

Block low-rank compression in mixed precision for the solution of sparse linear systems

Matthieu Gerest, Patrick Amestoy, Olivier Boiteau, Alfredo Buttari, Fabienne

Jézéquel, Jean-Yves L'Excellent, Théo Mary

▶ To cite this version:

Matthieu Gerest, Patrick Amestoy, Olivier Boiteau, Alfredo Buttari, Fabienne Jézéquel, et al.. Block low-rank compression in mixed precision for the solution of sparse linear systems. Sparse Days conference 2022, Jun 2022, Saint-Girons, France. hal-03780548

HAL Id: hal-03780548 https://hal.science/hal-03780548

Submitted on 19 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Block low-rank compression in mixed precision for the solution of sparse linear systems



Matthieu Gerest, Cifre PhD student (EDF R&D, LIP6) Joint work with P.Amestoy, O.Boiteau, A.Buttari, F.Jézéquel, J.-Y.L'Excellent, T.Mary

LOW-PRECISION ARITHMETICS


	Mantissa (m)	Exponent	Range	$u = 2^{-m-1}$
fp64 (double)	52 bits	11 bits	$10^{\pm 308}$	1×10^{-16}
fp32 (single)	23 bits	8 bits	$10^{\pm 38}$	6×10^{-8}
fp16 (half)	10 bits	$5 \mathrm{bits}$	$10^{\pm 5}$	5×10^{-4}
bfloat16 (half)	7 bits	8 bits	$10^{\pm 38}$	4×10^{-3}


BLR MATRICES


We consider a certain class of matrices, whose off-diagonal blocks have low numerical ranks. More precisely, the singular values of such blocks decrease rapidly, typically following an exponential decay. BLR compression consists in approximating each of those block as a product of two smaller rectangular matrices (low-rank approximation). It may be based on a truncated SVD or QR decomposition.


RESULTS ON DENSE MATRICES

- We emulate a LU factorization with BLR in 3 precisions: fp64, fp32 and bfloat16.
- Hypothesis: time cost = flops(fp64) + $\frac{1}{2}$ flops(fp32) + $\frac{1}{4}$ flops(bf16)
- We plot the relative gains with mixed precision compared to double precision, as a function of the error. We notice that, for a given error, the mixed precision variant achieves better performances than the double precision ($\times 2$ to $\times 3$ in terms of storage and expected time).









a block: $B \approx X \times Y^T$

10⁻¹⁵

• double • single

> bfloat16 discarde

Repartition of the singular values

of a block: a typical example

(perf009, block (12,11))

Example of a BLR matrix (*perf009*, RIS pumpfrom EDF). Color scale: numerical ranks of the blocks

LOW-RANK APPROXIMATION IN MIXED PRECISION

We introduce a new approach to handle a low-rank approximation, in case it is based on a truncated SVD or QR decomposition. We propose to separate the columns into several groups, associated with different floating-point formats.


- A criterion for storing columns x_i and y_i in precision fp32: $- \| R \| < \sigma \le - \varepsilon \| R \|$

LU FACTORIZATION OF SPARSE MATRICES

A multifrontal solver, such as MUMPS[3], computes a LU factorization of a sparse matrix. In order to do that, many partial LU factorizations of smaller dense matrices are computed.

The LU factors are potentially BLR matrices, and their storage cost is a large part of the memory peak. We added an option in MUMPS that converts the low-rank blocks to mixed precision when they are not used.


If mixed-precision BLR is used for storage gains only, only a conversion operation is needed for the formats. Instead of the 3 common floating-point formats, we decided to use 7, respectively on 64, 56, 48, 40, 32, 24, and 16 bits.



$$\frac{1}{u_{bf16}} \|D\| \leq O_i \leq \frac{1}{u_{fp32}} \|D\|$$

- Compression error: $||B - X\Sigma Y|| \leq 5\varepsilon ||B||$, instead of $\varepsilon ||B||$

$\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2 \quad \Sigma_3$ X_1X_2 X_3




Approximation of a block as a truncated SVD

LU FACTORIZATION (DENSE MATRICES)

- Block LU factorization algorithm, step k:
 - \rightarrow Compute $L_k U_k = A_{kk}$
 - \rightarrow Update formula: for i, j > k, $A_{ii} \leftarrow A_{ii} - (A_{ik}U_k^{-1}) \times (L_k^{-1}A_{ki})$



- Example: conversion from fp32 to "fp24":



_	 _	_	-	


Representation of a low-rank block stored in 7 precisions

- Our first results show that, by adding mixed precision, there is a gain of storage between $\times 1.2$ and $\times 1.7$ regarding the LU factors:

Matrix	precision	Factor size	Memory peak	Scaled
Matrix		(GBytes)	(GBytes)	residual
thmgas	fp64	95	120	6.4E-14
	mixed	59	86	5.5E-14
perf009	fp64	25.6	36	1.3E-10
	mixed	20.5	32	1.4E-10

PERSPECTIVES

- With BLR compression, the approximation $A_{ik} \approx X_{ik} Y_{ik}^T$ allows to reduce the number of operations.
- Example of kernel in mixed precision: multiplication LR \times matrix : _



computed in fp64 computed in fp32

new algorithm is numerically stable (see like its - This monoprecision variant (see [2]):

 $\widehat{L}\widehat{U} = A + \Delta A, \quad \|\Delta A\| \le (c_1\varepsilon + c_2\rho_n u_{fp64})\|A\|$

- Aim for times gains in MUMPS by performing computations in mixed precision
- Develop a variant of the algorithm that uses fp16 instead of bloat16. Scaling methoids will be required.
- A QR factorization algorithm may be accelerated using mixed precision

REFERENCES

[1] P.Amestoy, O.Boiteau, A.Buttari, M.Gerest F.Jézéquel, J.-Y.L'Excellent, T.Mary. Mixed Precision Low Rank Approximations and their Application to Block Low Rank LU Factorization, 2021 (preprint)

[2] N.Higham, T.Mary, Solving Block Low-Rank Linear Systems by LU Factorization is Numerically Stable, IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis, 2019

[3] https://mumps-solver.org