

# Functional imbalance not functional evenness is the third component of community structure

Carlo Ricotta, Giovanni Bacaro, Simona Maccherini, Sandrine Pavoine

# ▶ To cite this version:

Carlo Ricotta, Giovanni Bacaro, Simona Maccherini, Sandrine Pavoine. Functional imbalance not functional evenness is the third component of community structure. Ecological Indicators, 2022, 140, pp.109035. 10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109035 . hal-03766728

# HAL Id: hal-03766728 https://hal.science/hal-03766728

Submitted on 1 Sep 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- Functional imbalance not functional evenness is the third component 1 2 of community structure 3 4 Carlo Ricotta<sup>1,\*</sup> Giovanni Bacaro<sup>2</sup> Simona Maccherini<sup>3</sup> Sandrine Pavoine<sup>4</sup> 5 6 7 8 9 <sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Biology, University of Rome 'La Sapienza', Rome, Italy <sup>2</sup>Department of Life Sciences, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy <sup>3</sup>Department of Life Sciences, University of Siena, Siena, Italy <sup>4</sup>Centre d'Ecologie et des Sciences de la Conservation (CESCO), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS, 10 Sorbonne Université, 75005 Paris, France. 11 12 \*Corresponding author. E-mail: carlo.ricotta@uniroma1.it 13 ORCID 14 15 Carlo Ricotta https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0818-3959 16 Simona Maccherini https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2025-7546 17 Sandrine Pavoine https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2767-6484 18 19 20 Abstract 21 22 23 24
  - Giovanni Bacaro https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0946-4496

It is generally assumed that functional richness, diversity and evenness are complementary and, taken together, describe different facets of the distribution of species and their abundances in functional space. However, although these three primary components of community structure are commonly accepted by most community ecologists, measures of functional evenness usually fail to 25 properly capture the regularity of species abundances in functional space. In this paper we will use 26 an underexplored decomposition of Rao's index of functional diversity to introduce the notion of 27 functional imbalance, an indicator of the strength of interaction between species abundances and 28 their functional dissimilarities. Functional diversity always increases with increasing functional 29 imbalance. Therefore, functional imbalance seems a more appropriate indicator of this facet of 30 community structure than functional evenness. A worked example aimed at evaluating the influence 31 of grazing on plant community structure showed that all proposed measures of functional imbalance 32 were able to highlight the main functional changes of a dry calcareous grassland in Tuscany (Italy) 33 following grazing exclusion.

34

35 **Keywords**: Community structure; Diversity decomposition; Functional regularity; Functional space; 36 Rao's quadratic diversity.

#### 37 38 1. Introduction

39 Ecologists have developed a multitude of diversity measures to explore the relationships between 40 community structure and ecosystem functions, such as productivity, carbon storage and cycling, or responses to global changes (Pielou, 1966; Hill, 1973; Peet, 1974; Patil & Taillie, 1982). Although 41 42 diversity appears as a simple and unambiguous notion, when we look for a suitable numerical definition, we find that no single index adequately summarizes all facets of such a wide-ranging 43 aspect of community structure. Therefore, according to Sarkar & Margules (2002), its measurement 44 45 remains 'capricious'. Many traditional diversity measures, among which the most popular are the

Shannon (1948) entropy and the Simpson (1949) index, are basically measures of uncertainty in 46 predicting the relative abundance of species in a given assemblage. As such, most of them combine 47 in non-standard way the two components of species richness (the number of species in the 48 49 assemblage) and their relative abundance distribution (called variously evenness or equitability). High species richness and evenness, which occurs when species tend to be equal or nearly equal in 50 51 abundance, are both associated to high diversity (Patil & Taillie, 1982). Taken together, these three 52 components of community structure: species richness, evenness and diversity describe different 53 facets of the distribution of species and their abundances (Mouchet et al., 2010).

54 This classical approach to the quantification of community structure requires a number of assumptions on the data to be analyzed, the foremost of which is that all species are considered 55 equally distinct. Therefore, standard measures of richness, evenness and diversity have to be 56 57 assessed only based on the number of species and their abundance distribution (Peet, 1974; Mouchet et al., 2010). However, it is well known that the species ecological strategies are related to 58 59 their functional traits (Díaz & Cabido, 2001). Therefore, the dominant functional traits in plant or 60 animal assemblages usually provide a better ecological characterization of the local environmental 61 conditions and ecosystem functioning than the mere occurrence of species. As a result, in the last 62 decades a number of functional diversity measures which incorporate information on functional differences among species have been proposed (Rao, 1982; Walker et al., 1999; Petchey & Gaston, 63 2002; Mason et al., 2003; Mouillot et al., 2005; Schmera et al., 2009; Laliberté & Legendre, 2010; 64 65 Guiasu & Guiasu, 2012; Chao et al., 2014). Such measures are expected to correlate more strongly 66 with ecosystem processes, as species directly or indirectly influence these processes via their traits 67 (Mason & de Bello, 2013).

68 Like for classical abundance-based measures, Mason et al. (2005) proposed to classify the functional aspects of community structure into three primary components: functional richness, 69 70 functional divergence and functional evenness. For single traits, Mason et al. (2005) defined these 71 components as "the amount of niche space filled by species in the community" (functional 72 richness), "the evenness of abundance distribution in filled niche space" (functional evenness), and 73 "the degree to which abundance distribution in niche space maximizes divergence in functional 74 characters within the community" (functional divergence). When multiple traits are considered, 75 Villéger et al. (2008) reformulated these concepts as follows: functional richness summarizes the 76 volume of the functional space filled by the community, functional evenness or regularity 77 summarizes how regularly species abundances are distributed in functional space, while functional 78 divergence "relates to how abundance is distributed within the volume of functional trait space 79 occupied by species".

Tucker et al. (2017) proposed a more specific definition of divergence as the average (abundance-weighted or not) dissimilarity between species. This allowed them to conceptualize the three components richness, evenness and divergence as different aspects of community structure which refer to three complementary questions: How much? How regular? How different? (Tucker et al., 2017). Although Tucker et al. (2017) developed their framework in a phylogenetic context, the same approach can apply to functional data.

86 Unfortunately, in spite of the elegance of this approach, in this paper we will first show that 87 measures of functional evenness usually fail to properly capture the regularity of species 88 abundances in functional space. Next, we will use a decomposition of Rao's index of functional 89 diversity proposed by Shimatani (2001) to introduce the notion of functional imbalance, an 90 indicator of the strength of interaction between species abundances and their functional 91 dissimilarities. A worked example on functional changes in plant community structure following 92 grazing exclusion of a dry calcareous grassland in Tuscany (Italy) is then used to show the behavior 93 of three newly proposed imbalance measures in practice. Note that in this paper, the term functional 94 diversity is used as a synonym of functional divergence sensu Tucker et al. (2017). By contrast, we 95 will use the term community structure to refer indifferently to any aspect of the species dispersion 96 in (functional) space (see Gregorius & Kosman, 2017).

97

#### 98 2. Methods

### 99 2.1. A short overview of functional evenness

100 The degree to which abundances are evenly divided among species is considered a fundamental 101 property of any biological community. Given an assemblage composed of *N* species with relative 102 abundances  $p_i(i=1,2,...,N)$  where  $0 < p_i \le 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i = 1$ , evenness measures quantify the 103 equality of the relative abundances of the *N* species.

104 In ecology, an endless number of evenness measures with a variety of different properties has 105 been proposed (Taillie, 1979; Smith & Wilson, 1996; Ricotta, 2003; Jost, 2010; Tuomisto, 2012; 106 Kvålseth, 2015; Chao & Ricotta, 2019) reflecting a certain degree of disagreement on the concept 107 of evenness itself and its basic properties (Chao & Ricotta, 2019). The main requirement on which all authors agree is probably that maximum evenness should correspond to an equiprobable species 108 109 distribution, and the more the relative abundances of species differ the lower the evenness is. 110 Accordingly, most evenness measures are basically normalizations of diversity measures in the 111 range [0, 1] relative to the maximum and minimum possible for a fixed number of species (Jost, 112 2010).

Pielou's (1966) evenness *J*, which is by far the most widely used measure of evenness in the ecological literature is shown to be such a measure:

116 
$$J = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \log(1/p_i)}{\log N}$$
(1)

117

115

118 where  $H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \log(1/p_i)$  is the well-known Shannon diversity and  $\log N$  is the maximum value 119 of *H* for a given number of species. Accordingly, Pielou's evenness tells us the amount of the 120 Shannon diversity relative to the maximum possible for a given richness, which is obtained if all *N* 121 species have equal abundance (i.e. if  $p_i = p_i = 1/N$  for all  $i \neq j$ ).

Given a square matrix of functional dissimilarities between species  $d_{ii}(i, j = 1, 2, ..., N)$  such that 122  $d_{ii} = 0$  and  $d_{ij} = d_{ji}$ , Villéger et al. (2008) proposed to calculate functional evenness (FEve) based 123 on the minimum spanning tree (MST) which links the N species in multidimensional functional 124 125 space such that the total length of its N-1 branches is minimized. Next, for each branch of the minimum spanning tree, its length  $d_{ii}$  is divided by the sum of the abundances of the two species i 126 and j linked by that branch:  $d_{ij}/(p_i + p_j)$ . Functional evenness is then computed as the regularity 127 with which the quantities  $d_{ij}/(p_i + p_j)$  transformed to a finite probability space are distributed 128 129 along the tree. For details, see Villéger et al. (2008).

130 Although Villéger et al. (2008) stated that "FEve decreases either when abundance is less evenly 131 distributed among species or when functional distances among species are less regular", Legras & Gaertner (2018) and Kosman et al. (2021) observed that this is not the case. By definition, FEve is 132 133 high when the summed abundance of two neighbor species in the MST is proportional to the functional distance between them (length of MST edge): high functional evenness occurs when long 134 135 edges in the MST are supported by abundant species and short branches by rare species. We can thus claim that FEve does not summarize the regularity of the distribution of functional trait values 136 137 among species but the consistency between the (ir)regularity of the distribution of functional trait values with that of the abundance value (see Legras & Gaertner, 2018). 138

Alternative measures of functional evenness based on different combinations of species abundances and interspecies dissimilarities can be found in Mouillot et al. (2005), Ricotta et al. (2014), Tucker et al. (2017), or Kosman et al. (2021). However, there are at least two good reasons for considering functional evenness not fully appropriate for describing the regularity of species abundances in functional space:

Irrespective of how functional evenness is calculated, increasing the regularity of species abundances and/or dissimilarities does not automatically increase functional diversity (Ricotta et al., 2021). Therefore, the traditional assumption that diversity is maximized for a perfectly regular distribution of species abundances and dissimilarities (or for a combination of both of them) does not necessarily hold for functional diversity measures (Pavoine & Bonsall, 2009).

150

151 2. Like for classical evenness, the concept of functional evenness has been defined in many 152 different and sometimes conflicting ways. Therefore, in the ecological literature, there is a general 153 inconsistency and lack of justification as to whether functional evenness should be high if either 154 species abundances or functional dissimilarities are even (as originally proposed by Mason et al., 155 2005), if abundances and functional dissimilarities are positively linked so that the values of 156  $d_{ij}/(p_i + p_j)$  are even (as in Villéger et al., 2008), or, on the contrary, if abundances and functional 157 dissimilarities are negatively linked, as in Ricotta et al. (2014) and Kosman et al. (2021).

158

Accordingly, the notion of functional evenness fails to appropriately capture the homogeneity of species abundances and the regularity of interspecies distances (Legras & Gaertner, 2018). In the following paragraphs, in the wake of Shimatani (2001) and Pavoine et al. (2013), we will show that functional imbalance may represent a more appropriate indicator of the (ir)regularity in the distribution of species abundance in functional trait space.

164

#### 165 **2.2. Introducing functional imbalance**

Rao (1982) first proposed a diversity index which incorporates a measure of the pairwise (functional) differences between species. This index, which is usually called quadratic diversity Q, is defined as the expected dissimilarity between two individuals drawn at random with replacement from the assemblage:

170

171 
$$Q = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} p_i p_j d_{ij}$$
 (2)

172

As such, it is a suitable index of functional divergence *sensu* Tucker et al. (2017). For simplicity, in this paper we assume that the interspecies dissimilarities  $d_{ij}$  in Eq. (2) are bounded in the range [0, 1]. The properties of quadratic diversity have been studied extensively by many previous authors (Shimatani, 2001; Champely & Chessel, 2002; Rao, 2010; Pavoine, 2012). A relevant point here is that if all species in the assemblage are treated as maximally dissimilar from each other (i.e.  $d_{ij} = 1$  178 for any  $i \neq j$ ), quadratic diversity reduces to the classical (abundance-only) Simpson diversity 179  $S = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2 = 2 \sum_{i>i}^{N} p_i \times p_j$ .

180 Shimatani (2001) further showed that Rao's quadratic diversity can be decomposed as

181

$$182 \qquad Q = S \times \overline{d}_{ij} + B \tag{3}$$

183

184 where *S* is the Simpson diversity,  $\overline{d}_{ij}$  is the mean dissimilarity between all species in the 185 assemblage  $\overline{d}_{ij} = \frac{1}{N(N-1)/2} \sum_{i>j}^{N} d_{ij}$ , and *B* is a covariance-like (im)balance factor between the 186 abundances of species pairs  $p_i \times p_j$  and their functional dissimilarities  $d_{ij}$ : 187  $B = 2\sum_{i>j}^{N} (d_{ij} - \overline{d}_{ij}) \left( p_i \times p_j - \frac{S}{N(N-1)} \right).$ 

B is positive if the functional dissimilarities are positively correlated to species abundances such that the highest values of  $d_{ij}$  mainly correspond to the highest values of  $p_i \times p_j$ . In the opposite case, B is negative if the values of  $d_{ij}$  are negatively correlated to the values of  $p_i \times p_j$ .

191 Rao's index thus depends on species diversity, on interspecies functional dissimilarities and on 192 the interaction between species abundances and dissimilarities (B) such that for fixed values of S and  $\overline{d}_{ij}$  quadratic diversity increases if dominant species are functionally distant and less abundant 193 194 species are close to each other (Shimatani, 2001; Pavoine et al., 2013). Hence, unlike for classical 195 measures for which diversity increases with increasing evenness, functional diversity increases with 196 increasing 'functional imbalance'. In other words, functional diversity is high if the distribution of 197 species abundances positively correlates with that of functional distances. By contrast, functional 198 diversity is low if the distribution of species abundances negatively correlates with that of 199 functional distances.

200 Note however that in Eq. (3) Shimatani's covariance-like imbalance factor B represents the excess of diversity between Rao's Q and the product of the Simpson diversity and mean species 201 dissimilarity  $S \times \overline{d}_{ij}$ . As such, the values of *B* are not free to vary independently, but are constrained 202 by the values of  $S \times \overline{d}_{ij}$ . Due to this dependence, it is not possible to compare the imbalance of 203 communities with different values of  $S \times \overline{d}_{ij}$  (see Jost, 2007; Chao et al., 2012). Therefore, some 204 205 kind of standardization should be performed to get a relative measure of imbalance that is independent of the other components of Rao's diversity. In the next paragraphs we will thus present 206 207 three standardized measures of functional imbalance that allow us to measure the sign and strength

208 of the interaction between species abundances and their functional dissimilarities in a more 209 appropriate way.

A first application of the Shimatani decomposition was used by Sol et al. (2020) to explore the impact of urbanization on avian functional diversity. To facilitate the interpretation of the imbalance factor, Sol et al. (2020) transformed *B* to a correlation coefficient:

213

214 
$$Cor_{B} = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(d_{ij}, p_{i}p_{j})}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(d_{ij})\operatorname{var}(p_{i}p_{j})}}$$
(4)

215

where  $\operatorname{var}(d_{ij})$  is the variance of the species functional dissimilarities  $d_{ij}$  for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $\operatorname{var}(p_i p_j)$ is the variance of the product of the relative abundance of species *i* and *j*:  $p_i \times p_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ , and  $\operatorname{cov}(d_{ij}, p_i p_j)$  is the covariance between  $d_{ij}$  and  $p_i \times p_j$ . For additional details on the calculation of  $Cor_B$ , see Appendix 1 (Supporting information).

Two additional measures of functional imbalance are based on two distinct transformations of Rao's quadratic diversity. In the first case, functional imbalance can be summarized as standardized effect size (SES; Collyer et al., 2022):

223

$$224 \qquad SES_{B} = \frac{Obs(Q) - Mean(Q)}{SD(Q)}$$
(5)

225

where Obs(Q) is the observed value of Rao's quadratic diversity for a given assemblage, Mean(Q)is the mean of the null distribution of Q in random assemblages obtained by permuting the relative abundances  $p_i$  among the N species (thus varying only the balance factor B and keeping S and  $\overline{d}_{ij}$ unchanged), and SD(Q) is the standard deviation of the null distribution.  $SES_B$  thus represents a measure of functional imbalance or irregularity in the distribution of species abundances in functional space which is expressed as the departure of the observed functional diversity from the mean of the null distribution in standard deviation units (Gotelli & McCabe, 2002).

Finally, to measure functional imbalance, we can also use a normalized version of *Q* obtained as:

235 
$$Q_B = \frac{Obs(Q) - Min(Q)}{Max(Q) - Min(Q)}$$
(6)

where, like for  $SES_B$ , Min(Q) and Max(Q) are the minimum and maximum values that quadratic diversity can assume by permuting the relative abundances  $p_i$  among the *N* species, and leaving everything else unchanged (i.e. *S* and  $\overline{d}_{ij}$  do not vary with permutation). This latter measure of functional imbalance is obtained by normalizing a measure of diversity in the unit range, thus providing some sort of formal and conceptual continuity between classical (un)evenness and functional imbalance.

243

#### **3. Worked example**

#### 245 **3.1. Data and methods**

246 We conducted our study in a seminatural grassland located close to the summit of Monte Labbro, 247 Tuscany (Italy), a predominantly calcareous massif of 1193m on the Uccellina-Monte Amiata ridge. 248 The area has been grazed for centuries, mostly by sheep, with human traces dating back to the 249 Bronze Age. From the 1960s onwards, the grazing pressure decreased, triggering the secondary 250 succession of semi-natural grasslands into increasingly dense scrublands with Prunus spinosa, 251 Rubus ulmifolius and Cytisus scoparius (Maccherini et al., 2007). From late summer 2000 to early 252 spring 2001, the area was subjected to a restoration project, which involved the cutting of shrubs on 253 overgrown grasslands (see Maccherini et al., 2018). In 2001, we planned a before-after-control-254 impact (BACI) study to evaluate the influence of grazing and sowing of native species on grassland 255 restoration. We located the experimental plots in a cleared overgrown pasture (before cutting, 256 Prunus spinosa covered 80%), grazed by donkeys, which were reintroduced into the area few years 257 before restoration management; the site is occasionally grazed by sheep, hares and cattle.

258 We established a randomized block design with four blocks and four 3×5m experimental plots in 259 each block stratified on elevation. Individual plots in each block were randomly assigned to one of 260 four treatments: no grazing or sowing; sowing without grazing; grazing without sowing; sowing and grazing. Ungrazed plots have been fenced off to protect them from livestock in spring 2002; sowing 261 was carried out in October 2001. In previous studies, a very small effect of sowing compared to 262 263 grazing was observed for this experiment (Maccherini & Santi, 2012; Maccherini et al., 2018). Given the reduced significance of sowing, in this paper, only the grazing factor was considered. 264 265 During the project, one ungrazed plots was excluded from analysis.

In late June 2019, the cover of all vascular plant species within each  $1\times 2$  m subplot at the center of the experimental plots was estimated using a point quadrant method with a density of 100 pins/m<sup>2</sup> (Moore & Chapman, 1986). All species touched by each pin were recorded so that the total species cover within each  $1\times 2$  m subplot can exceed 200 pins. Species present in a plot but not touched by any pin were recorded with an arbitrary cover of 0.5 pins. All species abundance data are available in Appendix 2. A set of functional traits was measured for the most abundant species in both treatments (8 grazed plots and 7 ungrazed plots). Collectively, these species account for ~70% of the total cover in each treatment. According to Grime's (1998) mass-ratio hypothesis, these species are expected to make a substantial contribution to community structure and functioning.

The following six leaf functional traits were measured (mean of three replicates for each species in each treatment): specific leaf area (SLA, mm<sup>2</sup>/mg), leaf dry matter content (LDMC, mg/g), nitrogen and carbon content (N% and C%) and nitrogen and carbon stable isotope composition  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C, ‰). Stable isotope composition is calculated as the ratio of the rarest to commonest (heavy to light) isotope of carbon and nitrogen relative to an international accepted reference standard. For additional details, see Dawson et al. (2002).

282 The selected traits are usually associated with the leaf economics spectrum (Wright et al., 2004), 283 reflecting a possible trade-off between fast-growing acquisitive species versus slow-growing, more 284 conservative species. Specifically, SLA and LDMC are considered soft morpho-anatomical traits 285 correlated with relative growth rate, photosynthetic rate, and nutrient concentration. Higher SLA 286 values are correlated with lower leaf span and higher photosynthetic rate. LDMC is related to the 287 density of leaves; it has been demonstrated to scale negatively with the potential growth rate and positively with leaf lifespan (Cornelissen et al., 2003). N% and C% are considered as a proxy of 288 289 photosynthetic rate, while  $\delta^{13}$ C reflects the photosynthetic water use efficiency (i.e., the amount of water used by plants per unit of plant material produced), with lower values reflecting a greater 290 stomatal aperture. Finally, in sites that receive a high input of nitrogen from animals,  $\delta^{15}N$  can be 291 used to trace the organic N enrichment within the plant community (Dawson et al., 2002). 292

293 All traits were normalized to the unit range by their minimum and maximum values. To visualize 294 plot-level differences in community functioning between the two treatments, we calculated the community-weighted mean values (CWM) of each trait at each plot:  $CWM = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \times T_{i\tau}$ , where 295  $T_{i\tau}$  is the normalized value of trait T for species i in treatment  $\tau$ . We next applied a principal 296 297 component analysis (PCA) on the CWM values of all grazed and ungrazed plots. From the 298 normalized trait values (available in Appendix 2), we calculated a matrix of functional Euclidean 299 distances between all pairs of species within each treatment. These distances were then linearly 300 rescaled by dividing each distance by the maximum value found in both matrices. We then used the scaled functional distances and the species relative abundances in each plot to calculate  $Cor_{R}$ , 301  $SES_B$  and  $Q_B$ . All calculations were performed with a new R function available in an electronic 302 303 appendix to this paper (see Supplementary data, Appendix 3). Starting from version 2.1.2, the R 304 function will be also available in package adiv (Pavoine, 2020): https://cran.r-305 project.org/web/packages/adiv/index.html.

The values of  $Q_B$  were estimated based on 10000 random permutations, which is a manageable subset of the total number of N! possible permutations. Additional work is ongoing to find an analytical or algorithmic solution to the exact calculation of  $Q_B$ . The calculation of  $SES_B$  was performed using the same number of permutations used for  $Q_B$ . For each plot, we also calculated species richness, the Rao quadratic diversity and its basic components S and  $\overline{d}_{ij}$  (see Eq. 3), together with a traditional (abundance-only) index of evenness explicitly developed for the Simpson diversity (see Smith & Wilson, 1996):

313

314 
$$E = \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2}{1 - 1/N} = \frac{S}{1 - 1/N}$$
(7)

315

316 Like Pielou's evenness, this index tells us the amount of Simpson's diversity relative to the 317 maximum possible for a given species richness.

For all measures, the significance of differences between both treatments (grazed and ungrazed) was tested with ANOVA. P-values were obtained by 10000 random permutations of individual plots within the treatments.

321

### 322 **3.2. Results**

As expected, grazing has had a profound impact on community structure and functioning. Grazing disturbance acts as a filter, selecting for a higher number of ruderal species with more acquisitive, fast-growing strategies. In contrast, ungrazed plots host less rich and diverse communities, mainly composed of species with more conservative and slow-growing strategies.

As shown by the PCA biplot in Figure 1, species in grazed plots exhibit on average higher values of SLA and lower LDMC, suggesting that these communities host species positioned on the acquisitive side of the leaf economics spectrum, minimizing leaf construction and maintenance costs while maximizing the capacity to acquire resources and proliferate rapidly (Díaz et al., 2016).

In this study, acquisitive species are mainly perennial and annual forbs (e.g. *Teucrium chamaedrys*, *Orlaya grandiflora* and *Xeranthemum cylindraceum*) and N-fixer species, such as *Trifolium incarnatum*, which survives predominantly under grazing conditions. These species have a competitive advantage in grazed areas because of their capacity to acquire nutrients more rapidly and regrow after disturbance, generally displaying a higher resilience after stressful events (Herrero-Jáuregui & Oesterheld, 2018; Busch et al., 2019; Ladouceur et al., 2019).

337 Species in ungrazed plots show a shift toward more conservative growth strategies. Such species
 338 invest more resources in developing durable leaves (higher LDMC) being at the same time more

resistant to drought stress at the cost of reduced photosynthetic rate (lower %N) and carbon fixation (higher  $\delta^{13}$ C values). Due to the high input of nitrogen from animals, grazed plots also exhibited higher values of  $\delta^{15}$ N than ungrazed plots.

342 In terms of diversity, ungrazed plots show a tendency towards a progressive decrease of all its 343 components, such as species richness, Simpson's diversity and evenness, mean functional dissimilarity, Rao's quadratic diversity and all measures of functional imbalance Cor<sub>B</sub>, SES<sub>B</sub> and 344  $Q_B$  (Table 1). Hence, although the values of  $Cor_B$  are always negative denoting an overall negative 345 correlation in both treatments between species abundances and their functional dissimilarities, in 346 347 ungrazed plots dominant species are on average more functionally similar to each other compared to grazed plots. Likewise, the negative values of the  $SES_B$  index imply that the observed values of 348 349 Rao's *Q* are generally lower than the null expectation in random assemblages, thus showing again 350 that in both treatments dominant species tend to be more functionally similar to each other 351 compared to less abundant ones.

The higher functional homogenization of ungrazed plots is due to the increasing dominance of *Bromus erectus* and the encroachment of functionally similar shrubs along the secondary succession. In contrast, in grazed plots, selective grazing and the patchy concentration of nutrients due to animal manure give rise to contrasting microsites which host an increased number of functionally diverse grazing-adapted species with different life histories (Pierce et al., 2007; Maccherini & Santi, 2012). Such higher spatial and temporal turnover in species composition reduces species dominance increasing at the same time functional imbalance.

359

#### 360 **4. Discussion**

361 It is generally agreed that the (ir)regularity of the distribution of species abundances in functional 362 space is a relevant component of the relationship between community composition and functioning 363 (Mouillot et al., 2005; Mouchet et al., 2010). In this paper, we showed that functional imbalance is a 364 more appropriate indicator of this facet of community structure than previous measures of 365 functional evenness. We thus proposed three new measures which allow us to compare the strength 366 of interaction between species abundances and their functional dissimilarities among communities 367 with different species richness, abundance and dissimilarity distribution. Unlike most previous measures of functional evenness, for  $Cor_{B}$ ,  $SES_{B}$  and  $Q_{B}$  functional diversity always increases with 368 369 functional imbalance. That is, with the positive link between dissimilarity and abundance.

As shown by our results, all proposed measures of functional imbalance were able to highlight the main changes in community structure following grazing exclusion of a dry calcareous grassland in Tuscany. Hence, looking simultaneously at various facets of functional diversity, it is possible to 373 recognize not only whether two communities are functionally different, but also why they are 374 different. That is, which components take on higher/lower values in community A than in 375 community B. This allows us to investigate in deeper detail the functional processes that shape 376 community structure.

377 Functional diversity increases when species abundance and dissimilarity are positively linked. 378 This is because if functional differences among dominant species are high, the abundance-weighted 379 variability in functional trait values is also high (e.g. Kondratveva et al., 2019). On the other hand, 380 the high amount of functional redundancy in a community where abundant species are more similar 381 to each other reduces functional diversity. We have thus proposed an approach based on a 382 covariance-like measure of functional imbalance which allows to distinguish positive links between 383 species abundance and functional dissimilarity (where functional diversity is high) from negative 384 links (where functional diversity is low). While it is generally assumed that functional differences 385 among dominant species can have beneficial effects on ecosystem properties (Grime, 1998), 386 negative links between abundance and functional dissimilarity usually reflect evenness in the 387 species contribution to certain ecological functions within ecosystem (Hillebrant et al., 2008, 388 Ricotta et al., 2014). Indeed a rare species might contribute disproportionately of its abundance to 389 functional diversity and ecosystem processes if it has distinct functional traits (Dee et al., 2019). 390 Both scenarios are thus worth studying and our framework allows to distinguish between them.

Compared to  $Cor_B$ , which is expressed as a standard correlation coefficient in the range [-1, 1] between the product of species abundances  $p_i \times p_j$  and their functional dissimilarities  $d_{ij}$ ,  $SES_B$ and  $Q_B$  are normalized locally: that is, by keeping species abundances and interspecies dissimilarities unchanged and modifying only the interaction between them. Alternative ways for normalizing Rao with different biological meanings were studied e.g. by Pavoine & Bonsall (2009) or Ricotta et al. (2016), and the interested reader is addressed to these papers for additional details.

397 This permutation procedure allows  $SES_{B}$  and  $Q_{B}$  to be independent of the other components of Rao's diversity S and  $\overline{d}_{ii}$ . Here, independence or unrelatedness *sensu* Chao et al. (2012) means that 398 knowing the values of S and  $\overline{d}_{ii}$  would put no mathematical constraints on the range of values that 399 400 the imbalance factor can take. In particular, Chao & Chiu (2016) proposed an intuitive condition to 401 assure the unrelatedness of two measures: the range of values that a measure of functional 402 imbalance can take should be a fixed interval (usually in the range 0-1) regardless of the values of S and  $\overline{d}_{ii}$ . While  $SES_B$  quantifies functional imbalance in standard deviation units, the index  $Q_B$ 403 conforms to this requirement. This ensures that the same magnitude of functional imbalance 404 quantifies the same degree of irregularity of species abundance distribution in functional space, 405

406 even if the assemblages differ in their diversity or dissimilarity structure (see Chao & Ricotta, 407 2019). Note that our proposal of calculating functional imbalance by normalizing an index of 408 functional diversity is not confined to the Rao quadratic diversity. Rather, the same approach can be 409 generalized to virtually any functional diversity index that is based on a combination of species 410 abundances and their functional dissimilarities simply by permuting the relative abundances  $p_i$ 411 among the *N* species. The interpretation of the results will then depend case by case on the index 412 formulation.

An important limitation of  $Q_B$  is that to the best of our knowledge, the index calculation is computationally extremely intensive and, by using permutations, we will get rather crude estimates of Min(Q) and Max(Q). Nonetheless, even if suboptimal, the results of  $Q_B$  are coherent, both in sign and strength, with those obtained with  $Cor_B$  and  $SES_B$ . Therefore, it seems that the speed of current digital devices allows us to approximate the problem in a sufficiently short time to make this kind of measures operational for the analysis of community structure.

419 In recent years there has been a renewed interest in a series of algorithmic measures of diversity 420 and dissimilarity (e.g. Weitzman, 1992; Kosman, 1996) that could not be extensively used at the 421 time of their proposal due to a lack of computing power. Thanks to their flexibility, these 422 algorithmic measures could give new impulse to biodiversity theory from new perspectives and 423 with new assumptions. Another field where advanced computational methods will possibly give 424 new impulse to diversity analysis is the choice of an appropriate set of traits that maximize their 425 association to the ecological process of interest (de Bello et al., 2021). As highlighted by Lavorel et 426 al. (2008), the relevant traits for ecosystem functioning depend case by case on the analyzed 427 process. In principle, increasing the dimensionality of functional spaces by the progressive use of a 428 higher number of traits may lead to a stronger relationships between community structure and 429 ecosystem functioning. However, such artificially enlarged functional spaces do not necessarily 430 have a direct biological relationship to the ecological property of interest. Therefore, we need to 431 select a suitable set of traits that are actually relevant for the property that we are attempting to 432 estimate. We believe that advanced machine learning methods and artificial intelligence (Lucas, 433 2020) will greatly contribute to the construction of such 'tailored' functional spaces (Ricotta et al., 2021). 434

To conclude,  $Cor_B$ ,  $SES_B$  and  $Q_B$  constitute the vehicle for measuring functional imbalance. However, like for any other ecological problem, the way to go (i.e. how many and which traits to use, how to code them and the method for computing interspecies dissimilarities) should be assessed case by case based on the specific question at hand. We thus hope, this work will help to

- 439 build an increasingly conscious approach to the summarization of the many different facets of440 functional diversity and their relationship with ecosystem functioning.
- 441

### 442 Funding information

443 CR was supported by a research grant from the University of Rome 'La Sapienza' 444 (RM11916B6A2EA7D5).

445

# 446 Credit authorship contribution statement

- 447 CR: Conceptualization; Methodology; Data analysis; Writing original draft. GB: Data collection;
- 448 Data analysis; Writing review & editing. SM: Data collection; Data analysis; Writing review &
- 449 editing. SP: Methodology; Software; Data analysis; Writing review & editing.

- 450 References
- Botta-Dukát, Z. (2018). The generalized replication principle and the partitioning of functional
  diversity into independent alpha and beta components. *Ecography*, 41, 40–50.
- Busch, V., Klaus, V.H., Schäfer, D., Prati, D., Boch, S., Müller, J., Chisté, M., Mody, K., Blüthgen,
  N., Fischer, M., Hölzel, N., Kleinebecker, T. (2019). Will I stay or will I go? Plant
  species-specific response and tolerance to high land-use intensity in temperate grassland
  ecosystems. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 30, 674–686.
- 457 Champely, S., Chessel, D. (2002). Measuring biological diversity using Euclidean metrics.
  458 *Environmental and Ecological Statistics*, 9, 167–177.
- Chao, A., Chiu, C.-H. (2016). Bridging two major approaches (the variance framework and diversity decomposition) to beta diversity and related similarity and differentiation measures. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7, 919–928.
- 462 Chao, A., Chiu, C.-H., Hsieh, T.C. (2012). Proposing a possible resolution to debates on diversity
  463 partitioning. *Ecology*, 93, 2037–2051.
- Chao, A., Chiu, C.-H., Jost, L., 2014. Unifying species diversity, phylogenetic diversity, functional
  diversity, and related similarity and differentiation measures through Hill numbers. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 45, 297–324.
- 467 Chao, A., Ricotta, C. (2019). Quantifying evenness and linking it to diversity, beta diversity, and
  468 similarity, *Ecology*, 100, e02852.
- Collyer, M.L., Baken, E.K., Adams, D.C. (2022). A standardized effect size for evaluating and
  comparing the strength of phylogenetic signal. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 13, 367–382.
- 471 Cornelissen, J.H.C., Lavorel, S., Garnier, E., Díaz, S., Buchmann, N., Gurvich, D.E., Reich, P.B.,
  472 ter Steege, H., Morgan, H.D., van der Heijden, M.G.A., Pausas, J.G., Poorter, H. (2003). A
  473 handbook of protocols for standardised and easy measurement of plant functional traits
- 474 worldwide. *Australian Journal of Botany*, 51, 335–380.
- Dawson, T.E., Mambelli, S., Plamboeck, A.H., Templer, P.H., Tu, K.P. (2002). Stable Isotopes in
  Plant Ecology. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 33, 507–559.
- de Bello, F., Botta-Dukát, Z., Lepš, J., Fibich, P. (2021). Towards a more balanced combination of
  multiple traits when computing functional differences between species. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 12, 443–448.
- 480 Dee, L.E., Cowles, J., Isbell, F., Pau, S., Gaines, S.D., Reich, P.B. (2019). When do ecosystem
  481 services depend on rare species? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 34, 746–758.
- 482 Díaz, S., Cabido, M. (2001). Vive la difference: plant functional diversity matters to ecosystem
  483 processes. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 16, 646–655.
- 484 Díaz, S., Kattge, J., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Wright, I.J., Lavorel, S., Dray, S., Reu, B., Kleyer, M.,
  485 Wirth, C., Colin Prentice, I., Garnier, E., Bönisch, G., Westoby, M., Poorter, H., Reich, P.B.,
- 486 Moles, A.T., Dickie, J., Gillison, A.N., Zanne, A.E., Chave, J., Joseph Wright, S., Sheremet'ev,
- 487 S.N., Jactel, H., Baraloto, C., Cerabolini, B., Pierce, S., Shipley, B., Kirkup, D., Casanoves, F.,
- 488 Joswig, J.S., Günther, A., Falczuk, V., Rüger, N., Mahecha, M.D., Gorné, L.D., 2016. The global
- 489 spectrum of plant form and function. *Nature*, 529, 167–171.

- Gotelli, N.J., McCabe, D.J. (2002). Species co-occurrence: a meta-analysis of J.M. Diamond's
  assembly rules model. *Ecology*, 83, 2091–2096.
- 492 Gregorius, H.R., Kosman, E. (2017). On the notion of dispersion: from dispersion to diversity.
  493 *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 8, 278–287.
- 494 Grime, J.P. (1998). Benefits of plant diversity to ecosystems: immediate, filter and founder effects.
  495 *Journal of Ecology*, 86, 902-910.
- 496 Guiasu, R.C., Guiasu, S. (2012). The weighted Gini-Simpson index: revitalizing an old index of
  497 biodiversity. *International Journal of Ecology*, 2012, 1–10.
- Herrero-Jáuregui, C., Oesterheld, M. (2018). Effects of grazing intensity on plant richness and
  diversity: a meta-analysis. *Oikos*, 127, 757–766.
- Hill, M.O. (1973). Diversity and evenness: a unifying notation and its consequences. *Ecology*, 54, 427–432.
- Hillebrant, H., Bennett, D.M., Cadotte, M.W. (2008). Consequences of dominance: a review of
  evenness effects on local and regional ecosystem processes. *Ecology*, 89, 1510–1520.
- Jost, L. (2007). Partitioning diversity into independent alpha and beta components. *Ecology*, 88, 2427–
  2439.
- 506 Jost, L. (2010). The relation between evenness and diversity. *Diversity*, 2, 207–232.
- Kondratyeva A, Grandcolas P, Pavoine S (2019). Reconciling the concepts and measures of
   diversity, rarity and originality in ecology and evolution. *Biological Reviews*, 94, 1317–1337
- Kosman, E. (1996). Difference and diversity of plant pathogen populations: A new approach for
  measuring. *Phytopathology*, 86, 1152–1155.
- Kosman, E., Scheiner, S.M., Gregorius, H.-R. (2021). Severe limitations of the FEve metric of
  functional evennss and some alternative metrics. *Ecology and Evolution*, 11, 123–132.
- 513 Kvålseth, T.O. (2015). Evenness indices once again: critical analysis of properties. *Springer Plus*, 4,
  514 232.
- Ladouceur, E., Bonomi, C., Bruelheide, H., Klimešová, J., Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P.,
  Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B.E.L., Cornelissen,
  J.H.C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., Soudzilovskaia, N.A.,
- 518 Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019). The functional trait spectrum of European temperate grasslands.
- 519 *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 30, 777–788.
- Laliberté, E., Legendre, P. (2010). A distance-based framework for measuring functional diversity
  from multiple traits. *Ecology*, 91, 299–305.
- Lavorel, S., Grigulis, K., McIntyre, S., Williams, N.S.G., Garden, D., Dorrough, J., Berman, S.,
  Quétier, F., Thébault, A., Bonis, A. (2008). Assessing functional diversity in the field methodology matters! *Functional Ecology*, 22, 134–147.
- Legras, J., Gaertner, J.-C. (2018). Assessing functional evenness with the FEve index: A word of warning. *Ecological Indicators*, 90, 257–260.
- 527 Leinster, T., Cobbold, C.A. (2012). Measuring diversity: the importance of species similarity.
- *Ecology*, 93, 477–489.

- Lucas, T.C.D. (2020). A translucent box: interpretable machine learning in ecology. *Ecological Monographs*, 90, e01422.
- Maccherini, S., Bacaro, G., Marignani, M. (2018). Beneficial effects of restoration practices can be
  thwarted by climate extremes. *Science of the Total Environment*, 626, 851–859.
- Maccherini, S., Marignani, M., Castagnini, P., van den Brink, P.J. (2007). Multivariate analysis of
  the response of overgrown semi-natural calcareous grasslands to restorative shrub cutting. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 8, 332–342.
- Maccherini, S., Santi, E. (2012). Long-term experimental restoration in a calcareous grassland:
  identifying the most effective restoration strategies. *Biological Conservation*, 146, 123–135.
- Mason, N.V.H., Mouillot, D., Lee, W.G., Wilson, J.B. (2005). Functional richness, functional
  evenness and functional divergence: the primary components of functional diversity. *Oikos*, 111,
  112–118.
- Mason, N.W.H., de Bello, F. (2013). Functional diversity: a tool for answering challenging
  ecological questions. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 24, 777–780.
- Mason, N.W.H., MacGillivray, K., Steel, J.B., Wilson, J.B. (2003). An index of functional
  diversity. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 14, 571–578.
- 545 Moore P.D., Chapman S.B. (1986). *Methods in Plant Ecology*. Blackwell Scientific Publications,
  546 Oxford.
- Mouchet, M.A., Villéger, S., Mason, N.W.H., Mouillot, D. (2010). Functional diversity measures:
  an overview of their redundancy and their ability to discriminate community assembly rules. *Functional Ecology*, 24, 867–876.
- Mouillot, D., Mason, W.N., Dumay, O., Wilson, J.B. (2005). Functional regularity: a neglected
  aspect of functional diversity. *Oecologia*, 142, 353–359.
- Patil, G.P., Taillie, C. (1982). Diversity as a concept and its measurement. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 77, 548–561.
- Pavoine, S. (2012). Clarifying and developing analyses of biodiversity: towards a generalisation of
   current approaches. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 3, 509–518.
- Pavoine, S. (2020) adiv: an R package to analyse biodiversity in ecology. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 11, 1106–1112.
- Pavoine, S., Bonsall, M.B. (2009). Biological diversity: distinct distributions can lead to the
  maximization of Rao's quadratic entropy. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 75, 153–163.
- Pavoine, S., Gasc, A., Bonsall, M.B., Mason, N.W.H. (2013). Correlations between phylogenetic
  and functional diversity: Mathematical artefacts or true ecological and evolutionary processes? *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 24, 781–793.
- Pavoine, S., Ricotta, C. (2019). Measuring functional dissimilarity among plots: Adapting old
   methods to new questions. *Ecological Indicators*, 97, 67–72.
- 565 Peet, P.K. (1974). The measurement of species diversity. *Annual Review of Ecology and* 566 *Systematics*, 5, 285–307.
- Petchey, O.L., Gaston, K.J. (2002). Functional diversity (FD), species richness and community
   composition. *Ecology Letters*, 5, 402–411.

- 569 Pielou, E.C. (1966). The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections.
  570 *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 13, 131–144.
- 571 Pierce, S., Luzzaro, A., Caccianiga, M., Ceriani, R.M., Cerabolini, B. (2007). Disturbance is the
  572 principal α-scale filter determining niche differentiation, coexistence and biodiversity in an
  573 alpine community. *Journal of Ecology*, 95, 698–706.
- 574 Rao, C.R. (1982). Diversity and dissimilarity coefficients: a unified approach. *Theoretical* 575 *Population Biology*, 21, 24–43.
- 576 Rao, C.R. (2010). Quadratic entropy and analysis of diversity. *Sankhya*, 72, 70–80.
- 577 Ricotta, C. (2003). On parametric evenness measures. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 222, 189–
  578 197.
- 579 Ricotta, C., Bacaro, G., Moretti, M. (2014). A new measure of functional evenness and some of its
  580 properties. *PLOS ONE*, 9, e104060.
- Ricotta, C., de Bello, F., Moretti, M., Caccianiga, M., Cerabolini, B.E.L., Pavoine, S. (2016).
  Measuring the functional redundancy of biological communities: a quantitative guide. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7, 1386–1395.
- Ricotta, C., Szeidl, L., Pavoine, S. (2021). Towards a unifying framework for diversity and
  dissimilarity coefficients. *Ecological Indicators*, 129, 107971.
- Sarkar, S., Margules, C. (2002). Operationalizing biodiversity for conservation planning. *Journal of Biosciences*, 27 (S2), 299–308.
- Schmera, D., Erős, T., Podani, J. (2009). A measure for assessing functional diversity in ecological
  communities. *Aquatic Ecology*, 43, 157–167.
- Shannon, C. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27,
  379–423.
- Shimatani, K. (2001). On the measurement of species diversity incorporating species differences.
   *Oikos*, 93, 135–147.
- 594 Simpson, E.H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. *Nature*, 163, 688.
- 595 Smith, B., Wilson, J.B. (1996). A consumer's guide to evenness indices. *Oikos*, 76, 70–82.
- Sol, D., Trisos, C., Murria, C., Jeliazkov, A., Gonzalez-Lagos, C., Pigot, A.L., Ricotta, C., Swan,
  C.M., Tobias, J.A., Pavoine, S. (2020). The worldwide impact of urbanisation on avian
  functional diversity. *Ecology Letters*, 23, 962–972.
- Solow, A.R., Polasky, S. (1994). Measuring biological diversity. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics*, 1, 95–103.
- Taillie, C. (1979). *Species equitability: a comparative approach*. In: Grassle, J.F., Patil, G.P.,
  Smith, W.K., Taillie, C. (Eds.). Ecological diversity in theory and practice. International Cooperative Publishing House, Fairland, Maryland, USA, pp. 51–62.
- 604 Tucker, C.M., Cadotte, M.W., Carvalho, S.B., Davies, J., Ferrier, S., Fritz, S.A., Grenyer, R.,
- Helmus, M.R., Jin, L.S., Mooers, A.O., Pavoine, S., Purschke, O., Redding, D.W., Rosauer,
- D.F., Winter, M., Mazel, F. (2017). A guide to phylogenetic metrics for conservation,
  community ecology and macroecology. *Biological Reviews*, 92, 698–715.

- 608 Tuomisto, H. (2012). An updated consumer's guide to evenness and related indices. Oikos, 121, 609 1203-1218.
- 610 Villéger, S., Mason, N.W.H., Mouillot, D. (2008). New multidimensional functional diversity 611 indices for a multifaceted framework in functional ecology. *Ecology*, 89, 2290–230.
- Walker, B., Kinzig, A., Langridge, J. (1999). Plant attribute diversity, resilience, and ecosystem 612
- 613 function: the nature and significance of dominant and minor species. *Ecosystems*, 2, 95–113.
- 614 Weitzman, M.L., 1992. On diversity. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 107, 363–405.
- 615 Wright, I.J., Reich, P.B., Westoby, M., Ackerly, D.D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F., Cavender-Bares, J.,
- 616 Chapin, T., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Diemer, M., Flexas, J., Garnier, E., Groom, P.K., Gulias, J.,
- 617 Hikosaka, K., Lamont, B.B., Lee, T., Lee, W., Lusk, C., Midgley, J.J., Navas, M.L., Niinemets,
- U., Oleksyn, J., Osada, N., Poorter, H., Poot, P., Prior, L., Pyankov, V.I., Roumet, C., Thomas, 618
- 619 S.C., Tjoelker, M.G., Veneklaas, E.J., Villar, R. (2004). The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature, 428, 821-827.
- 620
- 621
- 622

#### 623 **Supporting Information**

- 624 Appendix 1
- 625 Detailed explanation of the calculation of the correlation coefficient between the species abundances and their functional dissimilarities. 626
- 627 Appendix 2
- 628 Functional traits and abundances of the species sampled in the grazed and ungrazed plots used in
- 629 the worked example.
- 630 Appendix 3
- 631 R function for the calculation of the functional imbalance measures  $Cor_{R}$ ,  $SES_{R}$  and  $Q_{R}$ .

## 632 **Table 1**

633 Mean (SD) values of individual plots within each treatment (grazed and ungrazed) for species 634 richness *N*, Simpson's diversity *S* and evenness *E*, Rao's quadratic diversity *Q*, mean functional 635 dissimilarity  $\overline{d}_{ij}$ , and functional imbalance  $Cor_B$ ,  $SES_B$  and  $Q_B$ . Pairwise comparisons of index 636 differences between the two treatments were performed with ANOVA. P-values were obtained by 637 randomly permuting individual plots within the treatments (10000 permutations). Asterisks indicate 638 significant values: \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01.

- 639
- 640
- 641

642

643

	Grazed plots (8 plots)	Ungrazed plots (7 plots)
N*	17.25 (2.727)	12.857 (2.748)
S**	0.849 (0.033)	0.651 (0.131)
E**	0.902 (0.032)	0.707 (0.140)
Q**	0.369 (0.019)	0.217 (0.053)
<i>d<sub>ij</sub></i> **	0.444 (0.015)	0.415 (0.016)
Cor <sub>B</sub> **	-0.026 (0.066)	-0.167 (0.093)
SES <sub>B</sub> **	-0.228 (0.547)	-1.153 (0.434)
Q <sub>B</sub> **	0.465 (0.093)	0.221 (0.096)

## 645 Figure 1

Biplot of the principal component analysis of 15 vegetation plots from a calcareous grassland in Tuscany (Italy) characterized by the community-weighted mean values (CWM) of six functional traits (SLA, LDMC, %C, %N,  $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{15}$ N). Convex hulls indicate groups of grazed and ungrazed plots. Numbers in brackets are the amount of variance associated with each principal component. Vectors represent the direction and the strength of the correlation between explanatory variables and the first two principal components.

- 652
- 653
- 654
- 655
- 656

