al., 2016, 2017). The preparation of GEEp-isoEu involves a two-step synthesis via firstly the formation of the title compound as a synthesis intermediate. We report herein the X-ray crystal structure of GE-isoEu [systematic name: 2-({2-methoxy-4-[(E)-1-propen-1-yl]phenoxy}methyl)oxirane], which crystallizes upon its purification from a mixture of cyclohexane and ethyl acetate.

### research communications



#### 2. Structural commentary

The title compound exhibits an asymmetrical structure, which is depicted in Fig. 1. GE-isoEu comprises a benzene ring substituted by two oxygenated functional groups, glycidyl ether  $[-OCH_2C_2H_3O]$  and methoxy  $[-OCH_3]$ , and one 1-(E)propenyl side chain [-HC=CHCH<sub>3</sub>] located in *meta* and *para* positions to the methoxy and glycidyl ether functions, respectively. The aromatic ring is planar with mean bond lengths and angles of 1.392 (3) Å and 120.13 (17)°. While the -OCH<sub>3</sub> and -HC=CHCH<sub>3</sub> groups are in the plane of the benzene ring,  $-C_2H_3O$  is out of the plane with an angle of  $52.83 (14)^{\circ}$ . The oxirane ring (C1/C2/O1) of the glycidyl ether group does not undergo any disorder, in contrast to what is frequently observed for diglycidyl ether derivatives (Cho et al., 1999; Flippen-Anderson & Gilardi, 1981). The double-bond distance of the 1-(E)-propenyl side chain was determined as 1.315 (3) Å. This length is consistent with those described in the literature for similar compounds (Stomberg et al., 1993; Stomberg & Lundquist, 1995).

### 3. Supramolecular features

The most significant supramolecular interaction observed in the crystal consists of offset  $\pi$ -stacking involving aromatic rings of **GE-isoEu** (Fig. 2 – red dotted lines). The interplanar and the centroid-to-centroid distances between parallel molecules are 3.456 (2) and 4.5931 (5) Å, respectively. The important difference between these two distances indicates that the benzene rings are strongly slipped. The slip angle (angle between the normal to the planes and the centroidcentroid vector) is 41.20° corresponding to a slippage distance of 3.025 (3) Å (these high values can nevertheless be consid-









*Mercury* representation (Macrae *et al.*, 2020; colour code: C dark grey, O red; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity) showing intermolecular interactions in the crystal structure of the title compound with hydrogen bonding (blue dotted line, C-H···O distance) and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking (red dotted line, centroid-centroid distance).

ered as limit values). Typically, for such interactions, the interplanar distance between the arene planes is found around 3.3 to 3.8 Å (Janiak, 2000). In addition, molecules of **GE-isoEu** also interact in pairs, forming dimers, *via* non-classical intermolecular hydrogen bonds involving the methyl groups of the methoxy substituents, with the oxygen atoms of the ether  $[C10-H10A\cdots O2 = 3.537 (2) \text{ Å}, 167^{\circ}]$  and methoxy functions  $[C10-H10A\cdots O3 = 3.405 (2) \text{ Å}, 132^{\circ}]$  (Fig. 2 -- blue dotted lines). These supramolecular interactions result in a propagation of stacks of molecules oriented along the *a*-axis (Fig. 3).

### 4. Database survey

A search in the Cambridge Structural Database (WebCSD v1.1.2, update 2022-03-05; Groom *et al.*, 2016), highlighted that, up to now, seventeen crystal structures comprising glycidyl ether-substituted phenyl ring moieties have been



#### Figure 3

Stacking representation of **GE-isoEu** viewed down the *a* axis (colour code: C dark grey, O red, H white; *Mercury* representation; Macrae *et al.*, 2020).

### research communications

reported. They include (S)-1-(2-chloro-5-methylphenoxy)-2,3epoxypropane (CIKQIZ: Bredikhin et al., 2018), 2,2-bis-(3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxybenzene)propane diglycidyl ether (COMNEX: Saf'yanov et al., 1984), 2,2-bis(4-(oxiran-2-ylmethoxy)-3,5-dibromophenyl)propane (COMNEY: Cheban et al., 1985), rac-1,2-epoxy-3-(2-methoxyphenyloxy)propane (DAXKAP: Bredikhin et al., 2005), diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A (DGEBPA: Flippen-Anderson & Gilardi, 1980; (DGEBPA01: Heinemann et al., 1993; DGEBPA10: Flippen-Anderson & Gilardi, 1981), p-di(2,3-epoxypropyloxy)benzene (EOXHQE: Saf'yanov et al., 1977), 2,2'-[1,3-phenylene-bis-(oxymethylene)]bis(oxirane) (FITWOU: Bocelli & Grenier-Loustalot, 1987), 1,2-epoxy-3-(2-cyanophenoxy)propane (JESHOF: Bredikhin, et al., 2006), 2-[(4-{3-[4-(oxiran-2ylmethoxy)phenyl]tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]decan-1-yl}phenoxy)methyl]oxirane (LANRUQ: Wang et al., 2017), 2-(4-{4-[4-(oxiran-2-ylmethoxy)phenoxy]phenyl}phenoxymethyl)oxirane (LAQTII: Song et al., 2012), 10-[2,5-bis(2,3-epoxy-1propoxy)phenyl]-9-oxa-10-phosphaphenanthren-10-one (LIPSOS: Cho et al., 1999), 3,7-dimethoxy-2-[4-methoxy-3-(oxiran-2-ylmethoxy)phenyl]-5-(oxiran-2-ylmethoxy)-4Hchromen-4-one (ORASAD: Kristufek et al., 2016), 2,2'-{methylenebis[(2,1-phenylene)oxymethylene]}bis(oxirane) [PALQUS: Liu et al., 2021), 2-(N-methoxyethanimidoyl)-5-(oxiran-2-ylmethoxy)benzonitrile (SIJZIW: Gong et al., 2013); 2-({3-methoxy-4-[(oxiran-2-yl)methoxy]phenyl}methyl)oxirane (WASCIF: Vigier et al., 2017), 4-(oxiran-2-ylmethoxy)benzoic acid (ZEPYUQ: Obreza & Perdih, 2012). To the best of our knowledge, the structure of GE-isoEu based on a benzene ring tri-substituted by glycidyl ether, methoxy and 1-propenyl functions is new. In terms of application, several of these compounds are targeted as synthesis intermediates or precursors devoted to the formulation of thermosetting resins. The polymerization process involves the epoxy rings of molecules and occurs in the presence of hardeners (such as amines or acid anhydrides), leading to the cross-linking of infusible polymer networks.

### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was prepared in one step from a commercial source of natural isoeugenol (mixture of *cis/trans*, 99% purity, Sigma-Aldrich) according to a previously reported protocol (François *et al.*, 2016). The details of the synthesis of the title compound are summarized in Fig. 4. *iso*-Eugenol (10.0 g, 60.9 mmol) was added to an ethanolic sodium hydroxide solution (2.7 g, 66.6 mmol of NaOH in 30 mL of



Figure 4 Synthesis protocol and reaction conditions leading to GE-isoEu.

Table	1	
Experi	mental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{13}H_{16}O_3$
$M_{\rm r}$	220.26
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	150
a, b, c (Å)	4.5931 (5), 8.9134 (9), 15.0764 (18)
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)	74.808 (3), 85.309 (4), 77.430 (3)
$V(Å^3)$	581.18 (11)
Z	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.09
Crystal size (mm)	$0.5\times0.15\times0.15$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker Kappa APEXII
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et
*	al., 2015)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.668, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and	17290, 2647, 1865
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R <sub>int</sub>	0.038
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} ( \text{\AA}^{-1} )$	0.650
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.049, 0.128, 1.03
No. of reflections	2647
No. of parameters	147
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.33, -0.19

Computer programs: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2020), *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016), *SHELXT2014/5* (Sheldrick, 2015*a*), *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*) and *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

EtOH), then followed by the addition of an excess of epichlorohydrin (19.1 mL, 22.5 g, 243.6 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 353 K over 3 h under constant stirring. 70 mL of toluene were then added at room temperature. After cannula filtration, the filtrate was washed with distilled water  $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$  and brine  $(1 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ , and finally dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After complete evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was then further purified by column chromatography using a mixture of cyclohexane/ethyl acetate (3:1, v/v) as eluent to give a white solid characterized as the monoglycidyl ether of *iso*-eugenol (6.2 g, yield 46%). Crystals of the *trans* isomer of **GE-isoEu** suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained during the purification by column chromatography by evaporation of the eluting solvents at room temperature. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.90–6.82 (m, 3H, aryl), 6.08 (dq, J = 6.6 and 15.7 Hz, 1H, CH-propenyl),6.33 (dd, J = 1.6 and 15.7 Hz, 1H, CH-propervl), 4.21 (dd, J =11.4 and 3.6 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.03 (*dd*, *J* = 11.4 and 5.5 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.88 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.38 (m, 1H, CH-oxirane), 2.89  $(dd, J = 5.1 \text{ and } 4.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, CH_2\text{-oxirane}), 2.73 (dd, J = 5.0 \text{ and})$ 2.7 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>-oxirane),  $\delta$  1.86 (*dd*, J = 1.6 and 6.6 Hz, 3H,  $CH_3$ ); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  149.6, 147.1, 132.2, 130.5, 124.2, 118.6, 114.2, 109.1, 70.3, 55.8, 50.2, 45.0, 18.4; IR (ATR): 3023, 3000, 2957, 2937, 2913, 2878, 2842, 1601, 1582, 1509, 1464, 1450, 1419, 1259, 1226, 1195, 1158, 1135, 1024, 961, 908, 857, 805, 778, 759, 734, 621, 612, 569, 464 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Analysis calculated for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 69.67; H, 6.11. Found: C, 70.28; H, 7.57.

### 6. Refinement details

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. All H atoms on carbon and oxygen atoms were placed at calculated positions using a riding model with C-H = 0.95 Å (aromatic) or 0.99 Å (methylene group) with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$  and C-H = 0.98 Å (methyl group) with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(H)$ .

### Acknowledgements

The authors are also grateful for general and financial support from the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS-France) and the University of Bourgogne Franche-Comté. Dr Pawin Boonyaporn – Advanced Biochemical (Thailand) Co, Ltd (ABT) – is thanked for a generous donation of a sample of bio-based epichlorohydrin (Epicerol®).

#### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: Bio-Based Industries Joint Undertaking (BBI JU) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant No. 744349).

#### References

- Auvergne, R., Caillol, S., David, G., Boutevin, B. & Pascault, J.-P. (2014). Chem. Rev. 114, 1082–1115.
- Bocelli, G. & Grenier-Loustalot, M.-F. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 1221– 1223.
- Bredikhin, A. A., Bredikhina, Z. A., Kurenkov, A. V., Zakharychev, D. V. & Gubaidullin, A. T. (2018). *J. Mol. Struct.* **1173**, 157–165.
- Bredikhin, A. A., Bredikhina, Z. A., Zakharychev, D. V., Akhatova, F. S., Krivolapov, D. B. & Litvinov, I. A. (2006). *Mendeleev Commun.* 16, 245–247.
- Bredikhin, A. A., Strunskaya, E. I., Zakharychev, D. V., Krivolapov, D. B., Litvinov, I. A. & Bredikhina, Z. A. (2005). *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, **16**, 3361–3366.
- Bruker (2016). SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2020). APEX3. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cheban, I. M., Simonov, I. A., Rotaru, V. K. & Malinovskii, T. I. (1985). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **283**, 621–624.
- Cho, C.-S., Liau, W.-B. & Chen, L.-W. (1999). Acta Cryst. B55, 525– 529.

- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **42**, 339–341.
- Fenichel, P., Chevalier, N. & Brucker-Davis, F. (2013). Ann. Endocrinol. (Paris), 74, 211–220.
- Flippen-Anderson, J. L. & Gilardi, R. (1980). ACA, ser. 2. 8, 36a.
- Flippen-Anderson, J. L. & Gilardi, R. (1981). Acta Cryst. B37, 1433– 1435.
- François, C., Pourchet, S., Boni, G., Fontaine, S., Gaillard, Y., Placet, V., Galkin, M. V., Orebom, A., Samec, J. & Plasseraud, L. (2016). *RSC Adv.* 6, 68732–68738.
- François, C., Pourchet, S., Boni, G., Rautiainen, S., Samec, S., Fournier, L., Robert, C., Thomas, C. M., Fontaine, S., Gaillard, Y., Placet, V. & Plasseraud, L. (2017). C. R. Chim. 20, 1006–1016.
- Gong, T.-J., Xiao, B., Cheng, W.-M., Su, W., Xu, J., Liu, Z.-J., Liu, L. & Fu, Y. (2013). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 10630–10633.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Heinemann, F., Hartung, H. & Derling, S. (1993). Z. Kristallogr. 207, 299–301.
- Janiak, C. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3885-3896.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Kristufek, S. L., Yang, G., Link, L. A., Rohde, B. J., Robertson, M. L. & Wooley, K. L. (2016). *ChemSusChem*, 9, 2135–2142.
- Liu, K.-T., Chuang, J.-Y., Jeng, R.-J. & Leung, M.-K. (2021). ACS Omega, 6, 27279–27287.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 53, 226–235.
- Mohan, P. (2013). Polym. Plast. Technol. Eng. 52, 107–125.
- Obreza, A. & Perdih, F. (2012). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 53, 802-808.
- Saf'yanov, Y. N., Bochkova, T. N., Golovachev, V. P. & Kuz'min, E. A. (1977). Zh. Struk. Khim. 18, 402–405.
- Saf'yanov, Y. N., Golovachev, V. P. & Kuz'min, E. A. (1984). Zh. Struk. Khim. 25, 156–157.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Song, T., Liu, J.-G. & Yang, S.-Y. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, 0719.
- Stomberg, R. & Lundquist, K. (1995). Z. Krystallogr. 210, 66-67.
- Stomberg, R., Lundquist, K. & Wallis, A. F. A. (1993). J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 23, 317–331.
- Vigier, J., François, C., Pourchet, S., Boni, G., Plasseraud, L., Placet, V., Fontaine, S. & Cattey, H. (2017). Acta Cryst. E73, 694–697.
- Wang, S.-J., Jing, X.-L., Meng, Y.-D. & Jia, Q.-X. (2017). Chin. J. Struc. Chem. 36, 396–400.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2022). E78, 1052-1055 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989022009264]

Crystal structure of the monoglycidyl ether of isoeugenol

### Hélène Cattey, Gilles Boni, Sylvie Pourchet and Laurent Plasseraud

### **Computing details**

Data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2020); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT2014/5* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

Z = 2

F(000) = 236

 $\theta = 2.5 - 26.6^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 K

PRISM, colourless

 $0.5\times0.15\times0.15~mm$ 

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.259 {\rm Mg m^{-3}}$ 

Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 5145 reflections

2-[2-Methoxy-4-(prop-1-en-1-yl)phenoxymethyl]oxirane

### Crystal data

 $C_{13}H_{16}O_3$   $M_r = 220.26$ Triclinic, *P*1 *a* = 4.5931 (5) Å *b* = 8.9134 (9) Å *c* = 15.0764 (18) Å *a* = 74.808 (3)° *β* = 85.309 (4)° *γ* = 77.430 (3)° *V* = 581.18 (11) Å<sup>3</sup>

### Data collection

Bruker kappa APEXII diffractometer	$T_{\min} = 0.668, T_{\max} = 0.746$ 17290 measured reflections
Radiation source: X-ray tube, Siemens KFF Mo	2647 independent reflections
2K-180	1865 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.038$
Detector resolution: 8.3 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.4^{\circ}$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$h = -5 \rightarrow 5$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)	$l = -19 \rightarrow 19$
Refinement	

Refinement on $F^2$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$
$wR(F^2) = 0.128$
<i>S</i> = 1.03
2647 reflections
147 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0417P)^2 + 0.3763P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.33$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.19$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}*/U_{ m eq}$
O3	0.5215 (3)	0.37762 (14)	0.60436 (8)	0.0300 (3)
O2	0.8022 (3)	0.53432 (15)	0.67363 (9)	0.0327 (3)
01	0.7925 (3)	0.88375 (17)	0.59690 (12)	0.0498 (4)
C5	0.6121 (4)	0.3053 (2)	0.69197 (11)	0.0234 (4)
C6	0.5628 (4)	0.1597 (2)	0.74236 (12)	0.0262 (4)
H6	0.457467	0.102195	0.715929	0.031*
C4	0.7670 (4)	0.3919 (2)	0.73067 (12)	0.0247 (4)
C7	0.6660 (4)	0.0952 (2)	0.83221 (12)	0.0307 (4)
C9	0.8683 (4)	0.3293 (2)	0.81886 (13)	0.0322 (4)
Н9	0.973015	0.386713	0.845569	0.039*
C3	0.9823 (4)	0.6233 (2)	0.70261 (14)	0.0319 (4)
H3A	1.174343	0.554383	0.725793	0.038*
H3B	0.878503	0.670214	0.752225	0.038*
C8	0.8175 (4)	0.1822 (2)	0.86875 (13)	0.0356 (5)
H8	0.888622	0.140217	0.929532	0.043*
C10	0.3618 (4)	0.2968 (2)	0.56109 (12)	0.0288 (4)
H10A	0.312228	0.360518	0.498608	0.043*
H10B	0.177676	0.280713	0.596581	0.043*
H10C	0.485826	0.193544	0.558386	0.043*
C11	0.6167 (5)	-0.0610 (2)	0.88692 (13)	0.0393 (5)
H11	0.697432	-0.096779	0.946634	0.047*
C2	1.0334 (4)	0.7498 (2)	0.62068 (15)	0.0376 (5)
H2	1.143379	0.712477	0.567846	0.045*
C12	0.4742 (5)	-0.1566 (2)	0.86288 (15)	0.0462 (6)
H12	0.392242	-0.122483	0.803335	0.055*
C1	1.0655 (5)	0.9043 (2)	0.62760 (18)	0.0450 (5)
H1A	1.065235	0.921634	0.689846	0.054*
H1B	1.195933	0.961206	0.581395	0.054*
C13	0.4301 (6)	-0.3142 (3)	0.92108 (17)	0.0583 (7)
H13A	0.509844	-0.396339	0.888173	0.087*
H13B	0.216596	-0.310609	0.934385	0.087*
H13C	0.534885	-0.338975	0.978846	0.087*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	<i>U</i> <sup>23</sup>
O3	0.0366 (7)	0.0279 (7)	0.0274 (6)	-0.0150 (5)	-0.0068 (5)	-0.0015 (5)
O2	0.0337 (7)	0.0320 (7)	0.0361 (7)	-0.0159 (5)	-0.0066 (6)	-0.0057 (6)
01	0.0354 (8)	0.0392 (9)	0.0766 (11)	-0.0119 (7)	-0.0132 (7)	-0.0106 (8)

Acta Cryst. (2022). E78, 1052-1055

C5	0.0197 (8)	0.0257 (9)	0.0231 (8)	-0.0015 (7)	0.0001 (6)	-0.0060 (7)
C6	0.0266 (9)	0.0240 (9)	0.0268 (9)	-0.0029 (7)	0.0019 (7)	-0.0068 (7)
C4	0.0185 (8)	0.0255 (9)	0.0290 (9)	-0.0020 (7)	0.0002 (7)	-0.0071 (7)
C7	0.0323 (10)	0.0251 (9)	0.0276 (9)	0.0058 (7)	0.0037 (7)	-0.0052 (7)
C9	0.0275 (9)	0.0362 (11)	0.0331 (10)	-0.0001 (8)	-0.0045 (8)	-0.0130 (8)
C3	0.0215 (9)	0.0350 (10)	0.0444 (11)	-0.0065 (7)	-0.0044 (8)	-0.0175 (9)
C8	0.0390 (11)	0.0352 (11)	0.0259 (9)	0.0063 (8)	-0.0046 (8)	-0.0064 (8)
C10	0.0315 (9)	0.0293 (10)	0.0288 (9)	-0.0132 (7)	-0.0015 (7)	-0.0070 (7)
C11	0.0492 (12)	0.0295 (11)	0.0281 (10)	0.0056 (9)	0.0039 (9)	-0.0005 (8)
C2	0.0257 (10)	0.0380 (11)	0.0545 (13)	-0.0114 (8)	0.0037 (9)	-0.0188 (10)
C12	0.0630 (15)	0.0281 (11)	0.0378 (12)	-0.0021 (10)	0.0079 (10)	-0.0001 (9)
C1	0.0323 (11)	0.0379 (12)	0.0731 (16)	-0.0144 (9)	-0.0054 (10)	-0.0211 (11)
C13	0.0827 (18)	0.0276 (12)	0.0526 (14)	-0.0028 (11)	0.0208 (13)	-0.0029 (10)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O3—C5	1.364 (2)	C3—C2	1.479 (3)	
O3—C10	1.427 (2)	C8—H8	0.9500	
O2—C4	1.368 (2)	C10—H10A	0.9800	
O2—C3	1.425 (2)	C10—H10B	0.9800	
O1—C2	1.430 (2)	C10—H10C	0.9800	
01—C1	1.435 (2)	C11—H11	0.9500	
C5—C6	1.377 (2)	C11—C12	1.315 (3)	
C5—C4	1.408 (2)	C2—H2	1.0000	
С6—Н6	0.9500	C2—C1	1.448 (3)	
C6—C7	1.403 (2)	C12—H12	0.9500	
C4—C9	1.377 (2)	C12—C13	1.495 (3)	
C7—C8	1.382 (3)	C1—H1A	0.9900	
C7—C11	1.474 (3)	C1—H1B	0.9900	
С9—Н9	0.9500	C13—H13A	0.9800	
C9—C8	1.388 (3)	C13—H13B	0.9800	
С3—НЗА	0.9900	C13—H13C	0.9800	
С3—Н3В	0.9900			
C5—O3—C10	117.72 (13)	O3—C10—H10C	109.5	
C4—O2—C3	118.70 (14)	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5	
C2-01-C1	60.72 (12)	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5	
O3—C5—C6	125.24 (15)	H10B—C10—H10C	109.5	
O3—C5—C4	114.77 (15)	C7—C11—H11	116.1	
C6—C5—C4	119.99 (16)	C12—C11—C7	127.7 (2)	
С5—С6—Н6	119.5	C12—C11—H11	116.1	
C5—C6—C7	120.90 (17)	O1—C2—C3	116.11 (16)	
С7—С6—Н6	119.5	O1—C2—H2	115.7	
O2—C4—C5	114.35 (15)	O1—C2—C1	59.82 (12)	
O2—C4—C9	126.27 (16)	C3—C2—H2	115.7	
C9—C4—C5	119.38 (16)	C1—C2—C3	122.05 (19)	
C6—C7—C11	121.60 (18)	C1—C2—H2	115.7	
C8—C7—C6	118.10 (17)	C11—C12—H12	117.2	

C8—C7—C11	120.29 (17)	C11—C12—C13	125.7 (2)
С4—С9—Н9	120.0	C13—C12—H12	117.2
C4—C9—C8	119.97 (18)	O1—C1—C2	59.46 (12)
С8—С9—Н9	120.0	O1—C1—H1A	117.8
O2—C3—H3A	110.5	O1—C1—H1B	117.8
O2—C3—H3B	110.5	C2C1H1A	117.8
O2—C3—C2	106.23 (15)	C2—C1—H1B	117.8
НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	108.7	H1A—C1—H1B	115.0
С2—С3—НЗА	110.5	С12—С13—Н13А	109.5
С2—С3—Н3В	110.5	С12—С13—Н13В	109.5
С7—С8—С9	121.65 (17)	С12—С13—Н13С	109.5
С7—С8—Н8	119.2	H13A—C13—H13B	109.5
С9—С8—Н8	119.2	H13A—C13—H13C	109.5
O3—C10—H10A	109.5	H13B—C13—H13C	109.5
O3—C10—H10B	109.5		
O3—C5—C6—C7	179.86 (15)	C4—O2—C3—C2	167.73 (14)
O3—C5—C4—O2	-0.3 (2)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-0.3 (2)
O3—C5—C4—C9	-179.96 (15)	C4—C9—C8—C7	0.0 (3)
O2—C4—C9—C8	-179.64 (16)	C7—C11—C12—C13	-179.97 (19)
O2—C3—C2—O1	78.43 (19)	C3—O2—C4—C5	-173.56 (14)
O2—C3—C2—C1	147.72 (17)	C3—O2—C4—C9	6.1 (2)
C5—C6—C7—C8	0.2 (2)	C3-C2-C1-O1	-103.7 (2)
C5—C6—C7—C11	-179.63 (16)	C8—C7—C11—C12	178.9 (2)
C5-C4-C9-C8	0.0 (3)	C10—O3—C5—C6	0.2 (2)
C6—C5—C4—O2	179.83 (14)	C10—O3—C5—C4	-179.68 (14)
C6—C5—C4—C9	0.2 (2)	C11—C7—C8—C9	179.78 (17)
C6—C7—C8—C9	-0.1 (3)	C1—O1—C2—C3	113.5 (2)
C6—C7—C11—C12	-1.2 (3)		