



LiMo₈O₁₀: Polar Crystal Structure with Infinite Edge-Sharing Molybdenum Octahedra

Zachary Messegee, Philippe Gall, Hari Bhandari, Peter Siegfried, Chang-Jong Kang, Benjamin Chen, Carl Conti, Banghao Chen, Mark Croft, Qiang Zhang, et al.

► To cite this version:

Zachary Messegee, Philippe Gall, Hari Bhandari, Peter Siegfried, Chang-Jong Kang, et al.. LiMo₈O₁₀: Polar Crystal Structure with Infinite Edge-Sharing Molybdenum Octahedra. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2022, 61 (35), 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.2c01917 . hal-03762389

HAL Id: hal-03762389

<https://hal.science/hal-03762389>

Submitted on 5 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

LiMo₈O₁₀: Polar Crystal Structure with Infinite Edge-Sharing Molybdenum Octahedra

Zachary T. Messegee,^{††} Philippe Gall,^{††} Hari Bhandari, Peter E. Siegfried, Chang-Jong Kang, Benjamin Chen, Carl R. Conti, III, Banghao Chen, Mark Croft, Qiang Zhang, Syed N. Qadri, Joseph Prestigiacomo, Nirmal J. Ghimire, Patrick Gougeon,^{*} and Xiaoyan Tan^{*}



Cite This: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.2c01917>



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Polycrystalline LiMo₈O₁₀ was prepared in a sealed Mo crucible at 1380 °C for 48 h using the conventional high-temperature solid-state method. The polar tetragonal crystal structure (space group *I4₁md*) is confirmed based on the Rietveld refinement of powder neutron diffraction and ⁷Li/⁶Li solid-state NMR. The crystal structure features infinite chains of Mo₄O₅ (i.e., Mo₂Mo_{4/2}O_{6/2}O_{6/3}) as a repeat unit containing edge-sharing Mo₆ octahedra with strong Mo–Mo metal bonding along the chain. X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy of the Mo–L₃ edge is consistent with the formal Mo valence/configuration. Magnetic measurements reveal that LiMo₈O₁₀ is paramagnetic down to 1.8 K. Temperature-dependent resistivity [$\rho(T)$] measurement indicates a semiconducting behavior that can be fitted with Mott's variable range hopping conduction mechanism in the temperature range of 215 and 45 K. The $\rho(T)$ curve exhibits an exponential increase below 5 K with a large ratio of $\rho_{1.8}/\rho_{300} = 435$. LiMo₈O₁₀ shows a negative field-dependent magnetoresistance between 20 and 25 K. Heat capacity measurement fitted with the modified Debye model yields the Debye temperature of 365 K.



INTRODUCTION

Ternary alkali metal molybdenum oxides (also known as molybdenum bronzes), A_xMo_yO_z (A = Li, Na, K, Rb, and Cs), are a fascinating family with unique and complex crystal structure features and exhibit exotic physical properties. For example, quasi-2D purple bronzes A_{0.9}Mo₆O₁₇ (A = Li, Na, and K) with layers of corner-sharing MoO₆ octahedra and MoO₄ tetrahedra exhibit anisotropic resistivity (e.g., 0.003 and 0.21 Ω•cm at room temperature along with the plate axis and perpendicularly to that axis in Na_{0.9}Mo₆O₁₇).¹ Na_{0.9}Mo₆O₁₇ and K_{0.9}Mo₆O₁₇ show metallic behavior from room temperature to 88 and 120 K, respectively, at which charge density wave-like transition occurs.¹ Quasi-1D (infinite chains of corner-sharing MoO₆ octahedra) blue bronzes A_{0.3}MoO₃ (A = K, and Rb; space group *C2/m*) exhibit a similar metal-to-insulator/semiconductor Peierls transition.² Li_{0.9}Mo₆O₁₇ (*P2₁/m*) exhibits quasi-1D metallic behavior and an interesting exponential increase in resistivity between ~24 and 2 K (a possible metal-to-insulator transition related to dimensional crossover), attains a superconducting state below 1.8 K, and manifests a large magnetoresistance just above the superconducting transition temperature.^{3,4}

In addition to the quasi-1D/2D corner-sharing octahedral (MoO₆) and tetrahedral (MoO₄) chains in A_{0.9}Mo₆O₁₇ (A = Li, Na, and K) compounds, there is yet another interesting structural feature in ternary/quaternary molybdenum oxides.

They have infinite chains of Mo₄O₅ cluster units containing condensed edge-sharing Mo₆ octahedra with Mo–Mo metal bonding. Reported examples include AMo₄O₆ (A = Na, K, In, and Sn; space group *P4/mbm*),^{5–8} Sc_{0.75}Zn_{1.25}Mo₄O₇ (space group *Imam*), isostructural Ti_{0.5}Zn_{1.5}Mo₄O₇,⁹ GaMgMo₄O₇,¹⁰ Fe₂Mo₄O₇,¹⁰ AMo₈O₁₀ (A = Li, Zn; space group *I4₁md*),¹¹ R₄Mo₄O₁₁ (R = Y, Nd, and Sm–Tm; space group *Pbam*),¹² and Mn_{1.5}Mo₈O₁₁ (space group *P2₁/a* and *P2₁/n*).^{13,14} The metallic behavior in AMo₄O₆ (A = Na and In) and Mn_{1.5}Mo₈O₁₁^{9,14,15} and the metal-to-insulator transition in AMo₄O₆ (A = K and Sn),^{8,16,17} have been attributed to the infinite Mo–Mo bonding chains in the crystal structure. Among these reported compounds, AMo₄O₆ (A = Na and K) and LiMo₈O₁₀ belong to ternary alkali metal molybdenum oxides, and LiMo₈O₁₀ is the only one that adopts a polar space group. LiMo₈O₁₀ crystallizes with the tetragonal crystal structure in space group *I4₁md*, featuring infinite chains of Mo₄O₅ repeating units containing edge-sharing Mo₆ octahedra that extend along the tetragonal *a* and *b* axes (Figure 1).^{11,18}

Received: June 2, 2022






Figure 1. Projected view of the Mo connection (a), crystal structure of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ along the a axis (b), corresponding Mo1–O1–Mo2 connection in the ab plane (c) and (d), and “ Mo_6O_{12} ” cluster unit (f).

Strong Mo–Mo bonding along the chains is likely to give rise to a metallic behavior. As the polarity and metallic behavior are reported only in a few oxides,¹⁹ the possible metallic behavior of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ makes it a prime candidate for a rare and interesting polar metallic oxide yet to be studied. Another exciting feature of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ is the low valence state of Mo due to the high concentration of Mo in the formula unit.¹¹ Up to now, the valence state of Mo and the physical properties of this compound remain unknown.

To investigate the physical properties and elucidate the nature of electrical conductivity of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ as a candidate for polar metallic oxides, we prepared polycrystalline $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ samples with the high-temperature solid-state method. We used neutron powder diffraction data to refine the crystal structure; X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES) to reveal the valence state of Mo; and performed a detailed study of the magnetic, electrical, and thermal properties. We also performed the first-principle density functional theory calculations to study the electronic structure.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Starting Materials and Synthesis. Polycrystalline $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ samples were prepared by the solid-state reaction at high temperature with the starting reagents Li_2MoO_4 , MoO_3 , and Mo, all in powder form. The precursor Li_2MoO_4 molybdate was synthesized by heating the mixture of MoO_3 (99.95% mass fraction, CERAC) and Li_2CO_3 (99.9% mass fraction, CERAC) with an equal molar ratio in an alumina crucible at 600 °C in air for 12 h. MoO_3 and Li_2CO_3 were used as received. Mo powder (99.9% mass fraction, CERAC) was additionally purified by heating under a flow of hydrogen gas at 1000 °C for 6 h. The stoichiometric mixture of Li_2MoO_4 , MoO_3 , and Mo was finely ground; pressed into a pellet (about 4 g); and finally loaded into a molybdenum crucible, which was previously degassed at about 1500 °C for 15 min under a dynamic vacuum ($\sim 10^{-5}$ Torr). The molybdenum crucible was then sealed under low argon pressure using a homemade arc-welding system. The sealed crucible was heated in a graphite resistance furnace at a heating rate of 300 °C/h up to 1380 °C and was maintained at the same temperature for 48 h. The sealed crucible was then cooled at a rate of 100 °C/h down to 1100 °C, at which the furnace was turned off and allowed to cool to room temperature. A dense pellet (diameter = 3 mm) was prepared for physical properties measurements by pressing prepared polycrystalline powders at 400 °C for 6 h under 4 GPa with a Walker-type high-pressure instrument.

X-ray and Neutron Powder Diffraction. The X-ray powder diffraction analyses for the prepared Li_2MoO_4 and $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ samples were performed using a D8 Bruker Advance diffractometer equipped with a LynxEye detector ($\text{Cu K}\alpha_1$) operating at 40 kV and 40 mA. The X-ray powder diffraction of the final product shows that the predominant phase is $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$, with MoO_2 and Mo as small impurities (Figure S1). Neutron powder diffraction (NPD) data were

collected at 300 K using the Powder Diffractometer POWGEN at the Spallation Neutron Source,²⁰ Oak Ridge National Laboratory. A neutron band with a center wavelength of 0.8 Å high-resolution setting was used to collect the data with a broad d coverage of 0.16–7.5 Å. Rietveld refinements of the NPD data were carried out with the suite of FullProf programs.²¹

Chemical Analysis. Elemental analysis of polycrystalline $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ sample was performed with a JEOL scanning electron microscopy (SEM), JSM-IT500HRLV SEM, with the accessory of Octane Elect Plus energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy system and AOPEX Advanced software. The SEM images were collected with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV.

X-ray Absorption Near-Edge Spectroscopy. The Mo- L_3 edge XANES of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ were collected at beamline 7-ID-2 SST-2 using a Si-111 monochromator at the National Synchrotron Light Source II (NSLS-II), Brookhaven National Laboratory. The measurements were performed in the total electron yield mode. Measurements were made with MoO_3 and Li_2MoO_4 simultaneous standards for precision energy calibration. Standard polynomial background and postedge normalization to unity were used in the analysis.

Solid-State ^7Li and ^6Li NMR. The ^7Li and ^6Li magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR spectra of polycrystalline $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$, LiCl , and Li_2O were measured at 298 and 238 K on a Bruker 500WB AVIII HD solid-state NMR spectrometer (11.74 T) equipped with 2.5 mm HXY and 4 mm HXY Bruker probes. A single-pulse experiment and spin-echo pulse sequences were applied with 90 pulses of 3.0 and 4.5 μs and MAS spinning speeds of 25 and 14 kHz for the 2.5 and 4 mm probes, respectively. The operating Larmor frequencies of ^6Li and ^7Li were 73.63 MHz and 194.45 MHz, respectively. A recycle delay time of 10–30 s was used. The chemical shift was referenced to LiCl(s) at -1.1 ppm with respect to aqueous LiCl .

Physical Properties. Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on a polycrystalline $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ sample with a quantum design superconducting quantum interference device MPMS magnetometer. Field-cooled (FC) protocol was used to measure magnetic susceptibility between 1.8 and 300 K with the external magnetic field (B) of 0.1 T. The electrical resistivity and heat capacity were measured on two separate pieces of the same dense pellet (density > 91%) using a quantum design dynacool physical property measurement system. A four-probe method was used to measure resistivity and magnetoresistance by attaching 25 μm Pt wires using Epotek H20E silver epoxy. Isothermal magnetoresistance data were collected between 2 and 30 K using B up to ± 9 T. Magnetoresistance data were symmetrized about $B = 0$ T to account for the small misalignment of the voltage leads.

First-Principle Calculations. The first principle calculations were carried out with the all-electron full-potential linearized augmented plane-wave method implemented in the WIEN2k software package.²² The experimental crystal structure was adopted for the calculations. The calculations were set up using the generalized gradient approximation with the on-site Coulomb repulsion U for 5 eV at Mo d orbitals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and the Crystal Structure. The preparation of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ adopts the high-temperature solid-state method with similar conditions used in the previous report.¹⁸ The X-ray powder diffraction analysis of the prepared sample indicates a successful synthesis of the primary phase $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ with additional small impurities of MoO_2 and Mo present (Figure S1). The obtained phase is consistent with the reported tetragonal crystal structure with the polar space group $I4_1md$.

In the crystal structure, strong Mo–Mo covalent bonds form Mo_6 octahedra that contain two waist Mo1 and Mo2, and one apical Mo3 atom and one Mo4 atom. The Mo_6 octahedra are edge-shared via Mo1–Mo1 and Mo2–Mo2 bonds and form infinite chains along the a and b axis, respectively (Figure

183 1a,c,d). The infinite chains of cluster units provide channels for
 184 strong metal–metal bonding, which indicates the potential
 185 metallic behavior in $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$. The individual chains are
 186 linked by Mo1–O1–Mo2 bonds and form layers. The
 187 structure features layers of edge-sharing Mo_6 octahedra chains
 188 stacking along the crystallographic c axis. The parallel edge-
 189 sharing Mo_6 octahedra chain extends along the a axis in one
 190 layer and then rotates 90° (along the b axis) in the adjacent
 191 layer in a crosswise layout connected by the shared O4 and O5
 192 atoms. The special layout of the Mo_6 octahedra chain in the
 193 crystal structure of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ is different from the parallel
 194 feature of the Mo_6 octahedra chain in AMo_4O_6 ($A = \text{Na}, \text{K}, \text{In}$,
 195 and Sn),^{5–8} $\text{Sc}_{0.75}\text{Zn}_{1.25}\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_7$,⁹ $\text{R}_4\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_{11}$,¹² and
 196 $\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{Mo}_8\text{O}_{11}$.^{13,14} The Mo_6 octahedra are coordinated with
 197 twelve O atoms above corners and free edges and form a
 198 “ Mo_6O_{12} ” cluster (Figure 1f). Considering the shared O and
 199 Mo atoms together, the connective formula is
 200 $\text{Mo}_2\text{Mo}_{4/2}\text{O}_{6/2}\text{O}_{6/3}$ (leading to a “ Mo_4O_5 ” unit). Conse-
 201 quently, the cluster unit in chains could be described as two
 202 repeated Mo_4O_5 subunits in the formula $\text{Li}(\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_5)(\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_5)$.
 203 Li coordinates with four O (O2 and O3) atoms and locates
 204 between the channels between the layers and the Mo_4O_5 units,
 205 which connects all clusters as a whole crystal structure (Figure
 206 1b).

207 Because neutrons are more sensitive to light elements (Li
 208 and O) than X-rays, we used NPD to refine the crystal
 209 structure. The NPD data were collected at room temperature,
 210 and Rietveld refinements of the NPD data (Figure 2) were




Figure 2. Rietveld refinement of NPD (300 K) of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ (space group $I4_1mb$) with observed data (red), calculated pattern (black), the difference between the observed and calculated patterns (blue), and Bragg peak positions (green) of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$, Mo, and MoO_2 .

211 carried out using the reported tetragonal crystal structure
 212 (space group $I4_1mb$) as the starting model. The experimental
 213 NPD pattern can be fitted with the primary $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ phase
 214 (mass % = 93.2%), a small amount of impurity Mo (mass % =
 215 3.5%), and MoO_2 (mass % = 3.3%). Selected refined structural
 216 parameters of the major phase are given in Tables 1 and 2. The
 217 refined unit cell parameters are $a = b = 5.84503(5)$ Å, $c =$
 218 $24.7558(3)$ Å, and $V = 845.77(1)$ Å³, which are close to the
 219 reported values, $a = b = 5.8515(6)$ Å, $c = 24.783(3)$ Å, and $V =$
 220 $848.6(2)$ Å³.⁴ The refined atomic positions are also close to the
 221 reported values.⁴

222 As shown in Figure 1e, each Mo_6 octahedra contains twelve
 223 Mo–Mo bonds, and the refined bond distance ranges from
 224 2.678 to 2.925 Å (Table 2 and Figure 3). The averaged Mo–

Table 1. Selected Structural Parameters of Rietveld Refinement of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$

sample		LiMo ₈ O ₁₀	
temperature		300 K	
mol wt, g/mol		934.62	
density (calculated),g/cm ³		7.339	
neutron source		T.O.F	
space group, #		I4 ₁ md, # 109	
Z		4	
lattice parameters		a = b = 5.84503(5) Å c = 24.7558(2) Å V = 845.77(1) Å ³	
Rietveld criteria of fit ^a		R _p = 4.57%, R _{wp} = 3.56%, R _F = 8.33%	
site	Wyckoff symbol	x, y, z	B
Li1	4a	0.5, 0.5, 0.126(2)	1.0(1)
Mo1	8b	0.5, 0.2291(5), 0	0.43(3)
Mo2	8b	0, 0.2344(6), 0.0044(1)	0.27(3)
Mo3	8b	0, 0.2445(6), 0.3293(2)	0.65(4)
Mo4	8b	0, 0.2746(5), 0.1765(2)	0.23(2)
O1	8b	0.5, 0.2434(8), 0.25	0.41(3)
O2	8b	0.5, 0.2427(7), 0.0822(3)	0.59(5)
O3	8b	0.5, 0.2374(8), 0.4235(2)	0.51(5)
O4	8b	0, 0.2530(9), 0.0895(3)	0.89(8)
O5	8b	0, 0.2707(8), 0.4168(3)	0.45(6)

Table 2. Selected Bond Distances in $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$

Mo–Mo distances (Å)		Mo–O/Li–O distances (Å)	
Mo1–Mo1	2.678 (4)	Mo1–O1 (×2)	2.129(4)
Mo1–Mo2 (×2)	2.925(1)	Mo1–O2	2.036(7)
Mo1–Mo3 (×2)	2.773(4)	Mo1–O5	2.059(7)
Mo1–Mo4 (×2)	2.770(4)	Mo2–O1 (×2)	2.161(4)
Mo2–Mo2	2.740(5)	Mo2–O3	2.009(6)
Mo2–Mo3 (×2)	2.747(5)	Mo2–O4	2.110(8)
Mo2–Mo4 (×2)	2.707(5)	Mo3–O2 (×2)	2.015(4)
Mo3–Mo3	2.858(5)	Mo3–O4 (×2)	2.117(5)
Mo3–Mo3	2.987(5)	Mo3–O5	2.172(9)
Mo4–Mo4	2.636(4)	Mo4–O3 (×2)	2.025(4)
Mo4–Mo4	3.209(4)	Mo4–O4	2.158(9)
Mo3–Mo4 (×4) ^a	3.256(6)	Mo4–O5 (×2)	2.104(4)
Mo1–Mo1 ^a	3.167(4)	Li–O3 (×2)	1.82(3)
Mo2–Mo2 ^a	3.105(5)	Li–O2 (×2)	1.85(3)

^aInterchain distance.




Figure 3. Mo–Mo bond distances and angles within the Mo_6 octahedra chain in the bc (a) and ab planes (b).

Mo bond distance is 2.81 Å, which is close to that (2.809 Å) of 225
 isostructural $\text{ZnMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$,¹¹ and other compounds (2.803 Å in 226




Figure 4. SEM image (a) and EDX mapping of Mo (b) and O elements (c) of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ particles.




Figure 5. (a) ^6Li MAS NMR at 298 K and (b) ^7Li -MAS NMR of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ at 298 and 238 K.

NaMo_4O_6 ,¹⁸ 2.799 Å in $\text{Sc}_{0.75}\text{Zn}_{1.25}\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_7$,⁹ 2.790 Å in $\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{Mo}_8\text{O}_{11}$,¹⁸ and 2.80 Å in $\text{R}_4\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_{11}$ ¹²) containing the infinite edge-sharing Mo_6 octahedra. Because of the seven different Mo–Mo bond distances (Mo1–Mo1, Mo1–Mo2, Mo1–Mo3, Mo1–Mo4, Mo2–Mo2, Mo2–Mo3, and Mo2–Mo4), the Mo_6 octahedra is highly distorted. In the Mo_6 octahedra, the bond distances between waist Mo1 and Mo2 atoms are different (Mo1–Mo1 = 2.678 Å, Mo2–Mo2 = 2.740 Å, and Mo1–Mo2 = 2.925 Å), and the angles of Mo2–Mo2–Mo1 and Mo1–Mo2–Mo2 are 89.4 and 90.6°, respectively (Figure 3b). Inside the chain, each Mo_6 octahedra is connected by two Mo_6 octahedra via trans-edge-sharing Mo1–Mo1 and Mo2–Mo2 bonds on both sides with different tilting angles, so the chain (with a slightly tilted basal plane) can be viewed as a repeated unit of two edge-sharing Mo_6 octahedra.

Because of the distorted Mo_6 octahedra and the non-centrosymmetric distribution of Mo_6 octahedra, this compound adopts a polar crystal structure, which is different from other centrosymmetric compounds featuring chains of edge-sharing Mo_6 octahedra.¹⁸ The two edge-sharing tilted Mo_6 octahedra result in alternate short and long Mo–Mo distances between the apical Mo3 (Mo3–Mo3 = 2.858, 2.987 Å) and Mo4 (Mo4–Mo4 = 2.636, 3.209 Å) atoms of two neighboring octahedra along the chain (Figure 3a). The long Mo–Mo distances are nonbonding, resulting in short alternated Mo3–Mo3 (2.858 Å) and Mo4–Mo4 (2.636 Å) bonds that connect Mo_6 octahedra within the chain. This pairwise distortion between apical Mo atoms is also observed in isostructural $\text{ZnMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$,¹¹ $\text{R}_4\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_{11}$,¹² $\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{Mo}_8\text{O}_{11}$,¹³ and $\text{Sc}_{0.75}\text{Zn}_{1.25}\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_7$ ⁹ compounds featuring infinite chains of edge-sharing Mo_6 octahedra. One exception is the AMo_4O_6 (A = Na, K, In, and Sn; space group $P4/mbm$) crystal structure,^{5–8} which contains undistorted chains of Mo_4O_6 units.

Considering all O atoms connected to Mo_6 octahedra, the infinite chains can be viewed as a repeated unit of two Mo_4O_5 clusters. The refined twelve Mo–O bond distances range from 2.009 to 2.16 Å (Table 2), which are close to the Mo–O bond

distances (2.01–2.067 Å) in NaMo_4O_6 ,⁵ and other Mo_6 -containing compounds which contains edge-sharing Mo_6 octahedra in the crystal structure.^{9,12,18} The bond strength (s) expressed in the valence unit of Mo–O can be calculated according to the equation $s(\text{Mo–O}) = [d(\text{Mo–O})/1.882]^{-6}$,^{23,24} where 1.882 Å is the Mo–O single bond distance, and the exponential parameter -6 corresponds to the value characteristic of the Mo atom. Based on the Mo–O bond distances, $s(\text{Mo–O})$ can be calculated for each Mo atom, and the bond valence sum (ν) of each Mo atom can be derived using the equation, $\nu = \sum s(\text{Mo–O})$. The calculated ν are 2.16, 2.05, 2.74, and 2.75 (average 2.43) for Mo1, Mo2, Mo3, and Mo4, respectively. The metal-centered electrons (MCE) that are available for Mo–Mo bonding per Mo_4 unit are calculated to be $14.3 e^-$ ($4 \times 6 - 2.16 - 2.05 - 2.74 - 2.75$) based on the ν of Mo atoms, which is close to the MCE value of $14.5 e^-$ [$4 \times 6 - 4 \times (10 \times 2 - 1 \times 1)/8$] according to the formula $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$. Previous summary and analysis of similar compounds predicted that the distortion occurred due to electrons occupying antibonding bands in crystal structures with MCE $> 13 e^-$.^{25,26} This is also the case in $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$, where strong distortion is shown in the cluster. Higher MCE values also cause additional distortion to the structure. The distorted Mo_6 octahedra in $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ are very similar to that in the $\text{R}_4\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_{11}$ (R = Y, Nd, and Sm–Tm),¹² but $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ contains two pairs of short/long apical Mo–Mo bonding along the chain, while $\text{R}_4\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_{11}$ only includes one pair, which makes the $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ more distorted. From the perspective of MCE values, the calculated MCE ($14.3 e^-$) of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ is larger than that of $\text{R}_4\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_{11}$ (13.9 – $14.1 e^-$),¹² suggesting a more distorted crystal structure as well.

Chemical Analysis. The prepared polycrystalline sample was examined by the SEM–EDX measurement. The SEM images indicate the particles to be polyhedral in shape and less than 10 μm in size (Figure 4a). Elemental mapping on the surface of polyhedrons confirms the Mo and O elements in the structure, but Li is too light to be detected using this technique (Figure 4b,c). Overall, the Mo and O elements are 302

homogeneously distributed through the polyhedron with two small areas with different concentrations, which probably correspond to the small amount of impurity seen as white dots on the polyhedron in the SEM image (Figure 4a). The obtained molar ratio of the Mo/O ratio is 8.0:9.6, which supports the expected ratio of 8:10 according to the formula $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$.

Solid-State ^7Li and ^6Li NMR. To confirm the existence of Li elements and study the local coordination environment of Li atoms in the crystal structure, solid-state ^7Li and ^6Li NMR were conducted using LiCl and Li_2O as the reference compounds. Both ^7Li and ^6Li MAS NMR spectra collected at room temperature show obvious peaks at 13.00 and 12.99 ppm, respectively (Figure 5). The ^7Li NMR spectrum shows broader peaks than that of ^6Li due to larger quadrupolar interaction. The ^6Li NMR spectra are dominated by chemical shift effects, which make them potentially more useful for providing structural information because their chemical shift more closely approximates the isotropic chemical shift.

Comparing the coordination environment of Li in the crystal structure of the LiCl and Li_2O references, Li atoms are located in the octahedral site within LiCl , while Li atoms occupy both the octahedral and tetrahedral sites within Li_2O . The systematic decrease observed in the ^6Li chemical shift from $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ to Li_2O and LiCl corresponds with an increasing coordination environment that resulted from increased shielding, which can be described by a linear relationship.²⁷ The ^6Li chemical shift of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ indicates the tetrahedral environment of Li atoms, as shown in the crystal structure Figure 1b. To check the Li mobility, we also collected ^7Li MAS NMR spectra at 238 K. In comparison to the main resonance at 13.00 ppm obtained at 298 K, a sharper peak occurs at 12.70 ppm at 238 K. The main resonance shift indicates the change of distribution under a similar coordination environment. The broadening effect of resonances at a higher temperature suggests wider distribution due to higher mobility.

X-ray Absorption Near-Edge Spectroscopy. Figure 6 shows XANES measurements of the Mo- L_3 edges of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ and a series of oxide standards with differing valences/configurations: MoO_3 (Mo^{6+} , d^0), $\text{SrFe}_{0.5}\text{Mo}_{0.5}\text{O}_3$ (Mo^{5+} , d^1), $\text{Sm}_2\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_7$ (Mo^{4+} , d^2), MoO_2 (Mo^{4+} , d^2), and elemental Mo (Mo^0 , d^5). The intense near-edge “white line” (WL) features in

the spectra are due to dipole transitions from the 2p core level into empty 4d final states.^{28–32} The characteristic chemical shift of the absorption edge to higher energy with increasing valence can be seen in Figure 6 by the systematic shift to the higher energy of the centrum of the WL feature with increasing Mo-valence.^{28–34} The loss of screening (an increase of binding energy) with increasing valence is typically invoked for such chemical shift trends.³⁴ The strong splitting of the oxide spectra due to ligand field and band structure effects can complicate the evaluation of the WL chemical shift.^{28–32} The first moment of the near edge, E_M , as defined in eq 1, has been used to estimate the relative chemical shifts of XANES spectra with strong spectral features.^{32,33} In applying this method to estimate the relative WL chemical shifts of the spectra shown in Figure 6, the low energy integration limit, $E_L = 2516$ eV (well below the edge) was used. Following the analysis performed in the Re-containing compounds,³² the high energy limit was chosen as the energy where the postedge absorption coefficient fell below the absorption coefficient of $\mu = 1.5$ (Figure 6).

$$E_M = \left[\int_{E_L}^{E_H} E\mu(E)dE \right] \left[\int_{E_L}^{E_H} \mu(E)dE \right]^{-1} \quad (1)$$

In Figure 7, the WL-feature moments for all of the spectra are plotted versus their formal 4d-counts and fitted to a straight




Figure 7. The Mo- L_3 edge WL chemical, as estimated by the first moment WL energies, plotted vs the formal Mo d -count (lower scale) and the formal valence (upper scale). The solid line is a linear fit to all of the data as a test of consistency.




Figure 6. The Mo- L_3 near edges of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ and a series of standard Mo-containing compounds. The horizontal line at $\mu = 1.5$ indicates the cutoff energy for integrating the first moment (E_M) of the WL feature to estimate the valence/configuration chemical shift.

line. There are two points that should be noted. First, the d -count dominance in the chemical shift has been tacitly assumed because the $4d^5$ configuration is common to both Mo^0 ($4d^5 5s^1$) and Mo^+ ($4d^5 5s^0$). This assumption is partially justified by the quadratic chemical shift versus valence relation found in some theoretical and experimental treatments of the Mo-shift, which flattens in the sub- Mo^{2+} range.³¹ Second, due to the shortage of Mo^{2+} and Mo^{1+} standards, the formal $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ configuration of $\text{Mo}^{2.375+}$ ($4d^{3.625}$) was included in the linear fit. With these caveats, the observed chemical shift of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ can be viewed to be consistent with its formally expected valence/configuration. Although such consistency is encouraging, the Mo–Mo bonding would be expected to play a strong role in this material, requiring considerations well beyond formal valence.

Physical Properties. Magnetic properties were investigated on a $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ powder sample. Temperature-dependent FC magnetic susceptibility (χ) data were collected between

1.8 and 300 K (Figure 8), which indicates that $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ is paramagnetic in this temperature range. Inverse susceptibility




Figure 8. Temperature-dependent FC magnetic susceptibility of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ with an applied magnetic field of 0.1 T. Inset shows the plot of $(\chi - \chi_0)^{-1}$ vs T . The red line is the straight-line fit to the data.

corrected for a small diamagnetic contribution ($\chi_0 = 2.72 \times 10^{-5}$ emu/mol) is almost linear in the entire temperature range below 275 K as depicted in the inset of Figure 8. Fitting the data between 70 and 250 K with the modified Curie–Weiss law, $\chi = \chi_0 + C/(T - \theta_w)$, yields the Weiss temperature of $\theta_w = -10$ K, and the effective magnetic moment ($\mu_{\text{eff}} = 0.12 \mu_B/\text{f.u.}$) is given by $\sqrt{8C}$, where C is the Curie constant. The obtained values of the χ_0 and C are close to those in $\text{Rb}_{1.5}\text{Mo}_8\text{O}_{16}$.³⁵ The absence of magnetic ordering of Mo ions in $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ has also been observed in related compounds with strong Mo–Mo bonding, such as $\text{R}_4\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_{11}$ ($R = \text{Y}$, Nd , and Sm–Tm),¹² $\text{R}_4\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{32}$ ($R = \text{Y}$, Gd , Tb , and Ho),³⁶ and $\text{Ba}_3\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{28}$.³⁷ The temperature-dependent resistivity measurement of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ was performed on a dense pellet that was prepared at 400 °C and 4 GPa. At 300 K, the resistivity (ρ_{300}) is 0.0017 $\Omega\cdot\text{m}$, and it increases slowly to 0.019 $\Omega\cdot\text{m}$ at 75 K and 0.048 $\Omega\cdot\text{m}$ at 50 K, but starts increasing exponentially below 50 K and reaches 0.74 $\Omega\cdot\text{m}$ at 1.8 K (Figure 9). Compared to the




Figure 9. Temperature-dependent resistivity of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ from 300 to 1.8 K with the plot of $\ln(\rho)$ vs $T^{-1/4}$ (inset).

resistivity at 300 K, the resistivity at 1.8 K increases by a factor ($\rho_{1.8}/\rho_{300}$) of 435, which is a rare and exponential change. $\text{Li}_{0.9}\text{Mo}_6\text{O}_{17}$ also exhibits an exponential increase below ~ 24 K, but with a relatively small $\rho_{1.8}/\rho_{300}$ (~ 5) ratio.⁴ This compound undergoes a superconducting state below 1.8 K.⁴ The abnormal increase of resistivity of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ below 50 K requires further study to understand whether this is a simple insulator (semiconductor) or it also becomes superconducting at lower temperatures as observed in $\text{Li}_{0.9}\text{Mo}_6\text{O}_{17}$. The

decreasing resistivity at high temperatures may be due to the localized carrier hopping amongst the nearest neighbor localized states. The resistivity data can be described with the Mott's variable range hopping conduction mechanism for three-dimensional conduction in the temperature ranges of 215–45 K with equation $\rho = \rho_0 \exp[(T_0/T)^{1/4}]$,³⁸ where the preexponential factor (ρ_0) is the temperature-dependent resistivity parameter, and T_0 is the characteristic temperature, which is associated with the electronic density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level and the localization length of the carrier.

The semiconducting behavior is in contrast to the expected metallic behavior based on the strong infinite Mo–Mo bonding, which has been observed in AMo_4O_6 ($A = \text{Na}$ and In) and $\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{Mo}_8\text{O}_{11}$ compounds with similar edge-sharing Mo_6 octahedra feature.^{9,14,15} However, the metal-to-insulator transition occurs at 118–120 and 50 K for KMo_4O_6 and SnMo_4O_6 , respectively.^{8,16,17} $\text{R}_4\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{32}$ ($R = \text{Y}$ and Gd–Yb) with Mo_2 , Mo_4 , and Mo_6 clusters also shows a metal-to-insulator transition in the range of 70–120 K.³⁶ The semiconducting behavior is also reasonable considering that there are Mo–O–Mo bonding between chains and O–Li–O bonding between layers in addition to strong Mo–Mo bonding within the infinite chains of Mo_4O_5 clusters. The semiconducting behavior has also been reported in $\text{R}_4\text{Mo}_4\text{O}_{11}$ ($R = \text{Y}$, Nd , and Sm–Tm) with infinite edge-sharing Mo_6 octahedra,¹² $\text{Ba}_3\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{28}$ containing four edge-sharing Mo_6 octahedra,³⁷ and $\text{Ca}_{5.45}\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{32}$ featuring Mo, Mo_2 , and Mo_4 clusters chains.¹⁵

The field-dependent magnetoresistance (MR) of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ was measured between 2 and 30 K (Figure 10). The MR is




Figure 10. Field-dependent MR of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ measured between 2 and 30 K.

calculated with the definition $\text{MR} = [(\rho_B - \rho_{0T})/\rho_{0T}] \times 100\%$, where ρ_B and ρ_{0T} are the resistivities measured with applied magnetic fields B and $B = 0$ T, respectively. At 2 K, the MR shows negative values, and the absolute value starts increasing with increasing magnetic field and reaches the maximum value of 10.2% at 9 T. A similar negative MR trend remains between 2 and 25 K, and the magnitude decreases as the temperature increases. This is the first example of negative MR among the ternary molybdenum oxides with infinite Mo_6 octahedra. The negative MR at lower temperatures is intriguing, especially as it appears in the paramagnetic state. Usually, the MR is positive in a nonmagnetic material. In magnetic materials, the negative MR usually appears due to suppression of the spin fluctuation by the external magnetic field, and the effect becomes maximum close to the magnetic transition temperature. The negative MR in $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$, thus, may be an indication that

there is a magnetic ordering just below 1.8 K (the lowest temperature we could measure), or that this material has a strong spin fluctuation that is suppressing the magnetic ordering. What makes it more interesting is that a similar negative MR has been reported in $\text{Li}_{0.9}\text{Mo}_6\text{O}_{17}$ (for magnetic field applied along the crystallographic b axis) just above the superconductivity transition temperature at 1.8 K,⁴ which has been attributed to the suppression of the fluctuations associated with the nearby superconductivity by the magnetic field. Thus, it is also likely that $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ becomes a superconductor at lower temperatures and requires further study.

The temperature-dependent heat capacity (C_p) measurement was conducted on a pellet from 1.8 to 80 K. The absence of an anomaly in the C_p versus T plot suggests that there is no long-range magnetic ordering (Figure 11). The specific heat,




Figure 11. Heat capacity of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ measured from 1.8 to 80 K, and the low-temperature range fitted with the modified Debye model $C_p/T = \gamma + \beta T^2$ (inset).

$C_p = \gamma T + \beta T^3$,³ is the sum of electron ($C_e = \gamma T$) and lattice ($C_l = \beta T^3$) specific heat. The low-temperature range (1.8 to 7 K) can be fitted with the modified Debye model $C_p/T = \gamma + \beta T^2$, which yields the electronic specific heat coefficient (Sommerfeld coefficient), $\gamma = 4.3 \text{ mJ}/(\text{mol}\cdot\text{K}^2)$, and coefficient $\beta = 0.76 \text{ mJ}/(\text{mol}\cdot\text{K}^4)$. The Sommerfeld constant $\gamma = \pi^2 k_B^2 N(E_F)/3$, where k_B is Boltzmann constant (1.380649 J/K , or $8.61733 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV/K}$), is proportional to the total DOS at the Fermi level, $N(E_F)$. Based on the obtained $\gamma = 4.3 \text{ mJ}/(\text{mol}\cdot\text{K}^2)$, the $N(E_F)$ is calculated to be 1.8 states/eV·unit cell. The coefficient β is associated with the Debye temperature (θ_D) as $\theta_D^3 = 12\pi^4 R n / (5\beta)$, where $R = 8.314 \text{ J}/(\text{mol}\cdot\text{K})$ and $n = 19$ (number of atoms per formula unit), and the calculated θ_D is equal to 365 K.

Electronic Structure. DFT calculations were performed to obtain the DOS of nonmagnetic $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$, and the results are shown in Figure 12. In the crystal structure, Mo1 and Mo2 have the coordination number of 4, while Mo3 and Mo4 have the coordination number of 5 with O atoms. Due to the different geometries, four different Mo atoms are grouped into two: Mo1 + Mo2 and Mo3 + Mo4, and the two show different 4d partial DOS, as shown in Figure 12. The computed DOS shows a metallic phase, which is opposite to the observed semiconducting behavior in the experiment (see Figure 9). Note that the forbidden energy window is realized above the Fermi level, from ~ 0.2 to $\sim 0.5 \text{ eV}$ in the computed DOS. Furthermore, introducing magnetism such as ferro-, ferri-, and antiferromagnetism does not open the bandgap in the DFT calculations. This discrepancy may be related to the drawback




Figure 12. DOS of nonmagnetic $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ with the polar space group $Cmc2_1$.

of DFT calculations as they are well known to underestimate the bandgap. Several methods like hybrid functional, modified Becke-Johnson (mBJ) exchange potential, and DFT plus dynamical mean-field theory (DFT + DMFT) were employed, but all of them were unsuccessful in giving the bandgap in this system. Other methods beyond the standard DFT framework are necessary to investigate the insulating phase of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$, which remain for future work.

CONCLUSIONS

Polycrystalline $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ was synthesized by annealing starting materials Li_2MoO_4 , MoO_3 , and Mo at 1380°C for 48 h using a Mo crucible. The polar crystal structure featuring edge-sharing Mo_6 octahedra chains was confirmed with the Rietveld refinement of room-temperature neutron powder diffraction data. The tetrahedral environment of Li atoms in the crystal structure was confirmed by the $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$ solid-state NMR. The valence state of Mo was consistent with the formal Mo valence state according to the XANES of the Mo-L₃ edge. Resistivity measurement of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ indicates that this compound is not metallic as expected from the strong Mo–Mo bonding in the crystal structure. $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ shows an interesting increase in resistivity at lower temperatures with the ratio of $\rho_{1.8}/\rho_{300}$ equal to 435, which is not observed in similar compounds with edge-sharing Mo_6 octahedra feature. Isothermal field-dependent magnetoresistance measurements at 2 K also reveal a negative magnetoresistance of 10.1% at 9 T. The study of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ provides valuable guidance for the study of isostructural $\text{ZnMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ containing a more reduced Mo in the crystal structure, which will be carried out in the future.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.2c01917>.

Laboratory X-ray powder diffraction pattern of $\text{LiMo}_8\text{O}_{10}$ (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Patrick Gougeon – Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, UMR 6226 CNRS—INSA—Université de Rennes 1, Rennes 35042, France; orcid.org/0000-0003-4778-5581; Email: patrick.gougeon@univ-rennes1.fr

547 **Xiaoyan Tan** – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry,
548 George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia 22030, United
549 States; orcid.org/0000-0002-1742-8252; Email: xtan6@gmu.edu
550 [gmu.edu](mailto:xtan6@gmu.edu)

551 Authors

552 **Zachary T. Messegee** – Department of Chemistry and
553 Biochemistry, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia
554 22030, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-9702-580X

555 **Philippe Gall** – Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, UMR 6226
556 CNRS–INSA–Université de Rennes 1, Rennes 35042,
557 France

558 **Hari Bhandari** – Department of Physics and Astronomy and
559 Quantum Science and Engineering Center, George Mason
560 University, Fairfax, Virginia 22030, United States

561 **Peter E. Siegfried** – Department of Physics and Astronomy
562 and Quantum Science and Engineering Center, George Mason
563 University, Fairfax, Virginia 22030, United States;

564 orcid.org/0000-0002-0145-2899

565 **Chang-Jong Kang** – Department of Physics, Chungnam
566 National University, Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea;
567 Institute of Quantum Systems, Chungnam National
568 University, Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea; [orcid.org/](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2895-4888)
569 [0000-0003-2895-4888](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2895-4888)

570 **Benjamin Chen** – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry,
571 Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, United
572 States

573 **Carl R. Conti, III** – Department of Chemistry and
574 Biochemistry, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida
575 32306, United States

576 **Banghao Chen** – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry,
577 Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, United
578 States

579 **Mark Croft** – Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers,
580 The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey
581 08854, United States

582 **Qiang Zhang** – Neutron Scattering Division, Oak Ridge
583 National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, United
584 States; orcid.org/0000-0003-0389-7039

585 **Syed N. Qadri** – U.S. Naval Research Laboratory,
586 Washington, District of Columbia 20375, United States

587 **Joseph Prestigiacomo** – U.S. Naval Research Laboratory,
588 Washington, District of Columbia 20375, United States;
589 orcid.org/0000-0001-5274-8836

590 **Nirmal J. Ghimire** – Department of Physics and Astronomy
591 and Quantum Science and Engineering Center, George Mason
592 University, Fairfax, Virginia 22030, United States;

593 orcid.org/0000-0002-8474-4968

594 Complete contact information is available at:
595 <https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.2c01917>

596 Author Contributions

597 ^{††}Z.T.M. and P.G. contributed equally.

598 Notes

599 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

600 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

601 C.M.S. and X.T. were supported by start-up funding from
602 George Mason University. C.-J.K. was supported by the NRF
603 grant (NRF-2022R1C1C1008200). N.J.G. acknowledges the
604 support from the National Science Foundation (NSF)
605 CAREER award DMR- 2143903. Transport measurements

were supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of 606
Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science and 607
Engineering Division. The XANES was performed at NSLS- 608
II beamline 7-ID-2 SST-2, under the expert guidance of Conan 609
Weiland (NIST). The National Synchrotron Light Source II 610
(NSLS-II) is a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of 611
Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science 612
by Brookhaven National Laboratory under Contract no DE- 613
SC0012704. This work used resources at the Spallation 614
Neutron Source, DOE Office of Science Facilities operated 615
by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. We thank Dr. David 616
Walker at Columbia University for preparing the dense pellet 617
with the Walker-type high-pressure press. 618

619 REFERENCES

- (1) Greenblatt, M.; Ramanujachary, K. V.; McCarroll, W. H.; 620
Neifeld, R.; Waszczak, J. V. Quasi-Two-Dimensional Electronic 621
Properties of the Sodium Molybdenum Bronze, Na_{0.9}MoO₁₇. *J.* 622
Solid State Chem. **1985**, *59*, 149–154. 623
- (2) Pouget, J. P.; Kagoshima, S.; Schlenker, C.; Marcus, J. Evidence 624
for a Peierls Transition in the Blue Bronzes K_{0.30}MoO₃ and 625
Rb_{0.30}MoO₃. *J. Phys. Lett.* **1983**, *44*, 113–120. 626
- (3) Degiorgi, L.; Wachter, P.; Greenblatt, M.; McCarroll, W. H.; 627
Ramanujachary, K. V.; Marcus, J.; Schlenker, C. Optical Investigation 628
of Quasi-One- and Two-Dimensional Systems A_{0.9}MoO₁₇ (A = Li, K, 629
and Na). *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1988**, *38*, 5821– 630
5826. 631
- (4) Chen, H.; Ying, J. J.; Xie, Y. L.; Wu, G.; Wu, T.; Chen, X. H. 632
Magnetotransport Properties in Purple Bronze Li_{0.9}MoO₁₇ Single 633
Crystal. *Epl* **2010**, *89*, 67010. 634
- (5) Torardi, C. C.; McCarley, R. E. Sodium Tetramolybdenum 635
Hexoxide (NaMo₄O₆). A Metallic Infinite-Chain Polymer Derived by 636
Condensation of Octahedral Clusters. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 637
3963–3964. 638
- (6) McCarroll, W. H.; Ramanujachary, K. V.; Greenblatt, M.; Marsh, 639
R. E. On the Tetragonal Forms of KMo₄O₆. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1995**, 640
117, 217–218. 641
- (7) Schultz, P.; Simon, A.; Oeckler, O. Modulated Crystal Structure 642
of InMo₄O₆. *Acta Crystallogr.* **2016**, *72*, 642–649. 643
- (8) Dong-woon, J.; Kwang-sik, C.; Sung-jin, K. Factors Affecting the 644
Magnitude of the Metal-Insulator Transition Temperature in AMo₄O₆ 645
(A = K, Sn). *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *25*, 959–964. 646
- (9) McCarley, R. E. Halide and Chalcogenide Clusters of the Early 647
Transition Metals. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A* **1982**, *308*, 141–157. 648
- (10) Hainz, M.; Boller, H. GaMgMo₄O₇ and Fe₂Mo₄O₇ - Two Low- 649
Valent Molybdenum Oxides with a Fully Ordered Sc_{0.75}Zn_{1.25}Mo₄O₇ 650
Type Structure. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2001**, *317–318*, 132–135. 651
- (11) Lii, K. H.; McCarley, R. E.; Kim, S.; Jacobson, R. A. Synthesis 652
and Structure of Ternary Molybdenum Oxides MMo₈O₁₀ (M = Li or 653
Zn) Having Orthogonal Nonintersecting Octahedral Cluster Chains. 654
J. Solid State Chem. **1986**, *64*, 347–358. 655
- (12) Gall, P.; Barrier, N.; Gautier, R.; Gougeon, P. S. Structural 656
Trends, and Physical and Electronic Properties of the Reduced 657
Molybdenum Oxides R₄Mo₄O₁₁ (R = Nd-Tm and Y) Containing 658
Infinite Chains of Trans-Edge-Shared Octahedral Clusters. *Inorg.* 659
Chem. **2002**, *41*, 2879–2885. 660
- (13) Gall, P.; Gougeon, P. Redetermination of Mn_{1.44}Mo₈O₁₁: 661
Evidence of Pairwise Distortion of Octahedral Molybdenum Chains. 662
Acta Crystallogr. **2006**, *62*, i155–i157. 663
- (14) Carlson, C. D.; Brough, L. F.; Edwards, P. A.; McCarley, R. E. 664
Synthesis, Structure and Properties of Manganese Molybdenum 665
Oxide (Mn_{1.5}Mo₈O₁₁), a Unique Structure Type among Compounds 666
Having Infinite Chains of Trans-Edge-Shared Octahedral Cluster 667
Units. *J. Less Common. Met.* **1989**, *156*, 325–339. 668
- (15) McCarley, R. E.; Lii, K.-H. P. A.; Edwards, L. F.; Brough, L. F. 669
New Extended Clusters in Ternary Molybdenum Oxides. *J. Solid State* 670
Chem. **1985**, *57*, 17–24. 671

- (16) Andrade, M.; Maffei, M. L.; Alves, L. M. S.; Santos, C. A. M.; Ferreira, B.; Sartori, A. F. Microstructure and Metal-Insulator Transition in Single Crystalline KMnO_4 . *Mater. Res.* **2012**, *15*, 998–1002.
- (17) Ramanujachary, K. V.; Greenblatt, M.; Jones, E. B.; McCarroll, W. H. Synthesis and Characterization of a New Modification of the Quasi-Low-Dimensional Compound Potassium Molybdate (KMnO_4). *J. Solid State Chem.* **1993**, *102*, 69–78.
- (18) McCarley, R. E. Some Structure-Bonding Correlations in Reduced Ternary Molybdenum Oxides with Infinite Chains of Edge-Shared Octahedral Cluster Units. *Polyhedron* **1986**, *5*, 51–61.
- (19) Benedek, N. A.; Birol, T. “F.” M. Fundamental Mechanisms and Design Considerations for New Materials. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2016**, *4*, 4000–4015.
- (20) Kirkham, M.; Heroux, L.; Ruiz-Rodriguez, M.; Huq, A. AGES: Automated Gas Environment System for in Situ Neutron Powder Diffraction. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2018**, *89*, 092904.
- (21) Rodríguez-Carvajal, J. Recent Advances in Magnetic Structure Determination by Neutron Powder Diffraction. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1993**, *192*, 55–69.
- (22) Blaha, P. S.; Schwarz, K.; Madsen, G. K. H.; Kvasnicka, D.; Luitz, J. WIEN2K An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties; Technische Universität Wien: Wien: Austria, 2001.
- (23) Brown, I. D.; Wu, K. K. Empirical Parameters for Calculating Cation-Oxygen Bond Valences. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B* **1976**, *32*, 1957–1959.
- (24) Bart, J. C. J.; Ragani, V. Molybdenum Oxygen Bond-Strength Bond-Length Relationships. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* **1979**, *36*, 261–265.
- (25) Hughbanks, T.; Hoffmann, R. Chains of Trans-Edge-Sharing Molybdenum Octahedra: Metal-Metal Bonding in Extended Systems. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 3528–3537.
- (26) Wheeler, R. A.; Hoffmann, R. Trans-Edge-Sharing Molybdenum Octahedra: A Reciprocal Space Approach to Metal-Metal Bonding in Finite Chains. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 7315–7325.
- (27) MacKenzie, K. J. D.; Smith, M. E. *Multinuclear Solid-State NMR of Inorganic Materials*, 1st ed.; Pergamon, 2002.
- (28) Zeng, Z.; Fawcett, I. D.; Greenblatt, M.; Croft, M. Large Magnetoresistance in Double Perovskite $\text{Sr}_2\text{Cr}_{1.2}\text{Mo}_{0.8}\text{O}_{6-\delta}$. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2001**, *36*, 705–715.
- (29) Veith, G. M.; Greenblatt, M.; Croft, M.; Goodenough, J. B. Synthesis and Characterization of the Oxynitride Pyrochlore - $\text{Sm}_2\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_{3.83}\text{N}_{3.17}$. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2001**, *36*, 1521–1530.
- (30) de Groot, F. M. F.; Hu, Z. W.; Lopez, M. F.; Kaendler, G.; Guillot, F.; Tronc, M. Differences between L3 and L2 X-Ray Absorption Spectra of Transition Metal Compounds. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *101*, 6570–6576.
- (31) Svyazhin, A.; Nalbandyan, V.; Rovezzi, M.; Chumakova, A.; Detlefs, B.; Guda, A. A.; Santambrogio, A.; Manceau, A.; Glatzel, P. Chemical Information in the L3 X-Ray Absorption Spectra of Molybdenum Compounds by High-Energy-Resolution Detection and Density Functional Theory. *Inorg. Chem.* **2022**, *61*, 869–881.
- (32) Popov, G.; Greenblatt, M.; Croft, M. Large Effects of A-Site Average Cation Size on the Properties of the Double Perovskites $\text{Ba}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnReO}_6$: A d^5-d^1 System. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2003**, *67*, 024406.
- (33) Alp, E. E.; Goodman, G. L.; Soderholm, L.; Mini, S. M.; Ramanathan, M.; Shenoy, G. K.; Bommanavar, A. S. A New Approach to Determining the Charge Distribution in Copper Compounds. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **1989**, *1*, 6463–6468.
- (34) Croft, M.; Sills, D.; Greenblatt, M.; Lee, C.; Cheong, S.-W.; Ramanujachary, K. V.; Tran, D. Systematic Mn D-Configuration Change in t System: A Mn K-Edge XAS Study. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1997**, *55*, 8726–8732.
- (35) Ozawa, T.; Suzuki, I.; Sato, H. Structural, Magnetic and Electronic Transport Properties of Novel Hollandite-Type Molybdenum Oxide, $\text{Rb}_{1.5}\text{Mo}_8\text{O}_{16}$. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **2006**, *75*, 014802.
- (36) Gall, P.; Gougeon, P.; Ramanujachary, K. V.; McCarroll, W. H.; Greenblatt, M. Anomalous Metal-Insulator Transitions in Reduced Molybdenum Oxides, $\text{A}_x\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{32}$ (A = Ca, Y, Gd-Yb) with Mon (n = 2,4,6) Cluster Chains. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1997**, *134*, 45–51.
- (37) Schimek, G. L.; Nagaki, D. A.; McCarley, R. E. Synthesis and Characterization of $\text{Ba}_3\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{28}$: A Metal-Metal-Bonded Oligomer Containing Four Trans Edge-Shared Molybdenum Octahedra. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 1259–1265.
- (38) Mott, N. F. *Conduction in Non-Crystalline Materials*, 2nd ed.; Clarendon Press: Oxford, 1993.