



Tris(μ^2 - carbonyl)octacarbonyl(triphenylphosphite)tetracobalt

Isabelle Jourdain, Michael Knorr, Carsten Strohmann, Rebecca Scheel

► To cite this version:

Isabelle Jourdain, Michael Knorr, Carsten Strohmann, Rebecca Scheel. Tris(μ^2 -carbonyl)octacarbonyl(triphenylphosphite)tetracobalt. Molbank, 2022, 2022 (3), pp.M1443. 10.3390/M1443 . hal-03775909

HAL Id: hal-03775909

<https://hal.science/hal-03775909>

Submitted on 14 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.


L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

* Correspondence: isabelle.jourdain@univ-fcomte.fr (I.J.); michael.knorr@univ-fcomte.fr (M.K.); Tel.: +33-3-81-66-62-70 (M.K.)


Keywords: cobalt; carbonyl; triphenylphosphite; crystal structure; molecular cluster



to synthesize the monosubstituted dinuclear complex $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_7\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}]$ **1** by adding a stoichiometric amount of $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$ to a solution of $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8]$. The existence of this substitution product has been mentioned in the literature, but apart from its IR spectrum, no further characterization data have been communicated [17]. We repeated this reaction under similar conditions with the goal of isolating this species, but upon heating, we isolated the title compound $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}]$ **2** by serendipity as the major component.

2. Results

Marko et al. first mentioned the title compound **2** in 1975, and it was obtained by treating $[\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_9(\text{arene})]$ with triphenylphosphite at 20 °C under CO atmosphere, along with $[\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{10}\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}_2]$ and $[\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_9\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}_3]$ [5]. There was no additional characterization data presented aside from a detailed IR analysis in solution. To prepare the compound $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_7\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}]$, we first treated a solution of $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8]$ in toluene at ambient temperature in a 1:1 ratio (Scheme 2). The formation of **1** (2086, 2034, 1999, and 1978 cm^{-1}) was revealed by IR monitoring as well as traces of $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_6\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}_2]$ (1978 cm^{-1} , very strong). Formation of this dinuclear bisphosphite complex is also corroborated by an NMR $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ analysis performed on a sample of the reaction mixture, which shows a singlet at 167.3 ppm [18]. To complete the reaction, the mixture was then heated for 5 h at 60 °C. Surprisingly, the IR bands attributed to **1** had disappeared and replaced by novel ones at 2089, 2050, 2042, 2032, 2012 and 1882, 1850, and 1839 cm^{-1} , the latter being in the characteristic region of bridging carbonyl ligand. The formation of minor amounts of $[\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{10}\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}_2]$ is suggested by a CO stretching vibration at 2073 cm^{-1} in the IR spectrum, in accordance with value reported by Marko et al. [5].



Scheme 2. Synthesis of the title compound **2**.

After workup, a product in the form of dark crystals was isolated, and elemental analysis revealed a composition of $[\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{11}\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}]$. The IR spectrum of this moderate air-stable product in cyclohexane, shown in Figure 1, reveals that in addition to the $\nu(\text{CO})$ vibrations at 2088, 2049, 2043, 2032, and 2011 cm^{-1} , three further absorptions at 1885, 1856, and 1842 cm^{-1} , are attributed to bridging carbonyls. These values fit well with those reported in heptane by Marko et al. [5]. The infrared band pattern is similar to that reported for $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\text{PPh}_3)]$ in heptane, the CO vibrational frequencies being slightly shifted to higher wavenumbers due to the weaker electron-donating propensity exerted by $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$ with respect to PPh_3 .

The proton-decoupled ^{31}P -NMR recorded in CDCl_3 reveals a strongly broadened singlet at δ 130.4 due to the coordinated triphenylphosphite ligand, suggesting a fluxional behavior in solution (Figure 2). In line with this hypothesis, we were unable to identify at ambient temperature distinct carbonyl resonances in the proton-decoupled ^{13}C NMR spectrum despite long data acquisition overnight. Only a broad hump centered at about δ 196 could be observed for the 11 carbonyl groups (Figure 3). It should be noted that no ^{31}P or ^{13}C NMR data recorded at ambient temperature on related PR_3 and $\text{P}(\text{OR})_3$ clusters are available in the literature. There is only one report on $[\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{11}\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}]$ at low temperature using ^{13}C -enriched CO, which allows for the differentiation between bridging and terminal carbonyls [19].




Figure 1. IR spectrum of compound **2** recorded in cyclohexane.




Figure 2. $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum (161.99 MHz, CDCl_3) of compound **2** at 25 °C.




Figure 3. $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum (100.62 MHz, CDCl_3) of compound **2** at 25 °C.

In order to check whether $[\{\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}]$ **2** is isostructural to $[\{\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\text{PPh}_3)]$, we examined the product by an X-ray diffraction study performed at 100 K. Indeed, cluster **2** crystallizes like its PPh_3 analogue in the monoclinic crystal system but has been refined with space group $P2_1/c$ instead of the $P2_1/n$ employed for the latter. Darensbourg and Incorva proposed that a monosubstituted $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8\text{L}]$ skeleton can have *a priori* three isomeric motifs (Figure 4) [11].




Figure 4. Presentation of the three conceivable isomers of $[\{\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8\text{L}]$ with L at the apical (I), equatorial (II), or axial site (III).

As shown in Figure 5, the tetrahedral *nido*-shaped cluster (according to the Wade–Mingos rules) [20,21] exhibits an isomer III-type structure since the phosphite ligand occupies an axial site with respect to the basal triangular plane formed by Co1, Co2, and Co3. The Co1 center, which bears the $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$ ligand is also ligated by one terminal CO ligand and shares two symmetrically bridging carbonyls with the adjacent Co2 and Co3 centers. Co2 and Co3 in turn bear each of the two terminal Cos and have one shared edge bridged by a $\mu_2\text{-CO}$ ligand. The fourth vertex of the tetrahedral core is composed of the Co4 fragment, bearing exclusively three terminal COs. The Co1–P bond is quite colinear with the Co1–Co4 vector, the angle Co4–Co1–P being $166.108(11)^\circ$. Overall, the molecular structures of **2** and $[\{\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\text{PPh}_3)]$ are very similar, the corresponding Co–Co–PPh₃ angle of $174.98(4)^\circ$ being slightly more linear.




Figure 5. Molecular structure of **2**. Selected angles (deg): For clarity's sake, all H atoms are omitted. Co1–Co2–Co3 $60.008(8)$, Co1–Co2–Co4 $60.369(9)$, Co1–Co3–Co2 $60.284(8)$, Co1–Co4–Co3 $58.646(7)$, Co1–Co4–Co2 $58.796(7)$, Co3–Co4–Co2 $58.305(7)$, Co4–Co1–P $166.108(11)$, Co3–Co1–P $107.602(11)$, and Co2–Co1–P $107.385(11)$.

Table 1 compares the most relevant bond lengths of **2** to those of $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\text{PR}_3)]$. As a result, the mean Co–Co bond length of **2** is slightly shorter than that of its PPh_3 and PMe_3 , analogues, as well as that reported for its parent compound $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_9]$ (2.4837 vs. 2.492 Å). When compared to the reported Co–P distances for $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\text{PR}_3)]$, that of **2** is shortened and matches the average Co–P distances of $[\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{10}\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2]$ shown in Figure 1 (2.1546(4) vs. 2.158(2) Å). The mean length of the Co–C bond decreases in the following order: $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3 > \text{PPh}_3 > \text{PMe}_3$. Notable is the observation that the Co4–C distances are elongated compared to the other ones.

Table 1. Relevant bond lengths (Å) in **2** and crystallographically characterized monosubstituted $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\text{PR}_3)]$ clusters.

	$\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$	PPh_3	PMe_3
Co1–Co4	2.5037(4)	2.542(1)	2.532(2)
Co1–Co2	2.4937(4)	2.491(1)	2.485(2)
Co1–Co3	2.4568(3)	2.487(3)	2.474(2)
Co2–Co3	2.4494(3)	2.468(1)	2.449(2)
Co2–Co4	2.5153(3)	2.523(1)	2.529(2)
Co3–Co4	2.5129(3)	2.526(1)	2.530(2)
Average Co–Co	2.4886	2.5062	2.4998
Basal-basal	2.4666	2.482	2.469
Basal-apical	2.5106	2.530	2.530
Co1–P	2.1546(4)	2.246(1)	2.222
Co1– $\mu\text{C}19$	1.9181(12)	1.908(4)	1.926
Co3– $\mu\text{C}19$	1.9610(12)	1.976(4)	1.989
Co2– $\mu\text{C}24$	1.9365(11)	1.929(4)	1.970
Co3– $\mu\text{C}24$	1.9436(12)	1.951(4)	1.918
Co1– $\mu\text{C}21$	1.9153(12)	1.887(5)	1.852
Co2– $\mu\text{C}21$	1.9712(11)	1.971(5)	1.927
Average Co– μC	1.941	1.937	1.930
Co1–C20	1.7828(13)	1.758(5)	1.677
Co2–C22	1.8000(13)	1.794(5)	1.759
Co2–C25	1.7928(13)	1.778(5)	1.736
Co3–C23	1.8033(12)	1.789(7)	1.849
Co3–C29	1.7852(12)	1.776(5)	1.760
Co4–C26	1.8147(12)	1.832(5)	1.606
Co4–C27	1.8315(13)	1.827(5)	1.800
Co4–C28	1.8325(14)	1.822(5)	1.779
Average Co–C	1.805	1.797	1.746
Apical	1.826	1.827	1.728
Equatorial	1.7869	1.771	1.724
Axial	1.8017	1.792	1.804
CSD reference	This work	BAFFET [11]	MSTCOB [12]

Inspection of the crystal structure reveals the existence of various other weak intermolecular contacts. A partial view of the crystal packing is shown in Figure 6. The shortest contact implies two carbonyl ligands, one axial and one bridging [$d(\text{C}23\cdots\text{O}9') = 3.029$ Å; symmetry code (') $1 - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$]. An apical carbonyl also has two weak interactions with phenyl ring atoms [$d(\text{O}11\cdots\text{C}4'') = 3.073$ Å; symmetry code (") $-1+x, y, z$] and [$d(\text{H}11\cdots\text{O}11') = 2.611$ Å; symmetry code (') $1 - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$]. There are two intermolecular C–H $\cdots\pi$ interactions observed, but because all hydrogen atoms were not freely re-fined, a discussion is not appropriate.




Figure 6. OLEX-generated view of the packing of **2** along the *a* axis.

3. Discussion

Several methods have been described in the past to synthesize phosphine and phosphite-substituted tetranuclear clusters $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_{9-n}(\text{PR}_3)_n]$. $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\text{PMe}_3)]$ has been obtained in low yield by reaction of Me_2PCl_3 with $\text{Na}[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4]$ (**12**). More common is the use of a preformed tetranuclear scaffold, such as $[\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{12}]$ or $[\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_9(\text{arene})]$, followed by substitution with $\text{P}(\text{OR})_3$ or PR_3 [5,8–11]. Since the elucidation of the mechanism of the formation of **2** was not the objective of this short note, we did not investigate this in detail. However, we can rule out the initial formation of $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_9]$ followed by $\text{CO}/\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$ exchange, since the formation of $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_7\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}]$ **1** is detectable by IR monitoring. We believe that cluster **2** is formed by the initial formation of $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_7\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}]$ **1**, which reacts in a thermal cluster condensation reaction with $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8]$ yielding **2**. There are also IR vibrations in the reaction mixture that can be attributed to the disubstituted cluster $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_3\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}_2]$ [5], but we were unable to isolate the compound in pure form.

In addition, we attempted to condensate $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_6\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}_2]$ with $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8]$ in hot toluene. The formation of cluster **2** as well as $[\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{10}\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}_2]$ and minor amounts of unreacted $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_6\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}_2]$ was revealed by spectroscopic examination of the reaction mixture. No attempts were undertaken to separate the mixture.

4. Experimental Section

$\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$ (0.26 mL, 1.0 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ (342 mg, 1.0 mmol) in toluene (5 mL). An immediate evolution of gas was observed. The reaction mixture was heated to 60 °C for 5 h. The solution was cooled to room temperature prior to lowering its temperature to 4 °C. The product **2** crystallized as dark plates collected by filtration. Yield: 39%. Anal. Calc. for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{15}\text{Co}_4\text{O}_{14}\text{P}$ (M.W = 854.14 g.mol^{−1}): C, 40.78; H, 1.77%. Found: C, 40.93; H, 1.84%. $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3) at 298 K: δ 121.0 (Co), 125.6 (Cp), 129.9 (Cm), 151.2 (Cipso-O, d, $^2J_{\text{PC}} = 12$ Hz), 196 (br, CO) ppm.

For the refinement of the crystallographic data, a disorder model was applied for the heavy atoms Co2, Co3, and Co4 using an occupation ratio of 96:4.

Crystal data for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{15}\text{Co}_4\text{O}_{14}\text{P}$, $M = 854.10 \text{ g.mol}^{-1}$, black crystals, crystal size $0.377 \times 0.194 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}^3$, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$; $a = 12.5987(10) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 8.9773(9) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 27.953(2) \text{ \AA}$, $\alpha = 90^\circ$, $\beta = 96.829(3)^\circ$, $\gamma = 90^\circ$; $V = 3139.2(5) \text{ \AA}^3$, $Z = 4$, $D_{\text{calc}} = 1.807 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $T = 100 \text{ K}$, $\text{GOF} = 1.034$; $R_1 = 0.0236$, $wR_2 = 0.0546$ for 11,958 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ and 11,958 independent reflections. Largest difference in peak/hole = $\text{\AA}^{-3} 0.58 / -0.41$. Data were collected using graphite monochromated $\text{MoK}\alpha$ radiation with $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$ and deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as CCDC 2174841. (Supplementary Materials). The data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via <http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/getstructures>. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares against F^2 (SHELXL, 2015 [22–24]).

5. Conclusions

We have demonstrated that direct addition of P(OR)_3 to $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8]$ provides an alternative route to $[\{\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{11}\{\text{P(OAr)}_3\}]]$ species, avoiding the use of quite expensive $[\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{12}]$ as starting material. We have crystallographic evidence that cluster **2** adopts a structure quite reminiscent to that reported for $[\{\text{Co}_4(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\text{PPh}_3)\}]$ and bears the P(OPh)_3 ligand at the axial site of a Co vertex.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at online. Figure S1: IR ATR spectrum of compound **2**; CIF file and Check-CIF report.

Author Contributions: Compound preparation, I.J.; X-ray data collection and data analysis, C.S. and R.S.; conceptualization, data analysis, and manuscript—writing, I.J. and M.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: The X-ray data are available at CCDC as stated in the paper.

Acknowledgments: We thank Stéphanie Boullanger for recording the IR and NMR spectra.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Hieber, W.; Mühlbauer, F.; Ehmann, E.A. Derivate des Kobalt-und Nickelcarbonyls (XVI. Mitteil. über Metallcarbonyle). *Ber. Der Dtsch. Chem. Ges. A B Ser.* **1932**, *65*, 1090–1101. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Chini, P. The Closed Metal Carbonyl Clusters. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1968**, *2*, 31–51. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Wei, C.H. Structural Analyses of tetracobalt Dodecarbonyl and tetra-rhodium Dodecarbonyl. Crystallographic Treatments of a disordered Structure and a Twinned Composite. *Inorg. Chem.* **1969**, *8*, 2384–2397. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Farrugia, L.J.; Braga, D.; Grepioni, F. A structural redetermination of $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$: Evidence for dynamic disorder and the pathway of metal atom migration in the crystalline phase. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *573*, 60–66. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sisak, A.; Sisak, C.; Ungvary, F.; Palyi, G.; Marko, L. Some chemical properties of (arene)nonacarbonyltetracobalt complexes and the preparation of (arene)octacarbonyltetracobalt trialkylphosphites. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1975**, *90*, 77–83. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bor, G.; Sbrignadello, G.; Marcati, F. Infrared Spectroscopic Studies on Metal Carbonyl Compounds: XV. Assignments of the C-O stretching Infrared Spectra and Force Constants of some (arene) $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_9$ Complexes. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1972**, *46*, 357–368. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Flüedel, C.; Pattacini, R.; Braunstein, P. Mono-, Di- and Tetranuclear Complexes and Clusters with Bromine-functionalized Bis(diphenylphosphino)amine Ligands. *J. Clust. Sci.* **2010**, *21*, 397–415. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Labroue, D.; Poilblanc, R. Trivalent Phosphorus Derivatives of Cobalt Carbonyls. I. Infrared and NMR Studies of new Substituted Tetracobaltdodecarbonyl Complexes. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1972**, *6*, 387–390. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Darensbourg, D.J.; Incorvia, M.J. Ligand Substitution Processes in Tetranuclear Carbonyl Clusters. I. $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_9(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3$ derivatives. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1979**, *171*, 89–96. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Darensbourg, D.J.; Incorvia, M.J. Ligand Substitution Processes in Tetranuclear Carbonyl Clusters. 2. $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_9(\mu_2\text{-CO})_3$ derivatives. *Inorg. Chem.* **1980**, *19*, 2585–2590. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Darensbourg, D.J.; Incorvia, M.J. Ligand Substitution Processes in Tetranuclear Carbonyl Clusters. 3. Molecular Structures of compound $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_8(\mu\text{-CO})_3[\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3]$ and $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_7(\mu\text{-CO})_3[\text{P}(\text{OCH}_3)_3]_2$. *Inorg. Chem.* **1981**, *20*, 1911–1918. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bartl, K.; Boese, R.; Schmid, G. Heteronuclear Clustersysteme XIX. Strukturuntersuchungen an tetraedrischen Carbonylcobalt-clustern; ein Beitrag zur Frage der Bildung von Carbonylbrücken. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1981**, *206*, 331–345. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Macchi, P.; Garlaschelli, L.; Martinengo, S.; Sironi, A. Charge Density in Transition Metal Clusters: Supported vs Unsupported Metal-Metal Interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 10428–10429. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

14. Keller, E.; Vahrenkamp, H. Eisen- und Cobalt-Cluster nach der Propen-Eliminierungs-Methode. Die Cluster $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{10}[\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_2\text{CHCH}_2)]_2$ und $\text{Fe}_2\text{Co}(\text{CO})_8[\mu\text{-SCH}_3]_2[\mu\text{-P}(\text{CH}_3)_2]$. *Chem. Ber.* **1981**, *114*, 1111–1123. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Clément, S.; Guyard, L.; Khatyr, A.; Knorr, M.; Rousselin, Y.; Kubicki, M.M.; Mugnier, Y.; Richeter, S.; Gerbier, P.; Strohmman, C. Synthesis, crystallographic and electrochemical study of ethynyl [2.2] paracyclophane-derived cobalt metallatetrahedranes. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2012**, *699*, 56–66. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Jourdain, I.; Knorr, M.; Brieger, L.; Strohmman, C. Synthesis of Tris(arylphosphite)-ligated Cobalt(0) Complexes $[\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_6\{\text{P}(\text{OAr})_3\}_2]$, and their Reactivity towards Phenylacetylene and Diphenylacetylene. *Adv. Chem. Res.* **2020**, *2*. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Szabo, P.; Fekete, L.; Nagy-Magos, Z.; Marko, L. Phosphorus-containing cobalt carbonyls. III. Monosubstituted derivatives of dicobaltoctacarbonyl with phosphine and phosphites. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1968**, *12*, 245–248. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Haumann, M.; Meijboom, R.; Moss, J.R.; Roodt, A. Synthesis, crystal structure and hydroformylation activity of triphenylphosphite modified cobalt catalysts. *Dalton Trans.* **2004**, *4*, 1679–1686. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Cohen, M.A.; Kidd, D.R.; Brown, T.L. The structures of $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$ and $\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_{11}[\text{P}(\text{OCH}_3)_3]$ in solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 4408–4409. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Wade, K. Structural and bonding patterns in cluster chemistry. *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.* **1976**, *18*, 1–66. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Mingos, D.M.P. Polyhedral skeletal electron pair approach. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1984**, *17*, 311–319. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Dolomanov, O.V.; Bourhis, L.J.; Gildea, R.J.; Howard, J.A.; Puschmann, H. OLEX2: A complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
23. Sheldrick, G. SHELXT-Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
24. Sheldrick, G. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. *Acta Crystallogr. C* **2015**, *71*, 3–8. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)