

FreshOmics: A manually curated and standardized –omics database for investigating freshwater microbiomes

Corinne Biderre-Petit, Jean-christophe Charvy, Gisèle Bronner, Marina Chauvet, Didier Debroas, Hélène Gardon, Claire Hennequin, Isabelle Jouan-Dufournel, Anne Moné, Arthur Monjot, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Corinne Biderre-Petit, Jean-christophe Charvy, Gisèle Bronner, Marina Chauvet, Didier Debroas, et al.. FreshOmics: A manually curated and standardized –omics database for investigating freshwater microbiomes. Molecular Ecology Resources, 2022, 10.1111/1755-0998.13692. hal-03768857

HAL Id: hal-03768857 https://hal.science/hal-03768857

Submitted on 5 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY RESOURCES

FreshOmics: a manually curated and standardized –omics database for investigating freshwater microbiomes

Journal:	Molecular Ecology Resources
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Resource Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Biderre-Petit, Corinne; Université Clermont Auvergne, LMGE UMR CNRS 6023 Charvy, Jean-Christophe; Université Clermont Auvergne, LMGE UMR CNRS 6023 Bronner, Gisele; Universite Clermont Auvergne Chauvet, Marina; Université Clermont Auvergne, LMGE UMR CNRS 6023 Debroas, Didier; Université Clermont Auvergne Gardon, Helene; Université Clermont Auvergne, LMGE UMR CNRS 6023 Hennequin, Claire; Université Clermont Auvergne, LMGE UMR CNRS 6023 Jouan-Dufournel, Isabelle; Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, Laboratoire Microorganismes: Génome et Environnement Moné, Anne; Université Clermont Auvergne, LMGE UMR CNRS 6023 Monjot, Arthur; Université Clermont Auvergne Ravet, Viviane; Université Clermont Auvergne Vellet, Agnes; Universite Clermont Auvergne Lepere, Cecile; Universite Clermont Auvergne,
Keywords:	Freshwater, Microorganisms, -omics data, Database
	1

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1	FreshOmics: a manually curated and standardized -omics database for investigating freshwater
2	microbiomes
3	
4	Running title: Microbial freshwater –omics database
5	
6	Authors
7	Corinne Biderre-Petit ^{1+*} , Jean-Christophe Charvy ¹⁺ , Gisèle Bronner ¹ , Marina Chauvet ¹ , Didier
8	Debroas ¹ , Hélène Gardon ¹ , Claire Hennequin ¹ , Isabelle Jouan-Dufournel ¹ , Anne Moné ¹ , Arthur
9	Monjot ¹ , Viviane Ravet ¹ , Agnès Vellet ¹ , Cécile Lepère ¹
10	
11	¹ CNRS, Laboratoire Microorganismes: Génome et Environnement, Université Clermont Auvergne,
12	Clermont-Ferrand, F-63000, France.
13	*For correspondence. E-mail <u>corinne.petit@uca.fr</u> ; Tel+33 (0)473405139; Fax+33 (0)473407670.
14	[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.
15	
16	Abstract
17	Freshwater is a critical resource for human survival but severely threatened by anthropic activities and
18	climate change. These changes also strongly impact the size and diversity of the microbial communities
19	hosted by freshwater ecosystems while they are key determinants of their functioning thanks to their
20	activities and stability. Although widely documented since the emergence of high-throughput

21 sequencing approaches, the information on these natural microbial communities is scattered among

22 thousands of papers and it is therefore difficult to investigate the temporal dynamics and the spatial 23 distribution of microbial taxa within or across ecosystems. To fill this gap, we built a manually curated 24 and standardized microbial freshwater –omics database (FreshOmics). Based on recognized ontologies 25 (ENVO, MIMICS, GO, ISO), FreshOmics describes 29 different types of freshwater ecosystems and uses 26 standardized attributes to depict biological samples, sequencing protocols and article attributes for 27 more than 2,487 geographical locations over 71 countries around the world. The database contains 28 24,808 run identifiers (mainly SRA, GSA and MG-RAST repositories) covering all sequence-based -omics 29 approaches used to investigate bacteria, archaea, microbial eukaryotes, and viruses. Therefore, 30 FreshOmics allows accurate and comprehensive analyses of microbial communities to answer 31 questions related to the microbial-driven roles in freshwater ecosystems functioning and resilience, especially through meta-analysis studies. This collection also highlights strong discrepancies in 32 33 published works in the worldwide distribution of ecosystems studied, their types, and in the targeted 34 microorganisms.

35

36 **Keywords:** Freshwater, microorganisms, -omics data, database

37

38 Introduction

Covering less than 1% of Earth's surface, freshwater habitats are nevertheless critical for terrestrial life
(Dudgeon, 2019). Over 140,000 described species rely on freshwater habitats for their survival (IUCN,
https://www.iucn.org/fr). However, these ecosystems are severely threatened by the synergistic
effects of anthropogenic pressures and global change (dams, exploitation, pollution etc...) (Allan et al.,
2005; Jane et al., 2021). As a result, aquatic species face disproportionately higher extinction risks than
terrestrial or marine species (Webb & Mindel, 2015). Freshwater is therefore recognized as
conservation priorities (Tickner et al., 2020).

Amongst organisms hosted by freshwater habitats, microorganisms present an incredible diversity and 46 47 are crucial for the functioning and resilience of these ecosystems, underpinning all biogeochemical 48 cycles. In the last two decades, by coupling environmental DNA high-throughput sequencing with 49 bioinformatic methods, scientists have gained a better insight into the diversity and distribution of the 50 microbial populations in these ecosystems (Biessy et al, 2022; Debroas et al., 2017; Keck et al., 2020; 51 Ortiz-Álvarez et al., 2020; Pearmann, 2020; The Earth Microbiome Project Consortium et al., 2017). 52 Current microbiome analyses use multiple and complementary meta-omics approaches (e.g. 53 metabarcoding, metagenomics, metatranscriptomics), which generate an extensive volume of 54 sequencing data mostly stored in databases with public access. The most important is the Sequence 55 Read Archive (SRA), maintained by The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) 56 (Brooksbank et al., 2014; O'Leary et al., 2016). Thanks to these public repositories and the crescent 57 availability of -omics data, scientists can develop new working hypotheses and provide new insights in 58 many fields, especially through the combination of data from several studies (*i.e.* meta-analysis). This powerful approach to produce novel discoveries is however, impeded by the laborious task of 59 60 extracting data of interest from different databases. Indeed, although public databases generally share standardized data format, the effective interoperability of the data stored is reduced because of the 61 62 misuse (or absence of usage) of standardized vocabulary as proposed in biological ontologies (Jones et al., 2015). Moreover, the stored data often present gaps, errors and contamination (Steinegger & 63 64 Salzberg, 2020). These problems have attracted much attention in the recent literature, leading to the 65 development of many tools to detect contaminants (Lupo et al., 2021; Tang, 2020) but mislabelled, 66 misleading, and missing data are hardly detectable.

To address these limitations, special-purpose databases have been multiplying these last few years, covering a large variety of fields using domain-specific standardized metadata (see papers in the Databases issues of Nucleic Acids Research (Rigden & Fernández, 2021, 2022), as well as papers in the journal Databases, among other sources). In the case of environmental microbial studies, many

71 databases were also recently developed such as TerrestrialMetagenomeDB (TMDB) devoted to 72 terrestrial metagenomes (Corrêa et al., 2019), Planet Microbe devoted to oceanographic -omics data 73 (Ponsero et al., 2021), the MAR databases that compile data from marine microbial genomes 74 (Klemetsen et al., 2018), the Omics Database of Fermentative Microbes (ODFM) devoted to -omics 75 information for fermentative microorganisms (Whon et al., 2021) and MGnify developed to explore 76 microbiome data from a wide variety of biomes (Mitchell et al., 2019). Although some of these 77 databases contain freshwater data, they remain sparse and largely fragmented, which makes difficult 78 the exploration of novel biological hypotheses for this type of ecosystems especially through meta-79 analysis studies.

80 The lack of a specialist database for freshwater -omics data can be explained by the reduced amount 81 of data produced so far, especially, in comparison with large oceanic expeditions. However large-scale 82 initiatives are multiplying with, for example, studies on microbial biogeography at regional (Pyrenean lakes) and European scale with the joint analysis of hundreds of lakes (Boenigk et al., 2018; Ortiz-83 84 Álvarez et al., 2018; Ortiz-Álvarez et al., 2020) as well as long-term time series (Linz et al., 2017; 85 Mondav et al., 2020). We therefore developed a manually curated database focused on freshwater 86 ecosystems (FreshOmics), including all types of sequence-based -omics data from bacteria, archaea, 87 microbial eukaryotes, and viruses by compiling, and organizing most available data and knowledge 88 from published literatures and associated repositories. It is meant to promote the exploratory 89 possibilities of these data in a user-friendly web interface, and to encourage integrative analysis of 90 available public data. Our resource combines the -omics data present in most of the current 91 repositories including SRA/ENA, GSA, MG-RAST, JGI, DRYAD. This database will not only facilitate the 92 work of microbial ecology researchers but will also be of interest to people from a wide range of 93 domains working on -omics based research.

94

95 Methods

96 Database construction

97 Database objective. We aimed to develop FreshOmics for comprehensively, accurately, and rapidly analysing microbial community diversity (i.e. bacteria, archaea, microbial eukaryotes, and viruses) in 98 99 freshwater ecosystems around the world from all -omics data (metabarcodes, metagenomes, 100 metatranscriptomes and single cell genomes). Here, we present the overall workflow of this database 101 in terms of how we curated and annotated the data, and how we designed and implemented the 102 database (Figure 1). Briefly, the actual database (January 2022) is built from 663 peer-reviewed 103 publications (published between early 2006 and 2022, Supplementary Figure S1A) by retrieving 104 metadata relating to i) the publication (author, title, journal, DOI, PMID), ii) the studied site (name, 105 GPS, ENVO-type), iii) the experimental design (-omics type, material, primers) and iv) data resource 106 (repository name, accessions) (Figure 1A). The selected attributes for these ecosystems were then 107 organised in a standardized metadata sheet according to bio-ontological standards (Figure 1B). Finally, 108 we implemented a web-application allowing the metadata exploration and retrieval (Figure 1C, 1D), 109 among which -omics data identifiers allowing further analyses.

110 Data retrieval and non-freshwater data removal. The data were collected from an extensive literature 111 search using various combinations of keywords. Several publication databases were used such as 112 PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scopus, HAL and BibCNRS (Figure 1A). For the collection, we created a glossary 113 of terms related to the microbial domains, freshwater ecosystems, sample material, -omics approaches, barcode gene markers, and sequencing platforms (Supplementary Table S1). Research 114 115 articles lacking at least one of the information on these terms were excluded. Similarly, when -omics 116 data were cited in multiple papers, only the most informative paper was retained for FreshOmics. 117 Unlike other databases, such as HumanMetagenomeDB (Kasmanas et al., 2021) and TMDB (Corrêa et 118 al., 2020), no semi-automated approach for direct retrieval of metadata from repositories was 119 developed. Indeed, the comparison of the data found in the papers to those present in the associated 120 repositories (especially the SRA, the main repository for microbial -omics data) revealed a high 121 proportion of inconsistent information, corresponding to erroneous data records, preventing from 122 having a high quality database. As the source of these errors cannot be automatically resolved, we 123 systematically reviewed the publication including full texts, tables, figures and supplementary material 124 and associated repositories to identify the reliable data of interest. All information were inspected 125 manually and corrected when possible, to provide consistent annotation. For publications containing 126 both freshwater and non-freshwater samples (e.g. estuary and coastal samples of thalassic origin), 127 only freshwater data were kept (Supplementary Figure S1B).

128 Standardization of attributes. In both publications and repositories, most attributes of interest are 129 written in different ways, and their values are non-standardized. To circumvent this issue, we created 130 a list of synonyms and screened attribute names and their respective values. During data inspection, 131 we identified attributes related to the ecosystem, name, country of origin, geographical coordinates, 132 type, sample material and several other experimental characteristics listed in Supplementary Tables 133 S1 and S2. Whenever possible, we used ontologies and custom-built controlled vocabularies. Most attributes and ontologies used were selected based on the MIxS version 5.0 (https://gensc.org/mixs/) 134 135 compliant metadata lists for a metagenomic sample (MIMS) and a marker gene survey (MIMARKS). 136 The water ecosystem and sample material attributes were standardized according to the Environment 137 Ontology (EnvO; https://sites.google.com/site/environmentontology/). In few cases, the information 138 could not be standardized (e.g. ecosystem type not defined in ENVO) and was left as stated by the 139 original submitter. Freshwater ecosystems were standardized into 29 main categories (e.g. lake, pond, 140 spring, aquifer, etc...) and the sample material, into 12 categories (e.q. water, sediment, microbial mat, etc...). Barcode gene markers were described according to the Gene Ontology (GO) terms 141 142 (http://geneontology.org/) and hierarchized into three main categories, *i.e.* phylogenetic, functional, 143 and viral markers. Finally, information regarding experiment and run identifiers were collected from

Molecular Ecology Resources

the repository metadata. Geographical coordinates were standardized to both decimal and sexagesimal degrees formats. Country names and journal title abbreviations were manually labelled based on the ISO 3166 and ISO 4 standard, respectively. PubMed ID, Digital Object Identifier (DOI) ID, or both when available, were manually recovered for all articles. The different categories and the complete set of standardized attributes can be found in Supplementary Tables S2 and S3, respectively.

149 Data quality control. As both data standardization and integration are manual and individual tasks, 150 some inconsistencies may marginally remain. Thus, an automated curation procedure was developed 151 to check metadata completeness and integrity post integration in the FreshOmics database. It works 152 as a search engine, looking for specific empty fields (e.g. absence of ecosystem type, author in 153 publication, run identifier, etc...) or lack of information (e.g. barcoding method specified but no 154 primers indicated, etc...), producing a report of all entries that need to be verified. A second run of 155 manual curation of spurious entries is then done. If the correction cannot be made because the 156 attribute identified as faulty is missing from the original publication or repository, two cases are 157 possible: i) the attribute is mandatory and therefore, the affected dataset is removed from the 158 database; ii) the attribute is not mandatory (e.g. marker region, primer sequences, etc...) and 159 therefore, the affected dataset is kept in the database and in memory for subsequent checks. To avoid 160 conversion errors, the validation form embeds an automatic GPS coordinate conversion process to 161 generate sexagesimal coordinates from decimal ones. Moreover, for publications with a PubMed ID, 162 author names and rank are automatically collected from the publication description page in 'PubMed' 163 format (previously named MEDLINE) and integrated directly into the database.

164 Web application implementation and exploration.

Implementation. The FreshOmics web interface was implemented using Apache HTTP server (version
 2.4.6) integrated with PHP (version 7.2.34) and PostgreSQL (version 9.2.24). The home and informative
 pages were built with Bludit CMS (version 3.13.1), a flat-file-based CMS with JSON format to store the

data. Map browsing was developed using the Leaflet package (version 1.7.1) to handle the maps
provided by the OpenStreetMap Project (<u>https://www.openstreetmap.org/</u>), together with the JQuery
library (version 3.2.1), to implement the interactivity of all pages. The PostgreSQL database was used
to process the data of the back-end.

172 Exploration. The application was designed with a tab layout. The FreshOmics 'Home' page 173 (http://freshomics.lmge.uca.fr/) gives an overview of the resource. The 'Browse' section constitutes 174 the main search interface of FreshOmics data, either through attributes filtering or map navigation. 175 The 'Interactive map' locates all ecosystems for which an -omics study was published, based on their 176 latitude/longitude coordinates. The 'List' mode allows user to search in FreshOmics using various 177 descriptors or keywords through the 'Search by' box. Once the selection step finished, all the datasets 178 are displayed in a final cart list. For each dataset in this list, a short description of the sample is available 179 through the 'See more' option (Figure 1C). Further, the 'Statistics' section offers a graphical 180 representation of different metadata present in the database. Finally, the 'Help' section includes an 181 overview of the database organization and its practical uses, while the 'About' page provides details 182 about the database and the contributors.

183 Metadata download. Users can browse the data and view their search results, however, they will need 184 to create an account to download the datasets which are available in the cart. Data can be downloaded 185 as JSON file | YAML file | XML file | HTML file | TXT file | CSV file. When opening a CSV file, we 186 recommend using the UTF-8 encoding to ensure a better display of special characters. Thereafter, the 187 sequencing data of interest must be downloaded from the repositories where they are stored, either by using the run identifiers (Run_ID) for the SRA and GSA repositories or the experiment identifiers 188 189 (Exp_ID) for the MG-RAST repository, which can be found in the downloaded metadata file. To 190 facilitate the download, we provided a script called 'freshcart_downloader.py' that takes as input the downloaded JSON-file from our database. Also, a tutorial video on how to use the script is available inthe tutorial playlist.

193 Private access. A private access to the web server allows data management by curators. Possible tasks 194 include adding metadata sheets to the database, data integrity checking and correction (see data 195 quality control), author access management, or attribute standard updating.

- 196
- 197 Results

198 The manual curation of microbial -omics freshwater-related data from the literature

199 After keyword queries and selection of thousands of research articles, a quick manual sort allowed to remove papers which did not reach the criteria of the database (e.g. non-high throughput sequencing 200 201 data, not freshwater body, aquatic animal microbiomes, microcosm, etc...) resulting in the selection of 202 792 papers containing non-redundant -omics data (January 2022). Although these articles were 203 published in a wide variety of journals (138 in total), most of them were found in few specific ones, *i.e.* 204 Frontiers in Microbiology (13%), followed by FEMS Microbiology Ecology (6%), PLoS One (6%), ISME 205 Journal (5%) and Scientific Reports (5%) (Figure S1A). A second and more in-depth curation resulted in 206 the supplemental removal of more than 16.2% of these articles, as some mandatory descriptors were 207 lacking and non-recoverable.

Among the 663 remaining articles, data for more than 20% of them had to be modified prior to their incorporation into FreshOmics, either to remove non-freshwater (*e.g.* soil, rock, saline water) and microcosm samples (1.4% of the articles) or to correct misannotated descriptors (>18.7%) (Figure S1B, Table S4). Only the multiplexed -omics data could not be modified in input data, and thus induce a slight redundancy in the database in terms of Exp_ID and Run_ID, several samples and, therefore several datasets, being identified with the same Exp_ID and Run_ID. Although the rectifiable errors were mostly related to GPS coordinates, all fields of the metadata were affected (*e.g.* annotation as RNAseq instead of DNAseq or *vice versa*, amplicon/metagenome, isolate/community, bacteria/eukaryote, but also errors in technology used, marker, marker region, sample material, inversion of data between samples, etc...). In summary, >32% of the articles initially selected had data with misannotations and misleadings, whether or not they were correctable (Figure S1B, Table S4).

- Finally, the 663 articles kept covered data collected from 2,487 unique locations distributed around the world. They correspond to 29 distinct ecosystem types where lakes are the main water bodies represented (50.9% of all locations; among these lakes 5.6% are saline with athalassic origin), followed by hot springs (9.4%), rivers (6.8%), ponds (6.5%), wastewaters (5.3%) and streams (5%) (Table S5).
- 223

224 Database content overview

225 Dataset location and distribution. From the 2,487 ecosystems identified in this study, a total of 6,912 226 datasets were generated (January 2022), as multiple datasets may be available for some of them. 227 Although the ecosystems span all seven continents, most datasets were obtained from Asia (37.7% of 228 datasets), North America (28.9%) and Europe (24.9%) (Figure 2A). A large disparity in distribution was 229 also observed between the different countries inside these continents, some countries being over-230 represented compared to others (Figure 2B). Finally, annotated datasets span 71 countries with China 231 being the most represented country (29.6% of datasets), followed by the United States of America 232 (USA; 16.3%), Canada (8.1%) and Spain (5.5%). Consistent with the previous result for ecosystem 233 abundance, most of the datasets came from lakes with 42.9% (2,968 datasets), followed by rivers with 234 17.8% (1,232), streams with 8.9% (615) and hot springs with 6.2% (431) (Figure 3A). Moreover, 235 FreshOmics contains 12 distinct sample materials, the most documented being water (>64% of the 236 datasets) followed by sediment (>22%) (Figure 3B).

237 Sequencing data overview. Most of the datasets included in the FreshOmics database derived from 238 the SRA repository (94.7 % of datasets), followed by MG-RAST (2%) and GSA (1.6%). These datasets 239 cover 16 years of experiments, since the first freshwater metagenome submitted to MG-RAST in 2006 240 (Edwards et al., 2006). Most of the datasets contain metabarcoding data (86.4%), followed by 241 metagenome (12.5%, of which 13.8% specific to virus and called metavirome in the present study), 242 metatranscriptome (0.9%) and single cell genome (0.2%) (Figure 4A). Regarding the metabarcoding 243 approach, as expected, the most present markers in FreshOmics are 16S (72.5% of metabarcoding 244 datasets) and 18S rDNAs (17.7%) followed by the ITS region (5.5%) (Figure 4B). Furthermore, the most 245 represented functional markers in datasets are the genes encoding the large subunit of the ribulose-246 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase enzyme (rbcl; 1.1% of the datasets) and the ammonia 247 monooxygenase enzyme (amoA; 1%), respectively. Most of the samples were sequenced using Illumina 248 technology (79.6% of datasets) (Figure 5A), mostly using the Miseq system (79.5% of Illumina sequencing). However, the analysis of published data shows an evolution in the different sequencing 249 250 technologies, with a decrease of the use of Roche 454 technology starting in 2016 and the beginning 251 of the use of third-generation sequencing technologies in 2019 (Figure 5B). Considering the SRA and 252 GSA repositories, all datasets cover 24,518 run numbers (99.2% are stored in the former) distributed 253 as follows: 85.8% for metabarcoding, 8.1% for metagenomics, 2.7% for metatranscriptomics, 1.7% for 254 single cell genomics and 1.6% for metaviromics. For MG-RAST, the 136 datasets correspond in reality 255 to 290 Exp_ID of which 51.4% for gene amplicon data and 48.6% for metagenomes (of which 9.2% are 256 metaviromes).

Usage and functionalities. The FreshOmics user interface is divided in two main search modes, *i.e.* a
'List' mode that allows to select -omics data of interest through a set of descriptors that can be
combined, and an 'Interactive map' mode that provides a more intuitive way of selecting data directly
from the world map. Both give access to the full content of the current version of the database as only
metadata with valid GPS coordinates were included in FreshOmics database.

262 List mode. Each dataset in the list can be selected by checking them individually. Moreover, all datasets 263 can also be selected or de-selected in one click using the buttons on the top 'Check all/check none'. 264 The attribute 'See more' on the bottom left of each dataset provides a short overview of the attributes 265 associated with the dataset. For filtering, a set of 12 descriptors is provided in a search box. By pushing 266 each descriptor, the dashboard is expanded to show all available filters. Further, the 'Keyword' field 267 allows user to perform a simple search for any attribute not proposed in the search box descriptors. 268 Complex queries are therefore possible using one or more conditional descriptors, combined or not to 269 a specific keyword. A panel is fixed on the top displaying the current number of filtered -omics datasets, 270 so user can keep track of how each filtering step shapes the data. Once the selection step is finished, 271 all marked datasets can be added to the cart by pushing the button 'Add selected datasets to cart'. If 272 no filter is applied, the whole dataset (the full FreshOmics data) can be added to the cart using the 273 button 'Add list datasets to cart'. Pushing the panel 'View cart' allows user to access the selection. At 274 this step, the selection can still be deleted by using the function 'Clear your cart' or its content modified by eliminating unwanted datasets individually. Once finalized, the selection can be downloaded under 275 276 six different formats.

277 Interactive map. The 'Interactive map' tab allows to interactively explore the world map and select -278 omics data from all around the world. By zooming in and out on the map, the user can select different 279 parts of the globe and collect the corresponding datasets using the button 'Add map datasets to cart'. 280 This selection can be performed multiple times in various regions of the map, adding datasets to the 281 cart for each search. The button 'Reset' allows user to return to the complete map. Individual points 282 in the map may indicate several samples collected in the same coordinates or one sample analyzed 283 through multiple approaches. For each point, a short label shows the ecosystem type, the method 284 analysis but also the marker if appropriate. For a search on specific criteria, the descriptors of interest 285 must be selected in the search box as described previously. Once the selection step is done, all marked 286 datasets can be added to the cart by pushing the button 'Add map datasets to cart' and user can download its selection as described for the 'List' mode. To provide practical examples, we made two
video tutorials about the usage of the web application. A link to the tutorials can be found in the item
3 of the 'Help' section in the web application.

290 *Downloading -omics data of interest*. FreshOmics is not a repository of sequencing data. Once datasets 291 selected, the corresponding sequencing data must be downloaded from their original repository. To 292 facilitate the download, we provided a script called 'freshcart_downloader.py' available in a tutorial 293 and in the item 5 of the 'Help' section in the web application.

294

295 Discussion

296 Freshwater ecosystems are a vital natural resource (drinking water, animal habitats, etc...), also 297 needed for many uses (agriculture, energy production, recreation, manufacturing, etc...). These uses 298 put a huge pressure on this resource, stresses that are likely to be exacerbated by climate change 299 (Alcamo et al., 2008; Kibona et al., 2009). Microbial communities within these freshwater ecosystems 300 support critical function due to their high abundance, diversity and stability but are also subjected to 301 the wide variety of anthropogenic disturbances (Beattie et al., 2020; Santillan et al., 2019). Microbial 302 community composition and function both in pristine and disturbed natural environments are far from 303 being well known, however more and more studies are undertaken facilitated by the technological 304 advances in microbiology (McDaniel et al., 2021; Wani et al., 2021). Nonetheless, the information on 305 these natural communities is scattered among thousands of papers making it difficult to collect, 306 compare, and integrate.

FreshOmics is a unique resource in its purpose and content. It centralizes and standardizes metadata for freshwater -omics data present in publications and the most used repositories. Our effort to establish FreshOmics was prompted by the need of researchers to access a comprehensive and reliable dataset, ever growing since the emergence of high-throughput sequencing approaches. Indeed, with

311 the continuous improvement of these technologies, accuracy, sequencing depth and price, the volume 312 of data increased tremendously. Prior FreshOmics, only a few databases provided information related 313 to sequencing data for microorganisms in freshwaters (e.g. TMDB, MGnify), but with limited features 314 and often restricted to a specific -omics method (e.g. metagenome) or repository (e.g. SRA, MG-RAST). 315 Moreover, their utilization to obtain valuable information regarding verified data was also not 316 satisfactory because these data were retrieved from repositories containing many errors. We believe 317 that our database fills therefore a long-standing gap in the freshwater and microbial research fields 318 and will hopefully help answering important questions related to the diverse roles of microorganisms 319 in ecosystem functioning and resilience.

320 The -omics era has revolutionized microbial ecology and has led to the emergence of new knowledge 321 for a better understanding of microbial diversity, interrelationships and function within an ecosystem. 322 With FreshOmics, we highlight great discrepancies in the distribution of the data published in the 323 literature for the freshwater ecosystems at the geographical, ecosystem type, and microbial domain 324 scale. The largest amount of -omics data was so far produced by Asia, North America, and Europe 325 (Figure 2). This gap between continents has probably multiple causes however it is likely due to the 326 income inequality and technological inability to generate and analyze big data (e.g. data storage, 327 processing). A strong imbalance is also observed at the country scale as, for example, for Asia where 328 China encompasses 78% of all datasets from this part of the world and Russia only 6.6%, while almost 329 twice as large in area and with the second largest amount of freshwater in the world after Brazil (Brazil: 330 8,233 Km³; Russia: 4,508 Km³; China: 2,840 Km³, https://www.worldatlas.com/articles/countries-with-331 the-most-freshwater-resources.html). This can be explained by the lack of previous concerns and 332 investments of Russia for the biological sciences, largely considerate as top-down approaches 333 (Gronvall & Bland, 2020). A strong disparity is also observed between the types of ecosystems studied, 334 with a large dominance of lakes (43% of all datasets) and overall, all lotic and lentic ecosystems (75.6% 335 of all datasets), whereas they represent only 12.5% of the global volume of fresh water (75% are locked up in ice) on earth. Indeed, these ecosystems are of major concerns for humans as the main source of drinking water but also for their recreative and economic uses. However, we can also notice a countrydependent distribution bias for at least the four main represented ecosystems in datasets (*i.e.* hot spring, stream, river, and lake; Figure 6), which may reflect differences in scientific policies and priorities implemented at the country or continental level. The proportion of datasets dedicated to these four ecosystems is also variable across continents, ranging from 59.7 to 84.4% for the North America and Asia, suggesting more diverse freshwater ecosystem models in North America (Figure 6).

343 The proportion of the different microbial domains also varies in the datasets, with an over-344 representation of bacteria compared to the other domains, especially microbial eukaryotes (for 345 example, 73.1% and 21.4% of the metabarcoding datasets, respectively). This is because the vast 346 majority of microbial biodiversity -omics studies have overwhelmingly focused on bacterial 347 communities. The best example is the launching of the 'Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea' 348 (Wu et al., 2009) which encourages the use of phylogenomics to enhance our knowledge on these 349 microorganisms, without any equivalent for microbial eukaryotes. Indeed, even though the microbial 350 eukaryote diversity is more studied now especially with the use of metabarcoding, their study through 351 other approaches such as metagenomics and single cell genomics is less developed especially due the 352 complexity of their genomes (e.g. large intergenic regions, introns, repetitive DNA) making them very 353 difficult to analyze. However, this imbalance will hopefully tend to diminish with the high number of 354 ongoing projects targeting this group (e.g. the PELAGICS project covering 70 European lakes and 355 targeting both bacteria and eukaryotes) and the advance in the analysis of eukaryotic genomics data 356 (Bailet et al., 2019; Cahoon et al., 2018; Delmont et al., 2020; Ingala et al., 2021; Matsuoka et al., 2019; 357 Meredith et al., 2021; Valentin et al., 2019).

358

359 Conclusion and future work

360 Having overcome the technical difficulties imposed by the diversity in quality, methodology and 361 presentation of published data, we created a reliable manually curated resource. FreshOmics is 362 intended to benefit the scientific community by providing (i) a freely accessible, easy-to-use, efficiently 363 organized resource with relevant -omics data from all types of freshwater and microorganisms, (ii) a 364 tool allowing to facilitate some routine and time-consuming computer tasks for people working on 365 freshwater microorganisms (e.g. data selection, recovering, curation) and (iii) a user-friendly and 366 beneficial application to a broader community of researchers by enabling the investigation of the 367 temporal dynamics and the spatial distribution of microbial taxa within or across ecosystems. These 368 characteristics may allow to test hypotheses about how microbial communities are structured and how 369 they respond to environmental change. In addition, FreshOmics provides a tool to quickly and easily 370 visualize the distribution of -omics data around the world and highlights existing gaps in the published 371 studies of freshwater microbiomes that future work could fill.

FreshOmics has been thoroughly tested and will be periodically updated by the core curator team. Researchers in the field are also invited to contribute by providing references of their studies such as the DOI and the archive name (or numbers) of the datasets they produce through the mail address freshomics.Imge _AT_ listes.uca.fr or by filling the form found in the 'Contribute' section of the website. Next releases will mainly focus on enriching the database with the data from publications that are currently pending and from those that will be published in the future and on integrating other – omics data types such as proteomics, metabolomics when available.

379 Funding

A M, H G and M C are supported by PhD fellowships of the French National Fund for Scientific Research
 (Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur de la Recherche et de l'Innovation; MESRI).

382

383 Acknowledgements

Computational resources have been provided by the Direction Opérationnelle des Systèmes d'Information (DOSI) of the University Clermont-Auvergne (UCA). The authors warmly thank Alexis OZWALD for the provision of the development server and his help in its maintenance. The authors are
 also grateful to Jean-Louis RENAUD for the supply of the production hosting needed for the web server
 and the database and to Eric TOURAILLE and Alexis OZWALD for the firewall configuration.

- 389
- 390 References
- Alcamo, J. M., Vörösmarty, C. J., Naiman, R. J., Lettenmaier, D. P., & Pahl-Wostl, C. (2008). A grand
 challenge for freshwater research: understanding the global water system. *Environmental Research Letters*, 3(1), 010202. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/3/1/010202
- Allan, J. D., Abell, R., Hogan, Z., Revenga, C., Taylor, B. W., Welcomme, R. L., & Winemiller, K. (2005).
 Overfishing of Inland Waters. *BioScience*, 55(12), 1041. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006 3568(2005)055[1041:OOIW]2.0.CO;2
- Bailet, B., Bouchez, A., Franc, A., Frigerio, J.-M., Keck, F., Karjalainen, S.-M., & Kahlert, M. (2019).
 Molecular versus morphological data for benthic diatoms biomonitoring in Northern Europe freshwater and consequences for ecological status. *Metabarcoding and Metagenomics*, 3, e34002. https://doi.org/10.3897/mbmg.3.34002
- Beattie, R. E., Bandla, A., Swarup, S., & Hristova, K. R. (2020). Freshwater Sediment Microbial
 Communities Are Not Resilient to Disturbance From Agricultural Land Runoff. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *11*, 539921. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.539921
- 404 Boenigk, J., Wodniok, S., Bock, C., Beisser, D., Hempel, C., Grossmann, L., & Jensen, M. (2018).
 405 Geographic distance and mountain ranges structure freshwater protist communities on a
 406 European scale. *Metabarcoding and Metagenomics*, 2, e21519.
 407 https://doi.org/10.3897/mbmg.2.21519
- Brooksbank, C., Bergman, M. T., Apweiler, R., Birney, E., & Thornton, J. (2014). The European
 Bioinformatics Institute's data resources 2014. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 42(D1), D18–D25.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1206
- Cahoon, A. B., Huffman, A. G., Krager, M. M., & Crowell, R. M. (2018). A meta-barcoding census of
 freshwater planktonic protists in Appalachia Natural Tunnel State Park, Virginia, USA.
 Metabarcoding and Metagenomics, 2, e26939. https://doi.org/10.3897/mbmg.2.26939
- Corrêa, F. B., Saraiva, J. P., Stadler, P. F., & da Rocha, U. N. (2019). TerrestrialMetagenomeDB: a
 public repository of curated and standardized metadata for terrestrial metagenomes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, gkz994. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz994
- 417 Debroas, D., Domaizon, I., Humbert, J.-F., Jardillier, L., Lepère, C., Oudart, A., & Taïb, N. (2017).
 418 Overview of freshwater microbial eukaryotes diversity: a first analysis of publicly available
 419 metabarcoding data. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *93*(4).
- 420 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix023
- 421 Delmont, T. O., Gaia, M., Hinsinger, D. D., Fremont, P., Vanni, C., Guerra, A. F., & Jaillon, O. (2020).
 422 *Functional repertoire convergence of distantly related eukaryotic plankton lineages revealed* 423 *by genome-resolved metagenomics* [Preprint]. Microbiology.
- 424 https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.15.341214
- 425 Dudgeon, D. (2019). Multiple threats imperil freshwater biodiversity in the Anthropocene. *Current* 426 *Biology*, *29*(19), R960–R967. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.002
- Edwards, R. A., Rodriguez-Brito, B., Wegley, L., Haynes, M., Breitbart, M., Peterson, D. M., & Rohwer,
 F. (2006). Using pyrosequencing to shed light on deep mine microbial ecology. *BMC Genomics*, 7(1), 57. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-7-57
- Gronvall, G. K., & Bland, B. (2020). Life-science research and biosecurity concerns in the Russian
 Federation. *The Nonproliferation Review*, 27(4–6), 415–423.
- 432 https://doi.org/10.1080/10736700.2020.1866323

433	Ingala, M. R., Werner, I. E., Fitzgerald, A. M., & Naro-Maciel, E. (2021). 18S rRNA amplicon sequence
434	data (V1–V3) of the Bronx river estuary, New York. <i>Metabarcoding and Metagenomics</i> , 5,
435	e69691. https://doi.org/10.389//mbmg.5.69691
436	Jane, S. F., Hansen, G. J. A., Kraemer, B. M., Leavitt, P. R., Mincer, J. L., North, R. L., & Rose, K. C.
437	(2021). Widespread deoxygenation of temperate lakes. <i>Nature</i> , 594(7861), 66–70.
438	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03550-y
439	Kasmanas, J. C., Bartholomäus, A., Corrêa, F. B., Tal, T., Jehmlich, N., Herberth, G., & da Rocha, N.U.
440	(2021). HumanMetagenomeDB: a public repository of curated and standardized metadata
441	for human metagenomes. <i>Nucleic Acids Research, 49</i> (D1), D743–D750.
442	https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1031
443	Keck, F., Millet, L., Debroas, D., Etienne, D., Galop, D., Rius, D., & Domaizon, I. (2020). Assessing the
444	response of micro-eukaryotic diversity to the Great Acceleration using lake sedimentary
445	DNA. Nature Communications, 11(1), 3831. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17682-8
446	Kibona, D., Kidulile, G., & Rwabukambara, F. (2009). Environment, Climate Warming and Water
447	Management. Transition Studies Review, 16(2), 484–500. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11300-
448	009-0084-z
449	Klemetsen, T., Raknes, I. A., Fu, J., Agafonov, A., Balasundaram, S. V., Tartari, G., & Willassen, N. P.
450	(2018). The MAR databases: development and implementation of databases specific for
451	marine metagenomics. Nucleic Acids Research, 46(D1), D692–D699.
452	https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1036
453	Linz, A. M., Crary, B. C., Shade, A., Owens, S., Gilbert, J. A., Knight, R., & McMahon, K. D. (2017).
454	Bacterial Community Composition and Dynamics Spanning Five Years in Freshwater Bog
455	Lakes. <i>MSphere</i> , 2(3), e00169-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00169-17
456	Lupo, V., Van Vlierberghe, M., Vanderschuren, H., Kerff, F., Baurain, D., & Cornet, L. (2021).
457	Contamination in Reference Sequence Databases: Time for Divide-and-Rule Tactics. Frontiers
458	<i>in Microbiology, 12</i> , 755101. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.755101
459	Matsuoka, S., Sugiyama, Y., Sato, H., Katano, I., Harada, K., & Doi, H. (2019). Spatial structure of
460	fungal DNA assemblages revealed with eDNA metabarcoding in a forest river network in
461	western Japan. Metabarcoding and Metagenomics, 3, e36335.
462	https://doi.org/10.3897/mbmg.3.36335
463	McDaniel, E. A., Wahl, S. A., Ishii, S., Pinto, A., Ziels, R., Nielsen, P. H., & Williams, R. B. H. (2021).
464	Prospects for multi-omics in the microbial ecology of water engineering. Water Research,
465	205, 117608. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117608
466	Meredith, C., Hoffman, J., Trebitz, A., Pilgrim, E., Okum, S., Martinson, J., & Cameron, E. S. (2021).
467	Evaluating the performance of DNA metabarcoding for assessment of zooplankton
468	communities in Western Lake Superior using multiple markers. Metabarcoding and
469	Metagenomics, 5, e64735. https://doi.org/10.3897/mbmg.5.64735
470	Mitchell, A. L., Almeida, A., Beracochea, M., Boland, M., Burgin, J., Cochrane, G., & Finn, R. D. (2019).
471	MGnify: the microbiome analysis resource in 2020. <i>Nucleic Acids Research</i> , gkz1035.
472	https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz1035
473	Monday, R., Bertilsson, S., Buck, M., Langenheder, S., Lindström, E. S., & Garcia, S. L. (2020).
474	Streamlined and Abundant Bacterioplankton Thrive in Functional Cohorts. <i>MSvstems</i> . 5(5).
475	e00316-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00316-20
476	O'Leary, N. A., Wright, M. W., Brister, J. R., Ciufo, S., Haddad, D., McVeigh, R., & Pruitt, K. D. (2016).
477	Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and
478	functional annotation. <i>Nucleic Acids Research</i> . 44(D1). D733-745.
479	https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1189
480	Ortiz-Álvarez, R., Cáliz, J., Camarero, L., & Casamavor, F. O. (2020). Regional community assembly
481	drivers and microbial environmental sources shaping bacterioplankton in an alpine lacustrine

482	district (Pyrenees, Spain). Environmental Microbiology, 22(1), 297–309.
483	https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14848
484	Ortiz-Alvarez, R., Fierer, N., de los Rios, A., Casamayor, E. O., & Barberan, A. (2018). Consistent
485	changes in the taxonomic structure and functional attributes of bacterial communities during
486 487	primary succession. <i>The ISME Journal, 12</i> (7), 1658–1667. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396- 018-0076-2
488	Ponsero, A. J., Bomhoff, M., Blumberg, K., Youens-Clark, K., Herz, N. M., Wood-Charlson, E. M., &
489	Hurwitz, B. L. (2021). Planet Microbe: a platform for marine microbiology to discover and
490	analyze interconnected 'omics and environmental data. <i>Nucleic Acids Research</i> , 49(D1),
491	D792–D802. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa637
492	Rigden, D. J., & Fernández, X. M. (2021). The 2021 Nucleic Acids Research database issue and the
493	online molecular biology database collection. <i>Nucleic Acids Research</i> , 49(D1), D1–D9.
494	https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1216
495	Rigden, D. J., & Fernández, X. M. (2022). The 2022 <i>Nucleic Acids Research</i> database issue and the
496	online molecular biology database collection. <i>Nucleic Acids Research</i> , 50(D1), D1–D10.
497	https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1195
498	Santillan, E., Seshan, H., Constancias, F., Drautz-Moses, D. I., & Wuertz, S. (2019). Frequency of
499	disturbance alters diversity, function, and underlying assembly mechanisms of complex
500	bacterial communities. Npj Biofilms and Microbiomes, 5(1), 8.
501	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-019-0079-4
502	Steinegger, M., & Salzberg, S. L. (2020). Terminating contamination: large-scale search identifies
503	more than 2,000,000 contaminated entries in GenBank. Genome Biology, 21(1), 115.
504	https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02023-1
505	Tang, L. (2020). Contamination in sequence databases. <i>Nature Methods</i> , 17(7), 654–654.
506	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0895-8
507	The Earth Microbiome Project Consortium, Thompson, L. R., Sanders, J. G., McDonald, D., Amir, A.,
508	Ladau, J., & Knight, R. (2017). A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial
509	diversity. <i>Nature</i> , 551(7681), 457–463. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24621
510	Tickner, D., Opperman, J. J., Abell, R., Acreman, M., Arthington, A. H., Bunn, S. E., & Young, L. (2020).
511	Bending the Curve of Global Freshwater Biodiversity Loss: An Emergency Recovery Plan.
512	<i>BioScience</i> , 70(4), 330–342. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa002
513	Valentin, V., Frédéric, R., Isabelle, D., Olivier, M., Yorick, R., & Agnès, B. (2019). Assessing pollution of
514	aquatic environments with diatoms' DNA metabarcoding: experience and developments
515	from France water framework directive networks. Metabarcoding and Metagenomics, 3,
516	e39646. https://doi.org/10.3897/mbmg.3.39646
517	Wani, G. A., Khan, M. A., Dar, M. A., Shah, M. A., & Reshi, Z. A. (2021). Next Generation High
518	Throughput Sequencing to Assess Microbial Communities: An Application Based on Water
519	Quality. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 106(5), 727–733.
520	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-021-03195-7
521	Webb, T. J., & Mindel, B. L. (2015). Global Patterns of Extinction Risk in Marine and Non-marine
522	Systems. <i>Current Biology, 25</i> (4), 506–511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.12.023
523	Whon, T. W., Ahn, S. W., Yang, S., Kim, J. Y., Kim, Y. B., Kim, Y., & Roh, S. W. (2021). ODFM, an omics
524	data resource from microorganisms associated with fermented foods. Scientific Data, 8(1),
525	113. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-00895-x
526	Wu, D., Hugenholtz, P., Mavromatis, K., Pukall, R., Dalin, E., Ivanova, N. N., & Eisen, J. A. (2009). A
527	phylogeny-driven genomic encyclopaedia of Bacteria and Archaea. <i>Nature, 462</i> (7276), 1056–
528	1060. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08656
529	
530	Data availability statement
	•

531 FreshOmics database files have been made available at <u>http://freshomics.lmge.uca.fr/</u>

532

533 **ORCID**

- 534 Corinne Biderre-Petit https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7962-4171
- 535 Marina Chauvet <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6380-6162</u>
- 536 Arthur Monjot <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6978-4785</u>
- 537 Anne Moné <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8686-703X</u>
- 538 Viviane Ravet <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7574-992X</u>
- 539 Gisèle Bronner <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4863-4518?lang=en</u>
- 540 Hélène Gardon <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8976-1372</u>
- 541 Cécile Lepère <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4767-0477</u>
- 542 Didier Debroas <u>https://orcid.org/</u> <u>0000-0002-9915-1268</u>
- 543

544 **Conflict of interests**

- 545 The authors declare that they have no known competing interests.
- 546

547 Author contributions

- 548 CBP, JCC and DD designed the research. CBP, GB, CH, IJ, AMné, VR, AG, DD collected data from the 549 literature. MC, HG, AMjot conceived figures and pictograms for the web site. JCC designed the web
- site application. CBP, JCC and CL wrote the paper with input from all co-authors.
- 551

552 Figure titles and legends

- **Figure 1: Overview of the FreshOmics web interface (Home page) and construction method.** (A) Metadata retrieval from the literature and repositories following two successive steps. The removal of non-relevant papers (i) and the removal of non-freshwater samples (ii) were carried out as described in the text. (B) Attributes mining, standardization and merging. The standardization of the attributes was based on the ENVO, MIxS v.5, GO and ISO ontologies. (C) FreshOmics was made available online through a user-friendly web application developed out as described in the text. (D) When selected, the metadata can be downloaded under six different formats.
- 560

Figure 2: Overall distribution of the datasets around the world. (A) Distribution per continent. (B)
 Distribution of -omics datasets per country for the most represented continents (*i.e.* Asia, North
 America, and Europe).

- 565 Figure 3: Distribution of the datasets (A) per ecosystem type and (B) per material type.
- 566
- 567 Figure 4: Distribution of the datasets per method (A) and for metabarcoding, per marker (B).
- 568

569 Figure 5: Distribution of the datasets per sequencing technology (A) and evolution of technology 570 usage along the years (B).

571

- 572 Figure 6: Relative abundance of the datasets for the four main ecosystems (hot spring, stream, river
- and lake) in Asia, Europe and North America. The total percent of datasets devoted to these four
- ecosystems for each continent is indicated in the box above each histogram.

FOR REVIEW ONLY



Molecular Ecology Resources

FreshOmics: a database dedicated to freshwater microbiomes

Metadata retrieval





Web Application



Sediment: 22.2%



Pa 25 of 27

Molecular Ecology Resources

Β





Year

Page 26 of 27



Total number of datasets in database

hot spring - 431 datasets stream - 615 datasets river - 1,232 datasets lake - 2,968 datasets