

Tracing the Past: Butchering a Bison with Middle Palaeolithic Stone Tools

Marie-Cécile Soulier, Sandrine Costamagno, Émilie Claud, Marianne

Deschamps

▶ To cite this version:

Marie-Cécile Soulier, Sandrine Costamagno, Émilie Claud, Marianne Deschamps. Tracing the Past: Butchering a Bison with Middle Palaeolithic Stone Tools. Mărgărit, M., Boroneanț, A (eds.). Recreating artefacts and ancient skills: from experiment to interpretation, Cetatea de Scaun Publishing, pp.13-31, 2022, 978-606-537-565-9. hal-03761715

HAL Id: hal-03761715 https://hal.science/hal-03761715

Submitted on 26 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 T	racing the p	bast: butchering	a bison with	Middle P	alaeolithic st	tone tools
------------	--------------	------------------	--------------	----------	----------------	------------

2 Marie-Cécile Soulier^a, Sandrine Costamagno^a, Emilie Claud^b, Marianne Deschamps^{a,c}

3

4

5 Affiliations

- 6 Corresponding author: Marie-Cécile Soulier <u>marie-cecile.soulier@cnrs.fr</u>
- 7 a CNRS UMR 5608-TRACES, Université de Toulouse Jean Jaurès, Maison de la Recherche, 5
- 8 allées A. Machado, FR-31058 Toulouse cedex 9
- 9 ^b INRAP, Centre Archéologique de Poitiers, 122 rue de la Bugellerie, FR-86000 Poitiers
- 10 ° UNIARQ, Faculdade de Letras da Universidade de Lisboa, Alameda da Universidade, 1600-214
- 11 Lisboa, Portugal
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17

18 Abstract

19 In order to better document food practices of past societies, we butchered a female adult American 20 bison (Bison bison) using replicas of Middle Palaeolithic stone tools. This experiment, carried out 21 as part of the collective research project "Des Traces et des Hommes", was designed to test 22 whether specific stone tools or raw materials were more efficient for certain activities, build a new 23 dataset for activity specific use-wear patterns, and identify cut-marks characteristic (i.e. location 24 and orientation) of each stage of the butchery process. The carcass was skinned, defleshed, and 25 disarticulated, with the tendons also removed. Each butchery stage left cut-marks on the bison 26 bones. Comparisons with available data for medium-sized ungulates shows comparable patterns in 27 the interpretation of cut-marks, although some differences were observed, suggesting caution 28 when interpreting cut-mark data on larger species such as bison. Moreover, our experiment 29 revealed certain tools to be more efficient than others for butchery, and that the choice of tool type 30 and/or raw material can influence the generation of cut-marks.

- 31
- 32
- 33 Keywords experimentation; butchery; Middle Palaeolithic stone tools; bison; cut-marks
- 34
- 35

36 **1. Introduction**

37 Cut-marks on bones and use-wear on stone tools are amongst the most reliable means 38 for identifying carcass processing by past societies. Combined with our current understanding of 39 taphonomic processes, several different forms of experimental and ethnographic evidence are 40 available to reconstruct past butchery practices. There is now sufficient comparative data linking 41 patterns in cut-mark distribution and frequency with butchery activities to allow these processes to 42 be identified on various species, including reindeer, deer, African bovids, birds or rabbits (e.g. 43 Binford 1981; Bez 1995; Nilssen 2000; Laroulandie 2001; Lloveras et al. 2009; Val and Mallye 44 2011; Soulier and Costamagno 2017; Costamagno et al. 2019; Soulier 2021). In order to add 45 another reference collection to this growing body of evidence, we butchered an adult female 46 American bison (Bison bison) using replicas of Middle Palaeolithic stone tools. This experiment 47 was carried out as part of the collective research project "Des Traces et des Hommes' (coord. C. 48 Thiébaut: Thiébaut et al. 2019). Our goal was to test potential correlations between stone tool 49 types or particular raw materials and specific activities, characterize use-wear generated on stone 50 tools for each activity, and build an interpretative framework for cut-marks that allow traces on large 51 ungulate bones to be linked to a specific stage of the butchery process. This is of particular 52 importance for bison, as this species is frequently encountered on archaeological sites (e.g. Speth 53 1983; Todd and Frison 1992; Farizy et al. 1994; Gaudzinski 1996; Frison 2004; Johnson and 54 Bement 2009; Rodríguez-Hidalgo et al. 2017) and no reference collection is currently available for 55 this large ungulate. Here we present the results of our butchery experiment, paying particular 56 attention to the cut-mark data, and explore whether the coding system for medium-sized ungulates 57 is equally applicable to bison. To this end, we mobilized data from butchery experiments involving 58 red deer carried out within the framework of the same collective research project (Soulier and 59 Costamagno 2017; Costamagno et al. 2019).

60

61 **2. Material and methods**

62 The bison (Bison bison) carcass was butchered in April 2011, 48h after its death. This 300 63 kg, twenty-year old adult female was raised at the 'Randals Bison' park (Lanuéjols, France) and 64 transported in a refrigerated truck. In order to reliably recognize and interpret traces left on both 65 stone tools and bones, the experiment was designed to avoid as much as possible carrying out more than one activity on the same area of a bone, and only one tool was used in a given activity. 66 67 For example, the circular incision in the skin was made at mid-diaphysis on the metacarpals such 68 that the tendons could be cut at the proximal and the distal end of the bone. This ensured that cut-69 marks potentially generated by these activities, could be reliably recorded. As control, the entire 70 experiment was videotaped¹, photos were taken, and all contacts between the tool and bone as 71 well as tool motions were recorded for each activity on a dedicated data sheet. The butchery 72 experiment involved several types of stone tools, including hafted examples, made of various raw 73 materials (Table 1). All butchery activities were carried out by several archaeologists familiar with 74 bison anatomy and experimental carcass processing, with two professional butchers present to 75 provide advice on how to best process the carcass.

76

77 A total of 35 stone tools were used during the butchery experiments. Surgical plasticine 78 molds were made of the edges of the stone tools before and after butchery in order to identify 79 macro- and micro-traces. Related lithic use-wear data have already been partially published (Claud 80 and Thiébaut 2011; Claud et al. 2015, 2019). The leg bones were soaked in water with enzymes 81 and the axial skeleton buried for a year in order to be cleaned and prepared for the cut-mark 82 analysis. Bones were observed by two zooarchaeologists (M.-C. S and S. C) under low-angled 83 light using a 30x magnifying hand-lens. All cut-marks were recorded on Adobe Illustrator© bone 84 templates and, for ease of presentation, cut-mark distributions on left and right bone elements and 85 each group of vertebrae and ribs were combined on the same anatomical drawing. The action that 86 created them was then deduced from the contact sheets filled out during the butchery experiment. 87 Cut-mark orientations on the meat-bearing long bones were assessed and quantified using QGIS 88 (version 3.4.11 Madeira). In order to better visualize the data, a 6-part division is shown on figures 89 depicting cut-marks on meat-bearing long bones (1 proximal and 6 distal portions).

90 91

92 **3. Carcass Processing Protocol**

93

94 The carcass was entirely processed on the ground. For health reasons, the bison was 95 already eviscerated via an opening cut in the abdomen. The first stage of the butchery process, 96 skinning (blue and gray areas in Fig. 1), was begun from this incision, with a cut made along the 97 throat and a circular incision around the neck. Circular incisions were then made mid-diaphysis on 98 both metacarpals of the front legs. For the right front leg, a longitudinal incision was made on the 99 inner side, along the limb to the ventral incision for evisceration. For the left leg, this longitudinal 100 incision started on the inner side from the metacarpal to the radio-ulna, and continued on the anterior face on the humerus. As the proximal diaphyses of the metatarsals had been broken at the 101 102 slaughterhouse, a circular incision was unnecessary on the hind legs. On both sides on the hind 103 legs, this longitudinal incision was made on the inner face of the legs from the metatarsals up to 104 the ventral cut made for evisceration. For the axial skeleton, the skin was removed longitudinally, 105 pulled from the ventral incision to the spine. The skin was subsequently removed from the lower 106 legs, starting from the circular incision on the metacarpals and the broken area of the metatarsals.

distributed under a free Creative Commons-BY-SA-3.0 license provided that credit is given to the collective research project "Des Traces et des Hommes".

107 A longitudinal incision was then made on the inner face of both rear legs, on the anterior face of the 108 right hind-leg and on the lateral face on the left one. The remnant skin was removed via a circular 109 incision made as close as possible to the hooves, which on a bison is at the level of the second 110 phalanx. For the head, the skin was first removed from the mandible, beginning with an incision 111 along the lower teeth, and then removing the skin from the body of the mandible with a motion from 112 the teeth to the ventral face of the mandible. The skull was skinned by first making an incision 113 along the teeth, and then moving upward.

114 As much meat as possible (cf infra) was recovered from all anatomical elements, 115 including the head. The carcass was disarticulated (red area in Fig. 1) after defleshing in a manner 116 consistent with the storage of bones for later use. The head was removed with three direct blows 117 with a hafted quartzite cleaver at the junction with the atlas vertebra. Once defleshed, the mandible 118 was detached by cutting and twisting. The sternum was separated from the ribs using hafted 119 guartzite cleavers, with the surface scraped beforehand to facilitate breakage. The right side ribs 120 were separated from the vertebrae, with ribs 2, 3 and 4 forcibly detached once the sternum was 121 opened, while ribs 5 to 13 were broken using a cleaver at the proximal end of the rib. The pelvis was separated from the trunk by percussion at the 4-5th lumbar vertebrae junction. The limb bones 122 123 were disarticulated using cutting motions involving different tools and raw material according to the 124 leg (see Table 1). Extensor and flexor tendons were removed from the lower legs, and we 125 attempted to extract the horny outer covering of the hooves.

126 127

128 **4. Results**

129

130 A total of 2203 cut-marks were recorded on the butchered bones (Table 2). Despite paying 131 particular attention to avoid performing multiple activities at a same location, this was not always 132 possible. For example, tool/bone contacts on the pelvis were signaled by the experimenter during 133 both disarticulation and defleshing; however, due to the mass of flesh, it was difficult to precisely 134 identify the location of these contacts. Consequently, reliably interpreting cut-marks in certain areas 135 of the carcass is complicated. Moreover, cut-marks were identified in certain areas despite no 136 contact being reported during butchery, making it difficult to assign them to a particular activity. Cut-137 marks impossible to reliably assign to a specific butchery activity are shown in gray in the figures.

138

139 **4.1 Skinning**

Removing the skin from the bison's head produced cut-marks on the maxilla, just above the cheek teeth, as well as on the vestibular surface of the teeth, around the orbital zone, and on the nasal and frontal bones (Fig 2a). The circular incision around the nose left multiple transverse cut-marks both on the nasal bones and premaxilla. Cut-marks on the tympanic bulla are connected to the removal of the ears during the skinning process. Skinning the jaw (Fig. 2b) generated cut145 marks on teeth, both on cheek teeth and the third incisor, at the periphery of the mental foramen 146 and the corpus of the mandible up to the mandibular angle. Transverse cut-marks were recorded 147 on the palmar edge of the mandible, slightly extending to the lingual side.

148 On the legs, the circular incisions made at mid-diaphysis on the metacarpals are clearly 149 visible, with transverse and clustered cut-marks evident on the medial face of both metacarpals 150 (Fig. 3a). No circular incision was made on the metatarsals (see above). The second circular 151 incision made as close as possible to the hooves generated transverse and clustered cut-marks on 152 the mesial phalanges of the rear legs (Fig 3e). Scattered, oblique or sub-transverse cut-marks 153 were left on the metacarpals and the metatarsals as well as the phalanges when stone tools were 154 used in an oblique motion to detach the skin. Whether on the metacarpals, the metatarsals or the 155 associated short bones, no longitudinal cut-marks were produced by the longitudinal incision in the 156 skin, even when made on the lateral or medial faces where the skin is in direct contact with the 157 bone.

158

159 4.2 Defleshing

160 The carcass was nearly completely defleshed, with very little meat remaining on the 161 bones after the experiment (Fig. 4). Just over 140kg of meat was recovered, with the axial skeleton 162 producing 53kg and the two femurs an identical amount. Considering the mass of meat recovered, 163 the front legs appear to be substantially less interesting. The proportions of meat recovered from 164 the legs are very similar to what was observed by Emerson (1990:432) for a 16-year-old female 165 *Bison bison*, where the femur accounted for 58% of the meat retrieved from the appendicular 166 skeleton.

167

All the meaty long bones bear cut-marks produced during defleshing, comprising 87 % (N=741) of all cut-marks observed on the long bones. Cut-marks are evident across the entire diaphysis (Fig. 5). Cut-marks linked to defleshing are also present on or around several articular extremities, such as the tibia plateau. Defleshing cut-marks are mostly transverse or oblique, and longitudinal cut-marks produced by defleshing represent less than 3% of all marks on long bones (Table 3).

- 174
- 175

176 Significant numbers of cut-marks connected to defleshing were also recorded on the 177 scapular and pelvic girdles (cf Fig. 2c&d), most of which are longitudinal and elongated. On the 178 axial skeleton, most cut-marks are also longitudinal (i.e. aligned with the cranio-caudal axis). 179 Defleshing related cut-marks on vertebrae (Fig. 6) primarily occur on the spinous process (axis; 180 thoracic 1 to 5 and 7 to 10; lumbar 3 to 5) and on the transverse processes (cervical 5 and 6; 181 thoracic 1, 4 to 11, 13, 14; lumbar 1, 3 to 5). Cut-marks are less frequently positioned close to the 182 cranial or caudal articular process (axis, cervical 4 and lumbar 2), near the *fovea costalis* inferior 183 (thoracic 3) or near the inferior articular process (cervical 4 and thoracic 4). On the axis, defleshing

184 cut-marks are also evident on the vertebral body as well as on the lamina and on both the ventral

185 and dorsal faces of the atlas.

186 Cutmarks are mostly located at the neck and on the head of the ribs and occur both on the ventral

and the dorsal faces (Fig. 7). They occur less frequently on the shaft and the sternal extremity, and

188 only one is evident on the articular facets.

189

Flesh was also removed from the head, especially the cheeks, leaving cut-marks on the maxilla and the ascending ramus when the masseter muscle was removed (Fig. 2a). The removal of the tongue (Fig. 2b) produced oblique cut-marks on the lingual side of the mandible, with more transverse examples occurring just below the jugal teeth on the lingual face. Long longitudinal cutmarks are also present near the symphysis (lingual side).

195 196

197 **4.3 Disarticulation**

198 After being defleshed, the entire carcass was disarticulated. The head was removed by percussion 199 with a hafted cleaver, splitting one of the occipital condyles (Fig. 8a). Some tearing is observable 200 on the atlas vertebrae (Fig. 8b), probably resulting from the twisting of the head, and is associated 201 with cut-marks on the cranial articulation (Fig. 2). The separation of the skull from the jaw left cut-202 marks on the zygomatic, the ascending ramus and on the distal face of the upper third molar. The 203 rib cage was dislocated by percussion with a hafted flake cleaver, producing several fractures. The 204 right transverse process is entirely or partially broken on 6 of the final thoracic vertebrae (thoracic 9 205 to 11 and thoracic 13 to 15), as well as the caudal articular facet of the 14th thoracic vertebrae. 206 Tearing is evident on the *fovea costalis* of the second thoracic, linked to the removal of the 3rd rib 207 by flexion. All but the first and last right ribs were broken when removed from the spinal column 208 (Fig. 8e). Although the left ribs were left attached to the vertebrae, three (rib 3, 8, 13) were 209 nevertheless fractured by a blow brought to the other side of the rib cage. The opening of the 210 sternum by percussion did not leave any marks on the ribs. The anterior and posterior portions of the carcass were separated by percussion at the 4-5th lumbar junction, which broke the 4th lumbar 211 in 3 pieces. The mamillary process of the 5th lumbar was broken and chopmarks are evident on the 212 213 body of this vertebra and on the spinous process of the sacrum (Fig. 8c).

214

215

Of the 850 cut-marks identified on the long bones, only 11 % (n=94) were produced during disarticulation (Table 4). Interestingly, 30% of these marks are orientated longitudinally. The detachment of the entire leg from the body and the disarticulation of the humerus produced no cutmarks on the scapula. No cut-marks were produced on the humerus when it was separated from the scapula. The disarticulation of the humerus and radio-ulna (Fig. 5), on the other hand, generated several cut-marks on the distal part of the humerus of both legs as well as on the olecranon, close to the trochlear incision of the radius. A small crushed area can be observed on the anconeal process (Fig. 8d), probably resulting from twisting during disarticulation. The disarticulation of the forelimb was followed by separating the radius from the first row of carpals. Cut-marks are observable on the right pyramidal and on both legs on and around the ulnar styloid process as well as on the semi-lunars and the scaphoids.

227

228 Due to the very large muscle mass around the pelvic girdle, which requires both defleshing and 229 disarticulation at the exact same place, only cut-marks located on the femoral head (Fig. 5c) can 230 be confidently attributed to disarticulation, as their location and orientation match those reported by 231 the butcher. Separating the femur from the tibia generated cut-marks on both of the femoral medial 232 condyles as well as on the tibial plateau (Fig. 5). Numerous cut-marks were produced when 233 disarticulating the tibia and tarsal bones, especially on the medial face of the talus, but also on the 234 calcaneum and the cuboid (Fig. 3). Several cut-marks are also observable on the distal part of the 235 tibia and on the malleolus. The dislocation of the metacarpophalangeal joint left cut-marks on the 236 distal condyles of the metacarpal, close to the proximal articulation of the first phalanges and on 237 the sesamoids. Cut-marks on the hind legs are uniquely observable near the proximal articulation 238 of the second phalanx. Finally, cut-marks are evident on the abaxial side of a second phalanx, 239 produced when the axial and abaxial phalanges were separated from each other.

240

241 *4.4 Tendon removal*

Cutting the flexor and extensor tendons (Fig. 3) on the front leg with an upward motion left cutmarks on the distal half of the pyramidal, the upper part of the hamate bone and on the capitatetrapezoid, and on the upper portion of the posterior face of the first phalanges. On the hind leg, this cutting motion created cut-marks on the proximal portion of the anterior face, the condyles of the metatarsal, and on the first phalanx. Oblique and longitudinal cut-marks were also produced on the diaphyses of the metapodials, on the sesamoids and phalanges when tools were used in a longitudinal motion between the bone and the tendon.

- 249
- 250

251 **5. Discussion**

Butchery experiments are a necessary first step towards a better understanding of cut-marks on bison as well as large ungulates in general. Although the cut-mark dataset produced during our experiment is relatively limited due to the processing of a unique carcass, several interesting patterns can nevertheless be observed

- 256
- 257 <u>Correspondence with cut-mark patterns on medium-sized ungulates</u>

258 The cut-mark data presented here are almost entirely in agreement with previously published data 259 for medium-sized artiodactyles (Soulier and Costamagno 2017; Costamagno et al. 2019). Of the 135 cut-mark codes documented here², 127 perfectly match the medium-sized artiodactyls dataset 260 261 (detailed table available here: https://figshare.com/s/336e50835696f3d0aff8). Some discrepancies 262 are however evident with cut-marks produced by activities differing from those previously docu-263 mented for medium-sized ungulates. The least consistent codes come mostly from the vertebrae (4 264 of the 8 non-concordant codes), where several cut-marks that would normally be interpreted as 265 linked to disarticulation based on medium-sized ungulates data (Nilssen 2000; Costamagno et al. 266 2019) were produced by defleshing.

267

268 Our data further highlights the need for caution when interpreting cut-marks near articular 269 extremities, as 63% of the cut-marks located in these areas (portions 1 & 6) in our experiment 270 result from defleshing instead of dismemberment.

271

No codes existed for several locations and/or orientations, meaning that new ones were created for the butchery of the bison (N=83; Fig. 9). As was done for red deer (Soulier and Costamagno 2017), these codes incorporate data collected by Nilssen (2000) for the butchery of large ungulates in South Africa³.

- 276
- 277

278 <u>Cut-mark incidence: the influence of stone tools, raw material, experimenter and carcass size</u>

279 Cut-mark orientations are roughly similar to those documented for red deer as part of the same 280 collective research project and hence butchered by same people. Upon closer examination, 281 several differences are however evident (Table 5). Fewer longitudinal cut-marks were generated on 282 the bison bones during defleshing (5.7% on the red deer vs 2.8% on the bison), while more 283 longitudinal cut-marks were produced during disarticulation on the bison (31%) compared to the 284 red deer (11%). However, this does not necessarily demonstrate the disarticulation of a bison to 285 produce more longitudinal cut-marks compared to medium-sized ungulates as 1) longitudinal cut-286 marks produced during disarticulation mostly occur on the right femoral head and right humeral 287 trochlea (although different butchers were involved) and no cut-marks were produced in these areas for the left limbs, and 2) longitudinal disarticulation marks were also generated in these 288 289 areas during the disarticulation of the red deer (see fig 5 in Soulier and Costamagno 2017).

290

The participation of multiple experimenters, raw materials and tools makes it difficult to explain these differences. The two participants (Butcher V. & Butcher M.) who butchered the red deer with a quartzite cleaver produced cut-marks distributed in comparable proportions in terms of

2 Codes already identified as ubiquitous (means that can be created by several activities) excluded

³ Note that Nilssen's "Large bovid" class includes a large weight range (~from 80kgs to 600kgs) comprising 1 Blesbok, 3 Black Wildebeest and 3 Eland.

orientation (Table 6). In contrast, Butcher M. produced significantly different proportions of cut-mark orientations on the bison, notably transverse cut-marks. Carcass size therefore might influence the orientation of cut-marks produced during defleshing, perhaps connected to the likelihood that a heavier and therefore less manageable carcass constrains butchering movements. More focused experiments are necessary to shed additional light on the relationship between cut-mark orientation and carcass size.

300

301 Carcass size has been suggested to influence, positively or negatively, the frequency of cut-marks 302 produced on bones (Egeland 2003; Dominguez-Rodrigo and Barba 2005; Pobiner and Braun 303 2005). Our dataset shows that despite using the same type of tool/raw material (i.e. a quartzite 304 cleaver), a greater number of cut-marks were produced on the bison compared to the red deer 305 (Table 6). However, this difference is based on the total *number* of cut-marks counted on *complete* 306 bones. In archaeological assemblages bones are *fragmented*, and it is often the *percentage* of 307 fragments bearing at least one cut-mark that is used for analysis. How differences in the total 308 number of cut-marks (as observed in our experiments) are related to cut-mark percentages (as 309 reported in most zooarchaeological studies) is still poorly understood and requires further research.

- 310
- 311

312 <u>Cutmarks and cleaning treatment</u>

Several of the cut-marks observed on the bison bones are superficial and may not be preserved on archaeological material as a result of post-depositional alterations. These superficial traces were primarily observed on the leg bones compared to the axial skeleton and, interestingly, these two sections were cleaned in different ways. Prolonged immersion in water with enzymes appears to slightly attack the bone surface and is therefore an unsuitable cleaning process for experiments focused on the analysis of cut-marks.

319

320321 Tool efficiency

322 In addition to exploring cut-mark distribution and frequency, our bison butchery experiment equally 323 aimed to investigate the function and efficiency of different typical Middle Palaeolithic tools. As 324 detailed use-wear data for these tools has been published elsewhere (Claud and Thiébaut 2011; 325 Claud et al. 2015, 2019), here we focus uniquely on tool efficiency. During our experiments, 326 guartzite tools proved more difficult to handle during butchery, as the grease guickly settled in the 327 pores of the quartzite, making it necessary to constantly clean them. On the other hand, the 328 quartzite cleavers proved to be extremely efficient for disarticulating the bison carcass by 329 percussion. Moreover, hafting the cleavers both increased the force of the blow and avoided 330 fatiguing the user by absorbing part of the shock. The hafting of two small quartzite pseudo-331 Levallois points equally increased their ease of use, as these pieces were very uncomfortable to 332 use when held in the bare hands. Moreover, the thin handles on to which the points were fixed did 333 not hinder the tool penetrating the flesh and were very quick to fashion (partially split wooden stick).

- 334
- 335

6. Conclusion

337

338 Several reference collections resulting from controlled butchery experiments are currently available 339 for the interpretation of cut-marks on small and medium-sized species. The analysis of cut-marks 340 sheds light on the activity that produced them, which, in turn, permits a more accurate reconstruc-341 tion and understanding of the butchery chaîne opératoire of past human groups. In particular, ex-342 periments butchering red deer have linked cut-mark orientation and distribution with specific butch-343 ering activities (Soulier and Costamagno 2017; Costamagno et al. 2019). The applicability of this 344 approach to larger mammals has, however, remained difficult to assess. Our experiment further 345 strengthens the interpretive potential of this analytical tool, providing a new dataset for large bovids, 346 a family that was frequently exploited throughout the Palaeolithic and into later periods. Our results 347 confirm the applicability of the red deer butchery data for the interpretation of cut-marks on large 348 ungulates, such as bison albeit with some adjustments to the analysis, especially elements of the 349 spinal column. Differences observed in cut-mark orientations on the bison long bones compared to 350 what was documented for medium-sized ungulates needs to be explored more thoroughly, includ-351 ing additional experiments and a better appreciation of the impact of tools and butchers.

352

353

354 Acknowledgments

355 Our warmest thanks go to the Regional Archaeology Service of the Midi-Pyrénées region 356 for financial assistance to this multi-year collective project. This project has been very stimulating in 357 terms of research questions and has deeply enriched our capacity to visualize the lifeways of 358 prehistoric societies. We are very grateful to our colleagues who participated in the experiment, 359 especially Michel Brenet, Stéphane Brenet, Aude Coudenneau, David Colonge, Marie-Pierre 360 Coumont, Jean-George Ferrié, Jessica Lacarrière, Vincent Mourre, Célimène Mussini, and Aurore 361 Val. A special mention goes to Céline Thiébaut, who coordinated the collective research project 362 over the last 10 years. We would also like to acknowledge the French National Institute of Rescue 363 Archaeology (INRAP) for allowing several of its members to participate in this project. Thanks also 364 go to the Natural History Museum of Toulouse for cleaning the limb bones. Finally, we would like to 365 thank Emmanuel Discamps for his comments on the manuscript, Brad Gravina for the language 366 editing, and the SMP3C research team of the CNRS-UMR5608 for their continued support and 367 financing of the language editing.

368

369 **Bibliography**

- Bez, J.-F. 1995. Une expérience de découpe bouchère pratiquée au silex : aspects anatomiques.
- 371 Préhistoire Anthropologie Méditerranéennes 4: 41–50.
- 372 Binford, L.R. 1981. Bones: Ancient Men and Modern Myths. Academic Press. New York.
- Emerson, A. 1990. Archaeological Implications of variability in the economic anatomy of Bison
 bison. PhD. Washington State University. Washington.
- Nilssen, P. J. 2000. An actualistic butchery study in South Africa and its implications for
 reconstructing hominid strategies of carcass acquisition and butchery in the upper Pleistocene and
 Plio-Pleistocene. Ph. D. University of Cape Town. Cape Town.
- Claud, E., Deschamps, M., Colonge, D., Mourre, V., Thiébaut, C. 2015. Experimental and
 functional analysis of late Middle Paleolithic flake cleavers from southwestern Europe (France and
 Spain). *Journal of Archaeological Science* 62: 105–127.
- Claud, E., Thiébaut, C., Coudenneau, A., Deschamps, M., Mourre, V., Brenet, M., ChacónNavarro, M.G., Colonge, D., Lemorini, C., Maury, S., Servelle, C., Venditti, F. 2019. Le référentiel
 des outils lithiques. In Thiébaut, C., Claud, E., Costamagno S. (eds), *L'acquisition et le traitement des matières végétales et animales par les néandertaliens: quelles modalités et quelles*stratégies ? Palethnologie, Toulouse: 56–194.
- Claud, E., Thiébaut, C. 2011. Analyse du matériel lithique. In Thiébaut, C., Claud, E., Costamagno,
 S. (eds.), "Des Traces et des Hommes": Projet de recherche interdisciplinaire sur l'dentification des
 modalités d'acquisition et de traitement des matières végétales et animales au Paléolithique
 moyen en Europe occidentale. Rapport annuel déposé au SRA Midi-Pyrénées: 230–259.
- Costamagno, S., Soulier, M.-C., Val, A., Chong, S. 2019. Le référentiel de stries de boucherie. In
 Thiébaut, C., Claud, E., Costamagno S. (eds), *L'acquisition et le traitement des matières végétales et animales par les néandertaliens: quelles modalités et quelles stratégies?* Palethnologie,
 Toulouse: 195–291.
- Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., Barba, R. 2005. A study of cut marks on small-sized carcasses and its
 application to the study of cut-marked bones from small mammals at the FLK Zinj site. *Journal of Taphonomy* 3: 121–134.
- Egeland, C.P. 2003. Carcass Processing Intensity and Cutmark Creation: An Experimental
 Approach. *Plains Anthropologist*: 48, 39–51.
- Farizy, C., David, F., Jaubert, J., 1994. Hommes et Bisons du Paléolithique Moyen à Mauran
 (Haute-Garonne), XXX° Suppl. ed. Éd. du CNRS, Paris.
- 401 Frison, G.C. 2004. Survival by Hunting: Prehistoric Human Predators and Animal Prey. University
 402 of California Press, Berkeley.

- 403 Gaudzinski, S. 1996. On Bovid Assemblages and their Consequences for the Knowledge of 404 Subsistence Patterns in the Middle Palaeolithic. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* 62: 19–39.
- Johnson, E., Bement, L. 2009. Bison butchery at Cooper, a Folsom site on the Southern Plains.
 Journal of Archaeological Science 36: 1430–1446.
- 407 Laroulandie, V. 2001. Les traces liées à la boucherie, à la cuisson et à la consommation d'oiseaux.
- 408 Apport de l'expérimentation. In Bourguignon, L., Ortega, I., Frère-Sautot, M.-C. (eds.), *Préhistoire*
- 409 et Approche Expérimentale. Editions Monique Mergoil, Montagnac: 97–108.
- Lloveras, L., Moreno García, M., Nadal, J. 2009. Butchery, Cooking and Human Consumption
 Marks on Rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus) Bones: An experimental Study. *Journal of Taphonomy* 7:
 179–201.
- Pobiner, B., Braun, D. 2005. Strengthening the Inferential Link Between Cutmark Frequency Data
 and Oldowan Hominid Behavior: Results From Modern Butchery Experiments. *Journal of Taphonomy* 3: 107–120.
- 416 Rodríguez-Hidalgo, A., Saladié, P., Ollé, A., Arsuaga, J.L., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., Carbonell,
- 417 E. 2017. Human predatory behavior and the social implications of communal hunting based on
- evidence from the TD10.2 bison bone bed at Gran Dolina (Atapuerca, Spain). *Journal of Human Evolution* 105: 89–122.
- Soulier, M.-C. 2021. Exploring meat processing in the past: Insights from the Nunamiut people. *Plos One* 16: e0245213.
- 422 Soulier, M.-C., Costamagno, S. 2017. Let the cutmarks speak! Experimental butchery to 423 reconstruct carcass processing. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 11: 782–802.
- 424 Speth, J.D. 1983. *Bison Kills and Bone Counts: Decision Making by Ancient Hunters*. University of
 425 Chicago Press. Chicago.
- Todd, L., Frison, G.C. 1992. Reassembly of bison skeletons from the Horner Site: a study in anatomical refitting. In Hofman, J.L., Enloe, J.G. (eds.), *Piecing Together the Past: Applications of*
- 428 *Refitting Studies to Archaeology*. BAR International Series 578, Oxford: 63–82.
- Val, A., Mallye, J.-B. 2011. Small Carnivore Skinning by Professionals: Skeletal Modifications and
 Implications for the European Upper Palaeolithic. *Journal of Taphonomy* 9: 221–243.

431	Captions
432	Fig 1 Schematic depiction of the butchery activities carried out during the bison experiment. The
433	locations of the circular incisions in the skin are indicated in blue (in grey: incisions made at the
434	slaughterhouse) and the disarticulation spots are in red (lines = cutting motions; circles = disarticu-
435	lation by percussion).
436	
437	Fig 2 Cut-marks produced on the (a) skull, (b) mandible, (c) scapula, and (d) pelvis by activity:
438	black = defleshing; green = dismemberment; blue = skinning. In grey, cut-marks impossible to link
439	to a specific activity.
440	
441	Fig 3 Cut-marks produced on the (a) metacarpal, (b) metatarsal, (c) sesamoids, (d) malleolus, (e)
442	phalanges, (f) carpals, (g) tarsals per activity: green = dismemberment; red = tendon removal; blue
443	= skinning. In grey, cut-marks impossible to link to a specific activity. * abaxial side of the medial
444	phalanx.
445	
446	Fig 4 Meat remaining on a defleshed bison limb and weight of meat (kg) recovered per skeletal
447	element. Photo © "Des Traces et des Hommes".
448	
449	Fig 5 Cut-marks on the (a) humerus, (b) radio-ulna, (c) femur, and (d) tibia per activity: black =
450	defleshing; green = dismemberment. In grey, cut-marks impossible to link to a specific activity.
451	
452	Fig 6 Cut-marks on the a) atlas, b) axis, c) cervical, d) thoracic, e) lumbar and f) sacrum vertebrae
453	per activity: black = defleshing; green = dismemberment (atlas); purple lines = chopmarks. Grey
454	cut-marks on the sacrum cannot be linked to a specific activity.
455	
456	Fig 7 Cut-marks (in black) produced on the ribs during defleshing.
457	
458	Fig 8 Splitting of a) the occipital condyles, b) the atlas, c) chopmarks on the sacrum, d) crushing on
459	the anconeal process of the ulna and e) breakage on the ribs (in red) produced during disarticula-
460	tion.
461	
462	Fig. 9 New coded areas for undocumented elements (a) skull, e) ribs, j) pelvis), and new areas
463	created on previously coded elements (b) mandible lingual side, c) sacrum, d) atlas, f) radius distal,
464	g) metatarsal distal, h) lunate, i) calcaneum, k) first phalanx and l) second phalanx. Bluish tints are
465	for defleshing, reddish for disarticulation, greenish for skinning and yellowish for tendon extraction.
466	See https://figshare.com/s/336e50835696f3d0aff8 for a descriptive table.
467	
468	

- 469 Table 1 Tools and raw materials (F=flint; Qzte=quartzite) used by activity.
- 470
- 471 Table 2 Total number of cut-marks produced on the bison by skeletal part.
- 472
- Table 3 Orientation of the defleshing cut-marks on the meaty long bones. R = Right; L = Left
- 474
- Table 4 Orientation of cut-marks produced by disarticulation. R = Right; L = Left
- 476
- Table 5 Comparison of cut-mark orientation between bison and red deer. Red deer data derived
- 478 from drawings in Soulier and Costamagno 2017.
- 479
- 480 Table 6 Comparison of cut-marks made with the same tool/raw material (quartzite cleaver) on a
- 481 red deer and bison by two different experimenters.











-







weight of meat	left	right
axial	53	
scapula	7	9
humerus	6	6
radioulna	0.5	1
femur	26	27
tibia	4.3	4





missing areas due to percussion tearing due to percussion (atlas)







table 1

activity	location	Tool & raw material
	head	unretouched flake [F]
ekinnina	forelimbs	pseudo-Levallois point [Qzte]
Skirining	hindlimbs	pseudo-levallois point [F]
	body	cortical backed flake [Qzte]
	head	pseudo-Levallois point [F] ; unretouched flake [F]
bu	R forelimb	bifaces [Qzte]
shi	L forelimb	cleavers [Qzte]
efle	R hindlimb	pseudo-Levallois point [Qzte]
dei	L hindlimb	pseudo-Levallois point [F]
	loin & ribs	mousterian point [F]
	R forelimb	biface [F]
ing	L forelimb	cleaver [Qzte]
Jer	R hindlimb	denticulate [Qzte]
Ē	L hindlimb	pseudo-Levallois point [F]
Ш.	head/atlas	hafted cleaver [Qzte]
dis	spine/ribs/sternum	hafted cleaver [Qzte]
	pelvis/spine	hafted cleaver [Qzte]
tendons	lower legs	flake [Qzte]

table 2

	N cuts
Skull	97
Mandible	119
Vertebrae	217
Ribs	303
Scapula	85
Humerus	225
Radioulna	228
Carpals	33
Metacarpal	69
Pelvis	86
Femur	175
Tibia	223
Malleolus	15
Tarsals	118
Metatarsal	63
Phalanges	141
Sesamoids	6
Tot.	2203

		Defleshing only : all portions										Defles
	Hum	erus	Radioulna		Femur		Tibia			Humerus		Radic
	R	L	R	L	R	L	R	L	Tot.	R	L	R
longitudinal	9	1	2		3	1		5	21	5		1
oblique	67	31	68	51	37	55	43	47	399	37	20	49
transverse	43	57	32	49	6	31	53	50	321	24	42	27
Tot.	119	89	102	100	46	87	96	102	741	66	62	77

hing only : portions 2 to 5										
oulna	Fer	mur	Til	oia						
L	R	L	R	L	Tot.					
	2	1		3	12					
39	33	51	28	40	297					
44	5	28	51	49	270					
83	40	80	79	92	579					

table	4
-------	---

	Hum	erus	Rad	dius	Fe	mur	Tit	bia	Tat
Disarticulation	R	L	R	L	R	L	R	L	101.
longitudinal	2					18	9		29
oblique	5		1	8		14	2		30
transverse		4	10	7	5	5	4		35
Tot.	7	4	11	15	5	37	15	0	94

table 5

	Disar	ticulatio	n & defl	eshing	Defleshing				Disarticulation			
	Bison		Red deer		Bison		Red deer		Bison		Red deer	
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
longitudinal	52	6.1	200	6	21	2.8	172	5.7	29	30.9	23	11.1
oblique	441	51.8	2141	64.1	399	53.9	1956	64.7	30	31.9	113	54.3
transverse	358	42.1	999	29.9	321	43.3	893	29.6	35	37.2	72	34.6
Tot.	850		3340		741		3021		94		208	

table	6
-------	---

		Red	deer		Bison	
	Butcher V.		Butcher M.		Butcher M.	
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
longitudinal	9	16.98	19	13.01	2	0.93
oblique	42	79.25	119	81.51	94	43.93
transverse	2	3.77	8	5.48	118	55.14
Tot.	53		146		214	

^a *Abbreviations:* T = transversal; ST = sub-transversal; O = oblique; L = longitudinal; SL = sub-longitudinal.

^b *Abbreviations:* dist. = distal; prox. = proximal; art. = articulation; L = lateral; M = medial; P = posterior; A = Anterior; Cran. = cra^c Location according to a division of the bone in 6 portions.

^d XX + XX indicates that both activities are documented; XX(+ XX?) indicates that the first activity mentioned is attested but that the activity has been modified from the initial attribution (see text). *Abbreviations:* DC = defleshing; DS = disarticulation; DP = sk TAR1 = tarsals first row; TAR2 = tarsals second row; RAD = radius; TIB = tibia; MET = metapodial.

^e Abbreviation: nl. = not labeled (when the cutmarks are illustrated but not coded).

			Numbers in italics and green indicate the number of code repeti
bone	code	orien-	location ^a portion ^c
bone	couc	. Tation ^a	location portion
	SK-2	т	occinital condulas
	SK-b	1/0/Т	base of the horns
	SK-c	1/0	maxilla just above tooth raw
	SK-4	0/T	caudal part of the jugular process
	SK-0		nacal
	SK-f	0/T	lacrimal hone
	SK-a	0,1	frontal
	SK-h		cranial part of the jugular process
full	SK-i		zvigomatic hone, around orbital cavity
ζ,	SK-i		zygomatic arc
	SK-J	100	zygomatic alc
	SK-I		mavilla
	SK-m	10 т/ст	nomavilla
	SK-n	1/0	maxilla
	SK-0		maxilla above third molar
	SK-0 SK-n	10/1	distal face of the distal lobe of the upper third molar
	SK-a		check teeth
	Man-a	T/O	incisors and alveolar arch
	Man-b	T/O	jugal teeth
	Man-c	T/O	horizontal branch, below jugal teeth
0	Man-d	T/O	ascending ramus, below condule
ible	Man-e	T/O	condule and coronoid process
and	Man-f	T/O	ascending ramus
Ë	Man-g''	T	horizontal branch, below jugal teeth
	Man-q'	L	horizontal branch, below jugal teeth
	Man-i''	T	palmar edge
	Man-k'	L	angle, horizontal branch
	AT-c	T/O	caudal part of the body
	AT-c'	L	caudal part of the body
Ś	AT-d	0	cranial part of the body
tla	AT-g	T/O	caudal part of the body
<	AT-h		articular cavities for condyles of the occipital bone
	AT-j		Lateral edge, cranial-most portion
	AT-k		lateral edge, caudal-most half
	AX-b'	L	body, cranial part
	AX-c'	L	spinous process, middle part
(is	AX-g'	L	base of the spinous process
Ŷ	Ax-j	0	cranial part of the body
	AX-j'	L	cranial part of the body
	AX-k"	Т	middle part of the body
ae	CV-b	T/O	superior articular process
vic; sbr;	CV-b'	L	superior articular process
Cer	CV-c	0	middle part of the body
U ž	CV-c'	L	middle part of the body

TV-a	0	spinous process
TV-a'	L	spinous process
Tv-c	0	on the superior articular process
TV-c'	L	on the superior articular process
TV-d'	L	below the superior articular process, and body
TV-i آړې	T/O	below the transverse apophysis
TV-j	T/O	body
TV-I	0	transverse apophysis
TV-l'	L	transverse apophysis
LV-a'	L	spinous process
LV-f	0	base of the inferior articular process
	TV-a TV-c' TV-c' TV-d' TV-i TV-j TV-I TV-I LV-a' LV-f	TV-a O TV-a' L Tv-c O TV-c' L TV-d' L TV-i T/O TV-j T/O TV-I O TV-I' L LV-a' L LV-a' D

rte T	LV-h	0	transverse process
< e	LV-h'	L	transverse process
umbar	LV-m	0	transverse process
	LV-m'	L	transverse process
Ľ	LV-m"	Т	transverse process
******	SAC-d	0	sacral ala
Ę	SAC-d'	L	sacral ala
ICLI	SAC-e	0	body
Sa	SAC-f	0	body
	SAC-f'	L	body
	R-a		articulation
	R-b		neck
SC	R-c		shatf
討	R-d		head
	R-e		shaft
	R-f		head
	Sc-b	0	neck
	Sc-b'	L	neck
ula	Sc-c	T/O	neck
ap	Sc-d'	Т	neck
Sc	Sc-d	0	neck
	Sc-e	T/O	body
	Sc-e'	L	body

	Hp-b	T/ST	humeral neck	1
	Hp-m'	L	greater tubercle: below the tricipital line	1
	Hp-m''	Т	greater tubercle: below the tricipital line	1
	Hs-a	T/O	shaft	2 to 5
	Hs-a'	L	shaft	2 to 5
S	Hd-c	T/O	at the coronoid fossa	6
ne	Hd-d	T/O	edge of the trochlea to th insertion of the M. pronator teres	6
Ē	Hd-d'	L	edge of the trochlea to th insertion of the M. pronator teres	6
Ī	Hd-e	T/O	epitrochlea to the insertion of the M. pronator teres	6
	Hd-f'	0	dist. portion except epicondylar crest é edge of the coronoid	6
	Hd-g	T/O	edges of the olecranon fossa	6
	Hd-g'	L/SL	edges of the olecranon fossa	6
	Hd-h	T/O	capitulum	6
	Hd-h'	L	capitulum	6
	Rp-a	T/ST	close to the prox. art. (lateralmost)	1
	-	-	·	

	Rp-b	Т	close to the prox. art. (medialmost)	1
	Rp-c	0	prox. portion	1
	Rp-f	0	prox. portion	1
	Rp-g	T/O	prox. portion	1
	Rs-a	T/O	shaft: upper half	2 + 3
	Rs-a'	L	shaft: upper half	2 + 3
(0	Rs-b	0	shaft: lower half	4 + 5
dius	Rs-b''	Т	shaft: lower half	4 + 5
Rac	Rs-c	0	shaft: lower half	4 + 5
	Rs-c'	L	shaft: lower half	4 + 5
	Rs-c"	Т	shaft: lower half	4 + 5
	Rd-b	Т	close to the dist. art.	6
	Rd-c	T/O	upper half of portion 6	6
	Rd-d'	L	dist. portion	6
	Rd-d	0	dist. portion	6
	Rd-e	T/O	radial styloid process	6
	Rd-f	0	dist. portion	6
	Up-b	T/O	olecranon: dist. half of the post. part	1
	Uр-с	0	olecranon: dist. half, near the semilunar notch	1
D	Up-d	T/O	olecranon: anteriormost part	1
Ĩ	Up-i	Т	posterior aspect of the ulna	1
2	Us-a	T/O	shaft	2 to 4
	Us-b	0	shaft	5
	Ud-a	T/O	ulnar styloid process [short cut]	6

	Pis-c	Т	pisiform	
	Pyr-a	T/O	pyramidal: close to the prox. art.	
	Pyr-b	T/O	pyramidal: close to the dist. art.	
	Pyr-c	T/O	pyramidal: mid-bone	
<i>(</i> 0	Lun-a	T/O	lunatum: close to the prox. art.	
oals	Lun-d	0	proximal articulation	
Carl	Sca-a	T/O	scaphoïd: close to the prox. art.	
0	Sca-b	T/O	scaphoïd: close to the dist. art.	
	Unc-a	T/O	unciform: close to the prox. art.	
	Unc-b	T/O	unciform: close to the dist. art.	
	Ctt-a	T/O	capitato-trapezoïd: close to the prox. art.	
	Ctt-b	T/O	capitato-trapezoïd: close to the dist. art.	
	Mcs-a"	Т	condyles	2 to 5
	Mcs-d	T/O	shaft	4
bal	Mcs-e	0	shaft	5
carl	Mcs-f	T/O	groove: edge or inside	2 to 5
etac	Mcd-a	0	condyles	6
Ř	Mcd-b	T/O	condyles	6
	Mcd-c	T/O	condyles	6
	Mcd-c'	L	condyles	6
	Da		nubio symphysis	
	P-a P-b		pubic symphysis pocton ossis	
	P-a P-b P-c		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine	
	P-a P-b P-c P-d		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch	
	P-a P-b P-c P-d		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch	
	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-e		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis	
	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-e P-f		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium	
Ø	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-e P-f P-g		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine	
sivis	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-e P-f P-g P-h		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine edge of the obturator foramen	
Pelvis	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-e P-f P-g P-h P-i		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine edge of the obturator foramen superior ramus of pubis	
Pelvis	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-e P-f P-g P-h P-i P-j		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine edge of the obturator foramen superior ramus of pubis superior ramus of pubis	
Pelvis	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-f P-f P-h P-i P-i P-j P-k		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine edge of the obturator foramen superior ramus of pubis superior ramus of pubis edge outside actebulum	
Pelvis	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-f P-f P-h P-i P-i P-i P-l		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine edge of the obturator foramen superior ramus of pubis superior ramus of pubis edge outside actebulum edge outside actebulum	
Pelvis	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-f P-f P-h P-i P-i P-i P-k P-I P-m		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine edge of the obturator foramen superior ramus of pubis superior ramus of pubis edge outside actebulum edge outside actebulum	
Pelvis	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-f P-f P-h P-i P-h P-i P-k P-l P-m		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine edge of the obturator foramen superior ramus of pubis superior ramus of pubis edge outside actebulum edge outside actebulum body of ilium	
Pelvis	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-e P-f P-h P-i P-h P-i P-h P-n P-n		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine edge of the obturator foramen superior ramus of pubis superior ramus of pubis edge outside actebulum edge outside actebulum body of ilium	
Pelvis	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-f P-f P-h P-i P-i P-h P-i P-n P-n P-o P-o		pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine edge of the obturator foramen superior ramus of pubis superior ramus of pubis edge outside actebulum edge outside actebulum body of ilium ilium iliac tuberosity inside acetabulum	
Pelvis	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-f P-f P-h P-j P-h P-j P-h P-n P-n P-o P-p	1/0	pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine edge of the obturator foramen superior ramus of pubis superior ramus of pubis edge outside actebulum edge outside actebulum body of ilium ilium iliac tuberosity inside acetabulum	1
Pelvis	P-a P-b P-c P-d P-f P-f P-h P-j P-h P-j P-h P-n P-n P-o P-p Fp-a	T/O I	pubic symphysis pecten ossis ischial spine ischial arch inferior ramus of pubis tabula of ischium ischium body to iliaque spine edge of the obturator foramen superior ramus of pubis superior ramus of pubis edge outside actebulum edge outside actebulum body of ilium ilium ilium iliac tuberosity inside acetabulum femoral head femoral head	1

	Fp-b		femoral head: edge [encircling]	1
	Fp-g	T/O	greater trochanter: below	1
5	Fp-h	T/O	greater trochanter: below	1
Ĩ	Fs-a	T/O	shaft	2 to 5
щ	Fs-a'	L	shaft	2 to 5
	Fd-a	T/O	above trochlea & condyles	6
	Fd-c	T/O	condyles: on or adjacent to	6
	Fd-c'	L	condyles	6
	Fd-f	T/O	epicondyle	6
	Тр-а	T/O	intercondyloid eminence [encircling]	1
	Tp-b	T/O	tibial plateau	1
	Tp-b'	L	tibial plateau	1
	Тр-е'	0	tibial plateau: edge	1
	Ts-a	T/O	shaft	2
	Ts-b	T/O	shaft	3 + 4
	Ts-c	T/O	shaft	3 + 4
	Ts-c'	L	shaft	3 + 4
m	Ts-d	0	shaft	5
ībi	Ts-d"	Т	shaft	5
F	Ts-e	0	shaft	5
	Ts-e"	Т	shaft	5
	Td-a	0	tibia: dist. part	6
	Td-a''	Т	tibia: dist. part	6
	Td-b	0	tibia: dist. part	6
	Td-b''	Т	tibia: dist. part	6
	Td-b'	L	tibia: dist. part	6
	Td-c	0	tibia: dist. part	6
	Td-d	T/O	groove of the M. extensor carpi radialis	6
Malleolus	MI-a	0	edge of the articulation for the calcaneus	
	Tal-a	T/O	talus: prox. articulation	
	Tal-b	Т	talus: dist. articulation	
	Tal-c	0	talus: mesial part	
	Tal-c"	Т	talus: mesial part	
S	Tal-d	T/O	talus: dist. part	
sal	Cbn-a"	т	cubonavicular bone	
Tar				
	Cbn-a		cubonavicular bone	
	Cal-a	1/0	calcaneus: middle part	
		L T		
	Cal-j			
	Mtn-c	U/1 T		
	Mtn-d	$^{\prime}$	provid. dage	1
	Mte-a	0	shaft [shallow]	2 to 5
sal	Mte-h	0	shaft [shallow]	2 to 5
tars	Mts-C	T/O	aroove: inside	2 to 3
eta	Mts-f	T/O	groove: edge	2 to 4
ž	Mts-a''	T	distal shaft	5
	Mtd-b''	Т	condyles	6
	Mtd-c	т/О	condyles	6
	Ses-f	T/O	external abaxial sesamoid: contact with post, edge	~
joi	Ses-h	T	sesamoid	
san	Ses-h'	L	sesamoid	
Š	Ses-i	ō	sesamoid	
•••••••	Ph1-b	T/O	contact with the proximal articulation	
	Ph1-c"	T/ST	proximal shaft	
	Ph1-c	0	proximal shaft	
	Ph1-d	т	contact with the proximal articulation	
	\$	ŧ	4 ·	

	Ph1-i	0	Mid-shaft
	Ph1-j''	T/ST	tubercle axial
	Ph1-k''	Т	contact with articulation
S	Ph1-l'	L	above Ph1-d
nge	Ph1-m	т	between the two tubercles
ala	Ph2-b	0	contact with the proximal articulation
Ч	Ph2-h	O/T	shaft
	Ph2-e	O/T	shaft
	Ph2-f	O/T	shaft
	Ph2-o''	T/ST	distal articulation
	Ph2-p''	Т	shaft
	Ph2-q''	Т	distal
	Ph2-r	0	proximal shaft, extending Ph2-c

Description of the coding system

anial; Palm. = palmar; Ext. = external.

at the second, in parentheses, is uncertain; XX?/XX? indicates that the protocol used does not allow discrimination between the activities; * indi tinning; TN = tendon-removal; exten. = extension; flex. = flexion; SP = suspension; ANT = anterior; POST = posterior; CAR1 = carpals first row; CA

i <mark>tions for verteb</mark>	rae and phalange	s. Codes in bold are the new	w codes create	d from this bis
face ^d	Nilssen ^b	T&H cerf ^{b,d}	Bison	
			Right	Left
******	DS		DS arrach	DS
	DP		DP	DP
	DS		DP	
	DS			
	DP		DP	DP
	DP			
	DP			
				DP
			DP	DP
			DS	DS
				DP
	DS		DC	
			DP	DP
			DC	DC
			DP	
Vest.			DS	DS
Vest.			DP	
Vest.		DP	ΠP	DF
Vest.		DP	ום	חח
Vest.		DP	DP DC+DS	DP DC
Vest Ling		DC	DS	20
Vest., Ling.			DS	
Lina.		DC	DC	DC
Ling		DC:	DC	DC
Palm		20	DP	DP
Palm.	DP		DP	
Vent.	DC+EV	DS (ATL/AXI)	DC	
Vent.	DC+EV		DC	
Dors.	DC		DC	
Dors.	DC	incidental DS marks	DC	
Cra.	DS	DS (CRA/ATL)	DS	
Lat			DS	
Lat			DC	
Lat.	DC		DC	
Lat.	DC		DC	
Lat., Dors.	DC		DC	
vent.	DC+EV	DS (ATL/AXI)	DC	
vent.	DC+EV	incidental DO marks	DC	
vent.	DC+EV		DC	
Lat.	DS+DC	DS (AXI/CER3)	DC	1
Lat.	DC	in side stal DO	DC	1
Lat.	DC	incidental DS marks	DC	7
Lat.	DC		E DC	1

			_	
Lat.	DC	DC	DC	7
Lat.	DC	DC	DC	7
Lat.		DS	DC	3
Lat.	DC		DC	4
Lat.	DS RIB	?	DC	1
Cra.		DS	DC	1
Cau.	DS RIB		DS (tearing)	1
Cra.	DC		DC	4
Cra., Dors.	DC		DC	7
Lat.	DC	DC	DC	3
Lat.		DS	DC	1

Dors.	DC	DC	DC	2
Cra., Dors.	DC	DC	DC	1
Vent.		DC	DC	2
Vent.		DC	DC	1
Vent.			DC	1
Cra., Vent.	DC	DC	DC	
Cra., Vent.	DC		DC	
Vent.	EV		?	
Vent.			?	
Vent.			?	
all	DS		DC	
Dors.	DC		DC	
Dors.	DC		DC	
Vent.	DS (+EV ? DC ?)		DC	
Vent.	EV + DC		DC ? E	ν?
Dors.	DS + DC		DC	
Lat., Post.	DC	DC	DC	
Lat., Post.	DC	DC	DC	DC
Med., Ant.	DC	DC	DC	DC
Med.	DC*			DC
Med.			DC	DC
			DC	DC
all	DC	DC		

Post.	DC	DS+DC		DC
Lat.		DC	DC	
Lat.			DC	
all	DC	DC	DC	DC
all	DC	DC	DC	
Med.	DC	DC	DC	DC
Med.	DS	DS (exten.)	DC	DS
Med.	DC	DS (flex.)		?
Med.	DC	DS+DC	DC	?
Lat., Ant			DC	
Post., Lat.	DC (+DS?)	DS+DC	DC+DS	DC
Post.	DC	DC	DC	DC
Lat.	DS	DS	DS	DS
Lat.		DS	DS	
Ant.	DS	DS	DS	

Ant.	DC (+DS?)		DS	
Ant.		DC	DC	
Med.	DC	DC	DC	DC
Lat.	DC	DC		DC
all	DC	DC	DC	DC
all	DC	DC	DC	
Med., Lat., Post.	DC	DC	DC	DC
Med., Lat., Post.	DP?/DC?	DC	DC	DC
Ant.	DC	DC	DC	DC
Ant.		DC	DC	
Ant.	DP?/DC?	DC	DC	DC
Ant.				DS
Lat.		DC	DC	
Post.	DC		DC	
Post.			DC	
Med.		DS		DS
Lat				DS
Med., Lat.	DC	DC	DC	DC
Med., Lat.		DS		DS
Med., Lat.	DC	DC	DC	DS+DC
Post.	DC	DC	DC	
Med., Lat., Post.	DC	DC		DC
Lat., Post.		DC		DC
Palm., Lat., Post.	DS	DS	DS	

Lat., Med.	DS		DS	
Ant., Lat., Post.		DS (RAD/CAR1)		DS
Ant., Lat., Palm.		DS (CAR1/CAR2)	DS	
Lat., Ant.		incidental DS marks		DS
Ant., Post.	DS	DS (RAD/CAR1)		DS
Cra.			DS	
Ant., Med., Post.	DS	DS (RAD/CAR1)	DS	DS
Ant., Med., Post.	DS	DS (CAR1/CAR2)		DS
Ant., Lat., Post.	DS	DS (CAR1/CAR2)		?
Ant., Lat., Post.	DS			?
Ant., Med., Post.	DS	DS (CAR1/CAR2)		?
Ant., Med., Post.	DS		?	
Med.		DP	DP	DP
Ant.		DP + TN ANT	TN+DP (+ ?)	DP
Ant.			DP	
Post.		TN POST		TN
Med., Lat.		DS	DS	DS
Post.			DS	DS
Ant.		DP		?
Ant.		DS		DS
_	DS (splitting			
Dors.	pelvis)			
Dors.	DS + DC			
_	DC		DC	
Dors.				DC
	Do (spinting		DC	DC
	DC			2
			DC	I
	DC			2
				•
			DC	DC
	DC (+DS		20	20
	pel/sacrum?)			
Dors.	DS pel/sacrum			
	DS			
	DS	DS	******	DS
				DS

all	DC (+DS?)	DS		DS
Med.	DC		DC	
Ant., Lat.	DC		50	DC
all	DC	DC	DC	DC
all Ant Post	DC	DC		
Post	DC (+DS2)	DS+DC	DC	
Post.		DS+DC	DC	DO
Med.	20	DC		DC
Cran.	DS	DC	DS	?
Cran.		DC	DS(+ ?)	DC
Cran.		DC		DC
Lat.				DC
all	DC	DC		DC
Med.	DC	DC	DC	DC
Ant., Lat., Post.	DC	DC	DC	DC
Ant., Lat., Post.	DC	DC		DC
Med.		DC		DC
Neu.	DP	DC		
Ant., Lat., Post.		DC		
Mod	DF	DC	DC	DC
Med	ΠP			
Δnt	Di	DC	DC	DC.
Ant.	DP	DC	DC	DC
Ant.	Di	20	DS	DO
Lat., Post.			DC	
Post.		DC	DC	
Lat	••••••••••		DS	DS
East			50	00
Ant.	DS	DS TIB/TAR (flex.)	DS	DS
Ant. Ant.	DS DS	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR	DS DS	DS DS
Ant. Ant. Med.	DS DS	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.)	DS DS DS	DS DS
Ant. Ant. Med. Med.	DS DS DS	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.)	DS DS DS DS	DS DS DS
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med.	DS DS DS DS	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS	DS DS DS DS DS DS	DS DS DS DS
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all	DS DS DS DS DS DS	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM	DS DS DS DS DS	DS DS DS DS DS
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all	DS DS DS DS DS DS	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location)	DS DS DS DS DS	DS DS DS DS DS DS
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all Ant.	DS DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.)	DS DS DS DS DS	DS DS DS DS DS DS S ?
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat.	DS DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.)	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS	DS DS DS DS DS DS ? DS
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat.	DS DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.)	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS	DS DS DS DS DS DS S DS DS DS
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat. Lat Lat	DS DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.)	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS	DS DS DS DS DS DS ? DS DS DS
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat. Lat Lat Ant.	DS DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS	DS DS DS DS DS DS S DS DS TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat Lat Ant. Med., Lat.	DS DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS	DS DS DS DS DS PS DS DS DS TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat. Lat Lat Ant. Med., Lat. Med.	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DP DP	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP	DS DS DS DS DS CS DS DS DS DS TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat. Lat Lat Ant. Med., Lat. Med. Lat.	DS DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP	DS DS DS DS DS PS DS DS TN DP
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat. Lat Ant. Med., Lat. Med. Lat. Ant. Med. Lat. Ant.	DS DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP TN ANT	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS TN DP TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat Lat Ant. Med., Lat. Med. Lat. Ant. Post.	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP TN ANT TN POST	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP DP TN	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS TN DP TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat Lat Lat Ant. Med., Lat. Med. Lat. Ant. Post. Post.	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP TN ANT TN POST	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP DP DP TN	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS TN DP TN TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat Lat Lat Lat Ant. Med., Lat. Med. Lat. Ant. Post. Post. Post.	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP TN ANT TN POST	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP DP TN	DS DS DS DS DS PS DS DS TN TN TN TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat. Lat. Lat. Lat. Lat. Med., Lat. Med. Lat. Ant. Post. Post. Post. Ant.	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP TN ANT TN POST DP+DS DS+TN POST	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP DP TN TN	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS TN DP TN TN TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat Lat Lat Lat. Lat. Med., Lat. Med. Lat. Post. Post. Post. Ant. Lat Dast	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP DP TN ANT TN POST DP+DS DS+TN POST DS (MET/PH1) DS (MET/PH1)	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP DP TN TN TN	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS TN DP TN TN TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat Lat Lat Lat Ant. Med., Lat. Med. Lat. Ant. Post. Post. Post. Ant. Lat Post. Ant. Dost. Ant. Dost. Post. Post. Post. Post. Post. Post. Post. Post. Post. Post. Post.	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP DP TN ANT TN POST DP+DS DS+TN POST DS (MET/PH1) DS (MET/PH1)	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP DP DP TN TN TN SS	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS TN DP TN TN TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat Lat Lat Lat Ant. Med., Lat. Med. Lat. Ant. Post. Post. Post. Ant. Lat Post. Ant. Lat Lat	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP TN ANT TN POST DP+DS DS+TN POST DS (MET/PH1) DS (MET/PH1)	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP DP TN TN TN S S S S TN	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS TN DP TN TN TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all all Ant. Lat. Lat Ant. Med., Lat. Med. Lat. Ant. Post. Ant.	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP TN ANT TN POST DP+DS DS+TN POST DS (MET/PH1) DS (MET/PH1)	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP DP TN TN DS DS TN DS DS	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS TN DP TN TN TN TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat Ant. Med., Lat. Med. Lat Ant. Post. Ant., Ext. Ant., Ext.	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP DP TN ANT TN POST DP+DS DS+TN POST DS (MET/PH1) DS (MET/PH1) DS (MET/PH1)	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP TN TN TN DS DS TN DS DP DP DS	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS TN DP TN TN TN TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat Lat Lat Lat Lat Ant. Med., Lat. Med. Lat. Ant. Post. Post. Post. Post. Post. Ant. Lat Post. Post. Ant. Lat Ext. Ext.	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP DP DP DP TN ANT TN POST DP+DS DS+TN POST DS (MET/PH1) DS (MET/PH1) DS (MET/PH1)	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP DP DP TN TN TN DS DS DS S DP DS DP DS DP	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS TN DP TN TN TN TN TN
Ant. Ant. Med. Med. Med. all all Ant. Lat. Lat. Lat. Lat. Ant. Med., Lat. Med., Lat. Med. Lat. Post. Post. Post. Post. Post. Post. Post. Ant., Ext. Ant., Ext. Ant., Ext. Post.	DS DS DS DS DC/susp.	DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR DS TIB/TAR (flex.) DS TIB/TAR (exten.) DS DS TAR1/TAR2 + TAR/MTM (depending on location) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) DS (TIB/TAR flex.) TN ANT DP DP DP DP TN ANT TN POST DP+DS DS+TN POST DS (MET/PH1) DS (MET/PH1) DS (MET/PH1) DS (between Ph1)	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DP DP DP DP DP TN TN DS DS TN DS DP DS DP DS DP TN	DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS TN DP TN TN TN TN TN TN TN 4 4

Ext.		DP	2
Post.		TN	2
Post.		DS	1
Post.		TN	1
Post.		IND	1
Ext.	DS	DS	1
Post.		DP	4
Ext.		DP	4
Ant.		DP	4
Post.		DP	1
		DS between	
Int.		phal.	1
Ext.		DP	1
Ant.		DP	1
		•	

cates that the interpretation of AR2 = carpals second row;