

Relationship between the density/type of thermal-contraction polygons and the geology of the substrate at the Martian midlatitudes.

Meven Philippe, Susan J. Conway, Richard J. Soare, Lauren E. Mckeown

▶ To cite this version:

Meven Philippe, Susan J. Conway, Richard J. Soare, Lauren E. Mckeown. Relationship between the density/type of thermal-contraction polygons and the geology of the substrate at the Martian midlatitudes.. EPSC 2022, Sep 2022, Granada, Spain. hal-03778230

HAL Id: hal-03778230 https://hal.science/hal-03778230

Submitted on 15 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



EPSC Abstracts Vol. 16, EPSC2022-425, 2022 Europlanet Science Congress 2022 © Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Relationship between the density/type of thermal-contraction polygons and the geology of the substrate at the Martian midlatitudes.

Meven Philippe¹, Susan J. Conway¹, Richard J. Soare², and Lauren E. Mc Keown³

¹Laboratoire de Planétologie et Géosciences, CNRS UMR 6112, Nantes Université/Université d'Angers/Le Mans Université, France ²Geography Department, Dawson College, Montreal, QC, Canada

³NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA

Introduction: On Earth, sharp drops in temperature can cause ground cemented by ice to contract, forming surficial cracks (Figure 1a) that can join to form polygonal patterns. These polygons show no elevation difference between their margins and centres, and are called here *flat-centred polygons* (FCPs). Water and/or sand [1, 2, 3] can infill the troughs and freeze, forming ice or sand wedges. These grow seasonally, uplifting polygon margins above the centres, forming *low-centred polygons* (LCPs). When wedges degrade, the elevation of the margins decreases below that of the centre, forming *high-centred polygons* (HCPs).

The martian mid-latitudes exhibit similar polygons (Figure 1b), currently thought to form by ground thermal-contraction [4]. Whereas debate ensues over the nature of trough infill, recent work provided evidence for ice wedges in Utopia Planitia [5] – with implications for liquid water stability and subsurface water ice availability.

Here we study variations in polygon distribution/morphology in relationship with different geological units in UP (Figure 2) and Arcadia Planitia (AP; Figure 3), where polygonised units have been reported [6]. Our study seeks to understand: 1. how the substrate nature favoured/inhibited the formation and/or preservation of ground ice, and 2. whether polygon characteristics (e.g. morphology, spatial density) can give insights into geological properties of their substrate.



Figure 1: thermal-contraction polygons on **a**. Earth (R. J. Soare) and **b**. Mars (NASA/JPL/University of Arizona). Note the arrows pointing at LCPs (blue), HCPs (red) and FCPs (green) on Mars.



Figure 2: the study zone (top panel) with the sinuous unit in blue, the boulder unit in purple, craters in red, and the HiRISE footprints as black rectangles. On the bottom panel, note how the polygons (yellow points) are concentrated on the sinuous unit and absent from the boulder unit. Background: THEMIS global day-IR mosaic (THEMIS team/Arizona State University).



Figure 3: the study zone in Arcadia Planitia with the sinuous features (green), the mantling unit (orange), the hills (pink), the HiRISE footprints (black rectangles) and the polygons (yellow points). Background: THEMIS global day-IR mosaic (THEMIS team/Arizona State University).

Methods: In UP (Figure 2a) we mapped polygons (5-25 m scale) on 104 images from the High-Resolution Imaging Experiment (HiRISE, 25-50 cm/pixel) – 55 from [5] and 49 new ones. We used a grid-based mapping approach [7]: we gridded images into 500x500 m squares, and in each square the presence of a given polygon type was noted when at least five specimens were present. We mapped the extent of three units: a sinuous unit, a boulder unit, and craters (Figure 2) with imagery from the Thermal Emission Imaging System (THEMIS), the Context Camera (CTX) and HiRISE. We also produced a topographic cross-section of contacts between the sinuous and the boulder units (Figure 2b) with data from the Mars Orbital Laser Altimeter (MOLA).

For each unit we calculated three parameters: 1. the percentage of polygonised squares (%pol), 2. the percentage of polygonised squares containing solely FCPs (%FCPs), and 3. the ratio number of squares containing LCPs / number of squares containing HCPs (*LCP/HCP*). We suggest that these parameters reflect properties of the substrate: 1. its cementation by ice, 2. its capacity to grow ice wedges (i.e. massive ground ice), and 3. its capacity to preserve ground ice.

We used the same approach on 18 HiRISE images in AP, where we mapped the extent of three units based on [6]: hills, a mantling unit, and sinuous features.

Results: We display and compare results for each unit in Table 1. In UP, topography and crosssection data (Figures 2b & 4) show that the boulder unit is at a lower elevation than the sinuous unit and also the surrounding terrains. In AP, hills exhibit FCPs around their central mound (Figure 5a); sinuous features bear FCPs, HCPs and what we interpret as degraded HCPs (Figure 5b); and the mantling unit bears few polygons, but is mostly covered in extensive brain terrain and linear ridges [6] (Figure 5c).

	unit	%pol	%FCPs	LCP/HCP
Utopia	craters	80 %	50 %	0.40
	sinuous unit	84 %	72 %	0.23
	boulder unit	0.5 %	no LCPs	s or HCPs
Arcadia	hills	45 %	no LCPs	s or HCPs
	sinuous features	64 %	87 %	no LCPs
	mantling unit	24 %	no LCPs	s or HCPs

Table 1: values of the parameters %pol, %FCPs and LCP/HCP for the three units in Utopia Planitia and the three units in Arcadia Planitia.





Figure 5: **a.** example of a hill mound surrounded by polygonised terrains; **b.** gradient of polygons degradation on a sinuous feature; **c.** typical landscape of the mantling unit. (NASA/JLP/University of Arizona).

Discussion:

Utopia Planitia: The material infilling craters is highly polygonised (high %*pol*), show evidence of past ice wedge formation (low %*FCPs*), and preserved more ice wedges (high *LCP/HCP*). Therefore, it should be porous and ice-rich [8, 9], suggesting sediment that underwent ice deposition events. This could have been enhanced by a cold-trap effect [5, 10].

The boulder unit exhibits boulders, fractured rocks and nearly no polygons - suggesting a massive material hindering ground ice emplacement and thus ground cracking. The sinuous unit is higher in elevation and highly polygonised (high %pol), showing evidence of ice wedge activity and of LCP preservation – but less than craters (higher %FCPs and lower LCP/HCP). Substrate here should thus favour massive ice emplacement and preservation – but to a lesser extent than craters. Moreover, the study zone is located at the terminus of Hrad Vallis, a valley thought to have conveyed both lava and mudflows [11]. Therefore, we suggest that the boulder unit is an ancient low-viscosity lava flow [12], superposed by the sinuous unit which is a more recent mudflow, both having been conveyed by Hrad Vallis.

Comparison Utopia/Arcadia Planitia: Despite both being at similar latitudes, the polygonisation in AP is different to that in UP: AP exhibits degraded HCPs and no LCPs. Therefore, we suggest that AP underwent an ancient period of ice (or sand) wedge aggradation, which stopped and was followed by landform degradation.

Conclusions: We have shown that the morphology of thermal-contraction polygons can give insights into substrate properties, and help define its geological origin. In UP, it allowed us to infer the origins for both the boulder and the sinuous units, consistently with the regional geological context. In future work we will explore the timing of polygonisation in the mid-latitudes: did this process start and stop earlier in AP than in UP? or did the ice wedge activity last longer in UP? how old are the units bearing polygons, and how long does it take to erode them? These points could have important implications concerning the timing of liquid water stability on Mars.

References: [1] Péwé T. L. (1959) *AJS* 257, 545-552. [2] Lachenbruch A. H. (1962) *GSA* Special Paper 70. vol. 69. [3] Black R. (1976) *Quaternary Research* 6(1), 3-26. [4] Mellon M. T. (1997) *JGR* 102 (E11), 25,617-25,628. [5] Soare R. J. et al. (2021) *Icarus* 358, 114208. [6] Hibbard S. M. et al. (2021) *Icarus* 359, 114298. [7] Ramsdale J. D. et al. (2017) *PSS* 140, 49-61. [8] Lefort A. et al. (2010) *Icarus* 205, 259-268. [9] Levy J. S. et al. (2009) *JGR* 114, E01007. [10] Conway S. J. et al. (2012) *Icarus* 220, 174-193. [11] Hamilton C. W. et al. (2018) *JGR Planets* 123, 1484-1510. [12] Hopper J. P. and Leverington D. W. (2014) *Geomorphology* 207, 93-113.