



**HAL**  
open science

# Buddhist economics as a return to rational model of economic management

Viktor Zinchenko, Mykhailo Boichenko

► **To cite this version:**

Viktor Zinchenko, Mykhailo Boichenko. Buddhist economics as a return to rational model of economic management. 2022. hal-03760219v1

**HAL Id: hal-03760219**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03760219v1>**

Preprint submitted on 25 Aug 2022 (v1), last revised 10 Jan 2023 (v4)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Buddhist economics as a return to rational model of economic management

Viktor Zinchenko<sup>1</sup>, Mykhailo Boichenko<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Higher Education of the National Academy of Educational Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv-city, Ukraine,  
e-mail: zinchenko.72@gmail.com; ORCID: 0000-0001-9729-6861*

<sup>2</sup>*Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv-city, Ukraine  
e-mail: boychenkomy@gmail.com; ORCID: 0000-0003-1404-180X*

**Abstract.** The concept of Buddhist economics is gaining increased appeal in a world where external factors are once again becoming more of a threat than a salvation. Buddhist economy is a return to the values of agricultural production, but taking into account the experience and achievements of the industrial and post-industrial economy. Care for the environment, personal development, community development, especially spiritual development – these are the priorities of the Buddhist economy. In particular, agricultural production appears as only the most convenient means for achieving these goals. However, Buddhist economics is not a rejection of the achievements of modern and postmodern society – it is an attempt to use these experiences and achievements for a more intelligent and effective implementation of the goals of the economy, which were defined by Aristotle. The rational model of economic management according to these views consists in thrifty but full consumption and restrained production with environmentally friendly aims.

**Keywords:** Buddhist economics, optimal consumption, personal development, economic rationality.

## **Introduction. The concept of economic rationality and Buddhist economics**

For some time the global economic system worked mainly to solve the problems of national economies, providing benefits to the world market, but in recent decades it has created more and more problems for national economies – not only weak national economies suffer, but also developed economies indeed. Is it failure of the economic strategy or tragic accident? The answer is between these two opportunities and anyway the current concept of economic rationality should be improved.

In his article Peter DiRita stated that ‘the economic rationality principle is based on the postulate that people behave in rational ways and consider options and decisions within logical structures of thought, as opposed to involving emotional, moral, or psychological elements’ (DiRita 2014, p 1803). Meanwhile it should be taken into account ‘logical structure of thought’ in every sphere – not only in science. But it should be scientific approach to the each sphere, when research of rationality is conducted. Wolfgang Drechsler assess that

Buddhist economics (BE), like most religion-based economics, is not only an extrapolation from the basic texts but is also how BE has recently been practiced... Buddhism, as such, is at odds with standard textbook economics (STE). BE thus potentially forms its own economic paradigm, but BE as practiced often coexists with STE (Drechsler 2019, p. 523).

So it should be traced not dogmatic basis, but pragmatic reality of Buddhist economy. On this ground it could be reconstructed Buddhist model of economic management. So it should be formulated new features of economic rationality that will push the limits of STE.

The growth of problems in the world economy indicates the need to change the conceptual values and worldview that underlies the modern global economic system: the expansionist and aggressive strategy of ‘world domination’ inherent in the Abrahamic religions should be

contrasted with a more restrained and moderate strategy of peaceful ‘coexistence with the world’ that, according to Max Weber, is inherent to Eastern religions, especially Confucianism and Taoism as a form of legitimation of world affirmation (Ertman 2017; Weber 1989; Weber 1951). But more interesting and less elaborated by economists is the Weber’s concept of India economy as based on world-averting, contemplative or ecstatic worldview and corresponding to its economic attitude to the world (Weber 1958). These relations between religion and economy need to be renewed and clarified from a contemporary standpoint.

Judaism, Christianity and Islam are the Abrahamic religions: they have an origin from the prophet Abraham’s concept of freedom. This concept pretends to give God’s people an exceptional right to manage all the world. That is why these religions sanction world domination of the God’s people. It is monotheistic doctrines of monistic world order: every of them propose their own version of world domination. Despite of religious peculiarities all of them construct moral approval of expansion in all spheres of social life, including economy. Hinduism, Buddhism, Confucianism, and Taoism are all the eastern religions. Andreas E. Buss stated Weber’s assertion on ‘Buddhist ritual formalism and its ethic of conviction’ (Buss 2015, p. 178). This ethic is opposite to the western, especially Protestant ethics of responsibility. Ethics of responsibility is individualistic and material, it orients on the personal merits and achievements, whereas ethics of conviction is collectivistic ethics and it orients on the common wellness of all members of community. Ethical differentiation determines different understandings of rationality and rational economic actions in particular – in Western economy, based on Abrahamic religion, and in Buddhist economy of many Eastern countries.

But it would be false to affirm that Western economy always was expansionist and aggressive. At one time before Christianity, Aristotle focused the economics on meeting the domestic needs of the economy and avoiding surplus products (Aristotle 1999). It is Aristotle’s understanding of economics that is basic for the European world, as well as for any traditionalist economy. While the idea of the Western economy as aggressive and expansionist is formed mainly under the influence of Weber’s studies of Protestantism. The modern global economy has departed from this canon and is more close to that kind of economy what Aristotle called ‘chrematistics’ (χρηματιστική), or uncontrolled monetary enrichment, and which leads to death for the economy through a permanent disease of the economy. Chrematistics, like the modern global economy course, is a loss of economic rationality. Therefore it is worth looking for other examples of rational management, such as the so-called Buddhist economy.

The Buddhist economy approach seems to be a more consistent embodiment of economic rationality, while the economic aggression that prevails to this day in global economy leads to increasingly irrational consequences, including overt military aggression, attempts to put the economy on a course of militarism and destruction rather than material and cultural creation.

The concept of Buddhist economics is also elaborated by modern economists, such as Bashu Dev Deshar, professor and PhD at Rissho University. Doctor Dev Deshar studies the economic phenomenon of Buddhist communities as a social and basis of Buddhist economics (Dev Deshar 2020). There are also many other aspects of Buddhist economics that are studied by several researchers such as participants of special conferences devoted to the values of Buddhist economics (Buddhist Values and Economics 2019). Clair Brown and Laszlo Zsolnai made an overview of recent research in Buddhist economics (Brown, and Zsolnai 2020).

### **Economic management from the standpoint of Buddhist economics**

The inefficiency, and often fallibility of modern economic approaches to humanity and the environment, makes it increasingly necessary to look for reasonable alternatives. They exist, but they are not used – primarily because little is known about them. One of these alternatives is the model of ‘recovery economy’ (or the so-called ‘Buddhist economy’) by German-British statistician and economist Ernst Friedrich Schumacher, who proved the fundamental

'unprofitability' of uncontrolled large-scale industrial production in the fifties of the twentieth century. Schumacher published his major work 'Small is Beautiful: A Study of Economics as if People Mattered' in 1973. While working as an economic adviser to the Prime Minister of Burma, Schumacher became acquainted with Buddhism and tried to apply the values and worldview of this religion to create an economic model capable of long-term stable development. He presented the results of his research in the essay 'Buddhist Economics' and several other works. The followers and developers of modern ideas in this direction are united, in particular, by the research and experimental organization The E. F. Schumacher Society and the New Economics Institute, which operates under the association with it, the purpose of which is to achieve economically and environmentally sustainable society. Ideas and applied models of representatives of this direction (in particular, Manfred Max-Neef and Philip B. Smith (Max-Neef and Smith 2011), Arthur Zajonc (Zajonc 1997), Greg Watson and Hildegard Hannum (Watson and Hannum 1997), etc. (Guruge 2008; Inoue 1997; Payutto 1994; Sivaraksa 2009)) are successfully used in countries of various types and have begun to be actively studied in international institutions and the UN to develop a new effective strategy for world development. Also the 'Japanese Economic Miracle' absorbed directly or indirectly the ideas of Buddhist economics, which explicitly or implicitly influenced and improved Japanese work ethic, industriousness and management methods. The Japanese ethics of loyalty, lifelong employment, collaborative decision making, brainstorming, the Quality Control Circle (QCC), Total Quality Management (TQM), meditation, constant self-control and self-improvement have certainly been influenced by Zen Buddhism, Madhyamika philosophy, Buddhist philosophy of Dependent Origination and Identity (Hua-Yen), elements of Taoism and Confucianism (Sivaraksa 2009).

Shinichi Inoue is one of those original and respected world economists who are trying to combine the ideas of Buddhist philosophy with modern economics and management based on the successful experience of Japan. The economic model that he formed is based on his concept of the 'Buddhist Economy of Japan'. His Buddhist economic philosophy influenced Japanese economists, industrialists, entrepreneurs, businessmen and corporate leaders. Inoue worked at the Tokyo Bank, since 1975 he was appointed president of the Miyazaki Bank. Sh. Inoue develops the Buddhist idea of 'dependent origination' (Pāli: paṭiccasamuppāda; Sanskrit: pratīyasamutpada) in terms of the relationship between people, economy and culture. With this idea of 'right view' (sammaditthi), he wrote a book on Buddhist economics called "Buddhism at Work: A New Approach to Management and Business" (Inoue 1997).

Sh. Inoue also put forward the theory of the 'middle way' of the Buddhist economy as an 'ideal opportunity' between capitalism and socialism. Capitalism emphasizes respect for basic human rights and private property, while socialism advocates an equal distribution of wealth. The strength of capitalism is in the free market and competition, which make it possible to realize the potential of entrepreneurs. Its obvious shortcoming and defect is the exploitation by the 'strong and greedy' of the weak for personal financial and material income. The advantage of socialism is that the government or the state controls and regulates social protection, collections and distribution of wealth. Excessive taxation of capable entrepreneurs and diligent workers in favor of the low-income and indolent hinders individual initiative and diligence. This limits the development of human potential. Sh. Inoue's economic Buddhist model seeks to overcome these extremes. According to Sh. Inoue, the high benefit of the economic development strategy is the practice of the middle way, in which the ideas of capitalism and socialism are combined.

This economic model is known as the Buddhist mixed economy. For example, the Malaysian government's 'Look-East' strategy borrowed many of the management methods from the

Japanese Buddhist economic model, the ideas of the labor culture of Korea and Japan, successfully developing a mixed economy, enhancing Malaysia's economic competitiveness and ensuring its economic growth in a multi-ethnic and multi-religious country in the conditions of risks of fundamentalism, nationalism and globalization (Sivaraksa 2009, p. 46). China is also dynamically developing this mixed economy and has already established itself as one of the world's economic superpowers. The middle way Buddhist economy guarantees sustainable development without damaging or depleting the environment or harming human resources. That is, the mechanism of the free market, competition must develop without destroying either nature or human society. A 'sustainable economy' must be fair and environmentally sound. Buddhism, economics and ecology are interconnected and interdependent.

Sh. Inoue defines the Buddhist economy in terms of three models that are universally acceptable because they are common to the whole world and harmless to the environment. 1. It is an economic system that benefits everyone. 2. It defends the universal values of tolerance and peace. 3. Keeping this way, the frugal economic model will save the earth from depletion and destruction of natural resources (Inoue 1997, p. 109).

### **People as a main resource of Buddhist economy**

The traditional 'Western' economy mainly operates in goods and money, but has little interest in the outside world, people and the level of resource recovery. The goal of the typical modern Western economist is to make the most money with the least amount of investment. The modern economist develops plans and forecasts for the period of a project, a government term, or for the duration of a certain political force in power. It is not interested in long-term development, in which all participants in economic relations will receive benefits and satisfaction of needs. Nevertheless the economy does not exist on its own, but is a derivative of the definition of the purpose and meaning of life, regardless of whether a particular economist knows about it or not. From the point of view of modern Western economic thought, it is more customary to measure the standard of living by the number of goods and services consumed per year, considering that a person who consumes more lives better than one who consumes less. In addition, modern Western economic science mainly considers consumption as the only goal of economic activity, where the means of production are the factors of production: land, labor and capital.

For 'Buddhist economics', this approach is unreasonable and not rational: after all, consumption is only a means, not an end, so maximum well-being with minimum consumption is the real indicator of a better life. The consumption of goods and the possession of them are only the means for optimal development. Buddhist economics explores how to achieve goals with minimal means. Thus, the Buddhist economics is the maximum satisfaction of needs through optimal consumption, while the 'Western' economic approach is focused on maximum consumption through optimal production. Obviously, much more effort must be put into the endless race for maximum consumption than to maintain a lifestyle aimed at optimal, not maximum consumption.

From the point of view of Buddhism, the most rational form of production is production from local resources to meet local needs. The goal is self-sufficiency, not surplus production. 'Economic progress' is needed only to achieve self-sufficiency. Developing beyond this, it brings destruction. Schumacher recalls that the purpose of the economy is to serve the needs of people. But in the 'real' economy, the opposite is true – people exist to serve the economy. The production of goods is considered more important than the people who consume these goods. It is believed that a person who consumes more has a higher 'standard of living' than one who

consumes less. For a Buddhist economics, such an approach is, to put it mildly, 'irrational': consumption and consumerism are only forms of human activity (and far from the most important compared to self-realization, happiness, health, and selfless service to others). From the point of view of Buddhism, a rational goal would sound like 'the achievement of maximum welfare under the condition of minimum consumption'. Instead of increasing consumption, Schumacher proposes the idea of 'completeness'. In his opinion, consumption should not be stimulated – on the contrary, existing needs should be satisfied, and new needs should not be imposed on people (Schumacher 1993).

Thus, the Buddhist economy tries to satisfy the needs as much as possible by optimizing consumption, while the 'Western' economy focuses on maximizing consumption by optimizing production. The pursuit of maximum consumption requires huge efforts and resources. Maintaining a lifestyle focused on optimal consumption requires less investment. Schumacher points out that the average American lives with significantly more stress than the average Burmese (Schumacher 1993).

In general, the Buddhist economy, based on the principle of 'local production – local consumption', is ideal for small closed local communities (Dev Deshar 2020). Such an economy saves on transportation costs, and in addition, it provides the opportunity for permanent employment, the personal interest of all participants in production as the final product, invulnerability to changes in the global environment, stable growth, and ultimately leads to relative local self-sufficiency. People who live in small communities with a high level of self-sufficiency are less prone to violence. In large cities, the psychological state and economic status of people depend on the abrupt changes of the globalized economy; often being under stress, residents of megacities are more prone to give vent to aggression.

From the point of view of Buddhist economics, the transportation of large amounts of resources to meet local needs is not a sign of the well-being of the economy, but of its inefficiency. Modern economics sees the picture differently. An increase in the volume of goods transported is often considered an indicator of economic progress, rather than a deterioration in consumption patterns. To value a product above a person, and consumption above creativity, is to distort reality inside out. This is how Schumacher interprets the Buddhist point of view. Indeed, in this case, the secondary – the product of human labour – is valued above the primary, the producer himself (Schumacher 1993).

The inefficiency of the approaches prevailing now in the modern global economy is forcing politicians and big business captains to increasingly resort to the search for reasonable alternatives in strategic economic policy. One of these alternatives is the model of 'recovery economy' by Ernst Schumacher, who proved the fundamental 'unprofitability' of uncontrolled large-scale industrial production. The followers and developers of Ernst Schumacher's and Buddhist ideas in this direction are united in the research organization: Schumacher Society – The Schumacher Center for a New Economics. They are engaged not only in theoretical, but also in applied research, the purpose of which is to develop concrete practical recommendations and find the ways to achieve an economically and environmentally sustainable society.

A civilization or community that lives predominantly from renewable resources is more perfect than one that depends on non-renewable resources. The latter leads a parasitic way of life, spending not income, but capital to satisfy needs. Chasing external indicators like GDP, according to the principles of Buddhist economics is stupid. These figures tend to show only a handful of large owners growing 'fat in butter' while ignoring the economic situation of the majority of the population. The same can be said about the idealization of import and export indicators. Now such 'proclamations' are no longer perceived as something revolutionary, but

for the economists of the sixties they sounded like complete heresy. Only after the development of the concept of 'sustainable development' did Schumacher's ideas begin to be perceived as reasonable and rational (if only a little strange). The scientist shows how 'Western' and Buddhist approaches to work differ. The Western 'materialist' approach to work assumes that for the worker it is almost compulsory, and for the employer it means costs that cannot be avoided, but he will try to minimize it in any way. The salary is considered just as a some compensation to the worker for lost time and comfort. This dubious and wrong approach to labor needs to be corrected.

### **Labor in Buddhist economics**

From the point of view of Buddhism, human labor has at least three goals. First of all, it is a way to develop human abilities.

As Robert A. Heinlein said in his science fiction novel, specialization is the destiny of insects:

A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone, solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die gallantly. Specialization is for insects (Heinlein 1973).

Labor automation, when an employee of a factory, office, supermarket repeats the same monotonous actions every day for months and years, turning more and more into a zombie robot, is unacceptable, because it stifles creativity in a person. Secondly, work helps a person overcome one's own selfishness (one of the main tasks that Buddhism sets for the individual) through working with others on a common project. Thirdly, the production of quality goods and services is necessary for a decent standard of living. If an employer organizes work in a way that neglects these goals, so that when work loses its meaning, becomes boring, or annoys workers, it is committing almost a crime.

For a Buddhist, work is not something that should be avoided at all costs, but a blessing – of course, if it takes place in conditions of ensuring human rights and freedoms. Work and labor is not only a production process, it also has a psychological and 'spiritual' significance, as the Thai scholar P.A. Payutto notes in his book 'Buddhist Economics: The Middle Way for the Market' (Payutto 1994). This is clear even to those economists who believe that economic growth is more important than any values.

The 'Western' approach has certain social consequences: while women work in offices and factories, someone else takes care of their children. For a Buddhist economics such use of resources is as effective as, for example, for a Western economics to recruit a highly qualified in peaceful affairs specialist into the army without accounting his competence. The Buddhist system emphasizes interesting details – for example, Schumacher notes the importance of planting trees both for the economy and for the education of consciousness. By planting trees and caring for them, we think about the future, provide next generations with renewable resources, 'get involved' in interaction with nature and become more aware of natural processes and our impact on them. In saving resources, attention is also drawn to the fight against speculation – in particular land speculation – which is a real scourge of all capitalist economies. Schumacher came up with an interesting way to solve this problem. In his opinion, neither private property and the uncontrolled sale of land, nor nationalization, when the land is controlled by the bureaucracy, can give good results. In the first case, it is impossible to avoid the speculative rise in prices and the destruction of small landowners. In the second, the insanity

of the socialist 'management' of the land arises, which are well known to everyone who survived the 'soviet' experiment with collective farms. Schumacher proposes to establish a stable price for each land plot (linked to the inflation index) by law. In developed countries where the 'puzzle' is assembled, it is technically not difficult to do this at all. The seller of the plot cannot get a higher price for it than is established. If, however, in the process of buying and selling there is someone willing to pay a higher price than the established price, he pays more. But the amount of the overpayment does not go to the seller, but to a special state fund (for example, the improvement of farmland, the creation of reserves or the greening of cities) (Schumacher 1993).

Similarly Japanese economist Shinichi Inoue develops the Buddhist idea of dependent origination, demystifying the inextricable relationship between economic, cultural and human development. Appealing to the idea of right view (sammaditthi), he created the modern incarnation of Buddhist economics as the middle way between capitalism and socialism.

## **Conclusion**

So, to summarize, an industrial or 'post-industrial' society based on the extensive use of non-renewable resources cannot exist indefinitely. A return to local economies based on agriculture is inevitable. It is difficult to disagree theoretically with this, but until the situation becomes critical, most people will not accept this opinion. For example, only the escalation of a terrible war of Russia against Ukraine in 2022 shows all the world the vital dependence of huge part of countries from food produced in Ukraine: things that seemed to be natural and inevitable appear fragile and dependent on collective resisting the aggressor. In the near future each country should begin to take care about its own sources of food – and generally make its own economy more independence, like the Buddhist economy is.

Concept of Buddhist economics is getting more and more confirmations and more and more supporters. The concept of Buddhist economics is getting more and more confirmations and more and more supporters. This concept returns the classical understanding of economic rationality - concern for people and their stable well-being, and not about the accumulation of capital for the sake of capital itself. Management of the economy should be guided not by the external parameters of production, but by its quality and targeted satisfaction of the main needs of people. Work appears as an excellent opportunity for personal development and care for oneself and loved ones, and not as participation in the functioning of supra-individual systems and unknown consumers. Consumption itself must be subordinated to care for the environment.

Now the implementation and development of the principles of the Buddhist economy is the affair of experimental enthusiasts in some small communes and eco-villages. But it will soon be the case for many, if not for everybody. In the sixties of the twentieth century, when Schumacher published the first calculations of his research, economists laughed at his theories. However, after a few decades it became obvious that humanity needed to come to a more rational economy than is used now. Increasing consumption leads ultimately to nowhere. Perhaps it is wiser not to increase consumption, but to learn to achieve maximum pleasure from a minimum consumption? And it is important to produce only the highest quality goods and services both at the national and regional, continental and global levels.

Buddhist economics should be in a priority interest of forthcoming philosophical research in the area of collective agency and collective responsibility, social dilemma and social agreement, environmental ethics and theory of small communities and creative networks.

## **References**



- Aristotle (1999), *The Politics*. Trans. by Benjamin Jowett. Kitchener, Ont.: Batoche Books.
- Brown, Clair and Zsolnai, Laszlo (2020), 'Buddhist economics: An overview', *Society and Economy*, 40(4), 497-513. <https://doi.org/10.1556/204.2018.40.4.2>.
- '*Buddhist Values and Economics: Investing in a Sustainable Future*': International Conference. 2019. 4. 13 & 14. The University of Hong Kong. <https://www.buddhism.hku.hk/conference2019/schedule.pdf>.
- Buss, Andreas E. (2015), *The Economic Ethics of World Religions and their Laws. An Introduction to Max Weber's Comparative Sociology*. Baden-baden: Nomos.
- Dev Deshar, Bashu (2020), Concept of Buddhist economics. *Project: Eradication of Mental Illness through Buddhism*. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339090126\\_THE\\_CONCEPT\\_OF\\_BUDDHIST\\_ECONOMICS](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339090126_THE_CONCEPT_OF_BUDDHIST_ECONOMICS)
- DiRita, Peter (2014), 'Economic Rationality Assumption', in: Michalos, Alex C. (ed.) *Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 1803-1806. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0753-5\\_822](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0753-5_822).
- Drechsler, Wolfgang (2019), 'The Reality and Diversity of Buddhist Economics', *The American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 78(2): 523-560. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajes.12271>
- Ertman, Thomas C. (ed.) (2017), *Max Weber's economic ethics of the World Religions: An Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Guruge, Ananda (2008), *Buddhism, Economics and Science: Further Studies in Socially Engaged Humanistic Buddhism*. Bloomington, IN: Author House.
- Heinlein, Robert (1973), *Time Enough for Love: The Lives of Lazarus Long. A novel*. New York: Putnam.
- Inoue, Shinichi (1997), *Putting Buddhism to Work: A New Approach to Management and Business*. Trans. by Williams, Duncan. Tokyo: Kodansha International (JPN).
- Max-Neef, Manfred and Smith, Philip B. (2011), *Economics Unmasked. From power and greed to compassion and the common good*. Totnes, Devon: Green Books, UK.
- Payutto, P. A. (1994), *Buddhist Economics: A Middle Way for the Market Place*. Trans. by Dhammavijaya and Bruce Evans. Bangkok, Thailand: Buddhadhamma Foundation; 2nd Revised & enlarged edition.
- Schumacher, E. F. (1993), *Small Is Beautiful: A Study of Economics As If People Mattered*. London: Vintage.
- Sivaraksa, Sulak (2009), *The Wisdom of Sustainability: Buddhist Economics for the 21st Century*. Kihei, Hawai'i: Koa Books.
- Watson, Greg and Hannum, Hildegard (1997), *The Wisdom That Builds Community* (Annual E. F. Schumacher Lectures). Great Barrington, MA: E. F. Schumacher Society.
- Weber, Max (1989), *Gesamtausgabe. Bd. 19. Die Wirtschaftsethik der Weltreligionen Konfuzianismus und Taoismus: Schriften 1915-1920* / hrsg. von Helwig Schmidt-Glintzer in Zusammenarbeit mit Petra Kolonko. Tübingen : Mohr.
- Weber, Max (1951), *The Religion of China*. New York / London: MacMillan
- Weber, Max (1958), *The Religion of India*. New York: The Free Press.
- Zajonc, Arthur G. (1997), *Buddhist Technology: Bringing a New Consciousness to Our Technological Future* (Annual E. F. Schumacher Lectures). Great Barrington, MA: E. F. Schumacher Society.

Zsolnai, Laszlo (2016), 'Buddhism and Economic Development', in: Lewis, Todd (ed.), deAngelis, Gary (ed.) *Teaching Buddhism: New Insights on Understanding and Presenting the Traditions*. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 344-360.