

Digital Volume Correlation for Large Deformations of Soft Tissues: Pipeline and Proof of Concept for the application to Breast ex vivo Deformations

Thomas Lavigne, Arnaud Mazier, Antoine Perney, Stéphane Pierre Alain Bordas, François Hild, Jakub Lengiewicz

▶ To cite this version:

Thomas Lavigne, Arnaud Mazier, Antoine Perney, Stéphane Pierre Alain Bordas, François Hild, et al.. Digital Volume Correlation for Large Deformations of Soft Tissues: Pipeline and Proof of Concept for the application to Breast ex vivo Deformations. Journal of the mechanical behavior of biomedical materials, 2022, 136, pp.105490. 10.1016/j.jmbbm.2022.105490 . hal-03792835

HAL Id: hal-03792835 https://hal.science/hal-03792835v1

Submitted on 30 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Graphical Abstract

Digital Volume Correlation for Large Deformations of Soft Tissues: Pipeline and Proof of Concept for the application to Breast *ex vivo* Deformations

T. Lavigne, A. Mazier, A. Perney, S. P.A. Bordas, F. Hild, J. Lengiewicz



Highlights

Digital Volume Correlation for Large Deformations of Soft Tissues: Pipeline and Proof of Concept for the application to Breast *ex vivo* Deformations

T. Lavigne, A. Mazier, A. Perney, S. P.A. Bordas, F. Hild, J. Lengiewicz

- Three-step DVC pipeline to measure large displacements with only two X-ray scans
- Heterogeneous and regularized DVC was carried out to account for hard/soft tissues
- A large amplitude displacement field was accurately measured for a breast

Digital Volume Correlation for Large Deformations of Soft Tissues: Pipeline and Proof of Concept for the application to Breast *ex vivo* Deformations

T. Lavigne^a, A. Mazier^a, A. Perney^{a,d}, S. P.A. Bordas^{a,e,*}, F. Hild^c, J. Lengiewicz^{a,b}

 ^aInstitute of Computational Engineering, Department of Engineering, University of Luxembourg, 6, avenue de la Fonte, Esch-sur-Alzette, L-4364, Luxembourg
 ^bInstitute of Fundamental Technological Research, Polish Academy of Sciences (IPPT PAN), Pawinskiego 5B, Warsaw, 02-106, Poland
 ^cUniversity Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupelec, ENS Paris-Saclay, CNRS, LMPS-Laboratoire de Mecanique Paris-Saclay, 4 avenue des Sciences, Gif-sur-Yvette, 91190, France
 ^dCentre des Materiaux, Mines ParisTech, PSL University, 63-65 Rue Henri Auguste Desbrueres, Corbeil-Essonnes, 91100, France
 ^eVisiting, Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

Abstract

Being able to reposition tumors from prone imaging to supine surgery stances is key for bypassing current invasive marking used for conservative breast surgery. This study aims to demonstrate the feasibility of using Digital Volume Correlation (DVC) to measure the deformation of a female quarter thorax between two different body positioning when subjected to gravity. A segmented multipart mesh (bones, cartilage and tissue) was constructed and a three-step FE-based DVC procedure with heterogeneous elastic regularization was implemented. With the proposed framework, the large displacement field of a mixed hard/soft breast sample was recovered with low registration

^{*}Corresponding author

Email address: stephane.bordas@alum.northwestern.edu (S. P.A. Bordas)

residuals and low error between the measured and the manually determined deformations of inter-phase interfaces. The present study showed the capacity of FE-based DVC to faithfully capture large deformations of hard/soft tissues.

Keywords: Digital Volume Correlation, Elastic regularization, Hard/soft tissues, Large displacements, Kinematic fields, X-ray tomography

1 1. Introduction

According to the World Health Organization, "as of the end of 2020, 2 there were 7.8 million women alive who were diagnosed with breast cancer in the past 5 years, making it the world's most prevalent cancer." In breast cancer treatment, surgery is one of the most common practices [1]. In 5 breast-conserving surgery, the imaging procedure (*i.e.*, MRI) is conducted in 6 prone configurations while surgery is performed in supine stance [2, 3, 4, 5]. 7 Thus, the surgeon has to mentally predict tumor deformations or use invasive markings such as harpoons or radioactive markers to follow tumor motions. 9 Numerical methods may bypass the marking step, which induces additional 10 uncertainties of the procedure. Biomechanical simulations may predict such 11 complex tumor deformations yet require patient-specific data (e.g., material 12 properties, organ geometry, loading and boundary conditions). 13

Accurately characterizing large and complex deformation fields, as is likely to occur with soft tissues, is challenging and several studies tackled such problem [6, 7, 8]. Few of them presented satisfying results or only validated surface displacement fields [9]. Most of the time, these methods solely rely on the finite element method combined with free-form deformers (*e.g.*, B-

spline warping of images) or are lacking clinical validation. In this work, it is 19 proposed to measure 3D displacement fields based on *ex vivo* medical images. 20 Usually, women diagnosed with breast cancer undergo MRI and/or mammog-21 raphy, which are the starting point of surgical procedures [6, 8, 10]. Digi-22 tal Image Correlation (DIC), stereocorrelation or Digital Volume Correlation 23 (DVC) use similar inputs and provide experimentally measured displacement 24 fields of surfaces or in the bulk [11, 12]. DVC may allow for the development 25 of patient-specific models to estimate tumor motions due to changes in stance 26 between imaging and intra-operative configurations, and will drastically en-27 rich the surgeon's knowledge, thereby helping procedure planning. Previous 28 studies showed the possibility of using DIC and stereovision principles on 29 breasts to measure surface stretches. Khatam et al. [13] manually tracked 30 drawn surgical markers and then assessed surface stretches. Such approaches 31 do not give access to fields in the breast bulk, especially internal strain maps. 32 DVC is an experimental technique that allows for the measurement of 33 displacement fields in three dimensions [14]. It is used to extract strain 34 maps in solid mechanics, but mostly for stiff materials [15, 16] such as 35 bones [14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23] and often relies on the hypothesis of 36 infinitesimal strains between two successive configurations to ensure conver-37 gence. DVC is gaining interest in the biomechanical field [24, 25, 26]. Re-38 cently, DVC was applied to study the spine [27, 28]. Several works used DVC 39 to map strain fields for arteries [29], tendons [30], intervertebral disks [31, 32], 40 tissue interfaces with prosthetics [33] or even the mitral valve [34]. Optical 41 coherence elastography/tomography techniques (e.g., for the identification of 42 elastic properties) based on DVC with 3D infinitesimal strain measurements

were performed ex vivo on mesoscopic (*i.e.*, millimetric regions of interest) 44 human [35] and chicken [36] breast tissues. As DVC requires high quality 45 images to properly converge, the regions of interest are usually of the order 46 of centimeters (due to technical limitations of setups). A breast subjected to 47 gravity from prone to supine positions undergoes large deformations, which 48 calls for registrations of large regions of interest via DVC. As MRIs are ex-49 pensive and uncomfortable, one envisions that only two MRI scans would be 50 performed. Therefore, two main challenges arise when dealing with breasts, 51 namely, the strain levels may become high between two consecutive acquisi-52 tions (*i.e.*, they do not satisfy the small strain hypothesis) and the dimension 53 of the region of interest may be large. 54

The following study is a proof of concept that aims to prove the feasibil-55 ity of using DVC to measure experimental displacement fields for a breast 56 subjected to its weight when imaged in two different angular configurations 57 wrt. gravity. First, the image acquisition, processing and mesh generation 58 procedures are described. Then, DVC and heterogeneous regularization are 50 recalled. Next, a three-step DVC pipeline is discussed to measure the large 60 amplitude deformations of the studied breast. Last, the results are presented 61 and discussed. 62

63 2. Material and Methods

64 2.1. Image Acquisition

⁶⁵ A left quarter of a thorax (of size $0.27 \text{ m} \times 0.26 \text{ m} \times 0.06 \text{ m}$) was extracted ⁶⁶ from a female corpse. Fifteen biomarkers were placed on the surface and ⁶⁷ inside the breast to provide some displacement information (Figure 1(a)). These markers were placed by the medical team and assumed rigidly attached to the sample using an adhesive surface. The breast was injected with physiological serum to get closer to an *in vivo* configuration and to mimic its initial mechanical properties.

The medical images were acquired at Arnaud de Villeneuve Hospital 72 (Montpellier, France) by Prof. Guillaume Captier and AnatoScope company. 73 The use for research purposes of body donations and removals was in ac-74 cordance with French law according to the decree of April 27, 2022. The 75 patient-specific geometry was imaged via micro-computed tomography using 76 an RX Solutions scanner with a 0.34 mm resolution. This resolution may be 77 considered as low-quality for tomographies as the X-ray scanner allows for 78 values down to 15 μ m. The choice of such resolution was motivated by 3 79 reasons. First, high-resolution acquisitions are usually lengthy (*i.e.*, between 80 3 to 4 h). Second, the cadaver tissues will quickly degrade, thereby prevent-81 ing from performing a second acquisition. Last, the present CT-scans and 82 clinical MRI images have similar resolutions. 83

Two different configurations were acquired, namely, -45° and -60° in 84 the axial plane with no intermediate states (Figure 1(b,c)). The angles were 85 reached using a inclined wooden support on which the breast was fastened 86 using four plastic straps to be compatible with medical imaging procedures. 87 The assembly was manually moved to go from one configuration to the other. 88 The hardware parameters are reported in Appendix A. As the images were 89 not acquired for DVC purposes, different (Tukey) filtering values were used 90 resulting in variable gray level ranges. 91



Figure 1: (a) Left quarter of a female thorax $(0.27 \text{ m} \times 0.26 \text{ m} \times 0.06 \text{ m} \text{ in size})$ injected with a physiological serum and fastened to a wooden plate using plastic straps. Fifteen biomarkers were placed on the surface and inside the breast. -60° (b) and -45° (c) positions in the CT-scanner for the studied configurations.

92 2.2. Mesh Generation

Based on the gray levels (Figure 2(a)) and anatomic knowledge, three 93 entities (phases) were created, namely, breast tissue, bones, and cartilage. A 94 growth from seeds algorithm was manually initialized (*i.e.*, several slices in 95 each X, Y, Z direction of the volume were manually "painted" so the algo-96 rithm was able to identify regions and gray levels belonging to each individual 97 phase) within the 3D Slicer software [37]. The result was smoothed, thereby 98 allowing for mesh generations without too small interface segments as nodes gc in different phases had to coincide on interfaces to enforce kinematic compat-100 ibility. Last, an artificial outer layer (15 voxels (vx) thick) was added to the 101 tissue, see Figure 2(b). This "artificial skin" was added to help DVC register 102 the external surface. Each mask was converted into a sub-mesh and the con-103 sidered mesh was the coarsest that was obtained using ScanIP Simpleware 104 software. With such mesh, sub-voxel elements were avoided. 105

¹⁰⁶ Figure 2(c) shows the resulting volumetric meshes and screenshots of

¹⁰⁷ Simpleware software, courtesy of Synopsys. The mesh was composed of ¹⁰⁸ 41,454 linear tetrahedra with a characteristic element length of 9.3 ± 2.8 vx ¹⁰⁹ (*i.e.*, 3.2 ± 0.9 mm). To avoid too small elements, 25 of them with length ¹¹⁰ less than 3 vx were deleted.



Figure 2: Phase-based mesh construction of the -60° configuration in the axial plane. (a) Phase segmentation (tissue in pink, bones in white and cartilage in yellow) shown on the original scan. (b) Section of a segmented image with the different phases and the artificial skin layer that was added. (c) Phase-based mesh (courtesy of Synopsys) is shown on the original scan.

111 2.3. Regularized Digital Volume Correlation

112 2.3.1. Digital Volume Correlation

¹¹³ DVC relies on the conservation of gray levels upon transformations be-¹¹⁴ tween two scanned configurations. The cost function Φ_c^2 to be minimized in ¹¹⁵ global (*e.g.*, FE-based) DVC is generally expressed as [38]

$$\Phi_c^2 = \sum_{ROI} (I_0(\mathbf{x}) - I_t(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})))^2$$
(1)

where \mathbf{x} is the position of any voxel in the reference configuration, $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ the corresponding continuous displacement vector, and ROI the Region of Interest. The gray levels corresponding to the 3D image of the reference configuration are denoted by I_0 , and those of the deformed configuration I_t . In the present case, FE-based DVC was considered with 4-noded tetrahedra (*i.e.*, T4 elements [39]). The ROI to be registered during the minimization procedure was defined by the considered mesh (Figure 2(c)). Consequently, the unknowns were the nodal displacements gathered in the column vector $\{v\}$ such that

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} \upsilon_i \ \mathbf{N}_i^{\mathrm{T4}}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{N}_{i}^{\mathrm{T4}}$ denotes the vectorial shape functions of T4 elements. A modified Gauss-Newton scheme was used to minimize Φ_{c}^{2} with respect to the sought nodal displacements $\{\boldsymbol{v}\}$ [39]

$$\{\boldsymbol{v}\}^{\star} = \arg\min_{\{\boldsymbol{v}\}} \Phi_c^2(\{\boldsymbol{v}\})$$
(3)

¹²⁸ which leads to iteratively solving linear systems of equations

$$[\mathbf{H}]\{\delta \boldsymbol{v}\} = \{\mathbf{h}\}\tag{4}$$

where $[\mathbf{H}]$ is the DVC Hessian matrix, $\{\mathbf{h}\}$ the residual vector, and $\{\delta \boldsymbol{v}\}$ the correction nodal displacement vector.

¹³¹ 2.3.2. Heterogeneous Regularization

As noted in Section 2.1, the images were not originally acquired for DVC purposes. In particular, the gray level contrast was not optimal. To overcome this issue, mechanical regularization was considered. It consists in adding a penalty term to mitigate displacement fluctuations. In regularized DVC, equilibrium is enforced at the local level by introducing a cost function based ¹³⁷ on the equilibrium gap (in the absence of body forces) [40]

$$[\mathbf{K}]\{\boldsymbol{v}\} = \{\mathbf{f}_{\text{res}}\}\tag{5}$$

where $[\mathbf{K}]$ is the stiffness matrix, and $\{\mathbf{f}_{res}\}$ the residual force vector. The principle of regularization is to supplement the minimized cost function with the following penalty term

$$\Phi_m^2 = \|\{\mathbf{f}_{\text{res}}\}\|^2 = \{\boldsymbol{v}\}^\top [\mathbf{K}]^\top [\mathbf{K}] \{\boldsymbol{v}\}$$
(6)

One may note that the DVC Hessian matrix [**H**] is a 0-th order operator with respect to displacements (*i.e.*, [**H**] depends on the shape functions $\mathbf{N}_i^{\mathrm{T4}}$ themselves, and not on any spatial derivative), and [**K**] is a second order operator [41]; thus [**K**]^T[**K**] is a fourth-order operator. To have dimensional consistency between Φ_c^2 and Φ_m^2 , a regularization length ℓ_{reg} is defined so that the regularization weight $w_m \propto \ell_{\mathrm{reg}}^4$. The total cost function Φ_{tot}^2

$$\Phi_{\rm tot}^2 = \Phi_c^2 + w_m \Phi_m^2 \tag{7}$$

was minimized iteratively [42]. Convergence was reached when L2-norm of the displacement corrections becomes less than 10^{-2} vx.

In the present study, the ROI covered three different materials (*i.e.*, soft tissue, cartilage, and bones). To account for the fact that their elastic properties were very different, heterogeneous regularization was utilized [43]. As a consequence, each element e was assigned an elastic contrast C^e whose value was the ratio of the Young's modulus of the phase it belonged to divided by that of the soft tissue. Thus, $C^e > 1$ corresponds to a phase stiffer than the soft tissue. The regularization length, $\ell_{\rm reg}$, scales with the square root of the elastic contrast C^e [44]. For example, if $C^e = 100$, then the regularization length is ten times higher (*i.e.*, $\sqrt{100}$ factor) for the considered element in comparison to the tissue elements. In all the analyses reported herein, the regularization lengths refer to the softest phase.

A convergence study was carried out to determine the best regulariza-161 tion length. Let us refer to the bone with the index \bullet_b , cartilage with the 162 index \bullet_c , and to tissue with the index \bullet_t . The bone Young's modulus was 163 found to vary between 5 to 50 GPa [45, 46, 47], and that of cartilage in the 164 range from 8 to 40 MPa [48, 49, 50]. Breasts are made of different materi-165 als such as adipose, fibroglandular, skin, or fascia tissues. In addition to a 166 wide variability between patients, the differences between in vivo/ex vivo or 167 compression/tension mechanical tests give a large range of Young's moduli 168 ranging between 0.2 to 28 kPa [51, 52]. Therefore, the elastic contrasts were 169 set to $C_b = 10^6$, $C_c = 10^4$ and $C_t = 1$. Comparisons were also performed to 170 results with two other contrasts, namely, $C_b = 10^3$, $C_c = 10^2$, $C_t = 1$ and 171 $C_b = C_c = C_t = 1$ (*i.e.*, homogeneous properties). 172

173 2.3.3. DVC Steps to Measure Breast Deformation

Regularized DVC was applied to measure breast deformation between -60° axial to -45° axial configurations. The analysis consists of the following three steps.

For the first step, an initial evaluation of the mean deformation gradient tensor, \mathbf{F} , was obtained, which was based on the motion of m = 15biomarkers. The biomarkers were manually identified while segmenting the scans. For each configuration, their position was measured as the geometric barycenter of their segmentation. Therefore, one may consider that the resulting positions include some user uncertainty resulting from the segmentation procedure. This first step corresponds to current practices in which markers are put on the external surface of the breast, and their motions are sought for tumor repositioning.

Let \mathbf{x}_m denote the position of the *m*-th marker in the reference configuration, and $\mathbf{x}_m + \mathbf{u}_m$ its position in the deformed configuration. Considering the deformation gradient tensor \mathbf{F} , the translation vector \mathbf{t} , and the second order identity tensor \mathbf{I} , the approximated field \mathbf{u}_a reads

$$\mathbf{u}_a(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{t}) = (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{x}_m + \mathbf{t}$$
(8)

 $_{190}$ where the components of F and t were obtained by least squares minimization

$$[\mathbf{F}^{\star}, \mathbf{t}^{\star}] = \arg\min_{\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{t}} \|\mathbf{u}_m - \mathbf{u}_a(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{t})\|^2$$
(9)

For the second step, a better approximation of the initial displacement 191 field was computed with homogeneous regularized DVC applied to the seg-192 mented images created for each configuration (Figure 3) including internal 193 air. Because the histograms of the raw images were not fully conserved, using 194 such images allowed the gray levels to be made identical for each segmented 195 phase. This DVC step was initialized by the marker-based displacement esti-196 mate. No elastic contrast was considered to allow for the correct positioning 197 of the bones. Given the fact that the three phases had uniform gray levels, 198 the gray level contrast (i.e., gradient of image) was nonzero only for a very 199 limited number of voxels (*i.e.*, at interfaces between phases). This property 200 leads to very steep cost functions about the optimal solution. Blurring the 201 volume smoothens the cost function and induces faster convergence of the 202

Newton-based minimization scheme. Thus to improve convergence, the two
volumes were blurred (with a Gaussian filter with a radius of 3 vx).



Figure 3: Sections of segmented volumes corresponding to (a) -60° and (b) -45° configurations. Phase-based images were created for the second step (*i.e.*, cartilage in white, bones in light gray, tissue in dark gray and air in black). The phase of confined air was included.

For the third step, the previously measured displacement field was used to initialize the heterogeneous regularized DVC calculation on the original volumes (Figure 4), with no filtering of the gray levels. The elastic contrasts were set to the values given in Section 2.3.2. The regularization length was set according to the convergence study (Appendix B).



Figure 4: Sections of -60° (a) and -45° (b) configurations obtained with an RX-Solutions CT-scanner (voxel size of 0.34 mm) in the axial plane. These volumes were used during the third step of the DVC procedure.

²¹⁰ While the gray level residuals $\rho(\mathbf{x}) = I_0(\mathbf{x}) - I_t(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}))$ of DVC analyses ²¹¹ provide a quality indicator for the measured displacement field (see Equa-²¹² tion (1)), the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) was used as a metric for ²¹³ evaluating the trustworthiness of surface displacements.

$$\mathrm{RMSE}^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\mathrm{DVC}} - \mathcal{P}^{seg}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\mathrm{DVC}}) \right)^{2}$$
(10)

For each phase of both initial and deformed configurations, a surface (STL) mesh was generated from the volumetric mesh by identifying the boundary elements. Then, the measured displacement field was applied to the initial surface nodes. For each phase, the error between the assessed N deformed nodes from DVC \mathbf{x}^{DVC} and the refined mesh resulting from the segmentation of the deformed image \mathcal{M}^{seg} was computed as the root mean square error (RMSE). As the meshes did not have the same number of nodes, a projection operator \mathcal{P}^{seg} of the DVC deformed nodes onto \mathcal{M}^{seg} was assessed using an Iterative Closest Point (ICP) algorithm [53].

223 2.3.4. Uncertainty quantification

As pointed out above, the imaging conditions were not optimal for DVC 224 purposes and thus may degrade the measurement uncertainties. Further-225 more, common practice requires at least two repeat scans to be acquired to 226 quantify kinematic uncertainties a priori [38, 54]. In the present case, no 227 repeat scan was performed. Thus another (a posteriori) route was followed. 228 At the end of any global DVC analysis, the quality of registration is probed 229 by analyzing the gray level residuals ρ , which contain information about ac-230 quisition noise and artifacts, as well as indications about the trustworthiness 231 of the selected kinematic bases [55, 38]. It was thus decided to artificially 232 create a new volume by applying a 0.5 vx translation along all three Carte-233 sian coordinates to the reference volume. White Gaussian noise was also 234 added (Figure 5(b)), whose standard deviation σ_{ρ} was that of the gray level 235 residuals corresponding to the result of the DVC analysis that was deemed 236 the most trustworthy in the sequel. This volume was correlated with the 237 reference volume (Figure 5(a)). The displacement uncertainties correspond 238 to the standard deviations of nodal displacements in each direction. Sim-239 ilarly, the strain uncertainties were assessed as the standard deviations of 240 elementary strains. As regularized DVC was carried out, the fine mesh was 241 not altered but the regularization length $\ell_{\rm reg}$ was varied to assess standard 242 uncertainties [56, 57]. 243



Figure 5: Sections of -60° original volume (a) and translated volume (b) corrupted with white Gaussian noise whose standard deviation was equal to 18.3 gray levels

244 3. Results and Discussion

245 3.1. DVC Results

In the following results, the mean element size was equal to 10 voxels, and the mean spatial resolution was 17 voxels (the physical voxel size was 0.34 mm).

The marker-based displacement field was computed and applied to the mesh as an initial guess (Figure 6), which provided a good approximation to recover the overall shape of the sample in the deformed configuration. Because the biomarkers were mainly placed on the surface, the initial guess suffered from inaccuracy in the volume (*e.g.*, near bones) and led to high RMS residual (63 gray levels).



Figure 6: (a) Reference (-60°) and (b) deformed (-45°) meshes based on the marker motions. The wireframe meshes are superimposed over orthoslices of their respective volumes. The biomarkers are shown as colored disks.

This first estimate served as initialization for the DVC analysis between 255 the segmented images (for which the gray level distribution was almost the 256 same (Figure 7(b))). Therefore, gray level conservation was enforced. The 257 regularization length was set to 35 vx to properly converge (*i.e.*, only 48 DVC 258 iterations were needed). The use of these segmented images allowed for fur-259 ther reducing the gray level residuals (Figure 7(b)). The final RMS residual 260 was 10.6 gray levels (Figure 7), which is deemed low given the complexity of 261 the deformation and the acquisition conditions. It is worth noting that the 262 initial RMS level was equal to 62.7 gray levels, which proves that the current 263 practice (*i.e.*, first step) is not sufficient for a precise determination of the 264 tumor position. 265



Figure 7: (a) Gray level residuals are shown within the region of interest defined by the considered mesh. (b) From left to right: gray level histograms of the reference volume I_0 , deformed volume I_t , and DVC residuals ρ shown in sub-figure (a).

Figure 8 shows the measured displacement field. The tissue phase shifted 266 downward, thereby creating an inframammary fold (Figure 11(d)). Thanks 267 to the segmented images, this complex configuration could be recovered. 268 Adding the artificial skin layer was a key step to ensure tracking of the 269 interface between the tissue and air. Without such gray level contrast, the 270 procedure could have converged to the wrong positions. However, this result 271 is only the first approximation as no gray level contrast existed inside each 272 phase (Figure 3). It is worth noting that the displacement amplitudes were 273 very high in all three directions. Without good initialization, such levels are 274 not accessible with only two volumes to register. The biomarkers on the 275 one hand, and the artificial skin on the other hand were key to getting such 276 results. 277



Figure 8: Displacement field expressed in voxels (1 vx \equiv 0.34 mm) for the DVC analysis on the segmented images. (a) u_x , (b) u_y and (c) u_z components plotted on the deformed mesh.

In the final step, DVC was run with the actual 3D images when initialized 278 with the displacement field shown in Figure 8 applying a contrast of C_b = 279 10^6 , $C_c = 10^4$, $C_t = 1$. To probe the effect of regularization, the analysis 280 was started with a large regularization length (*i.e.*, $\ell_{\rm reg} = 40$ vx). Once 281 convergence was achieved, a new analysis was run with a lower regularization 282 length (*i.e.*, $\ell_{\rm reg} = 20$ vx), and so on down to 0.5 vx. The upper bound was 283 selected as higher values led to bad conditioning of the DVC Hessian matrix, 284 especially when heterogeneous elastic contrasts were considered. 285

Figure 9(a) shows the change of the RMS gray level residual as a function 286 of the regularization length. There is a very significant decrease in RMS 287 residual with $\ell_{\rm reg}$, thereby indicating that results with large regularization 288 lengths should not be kept. This trend can be understood from the fact 289 that body forces were not negligible for the tissue. In the present case, the 290 penalty term follows the hypothesis of vanishing body forces. This is not 291 valid as the soft tissue deformed due to gravity contrary to the other phases, 292 which are stiffer and do not deform. Therefore the penalty term should be as 293

small as possible and very small regularization should be applied. Since the 294 elastic contrasts were very high for the bone and cartilage, the regularization 295 in the tissue was essentially vanishing for the lower regularization lengths. 296 This trend was observed for the three considered contrasts. However, when 297 $C_b = 10^6$, $C_c = 10^4$, $C_t = 1$, the residual significantly increase before the 298 other two cases. This difference is due to the large value of regularization 299 length of bone and cartilage, which degrades the conditioning of the Hessian 300 matrices. 301



Figure 9: Convergence analysis. (a) RMS gray level residuals and (b) number of iterations of the DVC algorithm as functions of the regularization length $\ell_{\rm reg}$ for three different elastic contrast settings

To analyze convergence of the DVC algorithm, the number of iterations to reach convergence is displayed in Figure 9(b). As the regularization length was decreased, the number of iterations augmented for all the applied contrast triplets. This trend was expected as less and less weight (*i.e.*, smaller $\ell_{\rm reg}$) was put on the tissue phase. Given the complexity of the sought deformation and the fact that the gray level contrast was not very high, such trend was unavoidable. For regularization lengths less than 3 vx when $C_b = 10^6$, $C_c = 10^4$, $C_t = 1$ (or 3 vx when $C_b = 10^3$, $C_c = 10^2$, $C_t = 1$ and 8 vx when $C_b = C_c = C_t = 1$), the number of iterations became very high (*i.e.*, convergence in terms of L2-norm of the displacement corrections less than 10^{-2} vx was no longer satisfied before the maximum number of iterations was reached).

It is worth noting that to properly capture the inframammary fold (Fig-314 ure 11(d)), a significant number of iterations was needed when $\ell_{reg} = 4 \text{ vx}$ 315 (Figure 9(b)), which led to a solution with one of the lowest RMS residuals 316 (Figure 9(a)) for the highest considered elastic contrast. Further conver-317 gence studies are shown in Appendix B.By introducing elastic contrast, it 318 was also possible to lower the regularization length in the tissue (*i.e.*, 4 vx for 319 $C_b = 10^6$, $C_c = 10^4$, $C_t = 1$ instead of 20 vx for $C_b = 1$, $C_c = 1$, $C_t = 1$) to 320 reach a lower final residual (18.3 instead of 20.2 gray level for homogeneous 321 regularization). Introducing elastic contrast allowed for strongly penalizing 322 the hard phase and limiting the regularization over the soft phase which was 323 therefore freer to move. All three convergence curves (Figure 9(a) = have 324 the same trend but higher contrasts allowed for significantly relaxing the 325 constraints on the soft tissue. 326

From these two different quantities, the results obtained for a regularization length of 4 vx with heterogeneous regularization ($C_b = 10^6$, $C_c = 10^4$, $C_t = 1$) were kept. 292 iterations were required to converge. The RMS residuals were equal to 18.3 gray levels (Figure 10(a)). They were almost two times higher than those observed for the segmented volumes. However, given the fact that no special care was taken in the acquisition process, such levels are more than acceptable. Figure 10(b) shows an almost centered distribution of the residuals with minimal bias, even though gray level conservation was not fully satisfied because of the followed acquisition protocol. As the scans were not acquired for DVC purposes, no effort was put into keeping the same filter values, which resulted in slightly different gray level distributions.



Figure 10: (a) Gray level residuals for the last DVC analysis. (b) From left to right: gray level histograms of the reference volume I_0 , deformed volume I_t , and DVC residuals ρ shown in sub-figure (a).

Figure 11 shows the measured displacement field. Similarly to the re-338 sults achieved with the segmented volumes, the tissue moved downward and 339 created an inframammary fold (Figure 11(d)). In all three directions, the 340 displacement amplitudes were high (i.e., of the order of centimeters). The 341 mask-based initialization allowed the DVC analysis to be started from a good 342 initial guess, and the presence of gray level contrast in the phases gave more 343 accurate and local information on the displacement field (as an example, see 344 u_z component in Figures 8(c) and 11(c)). 345



Figure 11: Displacement fields expressed in voxels (1 vx \equiv 0.34 mm) for the last DVC analysis displayed on the mesh without the outer skin layer. (a) u_x , (b) u_y and (c) u_z components plotted on the deformed mesh. (d) u_y component from another viewpoint to highlight the displacement discontinuity around the inframammary fold (black ellipse).

The soft tissue experienced very large displacements, part of them due to 346 rigid body motions (*i.e.*, translations and rotations), but also to mechanical 347 strains. In the present case, the Green-Lagrange strains $\left(\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{F}^{\top} \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I}\right)\right)$ 348 are reported. They were estimated from the exact differentiation of the 349 shape functions of the 4-noded tetrahedra (i.e., T4 elements) to evaluate 350 the deformation gradient tensor \mathbf{F} . Figure 12 shows that the soft tissue 351 underwent large strains (including shear in particular in the inframammary 352 fold) up to 25% in magnitude, which is large between two scans only. 353



Figure 12: Strain fields for the last DVC analysis displayed on the mesh without the skin layer. (a) E_{xx} , (b) E_{yy} , (c) E_{zz} , (d) E_{xy} , (e) E_{xz} and (f) E_{yz} components. The soft tissue underwent large strains (including shear in particular in the inframammary fold) up to 25% in magnitude.

354 3.2. A posteriori uncertainty quantification

The DVC results obtained for a regularization length of 4 vx with $C_b =$ 355 10^6 , $C_c = 10^4$, $C_t = 1$ led to residuals whose standard deviation was equal 356 to 18.3 gray levels. With this information, the standard uncertainties σ were 357 assessed a posteriori by varying the regularization length $\ell_{\rm reg}$. Figure 13(a) 358 shows that the larger the regularization length, the lower the standard dis-359 placement uncertainty. This dependence illustrates the trade-off between 360 measurement uncertainty and spatial resolution (here corresponding the reg-361 ularization length) [58, 57]. The power law interpolation with exponent -1.5362 describes very well the reported results in accordance with the hypothesis 363

of white Gaussian noise [56, 58]. Similarly, the standard strain uncertainties also follow very closely a power law with exponent -2.5 (Figure 13(b)).



Figure 13: Standard displacement (a) and strain (b) uncertainties as functions of the regularization length $\ell_{\rm reg}$ for an elastic contrast of $C_b = 10^6$, $C_c = 10^4$ and $C_t = 1$. The dashed lines show power law interpolations with exponent -1.5 for the displacements and -2.5 for the strains.

With the selected regularization length ($\ell_{\rm reg} = 4 \, {\rm vx}$) and elastic contrasts ($C_b = 10^6$, $C_c = 10^4$, $C_t = 1$), the standard displacement uncertainties were of the order of 0.1 vx, and the corresponding standard strain uncertainties were less than 5×10^{-3} . These levels are deemed sufficiently low in comparison to the reported results (Figures 11 and 12).

371 3.3. Further validation

Last, the overall shape of the sample was well recovered (Figure 14). In addition to the gray level residuals, in Table 1 the RMSE (Equation 10) was used as a metric for evaluating the trustworthiness of surface displacements. The measured error was a few millimeters (between 2.2 to 3.1 mm, which

corresponds to a range of 6.8 to 9.4 vx). When the highest elastic contrasts 376 were considered, all errors decreased about 0.1 mm in comparison to homo-377 geneous regularization, which further validates their use. As the error was 378 lower than the mean element size (i.e., 10 vx) and the mean spatial resolu-379 tion (*i.e.*, 17 vx), the measured displacement field was very realistic. It is 380 worth remembering that this error included those due to the segmentation 381 steps. As this multiphase sample had a complex morphology, and internal 382 gray level contrast, the segmentation relied on coupling of growing from seeds 383 algorithms, and smoothing with operator-dependent steps. The latter ones 384 explain parts of the observed mismatches. 385

Table 1: RMSE computed between the DVC deformed surface and the corresponding segmented surface. The data in parentheses correspond to homogeneous regularization and the other ones to the highest elastic contrasts

Phase	RMSE (mm)
Soft tissue	2.2(2.3)
Cartilage	3.1 (3.2)
Bones	2.6(2.7)



Figure 14: Superposition of the deformed mesh resulting from DVC (red) with the expected mesh obtained from the segmentation of the deformed configuration (black).

386 4. Conclusion

The objective of this work was to demonstrate the feasibility of using DVC to measure large biomechanical deformations. More specifically, the

displacement field was measured for a breast subjected to gravity in two 389 different positions when imaged via computed tomography. To obtain satis-390 factory results, a three-step DVC pipeline was implemented. The first step 391 consisted in determining the motions of 15 biomarkers, which corresponds to 392 one of the current clinical practices. It was shown that these motions were 393 not sufficient to properly map the whole deformation field of the breast. The 394 second step used the previous initial guess to assess the breast deformation 395 with segmented volumes in which the three phases (*i.e.*, bone, cartilage and 396 soft tissue) were distinguished. An external skin was added to allow for the 397 inframammary fold to be better captured. With these new motions, a final 398 set of DVC analyses was performed on the original reconstructed volumes 390 accounting for elastic contrasts in the three phases. 400

The results of this proof of concept study highlighted the efficiency of 401 the DVC technique for a breast including the inframammary fold. Elastic 402 contrasts were set according to literature data. The studied breast had re-403 gions with very high elastic contrast $(10^6 \text{ between bones and tissue, and } 10^4$ 404 for cartilage), which was accounted for in the regularized DVC scheme used 405 herein. Few DVC iterations were needed to quickly pre-converge to a suitable 406 displacement field with a low RMS residual. The convergence study wrt. the 407 regularization length showed that a rather low value could be chosen to ob-408 tain accurate results. If the regularization length was too large, meaningful 409 displacement fluctuations were filtered out. Conversely, when the regulariza-410 tion length was too small, then convergence issues arose. Similar trends were 411 observed for two other sets of elastic contrasts presented, thereby showing 412 that the higher the contrast, the lower the regularization length (*i.e.*, having 413

⁴¹⁴ a higher contrast, less regularization was applied to the soft tissue leading to
⁴¹⁵ lower registration residuals).

The present study had several limitations. First, the tomographic acquisi-416 tions were not optimal for DVC purposes. In particular, no repeat scans were 417 performed for uncertainty quantifications. As only two scans were available, 418 an *a posteriori* route was followed to assess standard kinematic uncertain-419 ties. Furthermore, CT-scans (but MRIs) are generally not used in clinical 420 applications. Therefore, further studies are needed to investigate the fea-421 sibility of the present DVC pipeline when applied to MRIs. Second, the 422 experiment was carried out on an *ex vivo* injected cadaverous breast to be 423 close to *in vivo* testing conditions. Therefore, this study may be extended 424 to living tissues. It is hypothesized that blood flow between various acqui-425 sitions may impact the resulting gray levels and thus DVC results. This 426 study also had user-dependent steps to be followed. The segmentation was 427 manually assessed and the biomarker positions were assessed from their seg-428 mentation. For the patient geometry, some uncertainties and mismatches 420 were observed between the gray level images and the associated segment 430 (*i.e.*, the mesh needed sufficiently regular elements and simplifications of the 431 geometry, namely, smoothing and simplification of the regions were neces-432 sary). Future studies are needed to automate these steps to reduce likely 433 user-impact on the results. 434

Last, the results obtained herein may then be used in a calibration pipeline to get patient-specific material parameters. As the displacement field was computed for a finite element (FE) discretization, links with finite element simulations are straightforward. One would be able to predict the deformation of the organ in surgical positions. The DVC analysis may also be coupled
with finite element analyses in cases of (self-)contact. The computed FE displacement field may then serve as initialization for DVC registrations. Its
trustworthiness would then be probed thanks to the gray level residuals.

443 5. Declaration of competing interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

445 6. Acknowledgment

This study was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 re-446 search and innovation program under grant agreement No 811099, the Marie 447 Skodowska-Curie, Luxembourg grant agreement No. 764644, and the FNR 448 Project No. C20/MS/14782078/QuaC. JL would like to acknowledge the 449 support from EU Horizon 2020 Marie Skodowska Curie Individual Fellow-450 ship MOrPhEM under Grant 800150. The medical images used in the 451 present study were obtained at Hopital Arnaud de Villeneuve, Département 452 de Gynécologie Obstétrique in collaboration with Dr. Gauthier Rathat, Prof. 453 Guillaume Captier, and AnatoScope. The authors would like to thank Syn-454 opsys for its support in providing access to the Simpleware software to gener-455 ate the meshes used in this project, and RX-Solutions for their support and 456 answers about the machine settings that were used. Last, the authors sin-457 cerely thank the person who donated her body to science so that anatomical 458 research could be performed. 459

460	Appendix	Α.	DVC	hardware	parameters
-----	----------	----	-----	----------	------------

Orientation	-60°	-45°
Tomograph	EasyTom 150 (RX Solution)	EasyTom 150 (RX Solution)
Target / Anode	W (reflection mode)	W (reflection mode)
Voltage	120 kV	120 kV
Current	202 µA	202 µA
Focal spot size	$50 \ \mu m$	50 µm
Tube to detector	$610 \mathrm{~mm}$	610 mm
Tube to object	430 mm	430 mm
Detector	Varian 25×20 cm	Varian 25×20 cm
Definition	1920×1536 pixels	1920×1536 pixels
Projection definition	1840×728 pixels	1840×728 pixels
Number of projections	2111	1407
Angular amplitude	360°	360°
Frame average	15 per projection	15 per projection
Frame rate	$30 { m ~fps}$	30 fps
Acquisition duration	$28 \min 08 \sec$	$18 \min 40 \sec$
Reconstruction algorithm	filtered back-projection	filtered back-projection
Filter	Tukey (75%)	Tukey (0%)
Gray Levels amplitude	8 bits	8 bits
Volume size	$768\times781\times216$ voxels (after crop)	$768\times781\times216$ voxels (after crop)
Field of view	$261.12 \times 265.54 \times 73.44 \text{ mm}^3 \text{ (after crop)}$	$261.12 \times 265.54 \times 73.44 \text{ mm}^3$ (after crop)
Image scale	0.34 mm/voxel	0.34 mm/voxel

⁴⁶¹ Appendix B. Convergence Analysis

The deformed meshes obtained in the convergence analysis for homo-462 geneous regularization (*i.e.*, $C_b = C_c = C_t = 1$, see red dotted curve of 463 Figure 9(a), are displayed in Figure B.15 when the regularization length 464 was relaxed from an initially very high value ($\ell_{\rm reg} = 500 \text{ vx}$) to a very small 465 one ($\ell_{\rm reg} = 5 \, {\rm vx}$). In the last case, virtually no regularization was applied 466 to the soft tissue. As shown in Figure 9(a), the RMS residuals decreased 467 with the regularization length. Figure 9(b) shows that a high regulariza-468 tion may help to converge quickly but tends to an unsatisfactory solution for 469 which the lower fold cannot be captured. When the regularization length was 470 lowered, the fold was better recovered until a minimum length was reached 471 (i.e., about 10 vx in the present case, see Figure B.15(e)). For low regulariza-472 tion lengths, the minimization procedure no longer converged (Figure 9(b)) 473 to a trustworthy solution. It is worth remembering that the main cause of 474 the soft tissue to deform was due to gravity. Since mechanical regularization 475 was based on minimizing the equilibrium gap, its weight should not be too 476 high to avoid nonphysical solutions. The results shown hereafter illustrate 477 this phenomenon. 478



Figure B.15: Evolution of the deformed mesh with the regularization length. Segmentation results are in a black wireframe and the DVC results are in red. (a) $\ell_{\rm reg} = 500$ vx, (b) $\ell_{\rm reg} = 200$ vx, (c) $\ell_{\rm reg} = 100$ vx, (d) $\ell_{\rm reg} = 50$ vx, (e) $\ell_{\rm reg} = 20$ vx and (f) $\ell_{\rm reg} = 5$ vx.

479 References

[1] C. E. DeSantis, J. Ma, M. M. Gaudet, L. A. Newman, K. D.
Miller, A. G. Sauer, A. Jemal, R. L. Siegel, Breast cancer statistics,
2019, CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians 69 (2019) 438–451. URL:
https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21583. doi:10.3322/caac.21583.

- [2] J. Georgii, T. Paetz, M. Harz, C. Stoecker, M. Rothgang, J. Colletta,
 K. Schilling, M. Schlooz-Vries, R. M. Mann, H. K. Hahn, Simulation
 and visualization to support breast surgery planning, in: A. Tingberg,
 K. Lång, P. Timberg (Eds.), Breast Imaging, Springer International
 Publishing, Cham, 2016, pp. 257–264.
- [3] V. Rajagopal, Modelling Breast Tissue Mechanics Under Gravity Loading, PhD thesis, University of Auckland (New-Zealand), 2007. URL:
- http://www.esc.auckland.ac.nz/nash/publications/rajagopal_boundthesis_may2007_3
- [4] V. Rajagopal, P. Nielsen, M. Nash, Modeling breast biomechanics
 for multi-modal image analysis-successes and challenges, Wiley interdisciplinary reviews. Systems biology and medicine 2 (2010) 293–304.
 doi:10.1002/wsbm.58.
- [5] A. Mazier, S. Ribes, B. Gilles, S. P. Bordas, A rigged model of the breast
 for preoperative surgical planning, Journal of Biomechanics 128 (2021)
 110645. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2021.110645.
 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2021.110645.
- [6] A. W. C. Lee, J. A. Schnabel, V. Rajagopal, P. M. F. Nielsen, M. P.
 Nash, Breast image registration by combining finite elements and free-

- form deformations, in: J. Martí, A. Oliver, J. Freixenet, R. Martí (Eds.),
 Digital Mammography, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg,
 2010, pp. 736–743.
- [7] V. Rajagopal, M. P. Nash, R. P. Highnam, P. M. F. Nielsen, The
 breast biomechanics reference state for multi-modal image analysis, in:
 E. A. Krupinski (Ed.), Digital Mammography, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 385–392.
- [8] D. J. Gavaghan, J. P. Whiteley, S. J. Chapman, J. M. Brady, P. Pathmanathan, Predicting tumor location by modeling the deformation of the breast, IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 55 (2008) 2471–2480. doi:10.1109/TBME.2008.925714.
- [9] B. Eiben, V. Vavourakis, J. H. Hipwell, S. Kabus, C. Lorenz, T. Buelow, N. R. Williams, M. Keshtgar, D. J. Hawkes, Surface driven biomechanical breast image registration, in: R. J. W. III, Z. R. Yaniv (Eds.), Medical Imaging 2016: Image-Guided Procedures, Robotic Interventions, and Modeling, volume 9786, International Society for Optics and Photonics, SPIE, 2016, pp. 282 – 291. URL: https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2216728. doi:10.1117/12.2216728.
- [10] M. Duraes, P. Crochet, E. Pagès, E. Grauby, L. Lasch, L. Rebel, F. Van
 Meer, G. Rathat, Surgery of nonpalpable breast cancer: First step to
 a virtual per-operative localization? First step to virtual breast cancer
 localization, Breast Journal 25 (2019) 874–879. doi:10.1111/tbj.13379.
- ⁵²⁴ [11] M. Sutton, J. Orteu, H. Schreier, Image correlation for shape, motion

- and deformation measurements: Basic Concepts, Theory and Applica tions, Springer, New York, NY (USA), 2009.
- ⁵²⁷ [12] M. Sutton, Computer vision-based, noncontacting deformation mea⁵²⁸ surements in mechanics: A generational transformation, Appl. Mech.
 ⁵²⁹ Rev. 65 (2013) 050802.
- |13] H. Khatam, G. P. Reece, M. C. Fingeret, M. K. Markey. 530 K. Ravi-Chandar, In-vivo quantification of human breast de-531 formation associated with the position change from supine to 532 Engineering & Physics 37 (2015) 13-22. upright, Medical 533 URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2014.09.016. 534 doi:10.1016/j.medengphy.2014.09.016. 535
- ⁵³⁶ [14] B. Bay, T. Smith, D. Fyhrie, M. Saad, Digital volume correlation:
 ⁵³⁷ three-dimensional strain mapping using X-ray tomography, Exp. Mech.
 ⁵³⁸ 39 (1999) 217–226.
- ⁵³⁹ [15] B. Bay, Methods and applications of digital volume correlation, J. Strain
 ⁵⁴⁰ Analysis 43 (2008) 745–760.
- [16] A. Buljac, C. Jailin, A. Mendoza, J. Neggers, T. Taillandier-Thomas,
 A. Bouterf, B. Smaniotto, F. Hild, S. Roux, Digital volume correlation:
 Review of progress and challenges, Experimental Mechanics 58 (2018)
 661-708. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11340-018-0390-7.
 doi:10.1007/s11340-018-0390-7.
- 546 [17] L. Liu, E. F. Morgan, Accuracy and precision of digi547 tal volume correlation in quantifying displacements and strains

- in trabecular bone, Journal of Biomechanics 40 (2007) 3516–
 3520. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2007.04.019.
 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2007.04.019.
- [18] A. Benoit, S. Guérard, B. Gillet, G. Guillot, F. Hild, D. Mitton, J.-N.
 Périé, S. Roux, 3D analysis from micro-MRI during in situ compression on cancellous bone, Journal of Biomechanics 42 (2009) 2381–2386.
- [19] A. I. Hussein, P. E. Barbone, E. F. Morgan, Digital volume correlation
 for study of the mechanics of whole bones, Procedia IUTAM 4 (2012)
 116-125. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.piutam.2012.05.013.
 doi:10.1016/j.piutam.2012.05.013.
- [20] F. Gillard, R. Boardman, M. Mavrogordato, D. Hollis, I. Sin-558 clair, F. Pierron, M. Browne, The application of digital vol-559 ume correlation (dvc) to study the microstructural behaviour of 560 trabecular bone during compression, Journal of the Mechani-561 cal Behavior of Biomedical Materials 29 (2014) 480–499. URL: 562 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1751616113003159. 563 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2013.09.014. 564
- [21] M. Peña Fernández, S. J. Sasso, S. McPhee, C. Black, J. Kanczler, G. Tozzi, U. Wolfram, Nonlinear micro finite element models
 based on digital volume correlation measurements predict early
 microdamage in newly formed bone, Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials 132 (2022) 105303. URL:
 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1751616122002168.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2022.105303.

572	² [22] M. Palanca, S. Oliviero, E. Dall'Ara, Microfe models of porcine	
573	vertebrae with induced bone focal lesions: Validation of predicted	
574	displacements with digital volume correlation, Journal of the Me-	
575	chanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials 125 (2022) 104872. URL:	
576	https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S175161612	1005087.
577	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2021.104872.	

- ⁵⁷⁸ [23] D. Wu, T. Joffre, C. Ohman Mägi, S. J. Ferguson, C. Persson,
 P. Isaksson, A combined experimental and numerical method to
 estimate the elastic modulus of single trabeculae, Journal of the
 Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials 125 (2022) 104879. URL:
 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1751616121005130.
- doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2021.104879.
- Tozzi, [24] M. Palanca, G. L. Cristofolini, The use of dig-584 biomechanical ital image correlation in the area: \mathbf{a} 585 review, International Biomechanics 3 (2015)1 - 21.586 URL: https://doi.org/10.1080/23335432.2015.1117395. 587 doi:10.1080/23335432.2015.1117395. 588
- ⁵⁸⁹ [25] C. M. Disney, P. D. Lee, J. A. Hoyland, M. J. Sherratt, B. K. Bay, A
 ⁵⁹⁰ review of techniques for visualising soft tissue microstructure deforma⁵⁹¹ tion and quantifying strain ex vivo, JOURNAL OF MICROSCOPY
 ⁵⁹² 272 (2018) 165–179. doi:10.1111/jmi.12701, 5th Annual Symposium on
 ⁵⁹³ Tomography for Scientific Advancement (ToScA), Univ Portsmouth,
 ⁵⁹⁴ Portsmouth, ENGLAND, 2017.

595 [26] E. Dall'Ara, A. Bodey, H. Isaksson, G. Tozzi, A practical

guide for in situ mechanical testing of musculoskeletal tis sues using synchrotron tomography, Journal of the Mechani cal Behavior of Biomedical Materials 133 (2022) 105297. URL:
 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1751616122002107.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2022.105297.

[27] G. Tozzi, E. Dall'Ara, M. Palanca, M. Curto, F. Innocente, L. Cristo-601 folini, Strain uncertainties from two digital volume correlation 602 approaches in prophylactically augmented vertebrae: Local analysis 603 on bone and cement-bone microstructures, Journal of the Me-604 chanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials 67 (2017) 117–126. URL: 605 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1751616116304222. 606 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2016.12.006. 607

- [28] M. Ruspi, M. Palanca, C. Faldini, L. Cristofolini, Full-field in vitro
 investigation of hard and soft tissue strain in the spine by means of
 digital image correlation, Muslces, Ligaments and Tendons Journal
 07 (2019) 538. URL: https://doi.org/10.32098/mltj.04.2017.08.
 doi:10.32098/mltj.04.2017.08.
- [29] V. A. A. Santamaria, M. F. Garcia, J. Molimard, S. Avril, Characterization of chemoelastic effects in arteries using digital volume correlation and optical coherence tomography, ACTA BIOMATERIALIA 102
 (2020) 127–137. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2019.11.049.
- ⁶¹⁷ [30] J. Sartori, S. Kohring, S. Bruns, J. Moosmann, J. U. Hammel,
 ⁶¹⁸ Gaining insight into the deformation of achilles tendon entheses

in mice, ADVANCED ENGINEERING MATERIALS 23 (2021). doi:10.1002/adem.202100085.

- [31] C. M. Disney, J. Mo, A. Eckersley, A. J. Bodey, J. A. Hoyland,
 M. J. Sherratt, A. A. Pitsillides, P. D. Lee, B. K. Bay, Regional
 variations in discrete collagen fibre mechanics within intact intervertebral disc resolved using synchrotron computed tomography and digital volume correlation, ACTA BIOMATERIALIA 138 (2022) 361–374.
 doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2021.10.012.
- [32] C. M. Disney, A. Eckersley, J. C. McConnell, H. Geng, A. J. Bodey,
 J. A. Hoyland, P. D. Lee, M. J. Sherratt, B. K. Bay, Synchrotron tomography of intervertebral disc deformation quantified by digital volume
 correlation reveals microstructural influence on strain patterns, ACTA
 BIOMATERIALIA 92 (2019) 290–304. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2019.05.021.
- [33] K. Rankin, J. Steer, J. Paton, M. Mavrogordato, A. Marter, P. Worsley, M. Browne, A. Dickinson, Developing an analogue residual limb for
 comparative dvc analysis of transtibial prosthetic socket designs, MATERIALS 13 (2020). doi:10.3390/ma13183955.
- [34] E. L. Pierce, C. H. Bloodworth, A. Naran, T. F. Easley, M. O.
 Jensen, A. P. Yoganathan, Novel method to track soft tissue deformation by micro-computed tomography: Application to the mitral valve,
 ANNALS OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING 44 (2016) 2273–2281.
 doi:10.1007/s10439-015-1499-9.
- ⁶⁴¹ [35] A. Nahas, M. Bauer, S. Roux, A. C. Boccara, 3d static elastography

- at the micrometer scale using full field OCT, Biomedical Optics Ex press 4 (2013) 2138. URL: https://doi.org/10.1364/boe.4.002138.
 doi:10.1364/boe.4.002138.
- [36] F. Meng, C. Chen, S. Hui, J. Wang, Y. Feng, C. Sun, Three-645 dimensional static optical coherence elastography based on 646 inverse compositional digital volume gauss-newton correla-647 tion, Journal of Biophotonics 12 (2019)e201800422. URL: 648 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jbio.201800422. 649 doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/jbio.201800422. 650
- arXiv:https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/jbio.201800422.
- [37] A. Fedorov, R. Beichel, J. Kalpathy-Cramer, J. Finet, J.-C. 652 Fillion-Robin, S. Pujol, C. Bauer, D. Jennings, F. Fennessy, 653 M. Sonka, J. Buatti, S. Aylward, J. V. Miller, S. Pieper, R. Kiki-654 nis, 3d slicer as an image computing platform for the quanti-655 tative imaging network, Magnetic Resonance Imaging 30 (2012) 656 1323-1341. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2012.05.001. 657 doi:10.1016/j.mri.2012.05.001. 658
- [38] A. Buljac, C. Jailin, A. Mendoza, T. Taillandier-Thomas, A. Bouterf,
 J. Neggers, B. Smaniotto, F. Hild, S. Roux, Digital volume correlation: Review on progress and challenges, Exp. Mech. (2018). URL:
 http://doi.org/10.1007/s11340-018-0390-7.
- [39] F. Hild, A. Bouterf, L. Chamoin, F. Mathieu, J. Neggers, F. Pled,
 Z. Tomičević, S. Roux, Toward 4d mechanical correlation, Adv. Mech.
 Simul. Eng. Sci. 3 (2016) 1–26.

- [40] D. Claire, F. Hild, S. Roux, A finite element formulation to identify
 damage fields: The equilibrium gap method, Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng.
 61 (2004) 189–208.
- [41] A. Mendoza, J. Neggers, F. Hild, S. Roux, Complete Mechanical Regularization Applied to Digital Image and Volume Correlation, Computer
 Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 355 (2019) 27–43.
- [42] F. Hild, S. Roux, Digital image correlation, in: P. Rastogi, E. Hack
 (Eds.), Optical Methods for Solid Mechanics. A Full-Field Approach,
 Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (Germany), 2012, pp. 183–228.
- [43] A. Tsitova, F. Bernachy-Barbe, B. Bary, S. A. Dandachli, C. Bourcier,
 B. Smaniotto, F. Hild, Damage quantification via digital volume
 correlation with heterogeneous mechanical regularization: Application
 to an in situ meso-flexural test on mortar, Experimental Mechanics (2021). URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11340-021-00778-7.
 doi:10.1007/s11340-021-00778-7.
- [44] R. Naylor, F. Hild, C. Fagiano, M. Hirsekorn, Y. Renollet, B. Tranquart,
 E. Baranger, Mechanically regularized FE DIC for heterogeneous materials, Experimental Mechanics 59 (2019) 1159–1170.
- [45] J. Y. Rho, R. B. Ashman, C. H. Turner, Young's modulus of trabecular and cortical bone material: Ultrasonic and microtensile measurements, Journal of Biomechanics 26 (1993)
 111–119. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9290(93)90042-d. doi:10.1016/0021-9290(93)90042-d.

- [46] K. D. Hunt, V. D. O'Loughlin, D. W. Fitting, L. Adler, Ultrasonic
 determination of the elastic modulus of human cortical bone, Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing 36 (1998) 51-56. URL:
 https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02522857. doi:10.1007/bf02522857.
- The [47] B. B. Seedhom, E. Berry, A. E. Ostell, M. Cuppone, 693 longitudinal young?s modulus of cortical bone in the mid-694 of human femur and its correlation with CT scanning shaft 695 data, Calcified Tissue International 74 (2004) 302–309. URL: 696 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00223-002-2123-1. doi:10.1007/s00223-697 002-2123-1. 698
- [48] J. L. Forman, R. W. Kent, Modeling costal cartilage using local material properties with consideration for gross heterogeneities, Journal of Biomechanics 44 (2011) 910–916.
 URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.11.034.
 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.11.034.
- [49] L. W. Huwe, W. E. Brown, J. C. Hu, K. A. Athanasiou, Characterization of costal cartilage and its suitability as a cell source for articular cartilage tissue engineering, Journal of Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine 12 (2018) 1163–1176. URL: https://doi.org/10.1002/term.2630. doi:10.1002/term.2630.
- [50] M. Griffin, G. O'Toole, W. Sabbagh, M. Szarko, P. Butler, Comparison
 of the compressive mechanical properties of auricular and costal cartilage from patients with microtia, Journal of Biomechanics 103 (2020)

- 109688. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2020.109688.
 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2020.109688.
- [51] Y. Payan, J. Ohayon, Biomechanics of Living Organs: Hy-714 perelastic Constitutive Laws for Finite Element Modeling, 715 Biomechanics of Living Organs, Elsevier Science, 2017. URL: 716 https://books.google.fr/books?id=9WVJvgAACAAJ. 717
- [52] A. Mîra, A.-K. Carton, S. Muller, Y. Payan, A biomechanical
 breast model evaluated with respect to mri data collected in three
 different positions, Clinical Biomechanics 60 (2018) 191–199. URL:
 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0268003318301426.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2018.10.020.
- [53] P. J. Besl, N. D. McKay, A Method for Registration of 3-D Shapes,
 IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 14
 (1992) 239–256. doi:10.1109/34.121791.
- [54] A. Buljac, T. Taillandier-Thomas, L. Helfen, T. Morgeneyer, F. Hild,
 Evaluation of measurement uncertainties of digital volume correlation
 applied to laminography data, Journal of Strain Analysis for Engineering
 Design 53 (2018) 49–65.
- [55] F. Hild, A. Bouterf, S. Roux, Damage Measurements via DIC, International Journal of Fracture 191 (2015) 77–105.
- [56] H. Leclerc, J. Périé, S. Roux, F. Hild, Voxel-scale digital volume correlation, Exp. Mech. 51 (2011) 479–490.

- ⁷³⁴ [57] T. Taillandier-Thomas, S. Roux, T. Morgeneyer, F. Hild, Localized
 ⁷³⁵ strain field measurement on laminography data with mechanical regu⁷³⁶ larization, Nucl. Inst. Meth. Phys. Res. B 324 (2014) 70–79.
- [58] H. Leclerc, J. Périé, F. Hild, S. Roux, Digital volume correlation: What
 are the limits to the spatial resolution?, Mech. & Indust. 13 (2012)
 361–371.

740 List of Figures

741	1	(a) Left quarter of a female thorax $(0.27 \text{ m} \times 0.26 \text{ m} \times 0.06 \text{ m})$	
742		in size) injected with a physiological serum and fastened to	
743		a wooden plate using plastic straps. Fifteen biomarkers were	
744		placed on the surface and inside the breast. -60° (b) and -45°	
745		(c) positions in the CT-scanner for the studied configurations.	6
746	2	Phase-based mesh construction of the -60° configuration in	
747		the axial plane. (a) Phase segmentation (tissue in pink, bones	
748		in white and cartilage in yellow) shown on the original scan.	
749		(b) Section of a segmented image with the different phases and	
750		the artificial skin layer that was added. (c) Phase-based mesh	
751		(courtesy of Synopsys) is shown on the original scan. \ldots .	7
752	3	Sections of segmented volumes corresponding to (a) -60° and	
753		(b) -45° configurations. Phase-based images were created for	
754		the second step $(i.e., \text{ cartilage in white, bones in light gray,}$	
755		tissue in dark gray and air in black). The phase of confined	
756		air was included.	12
757	4	Sections of -60° (a) and -45° (b) configurations obtained with	
758		an RX-Solutions CT-scanner (voxel size of $0.34\mathrm{mm}$) in the	
759		axial plane. These volumes were used during the third step of	
760		the DVC procedure.	13
761	5	Sections of -60° original volume (a) and translated volume (b)	
762		corrupted with white Gaussian noise whose standard deviation	
763		was equal to 18.3 gray levels	15

764	6	(a) Reference (-60°) and (b) deformed (-45°) meshes based on	
765		the marker motions. The wireframe meshes are superimposed	
766		over orthoslices of their respective volumes. The biomarkers	
767		are shown as colored disks	16
768	7	(a) Gray level residuals are shown within the region of interest	
769		defined by the considered mesh. (b) From left to right: gray	
770		level histograms of the reference volume I_0 , deformed volume	
771		I_t , and DVC residuals ρ shown in sub-figure (a)	17
772	8	Displacement field expressed in voxels (1 vx \equiv 0.34 mm) for	
773		the DVC analysis on the segmented images. (a) u_x , (b) u_y and	
774		(c) u_z components plotted on the deformed mesh	18
775	9	Convergence analysis. (a) RMS gray level residuals and (b)	
776		number of iterations of the DVC algorithm as functions of the	
777		regularization length $\ell_{\rm reg}$ for three different elastic contrast	
778		settings	19
779	10	(a) Gray level residuals for the last DVC analysis. (b) From	
780		left to right: gray level histograms of the reference volume	
781		I_0 , deformed volume I_t , and DVC residuals ρ shown in sub-	
782		figure (a)	21
783	11	Displacement fields expressed in voxels (1 vx $\equiv 0.34$ mm) for	
784		the last DVC analysis displayed on the mesh without the outer	
785		skin layer. (a) u_x , (b) u_y and (c) u_z components plotted on	
786		the deformed mesh. (d) u_y component from another view-	
787		point to highlight the displacement discontinuity around the	
788		inframammary fold (black ellipse)	22

789	12	Strain fields for the last DVC analysis displayed on the mesh	
790		without the skin layer. (a) E_{xx} , (b) E_{yy} , (c) E_{zz} , (d) E_{xy} ,	
791		(e) E_{xz} and (f) E_{yz} components. The soft tissue underwent	
792		large strains (including shear in particular in the inframam-	
793		mary fold) up to 25% in magnitude	23
794	13	Standard displacement (a) and strain (b) uncertainties as func-	
795		tions of the regularization length $\ell_{\rm reg}$ for an elastic contrast of	
796		$C_b = 10^6$, $C_c = 10^4$ and $C_t = 1$. The dashed lines show power	
797		law interpolations with exponent -1.5 for the displacements	
798		and -2.5 for the strains	24
799	14	Superposition of the deformed mesh resulting from DVC (red)	
800		with the expected mesh obtained from the segmentation of the	
801		deformed configuration (black)	25
802	B.15	Evolution of the deformed mesh with the regularization length.	
803		Segmentation results are in a black wireframe and the DVC	
804		results are in red. (a) $\ell_{\rm reg} = 500$ vx, (b) $\ell_{\rm reg} = 200$ vx,	
805		(c) $\ell_{\rm reg}~=~100$ vx, (d) $\ell_{\rm reg}~=~50$ vx, (e) $\ell_{\rm reg}~=~20$ vx and	
806		(f) $\ell_{\rm reg} = 5 \text{ vx.} \dots \dots$	31

⁸⁰⁷ List of Tables

808	1	RMSE computed between the DVC deformed surface and the
809		corresponding segmented surface. The data in parentheses
810		correspond to homogeneous regularization and the other ones
811		to the highest elastic contrasts