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# MOTION EQUATIONS IN A KERR-NEWMAN-DE SITTER SPACE-TIME: SOME METHODS OF INTEGRATION AND APPLICATION TO BLACK HOLES SHADOWING IN SCILAB 

ARTHUR GARNIER


#### Abstract

In this note, we recall some basic facts about the Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter (KNdS) space-time and review several formulations and integration methods for the geodesic equation of a test particle in such a space-time. In particular, we introduce some basic general symplectic integrators in the Hamiltonian formalism and we re-derive the separated motion equations using Carter's method.

After this theoretical background, we explain how to ray-trace a KNdS black hole, equipped with a thin accretion disk, using Scilab. We compare the accuracy and execution time of the previous methods, concluding that the Carter equations is the best one. Then, inspired by Hagihara, we make use of the Weierstrass elliptic functions to simplify the programs and give some illustrations, including a simulation of M87*.
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## 0. Introduction

The aim of this work is double. First, we compare several formulations and integration methods for the geodesic equations associated to the general Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter (KNdS) metric. Second, we exhibit some simple methods for shadowing a KNdS black hole in Scilab 6.1.1 ${ }^{1}$. These methods include a model for a thin accretion disk orbiting the black hole. This paper doesn't claim to present some new results in the area, but rather to give a self-contained mathematical introduction to it, with an emphasis on the numerical aspects. The Scilab scripts are available at https://github.com/arthur-garnier/knds_ orbits_and_shadows.git.

After some reminders on Einstein's general theory of relativity, we introduce the KNdS metric as in GH77 and re-prove that it maximally extends to an analytic metric satisfying the Maxwell-Einstein field equation, see Theorem 1.2.1.

Section 2 focuses on the geodesic equation of a (possibly charged) test particle in the KNdS space-time. It also considers some of the formulations that can be used to numerically solve it, such as the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms. The latter is nice since it has some nice symplectic geometric properties. We then remind some classical general symplectic schemes which we implement. As we will later integrate the geometric equation backwards, the symplectic schemes that are reversible are of particular interest. However, we shall see that they will all present some instabilities around the symmetry axis and moreover, these methods can be quite long to process as the stable ones are implicit. To get rid of this issue, we use the method from Car68.

Carter's method consists in identifying a fourth motion constant that make the geodesic equation integrable. This is done in Section 3. The resulting differential system is much simpler than the original one and can be solved quite easily using the routine lsode for Scilab 6.1.1 (see Hin80]). For more details, see Theorem 3.1.1 and Corollary 3.1.2. In Proposition 3.2.1, we derive the motion constants from the rest mass and the initial data of the geodesic.

In the next Section 4, we treat the particular case of a non-rotating black hole. Following the original idea of Hag30, we consider planar geodesics, parametrized in polar coordinates. In the case of a photon orbit in the Reissner-Nordström-(anti) de Sitter black hole (i.e. a non-rotating KNdS black hole), the geodesic equation can be reduced to the Weierstrass equation $\dot{\wp}^{2}=4 \wp^{3}-g_{2} \wp-g_{3}$, whose solution is a Weierstrass elliptic function; see Proposition 4.2.1. Coupled with the Carlson algorithm for elliptic integrals (Car95]), this provides a very efficient and fast way to shadow an RNdS black hole, which we also implement, see Corollary 4.2.3.

Then, we explain how we choose our model for the thin accretion disk, based on [SS73] and Spr95]. We assume that the matter in the accretion disk radiates as a blackbody and we use (a rescaled version of) Planck's law for the brightness. We also include the gravitational and Doppler redshift effects to the implementation. See Section 5 for more details.

In Section 6, we make some remarks on the implementation process and provide details about the backward ray tracing algorithm we use. We compare the different integration methods introduced earlier, in terms of motion constants conservation and execution times. Among others, we explain how the Weierstrass functions can be used to make the general shadowing program faster to execute. The Carter equations are by far the best integration method. We finish by giving some illustrations and a simulation of the M87 black hole.
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## 1. The Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter spacetime

1.1. Reminders on Einstein's field equation and electromagnetic stress-energy tensor. In full generality, consider a Lorentzian 4-manifold ( $\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}$ ) and let $\mathbf{R}$ be its Ricci tensor. Let $R:=\operatorname{trg}(\mathbf{R})$ be the Ricci (scalar) curvature and $\mathbf{G}:=\mathbf{R}-\frac{1}{2} R \mathbf{g}$ be the associated Einstein tensor. Then, the Einstein field equation (EFE) is the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}+\Lambda \mathbf{g}=\kappa \mathbf{T} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{T}$ is a symmetric 2-tensor on $\mathcal{M}, \kappa:=8 \pi G / c^{4}$ is the Einstein gravitational constant and $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the cosmological constant. In this case, notice that the Bianchi identity implies that the covariant derivative of $\mathbf{T}$ vanishes. If $\left(x^{\mu}\right)_{\mu=0,1,2,3}$ is a (local) coordinate frame on $\mathcal{M}$, then the (EFE) can be (locally) rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu \nu}+\Lambda g_{\mu \nu}=\frac{8 \pi G}{c^{4}} T_{\mu \nu} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $R=g^{\mu \nu} R_{\mu \nu}$ (using Einstein's summation convention), the matrix $\left(g^{\mu \nu}\right)_{\mu, \nu}$ being the inverse of the Gram matrix $\operatorname{Mat}_{x^{\mu}}(\mathbf{g})=\left(g\left(\partial_{x^{\mu}}, \partial_{x^{\nu}}\right)\right)=:\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)$. To simplify the notation, we also denote partial derivatives (resp. covariant derivatives) using a comma (resp. a semicolon) low index. In the following, we choose the signature (,,,-+++ ) for Lorentzian metrics and we use natural (Stoney) units where $G=c=4 \pi \epsilon_{0}=1$. Notice that this implies that $\mu_{0}=4 \pi$.

Recall that given a metric $\mathbf{g}=\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)$, a divergence-free contravariant vector $\mathbf{J}=\left(J^{\mu}\right)$ (i.e. such that $J^{\mu}{ }_{; \mu}:=\nabla_{\mu} J^{\mu}=0$ ) and a totally antisymmetric 2-tensor $\mathbf{F}=\left(F_{\mu \nu}\right)$, seen as a differentiable 2-form $\mathbf{F}=\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$, we say that $\mathbf{F}$ satisfies the covariant Maxwell equations if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \mathbf{F}=0=\mathrm{d}^{*} \mathbf{F}+\mu_{0}{ }^{*} \mathbf{J}, \tag{ME}
\end{equation*}
$$

where *(-) denotes the Hodge dual. In this case the vector $J^{\mu}$ is called the current 1-form and $\mathbf{F}$ is the electromagnetic field tensor. We can translate these equations in coordinates:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{\mu \nu, \lambda}+F_{\nu \lambda, \mu}+F_{\lambda \mu, \nu}=0, \\
F^{\mu \nu}=-4 \pi J^{\nu} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, on a contractible open subset of $\mathcal{M}$, the Poincaré lemma ensures the existence of a 1 -form $\mathbf{A}=A_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$, called the electromagnetic vector potential, such that $\mathbf{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathbf{A}$. In coordinates, this reads

$$
F_{\mu \nu}=A_{\nu, \mu}-A_{\mu, \nu}=A_{\nu ; \mu}-A_{\mu ; \nu} .
$$

Finally, the electromagnetic stress-energy tensor $\mathbf{T}$ associated to the field $\mathbf{F}$ is given in local coordinates by ${ }^{2}$

$$
T_{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}\left(g^{\alpha \beta} F_{\alpha \mu} F_{\beta \nu}-\frac{1}{4} g_{\mu \nu} F_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta}\right) .
$$

Then, the resulting EFE is called the Einstein-Maxwell equation (EME) associated to ( $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{F}$ ). In the case where $\mathbf{J}=0$, we call it the electro-vacuum Einstein-Maxwell equation.
1.2. The Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter solution and its analytic extension. We now recall what the Kerr-Newman-de Sitter metric is. For more details, see HS17, §1.1], [KK09, §5, 6] or [GH77, §II]. Consider the manifold $\mathcal{M}:=\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$, equipped with BoyerLindquist coordinates $(t, r, \theta, \phi)$, where $(\theta, \phi) \in[0, \pi] \times[0,2 \pi[$ describe spherical coordinates on $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. Fix four constants $\Lambda, M, Q, J \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}$ and define $a:=J / M$ if $M \neq 0$ and $a:=J$ otherwise. Let $\lambda:=\Lambda / 3$ and $\chi:=1+\lambda a^{2}$ and consider the following globally defined functions

$$
\Sigma:=r^{2}+a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta, \quad \Delta_{r}:=\left(1-\lambda r^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)-2 M r+Q^{2}, \quad \Delta_{\theta}:=1+\lambda a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta .
$$
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The Kerr-Newman-(anti)de Sitter (KNdS) metric is the metric defined on the open subset $\left\{\cos \theta(1-\cos \phi) \Sigma \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta} \neq 0\right\}$ by the line element
$(\mathrm{KNdS}) \mathrm{d} s^{2}=-\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(\mathrm{~d} t-a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi\right)^{2}+\frac{\Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(a \mathrm{~d} t-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi\right)^{2}+\Sigma\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} r^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}\right)$.
It may be convenient to have the metric written in terms of matrices. Ordering the coordinates as $(t, r, \theta, \phi)$, we have

$$
\mathbf{g}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}-\Delta_{r}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma} & 0 & 0 & \frac{a \sin ^{2} \theta\left(\Delta_{r}-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}\right)}{\chi^{2} \Sigma} \\
0 & \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta_{r}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta_{\theta}} & 0 \\
\frac{a \sin ^{2} \theta\left(\Delta_{r}-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}\right)}{\chi^{2} \Sigma} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sin ^{2} \theta\left(\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{\theta}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{r}\right)}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{g}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\chi^{2}\left(a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{r}-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{\theta}\right)}{\Sigma \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{a \chi^{2}\left(\Delta_{r}-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}\right)}{\Sigma \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta}} \\
0 & \frac{\Delta_{r}}{\Sigma} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\Delta_{\theta}}{\Sigma} & 0 \\
\frac{a \chi^{2}\left(\Delta_{r}-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}\right)}{\Sigma \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\chi^{2}\left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right)}{\Sigma \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The following result is well-known (see for instance [KK09, §6] or [BL67]) and is recalled here for completeness:
Theorem 1.2.1. Assume that $\chi>0$ and consider the electromagnetic vector potential $\mathbf{A}=A_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ defined on the open submanifold $\mathcal{U}:=\mathcal{M} \backslash\{\Sigma=0\}$ by

$$
\mathbf{A}=\frac{Q r}{\chi \Sigma}\left(\mathrm{~d} t-a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi\right)
$$

Then the metric (KNdS) extends to a smooth Lorentzian metric on $\mathcal{U}$ and the electromagnetic field $\mathbf{F}:=\mathrm{d} \mathbf{A}$ verifies the associated vacuum Maxwell equations. Moreover, the KNdS metric solves the electro-vacuum Einstein-Maxwell equation on $\mathcal{U}$.
Proof. First, we express the metric in Kerr coordinates, using its principal null geodesics. More precisely, consider the trajectory of a photon in the plane $\theta \equiv \pi / 2$ with total energy $E=1$ and total (azimuthal) angular momentum $L=a E$. Using equations (12), we see that the corresponding four-velocity is given by

$$
(\dot{t}, \dot{r}, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\phi})=\left(\frac{\chi^{2}\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{\Delta_{r}}, \pm \chi, 0, \frac{a \chi^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}\right) .
$$

By rescaling the affine parameter $\lambda \rightsquigarrow \lambda / \chi^{2}$, and choosing the ingoing geodesic with $\dot{r}<0$, the velocity is given by

$$
l^{\mu}=\left(\frac{r^{2}+a^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}, \frac{-1}{\chi}, 0, \frac{a}{\Delta_{r}}\right) .
$$

Now, the coordinates $u$ and $\bar{\phi}$ replacing $t$ and $\phi$ respectively, should be chosen to be constant along this world line, that is, we want $\mathrm{d} u / \mathrm{d} r=\mathrm{d} \bar{\phi} / \mathrm{d} r=0$. Hence, we introduce

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
u:=t+T(r), \\
\bar{\phi}:=\phi+\Phi(r),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T$ and $\Phi$ are respectively given by

$$
T(r)=\chi \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\varrho^{2}+a^{2}}{\Delta_{r}(\varrho)} \mathrm{d} \varrho, \quad \Phi(r)=a \chi \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \varrho}{\Delta_{r}(\varrho)}-\frac{\pi}{2} .
$$

The constant in the definition of $\Phi$ ensures that the Kerr-Schild variables approach oblate spheroidal coordinates as $M$ and $\Lambda$ go to zero and doesn't change the metric. The 1-forms
$\mathrm{d} t$ and $\mathrm{d} \phi$ can be expressed as

$$
\mathrm{d} t=\mathrm{d} u-\mathrm{d} T=\mathrm{d} u-\frac{\chi\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} r}{\Delta_{r}}, \quad \mathrm{~d} \phi=\mathrm{d} \bar{\phi}-\mathrm{d} \Phi=\mathrm{d} \bar{\phi}-\frac{a \chi \mathrm{~d} r}{\Delta_{r}} .
$$

Then, the metric in these new coordinates reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}= & \frac{-\Delta_{r}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(\mathrm{~d} u-\frac{\chi\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} r}{\Delta_{r}}-a \sin ^{2} \theta\left(\mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}-\frac{a \chi \mathrm{~d} r}{\Delta_{r}}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{\Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(a\left(\mathrm{~d} u-\frac{\chi\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} r}{\Delta_{r}}\right)-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{d} \bar{\phi}-\frac{a \chi \mathrm{~d} r}{\Delta_{r}}\right)\right)^{2}+\Sigma\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} r^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}\right) \\
= & \frac{a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}-\Delta_{r}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma} \mathrm{~d} u^{2}+\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left[\Delta_{\theta}\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{2}-\Delta_{r} a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right] \mathrm{d} \bar{\phi}^{2} \\
& +\frac{\Sigma \mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\frac{2 \mathrm{~d} u \mathrm{~d} r}{\chi}+\frac{2 a \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(\Delta_{r}-\Delta_{\theta}\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}-\frac{2 a \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi} \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi} . \\
= & \frac{-1}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left[a^{2} \sin ^{4} \theta \Delta_{r} \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}^{2}+\left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right) \mathrm{d} u^{2}-2 a \sin ^{2} \theta\left(\Delta_{r}-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}\right) \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}\right] \\
& +\frac{\Sigma \mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\frac{2 \mathrm{~d} u \mathrm{~d} r}{\chi}-\frac{2 a \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi} \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi} \\
= & \frac{-1}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left[\Delta_{r}\left(\mathrm{~d} u-a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}\right)^{2}-\sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\left(a \mathrm{~d} u-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \bar{\phi}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{\Sigma \mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\frac{2 \mathrm{~d} u \mathrm{~d} r}{\chi}-\frac{2 a \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi} \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Defining $\bar{t}:=u-r$, we obtain the KNdS metric in Kerr coordinates $(\bar{t}, r, \theta, \bar{\phi})$ :
$\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\frac{\Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta\left[a \mathrm{~d} \bar{t}+a \mathrm{~d} r-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \bar{\phi}\right]^{2}-\Delta_{r}\left[\mathrm{~d} \bar{t}+\mathrm{d} r-a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}\right]^{2}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}+\frac{\Sigma \mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\frac{2 \mathrm{~d} r}{\chi}\left[\mathrm{~d} \bar{t}+\mathrm{d} r-a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}\right]$.
Since $\Lambda a^{2}>-3$, we have $\Delta_{\theta}>0$ and therefore the above metric is well-defined everywhere except for $\Sigma=0$. However, computing the determinant of this metric, we find the same result as for Boyer-Lindquist coordinates, that is

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)=-\chi^{-4} \Sigma^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta
$$

and thus it is not clear yet that the metric is Lorentzian (non-degenerate) because $\operatorname{det}\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)=$ 0 for $\theta=0, \pi$. Therefore, we still have to transform the metric and we shall use Kerr-Schild coordinates for this purpose.

Following BL67, II, (2.6)] we define the following "Cartesian" Kerr-Schild coordinates

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
x & :=\sqrt{r^{2}+a^{2}} \sin (\theta) \cos (\bar{\phi}+\arctan (a / r))  \tag{4}\\
y & :=\sqrt{r^{2}+a^{2}} \sin (\theta) \sin (\bar{\phi}+\arctan (a / r)), \\
z & :=r \cos (\theta)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The variable $r$ becomes a function of $(x, y, z)$ implicitly defined by the relation

$$
\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{r^{2}+a^{2}}+\frac{z^{2}}{r^{2}}=1 .
$$

We differentiate (4) and after some manipulations, we find the following relations

$$
z \mathrm{~d} z=-r^{2} \cos \theta \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta+r \cos ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} r, \quad x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y+z \mathrm{~d} z=a^{2} \cos \theta \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta+r \mathrm{~d} r
$$

as well as

$$
x \mathrm{~d} y-y \mathrm{~d} x=\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}-a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} r .
$$

Thus, we find the expressions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{d} r=\frac{r^{2}(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)+\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) z \mathrm{~d} z}{r \Sigma}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \theta=\frac{x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y+z \mathrm{~d} z-r \mathrm{~d} r}{a^{2} \cos \theta \sin \theta}=\frac{\cos ^{2} \theta(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y+z \mathrm{~d} z)-z \mathrm{~d} z}{\Sigma \cos \theta \sin \theta} \\
\mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}=\frac{a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} r+x \mathrm{~d} y-y \mathrm{~d} x}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} \theta}
\end{array}\right.
$$

These yield

$$
a \mathrm{~d} r-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \bar{\phi}=\frac{y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y}{\sin ^{2} \theta}, \quad \mathrm{~d} r-a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}=\frac{r(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)+a(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)}{r^{2}+a^{2}}+\frac{z \mathrm{~d} z}{r}
$$

and we may now express the metric in these new variables as (we keep one "d r " for now in order to simplify the notation, but we'll give the full expression below)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}= & {\left[\frac{2 \mathrm{~d} r}{\chi}-\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(\mathrm{~d} \bar{t}+\frac{r(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)+a(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)}{r^{2}+a^{2}}+\frac{z \mathrm{~d} z}{r}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{d} \bar{t}+\frac{r(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)+a(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)}{r^{2}+a^{2}}+\frac{z \mathrm{~d} z}{r}\right) } \\
& +\frac{\Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(a \mathrm{~d} \bar{t}+\frac{y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y}{\sin ^{2} \theta}\right)^{2}+\frac{\left(\cos ^{2} \theta(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y+z \mathrm{~d} z)-z \mathrm{~d} z\right)^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \cos ^{2} \theta \sin ^{2} \theta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

At this point we can formally $3^{3}$ compute the determinant of the metric and obtain

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)_{\mathrm{KS}}\right)=-\chi^{-4} \neq 0,
$$

so that the metric is Lorentzian where it is defined. The first line above indeed is a smooth differential 2 -form except on $\{\Sigma=0\}$, so all we have to do is transform the second line.
Consider the auxiliary spacial metric

$$
\mathrm{d} \sigma^{2}:=\frac{\Delta_{\theta}(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)^{2}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma \sin ^{2} \theta}+\frac{\left(\cos ^{2} \theta(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y+z \mathrm{~d} z)-z \mathrm{~d} z\right)^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \cos ^{2} \theta \sin ^{2} \theta} .
$$

Developing and factorizing this expression yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \sigma^{2}= & \left(\frac{x^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\frac{y^{2} \Delta_{\theta}}{\chi^{2}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} x^{2}}{\Sigma \sin ^{2} \theta}+\left(\frac{y^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\frac{x^{2} \Delta_{\theta}}{\chi^{2}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} y^{2}}{\Sigma \sin ^{2} \theta}+\left(\frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\Delta_{\theta}}-\frac{\Delta_{\theta}}{\chi^{2}}\right) \frac{2 x y \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y}{\Sigma \sin ^{2} \theta} \\
& +\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta z^{2} \mathrm{~d} z^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \cos ^{2} \theta}-\frac{2 z \mathrm{~d} z}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

First, we transform the coefficient of $\mathrm{d} x^{2}$. Using (4), we have
$\cos ^{2} \theta=\frac{z^{2}}{r^{2}}, \quad \sin ^{2} \theta=\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{r^{2}+a^{2}}$, so that $\Delta_{\theta}=1+\lambda a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta=\frac{r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}}{r^{2}}, \Sigma=\frac{r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}}{r^{2}}$,
and recalling that $\chi=1+\lambda a^{2}$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\Sigma \sin ^{2} \theta}\left(\frac{x^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\frac{y^{2} \Delta_{\theta}}{\chi^{2}}\right) & =\frac{x^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\left(1+\lambda a^{2}\right)^{2}+y^{2}\left(1+\lambda a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)^{2}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta} \\
& =\frac{x^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+y^{2}+\lambda^{2} a^{4} \cos ^{2} \theta\left(x^{2}+y^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)+2 \lambda a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{\chi^{2} \Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta} \\
& =\frac{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\left(\Delta_{\theta}+\chi \lambda a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)-\sin ^{2} \theta\left(x^{2}+y^{2} \lambda^{2} a^{4} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)}{\chi^{2} \Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta} \\
& =\frac{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(\Delta_{\theta}+\chi \lambda a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)-x^{2}-y^{2} \lambda^{2} a^{4} \cos ^{2} \theta}{\chi^{2} \Sigma \Delta_{\theta}} \\
& =\frac{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}+\chi \lambda a^{2} z^{2}\right)-r^{2} x^{2}-y^{2} \lambda^{2} a^{4} z^{2}}{\chi^{2} r^{2} \Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^3]so that
$$
\frac{1}{\Sigma \sin ^{2} \theta}\left(\frac{x^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\frac{y^{2} \Delta_{\theta}}{\chi^{2}}\right)=r^{2} \frac{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}(1+\chi)\right)-r^{2} x^{2}-\lambda^{2} a^{4} y^{2} z^{2}}{\chi^{2}\left(r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}\right)} .
$$

Exchanging $x$ and $y$ gives a similar expression for the coefficient of $\mathrm{d} y^{2}$. Now we treat the $\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y$ term. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 x y}{\Sigma \sin ^{2} \theta}\left(\frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\Delta_{\theta}}-\frac{\Delta_{\theta}}{\chi^{2}}\right) & =\frac{2 x y\left[\chi^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta-\Delta_{\theta}^{2}\right]}{\chi^{2} \Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}=\frac{2 x y\left[\cos ^{2} \theta\left(1+\lambda a^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(1+\lambda a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)^{2}\right]}{\chi^{2} \Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta} \\
& =\frac{2 x y\left[\cos ^{2} \theta+\lambda^{2} a^{4} \cos ^{2} \theta-1-\lambda^{2} a^{4} \cos ^{4} \theta\right]}{\chi^{2} \Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}=\frac{2 x y\left(\lambda^{2} a^{4} \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)}{\chi^{2} \Sigma \Delta_{\theta}} \\
& =\frac{2 x y r^{2}\left(\lambda^{2} a^{4} z^{2}-r^{2}\right)}{\chi^{2}\left(r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we compute the remaining terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta z^{2} \mathrm{~d} z^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \cos ^{2} \theta}-\frac{2 z \mathrm{~d} z}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y) & =\frac{r^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} z^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}-\frac{2 z \mathrm{~d} z}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y) \\
& =\frac{r^{6}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} z^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}\right)}-\frac{2 z r^{4} \mathrm{~d} z(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)}{\left(r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{r^{4} \mathrm{~d} z\left[r^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} z-2 z\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)\right]}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Gathering all, we obtain the full expression of the metric in Kerr-Schild coordinates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}= & {\left[\frac{2 \mathrm{~d} r}{\chi}-\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(\mathrm{~d} \bar{t}+\frac{r(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)+a(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)}{r^{2}+a^{2}}+\frac{z \mathrm{~d} z}{r}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{d} \bar{t}+\frac{r(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)+a(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)}{r^{2}+a^{2}}+\frac{z \mathrm{~d} z}{r}\right) } \\
& +\mathrm{d} \sigma^{2}+\frac{a \Delta_{\theta} \mathrm{d} \bar{t}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \bar{t}+2(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}= & \frac{2\left(r^{2}(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)+\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) z \mathrm{~d} z\right)}{\chi\left(r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}\right)}\left(r \mathrm{~d} \bar{t}+\frac{r^{2}(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)+a r(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)}{r^{2}+a^{2}}+z \mathrm{~d} z\right)  \tag{5}\\
& -\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\chi^{2}\left(r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}\right)}\left(r \mathrm{~d} \bar{t}+\frac{r^{2}(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)+a r(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)}{r^{2}+a^{2}}+z \mathrm{~d} z\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{a\left(r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \bar{t}}{\chi^{2}\left(r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{a\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \bar{t}}{r^{2}+a^{2}}+2(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)\right) \\
& +\frac{r^{2}}{\left(r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}(1+\chi)\right)-r^{2} x^{2}-\lambda^{2} a^{4} y^{2} z^{2}}{\chi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x^{2}\right. \\
& +\frac{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\lambda a^{2} z^{2}(1+\chi)\right)-r^{2} y^{2}-\lambda^{2} a^{4} x^{2} z^{2}}{\chi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} y^{2}+\frac{2 x y\left(\lambda^{2} a^{4} z^{2}-r^{2}\right)}{\chi^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \left.+\frac{r^{2} \mathrm{~d} z}{r^{2}+a^{2}}\left(r^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} z-2 z\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $r=r(x, y, z)$ is the positive solution ${ }^{4}$ of $\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{r^{2}+a^{2}}+\frac{z^{2}}{r^{2}}=1$. It is now manifest that this metric is well-defined everywhere except on the ring $\{\Sigma=0\}=\left\{z=0, x^{2}+y^{2}=a^{2}\right\}$.

To prove that the potential $\mathbf{A}=\operatorname{Qr} \chi^{-1} \Sigma^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~d} t-a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi\right)$ solves the vacuum Maxwell equations and that the KNdS metric solves the associated EME, by smoothness of the metric

$$
{ }^{4} \text { explicitly: } r=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-a^{2}+\sqrt{a^{2}\left(a^{2}-2 x^{2}-2 y^{2}+2 z^{2}\right)+\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}}}
$$

and the potential, it suffices to check them on the dense open chart $\left\{\sin \theta(1-\cos \phi) \Delta_{r} \Sigma \neq 0\right\}$ and this relies on tedious but elementary calculations. Details are given in Appendix A.
Remark 1.2.2. By the Christodoulou-Ruffini mass formula (see [Pra14, §4, formula 57]), when $M \rightarrow 0$, the irreducible mass approaches $\sqrt{-Q^{2}} / 2$ and then also $Q \rightarrow 0$. Using the notation of the previous proof, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{M \rightarrow 0} \bar{\phi} & =\phi+\lim _{M \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{a \chi \mathrm{~d} \varrho}{\Delta_{r}(\varrho)}-\frac{\pi}{2}=\phi+\int_{0}^{r} \frac{a \chi \mathrm{~d} \varrho}{\left(1-\lambda \varrho^{2}\right)\left(\varrho^{2}+a^{2}\right)}-\frac{\pi}{2} \\
& =\phi+\sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{argth}(r \sqrt{\lambda})+\arctan \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)-\frac{\pi}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, when $M \rightarrow 0$, the Kerr-Schild coordinates (4) read

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=\sqrt{r^{2}+a^{2}} \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi+\sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{argth}(r \sqrt{\lambda})) \\
y=\sqrt{r^{2}+a^{2}} \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi+\sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{argth}(r \sqrt{\lambda})) \\
z=r \cos (\theta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where it is understood that $\sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{argth}(r \sqrt{\lambda})=-\sqrt{|\lambda|} \arctan (r \sqrt{|\lambda|})$ for $\lambda<0$. Regarding the time coordinate, we have

$$
\lim _{M \rightarrow 0} \bar{t}=t-r+\lim _{M \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\chi\left(\varrho^{2}+a^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \varrho}{\Delta_{r}(\varrho)}=t-r+\frac{\chi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \operatorname{argth}(r \sqrt{\lambda}),
$$

with the same convention as before: $\operatorname{argth}(r \sqrt{\lambda}) / \sqrt{\lambda}=\arctan (r \sqrt{|\lambda|}) / \sqrt{|\lambda|}$ for $\lambda<0$. Hence, with our convention, the Kerr-Schild coordinates coincide with the usual oblate spheroidal coordinates only for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and in this case, the Kerr-Schild and Boyer-Lindquist times agree.
1.3. Kerr-Schild form and maximality of the extension. The formula (3) above allows to write the KNdS metric in Kerr coordinates ( $\bar{t}, r, \theta, \bar{\phi}$ ) as

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} s_{0}^{2}+\frac{2 M r-Q^{2}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(\mathrm{~d} \bar{t}+\mathrm{d} r-a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \bar{\phi}\right)^{2},
$$

where $\mathrm{d} s_{0}^{2}$ is the KNdS metric with $M=Q=0$. In Boyer-Lindquist coordinates, we have

$$
\mathrm{d} s_{0}^{2}=-\frac{\widetilde{\Delta_{r}}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(\mathrm{~d} t-a \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi\right)^{2}+\frac{\Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(a \mathrm{~d} t-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi\right)^{2}+\Sigma\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} r^{2}}{\widetilde{\Delta_{r}}}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}\right),
$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta_{r}}=\left(1-\lambda r^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)$. As indicated in HV21, §4.2], if we define new coordinates ( $T, R, \Theta, \Phi$ ) by

$$
T:=t / \chi, \quad R^{2}:=\frac{1}{\chi}\left(r^{2} \Delta_{\theta}+a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right), \quad R \cos \Theta=r \cos \theta, \quad \Phi=\phi-\frac{a \lambda}{\chi} T,
$$

then the metric $\mathrm{d} s_{0}^{2}$ becomes

$$
\mathrm{d} s_{0}^{2}=-\left(1-\lambda R^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} T^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d} R^{2}}{1-\lambda R^{2}}+R^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} \Theta^{2}+\sin \Theta^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi^{2}\right)
$$

which is the usual de Sitter metric. Thus, we obtain the Kerr-Schild form of the KNdS metric: the flat de Sitter metric plus a perturbation term. In Kerr-Schild coordinates, we have

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} s_{0}^{2}+\frac{2 M r-Q^{2}}{r^{4}+a^{2} z^{2}}\left(\frac{r \mathrm{~d} \bar{t}}{\chi}+\frac{r^{2}(x \mathrm{~d} x+y \mathrm{~d} y)+\operatorname{ar}(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)}{\chi\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)}+\frac{z \mathrm{~d} z}{\chi}\right)^{2} .
$$

On the other hand, the Kretschmann scalar $K=R_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} R^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}$ for the KNdS metric has recently been computed by Kraniotis [Kra22, Theorem 1] and is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
K= & \frac{8}{\Sigma^{6}}\left[3 \lambda^{2} a^{12} \cos ^{12} \theta+18 \lambda^{2} a^{10} r^{2} \cos ^{10} \theta+45 \lambda^{2} a^{8} r^{4} \cos ^{8} \theta+6 \cos ^{6} \theta\left(10 \lambda^{2} a^{6} r^{6}-a^{6} M^{2}\right)\right. \\
& +a^{4} \cos ^{4} \theta\left(45 \lambda^{2} r^{8}+90 M^{2} r^{2}-60 M Q^{2} r+7 Q^{4}\right) \\
& \left.+a^{2} r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\left(18 \lambda^{2} r^{2}-90 M^{2} r^{2}+120 M Q^{2} r-34 Q^{4}\right)+3 \lambda^{2} r^{12}+6 M^{2} r^{6}-12 M Q^{2} r^{5}+7 Q^{4} r^{4}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is singular exactly on $\{\Sigma=0\}$ and thus the analytic extension of the KNdS metric to $\mathcal{M} \backslash\{\Sigma=0\}$ is maximal.

## 2. SEVERAL FORMULATIONS AND NUMERICAL SCHEMES FOR THE GEODESIC EQUATION

Here, we first recall two of the main formulations of the geodesic equation namely, the Euler-Lagrange and Hamilton equations. Then, we review some of the general elementary symplectic integrators that can be used.

Throughout this section, we consider a geodesic $\gamma=(t, r, \theta, \phi)$ in the KNdS spacetime, corresponding to the trajectory of a test particle with rest mass $\mu \in\{-1,0\}$, electric charge $e$, energy $E$, angular momentum $L$ and Carter constant $\kappa$. Recall that $\gamma$ satisfies the geodesic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\gamma}^{\mu}+\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha \beta} \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha} \dot{\gamma}^{\beta}=e F^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha} \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha \beta}=g^{\mu \nu} \Gamma_{\mu \alpha \beta}:=\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu}\left(g_{\nu \beta, \alpha}+g_{\nu \alpha, \beta}-g_{\alpha \beta, \nu}\right)$ are the Christoffel symbols and $F^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha}=g^{\mu \nu} F_{\nu \alpha}$ is the electromagnetic tensor (in mixed form). We assume that $\gamma$ is a maximal solution of this equation, defined on an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, say, with affine parameter $\ell \in I$ (the dot of course represents the derivative with respect to the affine parameter).
2.1. Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms. Consider the relativistic Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}: T \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}):=\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \dot{\gamma}^{\mu} \dot{\gamma}^{\nu}+e A_{\mu} \dot{\gamma}^{\mu},
$$

as well as the related action integral

$$
S:=\int \mathcal{L}(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) \mathrm{d} \ell
$$

where we integrate on a compact sub-interval of $I$. Hamilton's principle asserts that $\gamma$ is a stationary point of the action $S$, and this is equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \ell}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\gamma}}\right)=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \gamma} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Developing, we find that for all $\mu \in\{0,1,2,3\}$,

$$
g_{\mu \nu} \ddot{\gamma}^{\nu}+g_{\mu \alpha, \beta} \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha} \dot{\gamma}^{\beta}+e A_{\mu, \alpha} \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \ell}\left(g_{\mu \alpha} \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha}+e A_{\mu}\right)=\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta, \mu} \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha} \dot{\gamma}^{\beta}+e A_{\alpha, \mu} \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha}
$$

and rearranging this yields

$$
g_{\mu \nu} \ddot{\gamma}^{\nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(2 g_{\mu \alpha, \beta}-g_{\alpha \beta, \mu}\right) \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha} \dot{\gamma}^{\beta}+e\left(A_{\mu, \alpha}-A_{\alpha, \mu}\right) \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha}=0,
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\gamma}^{\mu}+{ }^{\prime} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha \beta} \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha} \dot{\gamma}^{\beta}-e F^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha} \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha}=0, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
{ }^{\prime} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha \beta}=g^{\mu \nu}\left(g_{\alpha \nu, \beta}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta, \nu}\right) .
$$

This is indeed equivalent to (6) since the difference ' $\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha \beta}-\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu}\left(g_{\nu \alpha, \beta}-g_{\nu \beta, \alpha}\right)$ is anti-symmetric in the indices $\alpha$ and $\beta$. However, we implement ${ }^{5}$ the geodesic equation in Euler-Lagrange form, as it requires a bit less heavy calculations than the genuine Christoffel

[^4]symbols. To solve the equations (8), we simply use the internal solver from Scilab that implements Adams methods (see Hin80).

Instead of the Lagrangian, one may look at the Hamiltonian. First, we introduce the conjugate momenta:

$$
p_{\mu}:=g_{\mu \nu} \dot{\gamma}^{\nu}+e A_{\mu} .
$$

The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}: T^{*} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is then defined as the Legendre transform of $\mathcal{L}$, namely

$$
\mathcal{H}(\gamma, p):=\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu}\left(p_{\mu}-e A_{\mu}\right)\left(p_{\nu}-e A_{\nu}\right)=p_{\mu} \dot{\gamma}^{\mu}-\mathcal{L}(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) .
$$

Then, the Euler-Lagrange equation is equivalent to Hamilton's equations

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \gamma}{\mathrm{~d} \ell} & =\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p}  \tag{9}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} p}{\mathrm{~d} \ell} & =-\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \gamma}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Unravelling this, we obtain the following system of order 1

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\gamma}^{\mu}=g^{\mu \alpha}\left(p_{\alpha}-e A_{\alpha}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\dot{p}_{\mu}=\frac{e}{2} g^{\alpha \beta}\left(A_{\alpha, \mu}\left(p_{\alpha}-e A_{\alpha}\right)+A_{\beta, \mu}\left(p_{\beta}-e A_{\beta}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2} g_{, \mu}^{\alpha \beta}\left(p_{\alpha}-e A_{\alpha}\right)\left(p_{\beta}-e A_{\beta}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the case of a particle without charge $(e=0)$, this reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\gamma}^{\mu}=g^{\mu \alpha} p_{\alpha}, \\
\dot{p}_{\mu}=-\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha \beta}{ }_{, \mu} p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

As we shall see in the comparison section, the equations are a bit faster to integrate (with the Adams solver from Hin80]) than the Euler-Lagrange ones. Moreover, they are more efficient in preserving the Hamiltonian.
2.2. Symplectic schemes for Hamilton's equations. In view of integrating the system (10), we may use general algorithms that apply to any Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}: T^{*} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, called symplectic integrators. A detailed exposition can be found in [FQ10] and [HLW03]. See also [SSC94].

First, we remind some basics of symplectic geometry (see [FQ10, §3.1]). If $q=\left(q^{1}, \ldots, q^{N}\right)$ are local coordinates on an $N$-manifold $\mathcal{X}$ and $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)$ the associated coordinates on $T_{p}^{*} \mathcal{X}$, then $(q, p)$ are local coordinates on $T^{*} \mathcal{X}$ and we may define a symplectic form on it:

$$
\omega:=\mathrm{d} p \wedge \mathrm{~d} q=\mathrm{d} p_{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} q^{i} .
$$

If $\mathcal{H}: T^{*} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, then there exists a vector field $X_{\mathcal{H}} \in \Gamma\left(T\left(T^{*} \mathcal{X}\right)\right)$ on $T^{*} \mathcal{X}$ such that $\omega\left(X_{\mathcal{H}},-\right)=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}$. Then, given $(q, p) \in T^{*} \mathcal{X}$, there is a unique maximal curve $\left.\gamma_{q, p}:\right]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\rightarrow T^{*} \mathcal{X}\right.$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{q, p}(0)=(q, p), \\
\gamma_{q, p}^{\prime}=X_{\mathcal{H}} \circ \gamma_{q, p} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, the Hamiltonian flow $\Phi_{s}$ is defined as $\Phi_{s}(q, p):=\gamma_{q, p}(s)$, when this makes sense. Citing [FQ10, §3.2.1, Theorem 2.4], this flow is symplectic, meaning that the pull-back $\Phi_{s}^{*} \omega=\omega$. In other words, if $\Phi_{s}^{\prime}(q, p)$ denotes the Jacobian $\left(\partial \Phi_{s} / \partial(q, p)\right)$ of $\Phi_{s}$, then we have

$$
{ }^{t} \Phi_{s}^{\prime}(q, p) \cdot J \cdot \Phi_{s}^{\prime}(q, p)=J, \quad \text { where } J:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{n} \\
-I_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Roughly, this means that Hamilton's equations (9) (or rather the flow of $\mathcal{H}$ ) preserves the symplectic structure on $T^{*} \mathcal{X}$. As we would like to solve the system numerically, it would be nice to have schemes that also preserve this geometric structure.

Consider a smooth curve $\xi: s \mapsto \xi(s)=(q(s), p(s))$ satisfying Hamilton's equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{q}=\partial_{p} \mathcal{H}(q, p),  \tag{11}\\
\dot{p}=-\partial_{q} \mathcal{H}(q, p) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

A one-step numerical scheme with step $h \neq 0$ can be represented by its numerical flow $\Phi_{h}:\left(q_{n}, p_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(q_{n+1}, p_{n+1}\right)$. As for the Hamiltonian, this flow reflects the geometric properties of the scheme.

Definition 2.2.1. Define the involution $\psi:(q, p) \mapsto(q,-p)$ on $T^{*} \mathcal{X}$ and consider a numerical scheme with flow $\Phi_{h}:\left(q_{n}, p_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(q_{n+1}, p_{n+1}\right)$.
(1) The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be time-reversible if its flow $\Phi_{s}$ satisfies

$$
\psi \circ \Phi_{s} \circ \psi=\Phi_{-s} .
$$

In other words, this means that $(\widehat{q}, \widehat{p})=\Phi_{s}(q, p)$ iff $\Phi_{s}(\widehat{q},-\widehat{p})=(q,-p)$.
(2) Similarly, if $\mathcal{H}$ is time-reversible, then the scheme is reversible if its flow satisfies

$$
\psi \circ \Phi_{h} \circ \psi=\Phi_{-h} .
$$

(3) The scheme is symmetric if we have $\Phi_{h}^{-1}=\Phi_{-h}$.
(4) Finally, the scheme is symplectic if its flow is, i.e. if

$$
{ }^{t} \Phi_{h}^{\prime}(q, p) \cdot J \cdot \Phi_{h}^{\prime}(q, p)=J
$$

Remark 2.2.2. To say that $\mathcal{H}$ is reversible is equivalent to the following conditions

$$
\partial_{p} \mathcal{H}(q,-p)=-\partial_{p} \mathcal{H}(q, p) \text { and } \partial_{q} \mathcal{H}(q,-p)=\partial_{q} \mathcal{H}(q, p) .
$$

From this we see that for instance, the Hamiltonian of an uncharged particle in the KNdS space-time is reversible.

We now give the symplectic schemes we have implemented. As is well-known, explicit schemes are unstable and the approximations they produce may blow-up, especially with problems like our geodesic one, where some (coordinate) singularities appear in the metric. However, the (velocity-)Verlet is a relatively good explicit alternative for our setting. With that being said, it turns out that all the schemes we present here do blow-up near the axis of rotation $\{\sin \theta=0\} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

The simplest methods are the semi-implicit Euler schemes. These are given as follows:

```
Algorithm \(1 q\)-implicit Euler scheme
Require: \(h>0,\left(q_{0}, p_{0}\right)\)
    for \(n=0, \ldots\), do
        \(q_{n+1}=q_{n}+h \partial_{p} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n+1}, p_{n}\right)\)
        \(p_{n+1}=p_{n}-h \partial_{q} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n+1}, p_{n}\right)\)
    end for
```

```
Algorithm \(2 p\)-implicit Euler scheme
Require: \(h>0,\left(q_{0}, p_{0}\right)\)
    for \(n=0, \ldots\), do
        \(p_{n+1}=p_{n}-h \partial_{q} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n}, p_{n+1}\right)\)
        \(q_{n+1}=q_{n}+h \partial_{p} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n}, p_{n+1}\right)\)
    end for
```

As we shall see later, the $p$-implicit method is roughly twice as fast as the $q$-implicit one in our setting. This comes from the fact that our (uncharged) Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}=\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu}(q) p_{\mu} p_{\nu}$ is way easier to differentiate with respect to $p$ (it is quadratic in $p$ ) than with respect to $q$ and thus the equation $p_{n+1}=p_{n}-h \partial_{q} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n}, p_{n+1}\right)$ is more easily solved than the equation $q_{n+1}=q_{n}+h \partial_{p} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n+1}, p_{n}\right)$.

A relatively strong explicit method is the velocity Verlet (or Verlet-leapfrog) scheme. As in [BRSS18, §3.3], the scheme with step size $h$ is written as follows:

```
Algorithm 3 Velocity Verlet scheme
Require: \(h>0,\left(q_{0}, p_{0}\right)\)
    for \(n=0, \ldots\), do
        \(p_{n+\frac{1}{2}}=p_{n}-\frac{h}{2} \partial_{q} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n}, p_{n}\right)\)
        \(q_{n+1}=q_{n}+h \partial_{p} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n}, p_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\right)\)
        \(p_{n+1}=p_{n+\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{h}{2} \partial_{q} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n+1}, p_{n}\right)\)
    end for
```

Following HLW03, §1.8, (1.25)], a more stable method is the Störmer-Verlet scheme, which reads (there's a dual version of it, roughly by exchanging $q$ and $p$ and the signs accordingly)

```
Algorithm 4 Störmer-Verlet scheme
Require: \(h>0,\left(q_{0}, p_{0}\right)\)
    for \(n=0, \ldots\), do
        \(q_{n+\frac{1}{2}}=q_{n}+\frac{h}{2} \partial_{p} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, p_{n}\right)\)
        \(p_{n+1}=p_{n}-\frac{h}{2}\left(\partial_{q} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, p_{n}\right)+\partial_{q} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, p_{n+1}\right)\right)\)
        \(q_{n+1}=q_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{h}{2} \partial_{p} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, p_{n+1}\right)\)
    end for
```

Because of its stability, this is the most efficient method, but it requires much more time to numerically solve the implicit equation for $q_{n+1 / 2}$.

We may summarize the properties of the above schemes in the following result:
Theorem 2.2.3 ([HLW03], DGML09]). The Euler schemes are of order 1 and symplectic but not symmetric (inversing the flow exchanges the two schemes) and not reversible (timereversion takes each one to its explicit analogue).

The Verlet scheme is symplectic, reversible, symmetric and of order 2.
Finally, the Störmer-Verlet scheme is symplectic, reversible, symmetric and of order 2 as well, but it is also stable.

## 3. Motion constants and Carter's equations

In this section, we take advantage of the form of the metric (in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates) and apply Carter's method Car68 to derive the motion equations in the KNdS space-time. More precisely, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is separable and yields four constants of motion, making the geodesic equations separable. Then, we explain how to find the four constants from genuine initial conditions.
3.1. Motion equations. Consider the trajectory of charged particle, with electric charge $e \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\gamma$ be the corresponding (time-like or light-like) geodesic, defined on an open interval $0 \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with affine parameter $\ell \in I$ and assume $\gamma$ has values in $\mathcal{M} \backslash\{\cos \theta(1-$ $\left.\cos \phi) \Sigma \Delta_{r}=0\right\}$. Recall the Hamiltonian

$$
\frac{\mu}{2}:=\mathcal{H}(\gamma, p)=\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu}\left(p_{\mu}-e A_{\mu}\right)\left(p_{\nu}-e A_{\nu}\right)
$$

which is constant along $\gamma$ and equals $-\frac{1}{2} m^{2}$, where $m$ is the rest mass of the particl ${ }^{6}$. Also, as $\partial_{t}$ and $\partial_{\phi}$ are Killing vectors, the total energy $E:=-p_{t}$ and the total (azimuthal) angular

[^5]momentum $L:=p_{\phi}$ are constant along $\gamma$ too. It turns out that there is a fourth constant $\kappa$, called the Carter constant, which allows to write the geodesic equations in a separable form. This is the point of the following well-known result (the formulation and proof are inspired by [BBS89], [HMS14] and [HS17]):
Theorem 3.1.1. Given a geodesic $\gamma$ as above, define the following functions on $I$ :
$$
W_{r}:=\chi\left(E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)-a L\right)+e Q r \text { and } W_{\theta}:=\chi(a E \sin \theta-L / \sin \theta) .
$$

Then, the quantity

$$
\kappa:=\Delta_{\theta} p_{\theta}^{2}+\frac{W_{\theta}^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}-\mu a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta=-\Delta_{r} p_{r}^{2}+\frac{W_{r}^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}+\mu r^{2}
$$

is constant along $\gamma$ and moreover, $\gamma=(t, r, \theta, \phi)$ satisfies the following differential system on I:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\Sigma}{\chi} \dot{t}=\frac{W_{r}\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{\Delta_{r}}-\frac{a W_{\theta} \sin \theta}{\Delta_{\theta}},  \tag{12}\\
\Sigma^{2} \dot{r}^{2}=W_{r}^{2}-\Delta_{r}\left(\kappa-\mu r^{2}\right), \\
\Sigma^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}=-W_{\theta}^{2}+\Delta_{\theta}\left(\kappa+\mu a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right), \\
\frac{\Sigma}{\chi} \dot{\phi}=\frac{a W_{r}}{\Delta_{r}}-\frac{W_{\theta}}{\Delta_{\theta} \sin \theta} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. First, we compute the Hamiltonian explicitly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathcal{H}(\gamma, p) \stackrel{\text { df }}{=} & g^{\mu \nu}\left(p_{\mu}-e A_{\mu}\right)\left(p_{\nu}-e A_{\nu}\right)=g^{t t}\left(p_{t}-\frac{e Q r}{\chi \Sigma}\right)^{2}+2 g^{t \phi}\left(p_{t}-\frac{e Q r}{\chi \Sigma}\right)\left(p_{\phi}+\frac{e Q r a \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi \Sigma}\right) \\
& +g^{\phi \phi}\left(p_{\phi}+\frac{e Q r a \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi \Sigma}\right)^{2}+g^{r r} p_{r}^{2}+g^{\theta \theta} p_{\theta}^{2} \\
= & \frac{\chi^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta}}\left(a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{r}-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{\theta}\right)\left(E+\frac{e Q r}{\chi \Sigma}\right)^{2}+\frac{\chi^{2}}{\Sigma}\left(\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}}-\frac{a^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}\right)\left(L+\frac{e Q r a \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi \Sigma}\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{2 a \chi^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta}}\left(\Delta_{r}-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}\right)\left(L+\frac{e Q r a \sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi \Sigma}\right)\left(E+\frac{e Q r}{\chi \Sigma}\right)+\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\Sigma} p_{r}^{2}+\frac{\Delta_{\theta}}{\Sigma} p_{\theta}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Formally developing and factorizing, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu= & \frac{1}{\Sigma^{3} \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}\left\{-2 \chi e Q r \Sigma^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\left(E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)-a L\right)-r^{2} e^{2} Q^{2} a^{4} \sin ^{6} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right. \\
& +a^{2} \sin ^{4} \theta\left(2 e^{2} r^{2} Q^{2}\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}+E^{2} \chi^{2} \Sigma^{2} \Delta_{r}\right)+L^{2} \chi^{2} \Sigma^{2} \Delta_{r} \\
& \left.+\sin ^{2} \theta\left[\Sigma^{2} \Delta_{\theta}^{2} \Delta_{r} p_{\theta}^{2}+\Delta_{\theta}\left(\Sigma^{2}\left(\Delta_{r}^{2} p_{r}^{2}-\chi^{2}\left(E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)-a L\right)^{2}\right)-e^{2} r^{2} Q^{2}\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{2}\right)-2 E L a \chi^{2} \Sigma^{2} \Delta_{r}\right]\right\} \\
= & \frac{2 \chi e Q r}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}\left(a L-E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)\right)-\frac{e^{2} Q^{2} r^{2} a^{4} \sin ^{4} \theta}{\Sigma^{2} \Delta_{r}}+\frac{2 a^{2} e^{2} Q^{2} r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{\Sigma^{2} \Delta_{r}}+\frac{a^{2} \chi^{2} E^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta}} \\
& +\frac{L^{2} \chi^{2}}{\sin ^{2} \theta \Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}-\frac{2 E L a \chi^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}-\frac{\chi^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}\left(E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)-a L\right)^{2}-\frac{e^{2} Q^{2} r^{2}\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{2}}{\Sigma^{2} \Delta_{r}}+\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\Sigma} p_{r}^{2}+\frac{\Delta_{\theta}}{\Sigma} p_{\theta}^{2} \\
= & \frac{e^{2} Q^{2} r^{2}}{\Sigma^{3} \Delta_{r}} \underbrace{\left(2 a^{2}\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} \theta-a^{4} \sin ^{4} \theta-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{2}\right)}_{=-\Sigma^{2}}+\frac{L^{2} \chi^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}+\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\Sigma} p_{r}^{2}+\frac{\Delta_{\theta}}{\Sigma} p_{\theta}^{2} \\
& +\frac{a L-E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}\left(2 \chi e Q r+\chi^{2}\left(E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)-a L\right)\right)+\frac{a E \chi^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}\left(a E \sin ^{2} \theta-2 L\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu= & -\frac{e^{2} Q^{2} r^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}+\frac{\chi\left(a L-E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)\right.}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}\left(2 e Q r+\chi\left(E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)-a L\right)\right)+\frac{a \chi^{2} E}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}\left(a E \sin ^{2} \theta-2 L\right) \\
& +\frac{L^{2} \chi^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}+\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\Sigma} p_{r}^{2}+\frac{\Delta_{\theta}}{\Sigma} p_{\theta}^{2} \\
= & -\frac{\chi^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}\left(E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)-a L+\frac{e Q r}{\chi}\right)^{2}+\frac{\chi^{2}\left(a E \sin ^{2} \theta-L\right)^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}+\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\Sigma} p_{r}^{2}+\frac{\Delta_{\theta}}{\Sigma} p_{\theta}^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{\Sigma}\left(-\frac{W_{r}^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}+\frac{W_{\theta}^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\Delta_{r} p_{r}^{2}+\Delta_{\theta} p_{\theta}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(\gamma, p)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{W_{\theta}^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}-\frac{W_{r}^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}+\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\Sigma} p_{r}^{2}+\frac{\Delta_{\theta}}{\Sigma} p_{\theta}^{2}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the action integral

$$
S:=\int^{\ell} \mathcal{L}(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) \mathrm{d} l=\int^{\ell} p_{\mu} \dot{\gamma}^{\mu}-\mathcal{H}(\gamma, p) \mathrm{d} l .
$$

Then, we have $p_{\mu}=\partial S / \partial \gamma^{\mu}$ and the motion equations can be expressed as the HamiltonJacobi equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial \ell}=\mathcal{H}\left(\gamma, \frac{\partial S}{\partial \gamma}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (13), this amounts to say that

$$
\mu=2 \frac{\partial S}{\partial \ell}=\frac{W_{\theta}^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}-\frac{W_{r}^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}+\frac{\Delta_{r}}{\Sigma}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r}\right)^{2}+\frac{\Delta_{\theta}}{\Sigma}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2} .
$$

This equation may be rewritten in the separated form

$$
\frac{W_{\theta}^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\Delta_{\theta}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}-\mu a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta=\frac{W_{r}^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}-\Delta_{r}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r}\right)^{2}+\mu r^{2}
$$

so that each side of this equation is equal to some constant $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, as in the statement. But since we have $\Delta_{\nu} p_{\nu}=\Sigma \dot{\nu}$ for $\nu=r, \theta$, we obtain the equations for $\dot{r}^{2}$ and $\dot{\theta}^{2}$ as claimed.

Now, the equations for $\dot{t}$ and $\dot{\phi}$ may be derived as follows. We compute

$$
g^{t \mu} p_{\mu}=g^{t \mu}\left(g_{\mu \nu} \dot{\gamma}^{\nu}+e A_{\mu}\right)=\dot{t}+e g^{t \mu} A_{\mu}=\dot{t}+\frac{e Q r}{\chi \Sigma}\left(g^{t t}-a \sin ^{2} \theta g^{t \phi}\right)=\dot{t}-\frac{\chi e Q r\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}
$$

so that we get
$\dot{t}=\frac{\chi e Q r\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}+g^{t t} p_{t}+g^{t \phi} p_{\phi}=\frac{\chi e Q r\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}-E g^{t t}+L g^{t \phi}=\frac{\chi W_{r}\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}-\frac{a \chi W_{\theta} \sin \theta}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}$
and we proceed in the same way for $\dot{\phi}$ : we have $g^{\phi \mu} p_{\mu}=\dot{\phi}-\frac{e Q r a \chi}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}$ and thus

$$
\dot{\phi}=\frac{e Q r a \chi}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}+g^{t \phi} p_{t}+g^{\phi \phi} p_{\phi}=\frac{e Q r a \chi}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}-E g^{t \phi}+L g^{\phi \phi}=\frac{a \chi W_{r}}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}-\frac{\chi W_{\theta}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \sin \theta} .
$$

The set of equations (12) unusable in numerical computations due to the squares in the equations for $\dot{r}$ and $\dot{\theta}$. Indeed, at turning points (points where the sign of $\dot{r}$ or $\dot{\theta}$ changes), one could not choose what sign to put in front of the square root when these get smaller and smaller. We get rid of this difficulty using the method of [FW04] (see also [PYYY16]) and derivate the equations for $\dot{r}^{2}$ and $\dot{\theta}^{2}$ again. It turns out the formulation is more elegant when dealing with the derivate conjugate momenta $\dot{p_{r}}$ and $\dot{p_{\theta}}$ rather that with $\ddot{r}$ and $\ddot{\theta}$.

Corollary 3.1.2. With the same notation as in Theorem 3.1.1, the geodesic $\gamma$ with motion constants $(\mu, E, L, \kappa)$ satisfies the following first order autonomous differential system with variables $\left(t, r, p_{r}, \theta, p_{\theta}, \phi\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\Sigma}{\chi} \dot{t}=\frac{W_{r}\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{\Delta_{r}}-\frac{a W_{\theta} \sin \theta}{\Delta_{\theta}},  \tag{15}\\
\Sigma \dot{r}=\Delta_{r} p_{r}, \\
\Sigma \dot{p}_{r}=\frac{\frac{\partial W_{r}^{2}}{\partial r}-\Delta_{r}^{\prime}\left(\kappa-\mu r^{2}\right)}{2 \Delta_{r}}+\mu r-\Delta_{r}^{\prime} p_{r}^{2}, \\
\Sigma \dot{\theta}=\Delta_{\theta} p_{\theta}, \\
\Sigma \dot{p_{\theta}}=\frac{-\frac{\partial W_{\theta}^{2}}{\partial \theta}+\Delta_{\theta}^{\prime}\left(\kappa+\mu a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)}{2 \Delta_{\theta}}-\mu a^{2} \cos \theta \sin \theta-\Delta_{\theta}^{\prime} p_{\theta}^{2}, \\
\frac{\Sigma}{\chi} \dot{\phi}=\frac{a W_{r}}{\Delta_{r}}-\frac{W_{\theta}}{\Delta_{\theta} \sin \theta},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where, of course, for $\nu=r, \theta$, the symbol $\Delta_{\nu}^{\prime}$ means $\partial \Delta_{\nu} / \partial \nu$.
Proof. We only carry the calculations out for $\dot{p_{r}}$, the case of $\dot{p_{\theta}}$ being similar. Define $f(r):=$ $\frac{W_{r}^{2}}{\Delta_{r}^{2}}-\frac{\kappa-\mu r^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}$ so that the second equation from 12 reads $p_{r}^{2}=f(r)$ and differentiating this equation with respect to $\ell$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 p_{r} \dot{p}_{r}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{\mathrm{~d} \ell} & \Longleftrightarrow \frac{2 \Sigma \dot{p}_{r}}{\Delta_{r}}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}=2 \frac{W_{r}\left(W_{r}^{\prime} \Delta_{r}-W_{r} \Delta_{r}^{\prime}\right)}{\Delta_{r}^{3}}-\frac{-2 \mu r \Delta_{r}-\left(\kappa-\mu r^{2}\right) \Delta_{r}^{\prime}}{\Delta_{r}^{2}} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \Sigma \dot{p}_{r}=\frac{W_{r}\left(W_{r}^{\prime} \Delta_{r}-W_{r} \Delta_{r}^{\prime}\right)}{\Delta_{r}^{2}}+\mu r+\left(\kappa-\mu r^{2}\right) \frac{\Delta_{r}^{\prime}}{2 \Delta_{r}} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \Sigma \dot{p}_{r}=\frac{2 W_{r}^{\prime} W_{r}-\Delta_{r}^{\prime}\left(\kappa-\mu r^{2}\right)}{2 \Delta_{r}}+\mu r+\frac{\Delta_{r}^{\prime}}{\Delta_{r}}\left(\kappa-\mu r^{2}-\frac{W_{r}^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \Sigma \dot{p}_{r}=\frac{\partial_{r}\left(W_{r}^{2}\right)-\Delta_{r}^{\prime}\left(\kappa-\mu r^{2}\right)}{2 \Delta_{r}}+\mu r-\Delta_{r}^{\prime} p_{r}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3.2. Expressions for the motion constants. In order to implement the set of equations (15), we need to find the constants ( $\mu, E, L, \kappa$ ) from initial values for the geodesic $\gamma$. We have the following result:
Proposition 3.2.1. Given a geodesic $\gamma=(t, r, \theta, \phi)$ as in Theorem 3.1.1, the energy, angular momentum and Carter's constant are given as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E=-\frac{e Q r}{\chi \Sigma}+\frac{1}{\chi} \sqrt{\left(a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}-\Delta_{r}\right)\left(\frac{\mu}{\Sigma}-\frac{\dot{r}^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}-\frac{\dot{\theta}^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}\right)+\frac{\dot{\phi}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta}}{\chi^{2}}} \\
L=\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi^{2}\left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right)}\left[a E \chi^{2} \Delta_{r}+\Delta_{\theta}\left(\Sigma \Delta_{r} \dot{\phi}-a \chi\left(\chi E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)+e Q r\right)\right)\right] \\
\kappa=\frac{W_{\theta}^{2}+\Sigma^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}-\mu a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta=\frac{W_{r}^{2}-\Sigma^{2} \dot{r}^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}+\mu r^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mu=-1$ for a massive test particle and $\mu=0$ for a photon.

## ARTHUR GARNIER

Proof. The expressions for $\kappa$ are straightforwardly obtained from those in Theorem 3.1.1. To compute $L$, we simply invert the azimuthal equation from the system 12 . We write

$$
\frac{\Sigma}{\chi} \dot{\phi}=\frac{a W_{r}}{\Delta_{r}}-\frac{W_{\theta}}{\Delta_{\theta} \sin \theta}=\chi L\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta}-\frac{a^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}\right)-\frac{a \chi E}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\frac{a\left(\chi E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)+e Q r\right)}{\Delta_{r}}
$$

so that, multiplying both sides by $\sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi L\left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right) & =\frac{\Sigma \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta} \sin ^{2} \theta \dot{\phi}}{\chi}+a \chi E \Delta_{r} \sin ^{2} \theta-a \sin ^{2} \Delta_{\theta}\left(\chi E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)+e Q r\right) \\
& =\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{\chi}\left[a E \chi^{2} \Delta_{r}+\Delta_{\theta}\left(\Sigma \Delta_{r} \dot{\phi}-a \chi\left(\chi E\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)+e Q r\right)\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed. Now for the energy, it is determined by $E \geq 0$ and the fact that $2 \mathcal{H}(\gamma, p) \equiv \mu$. Recalling the equation $\sqrt{13}$ ) and using the above expression for $L$, we compute

$$
2 \mathcal{H}=\mu \Longleftrightarrow \Sigma\left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right)(\mu-2 \mathcal{H})=0 \Longleftrightarrow \alpha_{2} E^{2}+\alpha_{1} E+\alpha_{0}=0
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{2}=\chi^{2} \Sigma^{2}, \alpha_{1}=2 \chi e Q r \Sigma
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{0}= & \left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right)\left[\mu \Sigma-\frac{\Sigma^{2} \dot{r}^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}-\frac{\Sigma^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}+\frac{\left(e Q r-\frac{a \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\left(\Sigma \Delta_{r} \dot{\phi}-a \chi e Q r\right)}{\chi\left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right)}\right)^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\left(\Sigma \Delta_{r} \dot{\phi}-a \chi e Q r\right)^{2}}{\chi^{2}\left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
= & \left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right)\left(\mu \Sigma-\frac{\Sigma^{2} \dot{r}^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}-\frac{\Sigma^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}\right)+\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\left(\Sigma \Delta_{r} \dot{\phi}-a \chi e Q r\right)^{2}}{\chi^{2}\left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right)}\left(\frac{a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}}{\Delta_{r}}-1\right) \\
& +\frac{e Q r}{\Delta_{r}}\left(e Q r\left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right)-\frac{2 a \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}}{\chi}\left(\Sigma \Delta_{r} \dot{\phi}-a \chi e Q r\right)\right) \\
= & \left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}\right)\left(\mu \Sigma-\frac{\Sigma^{2} \dot{r}^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}-\frac{\Sigma^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}\right)-\frac{\Sigma^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta} \dot{\phi}^{2}}{\chi^{2}}+e^{2} Q^{2} r^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the positive solution $E$ of $\alpha_{i} E^{i}=0$ reads
$E=-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2 \alpha_{2}}+\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}}{4 \alpha_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{2}}}=-\frac{e Q r}{\chi \Sigma}+\sqrt{\frac{a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}-\Delta_{r}}{\chi^{2} \Sigma}\left(\mu-\frac{\Sigma \dot{r}^{2}}{\Delta_{r}}-\frac{\Sigma \dot{\theta}^{2}}{\Delta_{\theta}}\right)+\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta} \dot{\phi}^{2}}{\chi^{4}}}$,
and this is exactly the stated formula.
Remark 3.2.2. From the set of equations (12), we see that trajectories $\gamma=(t, r, \theta, \phi)$ for which $\theta\left(\ell_{0}\right)=\pi / 2$ and $\dot{\theta}\left(\ell_{0}\right)=0$ for some $\ell_{0} \in I$ are confined in the equatorial plane $\theta=\pi / 2$. In this case, Carter's constant reduces to $\kappa=\chi^{2}(a E-L)^{2}$. Therefore, Carter's constant sometimes refers rather to the constant $C:=\kappa-\chi^{2}(a E-L)^{2}$ so that $C=0$ for orbits in the plane $\theta=\pi / 2$. More explicitly, the constant $C$ can be written as

$$
C=\Delta_{\theta} p_{\theta}^{2}+\frac{\chi^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{\Delta_{\theta}}\left[\frac{L^{2}}{\sin ^{2} \theta}-a^{2}\left(E^{2}+\frac{\mu \Delta_{\theta}}{\chi^{2}}+3 \lambda^{2}(a E-L)^{2}\right)\right]
$$

This expression agrees with the one from [PYYY16, §2.1] when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

## 4. Polar formulation for RNdS trajectories and the Weierstrass elliptic FUNCTION

In this entire section, we assume that $a=0$, that is, we work with the Reissner-Nordström-(anti) de Sitter (RNdS) metric which is given, in Boyer-Lindquist (spherical)
coordinates by
(RNdS)

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-\underline{\Delta} \mathrm{d} t^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d} r^{2}}{\underline{\Delta}}+r^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}\right)
$$

where we let $\underline{\Delta}:=\Delta_{r} / r^{2}=1-\lambda r^{2}-2 M / r+Q^{2} / r^{2}$ to lighten the notation. Since this metric is spherically symmetric, the geodesics are planar. Therefore, in order to study geodesics (and to implement them afterwards), we only need to focus on the equatorial ones. More precisely, if we have any geodesic, we may apply a linear rotation (i.e. an element of $\left.1 \times S O(3) \subset \operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{M} \backslash\{r=0\}, g_{\mathrm{RNdS}}\right)\right)$ to force its velocity vector to lie on the equatorial plane, solve the equations and then go back with the inverse rotation.
4.1. Polar geodesic equation. Consider then an equatorial geodesic $\gamma=(t, r, \pi / 2, \phi)$ with Hamiltonian $\mu$, energy $E$ and angular momentum $L$. To simplify our treatment, and as it will be sufficient for the application to the ray-tracing, we will also assume that the charge of the test particle is $e=0$. The set of equations (12) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta \dot{t}=E  \tag{16}\\
r^{4} \dot{r}^{2}=E^{2} r^{4}-\Delta_{r}\left(L^{2}-\mu r^{2}\right), \\
r^{2} \dot{\phi}=L
\end{array}\right.
$$

From this we see that if $\dot{\phi}$ evaluates to zero somewhere, then $L=0$ and $\dot{\phi} \equiv 0$ and the motion is then radial. Suppose it is not the case, then $\dot{\phi}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image and we may express $r=r(\phi)$ as a function of $\phi$. We write

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} r}{\mathrm{~d} \phi}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{\dot{\phi}}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{r^{2} \dot{r}^{2}}{r^{2} \dot{\phi}}\right)^{2}=\frac{r^{4} \dot{r}^{2}}{L^{2}}=\frac{E^{2}}{L^{2}} r^{4}-\Delta_{r}\left(1-\frac{\mu}{L^{2}} r^{2}\right)
$$

and after calculations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} r}{\mathrm{~d} \phi}\right)^{2}=-\frac{\lambda \mu}{L^{2}} r^{6}+\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}+\mu}{L^{2}}\right) r^{4}-\frac{2 M \mu}{L^{2}} r^{3}+\left(\frac{Q^{2} \mu}{L^{2}}-1\right) r^{2}+2 M r-Q^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, considering the Binet variable $u:=1 / r$, we obtain the equation (from now on, the dot means differentiation with respect to $\phi$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}^{2}=\frac{\dot{r}^{2}}{r^{4}}=-\frac{\lambda \mu}{L^{2} u^{2}}+\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}+\mu}{L^{2}}\right)-\frac{2 M \mu}{L^{2}} u+\left(\frac{Q^{2} \mu}{L^{2}}-1\right) u^{2}+2 M u^{3}-Q^{2} u^{4} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we can get rid of the square by differentiating again. We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=\frac{\lambda \mu}{3 L^{2} u^{3}}-\frac{M \mu}{L^{2}}+\left(\frac{Q^{2} \mu}{L^{2}}-1\right) u+3 M u^{2}-2 Q^{2} u^{3} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this equation is much easier to (numerically) solve than the system (15).
4.2. Use of Weierstrass' function $\wp$ for photon orbits. The striking observation that the Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp$ solves the polar equatorial motion equation was first made by Hagihara in Hag30. Here, inspired by the method from [GV12, §3.1], we show that we can still use the function $\wp$ to describe null geodesics in the RNdS metric.

In the case of a photon (whose world-line is a null geodesic with $\mu=0$ ), the equation (17) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{r}^{2}=\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}}{L^{2}}\right) r^{4}-r^{2}+2 M r-Q^{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be further reduced to the Weierstrass equation $\dot{y}^{2}=4 y^{3}-g_{2} y-g_{3}$ as follows: suppose that $\lambda L^{2}+E^{2} \geq 0$, then the depressed quartic $\left(\lambda+E^{2} / L^{2}\right) x^{4}-x^{2}+2 M x-Q^{2}$

## ARTHUR GARNIER

has a real root ${ }^{[7} \bar{r} \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\widetilde{r}:=r-\bar{r}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\vec{r}}^{2} & =\dot{r}^{2}=\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)(\widetilde{r}+\bar{r})^{4}-(\widetilde{r}+\bar{r})^{2}+2 M(\widetilde{r}+\bar{r})-Q^{2} \\
& =\widetilde{r}\left[\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}}{L^{2}}\right) \widetilde{r}^{3}+4 \bar{r}\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}}{L^{2}}\right) \widetilde{r}^{2}+\left(6 \bar{r}^{2}\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)-1\right) \widetilde{r}+\left(4 \bar{r}^{3}\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)-2 \bar{r}+2 M\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and considering the new Binet variable $u:=1 / \widetilde{r}=(r-\bar{r})^{-1}$, we get
$\dot{u}^{2}=\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)+4 \bar{r}\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}}{L^{2}}\right) u+\left(6 \bar{r}^{2}\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)-1\right) u^{2}+\left(4 \bar{r}^{3}\left(\lambda+\frac{E^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)-2 \bar{r}+2 M\right) u^{3}$
and it is now straightforward to put this cubic in depressed form and then rexrite it in Weierstrass' form. We summarize the discussion in the following result:

Proposition 4.2.1. Let $\gamma=(t, r, \pi / 2, \phi)$ be a non-circular, non-radial equatorial null geodesic in the RNdS metric, with energy $E$ and angular momentum $L$. The map $\ell \mapsto \phi(\ell)$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image so that we may re-parametrize $\gamma$ using $\phi$ and we abusively denote by $r$ the re-parametrized coordinate $\phi \mapsto r(\phi)$.

If $\lambda \geq-E^{2} / L^{2}$, then we may choose a root $\bar{r} \in \mathbb{R}$ of the quartic

$$
\left(\lambda+E^{2} / L^{2}\right) x^{4}-x^{2}+2 M x-Q^{2}
$$

and if we let

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \delta = \lambda + E ^ { 2 } / L ^ { 2 } , } \\
{ \gamma = 4 \overline { r } \delta , } \\
{ \beta = 6 \overline { r } ^ { 2 } \delta - 1 , } \\
{ \alpha = 4 \overline { r } ^ { 3 } \delta - 2 \overline { r } + 2 M . }
\end{array} \quad \text { as well as } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
g_{2}:=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{3}-\alpha \gamma\right), \\
g_{3}:=\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\alpha \beta \gamma}{6}-\frac{\alpha^{2} \delta}{2}-\frac{\beta^{3}}{27}\right), \\
P:=\frac{\alpha}{4(r-\bar{r})}+\frac{\beta}{12},
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

then the function $P$ satisfies the Weierstrass equation

$$
\dot{P}^{2}=4 P^{3}-g_{2} P-g_{3} .
$$

In other words, if the discriminant $g_{2}^{3}-27 g_{3}^{2} \neq 0$, then the polar radial motion is given by

$$
r(\phi)=\bar{r}+\frac{\alpha}{4 \wp(\phi)-\beta / 3},
$$

where $\wp=\wp_{g_{2}, g_{3}}$ is the Weierstrass function associated to $\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Remark 4.2.2. Differentiating the radial equation from (16) we obtain

$$
\ddot{r}=\frac{2 Q^{2} L^{2}}{r^{6}}-\frac{3 M L^{2}}{r^{5}}+\frac{L^{2}-Q^{2} \mu}{r^{4}}+\frac{M \mu}{r^{3}}-\lambda \mu .
$$

Fixing an initial value for $r$ and $\dot{r}$, we obtain a second order Cauchy problem. Hence, if $r: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a maximal solution of this problem, then we either have $|r| \rightarrow+\infty$ or $r \rightarrow 0$ on $\partial I$. This says that ultimately, every geodesic is either always defined (stable orbit), or goes to $\infty$ (escape path) or dies at the singularity.

Qualitatively, the previous result says that the phase portrait of a generic null RNdS orbit describes (a connected component of) an elliptic curve.

In practice, given a (polar) initial condition $\left(r_{0}, \dot{r}_{0}\right):=\left(r\left(\phi_{0}\right), \dot{r}\left(\phi_{0}\right)\right)$, we have to find $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\wp\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{4\left(r_{0}-\bar{r}\right)}+\frac{\beta}{12}$ and this can be done using the Carlson integrals (see (Car95])

$$
R_{F}(x, y, z):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} \zeta}{\sqrt{(\zeta+x)(\zeta+y)(\zeta+z)}}
$$

More precisely, we have the following result:

[^6]Corollary 4.2.3. Fix $\left(L, E, r_{0}, \dot{r}_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{*} \times\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}\right)^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda L^{2}+E^{2} \geq 0$ and let $\gamma$ be the unique maximal non-circular, non-radial equatorial null $R N d S$ geodesic with energy $E$, angular momentum $L$ and such that $r(0)=r_{0}$ and $\dot{r}(0)=\dot{r}_{0}$ in polar parametrization $r=r(\phi)$. Recall also the constants $\bar{r}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, g_{2}, g_{3}$ from Proposition 4.2.1.

If $g_{2}^{3}-27 g_{3}^{2} \neq 0$, then the function $r$ is given (on its definition domain) by

$$
r(\phi)=\bar{r}+\frac{\alpha}{4 \wp_{g_{2}, g_{3}}\left(z_{0}+\phi\right)-\beta / 3}, \quad \text { where } z_{0}:=R_{F}\left(\wp_{0}-z_{1}, \wp_{0}-z_{2}, \wp_{0}-z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C},
$$

with $z_{1,2,3} \in \mathbb{C}$ the roots of the Weierstrass cubic $4 z^{3}-g_{2} z-g_{3}$ and $\wp_{0}:=\frac{\alpha}{4\left(r_{0}-\bar{r}\right)}+\frac{\beta}{12}$.
Numerically, we approach $\wp$ with the Coquereaux-Grossmann-Lautrup algorithm ${ }^{8}$ from [CGL90, §3] and $R_{F}$ is approximated using the Carlson algorithm from [Car95, §2].

## 5. Model for the accretion disk

We now detail how we modelled the accretion disk and its radiation. Here, we shall consider a thin steady nearly Keplerian opaque accretion disk contained in the equatorial plane, which is supposed to radiate as a blackbody. For detailed treatments of accretion disks, we refer to Pri81 and Spr95.
5.1. Angular velocity of circular massive orbits. First, we have to find the angular velocity of a circular equatorial orbit. This is done in the following result:

Proposition 5.1.1. Let $\gamma=(t, r, \theta, \phi): I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a geodesic such that $\theta \equiv \pi / 2$ and $\dot{r}=0$. Then, the angular velocity $\omega:=\dot{\phi} / \dot{t}$ is given by

$$
\omega=\frac{1}{a+r^{2} / \rho},
$$

where $\rho:=\sqrt{-\lambda r^{4}+M r-Q^{2}}$.
Proof. Consider the Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \dot{\gamma}^{\mu} \dot{\gamma}^{\nu}=p_{\mu} \dot{\gamma}^{\mu}-\mathcal{H}(\gamma, p) .
$$

Since $\dot{r}=0$, we have $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}}=0$ and the radial Euler-Lagrange equation is

$$
0=2 \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} \ell}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}}\right)=2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial r}=\frac{\partial g_{t t}}{\partial r} \dot{t}^{2}+2 \frac{\partial g_{t \phi}}{\partial r} \dot{t} \dot{\phi}+\frac{\partial g_{\phi \phi}}{\partial r} \dot{\phi}^{2}
$$

and setting $\omega:=\dot{\phi} / \dot{t}$, this is equivalent to

$$
g_{t t, r}+2 g_{t \phi, r} \omega+g_{\phi \phi, r} \omega^{2}=0
$$

and computing the derivatives, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{t t, r}+2 g_{t \phi, r} \omega+g_{\phi \phi, r} \omega^{2}=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \frac{2\left(r^{4}+a^{2} \Delta_{r}-a^{4}\right)-a^{2} r \Delta_{r}^{\prime}}{\chi^{2} r^{3}} \omega^{2}+\frac{2 a\left(r \Delta_{r}^{\prime}+2\left(a^{2}-\Delta_{r}\right)\right)}{\chi^{2} r^{3}} \omega+\frac{2\left(\Delta_{r}-a^{2}\right)-r \Delta_{r}^{\prime}}{\chi^{2} r^{3}}=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left(\chi r^{4}-a^{2}\left(M r+Q^{2}\right)\right) \omega^{2}-2 a\left(\lambda r^{4}-M r+Q^{2}\right) \omega+\lambda r^{4}-M r+Q^{2}=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \omega=\frac{a \rho^{2} \pm r^{2} \rho}{a^{2} \rho^{2}-r^{4}}=\rho \frac{a \rho \pm r^{2}}{\left(a \rho-r^{2}\right)\left(a \rho+r^{2}\right)}=\frac{\rho}{a \rho \mp r^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

But when $\lambda=a=Q=0$, we must find $\omega=+\sqrt{M / r^{3}}$ and thus the above sign is a plus.

[^7]5.2. Blackbody radiation temperature and brightness. As mentioned above, we assume that the matter in the accretion disk radiates as a blackbody. To compute its surface temperature $T_{s}=T_{s}(r)$, we use the Shakura-Sunyaev formula (see [SS73, §2a] or Spr95, formula (26)]). In SI units, it reads
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{B} T_{s}(r)^{4}=\frac{3 G M \dot{M}}{8 \pi r^{3}}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{r_{\mathrm{int}}}{r}}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $r_{\text {int }}$ is the interior radius of the disk, $\dot{M}$ is the accretion rate of matter into the disk and $\sigma_{B}$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant.

Now, for the brightness, we use Planck's law ( $h$ is Planck's constant and $k_{B}$ is Boltzmann's constant)

$$
B_{\lambda}(T)=\frac{2 h c^{2}}{\lambda^{5}} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{h c}{k_{B} \lambda T}}-1}
$$

coupled with the Wien law $\lambda=b / T$, where $b$ is Wien's displacement constant. This yields, after evaluating the constants,

$$
B(r):=B_{b / T}\left(T_{s}(r)\right)=\frac{2 h c^{2}}{b^{5}} \frac{T^{5}}{e^{\frac{h c}{k_{B} b}}-1} \approx 4.086 \cdot 10^{-6} \times T^{5} .
$$

This is the value by which we shall multiply the pixel's RGB triple corresponding to the temperature $T_{s}$, according to the conversion table by M. Charity ${ }^{9}$. However, it turns out that implementing these values gives an over-bright disk, hence we found useful to rescale the brightness by $10^{-15}$ so that $B(r) \approx 4.086 \cdot 10^{-21} \times T^{5}$. Then, the user is invited to give a value $B_{0} \geq 0$, typically $B_{0} \leq 10^{4}$, so that the disk becomes visible as changing the inner (outer) radius or the accretion rate dramatically affects the brightness. The rescaled brightness is then $\widetilde{B}(r)=B_{0} T^{5} \times 4.086 \cdot 10^{-21}$. If $B_{0}=0$ is chosen, then the formula above for $B(r)$ is ignored and a linear scaling of brightness is taken, from the outer radius to the inner one.
5.3. Gravitational redshift and Doppler effect. Last, we have to take the Doppler effect and gravitational redshift into account for the temperature and the brightness, as we deal with relativistic speeds and strong gravitational fields. More precisely, we will rescale the temperature and brightness by factors $\alpha_{\text {Grav }}^{-1}=\left(1+z_{\text {Grav }}\right)^{-1}$ and $\alpha_{\text {Dop }}^{-1}=\left(1+z_{\text {Dop }}\right)^{-1}$ corresponding the the gravitational and Doppler shifts, respectively.

The gravitational redshift is easily computed from the matrix $\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)$. Indeed, for a stationary observer (a test particle with $\dot{r}=\dot{\theta}=\dot{\phi}=0$ ), the KNdS metric reduces to $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-c^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}=g_{t t} c^{2} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}$, where $\tau$ is the proper time of the observer. Therefore, the gravitational redshift for such an observer is simply given by

$$
\alpha_{\text {Grav }}=\frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{t t}}}=\chi \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma}{\Delta_{r}-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{\theta}}}
$$

Now, the Doppler shift is derived as follows. Consider a circular massive orbit with constant radius $r$ and angle $\phi=\phi(\ell)$ photon path leaving the point $(t, r, \pi / 2, \phi)$ with angle $\vartheta$ with respect to the four velocity $l^{\mu}=(\dot{t}, 0,0, \dot{\phi})$. Following [LL80, §48], the Doppler shift is given (in natural units) by

$$
\alpha_{\mathrm{Dop}}=\frac{1-v \cos \vartheta}{\sqrt{1-v^{2}}}
$$

where $v=\sqrt{r^{2}+a^{2}} \omega$ is the velocity of the circular orbit which reads, using Proposition 5.1.1,

$$
v=\frac{\sqrt{r^{2}+a^{2}}}{a+\frac{r^{2}}{\sqrt{-\lambda r^{4}+M r-Q^{2}}}} .
$$

[^8]
## 6. Implementation and comparison of the methods

In this final section, we give some details on the Scilab functions we created to solve the geodesic equations and to draw the shadow of a KNdS black hole, with an accretion disk. The function are designed to allow the user to tune parameters (cosmological constant mass, charge, angular momentum, accretion rate, brightness...) as wanted and to draw a shadow accordingly. The full scripts and documentation can be found at https://github.com/ arthur-garnier/knds_orbits_and_shadows.git.

First, as the cosmological constant makes the computations heavier and as it is very likely to be very small ${ }^{10}$, we added versions of our programs for the Kerr-Newman case (i.e. for $\Lambda=0$ ). The resulting functions are combined in single .sci. In all our programs, we systematically rescale the initial data so that $G=c=M=4 \pi \epsilon_{0}=1$ and go back to SI units after computations.

The programs formulations.sci and geodesics.sci are intended to solve the geodesic equations. The first one is simply a library of useful functions, such as the conversion between Cartesian and Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (orbits are drawn using Cartesian coordinates), the (inverse and derivatives of the) metric matrices, Christoffel symbols, etc. The second one is the solver itself. It takes as input the cosmological constant, the three parameters of the black hole, the mass of the particle ( 0 or 1 ), the discretized affine parameter (maximal value and step-size) and the initial conditions of the geodesic, in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates. It also lets the user choose between the different integration methods we discussed above, as well as the method to use in the ode command ${ }^{11}$. As output, it yields the trajectory in BL coordinates and the Hamiltonian along the trajectory (the values of $(r, \theta, \phi)$ and of $\mathcal{H}$ at each node).
6.1. Shadowing and the backward ray tracing method. The method we use to create the shadow of the black hole is quite standard: the backward ray tracing. For a detailed and illustrated explanation of this method, we refer to [VALPO22. The function that ray-traces the black hole is shadow.sci; its takes as input the parameters of the black hole and the cosmological constant, the image to use for the shadowing and the accretion data ${ }^{12}$. Though doable with any integration method, we used the Carter equations for shadow.sci, as it is by far the fastest method available (see Section 6.3).

The basic idea is as follows: consider a static point in the KNdS space-time, far from the center, representing the "eye" of our observer. Consider also a screen between our observer and the black hole, orthogonal to the segment joining the center and the observer. The celestial sphere emits light in every direction and some of it will eventually reach the observer, passing through the screen and the point where it hits the screen gives the pixel to draw at this point, depending on where it left the celestial sphere. However, as light will not propagate in straight lines, it is hard to know which ray will cross the screen in advance.

Therefore, we work backwards: suppose the observer emits light in every direction and keep only those rays that hit the screen at some point. As we are far from the source, we assume that light travels in straight lines between the camera and the screen. Then, we let the light ray trace backward in time and see where it eventually lands (actually, where it came from): if it dies in the black hole, no pixel is displayed on the screen and if it crosses the celestial sphere, then the pixel is coloured in accordance with where it touches the sphere.

This amounts to say that, first, we consider an artificial celestial hemisphere on which we project our original image, seeing it as a portion of its tangent plane which is parallel to our

[^9]screen (and on the other side of the black hole). As a projection, we choose an equatorial variant of the azimuthal equidistant projection, which respects the distance to the central point of the tangent plane and directions from the center, but other types are possible (e.g. Aitoff, Hammer...); we just need a differentiable and fast-to-compute method, for which the area near the center is not too much deformed. The celestial hemisphere now is tiled be coloured pixels. Next, for each pixel of the screen, we consider the null geodesic starting at this point and with velocity given by the condition that it comes from the point observer. Then, we solve the geodesic equations (backward in time) and we see if the ray ends in (came from) the black hole or touches the sphere somewhere. If so, the RGB value of the pixel on the screen is then given by the value of the landing pixel on the sphere. Once every pixel of the screen has been worked out, we display it. We illustrate this in Figure 3 .

(A) Schwarzschild

(B) Extremal Kerr

Figure 1. A pencil of equatorial rays near a black hole.

Concerning the accretion disk, we simply interpolate the plane $\{\theta=\pi / 2\}$ : if the geodesic ray hits the plane (up to some foxed threshold) at a point whose radius $r$ is between the extremal radii of the disk, then we compute the radiation temperature at this point, as well as the gravitational and Doppler effects described in Section 5. We then give the corresponding colors and brightness to the associated pixel on the screen.

It is to be mentioned that, technically, we rather projects the landing (starting) point of each geodesic ray on the sphere to the tangent plane, rather than the contrary. This is because we first need to know the maximal coordinates on the plane we may reach in this way, in order to rescale the original image properly, as it is hard to guess in advance what the range of the image on the plane should be. More on this will be said in Section 6.2, Therefore, we look for a projection from the sphere to some tangent plane.

The "equatorial azimuthal" equidistant projection we use is as follows: in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, take the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ and let $T_{p} \mathbb{S}^{2}$ be its tangent space at the point $p:=(1,0,0)$. Consider a point $q:=(x, y, z)$ on the punctured sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2} \backslash\{-p\}$. There is a unique minimal geodesic (for the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ and parametrized by arc-length) $\gamma_{q}$ from $p$ to $q$ and let $\vec{v}:=\dot{\gamma}_{q}(0) \in T_{p} \mathbb{S}^{2}$ be its unitary initial velocity. Then, the projected point $\pi(q) \in T_{p} \mathbb{S}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{clc}
\mathbb{S}^{2} \backslash\{-p\} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T_{p} \mathbb{S}^{2} \\
q & \longmapsto p+\ell\left(\gamma_{q}\right) \vec{v} \tag{22}
\end{array}
$$

This is indeed at Euclidean distance $\ell\left(\gamma_{q}\right)\|\vec{v}\|=d_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}(p, q)$ from $p$. As $\gamma_{q}$ is given by $\gamma_{q}(s)=$ $\cos (s) p+\sin (s) q^{\perp}$, where $\left\{p, q^{\perp}\right\}$ is the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization of the basis $\{p, q\}$ of the unique linear hyperplane containing $p$ and $q$. We obtain $\pi(p)=(1, \varrho \cos \vartheta, \varrho \sin \vartheta)$, with $\varrho=\arctan 2\left(\sqrt{y^{2}+z^{2}}, x\right)$ and $\vartheta=\arctan 2(z, y)$, where $\arctan 2$ is the arctangent function with two arguments. The projected hemisphere is displayed in Figure 2.


Figure 2. The hemisphere projected using the map $\pi$ from 22 .


Figure 3. Schematics of our shadowing method (in the $x y$-plane).
All this requires a Scilab package for processing images. For instance, the package IPCV 4.1.2 for Scilab 6.1.1 is quite fine ${ }^{133}$. The command imread loads an image (.jpg, .png, etc) with $N \times M$ pixels and encodes it as an $N \times M \times 3$ hypermatrix with, for each $(i, j) \in\{0, \ldots, N\} \times\{0, \ldots, M\}$, the three RGB values of the pixels in position $(i, j)$ and this is particularly suitable for our purpose. Then, we produce our pixels for the shadowed image as described above and put them in a similar $N \times M \times 3$ hypermatrix, which we can display as an image using the command imshow.

[^10]6.2. Optimization of the shadowing process with $\wp$. As mentioned in Section 6.1, in order to rescale the original image properly, we first need to compute the geodesic ray for each pixel of the receiving screen and see where (if ever) it lands on the celestial sphere. Then, we project the landing points on the plane using the map $\pi$ and we scale the image so that it fits in the resulting "rectangle" on the plane. Thus, we have to make at least two loops on the pixels: the first one to compute the geodesics and store the starting and the (projected) landing points, then we scale the image and then we make a second loop to attribute an RGB value for each pixel on the screen, depending on which pixel it reaches on the rescaled image. This second loop slows the program down by an order of magnitude.

However, we can get rid of this difficulty using the Weierstrass function, at the cost of a small inaccuracy on the final picture. The idea is the following: consider a RNdS (non-rotating) black hole. The associated metric is spherically symmetric and, as described in Proposition 4.2 .1 and Corollary 4.2.3, a photon path in the RNdS metric is explicitly described in terms of the Weierstrass $\wp$ function, for which efficient algorithms (CGL90] and Car95]) exist to approach it and its inverse. Moreover, because of the symmetry, we don't have to compute every geodesic: given an initial datum, use a linear rotation to bring the initial velocity (and hence the full orbit) in the plane $\{\theta=\pi / 2\}$. Then, we give values to the various constants involved in the expression of the polar radial geodesic and, instead of computing the full orbit, we simply solve the equation $r=r_{\mathbb{S}}$ where $r_{\mathbb{S}}$ is the radius of the imaginary celestial sphere. This can be done rather easily, precisely and quickly: we compute some values until we cross the sphere and the first such point is used as an initial value for the Newton method ${ }^{14}$. Then we rotate the result back and find our landing pixel. Moreover, the computation of $\wp$ and the related constants is required only for a quarter of the pixels, the other ones being obtained by symmetry. Thus, no full orbit calculation nor ODE solving is required and this makes the resulting function shadow-wp.sci quite fast.

This trick can also be used for the general function: first, we set the rotation parameter to 0 and compute, using $\wp$ and the method above, the maximal coordinates a pixel can reach on the tangent plane to the celestial sphere. Then, the only loop we have to do on the pixels is the one that effectively compute the geodesics using an Adams method on the system (3.1.2) and attributes the RGB values to the pixels. The resulting function is shadow-fast.sci.
6.3. Comparison of the accuracy and execution time of the integrators. We can now compare the different formulations and schemes. Concerning the accuracy, we compare the conservation of the Hamiltonian and Carter constant along trajectories. For the execution times, we will shadow a KNdS black hole in low resolutions, as some of the methods are quite long. We shall observe that the fastest and most accurate method is, as one could expect, the Carter equations. In the case of a RNdS black hole, it is of course the analytic method using $\wp$ which is the fastest. Next, we illustrate the advantages of the Weierstrass trick for accelerating the general shadowing.

Consider a massive orbit $(\mu=-1)$ with $\left(r_{0}, \theta_{0}, \phi_{0}, \dot{r}_{0}, \dot{\theta}_{0}, \dot{\phi}_{0}\right)=(12.26, \pi / 2,0,0,0.014,0.019)$ in a KNdS space-time with parameters $M=3.367 \cdot 10^{30}, a=0.75, Q=0.5$ and $\Lambda=3 \cdot 10^{-4}$. This is a non-planar orbit so the Carter constant is not 0 . The orbit is depicted in Figure 4. The evolution of the Hamiltonian and Carter constant are depicted in Figures 5 and 6.

To be more quantitative, the maximal deviations and execution times for each method are summarized in the Table 1. This table shows that the two symplectic Euler schemes are comparable in terms of conservation, but the $q$-implicit one is, as expected, around twice as fast as the other one. The implicit Störmer-Verlet scheme is far more efficient that the Verlet scheme, but it is also the slowest method. The Euler-Lagrange formulation is rather fast, but leaves the Hamiltonian far from being constant. The Hamilton formulation is very efficient and rather fast, and seems to be the most reasonable method, except for the Carter

[^11]equations 15. This is definitely the fastest and most efficient method we have, almost three times faster than any other one.

In Figure 7. we display some remarkable planar leaf-orbits. These all have $\theta_{0}=\pi / 2$ and $\phi_{0}=\dot{r}_{0}=\dot{\theta}_{0}=0$. The parameters of the space-time are the same as above.

In order to compare the different methods of integration regarding the shadowing process, we make several shadows of the same black hole, using the base picture displayed in Figure 8. To simplify, we take $\Lambda=0$ and the parameters of the black hole are set to $M=4.72 \cdot 10^{30}$, $a=0.75$ and $Q=0.5$. The images have a resolution of $72 \times 72$ and are depicted in Figure 9. The corresponding execution times are in Table 2. We can see that all the symplectic schemes present a singularity at the rotation axis.

We may also see how the execution times grow with the number of pixels. As the answer is pretty clear, we only made the computation for the same image and with resolutions from $10 \times 10$ to $20 \times 20$ pixels. The resulting graph (in log-log scale) is in Figure 10. As expected, the best method is, by far, the Carter equations (numerically integrated with the Adams methods from [Hin80]). It should be mentioned that the function we used to draw these shadows is longer than a program using a specific method such as shadow.sci, since it is designed to work with all methods at once.

Finally, we compare the Carter method with the Weierstrass one in the case $a=0$ and see how using $\wp$ can reduce the time of shadowing a KNdS black hole. We shadow a KerrNewman space-time with $M=4.72 \cdot 10^{30}, a=0.75$ and $Q=0.5$, using the two methods (with and without $\wp$ ). We can also set $a=0$ and do the same shadow using the specific function shadow-wp.sci. The result is as in Figure 11. We see that the function shadow-fast.sci is much faster than shadow.sci: in log-log scale, the slope of the regression line is 2.46 (standard deviation $3 \%$ ) for shadow.sci and 2.04 (same deviation) for shadow-fast.sci. On the other hand, the slope for $\wp$ alone is 1.82 (deviation $6 \%$ ). The difference in the images from shadow.sci and shadow-fast.sci becomes negligible with a relatively high number of pixels. Using a resolution of $144 \times 144$, we obtain the images in Figure 12 ,

Every computation was made on a 12 -core 2.60 GHz CPU with 16 Go of RAM. The pictures we used for shadowing (the Milky Way and Hubble's deep field) are from the NASA.


Figure 4. A non-planar prograde orbit around a KNdS black hole with $a=0.75, Q=0.5$ and $\Lambda=3 \cdot 10^{-4}$. The central sphere represents the Schwarzschild radius.
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Figure 5. Hamiltonian evolution.


Figure 6. Carter's constant evolution.

|  | Max deviation on $\mathcal{H}$ | Max deviation on $C$ | Execution time (sec) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euler-Lagrange | 0.104 | $2.56 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.316 |
| Hamilton | $8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $3.95 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.363 |
| Carter | $4 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $2.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.134 |
| Velocity-Verlet | 0.739 | $1.34 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.312 |
| Störmer-Verlet | $6.87 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.91 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.81 |
| $p$-implicit Euler | 0.739 | $1.62 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.715 |
| $q$-implicit Euler | 0.740 | $1.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.423 |

TABLE 1. Comparison of the methods.

(A) $\left(r_{0}, \dot{\phi}_{0}\right)=(7.557,0.048)$

(B) $\left(r_{0}, \dot{\phi}_{0}\right)=(7.687,0.047)$

(C) $\left(r_{0}, \dot{\phi}_{0}\right)=(7.781,0.046)$

Figure 7. Orbits with one, two and three leaves (unit-less initial data).


Figure 8. The base picture.

|  | Euler-Lagrange | Hamilton | Carter | Velocity-Verlet | Störmer-Verlet | $q$-implicit Euler |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Times (sec) | 843.04 | 602.77 | 134.91 | 409.33 | 1398.03 | 658.66 |

Table 2. Execution times for the shadows of Figure 9,
6.4. Some illustrations and a simulation of M87*. We finish by giving some figures using shadow-fast.sci and shadow-wp.sci. Besides, we illustrate the model for the accretion disk described in Section 5 and we include a simulation of the M87 black hole, using the data from [GVW $\left.{ }^{+} 21\right]$.
In each case, we label Figure with the space-time parameters, resolution pix and average execution time $t_{e}$. We also indicate the inclination angle $i$ (from the symmetry axis), the inner (resp. outer) radius $r_{i}$ (resp. $r_{e}$ ) of the accretion disk and the accretion rate $\dot{M}$ used in the equation (21) as well as the brightness scaling $B_{0}$.


Figure 9. Shadows obtained with the different methods ( $72 \times 72$ ).


Figure 10. Growth of execution times with the number of pixels to shadow.
Except for the simulation of M87*, we set the mass to twice the Solar mass: $M=$ $4 \cdot 10^{30} \approx 2 M_{\odot}$. This is because of the choice we made in our code, but one may of course renormalize lengths. The pictures for which $a=0$ were made using the Weierstrass form and not Carter's equations.

Finally, concerning the modelling of the M87 black hole, inspired by [GVW ${ }^{+} 21$ ], the black hole and accretion parameters are in the Table 3. We rescaled the mass so that it fits with our code where the typical mass is around twice the solar mass. Next, as the physical value $\Lambda \sim 10^{-52} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ will not visibly affect the picture, we choose to take $\Lambda=0$. Also, we changed some values, marked with a star, which we had to arbitrarily choose (or change from the reference) for the implementation. Besides, we included the combination of the gravitational and Doppler effects in the computation. The result is depicted in Figure 19. To make the photon ring even more visible, we also took another picture of the same black hole. The only changed values are $r_{i}=5.82 M, r_{e}=16 M, \dot{M}=10, B_{0}=5000$.


Figure 11. How using $\wp$ can accelerate the computations.


Figure 12. Comparison of the results of shadow.sci, shadow-fast.sci and shadow-wp.sci on a $144 \times 144$ picture.

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mass* | $M=1.5 M_{\odot}$ (value in $\left[\mathrm{GVW}{ }^{+} 21\right]: M \approx 6.2 \cdot 10^{9} M_{\odot}$ ) |
| Charge | $Q=0$ |
| Kerr parameter | $a=0.8$ |
| Accretion rate* | $\dot{M}=3$ |
| Inner radius | $r_{i}=2.91 M$ |
| Outer radius* | $r_{e}=10 M$ |
| Brightness rescaling | $B_{0}=3800$ |
| Angle from symmetry axis | $i=160^{\circ}$ |
| Resolution | 720 p |

Table 3. Parameters for the picture of M87* and its accretion disk.


Figure 13. $\Lambda=0, Q=0.8 ;$ pix $=480^{2} ; t_{e} \sim 2000 s$.


Figure 14. Hubble's field: $\Lambda=a=0 ;$ pix $=1200 \times 1096 ; t_{e} \sim 3800 s$.


Figure 15. $\Lambda=0, a=0.94, Q=0.8 ; \operatorname{pix}=480^{2} ; t_{e} \sim 4000 s ; i=3 \pi / 8$, $r_{i}=4 M, r_{e}=12 M$. The white strips correspond to where the shift is negligible.


Figure 16. $\Lambda=0, a=0.94, Q=0.8 ; \operatorname{pix}=480^{2} ; t_{e} \sim 3000 s ; i=4 \pi / 9$, $r_{i}=4 M, r_{e}=12 M, \dot{M}=90$.


Figure 17. $a=0, Q=1 ; \operatorname{pix}=1080^{2} ; t_{e} \sim 4000 s ; i=13 \pi / 28, r_{i}=4 M$, $r_{e}=12.6 M$.


Figure 18. $a=0, Q=1 ;$ pix $=1080^{2} ; t_{e} \sim 4000 s ; i=13 \pi / 28, r_{i}=4 M$, $r_{e}=12.6 M, \dot{M}=20, B_{0}=300$.

(A) $r_{i}=2.91 M$

(в) $r_{i}=5.82 M$

Figure 19. Simulations of the black hole M87*.

(A) Blur

(B) Blur and $120 \%$ brighter

Figure 20. Blurred versions $(\sigma=50)$ of Figure 19a.

From these we deduce that the only non-zero components of the Ricci tensor are the following: $R_{r r}=\frac{1}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}\left[-\frac{1}{2} \Sigma \Delta_{r}^{\prime \prime}+a^{2}\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \Delta_{\theta}+a^{2} \cos \theta \sin \theta \Delta_{\theta}^{\prime}+r \Delta_{r}^{\prime}-\Delta_{r}\right]=-\frac{Q^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{r}}+\frac{\Lambda \Sigma}{\Delta_{r}}$,
$R_{\theta \theta}=\frac{1}{\sin \theta \Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta \Sigma \Delta_{\theta}^{\prime \prime}-\cos \theta\left(\frac{1}{2} \Sigma+r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}^{\prime}-\sin \theta\left(r \Delta_{r}^{\prime}+\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}-\Delta_{r}\right)\right]=\frac{Q^{2}}{\Sigma \Delta_{\theta}}+\frac{\Lambda \Sigma}{\Delta_{\theta}}$,
$R_{\phi \phi}=\frac{\sin \theta}{\chi^{2} \Sigma^{3}}\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2} \Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \Delta_{\theta}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} \sin ^{3} \theta \Sigma \Delta_{r} \Delta_{r}^{\prime \prime}-\cos \theta \Delta_{\theta}^{\prime}\left(\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \Sigma+r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}+a^{4} \sin ^{4} \theta \Delta_{r}\right)\right.$
$\left.-\sin \theta\left(r \Delta_{r}^{\prime}\left(\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{\theta}+a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{r}\right)+\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right)\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{\theta}^{2}-\Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta}\left(a^{4} \cos ^{4} \theta+2 a^{2} r^{2}+r^{4}\right)-a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{r}^{2}\right)\right]$
$=\frac{Q^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\left(a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{r}+\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{\theta}\right)}{\Lambda \sin ^{2} \theta\left(a^{2} \Delta_{r}-\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{\theta}\right)}$. $\begin{aligned} R_{t \phi}=R_{\phi t}= & \frac{a \sin \theta}{\chi^{2} \Sigma^{3}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right) \Sigma \Delta_{\theta} \Delta_{\theta}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta \Sigma \Delta_{r} \Delta_{r}^{\prime \prime}+\cos \theta\left(\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} \Sigma+r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}+a^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \Delta_{r}\right) \Delta_{\theta}^{\prime}\right. \\ & \left.\quad+\sin \theta\left(r \Delta_{r}^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{r}+\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}\right)+\left(a^{4}-r^{4}\right) \Delta_{\theta}^{2}+\Delta_{r} \Delta_{\theta}\left(a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta-r^{2}\right)-\Delta_{r}^{2}\right)\right] \\ =- & -\frac{a \sin ^{2} \theta Q^{2}\left(\Delta_{r}+\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}\right)}{\chi^{2} \Sigma^{3}}-\frac{\Lambda a \sin ^{2} \theta\left(\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right) \Delta_{\theta}-\Delta_{r}\right)}{\chi^{2} \Sigma},\end{aligned}$
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Equipped with the IPCV package at https://ipcv.scilab-academy.com/. 2

[^2]:    $2^{2}$ to be precise, this expression is valid only once a gauge where $A_{\sigma} J^{\sigma}=0$ has been chosen, but we don't need to be that subtle as we are interested only in vacuum solutions.

[^3]:    $3_{\text {i.e. using only algebraic substitutions and simplifications }}$

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Except for the Carter equations, we only implemented the case where $e=0$ to simplify.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6} m=0$ for a photon

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ In practice, we choose $\bar{r}$ with minimal norm so that $\bar{r}=0$ when $Q=0$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ based on the duplication formula and the Laurent expansion of $\wp$ at 0

[^8]:    http://www.vendian.org/mncharity/dir3/blackbody/

[^9]:    ${ }^{10}$ According to Col20 §3.2], the physical value of $\Lambda$ should be $0<\Lambda=(1.090 \pm 0.029) \cdot 10^{-52} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ in SI units.
    $11_{\text {such as RK4, RK45, BDF, Adams... see https://help.scilab.org/docs/6.1.1/en_US/ode.html }}$
    12 inner and outer radii, accretion rate, angle of view (from the equatorial plane) and brightness. But it also allows one to force the temperature at extremal radii and to choose between the different shifts (gravitational, Doppler, both, none) described in Section 5 For more details, see https://github.com/ arthur-garnier/knds_orbits_and_shadows.git

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ See https://atoms.scilab.org/toolboxes/IPCV and https://ipcv.scilab-academy.com

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ We also use this procedure for the accretion disk, rather than a naive interpolation.

