



HAL
open science

$^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratio measurements in micrometric Pu and MOX particles using Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry

Aurélie Diacre, Anne-Laure Fauré, Manon Cornaton, Fabien Pointurier, Olivier Evrard

► To cite this version:

Aurélie Diacre, Anne-Laure Fauré, Manon Cornaton, Fabien Pointurier, Olivier Evrard. $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratio measurements in micrometric Pu and MOX particles using Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry. *Talanta*, 2023, 252, pp.123848. <10.1016/j.talanta.2022.123848>. <hal-03763352>

HAL Id: hal-03763352

<https://hal.science/hal-03763352v1>

Submitted on 5 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

1 $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratio measurements in micrometric Pu and MOX particles
2 using Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry

3 Aurélie DIACRE^{a,b}, Anne-Laure FAURE^a, Manon CORNATON^a, Fabien POINTURIER^a, Olivier EVRARD^b

4 ^a*Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique et aux énergies alternatives (CEA, DAM, DIF), F-91297 Arpajon,*
5 *France*

6 ^b*Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE/IPSL), Unité Mixte de Recherche 8212*
7 *(CEA/CNRS/UVSQ), Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

8 *E-mail: aurelie.diacre@lsce.ipsl.fr*

9

10 **Key words:** Hydride formation rate, Hydride correction, RSF determination, Pu quantification.

11

12 **Abstract**

13

14 Every accident affecting industrial or nuclear facilities emits micrometric fragments of material into
15 the environment whose elemental and isotopic compositions are characteristic of the process or
16 event. Particle analysis, mainly implemented in the framework of the Non Proliferation Treaty to
17 detect clandestine nuclear activities, provides a powerful tool to identify the origin of the nuclear
18 particulate matter and to assess the environmental impact of nuclear accidents. Initially, particle-scale
19 isotopic analyses aimed at the determination of the U isotopic composition. Now, focus is increasingly
20 given on Pu isotopic measurements to address its origin and potential use. Such measurements are
21 more challenging because of isobaric interferences, including those induced by hydride ions, like
22 $^{239}\text{PuH}^+$ on $^{240}\text{Pu}^+$ and $^{238}\text{UH}^+$ on $^{239}\text{Pu}^+$ in Mixed Oxide (MOX). Such ions are generated during ionization
23 processes by Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry. Based on a parametric study aiming at the
24 measurement of uranium oxide, uranium carbide and uranium single and double hydride rates, we
25 determined that Pu and U should be detected as elementary ions to limit the impact of such

26 interferences, although mono-oxide ions are more abundant. Thus, we developed an analytical
27 methodology to obtain accurate $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ atomic ratios both for weapon grade Pu and MOX
28 materials. Hydride rate is first measured in U oxide particles and then applied to correct $^{240}\text{Pu}^+$ and
29 $^{239}\text{Pu}^+$ signals. The relative difference of corrected $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratios with expected values is
30 reduced by a factor of 4 when measuring weapon grade Pu particles and by a factor of 10–100 when
31 measuring MOX particles containing 1 to 10 wt% of Pu. We also proposed a method to determine the
32 Relative Sensitivity Factor (RSF) based on the decay of Pu in order to quantify the Pu content in MOX
33 samples. The estimated lowest measurable $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ atomic ratio in MOX particles is $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$.

34 **1. Introduction**

35

36 Many industrial activities produce large amounts of particles[1,2], with sizes ranging from a few
37 nm to hundreds of μm . A fraction of these particles may be released into the environment. In the case
38 of nuclear facilities, despite the strict precautions to confine nuclear materials, very small fractions of
39 the particles may be released and they may ultimately settle onto surfaces within the facilities. The
40 molecular, elemental and isotopic compositions of these particles are representative of the processed
41 nuclear materials [3,4], i.e. made of uranium (U) and/or plutonium (Pu), the main chemical elements
42 used in the nuclear industry, both for civilian and military applications. They provide fingerprints of
43 current and past activities in nuclear facilities. Therefore, the analysis of these particles is of major
44 interest for nuclear safeguards. Inspectors of IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency) regularly
45 collect particulate materials in nuclear facilities by wiping surfaces by means of square pieces of cotton
46 tissues referred to as “swipe samples” [5]. These samples mainly contain environmental and industrial
47 dust and in many cases, a very small amount of particles made of nuclear material. The swipe samples
48 are analysed by a handful of specialized laboratories, members of the IAEA Network of Analytical
49 Laboratories (NWAL), which are able to carry out “particle analysis”, i.e. measurement of the isotopic
50 composition of actinides (mainly U) in individual micro-particles [3,5].

51

52 Consequently, precise and accurate methods for particle isotopic measurements were developed
53 in the 1990s in the field of nuclear safeguards. Currently, two methods are implemented within the
54 NWAL. The first method is the combination of the Fission Track (FT) technique and of Thermal
55 Ionization Mass Spectrometry (TIMS) [6–8]. The FT technique allows locating particles containing fissile
56 nuclei under a flux of thermal neutrons (e.g. ^{235}U , ^{239}Pu). Then, the isotopic composition of some of the
57 localized particles are measured by TIMS. The second method is based on the use of the Secondary Ion
58 Mass Spectrometry (SIMS) method, which has been used since the 2000's[9]. SIMS is the only
59 technique that can provide both particle location and isotopic measurements using a single
60 instrument, with a high sensitivity and a high lateral resolution [10]. The development of the
61 Automated Particle Measurement software by Cameca [11,12] allowed the SIMS method to detect and
62 locate U bearing-particles in the whole sample, like the FT-TIMS method. The implementation of the
63 large geometry – SIMS (LG-SIMS) has further improved the performance of isotopic measurements
64 thanks to the increase of the mass resolution (to separate ions of interest from interfering species) and
65 to the use of several detectors for the simultaneous collection of ion beams (multi-collection) [13–15].
66 Initially, particle analyses only aimed at the determination of the isotopic composition of U. During the
67 past 20 years, other methodologies were developed to measure other characteristics of the U particles
68 than their isotopic composition, for instance fluorine content [10], abundance of the ^{230}Th progeny of
69 ^{234}U to “date” U (i.e. determine the date of the last chemical purification of the U material) [16], minor
70 and major elemental constituent of the particles by SEM-EDS (Scanning Electron Microscope- Energy
71 Dispersive Spectroscopy)[17], chemical phases of the U compounds by micro-Raman
72 spectrometry[17], etc.

73 Beyond U particle measurements, detection and characterization of Pu in particles is also of
74 uttermost importance for nuclear safeguards, as Pu is also widely used in the nuclear industry. In
75 addition to pure Pu compounds (PuO_2 for instance), Pu is present in irradiated U, and in mixed U–Pu
76 oxide fuel (i.e. MOX). Futhermore, this element can be found in the environment as a consequence of
77 (1) weapon accidents such as Thule and Palomares [[18,19], (2) chronic discharges from nuclear

78 facilities like Sellafield [20], (3) atmospheric nuclear weapon tests[21] or (4) the Chernobyl (CNPP) [22]
79 and Fukushima Dai-Ichi (FDNPP) [23] accidents. During some of these events Pu was emitted in the
80 form of "Hot Particles" [19,22,24–26], they are defined in 1994 as radioactive particles with a size
81 smaller than 100 μm and an activity higher than 4 Bq [27,28].

82 The measurement of the isotopic composition of Pu in Pu-bearing particles is essential as it enables
83 to identify the source of Pu as well as its origin and potential use (irradiated fuel, MOX fuel for civilian
84 reactors, nuclear weapons, etc.) [29]. Isotopes of potential interest are ^{238}Pu , ^{239}Pu , ^{240}Pu , ^{241}Pu and
85 ^{242}Pu . The most abundant isotopes are generally ^{239}Pu and ^{240}Pu . However, the Pu isotope analysis by
86 SIMS is difficult because of isobaric interferences[29], especially (1) when Pu is present as trace or
87 minor element in an U matrix like in MOX fuel or irradiated fuel, or (2) in the case of weapon grade Pu
88 where the ^{240}Pu concentration is low. In the first case, there is a strong interference of ^{238}UH on ^{239}Pu
89 and in the second case the main interference comes from ^{239}PuH on ^{240}Pu . It is necessary to correct for
90 this hydride contributions to calculate accurate isotopic ratios and this will be investigated in the
91 current research. The first analyses of Pu particle by SIMS were carried out by Betti and al [30] without
92 hydride formation rate correction. More recently, attempts to produce and characterize reference
93 PuO_2 and MOX particles have been performed by Ranebo et al [31]. Other weapon grade Pu particles
94 or MOX particles were also measured by SG-SIMS [31] and LG-SIMS [32]. No hydride correction was
95 performed in these studies to the best of our knowledge. Ranebo et al [31] estimated the Pu hydride
96 formation rate from synthetic Pu particles doped with ^{242}Pu and estimated the U hydride rate from the
97 $^{242}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ isotopic ratio measured by Isotopic Dilution-TIMS. Nevertheless, these hydride formation
98 rates were not used to correct measured isotopic ratios, which results in some deviations in the
99 isotopic composition of the starting material. Aleshin et al [32,33] also observed a significant negative
100 bias on the $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratio measured on MOX particles, due to the ^{238}UH interference.
101 Ranebo et al [34] estimated the U hydride rate measured in particles coming from nuclear weapon
102 material remaining from the Thule accident and assumed that this U hydride ratio is valid for Pu
103 hydride formation. Nevertheless, they did not apply any correction on their results and only gave an

104 interval of $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ atomic ratios based on the estimation of the U hydride formation rates. In the
105 current research, we proposed a methodology to correct for the both U and Pu hydride formation rates
106 in order to improve the accuracy on $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic measurements conducted on both pure Pu
107 and MOX particles. We also developed a methodology to quantify Pu in MOX particles based on the
108 measurement of the Relative Sensitivity Factor (RSF). Previous studies described attempts to
109 determine such factor from MOX particles but results showed that this factor exhibited large
110 fluctuations during analysis or that they were not reproducible from one particle to the other [22,35].
111 Here we determined this factor on pure Pu particles based on the measurement of $^{235}\text{U}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ analysed
112 in “old” Pu particles (i.e. made with Pu purified in 1963), ^{235}U being the progeny of ^{239}Pu . Such
113 determination of the RSF was also used by Wallenius et al [35] to determine the age of Pu particles.
114 The originality of our methodology is to apply this RSF to the quantification of Pu.

115 The analysis described in this article were carried out on pure Pu or MOX particles in order to
116 demonstrate the feasibility of our methodology and to avoid other isobaric interferences that can be
117 caused by neighboring mineral or metallic particles usually found in real-life sample[14].

118

119 Overall, the objectives of the current research are the following:

120 (1) To determine the optimal U and Pu ionic species to perform U and Pu isotopic measurement in
121 mixed U-Pu bearing particles. UO^+ ions being more abundant than U^+ ions[23,36], it may be better to
122 perform isotopic measurement using mono oxide ions for both U and Pu. However, such ions may also
123 be more subject to isobaric interferences such as $^{239}\text{PuC}^+$ on $^{235}\text{UO}^+$, $^{238}\text{UOH}^+$ on $^{239}\text{PuO}^+$. We proposed
124 here a comprehensive parametric study on U particles in order to determine the formation rate of
125 molecular U ions such as oxide, carbide, hydride, etc., to identify the most appropriate ones to
126 characterize MOX particles. In this case, analyses were performed on U particles with different
127 chemical forms (U_3O_8 and UF_4) to study the impact of this parameter on the ion formation rates.

128 (2) To develop a method to correct the hydride effect on the $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratio in pure Pu
129 particles. The hydride correction factor can be easily measured in pure U particles by calculating the

130 isotopic ratio $^{238}\text{UH}^+ / ^{238}\text{U}^+$. In pure Pu particles, it is not possible to determine the Pu hydride formation
131 rate because of the isotopic composition of this element[34], i.e. presence of ^{240}Pu that interferes with
132 ^{239}PuH . We proposed here to extrapolate the U hydride correction factor to Pu for correcting
133 $^{240}\text{Pu} / ^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratios.

134 (3) To apply the hydride correction method to the simultaneous determination of the isotopic
135 composition of U and Pu in MOX samples. For that purpose, the influence of the Pu content on the Pu
136 isotopic measurements was also studied.

137 (4) To provide a methodology to quantify the Pu content in MOX particles by the determination of
138 the Relative Sensitivity Factor (RSF)

139

140 **2. Materials, sample preparation and instrumental settings**

141 *2.1. Reference materials*

142 Three types of samples were analysed: pure U particles, pure Pu particles and mixed U-Pu particles.
143 Pure U particles from Certified Reference Materials (CRM), NBS U-100 and U-17B (New Brunswick
144 Laboratory, Illinois, USA) were analysed for the parametric study aiming at the determination of the
145 hydride, oxide, carbide, and hydroxide formation ratios on different chemical forms of U. CRM NBS U-
146 100 and CRM U-17B are powdered materials made of tri-uranium octoxide, U_3O_8 , and uranium
147 tetrafluoride, UF_4 , respectively.

148 Pure Pu particles from one of the samples of a nuclear forensic exercise (CMX-6 exercise or 6th
149 Collaborative Material Exercise, organized by the International Technical Working Group on Nuclear
150 Forensics) were analysed for the determination of their Pu isotopic composition. This sample consisted
151 of a metal ingot whose surface was contaminated with weapon grade Pu particles. The measurement
152 of the $^{240}\text{Pu} / ^{239}\text{Pu}$ in such Pu compounds is difficult due to their low ^{240}Pu concentration. This sample
153 was also used to determine the Pu/U relative sensitivity factors (RSF) according to previously described
154 methods[29].

155 The simultaneous determination of U and Pu isotopic compositions and the Pu content in U were
 156 carried out with particles from two MOX samples referred to as UKMOX-010 and UKMOX-100. These
 157 samples are composed of low enriched U oxide and reactor grade Pu oxide powders. The U and Pu
 158 isotopic compositions of the two samples were identical, only the bulk atomic Pu/U ratio differed
 159 (Table 1).

160

161 **Table 1** Reference isotopic atomic ratio of samples with 2-sigma expanded uncertainties. $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$
 162 atomic ratios are decay-corrected to the date of the analytical sessions.

Isotopic ratio	CMX-6	UKMOX-100	UKMOX-010
$^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$		$(1.5896 \pm 0.0038) \times 10^{-2}$	
$^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$	$(6.17 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$	$(2.8666 \pm 0.0002) \times 10^{-1}$	
U/Pu (mass)		119.79 ± 0.15	12.484 ± 0.017

163

164 2.2. Sample preparation

165 Micrometric particles from the CRMs NBS U-100 and U-17 B were collected with plastic tips and
 166 transferred to cotton cloth (TX 303, Texwipe, NC, USA). Particles from the CMX-6 sample were
 167 collected by wiping the surface of the sample with a cotton cloth. Particles were then transferred from
 168 the cotton cloth onto a carbon planchet (grade FP2584 Schunk Electrographite SAS, Germany) under
 169 a glove bag filled with nitrogen using the Vacuum Impactor technique [10,37]. This method consists in
 170 sucking up particles from the cotton cloth and depositing them by impaction onto a carbon planchet
 171 beforehand covered with an organic compound, polyisobutylene in nonane, acting as a sticky agent.

172 Micrometric UKMOX-100 particles were received in an ethanol suspension. The ethanol
 173 suspension was mixed with collodion (Merck) and deposited onto Lexan[®] polycarbonate disks
 174 (referred to as “deposition disk”). This process was carried out in an ISO 4 clean room facility. Then, an
 175 additional Lexan[®] disk, acting as a solid-state nuclear track detector (SSNTD) and referred to as “FT
 176 disk”, was welded onto the “deposition disk”. The disks were then irradiated in the Triga nuclear
 177 reactor (Jozef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia) by means of a specific irradiation device made of
 178 aluminium vessels filled with heavy water in order to get a well-thermalized neutron flux with a high

179 fluence, in the 1015 neutron. cm⁻² range [38]. Fission fragments are emitted by ²³⁵U and ²³⁹Pu during
180 fission reaction, they impacted into the Lexan® disks and created surface damages [39]. Chemical
181 etching of the “FT disk” using KOH solution at 80°C during 15 min enabled to make visible the sea
182 urchin-shaped fission track clusters characteristic of the presence of a fissile nuclide-bearing particle
183 (as described by Diacre et al. [40]). Thanks to appropriate landmarks on both deposition and FT disks,
184 the particles that produced fission track clusters were localized. These particles were then micro
185 sampled with a tungsten carbide needle under an optical microscope and deposited onto a carbon
186 planchet in an ethanol-ether droplet previously deposited on it (Supplementary information A). This
187 droplet also dissolved the collodion that could induce charging effect during SIMS measurements. At
188 the end of the micro-sampling step, the carbon planchet was heated in an oven at 400°C during 30 min
189 to remove all organic compounds that may generate isobaric interferences [41].

190 UKMOX-010 micrometric particles were already loaded onto a carbon planchet by direct smearing
191 according to Aleshin et al. [32].

192

193 2.3. Instrumental settings

194 A Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometer (IMS-7f, Cameca Ametek, France) was used for isotope ratio
195 measurements. This instrument was equipped with an oxygen ion source called duoplasmatron. A
196 primary ion beam of oxygen ions was typically used to enhance the production of electropositive ions
197 such as U⁺ or Pu⁺. All measurements described in this article were carried out with the O₂⁺ primary
198 beam accelerated to 15 keV. The positive secondary ions were accelerated to 5 keV. The energy band-
199 pass was set at 75 eV. The contrast and field apertures were respectively 400 μm and 1800 μm and the
200 field of view was 75 μm to enhance the transmission. The mass resolution was set to 400 to obtain flat
201 top peaks and a good sensitivity, which improved the accuracy and the reproducibility of the
202 measurements. Ion species were recorded in a magnet peak jump (PJ) sequence using a single electron
203 multiplier detector (AF150H EM by ETP Electron Multipliers Pty Ltd.). Dead time was experimentally
204 determined to be 32 ns. Raw count rates were corrected for dead time and for time linear drift. Both

205 U and Pu isotopic ratios were corrected for the same instrumental mass fractionation factor per mass
 206 unit, IMF_{amu} , measured on NBS U-100 particles. This factor was calculated according to Eq 1.

$$207 \quad IMF_{amu} = \left(1 - \frac{(U^{235}/U^{238})_{measured}}{(U^{235}/U^{238})_{certified}} \right) \times \frac{1}{M_{U^{238}} - M_{U^{235}}} \quad (1)$$

208 with $M_{U^{238}}$ and $M_{U^{235}}$ are the molar masses of ^{238}U and ^{235}U , respectively.

209 In the literature, a similar approach was used to correct Pu isotopic ratios for mass fractionation
 210 effect [42,43]. The accuracy of these corrections on Pu measurements are discussed in the Results and
 211 Discussion section. The microbeam settings and the measured ion species used for the different
 212 studies described in this article are summarised in Table 2.

213

214 **Table 2** IMS-7f micro beam settings used for the studies described in this article.

Type of measurement	Detector used	Primary beam	Primary beam intensity	Raster area	Mass resolution (10% level)	Impact energy	Secondary extraction voltage
PJ	EM (ETP)	O_2^+	250 pA	No	400	10 kV	5 kV
Objective of the measurement	Parametric study for identification of the most appropriate ion species		Pu isotopic measurement and RSF determination		Measurement of U and Pu isotopic compositions in MOX samples		
Isotopes (integration time in seconds)	^{238}U (1.04), $^{238}\text{U}^1\text{H}$ (2), $^{238}\text{U}^2\text{H}$ (3.04), $^{238}\text{U}^{12}\text{C}$ (2), $^{238}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}$ (1.04), $^{238}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}^1\text{H}$ (2)		^{235}U (3), ^{236}U (3), ^{239}Pu (1.04), ^{240}Pu (1.04)		^{235}U (2), ^{238}U (1), ^{239}Pu (3), ^{240}Pu (4)		
Number of cycles	30		30		30		

215


216 3. Results and discussion

217 3.1. Parametric study for determination of the most appropriate ionic species to perform U and Pu 218 isotopic analyses

219 The main difficulty when measuring the isotopic compositions of U and Pu in MOX samples came
 220 from the isobaric interferences generated by molecular ions made of U or Pu and light elements (H, O,
 221 C). The parametric study aiming at determining (1) the U hydride, carbide, oxide and hydroxide

222 formation rate and (2) the most appropriate U and Pu ionic species to measure isotopic ratios while
223 minimizing isobaric interferences.

224 The carbide, oxide, hydride and hydroxide formation rates for particles from two U compounds
225 were plotted in Fig. 1. The $^{238}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios presented in Fig. 1A. were above 1 whatever the U
226 chemical form. Even if the oxide formation rate were slightly higher for U_3O_8 particles, these results
227 suggest that the oxygen ions came mainly from the O_2^+ ions of the primary ion beam. The fact that the
228 signal from UO^+ was higher than that from U^+ confirms results previously described in the
229 literature[36,43]. The UC/U^+ ratios presented in Fig. 1B. were below 10^{-3} whatever the U chemical
230 form. UC ions might be generated by the recombination of U ions with carbon coming from the carbon
231 planchet. The UH^+ , UH_2^+ and UOH^+ formation ratios were plotted in Fig. 1C. The UH^+ formation ratios
232 were below 10^{-3} , and UOH^+ formation ratios were $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$. The UH_2^+ ratios were negligible. The UH^+
233 formation ratio depends on several factors such as the sample chamber vacuum conditions and the
234 intrinsic hydrogen content of the sample and of the carbon planchet[44]. Experimentally observed
235 UH^+/U^+ ratios range from 10^{-5} to 10^{-3} .



236

237 **Fig. 1** (A) UC/U; (B) UO/U; (C) UH/U, UH₂/U and UOH/U ion intensity ratios for UF₄ and U₃O₈ particles.

238 Measurements were carried out with a chamber pressure between 1.2×10^{-9} and 6.7×10^{-9} mbar.

239

240 Based on these results, it is apparently better to analyse U in its UO form to increase the
 241 sensitivity. However, interference of UO⁺ ions with polyatomic ions should also be considered and UO⁺
 242 ions may be eventually more interfered than U ions; for instance, ²³⁵U¹⁶O should be interfered by
 243 ²³⁹Pu¹²C. Based on the formation rate measured on pure U particles, we estimate the contribution of
 244 the interfering species on the signal of U and Pu for MOX particles. Moreover, we assume that the
 245 formation rates of hydride, oxide and carbide ions are equivalent for U and Pu. Regarding the
 246 interference of ²³⁹Pu¹²C with ²³⁵U¹⁶O, the influence of the interference obviously increases with the Pu
 247 content in the particle. We calculate the contribution of ²³⁹Pu¹²C on ²³⁵U¹⁶O in UKMOX-010 particles

248 containing ~10 wt% of Pu. The $^{239}\text{Pu}^{12}\text{C}$ signal is ~ 3 % of the total measured signal at 251 m/z, which
249 causes a significant bias in U isotopic ratio if the $^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratio is measured through the $^{235}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}/^{238}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}$
250 ratio. Thus, it is preferable to measure U in its elemental form although sensitivity for U^+ is lower than
251 for UO^+ .

252 In the case of Pu measurement, Pu was affected by U hydride interferences both in its elemental
253 and mono oxide forms: $^{239}\text{Pu}^{16}\text{O}$ is interfered by $^{238}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}^1\text{H}$ and ^{239}Pu is interfered by $^{238}\text{U}^1\text{H}$. The
254 influence of hydride interference is higher when the Pu content relatively to U is low. Thus, the
255 contributions of $^{238}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}^1\text{H}$ and $^{238}\text{U}^1\text{H}$ were estimated from UKMOX-100 particles containing ~1 wt%
256 of Pu. The contribution of $^{238}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}^1\text{H}$ on $^{239}\text{Pu}^{16}\text{O}$ was ~19 % and the one of $^{238}\text{U}^1\text{H}$ on ^{239}Pu was ~7 %.
257 Moreover it was previously observed that the PuO/Pu ratio was lower than the UO/U ratio[34]. Thus,
258 the contribution of $^{238}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}^1\text{H}$ is probably underestimated. In conclusion, it is recommended to measure
259 Pu in its elemental form.

260 Finally, based on the lower hydride formation rate measured for U particles, we estimated that
261 the lowest measurable Pu/U atomic ratio in MOX particles is $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$.

262

263 *3.2. Measurement of $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ in pure Pu particles*

264 Only the major isotopic Pu ratio, $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$, was measured in this study. ^{241}Pu was interfered by
265 its decay product ^{241}Am and other minor Pu atomic abundances (^{242}Pu and ^{244}Pu) were too low to be
266 efficiently measured with the current analytical conditions and in the single collection mode. A possible
267 difficulty for the $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ ratio measurements is associated with the ^{239}PuH contribution to the ^{240}Pu
268 signal. In the case of pure U particles, the hydride correction factor is determined by measuring the
269 ratio $N(^{239})/N(^{238}\text{U})$ where the signal only comes from $^{238}\text{UH}^+$. For Pu particles, this methodology cannot
270 be applied because the $^n\text{PuH}^+$ signal cannot be distinguished from the $^{n+1}\text{Pu}^+$ signal. We assume that
271 the UH/U and PuH/Pu ratios did not significantly depend on the chemical species. This hypothesis is
272 supported by some studies in which UH/U and Pu/H ratios determined in micrometric clay spheres (~2
273 μm) containing U and Pu[42] were close.

274

275 Accordingly, we applied the UH/U ratio measured on NBS U-100 particles (made of U_3O_8) during
276 the same analytical session to subtract the ^{239}PuH contribution to the measured $^{240}Pu/^{239}Pu$ atomic
277 ratio. The accuracy of the $^{240}Pu/^{239}Pu$ isotopic ratio measurements with/without ^{239}PuH corrections is
278 discussed below.

279 The $^{240}Pu/^{239}Pu$ isotopic ratio was corrected according to Equation 1:

$$280 \left(\frac{^{240}Pu}{^{239}Pu} \right)_{cor} = \frac{\frac{N_{240}}{N_{239Pu}} \frac{N_{239Pu} \text{ } ^1H}{N_{239Pu}}}{1 - IMF_{amu} \times (M_{239Pu} - M_{240Pu})} = \frac{\frac{N_{240}}{N_{239Pu}} \frac{N_{238U} \text{ } ^1H}{N_{238U}}}{1 - IMF_{amu} \times (M_{239Pu} - M_{240Pu})} \quad (1)$$

281 With N_{240} and N_{Pu239} : signals measured at m/z of ^{240}Pu and m/z of ^{239}Pu , respectively,


282 M_{Pu239} and M_{Pu240} : molar masses of ^{239}Pu and ^{240}Pu , respectively,

283 $\frac{N_{U238H1}}{N_{U238}}$: U hydride ratio measured on NBS U-100 particles during the same analytical session,

284 IMF_{amu} : Instrumental mass fractionation factor per atomic mass unit (amu) measured on NBS U-
285 100 particles during the same analytical session.

286

287 The $^{240}Pu/^{239}Pu$ isotopic ratios in individual Pu particles from CMX-6 measured during three
288 analytical sessions are presented in Fig. 2.



289

290 **Fig. 2** $^{240}Pu/^{239}Pu$ isotopic ratios measured on individual CMX-6 particles in November 2020 (blue
291 circles), in February 2021 (orange triangles) and in July 2021 (yellow squares). Expanded uncertainties

292 are given with a 95 % confidence level. The red line corresponds to the expected value. The red dashed
 293 lines correspond to the upper and lower uncertainties of the expected value.

294 For all analytical sessions, measurements were in agreement with the expected value within
 295 individual uncertainties. The average isotopic ratios for each analytical session are given in Table 3.

296
 297 **Table 3** Average $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ atomic ratios measured on pure Pu particles from CMX-6 during three
 298 analytical sessions. Expanded uncertainties are given with a coverage factor of 2 (confidence level of
 299 95%). Corresponding ratios without ^{239}PuH correction are also given. Values in parentheses below the
 300 atomic ratios are the relative difference with the expected ratio.

Analytical session	November 2020	February 2021	July 2021
$^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ with hydride correction	$(6.22 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$ (0.7 %)	$(6.20 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$ (0.4 %)	$(6.20 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2}$ (0.5 %)
$^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ without hydride correction	$(6.27 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$ (1.6 %)	$(6.30 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-2}$ (2.1 %)	$(6.30 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-2}$ (2.1 %)
Number of analysed particles	18	13	13

301
 302 According to the results in Table 3, no biases was observed for the $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ ratios with
 303 ^{239}PuH correction, although they are slightly above the expected ratio (relative difference of ~0.5%
 304 with respect to the expected values whereas relative expanded uncertainties are ~2%).

305 Assuming that the Pu hydride ratio is identical to the U hydride rate measured during the
 306 analytical sessions, the contribution of ^{239}PuH to the ^{240}Pu signal could be quantified. Depending on the
 307 session, the UH/U ratio varies between 5.5×10^{-4} and 10^{-3} . Therefore, the ^{239}PuH signal contributed to
 308 1 to 2.4% of the signal measured at the m/z ratio of ^{240}Pu . Without hydride correction, the $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$
 309 isotopic ratios presented in Table 3 is largely overestimated with respect to the expected ratio (relative
 310 differences between 1.6 % and 2.1 %).

311 As a conclusion, the results confirmed the relevance of the Pu hydride correction based on
 312 UH/U measurements to improve the measurement accuracy of the $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratios.

313 3.3. Application to the isotopic characterisation of MOX particles

314 Only the $^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotope ratios were measured. For the $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic
 315 ratio determination, the hydride correction method described above was applied. Both ^{239}Pu and ^{240}Pu
 316 isotopes were corrected for hydride contributions (^{238}UH on ^{239}Pu and ^{239}PuH on ^{240}Pu).

317 For this study, particles from the two MOX samples, UKMOX-100 and UKMOX-010, were analysed.
 318 The U and Pu isotopic compositions are identical, whereas the bulk Pu/U ratios are different between
 319 the two materials (see Table 1). The $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratio was corrected according to Equation 2:

320

$$321 \left(\frac{^{240}\text{Pu}}{^{239}\text{Pu}} \right)_{\text{COR}} = \frac{\frac{N_{240} - N_{239}\text{Pu } ^1\text{H}}{N_{239} - N_{238}\text{U } ^1\text{H}}}{1 - \text{IMF}_{\text{amu}} \times (M_{239}\text{Pu} - M_{240}\text{Pu})} = \frac{\frac{N_{240}}{N_{239} - N_{238}\text{U} \times \frac{N_{238}\text{U } ^1\text{H}}{N_{238}\text{U}}} - \frac{N_{238}\text{U } ^1\text{H}}{N_{238}\text{U}}}{1 - \text{IMF}_{\text{amu}} \times (M_{239}\text{Pu} - M_{240}\text{Pu})} \quad (2)$$


322 With N_{240} , N_{239} and N_{U238} : signals measured at m/z of ^{240}Pu , ^{239}Pu and ^{238}U , respectively,

323 $M_{\text{Pu}239}$ and $M_{\text{Pu}240}$: molar masses of ^{239}Pu and ^{240}Pu respectively,

324 $\frac{N_{U238H1}}{N_{U238}}$: hydride ratio measured on NBS U-100 particles during the same analytical session,

325 IMF_{amu} : instrumental mass fractionation factor per amu measured on NBS U-100 particles during
 326 the same analytical session.

327 Two analytical sessions were performed, one for UKMOX-100 particles and one for UKMOX-010
 328 particles. The individual $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratios are plotted in Fig. 3, see Supplementary Information
 329 B for $^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ isotopic ratio results. For both analytical sessions, the results are in agreement with the
 330 expected value within individual uncertainties. The average isotopic ratios of U and Pu are given in
 331 Table 4 for each sample.



332
 333 **Fig. 3** $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratios measured for individual UKMOX-010 (yellow squares) and UKMOX-
 334 100 (blue points) particles. Expanded uncertainties are given with a 95% confidence level. The red line
 335 corresponds to the reference value.

336
 337 **Table 4** Average $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ and $^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ isotopic ratios measured for MOX particles. Expanded
 338 uncertainties are given with a coverage factor of 2 (confidence level of 95%). $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratios
 339 without U and Pu hydride corrections are also given. Values in parenthesis below the atomic ratios are
 340 the relative difference with respect to the reference value.

	UKMOX-010	UKMOX-100
$^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ with U and PuH corrections	$(2.859 \pm 0.046) \times 10^{-1}$ (-0.06 %)	$(2.859 \pm 0.058) \times 10^{-1}$ (-0.03 %)
$^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ without UH and PuH corrections	$(2.844 \pm 0.064) \times 10^{-1}$ (-0.59 %)	$(2.749 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-1}$ (-3.76 %)
$^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$	$(1.590 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-2}$ (+0.03 %)	$(1.584 \pm 0.034) \times 10^{-2}$ (-0.37 %)
Number of analysed particles	23	15

341
 342 The relative expanded uncertainty is below 2 % for the two samples. Depending on the MOX
 343 sample, the contribution of the ^{238}UH to the signal at the m/z ratio of ^{239}Pu ranges from 0.9 % for
 344 UKMOX-010 to 4.2 % for UKMOX-100. This contribution is significantly higher for UKMOX-100 than for
 345 UKMOX-010 as expected because of its lower Pu content. Without ^{239}PuH correction, the $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$
 346 isotopic ratio is underestimated. Although the relative difference with respect to the expected value (-

347 3.7 %) is slightly lower than the relative expanded uncertainties (4.4 %), we conclude that a negative
 348 bias is observed for the UKMOX- 100 material when no U and Pu hydride corrections are carried out.
 349 Alsehin et al. [32] already observed such significant bias for the analysis of the same sample without
 350 hydride correction.

351 As a conclusion, the U and Pu hydride corrections based on U measurements improve the
 352 accuracy of $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotopic ratios in MOX with 1 to 10 wt % of Pu.

353

354 3.4. Pu quantification in MOX particles

355 To quantify the Pu content in U matrix, it is necessary to determine the RSF between U and Pu.
 356 The RSF is mainly used in SIMS as a quantification factor to correlate the ionic intensity of an element
 357 to its concentration in a given matrix. This factor is calculated according to Equation 3:

$$358 \quad RSF_{^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}} = \frac{\left(\frac{^{239}\text{Pu}}{^{238}\text{U}}\right)_{reference}}{\left(\frac{^{239}\text{Pu}}{^{238}\text{U}}\right)_{measured}} \quad (3)$$

359 To determine this factor, it is necessary to use a reference material with known U and Pu
 360 concentrations in a similar matrix. To the best of our knowledge, there is no available U–Pu oxide reference
 361 material certified at the particle scale. Here, we proposed a methodology to determine this factor from
 362 pure Pu particles with known purification date and isotopic ratios determined by ICP-MS measurements
 363 during the CMX-6 exercise. Equivalent methodologies for RSF determination with other isotopes, $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{235}\text{U}$
 364 and $^{230}\text{Th}/^{234}\text{U}$, were already developed respectively for the age dating of Pu particles[45] or that of U
 365 particles[46]. The novelty of our methodology is to extrapolate a RSF measured for the ratio $^{235}\text{U}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ to
 366 the RSF used for correcting $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratio. As the decay products (“daughter nuclides”) of some Pu
 367 isotopes are U isotopes (^{239}Pu and ^{240}Pu decay into ^{235}U and ^{236}U , respectively), we can calculate the
 368 theoretical $^{235}\text{U}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ and $^{236}\text{U}/^{240}\text{Pu}$ atomic ratios by reversing Bateman’s equation. The use of two
 369 different radio-chronometers allows verifying the absence of bias. However, this method is only valid
 370 when the Pu was perfectly purified. Otherwise, the determination of the isotopic ratio is biased by the
 371 presence of residual U. Here, we assume that (1) the number of atoms of the “daughter nuclides” (U


372 isotopes) after purification is zero, and that (2) the numbers of atoms of the “father” radionuclides,
 373 here Pu isotopes, did not significantly change during the elapsed time between the purification and
 374 the analysis, given the long half-lives of ^{239}Pu and ^{240}Pu . Previous measurements performed in our
 375 laboratory by means of a multiple-collector ICP-MS confirmed these hypotheses and demonstrated
 376 that the calculated model age based on $^{236}\text{U}/^{240}\text{Pu}$ was in agreement with the purification date. ^{235}U ,
 377 ^{236}U , ^{239}Pu and ^{240}Pu signals were measured in CMX-6 particles during three analytical sessions in order
 378 to calculate RSF between Pu and U thanks to the ratios $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{236}\text{U}$ and $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{235}\text{U}$ according to Equation
 379 3.

380 The final $\text{RSF}_{^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}}$ used to calculate the $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratio for the determination of the Pu content in
 381 U was calculated according to Equation 4:

$$382 \text{RSF}_{^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}} = \frac{1}{1 - \text{IMF}_{\text{amu}} \times (M_{^{238}\text{U}} - M_{^{235}\text{U}})} \times \frac{1}{\text{RSF}_{^{235}\text{U}/^{239}\text{Pu}}} \quad (4)$$

383 Where $M_{^{238}\text{U}}$ and $M_{^{235}\text{U}}$ are the molar masses of ^{238}U and ^{235}U , respectively.

384 A similar equation was used for calculating $\text{RSF}_{^{236}\text{U}/^{240}\text{Pu}}$. The $\text{RSF}_{^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}}$ values calculated for all
 385 analytical sessions are plotted in Fig. 4. The measurements are clearly reproducible. It may also be
 386 noted that values calculated with the two U-Pu radio-chronometers are in perfect agreement. The RSF
 387 values measured in the present study are also in agreement with the those reported in the literature:
 388 (1) in U-Pu oxide particles (0.41) [29], and (2) in U-Pu-bearing clay microspheres (0.34) [42].



389


390 **Fig. 4** Average RSF $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ measured with the $^{235}\text{U}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ (blue point) and with the $^{236}\text{U}/^{240}\text{Pu}$ (yellow
 391 circles) radio-chromometers. Expanded uncertainties are given with a 95 % confidence level. $^{236}\text{U}/^{240}\text{Pu}$
 392 isotopic ratio was not measured during the November 2020 analytical session.

393

394 The $\text{RSF}_{^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}}$ was then applied to MOX particles to quantify their Pu content according to
 395 Equation 5:

396
$$\left(\frac{^{239}\text{Pu}}{^{238}\text{U}}\right)_{\text{corrected}} = \left(\frac{^{239}\text{Pu}}{^{238}\text{U}}\right)_{\text{measured}} \times \text{RSF}_{^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}} \quad (5)$$
 $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios measured for individual

397 UKMOX-100 and UKMOX-010 particles are plotted in Fig. 5.



398

399 **Fig. 5** $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ isotopic ratios measured in individual particles from (A1) UKMOX-010 sample (yellow
 400 squares), and (B1) UKMOX-100 sample (blue points) (Presented in increasing ratio). Individual
 401 expanded uncertainties are given with a 95% confidence level. The red line corresponds to the
 402 reference value. The yellow and blue lines correspond to the averages of the measured ratios for both
 403 samples. The coloured dashed lines correspond to the expanded uncertainties on the average ratio.

404 $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ isotopic ratios (normalized to the highest ratio) are plotted against the sputtering time for
405 three UKMOX-010 particles (A2), and for three UKMOX-100 particles (B2).

406

407 The results presented in Fig. 5 A1 and B1 show that the average $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios normalized
408 to the highest ratio are in agreement with the expected values within uncertainties for both MOX
409 samples. A deviation of the $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios is observed, it linked to the heterogeneity of the Pu
410 content in the U matrix, because the MOX forms a solid solution defined by a macroscopic
411 homogeneity and a heterogeneity on the atomic scale. These results validate the RSF value measured
412 in pure Pu particle. However individual $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios exhibit large variations between particles by a
413 factor of 8 and 10 for UKMOX-010 and UKMOX-100 samples, respectively. Therefore, we observe that
414 the U and Pu proportions in these two materials are heterogeneous at the particle scale. Moreover,
415 $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios measured against sputtering time for three individual particles from each MOX sample
416 showed large variations up to a factor 2 (Fig. 5A2 and 5B2). Such heterogeneities of the distributions
417 of Pu content between and within particles were also observed by Aleshin et al in UKMOX-010
418 particles.

419

420 **4. Conclusion**

421 In this study, we demonstrate that it is preferable to measure U and Pu in their elemental form
422 for MOX particle characterisation by SIMS. Indeed, mono-oxide ions are more abundant although they
423 are also more sensitive to the isobaric interferences than elemental ions. We also developed and
424 validated a U and Pu hydride correction (^{238}UH for ^{239}Pu and ^{239}PuH for ^{240}Pu) for the measurement of
425 the $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ atomic ratios based on the UH/U ratios measured in particles from the NBS U-100 CRM.
426 This method was then successfully applied to MOX particles. Analyses of MOX particles showed that
427 (1) the isotopic ratios $^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ were in agreement with the expected values, and that
428 (2) the hydride correction is robust for a Pu content comprised between 1 and 10 wt% in U. Lastly, we
429 also developed and validated in this study a method for the Pu quantification in U through the use of

430 the RSF calculated with pure Pu particles of known purification date. These analyses demonstrated the
431 heterogeneity of the Pu content between particles and within particles from UKMOX-010 and UKMOX-
432 100. The method described in the current research opens the way to the isotopic characterisation of
433 environmental particles containing U, Pu or both elements, resulting from major nuclear power plant
434 accidents (CNPP, FDNPP, etc.), weapon accidents (Thule, Palomares, etc.), atmospheric nuclear
435 weapon tests, potential releases from nuclear facilities, etc. Before applying this methodology to these
436 samples, further studies are required to evaluate the impact of other potential isobaric interferences
437 on U and Pu that can be induced by other elements coming from the particle itself or from neighboring
438 environmental particles (e.g. mineral particles). Actually, some isobaric interferences on U isotopic
439 measurements are well known [14]. The impact of such interferences could also be reduced by using
440 a LG-SIMS, which enables to work with a higher mass resolution [14]. Such instrument is also equipped
441 with a multi-collection detector arrangement that enables to collect up to five isotopes simultaneously,
442 thereby reducing the isotopic ratio uncertainties [15]. Such device could be used to measure
443 simultaneously the $^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{239}\text{Pu}/^{240}\text{Pu}$ ratios.

444

445 **Acknowledgements**

446 Aurélie Diacre received a PhD fellowship from CEA (Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique et aux Énergies
447 Alternatives, France). The authors are also grateful to M. Aleshin, T. Tanpraphan, G. Stadelman, U.
448 Repinc, L. Sangely, and A. Bosko (IAEA, Office of Safeguards Analytical Services) for providing the MOX
449 samples.

450

451 **Author contributions**

452 **Diacre Aurélie:** Writing – original draft, Investigation, Data curation. **Fauré Anne-Laure:** Writing -
453 Review – Supervision, Data curation. **Cornaton Manon:** Supervision, Data curation. **Pointurier Fabien:**
454 Writing - Review – Supervision. **Evrard Olivier:** Writing - Review – Supervision.

455

- 457 [1] J.A. Kelley, D.A. Jaffe, A. Baklanov, A. Mahura, Heavy metals on the Kola Peninsula:
458 aerosol size distribution, *Science of The Total Environment*. 160–161 (1995) 135–138.
459 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697\(95\)04351-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(95)04351-Z).
- 460 [2] B. Chen, A.F. Stein, P.G. Maldonado, A.M. Sanchez de la Campa, Y. Gonzalez-
461 Castanedo, N. Castell, J.D. de la Rosa, Size distribution and concentrations of heavy
462 metals in atmospheric aerosols originating from industrial emissions as predicted by the
463 HYSPLIT model, *Atmospheric Environment*. 71 (2013) 234–244.
464 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.02.013>.
- 465 [3] E. Kuhn, D. Fischer, M. Ryjinski, *Environmental Samplig for IAEA Safeguards: A five*
466 *year review*, Vienne, 2001.
- 467 [4] A.J. Pidduck, M.R. Houlton, G.M. Williams, D.L. Donohue, Micro-analytical
468 characterization of Uranium particles in support of environmental sampling for
469 safeguards, (2006).
- 470 [5] D.L. Donohue, Strengthening IAEA safeguards through environmental sampling and
471 analysis, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*. 271–273 (1998) 11–18.
472 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8388\(98\)00015-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8388(98)00015-2).
- 473 [6] F. Esaka, M. Magara, C.G. Lee, S. Sakurai, S. Usuda, N. Shinohara, Comparison of ICP-
474 MS and SIMS techniques for determining uranium isotope ratios in individual particles,
475 *Talanta*. 78 (2009) 290–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2008.11.011>.
- 476 [7] S. Baude, M.C. Lanière, O. Marie, Micrometrie particle’s isotopics: An ultra-sensitive tool
477 to detect nuclear plant discharge in the environment, in: 2002. IAEA-SM-367-10105.
- 478 [8] C.G. Lee, K. Iguchi, J. Inagawa, D. Suzuki, F. Esaka, M. Magara, S. Sakurai, K.
479 Watanabe, S. Usuda, Development in fission track-thermal ionization mass spectrometry
480 for particle analysis of safeguards environmental samples, *J Radioanal Nucl Chem*. 272
481 (2007) 299–302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-007-0519-0>.
- 482 [9] V. Stebelkov, I. Elantsev, M. Hedberg, M. Wallenius, A.-L. Fauré, Determination of
483 isotopic composition of uranium in the CMX-4 samples by SIMS, *J Radioanal Nucl Chem*.
484 315 (2018) 417–423. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-017-5664-5>.
- 485 [10] A.-L. Fauré, C. Rodriguez, O. Marie, J. Aupiais, F. Pointurier, Detection of traces of
486 fluorine in micrometer sized uranium bearing particles using SIMS, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*
487 29 (2013) 145–151. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3JA50245G>.
- 488 [11] P.M.L. Hedberg, P. Peres, J.B. Cliff, F. Rabemananjara, S. Littmann, H. Thiele, C.
489 Vincent, N. Albert, Improved particle location and isotopic screening measurements of
490 sub-micron sized particles by Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*
491 26 (2011) 406–413. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C0JA00181C>.
- 492 [12] P. Peres, P.M.L. Hedberg, S. Walton, N. Montgomery, J.B. Cliff, F. Rabemananjara, M.
493 Schuhmacher, Nuclear safeguards applications using LG-SIMS with automated screening
494 capabilities: Nuclear safeguards applications using LG-SIMS, *Surf. Interface Anal.* 45
495 (2013) 561–565. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sia.5015>.
- 496 [13] P.M.L. Hedberg, P. Peres, F. Fernandes, N. Albert, C. Vincent, Latest improvements in
497 isotopic uranium particle analysis by large geometry–secondary ion mass spectrometry
498 for nuclear safeguards purposes, *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B*. 36 (2018)
499 03F108. <https://doi.org/10.1116/1.5016943>.
- 500 [14] Y. Ranebo, P.M.L. Hedberg, M.J. Whitehouse, K. Ingeneri, S. Littmann, Improved
501 isotopic SIMS measurements of uranium particles for nuclear safeguard purposes, *J. Anal.*
502 *At. Spectrom.* 24 (2009) 277. <https://doi.org/10.1039/b810474c>.
- 503 [15] P.M.L. Hedberg, P. Peres, F. Fernandes, L. Renaud, Multiple ion counting measurement
504 strategies by SIMS – a case study from nuclear safeguards and forensics, *Journal of*

- 505 Analytical Atomic Spectrometry. 30 (2015) 2516–2524.
 506 <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5JA00382B>.
- 507 [16] A.-L. Fauré, T. Dalger, Age Dating of Individual Micrometer-Sized Uranium Particles by
 508 Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry: An Additional Fingerprint for Nuclear Safeguards
 509 Purposes, *Anal. Chem.* 89 (2017) 6663–6669.
 510 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00887>.
- 511 [17] F. Pointurier, O. Marie, Identification of the chemical forms of uranium compounds in
 512 micrometer-size particles by means of micro-Raman spectrometry and scanning electron
 513 microscope, *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy*. 65 (2010) 797–804.
 514 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2010.06.008>.
- 515 [18] M.C. Jiménez-Ramos, R. García-Tenorio, I. Vioque, G. Manjón, M. García-León,
 516 Presence of plutonium contamination in soils from Palomares (Spain), *Environmental*
 517 *Pollution*. 142 (2006) 487–492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2005.10.030>.
- 518 [19] O.C. Lind, B. Salbu, K. Janssens, K. Proost, H. Dahlgard, Characterization of uranium
 519 and plutonium containing particles originating from the nuclear weapons accident in
 520 Thule, Greenland, 1968, *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*. 81 (2005) 21–32.
 521 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2004.10.013>.
- 522 [20] B. Salbu, V. Kashparov, O.C. Lind, R. Garcia-Tenorio, M.P. Johansen, D.P. Child, P.
 523 Roos, C. Sancho, Challenges associated with the behaviour of radioactive particles in the
 524 environment, *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*. 186 (2018) 101–115.
 525 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2017.09.001>.
- 526 [21] P.R. Danesi, J. Moreno, M. Makarewicz, Z. Radecki, Residual radioactivity in the
 527 terrestrial environment of the Mururoa and Fangataufa Atolls nuclear weapon test sites,
 528 *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*. 253 (2002) 13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0236-5731\(2002\)USD17-00](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0236-5731(2002)USD17-00).
- 530 [22] F.J. Sandalls, M.G. Segal, N. Victorova, Hot particles from Chernobyl: A review, *Journal*
 531 *of Environmental Radioactivity*. 18 (1993) 5–22. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0265-931X\(93\)90063-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0265-931X(93)90063-D).
- 533 [23] E. Kurihara, M. Takehara, M. Suetake, R. Ikehara, T. Komiya, K. Morooka, R. Takami,
 534 S. Yamasaki, T. Ohnuki, K. Horie, M. Takehara, G.T.W. Law, W. Bower, J.F. W.
 535 Mosselmans, P. Warnicke, B. Grambow, R.C. Ewing, S. Utsunomiya, Particulate
 536 plutonium released from the Fukushima Daiichi meltdowns, *Science of The Total*
 537 *Environment*. 743 (2020) 140539. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140539>.
- 538 [24] M. Eriksson, K. Ljunggren, C. Hindorf, Plutonium hot particle separation techniques using
 539 real-time digital image systems, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research*
 540 *Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*. 488 (2002)
 541 375–380. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(02\)00438-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(02)00438-2).
- 542 [25] S.V. Shevchenko, On the uncertainty in activity measurements for samples containing
 543 “hot particles,” *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*. 61 (2004) 1303–1306.
 544 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2004.02.022>.
- 545 [26] M.C. Jiménez-Ramos, J. García-López, R. García-Tenorio, M. García-León,
 546 Characterization of terrestrial hot particles from the Palomares accident using destructive
 547 and non-destructive analytical techniques, *Radioprotection*. 44 (2009) 345–350.
 548 <https://doi.org/10.1051/radiopro/20095067>.
- 549 [27] V. Tcherkezian (Cherkezian), V. Shkinev, L. Khitrov, G. Kolesov, Experimental approach
 550 to chernobyl hot particles, *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*. 22 (1994) 127–139.
 551 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0265-931X\(94\)90018-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0265-931X(94)90018-3).
- 552 [28] J.A. Entwistle, A.G. Flowers, G. Nageldinger, J.C. Greenwood, Identification and
 553 characterization of radioactive ‘hot’ particles in Chernobyl fallout-contaminated soils: the

- 554 application of two novel approaches, *Mineral. Mag.* 67 (2003) 183–204.
555 <https://doi.org/10.1180/0026461036720094>.
- 556 [29] M. Wallenius, K. Lützenkirchen, K. Mayer, I. Ray, L.A. de las Heras, M. Betti, O.
557 Cromboom, M. Hild, B. Lynch, A. Nicholl, H. Ottmar, G. Rasmussen, A. Schubert, G.
558 Tamborini, H. Thiele, W. Wagner, C. Walker, E. Zuleger, Nuclear forensic investigations
559 with a focus on plutonium, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*. 444–445 (2007) 57–62.
560 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2006.10.161>.
- 561 [30] M. Betti, G. Tamborini, L. Koch, Use of Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry in Nuclear
562 Forensic Analysis for the Characterization of Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium
563 Particles, *Anal. Chem.* 71 (1999) 2616–2622. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac981184r>.
- 564 [31] Y. Ranebo, N. Niagolova, N. Erdmann, M. Eriksson, G. Tamborini, M. Betti, Production
565 and Characterization of Monodisperse Plutonium, Uranium, and Mixed
566 Uranium–Plutonium Particles for Nuclear Safeguard Applications, *Anal. Chem.* (2010).
567 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac9029295>.
- 568 [32] M. Aleshin, T. Tanpraphan, O. Bildstein, L. Sangely, U. Repinc, A. Bosko, J. Poths, S.
569 Vogt, Y. Kuno, Isotopic analysis of U-PU mixed particles using Large-Geometry
570 Secondary Ion Masse Spectrometer (LG-SIMS), (2019).
571 <https://www.iaea.org/sites/default/files/19/07/cn-267-book-of-abstracts.pdf>.
- 572 [33] J.J. Bellucci, M.J. Whitehouse, M. Aleshin, M. Eriksson, Simultaneous Pu and U Isotope
573 Nuclear Forensics on an Environmentally Recovered Hot Particle, *Anal. Chem.* 91 (2019)
574 5599–5604. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04809>.
- 575 [34] Y. Ranebo, M. Eriksson, G. Tamborini, N. Niagolova, O. Bildstein, M. Betti, The Use of
576 SIMS and SEM for the Characterization of Individual Particles with a Matrix Originating
577 from a Nuclear Weapon, *Microscopy and Microanalysis*. 13 (2007) 179–190.
578 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1431927607070353>.
- 579 [35] G. Tamborini, M. Wallenius, O. Bildstein, L. Pajo, M. Betti, Development of a SIMS
580 Method for Isotopic Measurements in Nuclear Forensic Applications, *Microchimica Acta*.
581 139 (2002) 185–188. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s006040200059>.
- 582 [36] P. Morrall, D.W. Price, A.J. Nelson, W.J. Siekhaus, E. Nelson, K.J. Wu, M. Stratman, W.
583 McLean, ToF-SIMS characterization of uranium hydride, *Philosophical Magazine Letters*.
584 87 (2007) 541–547. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500830701286227>.
- 585 [37] F. Esaka, K. Watanabe, T. Onodera, C.-G. Lee, M. Magara, S. Sakurai, S. Usuda,
586 Dependence of the precision of uranium isotope ratio on particle diameter in individual
587 particle analysis with SIMS, *Applied Surface Science*. 255 (2008) 1512–1515.
588 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2008.05.110>.
- 589 [38] V. Radulović, A. Kolšek, A.-L. Fauré, A.-C. Pottin, F. Pointurier, L. Snoj, Qualification
590 of heavy water based irradiation device in the JSI TRIGA reactor for irradiations of FT-
591 TIMS samples for nuclear safeguards, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics
592 Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*.
593 885 (2018) 139–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2017.12.046>.
- 594 [39] K.T. Esaka, F. Esaka, J. Inagawa, K. Iguchi, C.-G. Lee, S. Sakurai, K. Watanabe, S. Usuda,
595 Application of Fission Track Technique for the Analysis of Individual Particles
596 Containing Uranium in Safeguard Swipe Samples, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 43 (2004) L915.
597 <https://doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.43.L915>.
- 598 [40] A. Diacre, P. Fichet, P. Sardini, J. Donnard, A.-L. Fauré, O. Marie, K. Shozugawa, M.
599 Susset, M. Hori, T. Tsutomu, F. Pointurier, O. Evrard, Comparison of techniques to
600 localise U-bearing particles in environmental samples, *Journal of Radioanalytical and
601 Nuclear Chemistry*. (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-022-08229-w>.
- 602 [41] D.L. Donohue, Environmental analysis, advances and future trends, (n.d.).
603 <https://doi.org/IAEA-CN-184/1559>.

- 604 [42] J.J. Stoffel(s), J.K. Briant, D.S. Simons, A particulate isotopic standard of uranium and
605 plutonium in an aluminosilicate matrix, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* 5 (1994) 852–858.
606 [https://doi.org/10.1016/1044-0305\(94\)87008-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/1044-0305(94)87008-X).
- 607 [43] Y. Ranebo, N. Niagolova, N. Erdmann, M. Eriksson, G. Tamborini, M. Betti, Production
608 and Characterization of Monodisperse Plutonium, Uranium, and Mixed
609 Uranium–Plutonium Particles for Nuclear Safeguard Applications, (2010).
610 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac9029295>.
- 611 [44] D.S. Simons, J.D. Fassett, Measurement of uranium-236 in particles by secondary ion
612 mass spectrometry, *J Anal At Spectrom.* 32 (2017) 393–401.
613 <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6JA00402D>.
- 614 [45] M. Wallenius, G. Tamborini, L. Koch, The “age” of plutonium particles, *Radiochimica*
615 *Acta.* 89 (2001) 55–58. <https://doi.org/10.1524/ract.2001.89.1.055>.
- 616 [46] C. Szakal, D.S. Simons, J.D. Fassett, A.J. Fahey, Advances in age-dating of individual
617 uranium particles by large geometry secondary ion mass spectrometry, *Analyst.* 144
618 (2019) 4219–4232. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9AN00774A>.
- 619