

An early origin of iron–sulfur cluster biosynthesis machineries before Earth oxygenation

Pierre Simon Garcia, Francesca D'angelo, Sandrine Ollagnier de Choudens, Macha Dussouchaud, Emmanuelle Bouveret, Simonetta Gribaldo, Frédéric

Barras

▶ To cite this version:

Pierre Simon Garcia, Francesca D'angelo, Sandrine Ollagnier de Choudens, Macha Dussouchaud, Emmanuelle Bouveret, et al.. An early origin of iron–sulfur cluster biosynthesis machineries before Earth oxygenation. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2022, 6, pp.1564-1572. 10.1038/s41559-022-01857-1. hal-03780728

HAL Id: hal-03780728 https://hal.science/hal-03780728v1

Submitted on 12 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	An early origin of Iron-Sulfur cluster biosynthesis machineries
2	before Earth oxygenation
3	
4	Pierre Simon Garcia ^{1,2} , Francesca D'Angelo* ¹ , Sandrine Ollagnier-de Choudens* ³ ,
5	Macha Dussouchaud ¹ , Emmanuelle Bouveret ¹ , Simonetta Gribaldo ^{2#} , Frédéric
6	Barras ^{1#}
7	
8	¹ Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR6047, Department of
9	Microbiology, Unit Stress Adaptation and Metabolism in enterobacteria, Paris, France
10	² Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR6047, Department of
11	Microbiology, Unit Evolutionary Biology of the Microbial Cell, Paris, France
12	³ Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, CEA, Laboratoire de Chimie et Biologie des
13	Métaux, F-38000 Grenoble, France
14	
15	# for correspondence:
16	simonetta.gribaldo@pasteur.fr
17	frederic.barras@pasteur.fr
18	* These authors contributed equally to this work

19 Abstract

Iron-sulfur (Fe-S) clusters are ubiquitous co-factors essential for life. It is largely 20 thought that the emergence of oxygenic photosynthesis and progressive oxygenation 21 of the atmosphere led to the origin of multiprotein machineries (ISC, NIF, and SUF) 22 23 assisting Fe-S cluster synthesis in the presence of oxidative stress and shortage of bioavailable iron. However, previous analyses have left unclear the origin and 24 25 evolution of these systems. Here, we combine exhaustive homology searches with genomic context analysis and phylogeny to precisely identify Fe-S cluster biogenesis 26 27 systems in over 10,000 archaeal and bacterial genomes. We highlight the existence 28 of two additional and clearly distinct "minimal" Fe-S cluster assembly machineries, MIS and SMS, which we infer in the Last Universal Common Ancestor (LUCA), and 29 we experimentally validate SMS as a bona fide Fe-S cluster biogenesis system. 30 31 These ancestral systems were kept in Archaea whereas they went through stepwise complexification in Bacteria to incorporate additional functions for higher Fe-S cluster 32 33 synthesis efficiency leading to SUF, ISC, and NIF. Horizontal gene transfers and losses then shaped the current distribution of these systems, driving ecological 34 35 adaptations such as the emergence of aerobic lifestyles in archaea. Our results show that dedicated machineries were in place early in evolution to assist Fe-S cluster 36 37 biogenesis, and that their origin is not directly linked to Earth oxygenation.

38 **Main**

Iron-Sulfur (Fe-S) clusters are ubiquitous and essential protein cofactors that allow 39 redox chemistry and Lewis acid-type catalysis for hundreds of structurally and 40 functionally diverse proteins in a wide array of processes associated with 41 bioenergetics, metabolism, DNA synthesis, and genetic regulation¹. Studies in model 42 systems, both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, have identified three Fe-S cluster 43 biogenesis machineries^{2,3}: ISC, considered as the housekeeping system, SUF, 44 acting as a backup in stress conditions, and NIF, specialized in the maturation of 45 nitrogenase in N₂ fixing bacteria^{2,3}. Eukaryotic Fe-S cluster assembly machineries 46 (ISC in mitochondria and SUF in photosynthetic lineages) are of endosymbiotic origin 47 from Alphaproteobacteria and Cyanobacteria, respectively⁴. Although made of 48 different components, these three systems facilitate Fe-S cluster biogenesis following 49 the same basic principles (Figure 1A): a cysteine desulfurase takes sulfur from L-50 cysteine and transfers it as a persulfide onto a scaffold protein, which also receives 51 Fe²⁺ and electrons to build an Fe-S cluster that is then transferred to cellular apo-52 protein targets via carrier proteins^{2,5}. 53

A largely held view posits that in early life, anaerobiosis, combined with high 54 availability of ferrous iron and sulfur, allowed spontaneous assembly of Fe-S 55 clusters^{6,7}. Subsequent oxygenation of the atmosphere following the emergence of 56 photosynthesis would have then led to increasingly unfavourable conditions for Fe-S 57 based biology as ferrous iron level decreased, reactive oxygen species formed, and 58 oxidized sulfur became more difficult to assimilate. This would have favoured the 59 60 emergence of protein machineries (ISC, SUF and NIF) enabling Fe-S cluster biogenesis, distribution, and protection^{8,9}. 61

62 To date only two studies have tackled the issue of the origin and evolution of Fe-S biogenesis machineries but reached contradictory conclusions. An early survey 63 suggested the presence of SUF in the Last Universal Common Ancestor (LUCA) 64 based on the presence of homologues of SufBC in both Archaea and Bacteria¹⁰. In 65 contrast, another study proposed that SUF originated in the Archaea, followed by 66 massive horizontal transfers to Bacteria based on a SufBC phylogeny¹¹. These 67 contrasting results derive probably by the limited taxonomic sampling used and the 68 lack of extensive phylogenomic analysis. 69

The uttermost importance of Fe-S-based biology for all living organisms prompted us to carry with an exhaustive large-scale analysis including a larger fraction of prokaryotic diversity, and notably the Archaea, for which very little is known about Fe-S cluster synthesis.

74

75 Expanded diversity of Fe-S cluster biogenesis reveals two additional systems

76 To infer the evolutionary history of Fe-S cluster systems, it is first necessary to precisely identify them, a task hampered by the fact that most components are part of 77 very large multiprotein families. Therefore, we combined exhaustive homology 78 searches with analysis of genomic context and phylogeny to delineate the protein 79 80 families corresponding to each component of the ISC, NIF, and SUF systems in 81 10,865 prokaryotic genomes covering all current bacterial and archaeal diversity 82 (Figure 1B, Tables S1, S2, and S3, Supplementary data). Our results show that the cysteine desulfurase and scaffold are core components shared among SUF. ISC and 83 84 NIF machineries (Figure 1B, light blue and orange, respectively). Cysteine desulfurases SufS, NifS, and IscS form distinct clades suggesting that they were 85 86 each recruited from the same large family and evolved independently. A similar 87 evolution can be inferred for the U-type scaffold proteins (SufU, NifU, and IscU). In contrast, the SUF-type scaffold proteins belong to two separate families (SufBD and 88 89 SufC) (Figure 1B). These core components integrated additional proteins recruited 90 from various families to form the SUF, NIF and ISC systems (Figure 1B).

91 Interestingly, the combination of phylogenies and genomic context analyses revealed the existence of two additional "minimal" Fe-S cluster biogenesis systems, which we 92 called MIS (Minimal Iron Sulfur) and SMS (SUF-like Minimal System) (Figure 1C). 93 They are each composed of two components, which form phylogenetically distinct 94 95 families and whose genes are adjacent in the genomes (Figure 1B and C). The MIS system is made of a pair of IscS/IscU-like homologs (a cysteine desulfurase and a 96 97 scaffold, respectively), which we call MisS/MisU (Figure 1B and C). As a matter of 98 fact, MIS systems from the archaeon *Methanosarcina acetivorans* and the bacterium Helicobacter pylori have been shown to catalyse Fe-S cluster biogenesis in vitro, but 99 were misidentified as ISC and NIF, respectively^{12,13}. 100

The second minimal system (SMS) is composed of two homologs of the SUF scaffold
proteins SufBC, which we called SmsCB (because of the order of the two genes,
Figure 1B and C). This system is totally uncharacterized and does not include any

component predicted to have a cysteine desulfurase activity, hence leaving open 104 105 what might be the source of sulfur. We therefore tested SmsCB from two archaea, M. 106 acetivorans and Methanocaldococcus jannaschii, for their capacity to promote Fe-S 107 cluster biogenesis. Using the bacterial two-hybrid system, we found that M. 108 *jannaschii* SmsB and SmsC form homo and heterodimers (Figure 2A, Extended Data 109 figure 1AB), suggesting the existence of a SmsCB complex. We then cloned M. acetivorans and M. jannaschii smsCB on an expression plasmid into E. coli and 110 purified the corresponding complexes under anaerobic conditions (Figure 2B). In 111 112 both cases, a complex of SmsCB proteins was evidenced as SmsB co-eluted with 113 His-tagged SmsC. UV-visible spectra of both SmsCB complexes were recorded and 114 an absorption band at 420 nm, characteristic of an Fe-S cluster, was observed for in 115 each (Figure 2CD). Quantitative measurements showed 4.05 \pm 0.02 Fe and 3.8 \pm 116 0.02 S per *M. acetivorans* SmsCB dimer and 0.15 \pm 0.02 Fe and 0.13 \pm 0.03 S per M. jannaschii SmsCB dimer. These results demonstrated that as-purified SmsCB 117 118 harbors Fe-S cluster(s). Next, Fe-S bound SmsCB complex from *M. acetivorans* was co-incubated with non-active apo-aconitase. Analysis over time showed that 119 120 aconitase activity resumed, indicating that *M. acetivorans* SmsCB complex 121 reactivated aconitase by transferring an Fe-S cluster and therefore acted as a 122 scaffold (Figure 2E). In parallel, the Fe-S bound SmsCB complex from *M. jannaschii* 123 was reconstituted in vitro after incubation with iron and sulfur salts under reducing 124 conditions (Extended Data figure 1C). The resulting *M. jannaschii* holo-SmsCB 125 complex was also found to reactivate apo-aconitase (Figure 2F). Altogether, these analyses show that SmsCB proteins bind Fe-S clusters and can promote reactivation 126 127 of a cellular apo-target by transferring their cluster.

To conclude, our phylogenomic and functional analyses revealed that the number
and the diversity of Fe-S cluster machineries are much larger than currently thought.

Fe-S cluster biogenesis systems are unevenly distributed in Bacteria and Archaea

We then analysed how the five systems, ISC, SUF, NIF, MIS, and SMS are distributed in prokaryotes. Except for some members of the *Lactobacillales* (*Aerococcaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Leuconostocaceae*) and a few intracellular bacteria (ex. *Mollicutes*), Fe-S biogenesis systems were found in all analysed genomes (Table S1, 2 and S3, and Supplementary Discussion). They are notably

present in the bacterial Candidate Phyla Radiation (CPR) and the archaeal DPANN 138 clade (Figure 3), despite their highly streamlined genomes^{14,15}. In general, we 139 observe the co-occurrence of components of each system, indicating overall co-140 evolution. This is the case of SufBCDSU in the SUF system, IscSU, IscX, HscAB, 141 142 Fdx, CyaY in the ISC system, and NifUS, IscAnif in the NIF system (Extended Data figure 2 and 3). A few exceptions likely correspond to components endowed with 143 alternative functions other than Fe-S cluster assembly. This is the example of SufT, 144 which exhibits a wider taxonomic distribution than the other SUF components 145 146 (Extended Data figure 2 and 3, and Supplementary Discussion).

Mapping of the five systems onto the reference phylogenies of Bacteria and Archaea shows a striking pattern. ISC and NIF are in fact limited to a few bacterial clades, whereas SUF and SMS are much more widespread and generally mutually exclusive in Bacteria and Archaea, respectively. Finally, MIS is present in both Bacteria and Archaea (Figure 3, Extended Data figure 1 and 2). Outside very few exceptions, mutual exclusion of the different systems refers to both core and accessory proteins (Extended Data figures 2, 3, and Table S3).

154 Our results show that SUF is the most widely distributed Fe-S cluster system in 155 Bacteria, as opposed to the much more studied ISC (43.5% of all genomes analysed 156 having SUF versus 7.3% having ISC). We also observe an overall distinct 157 composition of SUF between Terrabacteria (mostly SufBCDSU), and the Gracilicutes 158 (either SufBCDSU or SufBCDEA) (Extended Data figure 2). Moreover, most bacteria 159 possess only one system, indicating that the presence of both SUF and ISC in E. coli 160 and other Enterobacteria is rather an exception than the rule among Gammaproteobacteria (Extended Data figure 4). In contrast, in Archaea the MIS and 161 162 SMS systems largely co-occur, although they are never side by side in the genomes 163 (Figure 3).

164

165 SMS and MIS are ancestral systems that date back to the Last Universal 166 Common Ancestor

167 Our results establish the ubiquity of Fe-S cluster biogenesis across prokaryotes and 168 pose the question of what evolutionary processes shaped their current distribution.

We therefore analysed the phylogeny of each of the five systems (Methods). To increase the phylogenetic signal, we concatenated the homologous components shared by the SUF and SMS systems (SufBCD, and SmsBC, respectively). The 172 resulting tree shows a clear and well-supported separation of Bacteria (SufBCD) and 173 Archaea (SmsCB) (98% Bootstrap Value (BV)) consistent with their distinctive 174 genomic contexts (Figure 4A), and the monophyly of major clades indicating vertical 175 inheritance (Extended Data figure 5). Also, the phylogenies of SufS, SufU and SufE 176 are overall congruent with the SufBCD tree (Extended Data figures 6-8). We thus 177 infer that SMS is ancestral to Archaea and SUF is ancestral to Bacteria. Because 178 both SUF and SMS systems share only the scaffold components SufBD/SmsB and 179 SufC/SmsC, we conclude that at least a minimal system -similar to present-day SMS-180 was already present in the Last Universal Common Ancestor (LUCA). The origin of 181 bacterial SufS remains unclear as two hypotheses can be formulated based on 182 phylogeny (Extended Data figure 6, Supplementary data). The presence of a small 183 sister clade of archaeal cysteine desulfurases in the phylogeny of the superfamily 184 (Supplementary data) may suggest that SufS was recruited to work in the bacterial 185 SUF system from an ancestral cysteine desulfurase already present in the LUCA. 186 Alternatively, the whole clade including the few archaeal cysteine desulfurases and the bacterial SufS may have diverged from MisS. Concerning the other components, 187 188 SufU may have derived from MisU, and SufE would have appeared de novo in 189 Bacteria.

190 The phylogeny of the U-type scaffold protein superfamily being poorly resolved 191 (Supplementary data), we could not concatenate MisS and MisU for a combined 192 phylogenetic analysis of the MIS system. Yet the phylogeny of MisS shows the 193 monophyly of many phyla and the separation of Archaea and Bacteria (Figure 4B, 194 Extended Data figure 9). Together with the widespread distribution of MIS, these 195 results may indicate its presence in the LUCA. Still, one should remain cautious with 196 this interpretation as the short branch separating Bacteria and Archaea in the MisS 197 tree differ with those shown by other proteins inferred in the LUCA.

198 Interestingly, the NIF system, which can be clearly identified by the conserved 199 placement of *nifS* and *nifU* within the *nif* operon (Extended Data figure 9) appears to 200 have originated recently from MIS ancestors, as NifS and NifU branch within the 201 MisS and MisU clades, respectively (Figure 2A, 4B, and Extended Data figure 9). 202 Interestingly, no NIF Fe-S biogenesis system is found in the nitrogen fixing archaea (i.e. methanogens such as Methanosarcinales or Methanococcales). The presence of 203 SMS in these archaeal lineages leaves open the possibility that SMS might 204 205 contribute to nitrogenase maturation in these species.

206 Finally, the phylogeny inferred from a concatenation of ISC components (IscU, IscS, 207 IscX, HscA, HscB, Fdx and CyaY), combined with their limited taxonomic distribution, 208 confirms a much more recent origin of this system within the *Gracilicutes*, followed by 209 vertical inheritance and several losses (Extended Data figure 10 and Sup 210 Discussion). IscS and IscU branch close to MisS and MisU, respectively (Figure 1B). Together with the much older origin inferred for MIS, this suggests that the cysteine 211 212 desulfurase and scaffolds of ISC were recruited from MIS components. Given the limited resolution of the cysteine desulfurase and U-type scaffold phylogenies 213 214 (Supplementary data), it remains unclear if IscSU and NifSU emerged from gene 215 duplication or horizontal gene transfers.

216 Altogether, our results show that the emergence of the machineries to assemble Fe-

217 S clusters is very ancient and occurred in the LUCA, therefore well before the GOE.

218

219 Horizontal gene transfers drove major adaptations in Archaea and Bacteria

- 220 Given the clear early divergence of the two systems, the presence of SUF in a few archaea and SMS in a few bacteria (Figure 3) can be attributed to interdomain 221 222 horizontal gene transfers (HGTs) with replacement of the original version (Figure 4A 223 and Extended Data figure 5). Replacement of the original SMS by complete SUF 224 systems from different bacteria match closely the emergence of archaeal lineages 225 that adapted to aerobic lifestyles, such as marine group II (Thalassoarchaea) and III 226 (Pontarchaea), extreme halophiles (Haloarchaea), marine and soil ammonia 227 oxidizers (AOA, Thaumarchaea), the thermoacidophilic Thermoplasmatales, and the aerobic members of Crenarchaeota and Asgard (Figure 3, Extended Data figures 5-228 229 8). These results strongly suggest that bacteria were the first to conquer fully 230 oxygenated niches and that HGTs from them -likely favoured by the tight clustering of 231 components in the genomes - helped the archaea to diversify into a larger range of 232 environments. Conversely, HGTs introduced SMS systems from archaea into a few bacterial lineages, possibly providing higher fit to strict anaerobic conditions 233 234 (Extended Data figure 5).
- Strikingly, our results indicate that *Cyanobacteria* also likely replaced their original SUF system (SufBCDSU) by a more complex SufBCDSEA acquired through HGT from *Proteobacteria*, likely *Nitrosomonadales* (Figure 4A). A more detailed phylogeny, including a larger taxonomic sampling, points to an early event, just after the divergence of *Gloeobacter* (Supplementary figure 1). By integrating SufE, a sulfur

acceptor that allows to accumulate sulfides, thereby preventing free sulfide to harm 240 cell components, and SufA (ATC-II), a carrier that optimises Fe-S delivery under 241 242 oxidative stress, this transfer was likely an asset to fight oxidative stress. Therefore, it may have allowed *Cyanobacteria* to cope with increasing levels of oxygen produced 243 244 by their own activity, *de facto* helping the emergence of oxygenic photosynthesis. These observations challenge the alleged evolutionary connection between the origin 245 of Fe-S cluster biogenesis and the emergence of oxygenic photosynthesis, but also 246 247 fit with the idea that increasingly sophisticated systems arose to protect from the 248 harmful effect of oxygen. Interestingly, Cyanobacteria appear to have also acquired their NIF system from Proteobacteria providing increased protection to the highly 249 250 oxygen-sensitive nitrogenase in diazotrophs of this phylum (Figure 4B and Extended 251 Data figure 9).

252

253 A global scenario for the evolution of Fe-S cluster biogenesis

Our results allow us to draw a comprehensive scenario for the origin and evolution of Fe-S cluster biogenesis machineries (Figure 5). SMS and possibly MIS were already present in the LUCA. MIS would have included a cysteine desulfurase (MisS) and a U-type scaffold (MisU), while SMS would have included a SufBD superfamily scaffold (SmsB) and an AAA+ ATPase (SmsC). These systems remained unchanged in the Archaea, while they became progressively more complex in Bacteria.

260 The emergence of SUF may have occurred through the following steps prior to the 261 divergence of all Bacteria: (i) duplication of MisS or speciation from another member 262 of this large superfamily to give rise to a cysteine desulfurase (SufS); (ii) duplication of SmsB to give rise to SufB and SufD, and speciation of SmsC to give rise to SufC; 263 264 (iii) duplication of MisU to give rise to SufU (and limiting its function to sulfur acceptor 265 only). Further complexification occurred in the Gracilicutes, with the recruitment of 266 SufA from the family of A-type carrier proteins, and the possible *de novo* origin of 267 SufE as an alternative sulfur acceptor (consistent with an almost mutually exclusive 268 pattern with SufU).

Subsequent independent multiple horizontal gene transfers occurred both intra and inter domains. More specifically, archaeal SMS systems were transferred to a few anaerobic bacteria, while bacterial SUF systems were transferred to a few aerobic archaea. These transfers largely involved replacement of the native system. ISC emerged within the *Gracilicutes* by the following steps: (i) probable duplication of MisU and MisS to give rise to IscU and IscS; (ii) recruitment of DnaK and DnaJ chaperones to give rise to HscA and HscB; (iii) recruitment of a ferredoxin (Fdx); (iii) *de novo* emergence of a frataxin (CyaY) and IscX; (iv) addition of an A-type carrier (IscA), possibly from several duplications or transfers of SufA. The ISC system was mostly vertically inherited and lost many times independently in the *Gracilicutes*.

NIF emerged in the *Proteobacteria* probably by integrating components of the MIS
system (MisS and MisU) to give rise to NifS and NIfU, and an A-type carrier (IscAnif).
These components were embedded into the large NIF operon involved in
nitrogenase synthesis and maturation. Like ISC, the NIF system was vertically
inherited but massively lost many times independently in *Proteobacteria*.

Finally, the NIF and SUF systems of *Cyanobacteria* were acquired early in this phylum by transfer from *Proteobacteria*.

286

287 Discussion

Our analyses suggest that, despite thriving in stable and favourable environmental 288 289 conditions (i.e., in absence of oxygen and abundance of iron and sulfur), the LUCA 290 already harboured machineries to carry out Fe-S cluster biogenesis. These results 291 challenge previous hypotheses that stated an emergence of Fe-S cluster biogenesis machineries in response to Earth oxygenation^{8,6,9}. In addition, they infer their 292 293 presence already in the LUCA and not an emergence in the Archaea as recently 294 proposed¹¹. Moreover, our large taxonomic sampling and integrated phylogenomic 295 analyses provide a new framework for the origin and subsequent evolution of Fe-S cluster biogenesis systems across the two prokaryotic domains. Given the bacterial 296 297 origin of Fe-S cluster biogenesis machineries in eukaryotic chloroplasts and mitochondria⁴, our results relate *de facto* to the whole diversity of life. 298

299 We infer that LUCA possessed at least one cysteine desulfurase MisS and two 300 scaffold systems, the single component MisU and the two-components SmsCB. The 301 fact that the ancestral SMS system was composed of a simple SmsCB scaffold raises the question of what might have been the source of sulfur. One possibility is 302 303 that environmental exogenous sulfide was used as sulfur source instead of Lcysteine, as proposed in the archaeon *Methanococcus maripaludis*¹⁶. However, the 304 SMS system is found in several present-day species thriving under anaerobic, non-305 306 sulfide-rich environments. An alternative hypothesis is that MIS would have provided

307 sulfur to SMS through its cysteine desulfurase MisS. Since contemporary sulfur acceptors such as SufE or CsdE share structural features with U-type scaffolds, 308 MisU could therefore have acted as a sulfur acceptor and SmsCB as the scaffold, 309 forming an ancestral common system in the LUCA. Such type of cooperation 310 311 between sulfur donor and scaffold systems that are not contiguous in the genomes has been indeed observed between *csdAE* and *sufBCD* in *E. coli*¹⁷. This functional 312 hypothesis may be consistent with the observation that acquisition of SUF systems 313 by horizontal transfer coincided with the loss of both original MIS and SMS systems. 314 315 Interestingly, such combination of MIS and SMS was largely retained in the Archaea, 316 possibly linked to their more limited range of lifestyles (i.e., strictly anaerobic) with 317 respect to Bacteria. Further experimental work on archaeal SMS and MIS will 318 therefore provide key information to test these functional hypotheses, which in turn 319 will allow to understand the mechanisms of Fe-S cluster biosynthesis in the LUCA.

320 During the diversification of Bacteria, these ancestral systems underwent progressive 321 complexification through the integration of new components, leading to contemporary SUF, ISC and NIF machineries. Concerning SUF, the emergence of additional 322 323 components may have served to increase efficiency for iron and sulfur capture, cope 324 with reactive oxygen species (ROS), and possibly mitigate sulfur derivative toxicity in 325 the presence of increasing levels of oxygen. However, in contrast with previous hypotheses¹¹, we inferred that these complexifications occurred way before the GOE, 326 327 pointing to an early need to cope with traces of oxygen, also in agreement with the ancestral inference of several oxygen-related enzymes in Bacteria^{18,19,20}. Horizontal 328 329 transfers of these specialized SUF systems then would have contributed to the adaptation to aerobic niches in archaea. In this view, we hypothesize that the 330 331 acquisition of a SUF from Proteobacteria early in the evolution of Cyanobacteria 332 brought increased oxygen tolerance in this phylum, thereby favouring the very 333 emergence of oxygenic photosynthesis.

More recently in the evolution of Bacteria, tinkering through the recruitment of cellular components from other processes, such as DnaKJ chaperones and ferredoxins, likely enhanced the efficiency of biogenesis and distribution of Fe-S clusters potentially under increasingly oxygenated conditions, giving rise to ISC. Finally, cooperation and physical association with other components led to the highly specialised NIF system, dedicated to the maturation of nitrogenase, a complex enzyme containing multiple metal cofactors and which is extremely sensitive to O_2 .

11

It may be asked if environmental adaptations are the only drivers of the variability of 341 Fe-S cluster biogenesis systems, their evolution and distribution. For example, while 342 the presence of SUF versus SMS is clearly linked to higher oxygen tolerance in both 343 344 bacteria and archaea, the distribution of SUF vs ISC in Proteobacteria does not seem 345 to be directly linked to a given ecological niche. It may therefore also be important to assess the impact of enrichment and diversification in the client Fe-S cluster 346 347 proteome. An integrated view including both the evolution of the Fe-S cluster machineries and the set of cellular client proteins is necessary to obtain a full 348 349 understanding of the co-evolution dynamics between both partners. Large-scale bioinformatics surveys, combined with ecological considerations and functional tests 350 351 in a wider range of experimental models, is a powerful approach to reconstruct the 352 evolutionary history of lineages and their fundamental cellular machineries.

In conclusion, our results pave the way for future studies aimed at fully revealing Fe-

354 S biology as a key process for ancient and modern life.

355 Material and Methods

356 Dataset assembly

357 We assembled a database of prokaryotic proteomes gathered from NCBI (Table S1). 2,143 archaeal genomes and 182,122 bacterial genomes were available (November 358 2019). The genomes missing .faa files were annotated using Prodigal v2.6.3 (default 359 360 parameters)²¹. The redundancy between strains was eliminated by keeping one genome per taxonomic ID, leading to 1,268 genomes for Archaea and 61,512 361 362 genomes for Bacteria. For Bacteria, we performed two additional steps of selection to reduce the number of genomes. In the first step, we made a whole-genome 363 comparison using FastANI v1.0 (kmer=16, frag_length=3,000)²² and clustered the 364 genomes using a threshold of 95% identity. At this step, we selected one genome per 365 cluster by considering the number of proteins annotated as reviewed in Uniprot, the 366 representative status of genome ("representative genome, "reference genome", "na"), 367 the availability of annotation files (.faa, .gff) in NCBI, and the completeness status 368 369 ("Complete genome", Chromosome", "Scaffold", "Contig"). This allowed to reduce to 370 18,407 bacterial genomes. In the second step, genomes were classified into phyla 371 (genomes with no phylum annotation on NCBI taxonomy were placed as a separate 372 group). Then, we further subsampled the genomes in each phylum by performing clustering based on the taxonomic marker RpoB and CDHIT v4.8.1 (default 373 parameters, percentage identity = 95%) and selecting one strain per cluster²³. This 374 375 led to a database of 9,596 representative bacterial proteomes. The proteome of 376 Azotobacter vinelandii was added manually because it is an important model for the 377 NIF system.

A search for homologues was performed, starting with a set of 26 prokaryotic 378 379 components of all Fe-S cluster biogenesis machineries (SUF, ISC, NIF). First, a similarity search was done using BLASTP v2.8.1+²⁴ in an iterative way, using an e-380 value threshold of 1e⁻⁴. The intermediate seeds for iterative BLASTP were selected 381 among the sequences with an e-value lower than the threshold. Sequences were 382 aligned using MAFFT v7.419 (auto option)²⁵. The alignment was then used to build 383 an HMM profile using HMMER v3.2.1²⁶ and to perform an HMM search on the 384 385 proteome database and hits with an e-value lower than 0.01 were kept. Sequences selected by BLASTP and HMM were aligned using MAFFT v7.419 (auto option). 386 387 Alignments were manually curated to eliminate highly divergent sequences, and were

used to infer preliminary trees using FASTTREE v2.1.10 (LG+G4)²⁷, with and without 388 trimming using BMGE v1.12 (BLOSUM30)²⁸. Orthologue subfamilies were delineated 389 manually based on preliminary trees, genomic context, taxonomic distribution, 390 391 domain composition and length of sequences. More precisely, for each family, tree has been inferred using FASTTREE v2.1.10 (LG+G4)²⁷, with and without trimming 392 using BMGE v1.12 (BLOSUM30)²⁸ and the different data have been mapped on it 393 (taxonomy, presence and vicinity of each other families involved in Fe-S cluster 394 biogenesis, domain composition and length of sequences). For huge multigenic 395 396 families, subtrees have been inferred to increase the phylogenetic signal in order to better delineate subfamilies. For some cases, trees with a more restricted taxonomic 397 398 sampling have been inferred to increase the phylogenetic signal. The delineation of 399 subfamilies has been made considering all the different data (Supplementary data, 400 trees available in https://itol.embl.de/shared/Pietrochkii).

To define each system in the taxonomic distribution, it should at least include a scaffold protein and another component whose genes are neighbours in the genomes: at least SufC and SufB or SufD for SUF; SmsB and SmsC for SMS; at least IscU and HscA, HscB, Fdx, ATC-II (IscA), IscS or IscX for ISC; at least NifU and NifS or IscA_{nif} in the NIF operon for NIF; MisU and MisS for MIS.

406

407 Phylogenetic inference

408 A representative subsample of strains was selected for tree inference. For archaeal 409 and bacterial reference phylogenies and for SUF/SMS and MIS phylogenies, up to 410 four genomes per major group were selected for Archaea and up to five genomes per phylum for Bacteria (150 Archaea, 401 Bacteria, Table S2). For the detailed SUF 411 phylogeny of Cyanobacteria, we kept this sampling and enriched the Cyanobacteria 412 413 with one strain per species (598 genomes). For ISC, we enriched some phyla: one 414 strain per genus was selected for Proteobacteria and a larger selection for other 415 clades (947 genomes). For NIF, we selected one strain per genus (2,173 genomes). 416 For the Gammaproteobacteria reference tree, we selected two strains per family (137 genomes). After taxonomic sampling, sequences of each protein family were aligned 417 418 using MAFFT (-linsi option) and trimmed using BMGE (entropy threshold =0.95, minimum length = 1, matrix = BLOSUM30). 419

420 Given the difficulty to test congruence on single gene trees with very large taxonomic 421 sampling²⁹, we chose the components to concatenate based on the following criteria: 422 (i) presenting a conserved synteny (ii) a co-occurrence; and (iii) an overall similar topology of the single-gene tree upon visual inspection. For SUF/SMS, a 423 concatenation of three trimmed alignments was performed (SmsB + SufB, SmsB+ 424 425 SufD and SmsC + SufC), keeping one species where a minimum of two markers 426 were present. This provided a supermatrix gathering 328 sequences and 919 amino 427 acid positions. For ISC, IscX, IscS, IscU, CvaY, Fdx, HscA and HscB, the trimmed alignments were concatenated (keeping taxa with five markers minimum) providing a 428 429 supermatrix including 178 sequences and 1530 aa positions. For NIF, a 430 concatenation of NifS and NifU was assembled, using MisS and MisU as external group (taxa with two markers minimum, 169 sequences and 656 positions). For each 431 432 supermatrix, we kept only one system per genome, favouring those closest to its 433 taxonomic group in individual gene trees (Table S3).

434 The bacterial species tree was inferred from a concatenation of RpoB, RpoC and If2 trimmed alignments (MAFFT+BMGE) leading to a supermatrix of 401 sequences and 435 436 2,574 aa positions. The archaeal species tree was inferred from a concatenation of 30 ribosomal proteins (rpIA, rpIB, rpIC, rpID, rpIE, rpIF, rpIK, rpIM, rpIN, rpIO, rpIR, 437 438 rpIV, rpIW, rpIX, rpmC, rpsB, rpsC, rpsD, rpsE, rpsG, rpsH, rpsI, rpsJ, rpsK, rpsL, 439 rpsM, rpsN, rpsO, rpsQ, rpsS), RpoB and If2 trimmed alignments (MAFFT+BMGE) 440 leading to a supermatrix of 150 sequences and 5,978 aa positions, in a similar way as previously described ³⁰. The bacterial and archaeal trees were rooted as 441 previously described ^{31,32}. The reference tree of *Gammaproteobacteria* was inferred 442 from a concatenation of RpoB, RpoC and If2 trimmed alignments (MAFFT+BMGE) 443 444 leading to a supermatrix of 137 sequences and 3,447 aa positions.

All trees were inferred using IQ-TREE v1.6. 10^{33} with the best-suited model selected by the BIC criterium (Table S5). We used the PMSF (posterior mean site frequency) option with 60 mixture categories³⁴, starting from phylogenies inferred with homogeneous models. The robustness of the branches was assessed using nonparametric bootstrap on 100 replicates of the original data. The genomic context was visualized using GeneSpy v1.2³⁵ and the figures were generated using iTOL ³⁶.

451

452 Bacterial strains and plasmid construction

The *E. coli* strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Table S6. Bacterial strains were routinely grown in aeration at 37°C in Luria-Bertani

broth (LB) and solid media contained 1.5% agar. When required, ampicillin or 455 kanamycin were added at the concentration of 100 µg/ml and 50 µg/ml, respectively. 456 457 The nucleotide sequences were codon-optimized for expression in *E. coli* and genes 458 have been ordered from Twist Bioscience (TWB) (Table S7). For purification 459 experiments, the sequences of smsCB genes of M. acetivorans and M. jannaschii 460 were cloned in the pET6His-Tev vector³⁷. These constructions enabled the 461 production of both N-terminal 6his-tagged SmsC and untagged SmsB proteins. For two-hybrid experiments, the sequences of SmsC and SmsB genes of *M. jannaschii* 462 were cloned in the pT18 and pT25 plasmids³⁸. Finally, all the plasmids were 463 sequenced using oligonucleotides T7 and T7term for pET vectors, or UT18 and UT25 464 465 oligonucleotides for two-hybrid vectors.

466

467 Expression and purification of SMS (SmsCB) from Methanococcus jannaschii and468 Methanosarcina acetivorans

469 Each SmsCB was expressed under aerobic conditions in Luria Broth medium. Each 470 SmsCB was expressed under aerobic conditions in Luria Broth medium. Expression 471 was carried out in MG1655(DE3) for 3 hours at 37°C under 0.5 mM IPTG induction. Harvested cells were resuspended anaerobically inside a glove box (Jacomex, O₂ < 472 473 2 ppm) in lysis buffer (100 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (cOmplete Ultra tablets), sonicated (12 min, 10/30 sec ON/OFF, 474 475 power 50%) and resulting lysates were clarified by ultracentrifugation (40,000 g, 20 476 min, 4°C). Supernatants were loaded anaerobically onto a 5 mL Ni-NTA column. 477 Column was washed using washing buffer (100 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole) and proteins were eluted with washing buffer containing 400 mM 478 479 imidazole. Fractions containing proteins (SmsC and SmsB) were desalted to remove 480 imidazole, using NAP-25 columns equilibrated with desalting buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl 481 pH 8, 150 mM NaCl buffer), and were analyzed by UV-visible absorption spectroscopy and for their iron and sulfur content^{39,40}. 482

483

484 In vitro Fe-S cluster transfer to Aconitase

To assess aconitase activation, apo-AcnB from *E. coli* (0.5 nmol) was pretreated with DTT (desalting using a Microbiospin column (Biorad)) and then incubated anaerobically in a glove box at 18 °C in aconitase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH: 7.6) with a 1.5-fold molar excess of the native SmsCB proteins from *M. acetivorans* (4 489 iron and 3.8 sulfur /SmsC2B2) or reconstituted SufCB from *M. jannaschii* (3.7 iron and 3.5 sulfur /SmsC2B2) in order to provide 4 iron and 4 sulfur atoms per AcnB. 490 After 5, 15, and 30 min incubation, aconitase activity was assessed as described in 491 492 ⁴¹. Briefly, AcnB-SmsCB mixtures were added to 0.6 mM MnCl₂, 25 mM citrate, 0.5 U 493 isocitric dehydrogenase, 0.25 mM NADP⁺, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, in a 100 µL final 494 volume and NADPH formation was monitored at 340 nm by UV-vis. absorption spectroscopy. The 100 % activity corresponds to the activity of the chemically 495 reconstituted AcnB prepared by incubating apo-AcnB with 5 molar excess of ferrous 496 iron and sulfur for 30 min in the presence of 5 mM DTT (2 μ mol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹). 497

498

499 UV-visible absorption spectroscopy

UV-visible spectra were recorded with a Uvikon XL (Bio-Tek instrument) 500 501 spectrophotometer connected to the glove box with optical fibers.

502

503 Bacterial two-hybrid

We used the adenylate cyclase-based two-hybrid technique⁴² to test pairwise 504 505 interactions between SmsC and SmsB proteins of M. jannaschii. After co-506 transformation of the BTH101 strain with the two plasmids expressing the fusions, LB 507 selection plates containing ampicillin and kanamycin were incubated at 30°C for 2 days. Then, overnight cultures in LB medium supplemented with ampicillin, 508 509 kanamycin and 0.5 mM IPTG were spotted on MacConkey plates containing 1% 510 maltose.

511

512 **Data Availability**

513 Supplementary data available at are 514 https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Garcia_et_al_2022_Supplementary_data/20209 877

- 515
- 516

517 Acknowledgements

We thank members of the SAMe and of the EBMC units for discussion. This project 518 519 was supported by Institut Pasteur and CNRS and by grants from the ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID, the LabEx ARCANE (ANR-11-LABX-0003-01), and the CBH- EUR-GS 520 (ANR-17-EURE-0003). 521

522

523 Author Contributions Statement

P.S.G, F.D., E.B., S.O.d.C., S.G., F.B. designed the experiment. P.S.G, F.D.,
S.O.d.C., M.D. performed the experiments. P.S.G, F.D., S.O.d.C., E.B., S.G., F.B.
analysed the data. P.S.G, S.G., F.B. wrote the manuscript. P.S.G, S.G., F.B.
revised the manuscript.

528

529 **Competing Interests Statement**

- 530 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 531

532 Figure Legends

533 Figure 1. A: Main known steps of Fe-S cluster biogenesis. B: Schematic 534 representation of families of homologues involved in the steps of Fe-S cluster 535 synthesis depicted in A. The name of the superfamily/conserved domain is indicated on the top. Each shape corresponds to a separate group based on phylogenies and 536 537 genomic context. Colours correspond to the function of proteins as in 1A, and grey correspond to proteins/genes with additional minor roles (IscX, CyaY, SufT, SufU). 538 539 The fillings of the different clades indicate their belonging to a specific system (SUF: 540 unordered squares; ISC: slanted hatchings; NIF: ordered squares; SMS: quartering; 541 MIS: dots; SUF and ISC: fully coloured; No specific system: empty). The empty light 542 grey shapes represent sequences that could not be attributed to a specific family 543 because they lack conserved synteny or belong to paralogue families. The MIS and 544 SMS components are in **bold**. **C**: Genomic clusters of three known systems for Fe-S cluster synthesis in Bacteria (ISC: Escherichia coli, NIF: Azotobacter vinelandii and 545 SUF: Escherichia coli), and the two newly identified MIS and SMS systems. Colours 546 547 correspond to the different biochemical roles as in A.

548

Figure 2. SMS is a *bona fide* Fe-S cluster biogenesis system. A. Bacterial two-hybrid 549 550 on *M. jannaschii* SmsCB proteins. Cultures of BTH101 strain transformed by pairs of 551 the 2-hybrid pT18 and T25 fusions with SmsC and SmsB genes from *M. jannaschii* were dropped on MacConkey 1% maltose plate and incubated 2 days at 30°C. B. 552 553 SDS-PAGE of anaerobically purified *M. acetivorans* (left) and *M. jannaschii* (right). C. UV-visible spectroscopy analysis of anaerobically purified *M. acetivorans* SmsCB 554 (1.6 mg/ml) in 100 mM Tris-HCL, 150 mM NaCl pH8. Iron and sulfur content: 4.05 ± 555 556 0.02 Fe and 3.8 \pm 0.02 S / SmsC₂B₂. **D.** UV-visible spectroscopy analysis of 557 anaerobically purified *M. jannaschii* SmsCB (10 mg/ml) in 100 mM Tris-HCL, 150 mM 558 NaCl pH8. Iron and sulfur content: 0.15 ± 0.02 Fe and 0.13 ± 0.03 S/ SmsC₂B₂. **E**, **F**. 559 Iron-sulfur cluster transfer from SmsCB to aconitase B (AcnB), M. acetivorans and M. 560 *jannaschii* respectively. N = 3 independent experiments. Data are presented as mean 561 values +/- Standard Deviation. Apo AcnB (0.5 nmol) was incubated anaerobically with as-purified SmsCB from *M. acetivorans* or reconstituted SmsCB from *M.* 562 jannaschii. Aconitase activity was measured at different time points as indicated 563 under the graph. Apo-AcnB (0.5 nmol) was incubated anaerobically with 1.5 564 565 equivalent of SmsCB in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6. The reaction mixture comprised 0.6 566 mM MnCl₂, 25 mM citrate, 0.5 U isocitrate dehydrogenase and 0.25 mM NADP+ was 567 added to the protein mixture in a final volume of 100 µl. Aconitase activity was 568 measured by monitoring the absorption at 340 nm. As a positive control, 569 reconstituted AcnB was incubated 30 min. Reconstituted AcnB (Rec-AcnB) was assayed. Rec-AcnB was obtained by incubating Apo-AcnB for 30 min. with a 5-molar 570 571 excess of iron and sulfide in the presence of 5 mM DTT.

572

573 **Figure 3.** Taxonomic distribution of the five different Fe-S cluster biogenesis systems 574 on the reference phylogenies (cladograms) of Bacteria (left panel) and Archaea (right 575 panel). Bootstrap values > 80% are indicated by dots on branches. Colour of leaves 576 corresponds to major high-ranking clades in Bacteria (Terrabacteria: marine blue, 577 Gracilicutes: light blue, CPR: dark blue, Fusobacteria: grey blue) and in Archaea (Cluster I: orange and II: red). The presence of a system in a genome was inferred if 578 579 at least the corresponding scaffold and another component were present. Taxonomic 580 distribution: for each clade/phylum, the percentage of genomes possessing each 581 system is indicated by dots of different sizes in front of each leaf. The Venn diagrams 582 below each tree show the abundance and overlap of the different systems in genomes across the different clades/phyla. A more detailed mapping of all the 583 584 components of each system in Bacteria and Archaea is presented in Extended Data 585 figures 2 and 3, respectively.

586

Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree of SUF/SMS and MIS/NIF systems. A: Phylogeny
(Cladogram) of SUF/SMS based on concatenation of SufB/SmsB, SufC/SmsC, and
SufD/SmsB. B: Phylogeny (Cladogram) of the MIS/NIF systems based on MisS/NifS.
Colours of branches correspond to Archaea (red) and Bacteria (blue). The central red

591 dot corresponds to the LUCA. The genomic context of the genes coding for SUF and 592 SMS components is indicated in front of the leaves, centred around SufB and SmsB (brown and light brown, respectively). ATC-II (SufA): pink, SufC: yellow, SufD: dark 593 594 green, SufS: light blue, SufE: turquoise SufT: dark blue, SufU: violet, SmsC: light 595 green). The genomic context of genes coding for MIS/NIF components is centred 596 around MisS/NifS (red and green, respectively). MisU: blue, NifU: dark blue. The two 597 framed excerpts show the placement of the cyanobacterial Fe-S synthesis systems SUF and NIF. For detailed trees, see Extended Data figures 5 and 9. 598

599

Figure 5. Inferred scenario for the origin and evolution of Fe-S synthesis
 machineries, with the reconstruction of ancestral systems and major evolutionary
 events. See text for details.

603

604 Bibliography

- 605
 606 1. Fontecave M. Iron-sulfur clusters: Ever-expanding roles. *Nat Chem Biol.*607 Published online 2006. doi:10.1038/nchembio0406-171
- Roche B, Aussel L, Ezraty B, Mandin P, Py B, Barras F. Reprint of: Iron/sulfur
 proteins biogenesis in prokaryotes: Formation, regulation and diversity.

610 Biochim Biophys Acta - Bioenerg. Published online 2013.

- 611 doi:10.1016/j.bbabio.2013.05.001
- 6123.Braymer JJ, Freibert SA, Rakwalska-Bange M, Lill R. Mechanistic concepts of613iron-sulfur protein biogenesis in Biology. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res.*
- 614 Published online 2021. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2020.118863
- 4. Tsaousis AD. On the Origin of Iron/Sulfur Cluster Biosynthesis in Eukaryotes. *Front Microbiol*. Published online 2019. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.02478
- 5. Py B, Barras F. Building Feg-S proteins: Bacterial strategies. Nat Rev
- 618 *Microbiol.* Published online 2010. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2356
- 619 6. Gao F. Iron–Sulfur Cluster Biogenesis and Iron Homeostasis in Cyanobacteria.
- *Front Microbiol*. Published online 2020. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.00165
- 621 7. Wächtershäuser G. Groundworks for an evolutionary biochemistry: The iron-

622 sulphur world. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol*. Published online 1992.

- 623 doi:10.1016/0079-6107(92)90022-X
- 624 8. Imlay JA. Iron-sulphur clusters and the problem with oxygen. *Mol Microbiol*.

- 625 Published online 2006. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05028.x
- Andreini C, Rosato A, Banci L. The relationship between environmental
 dioxygen and iron-sulfur proteins explored at the genome level. *PLoS One*.
 Published online 2017. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171279
- Tokumoto U, Kitamura S, Fukuyama K, Takahashi Y. Interchangeability and
 distinct properties of bacterial Fe-S cluster assembly systems: Functional
 replacement of the isc and suf operons in Escherichia coli with the nifSU-like
 operon from Helicobacter pylori. *J Biochem*. Published online 2004.
- 633 doi:10.1093/jb/mvh104
- Boyd ES, Thomas KM, Dai Y, Boyd JM, Outten FW. Interplay between Oxygen
 and Fe-S Cluster Biogenesis: Insights from the Suf Pathway. *Biochemistry*.
 Published online 2014. doi:10.1021/bi500488r
- 637 12. Deere TM, Prakash D, Lessner FH, Duin EC, Lessner DJ. Methanosarcina
 638 acetivorans contains a functional ISC system for iron-sulfur cluster biogenesis.
 639 *BMC Microbiol.* Published online 2020. doi:10.1186/s12866-020-02014-z
- 640 13. Olson JW, Agar JN, Johnson MK, Maier RJ. Characterization of the NifU and
 641 NifS Fe-S cluster formation proteins essential for viability in Helicobacter pylori.
 642 *Biochemistry*. Published online 2000. doi:10.1021/bi001744s
- Hug LA, Baker BJ, Anantharaman K, et al. A new view of the tree of life. *Nat Microbiol.* Published online 2016. doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.48
- Dombrowski N, Lee JH, Williams TA, Offre P, Spang A. Genomic diversity,
 lifestyles and evolutionary origins of DPANN archaea. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.*Published online 2019. doi:10.1093/femsle/fnz008
- Liu Y, Sieprawska-Lupa M, Whitman WB, White RH. Cysteine is not the sulfur
 source for iron-sulfur cluster and methionine biosynthesis in the methanogenic
 archaeon Methanococcus maripaludis. *J Biol Chem.* Published online 2010.
 doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.152447
- Trotter V, Vinella D, Loiseau L, De Choudens SO, Fontecave M, Barras F. The
 CsdA cysteine desulphurase promotes Fe/S biogenesis by recruiting Suf
 components and participates to a new sulphur transfer pathway by recruiting
- 655 CsdL (ex-YgdL), a ubiquitin-modifying-like protein. *Mol Microbiol*. Published
 656 online 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06954.x
- 657 18. Gribaldo S, Talla E, Brochier-Armanet C. Evolution of the haem copper
 658 oxidases superfamily: a rooting tale. *Trends Biochem Sci*. Published online

659 2009. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2009.04.002

- Brochier-Armanet C, Talla E, Gribaldo S. The multiple evolutionary histories of
 dioxygen reductases: Implications for the origin and evolution of aerobic
- respiration. *Mol Biol Evol*. Published online 2009. doi:10.1093/molbev/msn246
- 663 20. Jabłońska J, Tawfik DS. The evolution of oxygen-utilizing enzymes suggests
- 664 early biosphere oxygenation. *Nat Ecol Evol*. Published online 2021.
- 665 doi:10.1038/s41559-020-01386-9
- Hyatt D, Chen G-L, LoCascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal:
 prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2010;11(1):119. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-119
- Jain C, Rodriguez-R LM, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S. High
 throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species
 boundaries. *Nat Commun*. Published online 2018. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-
- 672 07641-9
- Fu L, Niu B, Zhu Z, Wu S, Li W. CD-HIT: Accelerated for clustering the nextgeneration sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. Published online 2012.
 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, et al. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a
 new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
- 678 1997;25(17):3389-3402. Accessed August 3, 2018.
- 679 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9254694
- Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version
 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2013;30(4):772780. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010
- 683 26. Eddy SR. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. *PLoS Comput Biol.*
- 684 2011;7(10):e1002195. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195
- 685 27. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree 2 Approximately Maximum-
- Likelihood Trees for Large Alignments. Poon AFY, ed. *PLoS One*.
- 687 2010;5(3):e9490. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009490
- 688 28. Criscuolo A, Gribaldo S. BMGE (Block Mapping and Gathering with Entropy): a
- 689 new software for selection of phylogenetic informative regions from multiple
- sequence alignments. *BMC Evol Biol*. 2010;10(1):210. doi:10.1186/1471-214810-210
- 29. Leigh JW, Schliep K, Lopez P, Bapteste E. Let them fall where they may:

- 693 Congruence analysis in massive phylogenetically messy data sets. *Mol Biol*
- 694 Evol. Published online 2011. doi:10.1093/molbev/msr110
- 695 30. Adam PS, Borrel G, Gribaldo S. Evolutionary history of carbon monoxide
 696 dehydrogenase/acetyl-CoA synthase, one of the oldest enzymatic complexes.
- 697 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Published online 2018.
- 698 doi:10.1073/pnas.1716667115
- 699 31. Coleman GA, Davín AA, Mahendrarajah TA, et al. A rooted phylogeny resolves
 600 early bacterial evolution. *Science (80-)*. Published online 2021.
- 701 doi:10.1126/science.abe0511
- Raymann K, Brochier-Armanet C, Gribaldo S. The two-domain tree of life is
 linked to a new root for the Archaea. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Published
 online 2015. doi:10.1073/pnas.1420858112
- 33. Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: A Fast and
 Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2015;32(1):268-274. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu300
- 34. Wang HC, Minh BQ, Susko E, Roger AJ. Modeling Site Heterogeneity with
 Posterior Mean Site Frequency Profiles Accelerates Accurate Phylogenomic
 Estimation. *Syst Biol.* Published online 2018. doi:10.1093/sysbio/syx068
- 711 35. Garcia PS, Jauffrit F, Grangeasse C, Brochier-Armanet C. GeneSpy, a user-
- friendly and flexible genomic context visualizer. *Bioinformatics*. Published
 online 2019. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty459
- 36. Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v4: Recent updates and new
 developments. *Nucleic Acids Res.* Published online 2019.
- 716 doi:10.1093/nar/gkz239
- 37. Wahl A, My L, Dumoulin R, Sturgis JN, Bouveret E. Antagonistic regulation of
 dgkA and plsB genes of phospholipid synthesis by multiple stress responses in
 Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol*. Published online 2011. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 720 2958.2011.07641.x
- 38. Gully D, Bouveret E. A protein network for phospholipid synthesis uncoveredby a variant of the tandem affinity purification method in Escherichia coli.
- 723 *Proteomics*. Published online 2006. doi:10.1002/pmic.200500115
- 39. fish WW. Rapid Colorimetric Micromethod for the Quantitation of Complexed
- 725 Iron in Biological Samples. *Methods Enzymol*. Published online 1988.
- 726 doi:10.1016/0076-6879(88)58067-9

- 727 40. Beinert H. Semi-micro methods for analysis of labile sulfide and of labile sulfide
- 728 plus sulfane sulfur in unusually stable iron-sulfur proteins. *Anal Biochem*.
- 729 Published online 1983. doi:10.1016/0003-2697(83)90186-0
- 41. Gardner PR, Fridovich I. Inactivation-reactivation of aconitase in Escherichia
- coli. A sensitive measure of superoxide radical. *J Biol Chem*. Published online
- 732 1992. doi:10.1016/s0021-9258(19)50343-x
- 733 42. Karimova G, Pidoux J, Ullmann A, Ladant D. A bacterial two-hybrid system
- based on a reconstituted signal transduction pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
- 735 A. Published online 1998. doi:10.1073/pnas.95.10.5752

736