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Introduction
A person viewing a real-world event typically accesses two sources of non-visual information about it: knowledge of the preceding events and a general knowledge about the objects commonly found in a given environment. We investigated how these two types of knowledge jointly guide human gaze.

Methods

Object Fixations

Image Segmentation and Labelling

Figure 1. Participants (N = 48) freely viewed 80 sequences of film frames (retrieved from https://the.hitchcock.zone/wiki/1000_Frames_of_Hitchcock). Each sequence contained 3-8 ‘context’ frames followed by a ‘critical’ frame. Context frames in a sequence were related to each other and showed a consistent unfolding of events over time. In the Continuous condition, the critical frame was a natural continuation of these events. In the Discontinuous condition, the critical frame was unrelated to the context frames.

Figure 2. Analysis was restricted to fixations on objects within critical frames.

Figure 3. Objects within critical frames were (a) manually segmented and (b) labelled using MATLAB’s Image Labeler app.

Semantic Similarity Calculation

Figure 4. (a) The GloVe word embedding (Pennington, Socher & Manning, 2014) was used to calculate semantic similarity scores between an object label and all other labels within a frame. (b) Then, we took the average of these scores. This resulted in a semantic similarity score for each object within each critical frame.

General Linear Mixed-Effects Model
Object Fixations ~ 1 + Object Size * Semantic Similarity + Condition * Semantic Similarity + (1 + Semantic Similarity | Frame)

Results

Figure 5. The marginal number of object fixations and their 95% confidence intervals by (a) condition, (b) the interaction between semantic similarity and condition and (c) the interaction between semantic similarity and object size. (a) Objects presented in the Discontinuous condition received more fixations compared to the Continuous condition (p < .001). (b) As objects’ semantic similarity scores decreased, the number of fixations on those objects increased (p = .026). This effect was weaker in the Continuous condition (p = .014). (c) This effect was reduced for medium (p < .001) and small objects (p < .001) relative to large objects.

Discussion
Here, we show that knowledge about object-scene relationships influences how people look at scenes. Specifically, semantically inconsistent objects attract more fixations. However, this effect is modulated by object size. Furthermore, we find that the degree to which this knowledge about object-scene relationships is deployed in the guidance of eye movements is modulated by the possession of information about recent past events: inconsistent objects exert weaker influence on eye movements when they are presented in a continuous sequence of events. Taken together, we show how two types of prior knowledge, general knowledge about objects in the world and knowledge of recent past events, interact when guiding human gaze.