

Gut content metabarcoding reveals potential importance of fisheries discards consumption in marine fauna

Benjamin Lejeune, Maud Aline Mouchet, Sonia Mehault, Dorothée Kopp

► To cite this version:

Benjamin Lejeune, Maud Aline Mouchet, Sonia Mehault, Dorothée Kopp. Gut content metabarcoding reveals potential importance of fisheries discards consumption in marine fauna. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 2022, 79 (7), pp.1064 - 1073. 10.1139/cjfas-2021-0267 . hal-03767803

HAL Id: hal-03767803 https://hal.science/hal-03767803

Submitted on 2 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Gut content metabarcoding reveals potential importance of fisheries discards consumption in marine fauna

Lejeune Benjamin ^{1, 2, *}, Mouchet Maud Aline ³, Mehault Sonia ², Kopp Dorothee ⁴

¹ Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, 52827, Paris, Île-de-France, France

² Ifremer, Station de Lorient, 121246, Lorient, Bretagne, France;

³ Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, 52827, Paris, Île-de-France, France;

⁴ Ifremer, Station de Lorient, 121246, Laboratoire Technologie et Biologie Halieutique, Lorient, Bretagne, France;

* Corresponding author : Benjamin Lejeune, email address : Benjamin.Lejeune@mnhn.fr

Abstract :

Fisheries discards have become a source of concern for the perennation of marine resources. To reduce discards, the European Union adopted a Landing Obligation under the reform of its Common Fisheries Policy. However, food web consequences of reducing discards remain uncertain since their degree and pathway of reintegration are understudied. We used multi-marker DNA metabarcoding of gut contents and an ecological network approach to quantify marine fauna reliance on discarded fish and functional importance of discard consumers in coastal fishing grounds. We show that potential discard consumption is widespread across fish and invertebrates, but particularly important for decapods which were also pinpointed as functionally important. Potential discard consumption may represent up to 66% of all interactions involving fish prey in the reconstructed network. We highlight that discard reliance may be more important than previously assessed in some fishing areas and support functionally important taxa. While reducing discarding remains a conservation priority, it is crucial to understand discards reintegration in marine food webs to anticipate changes in the context of an ecosystem approach to fisheries management.

Keywords : Diet, Discard ban, DNA metabarcoding, Fishing impact, Landing Obligation, Marine food web, Molecular network analysis, Scavengers, Trophic ecology

34 Introduction

- 35 Fishing activity is considered one of the most important threats to marine ecosystems
- 36 worldwide (Jackson et al. 2001; Guillen et al. 2018). The impact of fishing activity on marine
- 37 ecosystems has been mainly studied with respect to the removal of species from their
- 38 environment, and its direct and indirect effects, which can have complex cascading effects on
- 39 food web structure and stability, ultimately affecting ecosystem functioning (Bascompte et al.,

2005; Scheffer et al., 2005). Yet, another aspect of fisheries activities that could significantly 40 41 impact food web structure and ecosystem functioning is fisheries discards (i.e. animals caught, 42 but returned to the sea, dead or alive). Discarding arises from economic or legislative imperatives (low commercial value, over quota restriction, below the minimum allowable size, 43 44 damaged catches; Kelleher, 2005; Zeller et al., 2018). Discards are estimated to represent between 7 and 10 million tons per year at a global scale, representing almost 10% of global 45 catches (Kelleher 2005; Zeller et al. 2018). In Europe, the North-East Atlantic ocean has been 46 47 identified as a 'discard hotspot' (Guillen *et al.* 2018), with fishing activities that can reach up to 50% discard rates, as in the case of Nephrops fishery in the Bay of Biscay (Kelleher 2005). 48 49 Because of the considerable discard rates of some fishing practices, they are now also being 50 recognized as an important factor of biomass dispersion and have become a cause of concern for the perennation of marine resources in many countries (Zeller et al. 2018). The European 51 52 Union adopted a discard ban in the form of a Landing Obligation (LO) under the reform of its 53 Common Fisheries Policy, with a gradual implementation over the years 2015-2019 (European Union 2013). This reform applies a restriction on the discarding of commercial species under 54 total allowable catches (TAC) and quota, have a minimum landing size, as well as an obligation 55 to return prohibited species to the sea. However, the short- and long-term environmental effects 56 57 of reducing fishing discards remain uncertain since their degree and pathway of reintegration 58 into the marine food web are understudied, albeit being topics of growing interest (Jenkins et 59 al., 2004; Kopp et al., 2016; Sherley et al., 2019). Understanding the importance of fisheries 60 discards reintegration in marine food webs is crucial in the context of an ecosystem approach 61 to fisheries management, both to understand how fishing affects the functioning of marine 62 ecosystems and to predict the impact of a reduction in fisheries discards on marine 63 communities.

Scavenging behaviors and interactions with fisheries have been well documented in 64 65 seabirds worldwide (Karris et al., 2018; Votier et al., 2013), with evidence that discards may support a large community of scavenger seabirds and be an important determinant of population 66 dynamics (Sherley et al. 2019). However, a substantial part of discards is not directly consumed 67 by seabirds (Garthe et al., 1996), and sinks through the water column, ending up on the seabed 68 (Hill & Wassenberg 2000). Multiple studies have demonstrated that some marine species have 69 70 developed scavenging feeding strategies targeting dead or damaged fauna originating from 71 fishing activities, including fisheries discards (Groenewold & Fonds, 2000; Jenkins et al., 2004; 72 Ramsay et al., 1997). Results from these studies suggest that constant input of organic matter 73 in the form of fisheries discards might play an important role in shaping marine communities 74 and food webs. Such scavenging behaviors could potentially impact marine food webs in diverse ways, by resupplying a part of the biomass extracted by fisheries to the scavenger 75 76 community and potentially other non-typically scavenger species, and enhancing secondary 77 production (Bluhm & Bechtel 2003). It could also disproportionately affect some species 78 compared to others depending on their level of specialization on fisheries discards, as is 79 suspected in seabirds (Tyson et al., 2015). Yet, to what extent such scavenging behaviors may 80 be common and determine the diet of marine fauna, including that of non-typical scavengers 81 (Olaso et al., 2002) remains largely unknown. These questions are timely since depending on 82 the existence and strength of trophic links with fisheries discards, current efforts to limit 83 discarding practices, including the LO, may directly affect species feeding in fishing grounds, 84 community stability and potentially propagate changes through the marine food web (Kopp et al. 2016). 85

Estimation of discard consumption by marine fauna is hindered by inherent limitations of traditionally used diet assessment techniques. On the one hand, studies on discard consumption have relied mainly on baited remote underwater video systems (e.g. Bluhm &

Bechtel, 2003; Hill & Wassenberg, 2000; Ramsay et al., 1997), yielding results that do not 89 90 allow one to ponder the importance of fisheries discards consumption relative to other items in the diet at a population level. On the other hand, traditional gut content and stable isotope 91 92 analyses which constitute the main techniques used to assess discard contribution to the diet of marine fauna often only allow broad trophic assignments of consumers. DNA metabarcoding 93 of gut contents, a molecular approach to diet assessment which combines next generation 94 95 sequencing (NGS) with DNA barcoding to identify food items (Nielsen et al. 2017), may be 96 useful to address some of the shortfalls of these techniques to study the fate of fisheries discards 97 (Mcinnes et al. 2017). It is a well-established technique that can yield unparalleled resolution 98 in taxonomic identification and is being increasingly used to document trophic interactions in marine ecosystems (Casey et al. 2019; Takahashi et al. 2020). Finally, discards identity and 99 rates may vary considerably across time and geographic location, making it impossible to 100 101 generally classify some prey as being always ingested as discards and others as originating from 102 'natural' predation (Zeller et al. 2018). Coupling knowledge of local discard identity and rates 103 with prior knowledge of predator-prey interaction of the studied consumers may allow to 104 classify ingested prey according to their probability of originating from fisheries discards, 105 providing that the time frame of discard frequencies and the trophic tracer are similar.

106 In this study, we first aim to quantify the potential consumption of fish originating from 107 fisheries discards in marine fauna feeding in coastal fishing grounds, by using multi-marker 108 DNA metabarcoding of gut contents. We developed an original approach to evaluate the 109 probability of prey items as originating from fisheries discards based on prior knowledge of 110 predator-prey interactions, consumers trophic guilds and a record of local discarding activity 111 based on data collected during the study period, the whole year and the year before. Second, 112 we aim to identify whether discarded taxa or taxa relying on fisheries discards may constitute 113 functionally important nodes within the local network of trophic interactions by using a

114 molecular ecological network approach and therefore identify the potential pathways through 115 which the LO may propagate changes through the food web. We hypothesized that (1) all 116 sampled consumers within the fishing ground community would have ingested fish likely 117 originating from discarding practices (i.e. even non-typically scavenging, non-piscivorous and low trophic level species), but that this resource would be more important for some species than 118 119 others and particularly for benthic invertebrate macrofauna; and (2) potential discard 120 consumption would represent a significant portion of all trophic interactions within the 121 reconstructed network and support functionally important taxa such as decapods, which may 122 therefore trigger cascading effects potentially affecting food web and community structure 123 following discards reduction.

125 Material and methods

126 Gut content and local discarding data collection

127 Data were collected in April 2019 in the Bay of Bourgneuf (Bay of Biscay, France, northeast 128 Atlantic). Despite being shallow (from 0 to 34 m depth) and covering a relatively small area 129 (320 km²), this bay is diverse, both in terms of substrate type (i.e. composed of a variety of 130 patchy rocky, sandy and muddy bottoms) and species occurrence (Gauduchon et al. 2020). Both 131 sampling for gut content analysis and recording of local discarding activity at the time of 132 sampling (identity and importance of discards) were carried out onboard of the same fishing 133 boat, a 10.95 m long commercial trawler rigged with a single bottom trawl used to target 134 multispecies fish assemblages (20 m headline and 70 mm diamond mesh codend) and are based 135 on 12 trawl hauls over a 3 days period during normal operation of this boat. This trawler is 136 representative of the commercial trawling fleet of the Bay of Bourgneuf, which are < 12 m long 137 (Ifremer. Système d'Informations Halieutiques 2020). Among fishing activities in the ICES 8a and b divisions where our sampling took place, bottom trawling is the main source of discards 138

139 (i.e. 5000 t/year; Kopp et al., 2016). Coupling sampling and discarding observation was done 140 to obtain information on the identity and importance of discarded species at a timescale as close 141 as possible to that of diet information provided by gut content metabarcoding and at the exact 142 same location. Our own in situ observations of discarding at the time of sampling were further 143 compared and complemented using data from the OBSMER database (Cornou et al. 2021). 144 OBSMER is a sampling program that separately collects catch and discard data (species 145 identity, tonnage and sizes) of commercial fisheries on all French maritime facades. Data are 146 collected by at-sea observers on board of professional fishing vessels. They monitor a complete 147 fishing trip and randomly sample one-third to half of fishing operations of the vessel during 148 each trip. Data request from OBSMER concerned years 2018 and 2019, métier OTB DEF and 149 OTB CEP, and ICES sub-division 23E7BB. These data were used to calculate a 'discard importance score' for each prey item as follows: 0 = No discarding observed in any dataset, 1 150 151 = low discard importance (i.e. species was discarded and met the following criteria: (a) 152 discarded in less than 25% of the hauls and with less than 10 individuals per haul according to 153 our own observational data OR (b) discarded in mean quantities (weight) per haul lower than 154 the mean of all discarded fish per haul according to OBSMER data) and 2 = high discard 155 frequency (all discarded species above the aforementioned thresholds in at least one dataset; 156 see Table S1 for details).

Individuals captured for gut content analysis were directly frozen to be further dissected in the lab, except for *Conger conger*, *Raja undulata* and *Scyliorhinus canicula* for which only the digestive tract was frozen due to their large body size (dissected on board). In total, metabarcoding was performed on 369 individuals belonging to 22 consumer taxa spanning 7 different Classes which are representative of marine fauna and size classes captured by commercial trawling in the bay. All data were collected and analyzed in accordance with the authorizations and ethics approval delivered by the Ministère de la transition écologique et
solidaire (NOR: TREL1902817S / 168).

165

166 Gut content acquisition

Dissections were conducted in sterile conditions (i.e. equipment and surfaces were disinfected and sterilized between dissections by bleaching and flame sterilization). Frozen individuals were thawed at ambient temperature and subsequently dissected to extract the digestive tract. Digestive content was scraped along the entire length of the digestive tract, homogenized and finally sub-sampled to a maximum of 15 g that was preserved dried in silica gel.

172

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by IFREMER BIBLIOTHEQUE LA PEROUSE on 01/04/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

173 Production of metabarcoding data

174 Samples preserved in silica gel were sent to Argaly SA (Sainte-Hélène-du-Lac, France; https://www.argaly.com) for metabarcoding. Each sample was ground and homogenized using 175 176 a mortar. DNA extractions were carried out using the NucleoSpin Soil kit (Macherey-Nagel, 177 Düren, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The final elution was performed with 100 μ L of SE buffer and diluted 5 times prior to PCR (final volume = 0.5 mL). A 178 179 combination of two complementary primer pairs was used for diet assessment: 'Euka02' 180 (TTTGTCTGSTTAATTSCG and CACAGACCTGTTATTGC; Guardiola et al., 2015), 181 targeting the 18S rDNA region was used as a generalist marker to obtain a full picture of the 182 (AAACTCGTGCCAGCCACC animal fraction of the diet. and 'Tele02' and 183 GGGTATCTAATCCCAGTTTG; Taberlet et al., 2018) targeting the 12S mitochondrial rDNA 184 was used to characterize more precisely the ingested fish (Actinopterygii and Chondrichthyes). 185 Together, they allow to globally estimate the fraction of the ingested fish within the animal 186 fraction of the diet of each consumer. Amplification of each DNA extract was carried out in four independent PCR replicates, for each marker. Bioinformatic discrimination of PCR 187

replicates after sequencing was allowed by adding tags to the 5' end of both the forward and 188 189 reverse primers so that each PCR replicate was represented by a unique combination of forward 190 and reverse tags. Tags were constituted of an eight-nucleotide sequence, with at least five 191 nucleotide differences among them (Coissac 2012) to which two to four random nucleotides were added (on the 5' end), to ensure sufficient sequence diversity and efficient detection of 192 193 clusters during sequencing. PCR amplifications were performed in a 20-uL volume containing 194 2 µL of DNA extract, 0.4 µM of each primer, 10 µL of AmpliTag Gold 360 Master Mix 195 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and 0.16 µL of 20 mg.ml⁻¹ bovine serum albumin 196 (BSA; Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). Thermocycling conditions included an initial 197 DNA polymerase activation step of 10 min at 95°C, 45 cycles with a 30s denaturation at 95°C, a 30s annealing at 45°C for Euka02 and 54°C for Tele02, and a 60s elongation at 72°C, followed 198 by a final extension step of 7 min at 72°C. Purification of PCR products (combined in equal 199 200 volumes), were conducted using the MinElute PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) 201 following the manufacturer's instructions. Gel electrophoresis (E-Gel Power Snap; Invitrogen, 202 Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used to check for amplification and purification success. Library 203 preparation and sequencing were outsourced to Fasteris SA (Geneva, Switzerland; 204 https://www.fasteris.com/dna/). Two sequencing libraries (one per marker) were prepared 205 following the PCR-free MetaFast protocol (Taberlet et al. 2018), which limits the formation of 206 chimeras. All amplicons were sequenced on a NextSeq 500 sequencing platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), using the rapid run mode generating 250 bp paired-end sequences. 207 208 Extraction and PCR negatives (20 at each step, i.e. one by extraction batch and PCR plate), as 209 well as unused tag combinations (240 in total, i.e. 12 by PCR plate) were included to control for potential contaminations and false positives caused by tag jumps (Schnell et al., 2015). 210

211

212 Sequences analyses, curation and conversion to occurrence data

213 The OBITools package (Boyer et al. 2015) was used to analyse raw reads. First, paired-end 214 reads were assembled with *illuminapairedend* function, only retaining sequences with an 215 alignment score higher than 40. Second, ngsfilter function was used to assign aligned sequences 216 to the corresponding sample by allowing two and zero mismatches on primers and tags, 217 respectively. Third, obiuniq function was used for sequence dereplication. Sequences whose 218 length fell outside the expected size interval (shorter than 36 bp for Euka02, or 129 bp for 219 Tele02), bad-quality sequences (i.e. containing "N") and those never observed at least ten times 220 in at least one PCR replicate were filtered out. Finally, ecotag function was used to perform 221 taxonomic assignment of each molecular Operational Taxonomic Unit (mOTU), for each 222 marker, by comparing the mOTU sequence to a database of full-length reference metabarcodes. 223 This reference database was constructed combining local reference sequences (Table S2), and 224 sequences obtained from the GenBank public database (release 135) using ecoPCR (Ficetola et 225 al., 2010). More specifically, ecoPCR carried out an in silico PCR on GenBank with the primer 226 pair used for the experiment and allowing three mismatches per primer. The obtained reference 227 sequences were further curated by keeping only sequences assigned at least at the family level. 228 For Euka02, when a mOTU was 100% identical with a sequence from the local reference 229 database, this taxonomic assignment was favored in order to avoid any potential dilution of the 230 local taxonomic information with that, probably less relevant, available in GenBank.

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by IFREMER BIBLIOTHEQUE LA PEROUSE on 01/04/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

ROBITools package (https://git.metabarcoding.org/obitools/ROBITools) in R was used for further data filtering, removing spurious or contaminant sequences that can bias ecological conclusions drawn from DNA metabarcoding data (Calderón-Sanou et al., 2019). We discarded from our dataset (1) potential chimeras (i.e. mOTUs with a best identity < 95% with the local reference database or reference sequences from GenBank release 135); (2) contaminants (i.e. mOTUs that were more numerous in the negative control replicates than in true PCR replicates); (3) mOTUs assigned to taxa other than marine organisms for Euka02 and mOTUs assigned to

taxa other than marine fish for Tele02, because Tele02 can also amplify taxa belonging to other
classes (mammals, birds); and (4) PCR replicates with a low sequencing coverage. In total,
40,211,815 clusters were sequenced for Tele02 and 58,843,304 for Euka02. Total numbers of
sequences after data filtering were 15,874,979 and 25,948,409 for Tele02 and Euka02 datasets.

After this, we removed 'self-hits' (sequences identified as the studied species within its 242 own gut samples) from both datasets, as these sequences can come from naturally degrading 243 244 cells from the guts of the studied species and cannot be distinguished from potential 245 consumption of conspecifics, which is considered as an intrinsic limitation of gut content 246 metabarcoding (Taberlet et al. 2018; Casey et al. 2019). Only three species depicted high rates 247 of self-hits in the Euka02 dataset (Aphrodita aculeata, Buccinum undatum and Echinus esculentus), which were the taxa with the lowest sample sizes. High rates of self-hits for a given 248 249 species were defined as when self-hits were the highest taxonomic assignment across the 250 majority of individuals of that consumer species (Casey et al. 2019), often resulting in self-hit 251 counts being on average higher than total read counts (Table S3). High rates of self-hits were 252 expected in fish species with Tele02 dataset because many individuals which did not consume 253 other fish (e.g. from species not belonging to the piscivore trophic guild; Thompson et al. 2020), 254 would likely only amplify DNA from their own degrading cells with this marker. This was the 255 case for Callionymus lyra, Chelidonichtys lucerna, Conger conger, Pleuronectes platessa and 256 Trisopterus luscus. Total number of sequences after self-hits removal were 9,065,600 and 15,191,780 for Tele02 and Euka02, respectively. Count data (number of sequences) were 257 258 transformed to occurrence data (presence-absence). To avoid rare-item inflation that can bias 259 diet estimation, normalize detection across samples and further limit the risk of potential 260 contaminations to remain in the dataset after data filtering steps, we set a threshold of minimum 261 sequence abundance to determine if a mOTU should be considered as an occurrence. We used 262 a threshold of \geq 1% of the total number of sequences amplified in the stomach content to define

263 an occurrence (i.e. MOTUs representing < 1% of the total number of sequences amplified in 264 the stomach of each consumer were removed) (Deagle et al. 2019). Finally, we built a consensus 265 diet incorporating both molecular markers by merging the two datasets (Euka02 and Tele02) into a single one following the multi-marker merging procedure detailed in da Silva et al. 266 (2019). Essentially, this merging procedure assumes that within the same gut content, a given 267 268 food item recovered at higher taxonomic ranking (e.g. order or family) by the most generalist 269 marker (Euka02) is the same as items of the same taxonomic group recovered at lower 270 taxonomic ranking (e.g. genus or species) by the specialist marker (Tele02). Merged dataset 271 contained a total of 154 identified mOTUs across all samples, with 40 belonging to 272 Actinopterygii and Chondrichthyes.

274 Calculation of a score of 'probability of consumption as fisheries discard'

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by IFREMER BIBLIOTHEQUE LA PEROUSE on 01/04/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

273

All fish prey were classified according to their probability of being ingested as fisheries discard 275 276 by calculating a discard probability score (DPS), ranging from 0 to 3, following: 0 = very low, 277 1 = low, 2 = medium, 3 = high probability of being ingested as fisheries discard (details on DPS)278 calculation for each predator-prey interaction are provided in Table S4). The score was 279 calculated by compiling information on the discarding importance of the prey (i.e. 'discard 280 importance score' detailed above) and known diet and trophic guild affiliation of the consumer 281 (i.e. 'trophic link score'). The 'trophic link score', set either as 0 or 1 was assigned to each 282 consumer-prey combination appearing in the metabarcoding results based on a literature review 283 as follows: 0 = natural predation is documented in the specific literature of the consumer or 284 possible based on trophic guild affiliation of the consumer (i.e. predation on the family of the 285 prey was observed in other species belonging to the consumer's trophic guild), 1 = natural 286 predation is not documented either in the specific literature of the consumer or in other species 287 belonging to the consumer's trophic guild. Trophic guild affiliations of fish were derived from 288 Thompson et al. (2020) which source stomach content records from ICES (1997) and 289 DAPSTOM (Pinnegar 2014) to establish trophic guilds. Specific diet of invertebrate taxa were 290 complemented with the trophic traits 'feeding type', 'feeding habit' and 'trophic level' available 291 from Sealifebase (https://www.sealifebase.ca; Palomares & Pauly 2021) and the Biological 292 Traits Information Catalogue (www.marlin.ac.uk/biotic; MarLIN 2006). The discard 293 probability score, was calculated in an additive way as DPS = 'discard importance score' + 294 'trophic link score'. Using trophic guilds and traits to determine 'the trophic link score' allows 295 to limit biases and overestimating of discard consumption due to the unequal state of knowledge 296 on the specific diet of the different taxa. Additionally, we adopted a conservative approach to 297 avoid overamplifying the DPS by assigning a DPS = 0 to all prey items whose 'discard importance score' = 0. This means that even if predation on a given prey is undocumented to 298 our knowledge and according to the larger spectrum of the trophic guild ('trophic link score' = 299 300 1), if the prey was not observed being discarded at the time of sampling, the resulting DPS = 0. 301 Following the same conservative approach, whenever a prey mOTU was identified to the genus 302 level or higher, we assigned the lowest 'discard importance score' of all species included within 303 its taxonomic group.

305 Diet analysis

304

Information on the occurrence of each mOTU in the gut content of each species was summarized using Frequency of Occurrence (FOO), which is calculated for each consumer species as the number of occurrences of a given mOTU divided by the total number of gut contents samples of that consumer species. The weighted Percentage of Occurrence (wPOO) was obtained following Deagle et al. (2018): occurrences of food items are weighted (standardized) across the entire diet at the individual level, then these weighted occurrences are summed at the species level and divided by species sample size. Diet richness was assessed atthe population and individual levels using raw richness of mOTUs.

314

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by IFREMER BIBLIOTHEQUE LA PEROUSE on 01/04/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

315 Molecular Ecological Network Analysis

316 We built a network of trophic interactions among consumers sampled in the Bay of Bourgneuf 317 fishing grounds (i.e. species and sizes targeted by commercial trawling) and their prey using 318 gut content metabarcoding data of the 22 consumer species to quantify the importance of 319 trophic links potentially involving discard consumption at the scale of the modelled network, 320 and whether discards may be fueling structurally important species (Meyer et al., 2020). The 321 network was directed from consumers to prey and interactions were weighted using the wPOO values. We used Gephi (version 0.9.2; Bastian et al., 2009) to visualize and calculate all network 322 323 metrics. First, we mapped the network of trophic interactions using Forced Atlas 2 layout, a 324 force-directed algorithm used to depict the modular aspect of network structure (Jacomy et al., 325 2014). Second, to obtain a view of the importance of trophic links involving discard 326 consumption at the scale of the modeled network, we quantified the proportion of trophic links 327 involving fish prey within the network and among those, the proportion of links likely involving 328 discarded fish (links involving prey with DPS \geq 2). Third, we measured the relative functional 329 importance of each taxon within the modeled network by calculating: (i) Weighted in-degree 330 centrality (i.e. the number of links directed to the node, weighted according to wPOO values), 331 which indicates the degree to which a taxon is an important food source for other taxa in the network, identifying central taxa whose loss may trigger cascading effects, indirectly impacting 332 333 the community (Elhesha et al., 2017); (ii) Eigenvector centrality, which measures the node's 334 importance within the network while accounting for the importance of its neighbors, further 335 helping to identify taxa that potentially strongly influence the abundance of others (Allesina & 336 Pascual 2009).

338 **Results**

Diet composition across the marine community

340 We sampled 22 consumers for a total of 369 individuals (Table 1). Individual sample size ranged between 4 for Aphrodita aculeata (Polychaeta) and 27 for Sepia officinalis 341 342 (Cephalopoda), except for Echinus esculentus (Echinoidea) and Buccinum undatum (Gastropoda) which included only one individual. Sample sizes of A. aculeata, E. esculentus 343 344 and B. undatum were judged too low to allow for any generalization of findings, therefore 345 results concerning these three taxa are presented in tables but not extensively discussed. Total 346 prey richness ranged between 4 and 57 mOTUs for B. undatum and Psammechinus miliaris 347 (Echinoidea), respectively. All 22 consumer taxa ingested fish (i.e. mOTUs identified as 348 Actinopterygii or Chondrichthyes) (Fig. 1; Fig. S1). At the population level, diversity of ingested fish mOTUs ranged between 4 and 24 for C. lyra and S. officinalis, respectively. It 349 350 was notably high in the gut contents of P. miliaris (18 different fish mOTUs; Table 1), in the 351 two Chondrichthyes Raja undulata and Scyliorhinus canicula (13 and 16 different fish mOTUs, 352 respectively) and in Malacostraca where it ranged between 8 for the spider crab Maja 353 brachydactyla and 19 for the shrimp Crangon sp. In Actinopterygii, diversity of fish prey ranged between 4 for Callionymus lyra and 15 for Trachurus trachurus. At the individual level, 354 355 richness of prey mOTUs were highest for Crangon sp. S. officinalis and T. trachurus (3.3-6.3 356 mean ingested fish mOTUs per individual) but generally low for all other taxa (0.9-2.4 mean 357 ingested fish mOTUs per individual).

359

358

Table 1: Diet richness in mOTUs, expressed for the whole diet and the fish fraction, both at the population (total) and individual level (Mean \pm SE), and network centrality metrics (weighted in-degree and eigenvector centrality) for each consumer. *Centrality values of both echinoids are those of the mOTU 'Echinoidea' since they could not be differentiated during metabarcoding analysis.

			Total richness		Fish richness		Centrality metrics			
							Weighted	Eigen		
Class	Species	Ν	Total	Mean	SE	Total	Mean	SE	in-degree	vector
	Callionymus lyra	10	26	5.1	0.7	4	0.9	0.3	31.3	0.49
	Chelidonichthys lucerna	23	24	2.9	0.5	12	1.0	0.3	43.4	0.45
	Conger conger	19	25	4.1	0.3	14	1.6	0.3	29	0.63
	Merlangius merlangus	20	23	3.5	0.5	11	2.3	0.3	26.1	0.60
Actinopterygii	Merluccius merluccius	19	16	2.5	0.3	9	1.7	0.2	120	0.78
	Pleuronectes platessa	20	24	5.5	0.5	8	1.7	0.3	25.8	0.38
	Pollachius pollachius	17	36	5.4	0.7	13	2.4	0.5	20.6	0.34
	Trachurus trachurus	25	34	7.4	0.5	15	4.6	0.3	93.8	0.71
	Trisopterus luscus	20	33	4.2	0.4	11	1.4	0.2	84.2	0.84
Cephalopoda	Sepia officinalis	27	38	4.6	0.4	24	3.3	0.5	1.7	0.10
Chan driah thuran	Raja undulata	21	21	4.3	0.4	13	2.2	0.2	19.6	0.56
Chondrichtnyes	Scyliorhinus canicula	19	39	7.0	0.8	16	2.4	0.5	11.6	0.46
Echinoidaa	Echinus esculentus	1	16	16.0	NA	9	9.0	NA	0 (7.9*)	0 (0.23*)
Echinoidea	Psammechinus miliaris	19	57	6.4	0.9	18	2.3	0.5	0 (7.9*)	0 (0.23*)
Gastropoda	Buccinum undatum	1	4	4.0	NA	1	1.0	NA	4.7	0.13
	Atelecyclus undecimdentatus	20	26	4.1	0.6	18	2.2	0.6	170.5	0.59
	Cancer pagurus	17	25	3.5	0.5	16	1.9	0.5	0	0
Malagastraga	<i>Crangon</i> sp.	13	25	7.9	1.4	19	6.3	1.3	147	0.50
Malacostraca	Maja brachydactyla	23	18	2.1	0.4	8	0.6	0.2	0	0
	Necora puber	16	25	4.2	0.7	16	2.2	0.5	94.6	0.82
	Paguroidea	15	22	3.8	0.5	11	1.1	0.3	8.9	0.27
Polychaeta	Aphrodita aculeata	4	6	2.3	0.6	2	1.0	0.4	0	0
365										

Weighted percentage of occurrence (wPOO) of fish mOTUs in the diet of the different consumers varied from a minimum of 15.9% in *M. brachydactyla* to a maximum of 77% in *Merluccius merluccius* (Table S5). Fish represented \geq 50% of all ingested mOTUs in terms of wPOO in the fishes *Merlangius merlangus*, *M. merluccius*, *T. trachurus* and *R. undulata*, in the cuttlefish *S. officinalis*, but also in the echinoid *E. esculentus*, the shrimp *Crangon* sp. and the polychaete *A. aculeata* (Fig. 1; Fig. S1).



Figure 1: Weighted percentage of occurrence (wPOO [%]) of the fish mOTUs (Actinopterygii
and Chondrichthyes) identified via gut contents metabarcoding of the 22 consumers. Fish
mOTUs are categorized according to the 'discard probability score' (DPS), from 0 (dark blue)
= very low probability to 3 (dark pink) = high probability of being ingested as fisheries discard.

380 Potential importance of discarded fish ingestion across consumer taxa

Prey with the highest discard probability score (DPS = 3) were found in the gut contents of all 381 382 consumers, except the three predatory fishes *M. merlangus*, *M. merluccius* and *T. trachurus*, 383 and the polychaete A. aculeata. Fish prey that have a medium to high probability of having 384 been consumed as fisheries discards (i.e. DPS ≥ 2) were found in the gut contents of all 22 385 consumers. We considered that the fish fraction of the diet of a species was dominated by 386 potential discard ingestions when mOTUs with DPS ≥ 2 represented more than 50% of all 387 ingested fish mOTUs in terms of wPOO. All consumer taxa had a fish fraction of the diet 388 dominated by prey with DPS ≥ 2 , except the five predatory fishes Conger conger, M. 389 merlangus, M. merluccius, T. trachurus and S. canicula. In these five species, fish fraction of 390 the diet was dominated by species belonging to the family Clupeidae, which were being 391 discarded by local fisheries at the time of sampling according to our observations but are also 392 known to be naturally predated upon by those consumers, hence their classification as DPS = 1(Table S4 and S4). However, in *T. trachurus*, fish prey with DPS = 2 still constituted 'typical' 393 394 prey (i.e. dominant items in terms of frequency of occurrence: FOO = 80%). Among fishes, the 395 species that included the most prey with DPS ≥ 2 relative to other fish prey were C. lyra, 396 Pleuronectes platessa and R. undulata (100%, 97.2% and 74.8% of their fish diet respectively). All invertebrates excluding S. officinalis had a fish fraction of the diet almost entirely composed 397 398 of prey with DPS \geq 2 (73.9-100% of fish mOTUs) which were also generally largely dominant 399 in terms of FOO, indicative of a reliance on fish prey potentially mainly linked to scavenging 400 on discards (Fig. 1; Table S5). Prey with DPS ≥ 2 represented 11.8 to 56.3 % of the whole diet 401 of these species. Fish fraction of the diet was entirely constituted of prey with DPS ≥ 2 in E. 402 esculentus, Paguroidea and A. aculeata constituting 56.3%, 27% and 50% of their whole diet, respectively. A. aculeata, Paguroidae, M. brachydactyla and S. officinalis had the lowest 403

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by IFREMER BIBLIOTHEQUE LA PEROUSE on 01/04/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

404 reliance on prey with DPS = 3 relative to their whole diet (0-6.6% wPOO). Conversely, 405 echinoids and all other decapods relied importantly on prey with DPS = 3, reaching 15.5 to 406 34.9% of their whole diet. The gastropod *B. undatum* relied exclusively on fish with DPS = 3, 407 constituting 25% of its whole diet.

409 Molecular ecological network analysis

410 Diet information were used to model a network of trophic interactions among the 22 sampled 411 consumers and their prey, totaling 563 weighted links (trophic interactions) between 159 nodes (sampled consumers and mOTUs) (Fig. 2; Figure S2). Among the 563 trophic links, 48% (268 412 links) involved fish prey, that is 12% with DPS = 3, 19% with DPS = 2, 10% with DPS = 1 and 413 414 7% with DPS = 0. Among these 270 trophic links involving fish prey, 66% concerned fish prey 415 that had a medium to high probability of having been consumed as fisheries discards (DPS \geq 416 2); 40% with DPS = 2 and 26% with DPS = 3 relative to the consumer. Both centrality metrics 417 provided complementary information identifying species whose fish fraction of the diet could 418 be dominated by discards, or fish that were themselves importantly discarded, as functionally 419 important nodes in the modeled interaction network (Table 1; Table S6). Among taxa with the 420 highest weighted in-degree centrality values, we found species whose fish fraction of the diet 421 could be potentially largely dominated by discards (e.g. the decapods Atelecyclus 422 undecimdentatus, Crangon sp. and Necora puber). The fish with the highest weighted in-degree 423 centrality values were all part of species discarded by the commercial fleet in the area and at 424 the time of sampling: T. trachurus, Trisopterus luscus and C. lucerna which were observed 425 among the most discarded species, as well as M. merluccius and multiple Clupeidae which were 426 observed to be discarded in lower quantities. A similar pattern was visible in Eigenvector 427 centrality values, but with an increased importance of fish, mainly Clupeidae and T. luscus, and 428 a slight decrease of decapods importance in the network (Table 1; Table S6).

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by IFREMER BIBLIOTHEQUE LA PEROUSE on 01/04/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

430



Figure 2: Subset of the molecular network constructed from gut content metabarcoding data, depicting trophic interactions among the 22 consumers and their prey; only the trophic links involving fish prey are presented, see Fig. S2 for the complete network. Nodes are representing the consumers and prey mOTUs. Node color depicts taxonomic affiliation (by Class). Node size is proportional to its importance as a food source for other taxa (weighted in-degree centrality). Link width is proportional to wPOO of prey and color varies according to prey DPS.

438 **Discussion**

439 Importance of discard consumption across marine fauna

440 With the introduction of the LO in European waters (European Union 2013), the amount of fisheries discards is expected to decline. However, it is not clear to which extent scavenging 441 442 behaviors may be common and determine the diet of marine fauna (e.g. Bluhm & Bechtel, 2003; 443 Olaso et al., 2002; Ramsay et al., 1997), especially in fishing grounds subject to important 444 discarding activity. Here, we showed that potential ingestion of discarded fish was generalized 445 from low trophic level benthic invertebrates to predatory fishes in shallow coastal fishing 446 grounds of the Bay of Bourgneuf, with a fish fraction of the diet likely dominated by potential 447 discards in 17 of the 22 sampled taxa. Diet involving prey with DPS \geq 2 generally corresponded 448 to prey that may not be naturally predated upon. For example, gut content metabarcoding 449 revealed that 30% of *Callionymus lyra*, a small coastal benthivore fish that feeds mainly on 450 benthic invertebrates (Fricke 1986; Thompson et al. 2020), ingested Conger conger and 451 Merluccius merluccius, which are larger and higher in the food chain (Arroyo et al. 2019). 452 These ingestions accounted for 100% of the fish diet of this species. As both C. conger and M. 453 *merluccius* are eviscerated on board, the ingestion of these two species by C. lvra likely 454 corresponds to eating discarded viscera. Note that some fish mOTUs identified in the guts of 455 some species might correspond to predation on eggs or early life stages which could be 456 underassessed in the diet literature, possibly introducing a bias in the interpretation of high DPS 457 prey consumption. However, the trophic guild approach included in the calculation of the DPS 458 (Thompson et al. 2020) is based on large databases of stomach content records which also 459 report eggs and larvae consumption (i.e. the 'Integrated Database and Portal for Fish Stomach 460 Records' [DAPSTOM; Pinnegar 2014]) and ICES 'Year of the Stomach' database [ICES 461 1997]), which should limit such bias as much as possible.

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by IFREMER BIBLIOTHEQUE LA PEROUSE on 01/04/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

462 Large-scale studies have provided contrasting results regarding the importance of 463 discards for scavengers concluding to either influential (e.g. Catchpole & Frid 2006) or limited 464 effects (e.g. Depestele et al. 2019), but calling for more empirical studies in diverse 465 environmental settings. In invertebrates, fish fraction of the diet was largely dominated by prey with DPS ≥ 2 (74-100%), suggesting that all invertebrates sampled may rely mainly on discards 466 467 concerning fish prey. This is consistent with the fact that most of these species, except Sepia 468 officinalis, are unlikely to catch and feed upon live fish. Although some are known 469 necrophageous, the proportion of fish ingestion likely originating from discarding was 470 particularly high in the crabs Cancer pagurus, Necora puber and Atelecyclus undecimdentatus. 471 Previous studies recorded discard consumption by Buccinum undatum (Evans et al., 1996), sea urchins (González-Irusta et al. 2014), crabs and spider crabs (Ramsay et al. 1997; Bozzano & 472 Sardà 2002). While we judged that Aphrodita aculeata, Echinus esculentus and Buccinum 473 474 undatum have too low sample sizes to allow for any generalization of findings, their reporting 475 remain valuable regarding the paucity of information on the diet of these species. Overall, these 476 results suggest that in coastal fishing grounds subject to important discarding activity, the 477 reliance of local invertebrate macrofauna on fish likely ingested as discards may be important, 478 and reveal scavenging behaviors that appear to be under documented or whose strengths may 479 be underappreciated.

In fish, potential discarded fish ingestions were more variable across taxa and less important in more predatory fishes compared to others. However, this may also be due to the fact that in predatory fishes for which piscivory is well established in the literature, such as *Conger conger, Merlangius merlangus, Merluccius merluccius, Trachurus trachurus* and *Scyliorhinus canicula* (See Table S4 for references), most of the ingested fish mOTUs were known to be naturally predated upon, therefore lowering their DPS according to our methodology, even if they were importantly discarded in the area. A previous study by Olaso

487 et al. (2002) acknowledged important consumption of discarded fish such as Clupeidae by S. 488 canicula, while another study by Groenewold and Fonds (2000) evidenced that M. merlangus 489 were attracted by fish carcasses. Fishes that should occupy lower trophic levels (Callionymus 490 lyra, Chelidonichthys lucerna, Pleuronectes platessa, Pollachius pollachius, Trisopterus luscus 491 and Raja undulata; Arroyo et al., 2019) showed unexpectedly important consumption of fish 492 potentially linked to scavenging on discards (DPS ≥ 2). Such scavenging behaviors were 493 already noted for Rajidae (Olaso et al. 2002), as well as for P. platessa which were documented 494 waiting and feeding at the chelae of crabs around discarded fish (Jenkins et al. 2004). C. lyra, 495 C. lucerna, P. platessa and T. luscus also showed attraction towards fish carcasses in the study 496 by Groenewold and Fonds (2000). Our study suggests that R. undulata, P. platessa, T. luscus 497 and C. lyra may be the main consumers of discards in the fish community.

498

499 Potential consequences of the Landing Obligation suggested by network 500 analysis

501 Studying food web structure helps forecasting the impact of trophic changes on ecosystem 502 functioning (Allesina & Pascual 2009; Meyer et al. 2020). Because of the lack of infrastructure 503 on the ground, some areas benefitted from an extension of the application of the Landing 504 Obligation (LO) to 2020, as is the case of the Bay of Biscay (European Union 2019), explaining 505 that species under total allowable catches (TAC) and quota were still being discarded at the 506 time of study. This provided the opportunity to picture the structure of a network of trophic 507 interactions among species feeding in coastal fishing grounds subject to important discarding 508 and their prey. Network analysis revealed that in the studied fishing ground, 66% of the trophic 509 links implying fish prey potentially involved discard consumption (DPS \geq 2), including 26% 510 with the highest discard probability score (DPS = 3). This provides novel insights suggesting that discarded fish consumption might constitute a non-negligible factor affecting the structureof the local food web and community.

513 One may argue that discards might enhance secondary production in marine food webs 514 (Bluhm & Bechtel 2003), in which case a reduction of discarding could lead to negative effects 515 on populations most supported by them and to associated indirect effects. It is worth noting that 516 not all discarded species are subject to the LO, implying that not all discarding will end with its 517 full application in the area, but only those species under TAC and quota. Likewise, even if 518 discarding is regulated, consumption of discarded viscera should still be possible since large 519 catches such as C. conger or M. merluccius would continue to be eviscerated on board. 520 However, species subject to the LO represented an important fraction of ingested fish in the 521 sampled community (e.g. Trachurus trachurus, Engraulis encrasicolus, Merluccius merluccius 522 or the different rays; see all species under TAC and quota among our samples in Table S5). 523 Results show that decapods such as Atelecyclus undecimdentatus, Crangon sp. and Necora 524 puber, or the fish Trisopterus luscus which relied potentially importantly on discards, but also 525 Trachurus trachurus which was amongst the most discarded fish according to both our 526 observations and OBSMER data, constitute some of the most important structuring nodes in 527 the network. This implies that they may potentially strongly affect the local abundance of other 528 taxa via bottom-up effects (Elhesha et al. 2017). This is consistent with studies describing the 529 central role of decapods, including as food sources for diverse taxa (Boudreau & Worm 2012) 530 and the fact that decapods seem to constitute the dominant invertebrate Order attracted by or 531 consuming discards (Depestele et al. 2019). By reducing the amount of food supporting these central species or reducing their discarding, the LO may potentially trigger indirect effects, 532 533 altering the local network of trophic interactions and community structure. Another 534 consequence that is perhaps more complex to predict is the potential positive effect on fish 535 populations which were previously strongly subject to discarding. On the one hand they could

provide more food for species higher in the food chain, following natural predation. On the other hand they could increase predation pressure on species lower in the food chain and modify food web structure via top-down effects. For example, a discard ban on *Trachurus trachurus* may positively affect its population, increasing predation pressure on the variety of Clupeidae constituting its diet. Because Clupeidae are found amongst the most important structuring taxa, they may then also propagate changes to diverse taxa via bottom-up effects.

542 The 'snapshot' character of trophic information obtained via gut content metabarcoding 543 and the focus on a limited number of species corresponding to those targeted by the local 544 commercial trawling fleet may not allow to fully apprehend the complex consequences of the 545 LO on marine food web structure. This could be improved by expanding this study to a wider 546 area, community and with repeated measures. The method of capture used in this study (bottom trawling) may introduce a bias in the representation of species against pelagic ones while 547 548 focusing on the bentho-demersal assemblage. However, this also reflects the specific 549 environmental context in which the study took place, which is a shallow coastal fishing ground 550 (4-30m depth) representative of many coastal fisheries in the South of Europe. The presence of 551 T. trachurus in our dataset shows that some pelagic species can nonetheless feed in such fishing 552 grounds, underlying the increased bentho-pelagic coupling in shallow coastal areas (Kopp et 553 al. 2015). More precise inference on the effects of discard reduction on the pelagic compartment 554 of the food web could be drawn from conducting complementary studies using a larger variety 555 of capture methods (e.g. including gill-nets, traps), as well as replicate our study design in 556 deeper and open-water fisheries. Studies conducted in deep-sea offshore fisheries (200-800 m 557 depth) dominated by trawling suggest a similar pattern whereas important quantities of discards 558 end up on the seabed and be consumed by various benthic fauna, including small crustaceans 559 which are important prey of targeted commercial species (Castro, Araújo & Monteiro 2015). 560 Offshore open-water fisheries may be dominated by other métiers (e.g. gill-nets, longlines)

which often target single species, generate less discards (Cornou et al. 2021) and operate in a 561 562 spottier fashion than in coastal fisheries which are more geographically comprehensively 563 fished. In these contexts, the possibility of consumption by large pelagic predators before 564 discards reach the bottom might reduce its integration into the benthic food web compared to 565 our study system and encounter probability (i.e. the likelihood to come across fisheries discards) might be reduced as well for both pelagic and bentho-demersal species (Depestele et al. 2019), 566 567 possibly leading to a more diluted impact across the whole food web. Yet, we believe that more 568 empirical studies are needed to clarify and quantify trophic links between marine fauna and 569 discards in these structurally more complex systems, which would require a wider array of 570 capture methods to be deployed to cover both pelagic and benthic species.

572 **DNA metabarcoding to advance research on discards reintegration into marine** 573 **food webs**

574 Gut content metabarcoding promises to revolutionize food web studies by yielding 575 unparalleled resolution of trophic interactions (Casey et al. 2019; Takahashi et al. 2020), but 576 limitations should also be considered in order to advance research on discards reintegration into 577 marine food webs. It was successfully used to identify species potentially originating from 578 fisheries discards in the diet of Albatrosses (Mcinnes et al. 2017) and quantify discard 579 consumption in marine fauna in the present study. Here, gut content metabarcoding allowed to 580 identify 154 mOTUs (considering a \geq 1% relative read abundance threshold; Deagle *et al.* 581 2019), with 40 belonging to Actinopterygii and Chondrichthyes, which represents an 582 unprecedented resolution in a demersal food web. Yet, taxonomic levels were sometimes 583 dropped from species to genus, or further, whenever the similarity between primary and 584 secondary reference sequences was equal or higher to the similarity between the primary 585 reference and the query sequence during taxonomic assignment, resulting in sequence

586 assignment to the most recent common ancestor. Such cases can occur because of incomplete 587 reference databases. Improving the local reference database is therefore crucial to maximize the resolution of taxonomic identification (Casey et al. 2019). It is also worth noting that while the 588 589 18S rDNA region may provide an exceptional coverage across the prey items of interest in this 590 study, it may provide poorly reliable taxonomic resolution. The use of complementary markers 591 can improve taxonomic resolution within specific groups of interest (da Silva et al. 2019). Here, 592 the complementary use of Tele02 (targeting 12S region) helped improve the resolution of fish 593 mOTUs identification compared to Euka02 alone (targeting 18S region): Among the 40 fish 594 mOTUs identified, 32 were assigned to species level, 1 to genus, 6 to family and 1 to order. 595 Since taxonomic richness is dependent upon clustering level, taxonomic richness of the whole diet may be influenced by lower or variable resolution yielded by Euka02 for non-fish groups. 596 597 Taxonomic richness of the fish fraction of the diet should be much less influenced by such 598 problem. Specific markers for other taxonomic groups should similarly improve identification 599 resolution. Using multiple markers could also help limit the intrinsic biases associated with 600 primer choice and difference in amplification rate among sequences (Deagle et al., 2014).

601 One intrinsic limitation of applying metabarcoding to study diet is that one cannot 602 decipher between conspecifics consumption and DNA coming from the consumer's own 603 degrading cells, which is the reason for removing 'self-hits' from diet data (i.e. DNA of the 604 consumer within its own gut content) (Taberlet et al. 2018; Casey et al. 2019). We found no evidence of an important role of cannibalism in the trophic ecology of the studied species based 605 606 on gut content data from large databases used for DPS calculation. But beyond cannibalism of 607 living conspecifics, one way self-hits censoring might still impact the estimated diet is by 608 making a part of discard consumption invisible and therefore unaccounted for, namely if a 609 species is consuming discarded conspecifics. This might be more impactful for species that are 610 importantly discarded in the area (e.g. C. conger, T. trachurus, T. luscus), as one would expect

the probability of consuming discarded conspecifics to increase with discarding rate of that species. Important amount of consumer's DNA in a sample might impede the ability of PCR to reveal the true diversity of the diet because of a quick saturation of the reaction. But in our dataset self-hit rate was generally low, with only five fish species out of twenty-two depicting high self-hit rates with Tele02. High read counts of only their own DNA can be explained by the absence of fish consumption, which is confirmed by the absence of (other) fish mOTUs identified with Euka02. In this specific case, self-hits have little impact on diet estimates.

Finally, like traditional morphological identification, gut content metabarcoding only provides dietary information on a short time-scale. However, its sensitivity to identify highly degraded prey from intestinal content allows to access diet information that is often missed in traditional morphological identification (Takahashi *et al.* 2020). The use of long-term biomarkers such as stable isotopes or fatty acids as complementary tools may allow to decipher between opportunistic scavenging and specialized long-term strategies across the community, and better apprehend the potential consequences of the LO.

625

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by IFREMER BIBLIOTHEQUE LA PEROUSE on 01/04/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

626 Conclusions

Ultimately, reducing bycatch and discarding remain conservation priorities, but it appears also 627 628 crucial to understand and anticipate the potential consequences of reducing discards for the 629 variety of marine species that may have become quite dependent upon them. Our results reveal 630 that consumption of fish likely ingested as discards was important and generalized across 631 marine fauna feeding in fishing grounds subject to important discarding, and identified that 632 discards may support multiple species potentially playing an important structuring role in the 633 local food web, such as decapods. DNA metabarcoding of gut contents, together with reliant 634 methodologies to establish the probability of prey to originate from discarding, offers the possibility to quickly assess dependence of a community on fisheries discards. These results 635

646

could be further confirmed using stable isotopes or fatty acid tracers and repeated in various
environmental settings to be implemented in ecosystem models such as Ecopath with ECOSIM
in which trophic links with discards and corresponding energy fluxes may currently be
underestimated.

641 Authors' contributions

642 DK, MM and SM designed the study and methodology; DK and SM sampled and preserved 643 gut contents; SM realized the observations on discard frequencies; BL participated to sequence 644 curation and analysed metabarcoding data; BL led the writing of the manuscript. All authors 645 contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

647 Acknowledgements

This study was part of the DREAM project supported by the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF) and France Filière Pêche. The authors thank the crew of the fishing vessel, as well as the three scientists Fabien Morandeau, Nicolas Goascoz and Julien Simon for their help to conduct the onboard experiments. The authors are deeply indebted to the French Directorate of Marine Fisheries and Aquaculture (DPMA), to the team from the IFREMER French Fisheries Information System (SIH) for providing access to the OBSMER database and to Loïc Baulier who processed the data.

655

660

656 **References**

Allesina, S. & Pascual, M. (2009) Googling food webs : can an eigenvector measure species'
importance for coextinctions ? *PloS Computational Biology*, 5, e1000494.

659 Arroyo, N.-L., Safi, G., Vouriot, P., López-López, L., Niquil, N., Le Loc'h, F., Hattab, T. &

Preciado, I. (2019) Towards coherent GES assessments at sub-regional level: signs of

record	
ion of	
ial vers	
l/22 l offici	
n 01/04 ne fina	
JSE or from th	
PEROL differ 1	
ELA F t may	
IEQUI ition. I	
LIOTH	
R BIBI page co	
REME g and j	
by IFF editin	
b.com o copy	
encepu prior t	
cdnsci uscript	
l from d d man	
loaded ccepte	
Down s the a	
at. Sci. script i	
n. Aquâ manu	
J. Fish lust-IN	
Can. This J	
e only.	
onal us	
or perso	
rT.	

661 fisheries expansion processes in the Bay of Biscay using an OSPAR food web indicator, 662 the mean trophic level. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 76, 1543–1553.

- 663 Bascompte, J., Melián, C.J. & Sala, E. (2005) Interaction strength combinations and the 664 overfishing of a marine food web. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 665 102, 5443–5447.
- 666 Bastian, M., Heymann, S. & Jacomy, M. (2009) Gephi: an open source software for exploring 667 and manipulating networks. International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social 668 Media
- Bluhm, B.A. & Bechtel, P.J. (2003) The potential fate and effects of seafood processing 669 670 wastes dumped at sea: a review. Advances in Seafood Byproducts, Alaska Sea Grant 671

College Program (ed P.J. Bechtel), pp. 121–140. University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.

- 672 Boudreau, S.A. & Worm, B. (2012) Ecological role of large benthic decapods in marine 673 ecosystems : a review. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 469, 195-213.
- 674 Boyer, F., Mercier, C., Bonin, A., Le Bras, Y., Taberlet, P. & Coissac, E. (2015) OBITools: a 675 unix-inspired software package for DNA metabarcoding. Molecular Ecology Resources, 676 **16**, 176–182.
- Bozzano, A. & Sardà, F. (2002) Fishery discard consumption rate and scavenging activity in 677 678 the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **59**, 15–28.
- 679 Calderón-Sanou, I., Münkemüller, T., Boyer, F., Zinger, L. & Thuiller, W. (2019) From 680 environmental DNA sequences to ecological conclusions : How strong is the influence of 681 methodological choices ? Journal of Biogeography, 47, 193-206.
- 682 Casey, J.M., Meyer, C.P., Morat, F., Brandl, S.J., Planes, S. & Parravicini, V. (2019) 683 Reconstructing hyperdiverse food webs : Gut content metabarcoding as a tool to 684 disentangle trophic interactions on coral reefs. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 10,
- 685 1157-1170.

ord.	686	Castro, M., Araújo, A. & Monteiro, P. (2015) Fate of discards from deep water crustacean
of reco	687	trawl fishery off the south coast of Portugal. New Zealand Journal of Marine and
sROUSE on 01/04/22 iffer from the final official version	688	Freshwater Research, 39 , 437–446.
	689	Catchpole, T. & Frid, C.L.J. (2006) Importance of discards from the English Nephrops
	690	norvegicus fishery in the North Sea to marine scavengers. Marine Ecology Progress
	691	Series, 313 , 215–226.
	692	Coissac, E. (2012) Oligotag: a program for designing sets of tags for next-generation
E LA Pl t may d	693	sequencing of multiplexed samples. Data Production and Analysis in Population
LIOTHEQUE omposition. It	694	Genomics, Methods in Molecular Biology Series (eds F. Pompanon & A. Bonin), pp. 13-
	695	31. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey.
ER BIB page c	696	Cornou, A., Quinio-scavinner, M., Sagan, J., Cloâtre, T., Dubroca, L. & Billet, N. (2021)
ciencepub.com by IFREME pt prior to copy editing and	697	Captures et Rejets Des Métiers de Pêche Français. Résultats Des Observations à Bord
	698	Des Navires de Pêche Professionnelle En 2019. Obsmer.
	699	Deagle, B.E., Clarke, L.J., Thomas, A.C., Mcinnes, J.C., Vesterinen, E.J., Clare, E.L.,
	700	Kartzinel, T.R. & Eveson, J.P. (2019) Counting with DNA in metabarcoding studies :
m cdns anuscri	701	How should we convert sequence reads to dietary data? Molecular Ecology, 28, 391-
ded fro pted m	702	406.
ownloa he acce	703	Deagle, B.E., Jarman, S.N., Coissac, E., Pompanon, F. & Taberlet, P. (2014) DNA
Sci. Do ipt is th	704	metabarcoding and the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I marker : not a perfect match.
Aquat. 1anusc1	705	<i>Biology letters</i> , 10 , 20140562.
Can. J. Fish. <i>A</i> This Just-IN m	706	Depestele, J., Feekings, J., Reid, D.G., Cook, R., Gascuel, D., Girardin, R., Heath, M.,
	707	Hernvann, PY., Morato, T., Soszynski, A. & Savina-Rolland, M. (2019) The Impact of
only.	708	Fisheries Discards on Scavengers in the Sea. The European Landing Obligation:
nal use	709	Reducing Discards in Complex, Multi-Species and Multi-Jurisdictional Fisheries (eds
For perso	710	S.S. Uhlmann, C. Ulrich & S.J. Kennelly), pp. 129–162. Springer International

711	Publishing, Cham.
712	Elhesha, R., Kahveci, T. & Baiser, B. (2017) Motif centrality in food web networks. Journal
713	of Complex Networks, 5, 641–664.
714	European Union. (2013) Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 of the European parliament and of
715	the council of 11 December 2013 on the Common Fisheries Policy amending Council
716	Regulations (EC) No 1954/2003 and (EC) No 1224/2009 and repealing Council
717	Regulations (EC) No 2371/2002 and (EC). Official Journal of the European Union,
718	L354, 22–61.
719	European Union. (2019) Commission delegated regulation (EU) 2019/2237 of 1 October 2019
720	specifying details of the landing obligation for certain demersal fisheries in South-
721	Western waters for the period 2020-2021. Official Journal of the European Union, L336,
722	26–33.
723	Evans, P.L., Kaiser, M.J. & Hughes, R.N. (1996) Behaviour and energetics of whelks,
724	Buccinum undutum (L .), feeding on animals killed by beam trawling. Journal of
725	Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 197, 51–62.
726	Ficetola, G.F., Coissac, E., Zundel, S., Riaz, T. & Shehzad, W. (2010) An In silico approach
727	for the evaluation of DNA barcodes. BMC Genomics, 11, 434.
728	Fricke, R. (1986) Callionymidae. Fishes of the North-eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean
729	(eds P.J.P. Whitehead, ML. Bauchot, JC. Hureau, J. Nielsen & E. Tortonese), pp.
730	1086–1093. UNESCO, Paris.
731	Garthe, S., Camphuysen, K.C. & Furness, R.W. (1996) Amounts of discards by commercial
732	fisheries and their significance as food for seabirds in the North Sea. Marine Ecology
733	Progress Series, 136, 1–11.
734	Gauduchon, T., Cornou, A., Quinio-Scavinner, M., Goascoz, N., Dubroca, L. & Billet, N.
735	(2020) Captures et Rejets Des Métiers de Pêche Français. Résultats Des Observations à

ġ

	tion of reco	
22	official vers	
E on 01/04/	m the final	
A PEROUS	ay differ fro	
HEQUE LA	sition. It ma	
R BIBLIOT	page compc	
by IFREME	editing and	
cepub.com l	rior to copy	
om cdnscien	anuscript p	
vnloaded fro	accepted m	
at. Sci. Dow	script is the	
. Fish. Aqui	ıst-IN mañu	
Can. J	nly. This Ju	
,	rsonal use o	
	For pe	

746

760

736 Bord Des Navires de Pêche Professionnelle En 2018. Obsmer.

737 González-Irusta, J.M., Preciado, I., López-López, L., Punzón, A., Cartes, J.E. & Serrano, A.

(2014) Trawling disturbance on the isotopic signature of a structure-building species, the
sea urchin *Gracilechinus acutus* (Lamarck, 1816). *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **106**, 216–224.

Groenewold, S. & Fonds, M. (2000) Effects on benthic scavengers of discards and damaged
benthos produced by the beam-trawl fishery in the southern North Sea. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 57, 1395–1406.

Guardiola, M., Uriz, M.J., Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Wangensteen, S. & Turon, X. (2015)
Deep-sea, deep-sequencing: metabarcoding extracellular DNA from sediments of marine

canyons. PLoS ONE, 10, e0139633.

- Guillen, J., Holmes, S.J., Carvalho, N., Casey, J., Dörner, H., Gibin, M., Mannini, A.,
 Vasilakopoulos, P. & Zanzi, A. (2018) A review of the European union landing
 obligation focusing on its implications for fisheries and the environment. *Sustainability*,
 10, 900.
- Hill, B.J. & Wassenberg, T.J. (2000) The probable fate of discards from prawn trawlers
 fishing near coral reefs A study in the northern Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Fisheries Research*, 48, 277–286.

754 ICES. (1997) Database Report of the Stomach Sampling Project 1991.

755 Ifremer. Système d'Informations Halieutiques. (2020) *Quartier Maritime Noirmoutier. 2019.*756 *Activité Des Navires de Pêche.*

- 757 Jackson, J.B.C., Kirby, M.X., Berger, W.H., Bjorndal, K.A., Botsford, L.W., Bourque, B.J.,
- 758 Bradbury, R.H., Cooke, R., Erlandson, J., Estes, J.A., Hughes, T.P., Kidwell, S., Lange,
- 759 C.B., Lenihan, H.S., Pandolfi, J.M., Peterson, C.H., Steneck, R.S., Tegner, M.J. &
 - Warner, R.R. (2001) Historical overfishing and the recent collapse of coastal

ecosystems. Science, 293, 629-638.

761

- Jacomy, M., Venturini, T., Heymann, S. & Bastian, M. (2014) ForceAtlas2, a continuous
 graph layout algorithm for handy network visualization designed for the Gephi software. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e98679.
- Jenkins, S.R., Mullen, C. & Brand, A.R. (2004) Predator and scavenger aggregation to
 discarded by-catch from dredge fisheries : importance of damage level. *Journal of Sea Research*, 51, 69–76.
- Karris, G., Rinis, V.K., Kalogeropoulou, A., Xirouchakis, S., Machias, A., Maina, I. &
 Kavadas, S. (2018) The use of demersal trawling discards as a food source for two
 scavenging seabird species : a case study of an eastern Mediterranean oligotrophic
 marine ecosystem. *Avian Research*, 9, 26.
- Kelleher, K. (2005) *Discards in the World's Marine Fisheries. An Update.* FAO Fisheries
 Technical Paper. No. 470, Rome.
- Kopp, D., Lefebvre, S., Cachera, M., Villanueva, M.C. & Ernande, B. (2015) Reorganization
 of a marine trophic network along an inshore–offshore gradient due to stronger pelagic–
 benthic coupling in coastal areas. *Progress in Oceanography*, **130**, 157–171.
- Kopp, D., Robert, M., Chouvelon, T. & Méhault, S. (2016) Some expected impacts of the
 Common Fishery Policy on marine food webs. *Marine Policy*, 66, 8–14.

MarLIN. (2006) BIOTIC - Biological Traits Information Catalogue. URL
www.marlin.ac.uk/biotic [accessed 4 February 2021]

- 781 Mcinnes, J.C., Jarman, S.N., Lea, M., Raymond, B., Deagle, B.E., Phillips, R.A., Catry, P.,
- 782 Stanworth, A., Weimerskirch, H., Kusch, A., Gras, M., Cherel, Y., Maschette, D. &
- Alderman, R. (2017) DNA metabarcoding as a marine conservation and management
- tool : A circumpolar examination of fishery discards in the diet of threatened
 - Albatrosses. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 277.

E on 01/04/22 om the final official version of record.	786	Meyer, J.M., Leempoel, K., Losapio, G. & Hadly, E.A. (2020) Molecular ecological network
	787	analyses : an effective conservation tool for the assessment of biodiversity, trophic
	788	interactions, and community structure. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 8, 588430.
	789	Nielsen, J.M., Clare, E.L., Hayden, B., Brett, M.T. & Kratina, P. (2017) Diet tracing in
	790	ecology: method comparison and selection. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 9, 278-
	791	291.
EROU; liffer fr	792	Olaso, I., Sánchez, F., Rodríguez-cabello, C. & Velasco, F. (2002) The feeding behaviour of
ELA P t may c	793	some demersal fish species in response to artificial discarding. Scientia Marina, 66, 301-
m cdnsciencepub.com by IFREMER BIBLIOTHEQUE anuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It	794	311.
	795	Palomares, M.L.D. & Pauly, D. (2021) SeaLifeBase. World Wide Web electronic publication.
	796	www.sealifebase.org, version (04/2021)
	797	Pinnegar, J.K. (2014) DAPSTOM - An Integrated Database & Portal for Fish Stomach
	798	Records. Version 4.7. Centre for Environment, Fisheries & Aquaculture Science,
	799	Lowestoft, UK.
	800	Ramsay, K., Kaiser, M.J., Moore, P.G. & Hughes, R.N. (1997) Consumption of fisheries
	801	discards by benthic scavengers: utilization of energy subsidies in different marine
ded fro pted m	802	habitats. Journal of Animal Ecology, 66, 884–896.
ownloa he acce	803	Scheffer, M., Carpenter, S. & de Young, B. (2005) Cascading effects of overfishing marine
Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Do This Just-IN manuscript is th	804	systems. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 20, 579–581.
	805	Schnell, I.B., Bohmann, K. & Gilbert, M.T.P. (2015) Tag jumps illuminated - reducing
	806	sequence-to-sample misidentifications in metabarcoding studies. Molecular Ecology
	807	<i>Resources</i> , 15 , 1289–1303.
only.	808	Sherley, R.B., Ladd-Jones, H., Garthe, S., Stevenson, O. & Votier, S.C. (2019) Scavenger
ıal use	809	communities and fisheries waste : North Sea discards support 3 million seabirds, 2
For perso	810	million fewer than in 1990. Fish and Fisheries, 21, 132–145.

	n of 1	
	rersio	
	cial v	
/22	loffi	
1/04	fina	
uo 1	n the	
DUSI	r froi	
PER(diffe	
ΓA	may	
OUE	on. It	
THE	ositi	
BLIO	com	
R BII	page	
EME	and	
IFR	liting	
n by	py eć	
ub.cc	to co	
encep	prior	
Inscie	cript	
om cc	anus	
ed fro	ted m	
nload	locep	
Dowr	the i	
Sci.	cipt is	
quat.	ĥusci	
sh. A	N ma	
J. E	Just-I	
Can.	This J	
	, 'nly	
	use c	
	sonal	
	r per:	
	Ē	

826

record.

da Silva, L.P., Mata, V.A., Lopes, P.B., Pereira, P., Jarman, S.N., Lopes, R.J. & Beja, P.

812 (2019) Advancing the integration of multi-marker metabarcoding data in dietary analysis
813 of trophic generalists. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 19, 1420–1432.

814 Taberlet, P., Bonin, A., Zinger, L. & Coissac, E. (2018) *Environmental DNA: For*815 *Biodiversity Research and Monitoring*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

816 Takahashi, M., DiBattista, J.D., Jarman, S., Newman, S.J., Wakefield, C.B., Harvey, E.S. &

Bunce, M. (2020) Partitioning of diet between species and life history stages of
sympatric and cryptic snappers (Lutjanidae) based on DNA metabarcoding. *Scientific Reports*, 10, 4319.

Thompson, M.S.A., Pontalier, H., Spence, M.A., Pinnegar, J.K., Greenstreet, S., Moriarty,
M., Hélaouët, P. & Lynam, C.P. (2020) A feeding guild indicator to assess
environmental change impacts on marine ecosystem structure and functioning. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **57**, 1769–1781.

824 Tyson, C., Shamoun-baranes, J., Van Loon, E.E., Camphuysen, K.C.J. & Hintzen, N.T.

(2015) Individual specialization on fishery discards by lesser black-backed gulls (*Larus fuscus*). *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **72**, 1882–1891.

- Votier, S.C., Bicknell, A., Cox, S.L., Scales, K.L. & Patrick, S.C. (2013) A bird's eye view of
 discard reforms : Bird-borne cameras reveal seabird/fishery interactions. *PloS ONE*, 8,
 e57376.
- Zeller, D., Cashion, T., Palomares, M.-L. & Pauly, D. (2018) Global marine fisheries
 discards : A synthesis of reconstructed data. *Fish and Fisheries*, 19, 30–39.