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On April 23rd, 2019, the Aguas Zarcas meteorite fall occurred in Costa Rica. Because the meteorite was quickly recovered, it contains valuable extraterrestrial materials that have not been contaminated by terrestrial processes. Our X-ray computed tomography (XCT) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) results on various pre-rain fragments from earlier work (Kerraouch et al., 2020; 2021) revealed several distinct lithologies: Two distinct metal-rich lithologies (Met-1 and Met-2), a CM1/2 lithology, a C1 lithology, and a brecciated CM2 lithology consisting of different petrologic types. Here, we further examined these lithologies in the brecciated Aguas Zarcas meteorite and report new detailed mineralogical, chemical, isotopic, and organic matter characteristics. In addition to petrographic differences, the lithologies also display different chemical and isotopic compositions. The variations in their bulk oxygen isotopic compositions indicate that the various lithologies formed in different environments and/or under diverse conditions (e.g., water/rock ratios). Each lithology experienced a different hydration period during its evolution. Together, this suggests that multiple precursor parent bodies may have been involved in these processes of impact brecciation, mixing, and re-assembly. The Cr and Ti isotopic data for both the CM1/2 and Met-1 lithology are consistent with those of other CM chondrites, even though Met-1 displays a significantly lower $\varepsilon^{50}$Ti isotopic composition that may be attributable to sample heterogeneities on the bulk meteorite scale and may reflect variable abundances of refractory phases in the different lithologies of Aguas Zarcas. Finally, examination of the organic matter of the various lithologies also suggests no strong evidence of thermal events, but a short-term heating cannot completely be excluded. Raman parameters indicate that the peak temperature has been lower than that for Y-793321 (CM2, ~400°C). Considering the new information presented in this study, we now better understand the origin and formation history of the Aguas Zarcas daughter body.
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1. Introduction

Carbonaceous chondrites are samples of primitive asteroids formed during the early Solar System, and thus they can provide insights into the Solar System’s early history (e.g., Anders and Grevesse 1989; Wasson and Kallemeyn 1988; Greenwood et al., 2020). They make up only ~3% of the meteorites collected after being seen to fall to Earth (The Meteoritical Bulletin). They are crucial to understanding the transport of water and organic matter into the region of terrestrial planet formation in the early Solar System due to their diverse extraterrestrial chemistry and abundance of hydrated minerals. Like other chondrites, carbonaceous chondrites have experienced a wide range of processes, such as various degrees of aqueous alteration, thermal metamorphism, brecciation, or a combination thereof (e.g., Grimm and McSween, 1989; Metzler et al., 1992; Zolensky et al., 1993; Browning et al., 1996; Brearley and Jones 1998; Nakamura et al., 2005; Bischoff et al., 2006; Brearley, 2006; Lentfort et al., 2021). While these processes provide important information about the environment of the chondrites' evolution, evidence for these processes is often not well preserved because they are highly susceptible to weathering, meaning most of them have been modified to some extent by the terrestrial environment.

The Aguas Zarcas meteorite fell at 21:07 local time on 23rd April 2019 in Aguas Zarcas, San Carlos County, Alajuela province, Costa Rica. It is a brecciated carbonaceous chondrite with a total mass of at least 27 kg. The rapid recovery of the Aguas Zarcas meteorite after its fall provides an opportunity to investigate a freshly fallen, least contaminated, highly brecciated meteorite. The large Aguas Zarcas fall delivered a wide variety of carbonaceous chondrite lithologies, some of which are familiar as CM lithologies (Pizzarello et al., 2020; Garvie, 2021), but others are unique, and thus offer an important opportunity to explore the history of their formation (Kerrhaouch et al., 2020; 2021). An earlier study by Kerrhaouch et al., (2021), based on petrographic and mineralogical descriptions and oxygen isotope data of several fragments of the Aguas Zarcas meteorite, revealed new lithologies that have some unique characteristics and, therefore, were pursued further investigation of this fascinating breccia.

From our previous work, we have classified the Met-1 as a new and unique carbonaceous chondrite lithology which bears similarities to CR and CM chondrite groups, but it is distinct from both based on oxygen isotope data. Furthermore, Met-1 contains a high abundance of metal (kamacite and taenite), suggesting a relatively low degree of aqueous alteration, but also a high overall abundance of phyllosilicates and carbonates that implies this lithology has undergone a moderate to high degree of aqueous alteration. Met-2 also represents a new type of carbonaceous chondrite with a higher abundance of metal and sulfides. The Met-
1 and Met-2 lithologies showed some similarities but are distinct. The C1 and CM1/2 Aguas Zarcas lithologies are very altered and possibly related to the CM chondrite group. The brecciated CM lithology has two primary components: a chondrule-poor lithology and a chondrule-rich lithology showing different petrologic subtypes (from 2.1 to 2.8; e.g., Rubin et al., (2007); Bischoff et al., (2017); Lentfort et al., (2021)).

In this study, we present new details regarding the mineralogy, chemistry, isotopic compositions, and soluble organic components of the different lithologies in Aguas Zarcas. Based on these characteristics we discuss the alteration history of the lithologies, their affinities with other carbonaceous chondrite groups, and the origin and evolution of the Aguas Zarcas parent body. Overall, this study sheds new light on the large petrological diversity and processing of hydrous carbonaceous asteroids in the early Solar System.
2. Samples and analytical methods

Many fragments were recovered after the fall of the breccia Aguas Zarcas, some before and some after rainfall. In this study, we investigate several pre-rain samples that contain five different lithologies that were identified in our previous study (Kerraouch et al., 2021) of this brecciated chondrite: (1) Met-1, a metal-rich lithology, (2) Met-2, a second metal-rich lithology, (3) a CM1/2 lithology, (4) a C1 lithology, and (5) some fragments and clasts of typical CM2 chondrite lithology (clasts from section PL19111: CM-clast1, CM-clast2 and CM-clast3; which are associated with Met-1; Fig. 1c, and CM fragment from CR19-001). PL91165 thin section from CR chondrite (Acfer 209) is used here for comparison.

Different analytical methods were applied to analyze and characterize each lithology. We briefly summarize hereafter the methods applied; further details are included in the Supplementary Material (SM). Due to insufficient quantities for some samples, we were not able to perform all of the analyses cited below for each of the five lithologies studied. Table 1 summarizes the different lithologies of Aguas Zarcas studied together with the corresponding analyses performed.

We studied bulk powders and several thick and thin sections of Aguas Zarcas: PL19111, PL19112, PL19125, and PLxxxx prepared from the fragment #MS-2 (MS = Münster), a 2.5-g pre-rain fragment at the Institut für Planetologie (IfP), University of Münster. Polished mounts of separate pre-rain stones provided by Robert Ward, called JSC-Mount1, JSC-Mount2, and JSC-Mount3, were provided by the Astromaterials Research and Exploration Science (ARES), NASA Johnson Space Center. Additionally, two pre-rain samples #CR19.19 (section PL19149) and #CR19.29 were provided by Robert Ward.

All sections were characterized by Scanning Electron Microscopy with Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (SEM/EDS) at the IfP and at the E-Beam Laboratories of ARES, NASA JSC. Quantitative mineral analyses were obtained using a JEOL JXA 8530F electron microprobe (EPMA) Institut für Mineralogie (University of Münster) and a JEOL 8530-FE electron microprobe at ARES. The mineralogy of Met-1 lithology was also characterized using a PANalytical X’Pert Pro scanning X-ray diffractometer (XRD) and position-sensitive-detector (PSD) XRD at the Natural History Museum (NHM), London.

We subsequently measured the oxygen isotopic compositions of several calcite grains in PL19111 (Met-1 and the CM-clasts) and PL19149 (CM1/2 lithology). The in-situ oxygen isotope analyses were carried out using the Heidelberg Ion Probe (Cameca IMS 1280-HR) at the Institute of Earth Sciences, Heidelberg University. We have also performed Mn-Cr isotope
analyses of the carbonates by ion probe (SIMS), but these did not yield resolvable Cr isotopic anomalies.

Several aliquots of bulk powder of the Met-1 sample were used to determine the bulk water/OH content and carbon concentration of the Met-1 lithology (#MS-2) at the Institute for Geology, Mineralogy and Geophysics, Ruhr-University Bochum using a Mitsubishi CA 200 moisture meter. Trace element compositions of the Met-1 and CM1/2 samples were obtained using Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES) and Inductively Coupled Plasma Sector Field Mass Spectrometry (ICP-SFMS) at the Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de Bretagne Occidentale in Plouzané, France.

Titanium and chromium isotope analyses of the Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies were performed on the Thermo Scientific Neptune Plus MC-ICPMS at the IfP. The analytical procedures followed previously established routines (Zhang et al., 2011; Gerber et al., 2017 for Ti; Trinquier et al., 2008a,b; Yamakawa et al., 2009; Schneider et al., 2020 for Cr). Ti and Cr isotope anomalies are reported as ε-values (parts-per-10^4 deviations from terrestrial standard values) after internal normalization of measured isotope ratios to ⁴⁹Ti/⁴⁷Ti = 0.749766 and ⁵⁰Cr/⁵²Cr = 0.051859, respectively. A detailed description of the chemical purification and isotopic measurements of Ti and Cr is provided in the SM. The mass-dependent tellurium isotopic composition of a ~70-mg aliquot from the Met-1 sample powder was determined by applying a ¹²³Te–¹²⁵Te double spike method (Hellmann et al., 2020) and using a Thermo Scientific Neptune Plus MC-ICPMS at the IfP. Analytical procedures followed previously established routines by Hellmann et al., (2020). Results are reported as δ¹²⁸/¹²⁶Te values (per-mil deviation from the NIST SRM 3156 standard solution).

Finally, we studied the organic matter (OM) in Met-1, Met-2, C1, and CM lithologies using different methods. A small fragment of Met-1 was sent to the Research Center for Environmental Health, Munich, Germany, and studied by soluble organic matter (SOM) analysis. Subsamples of Met-2, C1, and CM lithologies (CM from CR19.01) were sent to Yokohama National University (YNU), Japan, and then studied by a combination of several methods involving multiple institutions for microscopic analyses of organic matter.

The SOM content of small fragments of the Met-1 lithology was analyzed following the same procedures used previously to enable a comparison of the compositional profiles (Schmitt-Kopplin et al., 2010; Popova et al., 2013), and the data were obtained from a solid specimen with a weight of 2 milligrams.

Raman analysis and peak fitting were conducted following the procedure described in Kiryu et al., (2020). Small fragments of Met-2, the CM lithology (CR19.01), and the C1
lithology were pressed onto clean Au or KBr substrates and analyzed using a Raman microspectrometer (RAMANtouch; Nanophoton) at JAMSTEC, Yokosuka, with a 532 nm laser. Raman mapping measurements were also performed on the same microtome section as the one used for AFM-IR measurements (see AFM-IR section and SM).

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis was conducted following the procedure described in Kebukawa et al., (2020). Small particles (a few 100 μm) of the Met-2, CM, and C1 lithologies were pressed onto KBr plates (~5 × 5 × 1 mm³). IR absorption spectra were collected at Yokohama National University using a micro-FTIR (JASCO FT/IR-6100+IRT-5200) equipped with a ceramic IR light source, a germanium-coated KBr beam splitter, a mercury-cadmium-telluride (MCT) detector, and ×16 Cassegrain mirrors.

Sulfur-embedded ultramicrotomed thin sections (~ few 100 nm-thick) from the CM lithology (CR19-001) were prepared for atomic force microscope-infrared spectroscopy (AFM-IR). We then performed AFM-IR analysis using a NanoIR2 system from Bruker at the Institut de Chimie Physique, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France. In such a setup, the IR laser was focused on the top side of the sample probed by the the AFM cantilever.

We also selected typical areas in the Met-2, CM, and C1 lithologies for preparing ultrathin sections utilizing two focused ion beams (FIBs; Hitachi Tech SMI-4050 and SMJ-4000L) at the Kochi Institute of Core Sample Research, JAMSTEC. Subsequently, these sections were transferred into a FIB-SEM SMJ-4000L to remove damaged layers on the surface of the sections with a broad Ar-ion beam at 1 kV. Carbon X-ray absorption near-edge structure (C-XANES) analyses were performed using the scanning transmission X-ray microscopes (STXM) at BL-19A of the Photon Factory, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK) (Takeichi et al., 2016) and the STXM beam line, BL4U, at the UVSOR Synchrotron Facility, Institute for Molecular Science (Ohigashi et al., 2013).

Small fragments from the Met-2 and C1 lithologies were pressed onto 00 Au thin foil or KBr plates, and matrix areas on the thin sections were chosen for analysis by a raster ion imaging with the JAMSTEC NanoSIMS 50L at the Kochi Institute for Core Sample Research, JAMSTEC. The $^{12}$C, $^{13}$C, $^{16}$O, $^{12}$C$^{14}$N, $^{12}$C$^{15}$N and $^{32}$S were measured as negative secondary ions simultaneously in six electron multipliers. In a separate analysis, the H isotopes ($^1$H and $^2$D) and $^{12}$C were subsequently measured as negative secondary ions together with secondary electrons. The OM regions were chosen by noting distributions of $^{12}$C within a section applying a 10% threshold of total $^{12}$C ion counts. The H, C and N isotopic compositions of OM in the measured areas were calculated following analytical routines using a standard organic material (1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate; C$_6$H$_3$N$_3$O·xH$_2$O: HOBT) (Ito et al., 2014).
3. Results

3.1. MINERALOGY AND PETROGRAPHY

Details of the mineralogy of the various lithologies are given in Kerraouch et al., (2021). The main results are summarized in the following paragraphs and in Table 2.

3.1.1. General description of Aguas Zarcas lithologies

Met-1 (Metal-rich lithology 1)

The bulk mineralogy of the Met-1 lithology determined by PSD-XRD in this study was found to be (Fig.1a and Fig. 2) phyllosilicates (~55 vol%), olivine (~20 vol%), pyroxene (~20 vol%), magnetite (~1 vol%), metal (~2 vol%), sulfides (~1 vol%) and carbonates (~1 vol%). Electron microscopy showed that olivine (Fa$_{0.65}$), low-Ca pyroxene (Fs$_{2.4±3.3}$ En$_{95.1±4.9}$ W$_{0.25±2.4}$) and diopside (Fs$_{1.9±1.5}$ En$_{60.8±6.1}$ Wo$_{37.3±6.0}$) grains of similar compositions are found both in the chondrules and as clasts in the matrix. Isolated olivine grains in the matrix range in size from a few microns up to 100 µm. Metal grains range in size from a few microns up to 550 µm, present as kamacite and taenite, in both the chondrules and matrix. Carbonate phases occur within the matrix and in the complex Ca,Al-rich inclusions (CAIs). The chemical composition is very close to that of pure CaCO$_3$, and Raman spectra show that it is all calcite. This is also consistent with the XRD data.

Most chondrules contain a high abundance of metal and sulfide grains either inside and/or at their edges similar to chondrules in CR2 chondrites (Weisberg et al., 1993), but on a different size scale. Chondrule sizes in Met-1 range from 14 to 800 µm. They have a mean size of about 186 µm, an abundance of ~30 vol%, and have fine-grained rims (FGRs) (e.g., Metzler et al., 1992; Metzler and Bischoff, 1996). Some chondrules are altered and partially replaced by phyllosilicates. The abundance of CAIs in the Met-1 lithology is 0.66 vol% and two types can be distinguished: (a) CAIs with a rounded shape (~80 to 140 µm in diameter), which are spinel-rich and hibonite-rich objects, and (b) irregularly-shaped CAIs (~500 µm), which are complex and unusual in mineralogy, typically composed of calcite (~53 vol%) and spinel, perovskite and sometimes with minor grossmanite. An Al-rich diopside rim of constant thickness typically surrounds these CAIs. Based on the mean TCI compositions of the Met-1 lithology, the petrologic subtype relating to the degree of aqueous alteration can be defined as 2.5 for Met-1 (Rubin et al., 2007; Lentfort et al., 2021). This value is lower than the XRD data...
suggest (sub-type 1.9 (based on the scale of Howard et al., 2015), which corresponds to about 2.8 on the Rubin et al., 2007 scale).

Met-2 (Metal-rich lithology 2)

The Met-2 (Fig. 1b) lithology shows a close similarity to Met-1, but it is clearly distinct based on its higher metal and sulfide abundance (~5 vol%) and the lack of FGRs around coarse-grained components. Olivine and pyroxene were also found in the chondrules and as isolated grains in the matrix, with similar composition and grain sizes as in Met-1.

The metals are a mixture of kamacite and taenite and have similar morphologies and characteristics as in Met-1 (Fig. 3), although their sizes are smaller in Met-2 (ranging from a few microns up to 250 μm). The chondrules have a modal abundance of about 20 vol% and mean diameter of 136 μm (n=166). Considering refractory inclusions, we observed neither carbonate-rich CAIs nor fully intact CAIs. Only some Al-rich phases were detected.

CM1/2 lithology

The CM1/2 lithology (Fig. 1d) contains abundant matrix (~80 vol%) phyllosilicates with minor amounts of magnetite (<1 vol%), sulfides (<2 vol%), olivine and low-Ca pyroxene (each ~3 vol%), calcite (<2 vol%), and some metal grains. These mineral abundances are consistent with other CM1/2s analysed by PSD-XRD (e.g. King et al., 2017). The CM1/2 lithology contains highly altered chondrules, some containing relic grains of olivine (mean: Fa_{2.1±2.1}; n=7) and/or pyroxene (Fs_{10.8}En_{60.5}Wo_{28.7}) and having a SiO_2- and S-rich mesostasis. The mean diameter of relict chondrules is 256 μm and their abundance is ~15 vol%. The CM1/2 lithology contains one type of CAI mainly composed of spinel and phyllosilicates and surrounded by an Al-rich diopside rim. The matrix represents about 70 vol% of TCIs and consists of both Fe-rich and S-rich regions. The most abundant type of TCIs consists of acicular fibers rich in Fe, often associated with calcite. Cronstedtite appears to be the dominant phyllosilicate phase. Based on its average TCI compositions, the CM1/2 lithology shows a low petrologic subtype of 2.2 indicating a high degree of aqueous alteration (Rubin et al., 2007; Lentfort et al., 2021). In addition, most metal and pentlandite shows pre-terrestrial aqueous alteration.

C1 lithology

The C1 lithology (Fig. 1e) contains abundant matrix phyllosilicates (~90 vol.%), magnetite, sulfides, and some Ca-carbonate grains. The bulk composition of the phyllosilicates
in this lithology lies between that of serpentine and saponite, but closer to the latter as is the
case for CI and CR chondrites. However, in contrast to phyllosilicates in CI and CR chondrites,
the FeO content is quite uniform (11-20 wt% FeO). Analytical EPMA totals for the
phyllosilicates are low (65-86 wt%), as expected for fully hydrated material. Abundant
framboidal to plaquette magnetites are set within the phyllosilicates as well as fine-grained
pyrrhotite and pentlandite. The latter two exhibit their typical exsolution texture. This lithology
contains no anhydrous silicates, chondrules, CAIs, or pseudomorphs of these objects.

CM lithologies

The CM chondrite lithologies within the Aguas Zarcas meteorite occur as clasts within
brecciated pieces or as the main lithology in some fragments. The olivine grains in three CM-
clasts (Fig. 1c) have highly variable Fa contents (Fa0-60). All three CM clasts have mean Fs
contents of ~2 mol%. The compositions are similar to those within the Met-1 and Met-2
lithologies. The CM clasts also contain some small grains (few microns) of metal and sulfides.
The composition of the matrix is similar to that of serpentine (Kerraouch et al., 2021). Based
on their average TCI compositions, CM-clast1 and CM-clast2 are petrologic subtype 2.6, while
CM-clast 3 is the least altered and is assigned to petrologic subtype 2.8 (Rubin et al., 2007;
Lentfort et al., 2021).


3.2. CHEMISTRY AND ISOTOPIC COMPOSITIONS

3.2.1. Isotopic studies on carbonates

The O isotopic compositions of the calcite grains analyzed in this study are given in Table S1 and shown in Figure 4.

Carbonates from the matrix within the Met-1 lithology plot into two groups. The first group ranges in $\delta^{18}O_{VSMOW}$ from +16.2 to +22‰ and in $\Delta^{17}O_{VSMOW}$ from -3.3 to -0.3‰, defining a line with a slope of 0.19 ± 0.26. The second group ranges in $\delta^{18}O_{VSMOW}$ from +31.8 to +41.6‰ and in $\Delta^{17}O_{VSMOW}$ from -2.7 to -0.5‰, whereby the slope is 0.57 ± 0.09 (Fig. 4a).

Despite falling into two isotopic groups, there is no clear petrologic difference between calcite grains of group 1 and group 2. The calcite grains in the Met-1 CAIs are aggregates of small crystals (Fig. 5). Their $\delta^{18}O_{VSMOW}$ and $\Delta^{17}O_{VSMOW}$ values are less variable and plot into one group that ranges from +32.06 to +37.5‰ and from -2.7 to -0.7‰, respectively. The CAI carbonates define a line with a slope of 0.70±0.21 (Fig. 4b). Some spinel grains within the CAIs of Met-1 were also measured, showing low $\delta^{18}O_{VSMOW}$ and $\Delta^{17}O_{VSMOW}$ values (about -50‰ for both) that plot on the CCAM line.

The oxygen isotopic compositions of the calcite grains within matrices of the three different CM clasts from Met-1 are similar and show significant variation, with $\delta^{18}O_{VSMOW}$ and $\Delta^{17}O_{VSMOW}$ values ranging from +28.3 to +38.8‰ and from -1.8 to +0.1‰, respectively. These carbonates define a line with a slope of 0.47 ± 0.15, which is nominally less steep than the terrestrial fraction line (TFL), however, indistinguishable within errors (Fig. 4c).

Finally, the analyzed calcite grains in the matrix of the CM1/2 lithology also show a significant variation, ranging in $\delta^{18}O_{VSMOW}$ from +25.2 to +42.2‰ and in $\Delta^{17}O_{VSMOW}$ from -2.9 to -0.3‰. They define a line of slope 0.55 ± 0.24 (Fig. 4d).

3.2.2. Bulk composition

The bulk chemical compositions (major, trace and rare-earth elements) of the Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies of Aguas Zarcas are given in Table 3 and shown in Figure 6. The major oxides of Met-1 display a good match with mean CM carbonaceous chondrites (Braukmüller et al., 2018), except for FeO and CaO, which are slightly more abundant in Met-1 with values of 31.2 wt% and 1.87 wt%, respectively. The trace elements are similar in both lithologies (except for an Sc depletion in Met-1 of about <5 ppm) and agree with CM chondrites (Fig. 6).
The CI chondrite-normalized (Barrat et al., 2012) rare-earth element (REE) patterns of Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies are extremely flat, with 1.4× and 1.09×CI abundances, respectively. The REE patterns of the Met-1 lithology are comparable to abundances in Paris (Hewins et al., 2014), while the CM1/2 lithology has a flat pattern but with much lower values.

### 3.2.3. Bulk water content and CO$_2$ analyses

The water contents of the Met-1 lithology from three independent measurements are 7.52, 8.34 and 7.85 wt% (mean: 7.90 wt%) for the dried sample (dried to 110°C prior to analysis). Another sample of Met-1 was stored at room conditions for some days prior to analysis, and adsorbed terrestrial water may have been present, raising values. This measurement revealed a similar value of 8.13 wt% H$_2$O. The carbon content of the Met-1 lithology has a high C$_{total}$ of 2.74 wt%.

### 3.2.4. Ti, Cr, and Te isotopic compositions

The Ti and Cr isotopic compositions of the Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies as well as the mass-dependent Te isotope data of the Met-1 lithology are provided in Table 4 and plotted in Figure 7. The Met-1 lithology has a $\varepsilon^{53}$Cr value of 0.19 ± 0.11 and a $\varepsilon^{54}$Cr value of 1.05 ± 0.18 (n = 6; all uncertainties are student-t 95% CI), which is close to the average Cr isotopic composition of CM chondrites (e.g., Trinquier et al., 2009; Torrano et al., 2021). Its Ti isotopic composition of $\varepsilon^{46}$Ti = 0.55 ± 0.08, $\varepsilon^{48}$Ti = 0.00 ± 0.04, and $\varepsilon^{50}$Ti = 2.57 ± 0.11 (n = 12) agrees within error with literature values for both CM and CR chondrites (Trinquier et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012; Torrano et al., 2021). In a combined diagram of $\varepsilon^{54}$Ti vs. $\varepsilon^{50}$Cr (Fig. 7a), Met-1 plots within the compositional field of CM chondrites, at the very lower end towards the compositional field of CR chondrites. For the CM1/2 lithology, the Cr isotopic composition is $\varepsilon^{53}$Cr = 0.07 ± 0.17 and $\varepsilon^{54}$Cr = 0.97 ± 0.11, while the Ti isotopic composition is $\varepsilon^{46}$Ti = 0.54 ± 0.09, $\varepsilon^{48}$Ti = -0.04 ± 0.05, and $\varepsilon^{50}$Ti = 3.02 ± 0.07. Both the Ti and Cr data overlap with the isotopic compositions reported for CM chondrites and, therefore, in $\varepsilon^{54}$Cr vs. $\varepsilon^{50}$Ti space (Fig. 7a) the CM1/2 lithology plots within the compositional field of CM chondrites.

The mass-dependent Te isotopic composition ($\delta^{128/126}$Te = 0.08 ± 0.02) and the Te concentration (1387 ± 37 ng g$^{-1}$) of the Met-1 lithology are indistinguishable from those of CM chondrites, and they overlap with the values of Tagish Lake (Fig. 7c-d). The Te isotopic data, therefore, seem to link the Met-1 lithology to CM chondrites and other volatile-rich carbonaceous chondrites.
3.3. ORGANICS

3.3.1. Soluble organic matter analysis (Met-1)

The analysis of the SOM of Aguas Zarcas pre- and post-rain as well as the Met-1 samples with ultra-high-resolution mass spectrometry revealed a high signal density comparable to CM chondrite such as Murchison or Maribo organic chondrites (Schmitt-Kopplin et al., 2010; Haack et al., 2012) and typical of non-thermal stressed and low water-altered CM2 SOM. The pre- and post-rain samples (see supplement) showed almost identical signal profiles and elementary compositions in each nominal mass (Fig. 8A). Similarly, the Met-1 fraction showed a superimposable m/z signature with additional and increased intensive signals corresponding to polysulfurized compounds (Fig. 8B). The conversion of the exact masses into compositional formulas involving the elements C, H, N, O, S and Mg enabled a visualization in Figure 9 of the whole mass spectrometric profiles in van Krevelen diagrams (Schmitt-Kopplin et al., 2010). Aguas Zarcas showed more than 13,000 elementary compositions, and the metal-rich fraction more than 10,000. The main differences between the Met-1 fraction and the Aguas Zarcas is that the Met-1 fractions have fewer of the higher-mass compounds (Fig. 9A) and a higher number of polysulfurized chemical species (CHOS and CHNOS). Also, the Met-1 fraction shown in Fig. 9B contains more organomagnesium compounds (730 CHOMg) than the pre-rain samples (400 CHOMg) as a possible result of relative higher pressure/thermal stress. In the Met-1 fraction, we observed more oxidized CHO compounds as well as a higher amount of sulfur-rich molecules (Fig. 9C) reflecting a more reduced environment in which metal may be involved as catalysts, being reduced while the available soluble organic phase oxidized possibly in an aqueous environment and/or higher temperatures.

3.3.2. Raman and IR microspectroscopy

The Raman spectra from carbonaceous materials in the CM lithology, Met-2, and C1 lithology are shown in Figure 10. All spectra show fluorescence background. The D band (~1355 cm⁻¹) and G band (~1585 cm⁻¹) parameters of three lithologies from Aguas Zarcas plot close to Murchison (CM2), which was collected under the same conditions (Kiryu et al., 2020) (Fig. 11).

Micro-FTIR absorption spectra of Met-2, the CM lithology, and the C1 lithology are shown in Figure 12. The IR spectrum of the C1 lithology is similar to CI chondrites, and the CM lithology and Met-2 lithology are similar to CM chondrites (Kebukawa et al., 2019a). The
IR spectrum of the C1 lithology shows features at 2965, 2930, and 2860 cm$^{-1}$ due to aliphatic C-H, indicating that the C1 lithology is rich in primitive OM. The CH$_2$/CH$_3$ peak height ratio is 2.3, which is much higher than the typical ratio of type 1 and 2 chondrites (1.1-1.4) (Kebukawa et al., 2019a). The higher CH$_2$/CH$_3$ ratio may indicate short/weak heating as shown in some heated CMs (Quirico et al., 2018). In contrast, no or only weak aliphatic C-H features are observed in the IR spectra of the Met-2 and CM lithologies. Mapping analysis of the Met-2 lithology revealed a local concentration (one pixel from the mapping area; ~50 μm) of OM (Fig. 13). All the spectra in Figure 12 show a large band at around 1000 cm$^{-1}$ due to silicate Si-O stretching and a broad band at around 3400 cm$^{-1}$ due to water (mostly terrestrial adsorbed water but maybe some indigenous to interlayer water in phyllosilicates), with some showing a sharp peak at 3680 cm$^{-1}$ due to structural OH in phyllosilicates. These features are typical for phyllosilicate-rich aqueously altered carbonaceous chondrites (e.g., Osawa et al., 2005; Beck et al., 2010, Hanna et al., 2020). The C1 lithology shows a clear feature of structural OH in phyllosilicates at 3680 cm$^{-1}$, which is consistent with CI1 chondrites (Osawa et al., 2005). CM chondrites show no such feature when IR spectra are collected on powdered samples (Osawa et al., 2005), and are consistent with the Aguas Zarcas CM lithology and Met-2. CM chondrites also have structural OH, but it is mostly hidden by a large water absorption features and is not obvious under ambient conditions unless mild heating is applied to eliminate the adsorbed water (Beck et al., 2010) or the IR spectra are collected on a thin section (Hanna et al., 2020).

In order to overcome the spatial resolution of conventional IR, which is limited to a few tens of microns, we applied the state-of-the-art tapping AFM-IR (Mathurin et al., 2018). We present the results obtained for two different areas of 1 μm × 3 μm (their locations are shown in Fig. 14) from the Aguas Zarcas CM lithology. In the first area (Figure 15), two acquisitions were obtained at different wavenumbers corresponding to the spectral range of organics' absorption (at 1600 cm$^{-1}$ and 1710 cm$^{-1}$; Fig. 15a, b) and the spectral range of silicate absorption (1020 cm$^{-1}$ and 960 cm$^{-1}$; Fig. 15c, d). The IR mappings obtained here present both a first order correlation between the 1710 cm$^{-1}$ and 1600 cm$^{-1}$ IR mapping and no clear correlation with any of the silicate absorption mapping. An equivalent result is observed on the second area with the comparison of the IR map at 1020 cm$^{-1}$ for the silicate absorption and 1600 cm$^{-1}$ for the organic one. The OM localization is confirmed by local AFM-IR spectra recorded at two different positions in the second area (Fig. 15 with localization indicated by colored arrows in Fig. 16a)). The first spectrum (blue line) is taken in the area where the 1600 cm-1 is the highest on the composite image and show an excess in the 1600 cm-1 region compared to the second one (green line) which was taken next to the previous one (at a few tens of nanometers) where the
1600 cm$^{-1}$ absorption is the lowest. These correlating results from IR mappings and local spectra demonstrate the IR absorption at 1600 cm$^{-1}$ is mainly related to OM absorption (with a possible, but limited OH contribution in the bright 1600 cm$^{-1}$ IR map regions).

To study the OM distribution in the maps, composite color images which merge the main signal for the OM at 1600 cm$^{-1}$ (red scale) with that of the silicates at 1020 cm$^{-1}$ (green scale) are shown for both areas in Fig. 15e and Fig. 16c. In these composite images, it is possible to identify two different distributions of the OM at the sub-micrometric level, where some OM is distributed in grain boundaries or in the matrix (<500 nm hot spots highlighted with yellow dotted circles in Fig. 15e and Fig 16c), while some appears as more extended and diffuse patches spread among the silicates.

Raman measurements were performed on the same microtome section as the one used for AFM-IR measurements. The region mapped with Raman is shown by a white rectangle in Figure 14, overlaid on an optical image of the section. The locations where the two AFM-IR maps were acquired are shown by light blue rectangles. At the bottom of the Raman map, we identified a mineral with spectral features in reasonable agreement with tochilinite (the lower left green spectrum is the one recorded in the Raman brightest spot; the gray spectrum is from an unoriented sample from the rruff database measured at the same laser wavelength, ref R060887 (Lafuente et al., 2015)). The lower right green spectrum shows additional bands to the tochilinite contribution that most likely arose from the other mineral contribution. The red spectra are two bright Raman organic spectra in the Raman map, which is a typical signature showing D and G bands found in carbonaceous meteorites. The upper right green spectrum comes from a very localized region that, in addition to the organic contribution at higher wavenumbers, shows a main peak that can be tentatively associated with a Ca-Mg-, Fe-bearing hydrated silicate (the gray spectrum is from an unoriented actinolite sample from the rruff database measured at the same laser wavelength, ref R060189 (Lafuente et al., 2015)). An image obtained by integrating the signal in the 350-200 cm$^{-1}$ range is displayed in Figure 14a, showing the spatial distribution of the tochilinite signal. Figure 14b shows the spatial distribution of the organic signal integrated over the 1650-1200 cm$^{-1}$ range.

The tochilinite region could be mapped with the Raman method because of the absence of a significant carbonaceous/organic component. In addition, the overall AFM-IR and FTIR spectra show the ubiquitous presence of phyllosilicates in Aguas Zarcas that are not necessarily detected in the Raman maps; the lack of these phyllosilicates in the Raman maps occurred not because they are absent but because of several factors. The first is that we voluntarily set a low laser power (0.3 mW) for these measurements to preserve the most fragile components of this
sample, as they might have been altered by a higher laser power. In addition, the polyaromatic carbonaceous component is Raman resonant, contrary to the behavior for most minerals; thus, it gives a relatively intense signal that dominates the spectrum and can mask the signal of underlying additional embedded mineral components. Thus, the Raman probing depth depends on the nature of the sample being examined. Nevertheless, these measurements show the variations of chemical information at high spatial resolution, at least at the micron level (given here by the sampling step; the Raman spot is probably slightly smaller than a micron). Overall, the Raman map can be a useful guideline to select certain regions to map in more detail using higher spatial resolution techniques, such as AFM-IR.

### 3.3.3. STXM/C-XANES

STXM elemental maps (C–O–Fe) of the FIB sections taken from Met-2 are shown in Figure 18a,c,e. C-XANES spectra from C-rich spots (OM1 and OM2) show peaks at 285.0 eV due to aromatic carbon, 286.5 eV due to C=O groups, 287.5 eV due to aliphatic carbon, 288.7 eV due to C(=O)O groups, and 290.7 eV due to carbonate (either organic or inorganic) (Fig. 18b, d, f). These peaks are commonly observed in the type 1 and 2 carbonaceous chondrites (Le Guillou et al., 2014) as well as in the least-altered CMs (Vinogradoff et al., 2017; Vollmer et al., 2020). While OM1 and OM2 exhibit common peaks, their intensities vary. Figure 19 shows the peak intensity ratios of 288.7 or 288.5 eV (C(=O)O) over 285.0 eV (aromatic) vs. 287.5 eV (aliphatic) over 285.0 eV (aromatic), obtained after linear baseline subtraction between 280 to 283 eV. OM1 appeared as a condensed smaller particle less than 500 nm and was more aromatic than OM2. OM2 was a diffuse ~1 μm area and was richer in C(=O)O and aliphatic groups than OM1. Such characteristics are also similar to the type 1 and 2 carbonaceous chondrites—diffused OM was found to be less aromatic and O-rich compared to condensed OM (Le Guillou et al., 2014). Thermally metamorphosed chondrites are known to exhibit a sharp 1s-σ*exciton peak at 291.7 eV accompanied by a broad peak at 292-293 eV, indicating development of graphene structures (Cody et al., 2008). OM2 may contain this feature, but it is not obvious. The small amount of OM and the high baseline due to the thickness of the FIB section hampered efforts to obtain better signal-to-noise spectra and made it difficult to evaluate this feature. The C-XANES features indicate that this sample only experienced low temperature processes similar to type 1 and 2 chondrites.

We performed additional STXM/C-XANES analyses on FIB sections of the CM lithology (Fig. 14g, h) and the C1 lithology. We found OM particles in the CM lithology to be typically larger than in the Met-2 lithology (Fig. 14g), but the differences in C-XANES features
among the Met-2, CM, and C1 lithologies are within the range of heterogeneity within a lithology (Fig. 18). In general, condensed OM tends to be aromatic rich, and diffuse OM tends to be aromatic poor, and the C1 lithology tends to aromatic poor compared to Met-2 and the CM lithologies (Fig. 18).

### 3.3.4. H, C, and N isotopic imaging analyses by NanoSIMS

Carbon, nitrogen, and hydrogen stable isotopes images from the Aguas Zarcas Met-2 and C1 lithologies were obtained by the JAMSTEC NanoSIMS (Fig. 20). In the case of Met-2, oxygen and hydrogen were detected across the entire analyzed areas, while sulfur and carbon were heterogeneously distributed, and the distribution of carbon mostly anticorrelated with sulfur. This is consistent with the Raman maps showing the absence of a significant organic component in the tochilinite-rich region (Fig. 14), although sulfur could be due to sulfides as well. The distribution of nitrogen generally correlates well with carbon, but some areas rich in nitrogen are poor in carbon (circled regions in the areas #1 and #3, Fig. 20a). In contrast, carbon is distributed across almost entire regions in the C1 lithology, and, in general, this lithology is more homogeneous than Met-2 (Fig. 20b). Average $\delta^{13}$C, $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta$D values of entire analyzed regions as well as C-rich regions are shown in Table 5. The $\delta^{13}$C values of Met-2 were between $-30\%$ to $+15\%$, the $\delta^{15}$N values were between $+15\%$ to $+50\%$, and the $\delta$D values were between $+10\%$ to $+100\%$, and these values are within the range of CM chondrites (Alexander et al., 2012). The isotopic ratios of the C1 lithology were similar to the values of Met-2, but $\delta^{15}$N was lower (approximately $-10\%$). The $\delta^{13}$C in the areas #1 and #2 of Met-2 were slightly higher than in CMs and close to the values of Tagish Lake. Hot spots smaller than 1 µm with high $\delta^{15}$N values of $+400\%$ to $+800\%$ were found in area #3 (indicated by arrows, Fig. 20a) (Table 5). A $\delta^{13}$C anomalous area ($\delta^{13}$C $= +2000\%$) was found in area #1 (indicated by an arrow in Fig. 20a) and is likely a presolar grain. The $\delta^{13}$C value is consistent with $^{13}$C-enriched presolar SiC grains in CR3 chondrites, which are thought to have originated in AGB stars (Floss and Stadermann, 2009).
4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Aguas Zarcas lithologies

Comparing the texture and mineralogy of the Met-1 lithology with those of known carbonaceous chondrites, it is clear that the lithology has to be classified as ungrouped carbonaceous chondrite matter (Kerraouch et al., 2021). However, it shows some similarities with CM and CR chondrites (Fig. 22). According to Kerraouch et al., (2021), silicates and phyllosilicates within this lithology have similar compositions as those of CM chondrites (Zolensky et al., 1993, 1997), and chondrules are frequently surrounded by metal, which is a common feature of CR chondrites (Bischoff, 1992; Weisberg et al., 1993; Bischoff et al., 1993). The water-content of the Met-1 lithology (mean: 7.90 wt%) is consistent with CM measurements from Vacher et al., (2020; 7.8 wt%). These data are also consistent with TGA measurements of some CM chondrites by Garenne et al., (2014), but lower than those from Braukmüller et al., (2018) for CM chondrites. However, the mean chondrule diameter of ~160 µm in Met-1 is different from both CM (270±240 µm; Rubin and Wasson, 1986) and CR (~700 µm; Weisberg et al., 1993) chondrites. Further, the Met-1 lithology shows a rather variable degree of aqueous alteration. Some components, such as calcite and TCIs in the matrix and the complex CAIs with a high abundance of calcite, indicate that it has undergone a moderate to high degree of aqueous alteration (subtype 2.5), but the high abundance of metal indicates on the contrary a relatively low degree of aqueous alteration (subtype 2.9). Moreover, the XRD data also suggest a value of 1.9 (scale of Howard et al., (2015), which corresponds to subtype 2.8 on the Rubin et al., et al., (2007) scale). The Met-1 lithology is, therefore, distinct from any other known carbonaceous chondrite, making it an important material that could provide new information about the early Solar System.

The Met-2 lithology contains the highest metal and sulfide abundances (5 vol%) among the investigated Aguas Zarcas lithologies. It shows close similarities to the Met-1 lithology, except that it contains more metal (~2 times more) and lacks FGRs around coarse-grained objects (e.g., chondrules, CAIs, mineral fragments, etc.). The composition of silicates and the size and abundance of chondrules suggest a close relationship to CM chondrites (Kerraouch et al., 2021). The carbon, nitrogen, and hydrogen isotopic values are also within the range of CM chondrites. The matrix is dominated by poorly crystalline phyllosilicate material, which could mean that Met-2 did not really experience intense aqueous alteration (Kerraouch et al., 2021).
In addition, the presolar grain (Fig. 20) identified during C and N isotopic measurements indicates that the unusual Met-2 lithology is very primitive material. This presolar grain originally condensed in a stellar atmosphere before entering the interstellar medium from which our Solar System formed.

The **CM1/2 lithology** presents a high abundance of matrix with a high degree of aqueous alteration. The mean size of relic chondrules (~250 µm) and their abundance, as well as the observed types of CAIs (e.g., dominance of spinel-diopside inclusions; MacPherson and Davis 1994) indicate a CM-related heritage. These observations are well supported by the oxygen isotope analysis, which is consistent with CM (Kerraouch et al., 2021). Additionally, only about 3 vol% of the lithology is olivine and pyroxene that occur in some relic chondrules or as clasts in the matrix surrounded by phyllosilicates, which indicates a low petrologic type. Evidence of extensive aqueous alteration in the CM1/2 lithology includes the formation of Mg-rich serpentine from Fe-rich cronstedtite (Kerraouch et al., 2021). The lack of tochilinite is probably a result of higher fO2 conditions (Zolensky et al., 1997).

The **C1 lithology** is mineralogically similar to xenolithic C1 clasts in many meteorites, including ordinary chondrites, ureilites, and HEDs (Howardite-Eucrite-Diogenite) (e.g., Brearley and Prinz, 1992; Zolensky et al., 1996; Patzek et al., 2018; Chan et al., 2018; Goodrich et al., 2019; Kebukawa et al., 2019c), but different from the unique C1 chondrite Flensburg (Bischoff et al., 2021). The phyllosilicate composition is not consistent with the CM lithologies in Aguas Zarcas, but is similar to that of the phyllosilicates in Almahata Sitta 91A, a C1 chondrite lithology of very unusual isotopic composition (Goodrich et al., 2019; Kerraouch et al., 2021). Thus, it is not clear whether the C1 lithology is directly related to CM chondrites. Only more detailed analyses of larger volumes of the Aguas Zarcas C1 lithology (and O isotopic compositions) will reveal potential relationships to other astromaterials.

### 4.2. CHEMICAL AND ISOTOPIC CHARACTERIZATION

Considering the chemical and isotopic aspects of the Aguas Zarcas lithologies, it is important to demonstrate differences by examining their oxygen isotopic compositions, which were first published by Kerraouch et al., (2021). These compositions (Fig. 21) clearly indicate that the lithologies formed in different environments and/or under very different conditions (e.g., water/rock ratios), or from initially different parent materials.
4.2.1. Bulk chemistry

Minor and trace elements are good parameters for discriminating between the major chondrite classes (Van Schmus and Hayes 1974; Kallemeyn and Wasson 1979, 1981). The concentration of most of these elements in both samples of Aguas Zarcas Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies show a good match with those of the median composition of CM chondrites reported by Braukmüller et al., (2018). However, the Met-1 lithology does show some differences.

For major elements, FeO and CaO concentrations are higher in the Met-1 lithology compared to the median composition of CM chondrites. The high value of FeO is related to the high abundance of metals and sulfides shown in the studied sections (PL19111 and PL19125), which represent about 3 vol% modal abundance, and also in the bulk XRD.

For the trace elements, both the Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies have about 30% and 40%, respectively, lower concentrations of Zn, Cu, and Pb compared to the concentrations of these elements in other CM chondrites (Braukmüller et al., 2018); but, they are still in agreement with values obtained for CM chondrites. The Met-1 lithology does show a significant depletion in Sc relative to the CMs (<6 ppm) and all other chondritic classes (Fig. 6, e.g., CI~5 ppm; Kallemeyn and Wasson 1981). Scandium is a highly refractory element concentrated in the CAIs; therefore, the CI chondrites contain lower amounts of Sc than the CM chondrites due to having few, if any, CAIs (Kallemeyn and Wasson 1981; Hezel et al., 2008). By applying these observations to our sample, the low value of Sc could be attributed to the Met-1 lithology’s low abundance of CAIs (<1 vol%); even so, the Sc value is still very low in this unusual carbonaceous chondrite.

Additionally, the Zn/Mn vs. Sc/Mn array has proven useful to distinguish samples belonging to different carbonaceous chondrite groups (Boynton, 1984). This array shows that both the Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies have a Zn/Mn ratio in agreement with CM chondrites. However, the Sc/Mn ratio of the Met-1 lithology is not consistent with any chondrite group (e.g. Fig. 5 in Kallemeyn and Wasson (1981)) due to its low concentration of Sc.

Finally, the REE patterns of the Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies match well with the mean of CM chondrites (except Gd in Met-1). In particular, Met-1 is in good agreement with the mildly altered CM chondrite Paris, which also contains a significant amount of metal (Hewins et al., 2014).

In summary, the bulk chemical composition of the Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies of Aguas Zarcas display a strong similarity with the CM chondrites. This confirms the CM1/2 lithology classification as a CM chondrite (Kerraouch et al., 2021). Yet, while the data for Met-1 may also suggest a close relationship with the CM group, a more precise classification
will only be possible upon considering further characteristics (such as isotopic compositions; see next section).

4.2.2. Clues to the origin and relationship of chondritic components inferred from Ti, Cr, and Te isotope systematics

Carbonaceous chondrites show excesses in neutron-rich Ti and Cr nuclides compared to non-carbonaceous meteorites (e.g., Warren, 2011). While most groups display restricted within-group isotope variability, different CC groups might be distinguished based on their Cr-Ti isotope signatures, which are controlled by variable abundances of isotopically diverse chondritic components (i.e., chondrules, matrix, refractory inclusions). The Ti and Cr isotope data obtained for both the CM1/2 and Met-1 lithology are consistent with those of CM chondrites and support their classification as CM chondritic lithologies. Nevertheless, the Met-1 lithology exhibits a systematically lower $\varepsilon^{50}$Ti isotopic composition compared to the CM1/2 lithology that plots on the very low end of the compositional field of CM chondrites and overlaps with the Ti isotopic composition of CR chondrites as well (Fig. 7b). Given that Ti is a refractory element, the Ti isotopic composition of a bulk sample is strongly dependent on the amount of $^{50}$Ti-rich CAI-like material incorporated into a meteorite (Trinquier et al., 2009). This suggests that the Met-1 lithology accreted less CAI-like material compared to what is typically observed for CM chondrites, consistent with the observed low abundance of CAIs (i.e., ~0.7 vol%) and concentrations of refractory elements (such as Sc).

In contrast, the Cr isotopic composition of bulk meteorite samples is only marginally affected by the amount of refractory material, but it varies as a function of volatile element depletion. Recently, Hellmann et al., (2020) showed that the Cr isotopic composition of carbonaceous chondrites is correlated with the amount of matrix, the volatile element content, and the mass-dependent isotopic composition of some volatile elements (e.g., Te, Zn). They concluded that all carbonaceous chondrites, except CRs, are mixtures of the same two components: volatile-rich, isotopically heavy, and $^{54}$Cr-rich CI-like dust (i.e., the matrix) and volatile-poor, isotopically light, and $^{54}$Cr-poor chondrules or chondrule precursors. The CM-like Cr isotope signature of the Met-1 lithology is accompanied by Te isotopic and elemental systematics indistinguishable from CM chondrites (Fig. 7c-d). As such, in a $\delta^{128/126}$Te-$\varepsilon^{54}$Cr diagram, Met-1 plots along the chondrule-matrix mixing line defined by CI, CM, CV, and CO chondrites as well as average CV, CO, CM chondrules. Our combined Cr and Te isotope data, therefore, suggest a close relationship between the Met-1 lithology and CM chondrites and imply that they contain comparable amounts of CI-like dust and that their chondrules formed from isotopically similar precursor material. Of note, chondrules in CR chondrites are enriched
in $^{54}$Cr relative to chondrules in CV, CO, and CM chondrites; thus, CR chondrites plot off the chondrule-matrix mixing line defined by the other CC groups in $\delta^{128/126}$Te versus $^{54}$Cr space. Given that the Met-1 lithology exhibits a significantly lower $\varepsilon^{54}$Cr value than CR chondrite chondrules, it probably contains few, if any, chondrules that formed from the same precursor material as CR chondrules. Overall, the Met-1 lithology appears to be closely related to CM chondrites, but it probably accreted low amounts of CAI-like material, as is evident from its relatively low $\varepsilon^{50}$Ti isotopic composition.

4.2.3. Evidence and conditions of aqueous alteration

Ca,Al-rich inclusions from chondritic meteorites are widely considered to represent the first solid objects that condensed in the solar nebula (e.g., Grossman 1980; MacPherson et al., 1988). They formed in a hot and reducing environment, in which their elemental compositions are the result of volatility-controlled processes (evaporation-condensation; e.g., Marrocchi et al., 2019). Further, the isotopic compositions of CAIs retain a component of presolar nucleosynthetic origin. Their oxygen isotopic compositions have been studied extensively to decipher conditions of CAI formation (e.g. Yurimoto et al., 1998; Ito et al., 2004) and, thus, to provide insights regarding the high-temperature evolution of the earliest phases of the Solar System (e.g., Clayton et al., 1977; Clayton 1993; Thiemens 1999; Ireland and Fegley 2000). However, if CAIs include carbonate minerals, they could be possible candidates of primitive carbonate condensed directly from the nebula, as shown by claims of carbonate detection in protoplanetary disks (Kemper 2002, Toppani et al., 2005). But, on the other hand, most CAIs have also had long and complex histories, including multiple episodes of impact brecciation, partial melting interspersed, in some cases, with parent body alteration (e.g., MacPherson and Davis 1993), which would have caused some primary phases to be transformed into secondary phases.

Thus, carbonate minerals in carbonaceous chondrites have usually been regarded as secondary products (e.g., Benedix et al., 2003; Vacher et al., 2017). Calcite has been previously described by Armstrong et al., (1982) and MacPherson et al., (1983) in Murchison CAIs, Greenwood et al., (1994) in Cold Bokkeveld CAIs and by Lee and Greenwood (1994) in Murray CAIs. MacPherson et al., (1983) concluded that the calcite formed by interacting with the solar nebula gases, whereas Armstrong et al., (1982), Greenwood et al., (1994) and Lee and Greenwood (1994) all favored the idea that the calcite formed in a parent body environment that had undergone aqueous alteration processes.
Given this uncertainty regarding how calcite forms in CAIs, we examined the oxygen isotopic composition of calcite grains within the different lithologies of Aguas Zarcas because the CAIs in the Met-1 lithology have an unusually high calcite abundance of about 50 vol% (Fig. 5a). The presence of a high abundance of calcite in CAIs and in an almost unaltered (or moderately altered) chondrite with a high abundance of metals is puzzling. The calcite in this lithology may have formed through nebula alteration as suggested in the study by MacPherson et al., 1983), or via parent body alteration as suggested in other studies (e.g., Armstrong et al., 1982; Greenwood et al., 1994; Lee and Greenwood, 1994). To answer this question and to understand the origin of the calcite within the CAI, it is also important to investigate the calcite grains present within its matrix as well as in other CM and CM-like lithologies of Aguas Zarcas to test whether they have the same origins.

The CAIs consisting of abundant calcite grains contain spinel and are mostly surrounded by Al-diopside. A thick phyllosilicate rim, as described in the results section, surrounds each entire CAI. The textural characteristics of the other phases such as spinel and Al-diopside in these CAIs also imply that replacement is unlikely to produce calcite on the parent body.

The oxygen isotopic compositions of the spinel (Fig. 5b) are similar to those of non-altered CAIs in other carbonaceous chondrites (e.g., Grossman 1980; MacPherson et al., 1988). They have a lower δ18O and δ17O and they distribute on the CCAM line, indicating that they were formed at a higher temperature. Conversely the calcites within CAIs show 17,18O-rich isotopic compositions, scattering under the TFL. Such values are close to the oxygen isotopic compositions of carbonates reported within CM matrices (Benedix et al., 2003; Verdier-Paoletti et al., 2017, 2019; Vacher et al., 2016; 2018). This indicates that calcite grains precipitated from 17,18O-rich fluids resulting from isotopic exchange between 16O-rich anhydrous silicates and 17,18O-rich fluids (Horstmann et al., 2014; Lindgren et al., 2017; Marrocchi et al., 2018). Similarly, the oxygen isotopic compositions of calcite grains within the matrix of Met-1 scatter under or on the TFL. As do the values of oxygen isotopic ratios of calcite grains within the matrix of Met-1, but with a lower slope (0.48). All the oxygen isotopic compositions of calcite within the matrix in the three CM-clasts and the CM1/2 lithology also show values closer to those of Met-1 in both the complex CAIs and in the matrix (Fig 4), which indicates that they result from secondary alteration processes that took place during the evolution of the parent body.

Considering the whole isotopic dataset of carbonates (Table S1), our data define a mass-dependent trend with δ17O = (0.52 ± 0.01) × δ18O – (1.2 ± 0.5). Such a trend is consistent with that defined by T1 calcites in other CM chondrites with δ17O = (0.53 ± 0.06) × δ18O – (1.2 ±
2.2) (Vacher et al., 2019). T1 calcites correspond to carbonate grains surrounded by Fe–S-rich serpentine/tochilinite (Pignatelli et al., 2016, 2017; Vacher et al., 2019). It has been shown that T1 calcite grains precipitate at relatively low temperatures ranging from 0°C to 50°C (Vacher et al., 2019), whereas serpentine-free T2 calcites form at higher temperatures (i.e., 100°C-150°C). Considering our data and the estimated oxygen isotopic compositions of the CM alteration fluids (Guo and Eiler, 2007), the precipitation temperature of each T1 calcite grain can be calculated according to the isotopic fractionation factor α (Watkins et al., 2013), which corresponds to the distance between the oxygen isotopic compositions of the fluid and carbonates in the three-oxygen isotope diagram (see Vacher et al., (2019) for further details). This estimation leads to precipitation temperatures of 0°C-50°C, consistent with previous studies (Vacher et al., 2019). Noticeably, only calcite grains measured within the matrix of the Met-1 lithology show O-isotopic values consistent with T2 calcites, which suggests a formation at a higher temperature ranging between 100°C and 150°C.

If the calcite grains were formed during aqueous alteration processes inside a parent body, they would have had to be widely separated in space at that time, and then they all would have to be re-accreted with other chondritic components to form a new parent body. This strongly supports the model of formation of Aguas Zarcas by impact-induced brecciation and re-accretion proposed by Kerraouch et al., (2021).

Finally, the variation in oxygen isotopic compositions obtained from carbonate grains within the different Aguas Zarcas lithologies is interpreted as reflecting changes over time in the oxygen isotopic compositions and/or temperatures of aqueous solutions; as such, they perfectly answer our previous question of how calcite formed in this lithology.

4.2.4. Organic matter and heating process

Organomagnesium compounds (CHOMg) have been shown to be markers of shock/heating history in the parent body (Ruf et al., 2017, Bischoff et al., 2019b (Fig. S6); Kerraouch et al., 2019, Matzka et al., 2021). High pressure and temperature events generally lead to a higher number of CHOMg and CHOSMg compounds together with a loss in chemical diversity, especially of nitrogen and sulfur compounds (Langbroek et al., 2019). The presence of almost double the amount of organomagnesium in the Met-1 lithology fraction but still with a high chemical diversity comparable to the Aguas Zarcas pre-rain matrix suggests that this lithology has undergone slight temperature stress or short-term temperature exposures. Interestingly, the presence of oxidized CHO compounds and the increase of polysulfidic CHOS compounds (Fig. 9d) indicate reductive conditions probably due to the higher metal content.
leading to a different redox steady state in the Met-1 lithology reflecting organo-mineral interactions possibly in aqueous environments. However, the overall molecular structures of organic matter in other Aguas Zarcas lithologies – namely the CM lithology (CR19-001), the Met-2 lithology, and the C1 lithology – generally agree with primitive CM/CI/CR chondrites revealed by IR, Raman, and C-XANES spectroscopic methods. The isotopic analysis also agrees with CM/CI chondrites, but it is not similar to CR chondrites, which have higher $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta$D (Alexander et al., 2007; Alexander et al., 2012). Although the CM, C1, and Met-2 lithologies can be well distinguished based on mineralogy and petrology, there are no large differences in the OM characteristics among these lithologies; this is not very surprising since bulk OM (or inorganic OM) in CI, CM, and CR chondrites are very similar, as seen by many spectroscopic methods including IR (Kebukawa et al., 2011; Orthous-Daunay et al., 2013), Raman (Busemann et al., 2007), XANES (Le Guillou et al., 2014), and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (Cody and Alexander, 2005). However, the OM is heterogeneously distributed at the micron scale, as shown by conventional FTIR and Raman mapping. The results obtained in AFM-IR show that this heterogeneous distribution appears to exist on the sub-micron scale with two possible distributions observed: one in which the OM is concentrated in small hot spots (< 500 nm) and the other in which the OM is more diffused and spread among the silicates. Isotopic heterogeneities are also observed in NanoSIMS $\delta^{13}$C images, suggesting a mixture of OM with different origins. At the sub-micron scale, OM mostly exists in the form of small particles of hundreds of nanometers, as observed by AFM-IR, STXM, and NanoSIMS. The C1 lithology, however, shows a somewhat homogeneous distribution of OM compared to the Met-2 and CM lithologies, suggesting a higher degree of aqueous alteration. Interestingly, the sulfur-bearing phase (likely tochilinite) and organic-rich phase do not overlap, as shown by NanoSIMS and Raman mapping. OM in CM chondrites (and similar groups) often coexists with phyllosilicates (e.g., Pearson et al., 2002; Kebukawa et al., 2010a; Le Guillou et al., 2014; Yesiltas et al., 2015; Yesiltas and Kebukawa, 2016; Kebukawa et al., 2019c). Although tochilinite is usually intergrown with cronstedtite in Aguas Zarcas, the organic-phyllosilicates association – at least phyllosilicates with tochilinite – is not always the case for this meteorite.

The heterogeneous distribution and particle-like structures of OM may imply a pre-accretional origin. In this case, refractory OM should have grown up to several hundreds of nanometers at some point before accretion into the Aguas Zarcas parent body, either in the proto-solar nebulae or in the molecular cloud. Some $^{15}$N-rich particles (hotspots) were observed by NanoSIMS which may be attributed to such pre-accretional origin (e.g., Terzieva and Herbst, 2000; Charnley and Rodgers, 2002). Although we could not obtain the $\delta$D values in these $^{15}$N-
rich particles (Met-2 (area#3 in Fig. 20a, Table 5)), the δD ratios in other areas were slightly higher than terrestrial values. Considering that the most primitive OM has higher δD up to ~30,000‰ (Busemann et al., 2006; Duprat et al., 2010), hydrogen in the Aguas Zarcas OM could have exchanged with the D-poor water during aqueous alteration (Alexander et al., 2007; Yabuta et al., 2007; Alexander et al., 2010). On the other hand, particle-like OM could be synthesized in the parent body from simple molecules such as formaldehyde and ammonia in the presence of liquid water with moderate concentrations (Cody et al., 2011; Kebukawa et al., 2013). In this scenario, the δD and δ15N values of OM would depend on the isotopic compositions of the original molecules as well as of the liquid water (Kebukawa et al., 2021). Currently, one cannot discriminate between a pre-accretional or post-accretional origin of the OM. Since the molecular structures of OM particles show some differences – aromatic rich or less aromatic – the OM particles could be mixtures of OM with different origins.

These Raman parameters of the three lithologies (CM, Met-2, and C1) of Aguas Zarcus plot well away from thermally metamorphosed carbonaceous chondrites such as Allende (CV) and Moss (CO). Thus, these three lithologies did not experience long-term thermal metamorphism. The high fluorescence background also excludes the possibility of having experienced a significant thermal event (Bertrand et al., 1986; Quirico et al., 2014). It is not easy to distinguish short-term heating by Raman spectra, i.e., the CM chondrite Y-793321, which experienced short-term heating to ~300°C – 500°C (Nakamura, 2005; Tonui et al., 2014), has similar D- and G-band parameters. However, the weakly heated chondrites were known to have slightly higher D-band positions compared to unheated ones (Quirico et al., 2018). In this regard, the D-band positions of these three lithologies of Aguas Zarcus overlap with Murchison, while Y-793321 has a slightly higher D-band position (Fig. 13). Thus, these three lithologies likely escaped from a short-term/weak heating event. The IR spectra indicate a possibility that partial heating may have occurred, since CM and Met-2 have little or no aliphatic C-H, which is sensitive to heating–aliphatics are degraded easily at around 250°C on timescales of minutes to hours (Kebukawa et al., 2010b). The C1 lithology and some regions of Met-2 show aliphatic C-H peaks with high CH2/CH3 ratios. This also implies the possibility of short heating, since OM from heated chondrites tends to have higher CH2/CH3 ratios (Kebukawa et al., 2011; Quirico et al., 2018). If these lithologies were subjected to short heating, the Raman parameters indicated that the heating must be lower than Y-793321, i.e., ~400°C (Nakamura, 2005; Tonui et al., 2014).
4.2.5. The metal-rich lithologies and their origin by mixing and re-accretion

As discussed in the previous section from the petrographic and chemical characteristics, the metal-rich lithology (Met-1) is a new and unique carbonaceous chondrite lithology that shares similarities with the CR and CM chondrite groups, but is distinct from both based on oxygen isotope data. Its degree of alteration is ambiguous on the whole. Addressing these issues, we propose a possible way to form the Met-1 lithology related to impact-induced brecciation and re-accretion.

1. Metal-1 lithology and its relationship with CM and CR chondrites

The metal-rich carbonaceous chondrites (MRCCs), including CR chondrites, are thought to have accreted late in the evolution of the protoplanetary disk (Krot et al., 2005; Bollard et al., 2015; Schrader et al., 2017; Budde et al., 2018). These chondrites are characterized by a high abundance of metal and extreme enrichments in $^{15}\text{N}$ (e.g., Bischoff et al., 1993; Krot et al., 2002), the latter of which is commonly observed in N-bearing gas phase species from dark clouds and collapsing cores in the interstellar medium (Hily-Blant et al., 2013, 2020). This suggests MRCCs accreted in a reservoir isolated from that of other thermally processed Solar System materials, which are thought to have formed in a reservoir located sunward of the MRCCs. Following the Van Kooten et al., (2020) study, CM chondrules may have formed in a reservoir spatially separated from CR chondrules. Further, Mg and Cr isotope data from Van Kooten et al., (2020) suggest that CR chondrites accreted later than CM chondrites. These authors hypothesized on the nature of the barrier separating the two reservoirs and speculated that the barrier isolating the MRCC from the CC reservoir involved the accretion of Saturn. This means that the components making up the Met-1 lithology were probably formed in two different reservoirs, separated by distance and/or time. This assumption makes the scenario of brecciation and re-accretion the best model to interpret the mixture of the two materials (CM- and CR-like or metal-rich parent body) to form the metal-rich lithology.

An impact-triggered scenario for the formation of the metal-rich lithologies of Aguas Zarcas was recently proposed by Kerraouch et al., (2021). Considering this assumption, the Met-1 lithology was probably formed by re-accretion of debris of two colliding bodies, a CM- and a CR-like (or metal-rich) parent body. Such a scenario would include three major formation stages:
(1) An old, altered CM parent body formed and continued to evolve in the CC reservoir.
(2) Subsequently, this parent body collided with a CR-like (or metal-rich) parent body, after crossing the Saturn barrier from the MRCC reservoir.
(3) The debris of both parent bodies resulting from this impact re-accreted together and formed the new Met-1 daughter body.

Since this body accreted from impact debris, this lithology must have been brecciated, as is observed (Fig. 1). This would also explain the co-existence of highly altered and unaltered material that evolved previously and are now found in close contact (e.g., metals and TCIs). The altered materials were already formed in the precursor CM parent body before being impacted, which is supported by the isotopic signatures considering the Cr and Te isotopic compositions. Based on the isotope data, the major portion of the re-accreted debris likely originated from the collided CM body. The other petrographic characteristics, such as the occurrence of large metal blobs (Fig. 3f) and chondrules with abundant metal and sulfides at their boundaries to the matrix (Fig. 22), may indicate an origin within a CR-related parent body.

During the re-accretion of the new metal-bearing daughter body the incorporated abundance of CAIs was small. This would explain the depletion in $^{50}$Ti in this lithology. This is well supported by the bulk oxygen isotopic composition. Figure 21 shows that this lithology is neither related to CM nor to CR chondrites, and plots significantly away from both fields.

2. Met-2 lithology linked to Met-1 lithology?

The mineralogical and petrological data presented above show that the Met-2 lithology share similar characteristics with the Met-1 lithology. An exception is the high degree of brecciation in the Met-2 lithology, which contains only very few intact chondrules and more altered objects. Some of these differences can be largely accounted for by the observation that Met-2 has experienced extensive brecciation coupled with aqueous alteration. During the re-accretion of the destroyed material resulting from the impact between a CM- and CR-like parent bodies, ice could also have been incorporated. Brecciation then could help to enhance the rate of alteration by breaking chondrules into smaller fragments with larger surface areas, accelerating the rate of dissolution by aqueous fluids. This explains the highly altered objects in Met-2 that are not present in Met-1 (e.g., Fig. 3a).

We, thus, suggest that Met-2 could be a result of re-accretion of fragments of objects (i.e., fragments of chondrules, metal, silicates, CAIs, etc.) and dust (perhaps phyllosilicates) during the re-accretion of the new metal-rich daughter body. This is supported by petrographic observations. Considering the metal grains, both lithologies share some characteristics of CR
chondrites (as shown in Fig. 3b, c, d). However, the abundance of metal within the Met-2 lithology is twice that of the Met-1 lithology, and the metal grain size in Met-1 is twice that of Met-2. This means that during the re-accretion of the metal-rich parent body, the metal incorporated within Met-2 was mostly in form of fragments of metal grains but having twice the abundance as in the case of Met-1.

Moreover, further observations concerning the size of the chondrule lead to the same conclusion. Compared to Met-1, chondrules within Met-2 are smaller (136 µm vs. 186 µm, respectively), and they are largely fragmented. In addition, there are no chondrules dust rims in Met-2. This may indicate that the chondrules could have lost their rims during the impact (similar observations are shown in Fig. 7 of Metzler et al., 1992). On the other hand, the Met-1 lithology contains unfractured chondrules with well-defined accretionary dust rims (Fig. 3e, f).

3. **Aqueous alteration: before and after brecciation?**

Thus, as stated above, the Met-2 lithology is related to the Met-1 lithology, although Met-2 exhibits more brecciated and strongly altered material. Since Met-2 experienced a generally homogeneous and more intense degree of aqueous alteration than Met-1, it cannot be ruled out that this is related to a very local post-brecciation alteration, perhaps due to the impact-induced melting of local ice grains.

The location of the aqueous alteration in altered chondrites is a controversial topic in the literature, particularly for CM chondrites (Brearley, 2006). Many different scenarios have been proposed, including pre-accretion aqueous alteration (nebular or on a pre-existing parent body that was destroyed; Metzler et al., al. 1992; Cyr et al., 1998; Bischoff 1998; Ciesla et al., 2003; Drake 2005), post-accretion aqueous activity (on the final parent body; Zolensky and McSween, 1988; Hanowski and Brearley, 2001), or combinations of both scenarios (Zolensky and McSween, 1988; Hanowski and Brearley, 2001). According to our observations it is likely that pre-brecciation aqueous alteration occurred within planetesimals that were subsequently destroyed, and these altered components were dispersed and re-accreted with relatively unaltered, anhydrous material to form present-day asteroids (Metzler et al., 1992; Bischoff, 1998). Therefore, all the altered material that is related to the CM part (e.g., carbonate, TCIs) predates the large-scale impact and re-accretion into the later metal-rich body. A further stage of post-re-accretion alteration then occurred, which slightly altered the metal in the Met-1 lithology and extended the alteration within the Met-2 lithology (as the Met-2 is more brecciated, it was more susceptible to this alteration than Met-1).
4.2.6. Impact, re-accretion, and formation of a third-generation parent body for Aguas Zarcas

Highly brecciated meteorites represent fragmented samples from a variety of parent bodies (e.g., Burbine et al., 2002; Zolensky and Ivanov, 2003; Bischoff et al., 2006, 2010; Horstmann and Bischoff, 2014, Goodrich et al., 2014; Kerraouch et al., 2019). They provide important information about the history and evolution of asteroids, including impact processes (Keil, 1982). The processes that lead to the mineralogical characteristics of a breccia may include i.a. processes of excavation of subsurface lithologies and mixing of diverse parent body lithologies, incorporation of material from the projectile, impact-related heating and metamorphism, melting, as well as subsequent re-accretion and lithification (e.g., Bischoff et al., 2006). In addition, the study of diverse breccias provides an important opportunity to investigate different types of clasts that do not occur as individual meteorites in our collections, such as new rock types, which certainly applies to the Aguas Zarcas meteorite.

The petrographic characteristics of the different lithologies within this meteorite show that each lithology represents distinct stages of formation and evolution, or rather belong to different parent bodies (Kerraouch et al., 2021). The chondrule sizes and abundances are only two examples. Chondrules are absent in the C1 lithology, while the CM1/2 lithology contains few (~2 vol%), and the CM clasts contain ~20 vol% chondrules (Fig. 1), which is typical for CM chondrites. The Met-1 lithology has a chondrule abundance of about 30 vol%. The chondrule sizes also differ among certain lithologies.

Considering aqueous alteration, the observed CM petrologic types vary from 1.0 to 2.8 in Aguas Zarcas (Rubin et al., 2007; Lentfort et al., 2021; Kerraouch et al., 2021). This means that each lithology experienced a different hydration period during its evolution.

In addition to petrographic differences, the lithologies also display different chemical and isotopic compositions. The large variations of their bulk oxygen isotopic compositions are shown by Kerraouch et al., (2021), and those findings clearly indicate that the different lithologies formed in different environments and/or under different conditions (e.g., water/rock ratios).

Finally, the OM signatures found here show that all the different Aguas Zarcas lithologies we studied experienced a short-term heating event or events in their evolution at a temperature up to ~400°C. This heating event could be linked to the impact-induced brecciation of the different fragments and their separation from their original bodies, followed by their final accretion in the new generation body Aguas Zarcas. Noble gas analyses of some Aguas Zarcas
CM lithologies by Davidson et al., (2020) show no evidence for solar wind implantation, indicating that these fragments did not originate from the surface of their original parent body(ies), which is a further clue for brecciation and mixing materials from deeper areas of an earlier parent body generation.

Meteorite breccias form during impacts between asteroids even at very low impact velocities without producing distinct visible shock features; impact velocities of above 0.5 to 1 km/s result in the formation of visible shock features like shocked and melted minerals (Stöffler et al., 1988; Bischoff and Stöffler, 1992). While impact velocities in the main asteroid belt due to mutual collisions currently range from 1 to 12 km/s with a mean of 5.3 km/s (Bottke et al., 1994), this was not the case for the Aguas Zarcas samples, as no strongly shocked minerals and melted components were observed. Since no olivines with planar fractures have been observed the shock pressure cannot have been in access of 5-10 GPa (max. C-S2; Stöffler et al., 2018). Therefore, we suggest that only low-velocity impacts were involved in the formation and evolution of Aguas Zarcas and its lithologies.

In summary, the petrographic, isotopic, and compositional differences among the various Aguas Zarcas fragments provide good arguments for a model concerning the formation of the last Aguas Zarcas parent body by impact-induced brecciation and re-accretion. Several precursor parent bodies may have been involved in these processes of impact brecciation, mixing, and re-assembly.

Finally, among many types of chondritic and achondritic breccias (e.g., Keil,1982, Bischoff et al., 2006, 2018) extreme examples exist with the samples of Kaidun (e.g., Zolensky and Ivanov, 2003) and Almahata Sitta (e.g., Bischoff et al., 2010; Horstmann et al., 2010; Horstmann and Bischoff, 2014; Goodrich et al., 2014). These samples are considered to be the most impressive and fascinating meteoritic breccias, consisting of abundant millimeter and sub-millimeter-sized fragments. A combination of different processes such as accretion, metamorphism, differentiation, brecciation, destruction, and re-accretion are certainly responsible for producing this kind of multifaceted polymict breccias. Zolensky and Ivanov (2003) suggest that Kaidun may derive from an especially large asteroid like Ceres or an unusually located one like Phobos, the largest moon of Mars. In this respect, Aguas Zarcas is also very different from other polymict CCs, in which different carbonaceous lithologies (e.g., CM, C1, metal-rich lithologies) are well consolidated in one thin section.
5. Conclusion

The Aguas Zarcas meteorite is a brecciated carbonaceous chondrite containing several different lithologies. The sharp boundary between the different adjacent lithologies makes the Aguas Zarcas meteorite a perfect breccia. Our new detailed studies, based on mineralogical, chemical, isotopic, and organic matter characteristics, show that each lithology is different from the others in its properties. The variations in their bulk oxygen isotopic compositions indicate that the different lithologies were formed in different environments and/or under different conditions (e.g., water/rock ratios). Each lithology has undergone a different degree of aqueous alteration, in which they were experienced different hydration periods during their evolution. This suggests that several precursor parent bodies may have been involved in these processes of impact brecciation, mixing, and re-assembly that led to form the second generation Aguas Zarcas body.

The Cr and Ti isotopic data for both the CM1/2 and Met-1 lithology are consistent with those of other CM chondrites, although Met-1 has a much lower $\varepsilon^{50}$Ti isotopic composition, which may be due to heterogeneities in the bulk meteorite samples on a larger scale and may reflect different abundances of refractory phases in the different Aguas Zarcas lithologies.

Furthermore, the OM signatures found here indicate that all of the different Aguas Zarcas lithologies have escaped significant heating events, but a short-term heating episode during their evolution cannot be excluded. If this was the case, the temperature was likely lower than $\sim$400°C. This heating event could be related to the impact-induced brecciation of the various fragments and their separation from their original bodies, followed by their final accretion in the body of the new and third generation Aguas Zarcas.
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Fig. 1: Backscattered electron (BSE) images of four thin sections show the brecciation of Aguas Zarcas. (a) Met-1 is in the upper part, and the brecciated CM chondrite with clasts of three CM subtypes are in the lower part (c). (b) Met-2 is on the left side. (d) The C1/2 lithology is in dark gray, and the CM lithology is in light grey. (e) The C1 lithology is on the left side at the bottom. Lines indicate the boundaries between the different lithologies.
Fig. 2: XRD pattern for the Met-1 lithology of Aguas Zarcas, showing the main identified phases. (Ol: Olivine; Pyx: Pyroxene; Srp: Serpentine; Mag: Magnetite; Met: Metal; Tch: Tochilinite; Cal: Calcites).
Fig. 3: BSE images showing some characteristics and similarities between the Met-1 and Met-2 lithologies: (a) A highly altered object within the Met-2 lithology, composed of Fe, Ni, S, Cr and Mn, surrounded by compact TCIs. (b, c, d) Large metal blobs within both lithologies Met-1 and Met-2, similar to those occurring in CR chondrites (e.g., Weisberg et al., 1993; Bischoff et al., 1993). These grains of metal contain pores filled with either olivine or phyllosilicates in both lithologies (shown by arrows; compare Kerraouch et al., 2021). (e) The chondrules in the Met-2 lithology are often fragmented, containing abundant metal grains and completely lacking accretionary dust rims (Metzler et al., 1992). (f) Rimmed chondrules within the Met-1 lithology show rounded shapes, with metal grains outside and inside the chondrules. The matrix of the Met-2 lithology frequently shows cracks and fractures, which are very rare within Met-1. Ka: Kamacite; Ol: Olivine.
Fig. 4: Oxygen isotope diagrams of calcite in Aguas Zarcas: (a) Met-1, (b) CAI within the Met-1, (c) three CM clasts from Met-1 (d) CM1/2 lithology. TFL= terrestrial fractionation line.
Fig. 5: (a) Elemental map of the complex CAI within the Met-1 lithology (PL19125). Calcite-rich, spinel-bearing CAIs; (b) O isotope diagram of calcite and spinel. Color code: Al (red; mainly indicating spinel), Ca (green; related to calcite), and Mg (blue; typical element within the dust rim and matrix). TFL= terrestrial fractionation line; CCAM= carbonaceous chondrite anhydrous mineral.
Fig. 6: Bulk composition of the metal-rich lithology of Aquas Zarcas compared to that of Flensburg (Bischoff et al., 2021) and the average (CM* and CR*) of other CM and CR chondrites (Braukmüller et al., 2018). For normalization, the CI values of Barrat et al., (2012) are used.
Fig. 7: Positions of the Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies in (a) $\varepsilon^{50}\text{Ti}$ vs. $\varepsilon^{46}\text{Ti}$, (b) $\varepsilon^{50}\text{Ti}$ vs. $\varepsilon^{54}\text{Cr}$, (c) $\delta^{128/126}\text{Te}$ vs. $1/\text{Te}$, and (d) $\delta^{128/126}\text{Te}$ vs. $\varepsilon^{54}\text{Cr}$ space. The Ti isotopic composition of Met-1 overlaps with data reported for CM and CR chondrites, while its Cr and Te isotopic compositions are similar to CM chondrites only. Titanium, Cr, and Te isotope data for the CM1/2 lithology imply a close genetic link to CM chondrites. Literature data for Ti and Cr were taken from the compilation published by Burkhardt et al., (2019), and the slope and intercept of the bulk meteorite regression in $\varepsilon^{50}\text{Ti}$ vs. $\varepsilon^{46}\text{Ti}$ space was taken from Trinquier et al., (2009). The blue and pink regions represent CM chondrites and CR chondrites, respectively, literature data from Torrano et al., (2021). The $\delta^{128/126}\text{Te}$–$1/\text{Te}$ mixing line defined by carbonaceous chondrite groups and Te literature data were taken from Hellmann et al., (2020). TL: Tagish Lake.
Fig. 8: FTICR-MS spectra detail in nominal mass 319 showing (a) the high abundance of signals in the Aguas Zarcas pre-rain sample, post-rain sample, and the metal-rich lithology Met-1. (b) The signature is similar between the pre- and post-rain samples, and a relative increase of polysulfidic compounds appears in the Met-1 fraction.
Fig. 9: FTICR-MS compositional profiling of the Aguas Zarcas pre-rain sample and the Met-1 lithology. (a) van Krevelen diagrams of the whole C, H, N, O, S compositional space (Color code as CHO=blue, CHNO=orange, CHOS=green, CHNOS=red; the bubble size indicates relative abundances of the molecular species). Also shown are the (b) organomagnesium compounds (CHOMg), (c) the van Krevelen diagram of the unique species in the metal-rich fraction Met-1, and (d) abundance of oxygen atoms in the CHO compounds and abundance of sulfur atoms in the CHOS compounds.
Fig. 10: Raman spectra recorded at 532 nm of carbon-rich spots (average of \( n \) spots from each lithology) in the CM lithology, Met-2, and C1 lithology from the Aguas Zarcas meteorite.
Fig. 11: The Raman D and G band parameters. (a) the D band peak position vs. the full width half-maximum (FWHM) of D band, (b) the G band peak position vs. FWHM of G band, (c) FWHM of G band vs. FWHM of D band, and (d) FWHM of D band vs. the peak intensity ratio of D and G bands ($I_D/I_G$). The data from Murchison (CM2), Tagish Lake (C2-ung), Allende (CV3.2), Moss (CO3.6), and Y-793321 (CM-heated) is from Kiryu et al., (2020).
Fig. 12: Infrared absorption spectra of the Aguas Zarcas meteorite. A sharp peak at 3680 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to phyllosilicate O–H; a broad peak at ~3400 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to adsorbed/interlayer water. The peaks at 2965, 2930, and 2860 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to C–H asymmetric stretching of CH\(_3\), C–H asymmetric stretching of CH\(_2\), and blended C–H symmetric stretching of CH\(_3\) and CH\(_2\), respectively. A peak at 1635 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to aromatic C=C and adsorbed/interlayer water. Peaks at 1490 and 1440 cm\(^{-1}\) are likely due to aromatic C=C and carbonate/aliphatic C–H bending, respectively. A large peak at ~1000 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to Si–O stretching of silicates. Note that the feature at ~2360 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to atmospheric CO\(_2\).
Fig. 13: Infrared spectroscopic mapping of the Met-2 lithology. (a) Optical microscope image of the mapping area. The pixel size is 50 × 50 μm². (b) Peak intensity map of Si–O at 1000 cm⁻¹ with a linear baseline between 1200-800 cm⁻¹. (c) peak intensity map of aliphatic C–H at 2930 cm⁻¹ with a linear baseline between 3000-2800 cm⁻¹. (d) IR spectra from areas #1 and #2.
Fig. 14: Raman mapping on the same microtome section as used for AFM-IR. (a) Raman mapping for the 350-200 cm\(^{-1}\) range (tochilinite). (b) Raman mapping for the 1650-1200 cm\(^{-1}\) range (organics). The region mapped with Raman is shown by a white rectangle overlaid on an optical image of the section with the Raman spectra at the region of interest (see text for details). The locations where the two AFM-IR maps were acquired are shown by light blue rectangles.
Fig. 15: AFM-IR study of a first area (1×3 microns) of Aguas Zarcas CM lithology: (a, b) IR maps at 1710 cm$^{-1}$ and 1600 cm$^{-1}$; (c, d) IR maps corresponding to the silicates at two different frequencies (1020 cm$^{-1}$ and 960 cm$^{-1}$); (e) composite image obtained by combining the IR mapping signals at 1600 cm$^{-1}$ and 1020 cm$^{-1}$; the yellow dotted circle highlights an area that appears richer in organic matter; (f) AFM topography (height) of the studied area.

Fig. 16: AFM-IR study of a second area (1×3 microns) of Aguas Zarcas CM lithology: (a, b) IR maps at 1600 cm$^{-1}$ (main signature of the organic fraction) and 1020 cm$^{-1}$ (main signature of the silicates); (c) composite image obtained by combining both signals from IR maps at 1600 cm$^{-1}$ and 1020 cm$^{-1}$; yellow dotted circles highlight areas that appear richer in organic matter; (d) AFM topography (height) of the studied area.
Fig. 17: Tapping AFM-IR spectra of two different positions in the Aguas Zarcas CM lithology, selected for their different responses in the AFM-IR maps. The localization of the spectra is indicated with corresponding colored arrows in Fig. 13. The blue curve is offset by a value of one for clarity. See text for details.
Fig. 18: (a, c, e) STXM C–Fe–O elemental maps of the FIB sections from Met-2, and (b, d, f) C-XANES spectra of the organic particles OM1 to OM9 (indicated by arrows in a, c, e). (g) STXM C–Fe–O elemental map of an FIB section from the CM lithology, and (h) C-XANES spectra of the organic particles OM10 to OM15 (indicated by arrows in g). (i) STXM C–Fe–O elemental map of an FIB section from the C1 lithology, and (j) C-XANES spectra of the organic particles OM16 to OM20 (indicated by arrows in i).
Fig. 19: (a) C-XANES C(=O)O (288.5-288.8 eV) over aromatic (285.0-385.3 eV) peak intensity ratios ($I_{\text{COO}}/I_{\text{aro}}$) and C=O (286.5-286.8 eV) over aromatic peak intensity ratios ($I_{\text{C}=\text{O}}/I_{\text{aro}}$). (b) C-XANES C(=O)O over aromatic peak intensity ratios ($I_{\text{COO}}/I_{\text{aro}}$) and aliphatic (287.5-287.6 eV) over aromatic peak intensity ratios ($I_{\text{ali}}/I_{\text{aro}}$). OM1 to OM9 are from Met-2, OM10 to OM15 are from CM lithology, and OM16 to OM20 are from C1 lithology. Condensed OM tends to be aromatic rich, and diffused OM tends to aromatic poor.
Fig. 20: NanoSIMS secondary ion images and isotopic ratio images of the Aguas Zarcas (a) Met-2 lithology and (b) C1 lithology
Fig. 21. Three-oxygen isotope diagram showing the isotopic regions of whole rock carbonaceous chondrites from Schrader et al., (2011) updated. The bulk compositions of the different Aguas Zarcas lithologies (data from Kerraouch et al., 2021) are shown with different symbols. CR chondrites data from Schrader et al., (2011) and CM chondrites data from Torrano et al., (2021). Terrestrial fraction line (TFL), Carbonaceous chondrite anhydrous mineral (CCAM) line, and Young and Russell (Y&R) line plotted for reference.
Fig 22. (a) Chondrule from CR chondrite Acfer 209 (reflected light) in comparison with (b) chondrule from Met-1 lithology of Aguas Zarcas (reflected light) showing similarity between the metal-rich lithology (Met-1) and CR chondrites. Both chondrules surrounded by metal with some metal grains inside as well.
Table 1: Summary of the different lithologies of Aguas Zarcas studied here with their applied analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lithology</td>
<td>Met-1</td>
<td>Met-2</td>
<td>CM1/2</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM/EPMA</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O/CO₂</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMS (O)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICPMS</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti isotopes</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr isotopes</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te isotopes</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOM</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raman</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFM-IR with Raman</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STXM/C-XANES</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NanoSIMS</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: General characterization of the different lithologies of Aguas Zarcas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Met-1</th>
<th>Met-2</th>
<th>CM1/2</th>
<th>CM</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metal and sulfides (vol.%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>Few ¯µm-sized sulfides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average chondrule sizes (µm)</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>~270</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondrule abundance (vol.%)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix abundance (vol.%)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>~70</td>
<td>~90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAIs abundance (vol.%)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accretionary rims</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td>Fa0.65</td>
<td>Fa0.50</td>
<td>Fa0.53</td>
<td>Fa0.60</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene</td>
<td>Fs0.17  En60-99</td>
<td>Fs0.17  En60-99</td>
<td>Fs10.8  En60</td>
<td>Fs-2En-60</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCIs (alteration index)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.6-2.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: The bulk chemical composition of Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies compared to those of Flensburg (Bischoff et al., 2021) and the average compositions of other CM and CR chondrites (Braukmüller et al., 2018). Oxides are given in wt%; all other element concentrations in ppm (µg/g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICP-SFMS (wt.%)</th>
<th>Met-1</th>
<th>CM1/2</th>
<th>Flensburg</th>
<th>CM*</th>
<th>CR*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>31.21</td>
<td>27.40</td>
<td>28.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>20.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICP-MS (ppm)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>2.522</td>
<td>7.472</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>66.88</td>
<td>62.97</td>
<td>65.40</td>
<td>67.30</td>
<td>72.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1720.7</td>
<td>1495.4</td>
<td>1568.0</td>
<td>1775.0</td>
<td>1834.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>566.9</td>
<td>511.6</td>
<td>536.0</td>
<td>597.0</td>
<td>644.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>158.3</td>
<td>149.9</td>
<td>154.0</td>
<td>197.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>1.634</td>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>1.700</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>1.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>8.752</td>
<td>7.035</td>
<td>10.330</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2.185</td>
<td>1.901</td>
<td>2.260</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>4.968</td>
<td>4.291</td>
<td>4.910</td>
<td>4.730</td>
<td>5.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>2.932</td>
<td>2.773</td>
<td>3.240</td>
<td>2.980</td>
<td>3.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>0.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
<td>Median CM Data (n=14) of Braukmüller et al., (2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>0.036 0.038 0.035 0.039</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.226 0.235 0.229 0.266</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.035 0.029 0.035 0.035 0.038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.153 0.119 0.152 0.139 0.152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0.020 0.015 0.019 - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>1.233 1.112 1.166 1.500 0.466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.033 0.029 0.043 0.037 0.040</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.010 0.008 0.010 0.009 0.010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Ti, Cr, and Te isotopic compositions of the Met-1 and CM1/2 lithologies of Aguas Zarcas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>N (Ti)</th>
<th>$\epsilon^{46}$Ti ($\pm$ 2σ)</th>
<th>$\epsilon^{48}$Ti ($\pm$ 2σ)</th>
<th>$\epsilon^{50}$Ti ($\pm$ 2σ)</th>
<th>N (Cr)</th>
<th>$\epsilon^{53}$Cr ($\pm$ 2σ)</th>
<th>$\epsilon^{54}$Cr ($\pm$ 2σ)</th>
<th>Te (ng/g)</th>
<th>$\delta^{128/126}$Te ($\pm$ 2 s.d.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM1/2</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met-1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 5: Average isotopic compositions of the entire analyzed regions and C-rich regions in the Aguas Zarcas Met-2 and Cl lithologies obtained by NanoSIMS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Met-2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>δ(^{13})C (‰)</td>
<td>δ(^{15})N (‰)</td>
<td>δD (‰)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area#1</td>
<td>Entire</td>
<td>11.7 ± 5.7</td>
<td>25.0 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-rich</td>
<td>14.5 ± 6.7</td>
<td>17.7 ± 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>δ(^{13})C-anomalous</td>
<td>2108 ± 305</td>
<td>166 ± 294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area#2</td>
<td>Entire</td>
<td>8.0 ± 4.3</td>
<td>15.8 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-rich</td>
<td>10.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>38.9 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area#3</td>
<td>Entire</td>
<td>-29.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>41 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-rich</td>
<td>-31.5 ± 4.7</td>
<td>42 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-rich</td>
<td>-12.3 ± 7.7</td>
<td>48.4 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(^{15})N-hotspot #1</td>
<td>46.7 ± 31.4</td>
<td>791.9 ± 95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>-6 ± 32</td>
<td>505 ± 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#3</td>
<td>-153 ± 63</td>
<td>636 ± 141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>166 ± 131</td>
<td>577 ± 161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#5</td>
<td>49 ± 55</td>
<td>464 ± 97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cl lithology</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area#1</td>
<td>C-rich</td>
<td>-30.7 ± 10.1</td>
<td>-10.2 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area#2</td>
<td>C-rich</td>
<td>-19.5 ± 4.4</td>
<td>-7.8 ± 8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area#3</td>
<td>C-rich</td>
<td>-43.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>-11.3 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*errors are 1σ
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Electron microscopy and Electron microprobe analysis (EPMA)

The samples were characterized by SEM/EDS at the E-Beam Laboratories of the NASA Johnson Space Center and at the Institut für Planetologie (IfP), University of Münster. We used a JEOL 6610-LV electron microscope (SEM) at the Interdisciplinary Center for Electron Microscopy and Microanalysis (ICEM) at the University of Münster was used to study the petrography and mineralogy of some samples. For quantitative analysis, samples and appropriate mineral standards were measured at an excitation voltage of 20 kV, and the beam current constancy was controlled by a Faraday cup. The attached EDS system was used for chemical characterization and analyses of the different mineral constituents (e.g., silicates, sulfides, and metals). Olivine (Mg, Fe, Si), jadeite (Na), plagioclase (Al), sanidine (K), diopside (Ca), rutile (Ti), chromium-oxide (Cr), rhodonite (Mn), Co-metal (Co), and pentlandite (Ni, S) were used as natural and synthetic standards. We used the INCA analytical program provided by Oxford Instruments for these analyses.

Some samples were imaged and analyzed at Astromaterials Research and Exploration Science (ARES) Office, NASA JSC (Houston), using a JEOL 7600-FE scanning electron microscope and JEOL 8530-FE electron microprobe. Natural mineral standards were used. Raman analyses were performed using the Ratatoskr instrument at ARES, which is a WITec alpha-300R customized for Raman imaging at square-centimeter scales. Analyses were performed using a 488 nm excitation laser.

Most quantitative mineral analyses were obtained using a JEOL JXA 8530F electron microprobe (EPMA) at the Institut für Mineralogie (University of Münster), which was operated at 15 kV and a probe current of 15 nA. These natural and synthetic standards were used for wavelength dispersive spectrometry: jadeite (Na), kyanite (Al), sanidine (K), chromium oxide (Cr), San Carlos olivine (Mg), hypersthene (Si), diopside (Ca), rhodonite (Mn), rutile (Ti), fayalite (Fe), apatite (P), celestine (S), and NiO (Ni).

For analyses of the fine-grained materials (tochilinite-cronstedtite intergrowths (TCIs), matrix, dust rims) of the Met-1 and brecciated CM lithologies of Aguas Zarcas the concentrations of the following elements were obtained: Na, K, S, Mg, Al, Si, P, Ca, Cr, Ti, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni. Oxygen was measured separately and calculated later for each element as an oxide in wt% for
comparison. The analyses were done with variable spot sizes between 5–20 μm depending on
the different sizes of TCIs and rims.

Additional quantitative mineral analyses were obtained using a JEOL JXA 8530F electron
microprobe (EPMA) were made at 15kV and 20nA at the ARES E-Beam Laboratories.

**Modal Analysis by X-ray Diffraction**

Mineral phases in Met-1 were initially characterised using a PANalytical X’Pert Pro scanning
X-ray diffractometer (XRD) at the Natural History Museum (NHM), London. Approximately
1 mg of powdered sample was mixed with acetone and smeared onto a zero-background
substrate. XRD patterns were then collected from the sample using Co Kα radiation from 5 -
70° (2θ) with a step size of 0.02° and time/step of 0.5 seconds. The mineral phases in the sample
were identified by comparing diffraction peaks to the International Centre for Diffraction Data
(ICDD) database (PDF-2).

The modal mineralogy of a ~50 mg powdered aliquot of Met-1 was determined using an Enraf-
Nonius PDS120 XRD with an INEL curved 120° position-sensitive-detector (PSD) in a static
geometry relative to the primary X-ray beam and sample. The X-ray beam (Cu Kα1 radiation)
was restricted to 0.24 × 2.00 mm and set at an incident angle of 3.4° to the flat top of the sample
which was rotated throughout the measurement. The sample of Met-1 was analysed for 16
hours, while standards of minerals known to be present in the meteorite were analysed under
the same experimental conditions for 15 minutes. Mineral abundances were calculated using a
profile-stripping method, previously applied to CM chondrites, where the intensities of the
mineral standard diffraction patterns were scaled to match the meteorite pattern and then
subtracted to produce a residual with zero counts (e.g Howard et al., 2009, 2015; King et al.,
2017).

**Oxygen isotopes by SIMS**

Oxygen and Mn-Cr isotope compositions in calcite and spinel were determined using the
 Cameca IMS1280-HR ion microprobe at Heidelberg University (HIP). For oxygen isotopes we
used a ~ 1.3 nA, 20 keV Cs⁺ primary ion beam with a raster size of 6 μm (8 μm during pre-
sputtering). Negative secondary ions were accelerated to 10 keV. The secondary ion image was
limited to 15 μm, the dynamic transfer optical system (DTOS) was activated and sample
charging was compensated with the electron gun (NEG). ¹⁶O, ¹⁷O and ¹⁸O were detected
simultaneously in three Faraday cup detectors. The nominal mass resolving power for ¹⁶O and
¹⁸O was 2500 and 7000 for ¹⁷O. The contribution of ¹⁶OH⁻ on the ¹⁷O peak was negligible at
Secondary intensities for $^{16}$O and $^{17}$O were $\sim 1.5 \times 10^9$ cps and $\sim 6 \times 10^5$ cps, respectively. Prior to each analysis the secondary beam was centered automatically in the field aperture (X and Y) and the entrance slit (X only). Including the time for beam centering the analyses started after a total pre-sputtering time of 90 s and each analysis had 25 cycles with 8 s integration time per cycle. The internal precision reported is the standard deviation of the mean value of the isotope ratios. The baseline of the Faraday cup amplifiers was determined seperately with an integration time of 200 s several times per session.

NBS19 limestone (NIST RM 8544, $\delta^{18}$O$_{VSMOW} = +28.65 \, \text{‰}$, Brand et al., 2014) was used as reference material for the calibration of the calcite oxygen isotope analyses. For $\delta^{17}$O$_{VSMOW}$ we assumed a value of $+14.85 \, \text{‰}$ which was calculated using the mean $\Delta^{17}$O value from Passey et al., (2014) and Barkan et al., (2015). The reference material was on a separate sample holder and was analysed prior to and during the analytical session. The spinel analyses were calibrated \textit{post hoc} using a Burma spinel with $\delta^{18}$O$_{VSMOW} = +28.39/ \, \text{‰}$ and $\delta^{17}$O$_{VSMOW} = +14.77 \, \text{‰}$ (laser flourination data). The repeatability (1sd) for $\delta^{17}$O, $\delta^{18}$O and $\Delta^{17}$O of the calcite calibration was 0.33 \, \text{‰}, 0.14 \, \text{‰} and 0.34 \, \text{‰}, respectively. For spinel, the repeatability (1sd) was 0.63 \, \text{‰}, 0.09 \, \text{‰} and 0.61 \, \text{‰}, respectively.

**Water contents and C-concentrations**

The analyses of the water contents and C-concentrations were performed only for the Met-1 (Metal-rich lithology-1).

Water analysis of the Met-1 was performed at the Institute for Geology, Mineralogy and Geophysics, Ruhr-University Bochum using a Mitsubishi CA 200 moisturemeter. For three analyses about 3 mg of the crushed sample were heated in an HF-oven to 1000 °C; the gaseous components were then conducted into the titration cell where the water content was measured by the Karl-Fischer-method. The instrument was tested with an internal laboratory standard (5 wt\% H$_2$O) prior and after the measurements. The precision of this method is 5 \% relatively.

Determination of the CO$_2$ content was done using the C/S 500 instrument of ELTRA with an IR-detector. A sample of 23 mg was heated in an oxygen atmosphere up to 1100°C. All carbon present reacts to form CO$_2$ which is transported in an oxygen flow towards the detector ($C_{total}$).

**Bulk chemical analysis**
Two samples of the meteorites Aquas Zarcas, one from the Met-1 of about 0.2 g, and the second from CM1/2 lithology were crushed and homogenized. The chemical composition of the bulk samples were obtained by using ICP-AES (for Al, Fe, Mn, Mg, Na, Cr, Co, and Ni) and ICP-SFMS. The bulk compositions using ICP were performed at the Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de Bretagne Occidentale in Plouzané, France.

**Titanium, Cr, and Te isotope analyses**

Titanium and Cr isotope measurements were performed on the Met-1 and C1/2 lithology of Aguas Zarcas., while the Te isotopic composition was measured only for the Met-1 lithology.

**Titanium isotope analyses**

Powdered aliquots of the CM1/2 (~48.2 mg) and Met-1 (~15.4 mg) lithology were digested in HF-HNO$_3$-HClO$_4$ (2:1:0.05) on a hotplate at 180–200 °C for five days and in aqua regia (HCl-HNO$_3$) at 130–150 °C for another two days. Thereafter, the samples were dissolved in 12 M HNO$_3$, and ~65 mg H$_3$BO$_3$ were added. Ti was separated from the sample matrix via a two-stage anion exchange chromatography adapted from the previously established procedure from Zhang et al., (2011). In a first step, the sample solutions were loaded onto columns prepacked with 2 ml TOGDA® anion exchange resin, where Ti was eluted in 20 ml 12 M HNO$_3$–1 wt.% H$_2$O$_2$. Afterwards, the Ti cuts were dissolved in 2.5 ml 4 M HF and loaded onto clean-up columns filled with 0.8 ml Bio-Rad® AG1-X8 anion exchange resin, where Ti was eluted in 6 ml 9 M HCl–0.01 M HF.

Titanium isotope measurements were performed in two lines using a Thermo Scientific® Neptune Plus MC-ICPMS in high resolving power mode (Zhang et al., 2011). Solutions containing about 600 ppb Ti in 0.3 M HNO$_3$ – 0.0014 M HF were introduced through a Cetac Aridus II desolvating system, resulting in a ~3.5×10$^{-10}$ A ion beam on $^{48}$Ti. Measurements consisted of a 30 s baseline measurement (deflected beam) followed by 40 isotope ratio measurements of 4.2 s each. Mass bias was corrected using the exponential law and $^{49}$Ti/$^{47}$Ti = 0.749766. The Ti isotope anomalies are reported as parts per ten thousand deviation (ε-notation) from the terrestrial OL-Ti bracketing standard (Millet and Dauphas, 2014). The sample uncertainty is reported as the Student-t 95% confidence interval (95% CI) based on repeated analyzes of the sample solution.

**Chromium isotope analyses**
Chromium was collected during the first step of the two-stage anion exchange chromatography used for the separation of Ti, where Cr is eluted in 25 ml 12 M HNO₃ (+ trace H₃BO₃) together with most other matrix elements. Aliquots (equivalent to ~30 µg Cr) were taken from this solution, dried down, and redissolved in 1 ml 6 M HCl.

Afterwards, Cr was separated from the sample matrix using a single-stage anion exchange chemistry for the removal of Fe (Bio-Rad® AG1-X8 anion exchange resin), followed by a two-stage cation exchange chromatography (Bio-Rad® AG50W-X8 cation exchange resin) as described by Schneider et al., (2020), including a four-day conversion of Cr[III]Cl₃/Cr[II]Cl₂ to Cr³⁺.

Chromium isotope measurements were performed using a Thermo Scientific® Triton Plus Thermal Ionization Mass Spectrometer in static mode. The sample solutions (containing ~500 ppm Cr in 6 M HCl) were loaded on 4-6 filaments and each filament was measured multiple times, with total ion beam intensities of ~1.4×10⁻¹⁰ A on ⁵²Cr. Instrumental mass fractionation was corrected assuming a constant ⁵⁰Cr/⁵²Cr = 0.051859 and using the exponential law. The data are reported in εᵢCr values (ᵢ = 53, 54) as the parts per ten thousand deviation from the terrestrial NIST SRM3112a Cr standard. The sample uncertainty is reported as the Student-t 95% confidence interval (95% CI) based on repeated analyses.

Organic matter

We studied organic matter in four lithologies of Aguas Zarcas, but with different methods. A small fragment of Met-1 was sent to the Research Center for Environmental Health, Muenchen, German, and has been studied by Soluble organic matter analysis method. Met-2, C1 lithology and CM chondrite lithology, have been studied by a combination of several methods in Japan at the Faculty of Engineering, Division of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Yokohama National University and the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science Technology (JAMSTEC), in collaboration with other laboratories in other countries. But the results were all consistent.

Soluble organic matter analysis

The soluble organic matter (SOM) was analyzed following the same procedures used previously to enable a comparison of the compositional profiles (Schmitt-Kopplin et al., 2010; Popova et al., 2013), and the data were obtained from solid specimen with a weight of a very few mg. The small fragment Aguas Zarcas CM chondrite (pre-rain and post-rain) as well of its
metal-rich lithology (Met-1) were washed with LC/MS grade methanol (Fluka) to remove surface contamination; this washing fluid was discarded. All fragments were consecutively crushed and ground in an agate mortar with an agate pestle for ~20 sec under 400µl LCMS grade methanol. The solutions were centrifuged at 16000 rpm for 3 minutes. The obtained supernatant was directly used for ultra-high resolution mass spectrometry as described initially (Schmitt-Kopplin et al., 2010). Briefly we used a Bruker Solarix 12 Tesla Fourier transform (FT) ion cyclotron resonance (ICR) mass spectrometer (MS) located at the Helmholtz Zentrum, Munich, Germany. The resolution (> 400,000 at m/z 400) and the mass error (< 0.2 ppm) were sufficiently precise to compute exact molecular formulae in the C, H, O, N, S, Mg space. The van Krevelen or elemental diagrams were used to visualize the chemical space of FT-ICR MS data by plotting assigned molecular formulas according to their hydrogen to carbon (H/C), m/z and oxygen to carbon (O/C) ratios.

Raman spectroscopy

Raman analysis and peak fitting were conducted following the procedure described in Kiryu et al., (2020). The samples were pressed on clean Au or KBr substrates and analyzed using a Raman microspectrometer (RAMANtouch; Nanophoton) at JAMSTEC, Yokosuka, with a 532 nm laser. The spot size was <1 µm using a 100× objective with the numerical aperture of 0.90, and the laser power at the sample surface was <700 µW. The spectral range was 100–2600 cm\(^{-1}\) with a 600 grooves/mm grating. The exposure time for each spectrum was 20 s and two accumulations were obtained for each analytical spot to permit discarding of cosmic ray events in the detector. At least 10 spectra were collected at carbon-rich regions from each sample. The Raman shift was calibrated daily using a silicon wafer prior to analyses. The peak positions, the FWHM, and the peak intensity ratio \((I_D/I_G)\) of D and G bands (~1355 cm\(^{-1}\) and ~1585 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively) were determined by peak fitting to the Lorentzian and BWF (Breit–Wigner–Fano), respectively—so called L–BWF model Ferrari and Robertson (2000)—with a linear baseline correction between 900-1800 cm\(^{-1}\).

Raman mapping measurements were also performed on the same microtome section as the one used for AFM-IR measurements (see AFM-IR section), using a DXR Raman microspectrometer, with a laser at 532 nm at 500 µW power. The calibration was achieved on a silicon wafer, and checked to be within 1 cm\(^{-1}\) with a diamond feature at 1332 cm\(^{-1}\) for measurements on the diamond substrate. A ×100 objective with a numerical aperture of 0.90 was used to map a rectangle area of 11 microns by 43 microns, along the largest dimension of the section. A one micron step sampling was used (for a total of 473 spectra covering the 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) to 150 cm\(^{-1}\)
range), each with 2 scans of 10 s per spot; the total integration including displacements took slightly less than 3 hours. The spectra were corrected by subtracting the relative contribution of the substrate in regions where the sample is partly transparent or on the border of the map, recording the substrate surface signal.

**IR spectroscopy**

FTIR analysis was conducted following the procedure described in (Kebukawa et al., 2020). A small amount of the clast was pressed on KBr plates (~5 \times 5 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3). IR absorption spectra were collected using a micro-FTIR (JASCO FT/IR-6100+IRT-5200), equipped with a ceramic IR light source, a germanium-coated KBr beam splitter, a mercury-cadmium-teuilde (MCT) detector, and \times16 Cassegrain mirrors, at Yokohama National University. A total of 256-512 scans of IR transmission spectra were accumulated with a wavenumber resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\), in the wavenumber range of 7000-400 cm\(^{-1}\), with a 20 \times 20 \mu m^2 aperture. For the mapping measurements, a total of 64 scans of IR transmission spectra were accumulated with a wavenumber resolution of 8 cm\(^{-1}\), with a 50 \times 50 \mu m^2 aperture at each point. Background spectra were acquired through blank areas of the KBr adjacent to the samples.

**AFM-IR**

Sulfur embedded ultramicrotomed thin-sections from CM lithology (CR19-001) were prepared for AFM-IR. A meteorite grain was embedded in a molten (115°C) sulfur droplet with a glass needle. The sulfur droplet subsequently solidified and was then attached onto an epoxy stub using glue. The sulfur droplet was sliced into ~100 nm-thick sections with a Leica ultramicrotome using a DIATOME diamond knife. The sections were floated onto deionized water and transferred to a diamond substrate (Diamond Express II, S. T. Japan). We performed AFM-IR analysis using a NanoIR2 system from Bruker. In this setup, the IR beam was focused on the topside of the sample onto the AFM cantilever. The system was coupled to a multi-chip quantum cascade laser source (MIRcat, Daylight Solutions; tunable repetition rates range of 0–2 MHz; spectral resolution of 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\)) that covers a portion of the mid-IR range, from 1900 cm\(^{-1}\) to 900 cm\(^{-1}\). The data were acquired using the tapping AFM-IR mode described in Mathurin et al., (2018). The probes used were tapping AFM-IR cantilever with a resonance frequency at 75 kHz, gold-coated to avoid artifact effects due to the silicon IR absorption. The IR-mapping acquisition parameters were 0.2 Hz scan rate and a 3.33 nm step size for all wavenumbers. The AFM-IR maps were recorded at several selected frequencies, targeted to sample organics (1710 and 1600 cm\(^{-1}\)) and silicates (1020 and 960 cm\(^{-1}\)). Composite
two colour maps combining the 1600 cm$^{-1}$ and 1020 cm$^{-1}$ maps were built to compare the spatial distribution of organics and silicates. Before combining them, individual images were realigned to compensate for possible small drifts between consecutive AFM-IR map recordings. The realigning was done using an algorithm maximising the spatial correlation on the topography of the sample. Because the silicate signal is dominating in absolute intensity, and thus contrast, each colour image was normalized by setting the maximum signal to unity before combining them. Local spectra were acquired at a fixed position by tuning the laser at the different wavenumbers covering 1900 cm$^{-1}$ to 900 cm$^{-1}$ with a 1 cm$^{-1}$ step. Spectra presented here are average of eight individuals spectra obtained at each position.

**FIB for STXM**

We have chosen typical area in matrix of Aguas Zacas for preparing ultra-thin sections utilizing two FIBs (Hitachi Tech SMI-4050 and SMJ-4000L) at the Kochi Institute of Core Sample Research, JAMSTEC. The sections (~10 × 10 × 0.1 µm) were extracted using an FIB SMI-4050 with a Ga+ ion beam at 30 kV then finished with lower accelerating voltage of 5 kV. These sections were mounted on a commercial FIB grid. Subsequently, the sections were transferred into an FIB-SEM SMJ-4000L to remove damaged layers on surface of the sections with broad Ar-ion beam at 1 kV (Kodama et al., 2020).

**STXM**

Carbon X-ray absorption near-edge structure (C-XANES) analyses were performed using the scanning transmission X-ray microscopes (STXM) at BL-13A of the Photon Factory, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK) (Takeichi et al., 2016) and BL4U at UVSOR Synchrotron (Ohigashi et al., 2013). Measurement conditions were mostly similar to these described in Kebukawa et al., (2019b); Kebukawa et al., (2020). The elemental maps were obtained by acquiring pairs of images below ($I_L$) and on the absorption edges ($I_H$), at 280 and 292 eV, respectively for C K-edge, 525 eV and 539 eV for O K-edge, and 705 eV and 709 eV for Fe L$\text{_3}$-edge, with a dwell time of 5 ms, and taking the $-\ln(I_H/I_L)$ for each pixel, with 0.1-0.2 µm steps per pixel. The C K-edge-XANES spectra were acquired with the energy step sizes ($\Delta E$) of 0.1 eV in 283-295.5 eV region, 0.5 eV in 280-283 eV and 295.5-301.0 eV regions, and 1 eV in 301-320 eV region, with a dwell time of 3-7 ms and 0.1-0.2 µm steps per pixel. Three-point smoothing was applied to the raw C-XANES spectra to reduce noises. STXM/XANES data analysis was performed using a software aXis2000 (http://unicorn.mcmaster.ca/aXis2000.html).
Small fragments Met-2 of Aguas Zarcas were pressed on Au thin foil. Matrix areas on the thin sections were chosen for analysis by a raster ion imaging with the JAMSTEC NanoSIMS 50L at Kochi Institute for Core Sample Research, JAMSTEC. A typical measurement involved rastering a focused positive Cs primary beam (~200 nm, ~3 pA) across 56 × 56 or 60 × 60 µm² areas (512 × 512 pixels) for 20 cycles with an acquisition time of 5 ms/pixel (1,310 sec/frame). The ¹²C, ¹³C, ¹⁶O, ¹²C¹⁴N, ¹²C¹⁵N and ³²S were measured as negative secondary ions simultaneously in six electron multipliers. Secondary electrons were detected along with the secondary ions. The ion images allow for the regions of organic matter in the fragment as well as their C and N isotopic ratios. The ¹⁶O enable the outline of silicates or oxides in the fragment.

In a separate analysis, the H isotopes (¹H and ²D) and ¹²C were subsequently measured as negative secondary ions together with secondary electron. A focused Cs+ primary beam (~200 nm, ~3 pA) was rastered over 50 × 50 or 60 × 60 µm² areas and 512 × 512 pixel images were acquired for 20 cycles with an acquisition time of 5 ms/pixel (1,310 sec/frame).

Each measurement was only started after stabilization of the secondary ion intensities following a pre-sputtering procedure of approximately 10 min. The sample was coated with a 10 nm Au thin film to mitigate electrostatic charging on the surface. The final images were generated from regions that had statistically enough counts. The OM regions were chosen by distributions of ¹²C within a section applying 10% threshold of total ¹²C ion counts. The H, C and N isotopic compositions of organic matter in the measured areas were calculated following analytical routines using a standard organic material (1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate; C₆H₅N₃O·ₓH₂O: HOBT) (Ito et al., 2014).
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### Supplemental Materials

Table S1: Oxygen Isotopic Compositions of Calcite Grains in the Met-1 lithology and CM Chondrites (CM-clast1, CM-clast2, CM-clast3 and CM1/2 lithology).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Met-1 (CAL)</th>
<th>δ¹⁷O</th>
<th>2sd</th>
<th>δ¹⁸O</th>
<th>2sd</th>
<th>Δ¹⁷O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>FeO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@0</td>
<td>+16.90</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+36.28</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@2</td>
<td>+16.70</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>+35.88</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@5</td>
<td>+18.35</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>+36.49</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@8</td>
<td>+15.63</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>+33.44</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@9</td>
<td>+17.78</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+37.45</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@10</td>
<td>+14.09</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>+32.06</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>+16.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>+35.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2sd</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Met-1 (matrix)</th>
<th>δ¹⁷O</th>
<th>2sd</th>
<th>δ¹⁸O</th>
<th>2sd</th>
<th>Δ¹⁷O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>FeO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@11</td>
<td>+18.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+37.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@12</td>
<td>+9.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+23.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>5.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@13</td>
<td>+10.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+22.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@14</td>
<td>+8.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>+16.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@15</td>
<td>+19.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+39.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@18</td>
<td>+16.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+36.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@19</td>
<td>+9.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+20.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@50</td>
<td>+20.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+41.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@51</td>
<td>+16.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+34.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@52</td>
<td>+18.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+38.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@53</td>
<td>+17.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+37.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@54</td>
<td>+19.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+40.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@55</td>
<td>+9.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+19.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@56</td>
<td>+14.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>+31.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@57</td>
<td>+15.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+33.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@58</td>
<td>+17.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+34.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@59</td>
<td>+17.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+36.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@60</td>
<td>+19.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+40.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@62</td>
<td>+16.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+36.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@63</td>
<td>+19.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+41.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@64</td>
<td>+14.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+32.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>+15.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+33.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2sd</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CM-Clast2</th>
<th>δ¹⁷O</th>
<th>2sd</th>
<th>δ¹⁸O</th>
<th>2sd</th>
<th>Δ¹⁷O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>FeO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@20</td>
<td>+19.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+38.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@22</td>
<td>+16.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+31.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@23</td>
<td>+17.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+34.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@24</td>
<td>+13.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+28.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@37</td>
<td>+17.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+36.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@38</td>
<td>+17.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+36.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@39</td>
<td>+17.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+35.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@40</td>
<td>+17.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+36.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@41</td>
<td>+16.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+34.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>+17.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+34.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2sd</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CM-Clast1</th>
<th>δ¹⁷O</th>
<th>2sd</th>
<th>δ¹⁸O</th>
<th>2sd</th>
<th>Δ¹⁷O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>FeO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@25</td>
<td>+11.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>+21.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@26</td>
<td>+16.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+34.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@27</td>
<td>+16.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+33.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@28</td>
<td>+17.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+34.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@29</td>
<td>+17.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+34.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@42</td>
<td>+16.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+34.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@43</td>
<td>+17.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+34.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@44</td>
<td>+17.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+37.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@45</td>
<td>+18.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+36.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@47</td>
<td>+16.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+32.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@49</td>
<td>+17.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+34.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>+16.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+33.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2sd</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CM-Clast3**

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@30</td>
<td>+18.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+36.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@31</td>
<td>+17.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+33.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@34</td>
<td>+19.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+37.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@35</td>
<td>+14.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+28.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@36</td>
<td>+16.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+33.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>+17.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+34.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2sd</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CM1/2 lithology**

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@0</td>
<td>+20.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+42.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@1</td>
<td>+19.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+37.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>+0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@2</td>
<td>+20.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+40.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@3</td>
<td>+20.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+41.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@4</td>
<td>+18.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+35.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@5</td>
<td>+11.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+27.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@6</td>
<td>+20.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+40.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@7</td>
<td>+18.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+37.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@8</td>
<td>+18.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+37.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@9</td>
<td>+19.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+38.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@10</td>
<td>+20.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+41.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@11</td>
<td>+16.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+31.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@13</td>
<td>+18.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+37.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@14</td>
<td>+20.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+40.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@15</td>
<td>+16.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+34.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@16</td>
<td>+16.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+32.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@17</td>
<td>+19.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+36.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@18</td>
<td>+20.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+39.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@19</td>
<td>+14.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+30.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@20</td>
<td>+12.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>+25.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@21</td>
<td>+13.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+27.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@22</td>
<td>+18.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+38.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@23</td>
<td>+18.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+37.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@24</td>
<td>+17.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+35.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@25</td>
<td>+17.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+36.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@26</td>
<td>+17.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+35.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@27</td>
<td>+15.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>+30.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@28</td>
<td>+14.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+32.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@30</td>
<td>+17.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+36.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@31</td>
<td>+20.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+40.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@32</td>
<td>+20.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+40.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@33</td>
<td>+18.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>+36.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@35</td>
<td>+19.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>+39.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@36</td>
<td>+18.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>+37.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2sd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@37</td>
<td>+17.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>+17.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2sd</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spinel</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAI*</td>
<td>-47.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-46.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAI*</td>
<td>-49.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-50.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAI-1</td>
<td>-46.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-45.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAI-2</td>
<td>-48.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-49.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAI-3</td>
<td>-44.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-41.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAI-4</td>
<td>-44.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-41.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>-46.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-45.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2sd</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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