

Unifying Step-Growth Polymerization and On-Demand Cascade Ring-Closure Depolymerization via Polymer Skeletal Editing

Fabiana Siragusa, Jeremy Demarteau, Thomas Habets, Ion Olazabal, Koen Robeyns, Gwilherm Evano, Raphael Mereau, Thierry Tassaing, Bruno Grignard, Haritz Sardon, et al.

To cite this version:

Fabiana Siragusa, Jeremy Demarteau, Thomas Habets, Ion Olazabal, Koen Robeyns, et al.. Unifying Step-Growth Polymerization and On-Demand Cascade Ring-Closure Depolymerization via Polymer Skeletal Editing. Macromolecules, 2022, 55 (11), pp.4637-4646. 10.1021/acs.macromol.2c00696. hal-03758681

HAL Id: hal-03758681 <https://hal.science/hal-03758681v1>

Submitted on 8 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Unifying Step-Growth Polymerization and On-Demand Cascade Ring-Closure Depolymerization via Polymer Skeletal Editing

Fabiana Siragusa, ¹ Jeremy Demarteau, ² Thomas Habets, ¹ Koen Robeyns, ³ Gwilherm Evano, ⁴ Raphael Mereau, 5 Thierry Tassaing,⁵ Bruno Grignard, ^{1*} Haritz Sardon, ² Christophe Detrembleur ^{1,6*}

¹ Center for Education and Research on Macromolecules (CERM), CESAM Research Unit, University of Liège, Sart-Tilman B6a, 4000 Liege, Belgium.

⁵ Institut des Sciences Moléculaires (ISM), UMR5255 CNRS, Université de Bordeaux, 351 Cours de la libération, F-33405 Talence Cedex, France.

Corresponding authors: [bruno.grignard@uliege.be,](mailto:bruno.grignard@uliege.be) christophe.detrembleur@uliege.be

ABSTRACT: The inherent skeletal and thermal features to forge polymers by step-growth polymerization are conflicting with any depolymerization strategies via cascade back-biting reactions that necessitate adequate ceiling temperature, spacers, and functionalities to create cyclic compounds. Here, we report the edition of step-growth poly(carbonate-urea)s and poly(carbonate-amide)s that are depolymerized on demand into their native precursor or added-value offspring oxazolidinones, together with a hemiacetal cyclic carbonate. The unprotected in-chain secondary amide or urea functionalities of the polymers trigger their degradation via cascade ring-closing events upon a thermal switch (from 25 to 80 °C) in the presence of an organic base as a catalyst. Although most studies are realized in solution for understanding the deconstruction process, the polymers are also fully degraded in 2 h in neat conditions without any catalyst at 150 °C. At 80 °C, the organic base is required to accelerate the process. On the road to sustainability and circularity, we validate the concept by exploiting monomers designed from waste CO2 and upcycled commodity plastics. Ultimately, these polymers are selectively depolymerized from plastic mixtures composed of commodity poly(ethylene terephthalate) and polycaprolactone, offering new options for recycling plastic waste mixtures while delivering high-value-added chemicals.

Introduction

Over the last century, commodities of engineered plastics have totally reshaped our modern life. Their ever-growing utilization coupled with the lack of viable end-of-life scenarios, finite fossil origin, and long-term persistence in marine ecosystems and nature are now causing a major environmental crisis. (1,2) This has pushed scientists to fundamentally reinvent the way to fabricate, use, and recycle, ideally on-demand, these materials in a circular, sustainable, and economic manner. (3−22) Circular economy of plastics is better achieved through chemical recycling, where the polymer chain is depolymerized into the pristine monomer or new valueadded chemicals. In this context, heteroatom-linked step-growth polymers such as polyesters, polyurethanes, and polycarbonates can play a pivotal role in tackling the plastic pollution problem. The presence of in-chain C–O or C–N labile bonds offers various chemical depolymerization opportunities via solvolysis, producing either the initial monomers that are re-employed in a closed-loop system or, new added-value chemicals via an open-loop upcycling approach. (8,10) Developing creative and energy-efficient deconstruction strategies, beyond solvolysis, to enlarge and diversify the scope of accessible chemicals from step-growth polymers represents an exciting but rather unexplored research area.

²POLYMAT, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Joxe Mari Korta Center, Avda. Tolosa 7, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastian, Spain.

³Institute of Condensed Matter and Nanosciences, Université Catholique de Louvain, B-1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium.

⁴Laboratoire de Chimie Organique, Service de Chimie et Physico-Chimie Organiques, Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Avenue F. D. Roosevelt 50, CP160/06, 1050 Brussels, Belgium.

Ring-closing depolymerization is a powerful method to transform polymer backbones into cyclic products, yet is made virtually applicable to all polyesters and polycarbonates prepared by ring-opening (co)polymerization (RO(CO)P). (9,23−30) The almost ergoneutral polymerization energetics ($\Delta G_p \approx 0$) of these RO(CO)P polymers enable chain growth below their ceiling temperature (T_c) and the (catalytic) reversion of the materials into the native three-, five-, or six-membered cyclic precursors by activated chain-end unzipping above T_c . (11,31−37) Mimicking this concept with step-growth polymers is far from trivial. Impediments to the construction of step-growth materials with ring-closing degradative ability lie in the inherent incompatibility between both chain growth and deconstruction manifolds. The inadequate microstructural polymer features also prevent the formation of well-defined cyclic molecules upon back-biting reactions in most cases. This is exemplified for polycarbonates (PCs). (38) The carbonylation of vicinal diols provides exclusively the corresponding five-membered cyclic carbonates while oligomers (minor product) coexist with six-membered trimethylene carbonates (major product) when 1,3-diols are used as templates. (28,39,40) Only 1,*x*-diols (with $x > 3$) deliver polycarbonates with decent molar masses by step-growth copolymerization, generally at high temperature to tackle the low reactivity of the comonomers and/or to eliminate the condensate (in polycondensations). However, the enlargement of the alkylene spacer between both hydroxyl units of the diols is detrimental for deconstructing the PCs via activated chain-end back-biting as the formation of sevenmembered (if $x = 4$) or even larger rings is not favored. Their depolymerization into macrocyclic carbonates is now possible by transesterification (i.e., not by back-biting reaction) at elevated temperatures (235–280 °C) under vacuum distillation. (41) The chemical foundations to design PCs by step-growth polymerization with ring-closing degradative ability imposes the utilization of monomers with adequate ethylene or propylene spacers between the reactive groups but also processing conditions and adequate ceiling temperature that favor their enchainment into polymers instead of a cyclic scaffold formation. Selfimmolative polymers represent an elegant solution to this issue as they can be delivered by step-growth polymerization while being prone to spontaneous disassembly via a domino fashion upon removal of a triggering moiety by an appropriate stimulus. (42−54) While interesting but rarely illustrated with polycarbonates, this class of materials suffers from (1) the utilization of protected monomers, generally not easily accessible and not sustainable, and/or (2) the stabilization of the growing chains by fast trapping of the reactive terminus to prevent a reversion, thus limiting the molar mass to short chains, which is detrimental for the thermomechanical performances of the materials. (55)

Herein, we report an original and facile methodology to fabricate polycarbonate-type polymers with cascade ring-closing depolymerization ability via an activated chain-end mechanism, delivering new cyclic scaffolds upon deconstruction. Capitalizing on our recent approach of furnishing regioregular polycarbonates by room-temperature step-growth copolymerization of exovinylene biscyclic carbonates and diols, (56−58) we envisioned that the smart skeletal editing of these PCs should solve the conflict between step-growth copolymerization and ring-closing depolymerization. Thus, we explore the introduction of additional unprotected in-chain secondary functionalities, which are nonreactive at room temperature but activated on demand upon catalytic thermal switching (Scheme 1). The concept was validated with monomers designed from $CO₂$ and upcycled waste plastics, creating a sustainable and circular scenario that answers the requirements of our modern society.

Scheme 1. Fabrication of Step-Growth Poly(carbonate-urea)s with Back-Biting Ring-Closing Depolymerization Ability

Results and Discussion

Design of Regioregular Poly(carbonate-amide) and Poly(carbonate-urea)s

Forging step-growth polycarbonates with ring-closing degradative ability requires engineering and unifying monomers with dual functionalities that can be activated on demand to drive selectively divergent catalytic polymerization and depolymerization pathways. To be applicable and guarantee the success of our methodology, the fine control of the polymer microstructure is capital. Errors in the enchainment of the monomers could create termination nodes stopping the depolymerization, further providing a mixture of ill-defined products. To do so, a series of polycarbonate-type polymers are synthesized by the room-temperature DBU-catalyzed step-growth copolymerization of CO₂sourced meso-4,4′-(ethane-1,2-diyl)bis(4-methyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxolan-2-one) (bisαCC **C1**) with waste-plastic-sourced diols containing in-chain unprotected secondary amide or urea moieties (**P1**–**3**, [Scheme 2](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.macromol.2c00696?ref=pdf#sch2) and Figures S1–S6). (59) After 24 h, polymers **C1P1**, **C1P2**, and **C1P3** are obtained with a weight-average molar mass (*M*w) of 27 500, 17 000, and 29 900 g/mol, respectively (Scheme 2, Tables S1–S3, and Figures S7–S9). The ¹H and ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) characterizations of the three polymers (Figure S10) attest to the regioregular nature of the chains. Moreover, all resonances are in agreement with the expected copolymer microstructure with intact in-chain amide or urea linkages and pendant ketones, functionalities that are also crucial for the ring-closing deconstruction of PCs, as illustrated later, and no sign of degradation products. All polymers are isolated and display thermal stabilities with decomposition temperature at 10% weight loss (*T*deg10%) at up to 162, 170, and 164 °C for **C1P1**, **C1P2**, and **C1P3**, respectively (Scheme 2 and Figures S11– S13). All polymers are found to be amorphous by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) (Scheme 2 and Figures S14–S16). **C1P1** displays a glass transition temperature (T_g) of 43 °C while, the T_g value decreases to 17 °C (**C1P2**) by shifting from terephthalamide segment to less rigid urea. The low *T*^g value of 0 °C of **C1P3** reflects its more flexible nature compared to **C1P2** due to the extension of the ethylene (in **P2**) to a propylene spacer in the structure of **P3**.

Scheme 2. Synthesis and Macromolecular Characteristics of **C1P1**, **C1P2**, and **C1P3**^a

^aReaction conditions: [C1]/[P1] or **P2** or **P3**] = 1, $\text{[C1]} = 0.78$ M; M_n , M_w , and M_w/M_n were determined by SEC in DMF/LiBr. Conversion >99% in all cases.

Ring-Closing Depolymerization of Poly(carbonate-amide) and Poly(carbonate-urea)

C1P1 is then subjected to deconstruction using 10 mol % of DBU (compared to the polymer repeating unit). Unlike Hammond's postulate (60) specifying that the optimal ring-closing depolymerization catalyst has to differ from the one that serves in the chain construction, we capitalized on the "master key" nature of DBU and postulated that this organobase should be also efficient for the depolymerization of our materials upon thermal switching. This hypothesis was inspired by some DBU-promoted side reactions that were observed when polycarbonates were prepared by copolymerizing **C1** with unfunctional diols (e.g., 1,4-benzenedimethanol) at 80 °C. (56) Some unexpected cyclic carbonate linkages were formed, together with the decrease in the polymer molar mass. To verify our assumption, the depolymerization of **C1P1** (containing amide linkages in the skeletal editing) is monitored by 1 H NMR spectroscopy, using an internal standard, to identify and quantify the degradation products and to determine the content of decomposed polymer (Figures 1A and S17). These analyses correlate with the time evolution of the SEC of the polymer highlighting the degradation profile (Figures 1B and S18). At 80 °C, 20% of **C1P1** was degraded after 1 h, with *M*ⁿ that significantly dropped from 18 500 to 4000 g/mol (Figure 1B), suggesting that chain scission events rapidly split the polymer. After 24 h, ∼70% of the polymer is disassembled with some remaining oligomers of $M_n = 1800$ g/mol. Then, two main products are isolated and identified as the native N1,N4-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)terephthalamide **P1** (yield of 40%) and an elusive bicyclic compound **1** (yield 40%, white solid), consisting of a tetrasubstituted five-membered ethylene carbonate fused to an acetyl-substituted tetrahydropyran (Figures S19–S22). X-ray diffraction of the latter scaffold highlights the orientation of two methyl groups in an exclusive syn configuration, suggesting a stereoselective depolymerization process (Figures 1C and S23 and Table S4). To make the deconstruction of **C1P1** more expeditious, the depolymerization is reproduced at a higher temperature (Figures 1D, S24, and S25). At 100 °C, 95% of **C1P1** is depolymerized in 24 h and the remaining oligomers display an M_n of 1400 g/mol while at 150 °C, the total polymer degradation is observed within only 3 h. After 24 h, the yield of the bicyclic compound **1** significantly increases from 40% at 80 °C to 53% at 100 °C and 83% at 150 °C, confirming the beneficial effect of the temperature on the depolymerization rate. However, increasing the temperature also provides a mixture of additional cyclic co-products identified by 1 H NMR as N-acylated oxazolidinone and N-(2hydroxyethyl)benzamide fragments. It suggests that besides **1** and **P1**, other products like 3,3′ terephthaloylbis(oxazolidin-2-one) **P1a** and N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-(2-oxoxazolidine-3 carbonyl)benzamide **P1b** may be concomitantly formed after complete chain unzipping (Figure S24). It has to be noted that since the 1 H NMR signals fall in the same chemical shift, it is impossible to quantify the yield of each compound and the exact composition of the mixture (Figure S24). Every attempt to separate and isolate the various scaffolds was unsuccessful.

Figure 1. (A) Degradation scheme for **C1P1** and main degradation products. (B) Time evolution of the **C1P1***M*_n upon deconstruction at 80 °C. (C) ORTEP representation of 5acetyl-3a,5,7a-trimethyltetrahydro-5H-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-*b*]pyran-2-one. (D) Time evolution of the **C1P1** degradation at 80, 100, and 150 °C. The reactions were in presence of DBU (10 mol % vs polymer repeating unit) using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) as an internal standard.

If the **C1P1** case validates our concept and the methodology, it furnishes a mixture of cyclic and acyclic scaffolds. This suggests that the secondary amides are not the ideal candidates to build ringclosing degradable step-growth polymers. Capitalizing on the lability of C–N linkages and the symmetry of the chemical group, we postulate that secondary ureas provide perfect substitutes to amides and totally decompose into oxazolidinones via a domino scenario (Scheme 3), pushing away the boundaries encountered with **C1P1**.

Scheme 3. Effect of the Polymer Structure for Ring-Closure Depolymerization

By applying analogous depolymerization protocol and characterization methodology as **C1P1**, 40% of the **C1P2** is already degraded after 1 h at 80 °C with an *M*ⁿ that strongly decreases from 10 300 to 2100 g/mol and further reduces over time. After 24 h, ∼93% of the polymer is deconstructed (Figures 2A,B and S26–S28) furnishing the expected bicyclic compound **1** and the five-membered 2oxazolidinone **2** (Figures S29 and S30) with respective yields of 63 and 59% (Figure 2C). In the absence of DBU, only 13% of the polymer is degraded at 80 °C after 24 h, with M_n that dropped from 9800 to 2900 g/mol (Figures S31 and S32). This illustrates that DBU is needed for accelerating the polymer deconstruction at this temperature.

Figure 2. (A) Degradation scheme for **C1P2** and **C1P3**. (B) Evolution of polymer degradation and M_n of **C1P2** with the reaction time at 80 °C. (C) Time evolution of the **C1P2** products yields at 80 °C. (D) Time evolution of the $C1P3M_n$ upon deconstruction at 80 °C. (E) Time evolution of the **C1P3** degradation at 80, 100, and 150 °C. The reactions were conducted in the presence of DBU (10 mol % vs polymer repeating unit) using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) as the internal standard.

Then, we challenged our system with **C1P3** (Figures 2A,D and S33). The presence of a propylene spacer within the polymer microstructure affects the ring-closure steps as the formation of the sixmembered 1,3-oxazinan-2-one **3** is kinetically less favorable than the 5-membered one **2** from **C1P2**. As expected, during the first hours at 80 °C, the significant drop in *M*ⁿ from 20 900 to 3000–5000 g/mol (Figures 2D and S34) coupled with the trace formation of the bicyclic scaffold **1** and the barely detectable **3** translates polymer fragmentation by chain scission events mainly. After 24 h, the **C1P3** degradation only reaches 27% and cyclic scaffolds **1** and **3** are produced with respective low yields of 15 and 6% (Figures S35 and S36). Increasing the degradation temperature to 100 and 150 °C is beneficial and favors the formation of the cyclic scaffolds **1** and **3** by ring closure (Figures 2E and S37– S39). The **C1P3** degradation increases from 27% at 80 °C to 42% at 100 °C and 93% at 150 °C after 24 h of reaction (Figures 2E and S37). Concomitantly, the evolution of the SEC chromatograms toward higher elution times after 24 h (Figure S38) suggests the formation of oligomers of *M*ⁿ ∼2200 g/mol

at 100 °C or a "residue" with an *M*ⁿ that could not be determined (out of calibration) at 150 °C as **C1P3** was almost completely deconstructed into the six-membered cyclic carbamate **3** (35% yield) and the bicyclic carbonate **1** (81% yield) (Figure S39).

We then evaluated the degradation of the most easily degradable polymer (i.e., **C1P2**) under various conditions to illustrate the robustness of the process. Dried DMSO was initially used as a solvent for our degradation studies as it is a good solvent of the polymers, and interference with water is avoided. However, in practical use, solvents always contain some water. To fit at best realistic scenarios, some water (5 wt %) is added to the reaction medium carried out at 80 °C in the presence of DBU. Similar to the reaction performed under anhydrous conditions, **C1P2** was almost fully degraded (97% vs 93%) and produced the biscyclic compound **1** in a similar yield (64% vs 63%). The oxazolidinone **2** was also obtained, however, in a lower yield (25.3% vs 59%), together with some hydrolyzed product, monomer **P2** (20.7% vs 0%) (Figure S40). **P2** is the starting monomer that may be reused in a closed-loop scenario for preparing **C1P2**. The degradation is thus operative under nonanhydrous conditions but less selective for product **2**.

If removing a high-boiling-point solvent (here, DMSO) is not straightforward and renders the practicability of the recycling process difficult, the degradation can also be carried out in low-boilingpoint solvents (e.g., THF) that are easy to remove by distillation and to recycle. Although **C1P2** is insoluble in THF, 90% of the polymer is deconstructed at 80 °C after 24 h in the presence of DBU. The selectivity is however affected with a yield in **1** of 51% (vs 63% in DMSO) and 10% in **2** (vs 59% in DMSO) and more unidentified side products (Figure S41).

Lastly, we decided to evaluate the depolymerization of both **C1P1** and **C1P2** under neat (without solvent) and catalyst-free conditions. After 2 h at 150 °C, **C1P1** is fully degraded into product **1** (with a yield of 63%) and a mixture of **P1**, **P1a**, and **P1b** (Figure S42); however, the composition of this mixture is impossible to assess as previously discussed. Under these operating conditions, **C1P2** totally depolymerized as well, leading to products **1** and **2** with a yield of 76 and 67%, respectively (Figure S43). At this temperature, the depolymerizations are thus fast under attractive solvent- and catalyst-free conditions. These experiments suggest that the low degradation temperature $T_{\text{deg10\%}}$ noted for the polymers (Scheme 2) is due to their spontaneous depolymerization at this temperature. When the polymer degradation has to be realized at a rather low temperature (e.g., 80 °C), the use of the catalyst (DBU) is however absolutely needed.

Mechanistic Insights of the Poly(carbonate-urea) Formation and Depolymerization

To shed light on the depolymerization mechanism (Scheme 4) and their energetics, DFT calculations have been realized at the M062X/6-311G(d,p) level of theory and correlated with in-depth NMR characterizations and titrations. To alleviate the computational simulations and facilitate the structural elucidation of the transition states, intermediates, and final products formed during the depolymerization, all calculations have been performed on model fragments of **C1P2** mimicking the monomers, the repetitive units of the polymer, and/or the chain ends/intermediates formed upon chain scission and ring closure. A detailed discussion of the mechanisms involved for each of the DBU-catalyzed model reactions, their energy profile (in terms of Gibbs free energy), and schematic structures of the reactants, transition states, reaction intermediates, and products are given in the Supporting Information. The **C1P2** formation follows a three-step mechanism from which the tautomerization of the enol, created upon ring-opening of the exovinylidene carbonate by the alcohol moiety of **P2**, into the corresponding ketone represents the rate-determining step with an energetic penalty of 26.6 kcal·mol⁻¹ (Figure S44). This value is only 1.5 kcal·mol⁻¹ lower in energy than the chain scission event (Scheme 4, step 1), underlying the facile fragmentation of the polymer correlating with the rapid experimental decrease of the **C1P2** molar mass upon deconstruction. This

is reflected in the barrier energy of the intramolecular nucleophilic addition of the urea NH onto the adjacent carbonate linkage (ΔG = 28.1 kcal·mol⁻¹) (Scheme 4, step 1, and Figure S45) that furnishes two polymeric fragments, one terminated by *N*-carbamoyl-oxazolidinone and the second by a hydroxyketone. Both the DFT modeling and NMR titration confirm the role of DBU in the activation of the NH groups to make them sufficiently reactive (Figures S45 and S46 and related discussion). The suggested chain termini created upon scission were further detected by ¹H- and ¹H-¹H**-**COSY NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture (Figures S47–S49) with the two correlated methylene protons at δ = 3.89 and 4.37 ppm (triplets) of the five-membered *N*-acylated moiety and the methyl signal at δ = 2.19 ppm (singlet) of the terminal hydroxyketone. This latest, whose terminal OH moiety is also activated by the organobase, initiates a double cascade cyclization furnishing the bicyclic compound **1** (Figures S50 and S51). This reaction proceeds via the creation of a 6-membered cyclic hemiacetal-type intermediate formed by the attack of the activated tertiary −OH moiety onto a neighboring ketone of the polymer skeleton that is further fused into an ethylene carbonate via a second ring-closure reaction with the adjacent carbonate linkage (Scheme 4, step 2). This double cyclization is accompanied by the concomitant release of a new polymer fragment end-capped with primary alcohol. This correlates with the presence of two NMR signals at δ = 3.05 ppm and a 3.35 ppm analogue to the signals of the 2-hydroxyethyl spacer in **P2** (Figures S47–S49). The reaction is low in energy, illustrating the ease of formation of the bicyclic structure **1**, with a rate-determining step associated with an energy barrier of only 8.6 kcal \cdot mol⁻¹ corresponding to the release of a hydroxylterminated PC. Finally, the polymer chain deconstruction delivers two 2-oxazolidinones **2** in a domino fashion (Figure S52). The back-biting reaction of the primary alcohol (activated by DBU) onto the carbonyl moiety of the urea linkage creates a first cyclic carbamate scaffold (Scheme 4, step 3). The vicinal off-cycle nitrogen atom is then involved in a second 2-oxazolidinone formation by a rather facile cyclization with the adjacent carbonate unit of the polymer skeleton (Scheme 4, step 3). Concomitantly, cyclization is accompanied by the release of a hydroxyketone end-capped chain that re-enters the ring-closing depolymerization loop. Due to the higher bond dissociation energy of the C–N linkages compared to the C–O ones, the oxazolidinone ring formation faces the most elevated energy barrier of 33.8 kcal·mol⁻¹ and represents the rate-limiting step of the overall ring-closing depolymerization process. Such a difficulty is reflected by the experimental yields in **1** and **2** that slightly deviate from the expected theoretical value of 1/2. Ultimately, the *N*-carbamoyloxazolidinone chain terminus derived from the initial chain scission event is decomposed to release a last 2-oxazolidinone ring, further ensuring the total polymer deconstruction.

Scheme 4. General Ring-Closing Depolymerization Mechanism of **C1P2** Initiated by Chain Scission and Unzipping Events

Selective Depolymerization of Plastic Mixtures

The (de)polymerization chemistry we have engineered offers the opportunity to program the selective degradation of mixed plastics of different nature. (16,22) To validate this rarely addressed concept, **C1P2** is mixed with two polyesters selected for their cleavable C–O bonds, i.e., either polycaprolactone (PCL), an ROP polymer prone to ring-closing depolymerization into macrocycles, solvolysis, or biodegradation in living environment, or waste polyethylene terephthalate (PET) from water bottles, a polycondensation polymer degradable by solvolysis. (11,59,61,62) Both **C1P2**/PCL and **C1P2**/PET mixed polymer formulations are then subjected to depolymerization applying the previous protocol for deconstructing pure **C1P2** (10 mol % of DBU vs polymer repeating unit, 80 °C, in DMSO) (Figure 3). With the **C1P2**/PCL mix, 88% of **C1P2** was degraded in 24 h, furnishing **1** and **2** with respective yields of 85 and 63%, while 85% of the PCL is recovered with no visible sign of degradation (Figures 3 and S53–S56). The missing 15% were lost during the purification procedure (combined dialysis and precipitation). Remarkably, PCL does not interfere in the depolymerization of **C1P2**, while the multiple intermediates with reactive −OH and −NH² termini created upon **C1P2** degradation do not induce transesterification or amidation of PCL.

Figure 3. Selective depolymerization of **C1P2** in the presence of PCL pellets Capa 6800 or PET flakes from water bottles. *Determined by ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy on the crude product after 24 h. #Determined by weight after purification. Reactions conditions were as follows: 0.25 g of **C1P2**, 1.25 mL of DMSO, and DBU (10 mol % compared to repeating unit of polymer), and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB). The reactions were realized at 80 °C.

Extension to the **C1P2**/PET case provides the same trend under the same conditions. Ninety-five percent of **C1P2** was deconstructed yielding **1** and **2** in 89 and 83%, respectively, without any degradation of PET that is quantitatively recovered after the separation and purification process (Figures 3 and S57).

Although unknown, building block **1** is obtained in a fully diastereoselective manner and possesses various handles for postfunctionalization. For instance, its hydroxylated tetrahydropyran core closely resembles natural products such as merulinol A. (63) Moreover, recent patents introduced the use of such type of cyclic compound with a hemiacetal cyclic carbonate template as novel electrolytes for Li-ion batteries. (64−66) Oxazolidinone **2** is of interest for drug fabrication. (67−74)

Conclusions

We have described how step-growth plastics can be edited to be easily depolymerized by cascade ring-closing approaches, offering them an attractive end-of-life scenario. Regioregular poly(carbonate-amide) and poly(carbonate-urea)s were prepared by the DBU-catalyzed step-growth copolymerization of bis-exovinylene cyclic carbonates (made from $CO₂$) with diols bearing unprotected secondary amide or urea linkages (made from upcycled commodity plastics) at room temperature. Upon activation by thermal switching (from 25 to 80 °C) in the presence of DBU, these groups initiated the polymer disassembly via a chain scission, followed by domino ring-closing events in DMSO. The degradation of poly(carbonate-amide) provided the native diol **P1** and a hemiacetal cyclic carbonate **1**. The depolymerization was slow at 80 °C, whereas fast and complete in a few hours at 150 °C. Substituting amide with urea was found to be beneficial, with the quasi-total depolymerization into high yields heterocycles, i.e., product **1** and oxazolidinones **2** or **3**, even at 80 °C. The process is tolerant to water and can be performed in low-boiling-point solvents that are easy to recycle (e.g., THF). Remarkably, fast and complete depolymerizations were achieved in solventand catalyst-free conditions at 150 °C. Lastly, poly(carbonate-urea) mixed with a common polyester (PCL or PET) was totally and selectively depolymerized, leaving intact the polyesters, further suppressing the plastics from sorting prior to recycling. Computational modeling aligns with the experimental observations and supports the fact that the polymerization occurred without competitive depolymerization and that the polymer deconstruction proceeded by chain scission first, followed by unzipping via an activated chain-end mechanism.

As the polymers present a low degradation temperature (160–170 °C), they can be processed and used below this temperature. However, many products fulfill these requirements, e.g., coatings and foams. Our polymers might thus be utilized as polyols in polyurethane formulations to impart attractive degradation abilities to PU materials.

Acknowledgments

The authors from ULiege thank the "Fonds National pour la Recherche Scientifique" (F.R.S.- FNRS) and the Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek–Vlaanderen (FWO) for financial support in the frame of the EOS Project No. O019618F (ID EOS: 30902231). The authors from UPV/EHU thank the Ministry of Spain for the EUR2020-112080 financial support. FNRS is also acknowledged for financing the $CO₂Switch$ project. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska–Curie Grant Agreement No. 955700. C.D. is FNRS Research Director and thanks FNRS for financial support.

References

1 Garcia, J. M.; Robertson, M. L. The Future of Plastics Recycling. *Science* **2017**, *358*, 870– 872, DOI: 10.1126/science.aaq0324

2 Zheng, J.; Suh, S. Strategies to Reduce the Global Carbon Footprint of Plastics. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2019**, *9*, 374– 378, DOI: 10.1038/s41558-019-0459-z

3 Saxon, D. J.; Gormong, E. A.; Shah, V. M.; Reineke, T. M. Rapid Synthesis of Chemically Recyclable Polycarbonates from Renewable Feedstocks. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2021**, *10*, 98– 103, DOI: 10.1021/acsmacrolett.0c00747

4 Fagnani, D. E.; Tami, J. L.; Copley, G.; Clemons, M. N.; Getzler, Y. D. Y. L.; McNeil, A. J. 100th Anniversary of Macromolecular Science Viewpoint: Redefining Sustainable Polymers. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2021**, *10*, 41– 53, DOI: 10.1021/acsmacrolett.0c00789

5 Roy, P. S.; Garnier, G.; Allais, F.; Saito, K. Strategic Approach Towards Plastic Waste Valorization: Challenges and Promising Chemical Upcycling Possibilities. *ChemSusChem* **2021**, *14*, 4007– 4027, DOI: 10.1002/cssc.202100904

6 Zhao, X.; Boruah, B.; Chin, K. F.; Đokić, M.; Modak, J. M.; Soo, H. S. Upcycling to Sustainably Reuse Plastics. *Adv. Mater.* **2021**, 2100843 DOI: 10.1002/adma.202100843

7 Hou, Q.; Zhen, M.; Qian, H.; Nie, Y.; Bai, X.; Xia, T.; Laiq Ur Rehman, M.; Li, Q.; Ju, M. Upcycling and Catalytic Degradation of Plastic Wastes. *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.* **2021**, *2*, 100514 DOI: 10.1016/j.xcrp.2021.100514

8 Worch, J. C.; Dove, A. P. 100th Anniversary of Macromolecular Science Viewpoint: Toward Catalytic Chemical Recycling of Waste (and Future) Plastics. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2020**, *9*, 1494– 1506, DOI: 10.1021/acsmacrolett.0c00582

9 Jehanno, C.; Pérez-Madrigal, M. M.; Demarteau, J.; Sardon, H.; Dove, A. P. Organocatalysis for Depolymerisation. *Polym. Chem.* **2019**, *10*, 172– 186, DOI: 10.1039/c8py01284a

10 Ellis, L. D.; Rorrer, N. A.; Sullivan, K. P.; Otto, M.; McGeehan, J. E.; Román-Leshkov, Y.; Wierckx, N.; Beckham, G. T. Chemical and Biological Catalysis for Plastics Recycling and Upcycling. *Nat. Catal.* **2021**, *4*, 539– 556, DOI: 10.1038/s41929-021-00648-4

11 Coates, G. W.; Getzler, Y. D. Y. L. Chemical Recycling to Monomer for an Ideal, Circular Polymer Economy. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2020**, *5*, 501– 516, DOI: 10.1038/s41578-020-0190-4

12 Chen, H.; Wan, K.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Y. Waste to Wealth: Chemical Recycling and Chemical Upcycling of Waste Plastics for a Great Future. *ChemSusChem* **2021**, *14*, 4123– 4136, DOI: 10.1002/cssc.202100652

13 Shieh, P.; Zhang, W.; Husted, K. E. L.; Kristufek, S. L.; Xiong, B.; Lundberg, D. J.; Lem, J.; Veysset, D.; Sun, Y.; Nelson, K. A.; Plata, D. L.; Johnson, J. A. Cleavable Comonomers Enable Degradable, Recyclable Thermoset Plastics. *Nature* **2020**, *583*, 542– 547, DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020- 2495-2

14 Shieh, P.; Nguyen, H. V. T.; Johnson, J. A. Tailored Silyl Ether Monomers Enable Backbone-Degradable Polynorbornene-Based Linear, Bottlebrush and Star Copolymers through ROMP. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 1124– 1132, DOI: 10.1038/s41557-019-0352-4

15 Zhu, J. B.; Watson, E. M.; Tang, J.; Chen, E. Y. X. A Synthetic Polymer System with Repeatable Chemical Recyclability. *Science* **2018**, *360*, 398– 403, DOI: 10.1126/science.aar5498

16 Christensen, P. R.; Scheuermann, A. M.; Loeffler, K. E.; Helms, B. A. Closed-Loop Recycling of Plastics Enabled by Dynamic Covalent Diketoenamine Bonds. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 442– 448, DOI: 10.1038/s41557-019-0249-2

17 Vora, N.; Christensen, P. R.; Demarteau, J.; Baral, N. R.; Keasling, J. D.; Helms, B. A.; Scown, C. D. Leveling the Cost and Carbon Footprint of Circular Polymers That Are Chemically Recycled to Monomer. *Sci. Adv.* **2021**, *7*, eabf0187 DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.abf0187

18 Vollmer, I.; Jenks, M. J. F.; Roelands, M. C. P.; White, R. J.; van Harmelen, T.; de Wild, P.; van der Laan, G. P.; Meirer, F.; Keurentjes, J. T. F.; Weckhuysen, B. M. Beyond Mechanical Recycling: Giving New Life to Plastic Waste. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 15402– 15423, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201915651

19 Rahimi, A. R.; Garciá, J. M. Chemical Recycling of Waste Plastics for New Materials Production. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2017**, *1*, 0046, DOI: 10.1038/s41570-017-0046

20 Sardon, H.; Dove, A. P. Plastics Recycling with a Difference: A Novel Plastic with Useful Properties Can Easily Be Recycled Again and Again. *Science* **2018**, *360*, 380– 381, DOI: 10.1126/science.aat4997

21 Hong, M.; Chen, E. Y. X. Chemically Recyclable Polymers: A Circular Economy Approach to Sustainability. *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19*, 3692– 3706, DOI: 10.1039/c7gc01496a

22 Jehanno, C.; Demarteau, J.; Mantione, D.; Arno, M. C.; Ruipérez, F.; Hedrick, J. L.; Dove, A. P.; Sardon, H. Selective Chemical Upcycling of Mixed Plastics Guided by a Thermally Stable Organocatalyst. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 6710– 6717, DOI: 10.1002/anie.202014860

23 Shi, C.; Li, Z. C.; Caporaso, L.; Cavallo, L.; Falivene, L.; Chen, E. Y. X. Hybrid Monomer Design for Unifying Conflicting Polymerizability, Recyclability, and Performance Properties. *Chem* **2021**, *7*, 670– 685, DOI: 10.1016/j.chempr.2021.02.003

24 Xiong, W.; Chang, W.; Shi, D.; Yang, L.; Tian, Z.; Wang, H.; Zhang, Z.; Zhou, X.; Chen, E. Q.; Lu, H. Geminal Dimethyl Substitution Enables Controlled Polymerization of Penicillamine-Derived β-Thiolactones and Reversed Depolymerization. *Chem* **2020**, *6*, 1831– 1843, DOI: 10.1016/j.chempr.2020.06.003

25 Lu, X. B.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, H. Learning Nature: Recyclable Monomers and Polymers. *Chem. – Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 11255– 11266, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201704461

26 Darensbourg, D. J.; Wei, S. H.; Yeung, A. D.; Ellis, W. C. An Efficient Method of Depolymerization of Poly(Cyclopentene Carbonate) to Its Comonomers: Cyclopentene Oxide and Carbon Dioxide. *Macromolecules* **2013**, *46*, 5850– 5855, DOI: 10.1021/ma401286x

27 Deacy, A. C.; Gregory, G. L.; Sulley, G. S.; Chen, T. T. D.; Williams, C. K. Sequence Control from Mixtures: Switchable Polymerization Catalysis and Future Materials Applications. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 10021– 10040, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.1c03250

28 Grignard, B.; Gennen, S.; Jérôme, C.; Kleij, A. W.; Detrembleur, C. Advances in the Use of CO2 as a Renewable Feedstock for the Synthesis of Polymers. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2019**, *48*, 4466– 4514, DOI: 10.1039/c9cs00047j

29 Yuan, J.; Xiong, W.; Zhou, X.; Zhang, Y.; Shi, D.; Li, Z.; Lu, H. 4-Hydroxyproline-Derived Sustainable Polythioesters: Controlled Ring-Opening Polymerization, Complete Recyclability, and Facile Functionalization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 4928– 4935, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.9b00031

30 Xu, G.; Wang, Q. Chemically Recyclable Polymer Materials: Polymerization and Depolymerization Cycles. *Green Chem.* **2022**, *24*, 2321– 2346, DOI: 10.1039/d1gc03901f

31 Endo, T.; Kakimoto, K.; Ochiai, B.; Nagai, D. Synthesis and Chemical Recycling of a Polycarbonate Obtained by Anionic Ring-Opening Polymerization of a Bifunctional Cyclic Carbonate. *Macromolecules* **2005**, *38*, 8177– 8182, DOI: 10.1021/ma050791v

32 Yu, Y.; Fang, L. M.; Liu, Y.; Lu, X. B. Chemical Synthesis of CO2-Based Polymers with Enhanced Thermal Stability and Unexpected Recyclability from Biosourced Monomers. *ACS Catal.* **2021**, *11*, 8349– 8357, DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.1c01376

33 Carrodeguas, L. P.; Chen, T. T. D.; Gregory, G. L.; Sulley, G. S.; Williams, C. K. High Elasticity, Chemically Recyclable, Thermoplastics from Bio-Based Monomers: Carbon Dioxide, Limonene Oxide and ϵ-Decalactone. *Green Chem.* **2020**, *22*, 8298– 8307, DOI: 10.1039/d0gc02295k

34 Li, C.; Sablong, R. J.; van Benthem, R. A. T. M.; Koning, C. E. Unique Base-Initiated Depolymerization of Limonene-Derived Polycarbonates. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2017**, *6*, 684– 688, DOI: 10.1021/acsmacrolett.7b00310

35 Fahnhorst, G. W.; Hoye, T. R. A Carbomethoxylated Polyvalerolactone from Malic Acid: Synthesis and Divergent Chemical Recycling. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2018**, *7*, 143– 147, DOI: 10.1021/acsmacrolett.7b00889

36 Kaitz, J. A.; Lee, O. P.; Moore, J. S. Depolymerizable Polymers: Preparation, Applications, and Future Outlook. *MRS Commun.* **2015**, *5*, 191– 204, DOI: 10.1557/mrc.2015.28

37 Zhang, J.; Wang, L.; Liu, S.; Li, Z. Synthesis of Diverse Polycarbonates by Organocatalytic Copolymerization of CO2 and Epoxides: From High Pressure and Temperature to Ambient Conditions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, e202111197 DOI: 10.1002/anie.202111197

38 Olsén, P.; Odelius, K.; Albertsson, A. C. Ring-Closing Depolymerization: A Powerful Tool for Synthesizing the Allyloxy-Functionalized Six-Membered Aliphatic Carbonate Monomer 2Allyloxymethyl-2-Ethyltrimethylene Carbonate. *Macromolecules* **2014**, *47*, 6189– 6195, DOI: 10.1021/ma5012304

39 Honda, M.; Tamura, M.; Nakao, K.; Suzuki, K.; Nakagawa, Y.; Tomishige, K. Direct Cyclic Carbonate Synthesis from CO2 and Diol over Carboxylation/Hydration Cascade Catalyst of CeO2 with 2-Cyanopyridine. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 1893– 1896, DOI: 10.1021/cs500301d

40 Kindermann, N.; Jose, T.; Kleij, A. W. Synthesis of Carbonates from Alcohols and CO2. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2017**, *375*, 15, DOI: 10.1007/s41061-016-0101-8

41 Huang, J.; Olsén, P.; Svensson Grape, E.; Inge, A. K.; Odelius, K. Simple Approach to Macrocyclic Carbonates with Fast Polymerization Rates and Their Polymer-to-Monomer Regeneration. *Macromolecules* **2022**, *55*, 608– 614, DOI: 10.1021/acs.macromol.1c02225

42 Baker, M. S.; Kim, H.; Olah, M. G.; Lewis, G. G.; Phillips, S. T. Depolymerizable Poly(Benzyl Ether)-Based Materials for Selective Room Temperature Recycling. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 4541– 4545, DOI: 10.1039/c5gc01090j

43 Mejia, J. S.; Gillies, E. R. Triggered Degradation of Poly(Ester Amide)s via Cyclization of Pendant Functional Groups of Amino Acid Monomers. *Polym. Chem.* **2013**, *4*, 1969– 1982, DOI: 10.1039/c3py21094d

44 Dahlhauser, S. D.; Escamilla, P. R.; Vandewalle, A. N.; York, J. T.; Rapagnani, R. M.; Shei, J. S.; Glass, S. A.; Coronado, J. N.; Moor, S. R.; Saunders, D. P.; Anslyn, Ev. Sequencing of Sequence-Defined Oligourethanes via Controlled Self-Immolation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 2744– 2749, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.9b12818

45 Yardley, R. E.; Kenaree, A. R.; Gillies, E. R. Triggering Depolymerization: Progress and Opportunities for Self-Immolative Polymers. *Macromolecules* **2019**, *52*, 6342– 6360, DOI: 10.1021/acs.macromol.9b00965

46 Sagi, A.; Weinstain, R.; Karton, N.; Shabat, D. Self-Immolative Polymers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 5434– 5435, DOI: 10.1021/ja801065d

47 Sirianni, Q. E. A.; Gillies, E. R. The Architectural Evolution of Self-Immolative Polymers. *Polymer* **2020**, *202*, 122638 DOI: 10.1016/j.polymer.2020.122638

48 Kim, H.; Brooks, A. D.; Dilauro, A. M.; Phillips, S. T. Poly(Carboxypyrrole)s That Depolymerize from Head to Tail in the Solid State in Response to Specific Applied Signals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 9447– 9452, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.0c02774

49 Peterson, G. I.; Larsen, M. B.; Boydston, A. J. Controlled Depolymerization: Stimuli-Responsive Self-Immolative Polymers. *Macromolecules* **2012**, *45*, 7317– 7328, DOI: 10.1021/ma300817v

50 Pal, S.; Sommerfeldt, A.; Davidsen, M. B.; Hinge, M.; Pedersen, S. U.; Daasbjerg, K. Synthesis and Closed-Loop Recycling of Self-Immolative Poly(Dithiothreitol). *Macromolecules* **2020**, *53*, 4685– 4691, DOI: 10.1021/acs.macromol.0c00861

51 DeWit, M. A.; Gillies, E. R. A Cascade Biodegradable Polymer Based on Alternating Cyclization and Elimination Reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 18327– 18334, DOI: 10.1021/ja905343x

52 Addy, P. S.; Shivrayan, M.; Cencer, M.; Zhuang, J.; Moore, J. S.; Thayumanavan, S. Polymer with Competing Depolymerization Pathways: Chain Unzipping versus Chain Scission. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2020**, *9*, 855– 859, DOI: 10.1021/acsmacrolett.0c00250

53 Dahlhauser, S. D.; Moor, S. R.; Vera, M. S.; York, J. T.; Ngo, P.; Boley, A. J.; Coronado, J. N.; Simpson, Z. B.; Anslyn, Ev. Efficient Molecular Encoding in Multifunctional Self-Immolative Urethanes. *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.* **2021**, *4*, 100393 DOI: 10.1016/j.xcrp.2021.100393

54 Maschmeyer, P. G.; Liang, X.; Hung, A.; Ahmadzai, O.; Kenny, A. L.; Luong, Y. C.; Forder, T. N.; Zeng, H.; Gillies, E. R.; Roberts, D. A. Post-Polymerization 'Click' End-Capping of Polyglyoxylate Self-Immolative Polymers. *Polym. Chem.* **2021**, *12*, 6824– 6831, DOI: 10.1039/d1py01169c

55 Addy, P. S.; Shivrayan, M.; Cencer, M.; Zhuang, J.; Moore, S.; Thayumanavan, S. Polymer with Competing Depolymerization Pathways: Chain Unzipping versus Chain Scission. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2020**, *9*, 855– 859, DOI: 10.1021/acsmacrolett.0c00250

56 Siragusa, F.; van den Broeck, E.; Ocando, C.; Müller, A. J.; de Smet, G.; Maes, B. U. W.; de Winter, J.; van Speybroeck, V.; Grignard, B.; Detrembleur, C. Access to Biorenewable and CO2-Based Polycarbonates from Exovinylene Cyclic Carbonates. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2021**, *9*, 1714– 1728, DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c07683

57 Gennen, S.; Grignard, B.; Tassaing, T.; Jérôme, C.; Detrembleur, C. CO2 -Sourced α-Alkylidene Cyclic Carbonates: A Step Forward in the Quest for Functional Regioregular Poly(Urethane)s and Poly(Carbonate)s. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 10394– 10398, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201704467

58 Ngassam Tounzoua, C.; Grignard, B.; Detrembleur, C. Exovinylene Cyclic Carbonates: Multifaceted CO2-Based Building Blocks for Modern Chemistry and Polymer Science. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, e202116066 DOI: 10.1002/anie.202116066

59 Demarteau, J.; Olazabal, I.; Jehanno, C.; Sardon, H. Aminolytic Upcycling of Poly(Ethylene Terephthalate) Wastes Using a Thermally-Stable Organocatalyst. *Polym. Chem.* **2020**, *11*, 4875– 4882, DOI: 10.1039/d0py00067a

60 Hammond, G. S. A Correlation of Reaction Rates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1955**, *77*, 334– 338, DOI: 10.1021/ja01607a027

61 Jehanno, C.; Pérez-Madrigal, M. M.; Demarteau, J.; Sardon, H.; Dove, A. P. Organocatalysis for Depolymerisation. *Polym. Chem.* **2019**, *10*, 172– 186, DOI: 10.1039/c8py01284a

62 Tang, X.; Chen, E. Y. X. Toward Infinitely Recyclable Plastics Derived from Renewable Cyclic Esters. *Chem* **2019**, *5*, 284– 312, DOI: 10.1016/j.chempr.2018.10.011

63 Choodej, S.; Teerawatananond, T.; Mitsunaga, T.; Pudhom, K. Chamigrane Sesquiterpenes from a Basidiomycetous Endophytic Fungus XG8D Associated with Thai Mangrove Xylocarpus Granatum. *Mar. Drugs* **2016**, *14*, 132, DOI: 10.3390/md14070132

64 Buisine, O.; Jaunzems, J.; Kim, Y.-J.; Kasubke, M. New Components for Electrolyte Compositions. WIPO Patent WO2020025502A1, 2020.

65 Hidaka, T.; Yamazaki, S.; Tani, A.; Kuwajima, Y. Electrolyte Solution, Electrochemical Device, Lithium Ion Secondary Battery, and Module. WIPO Patent WO2021235358A1, 2020.

66 Kuwajima, Y.; Hidaka, T.; Kinoshita, M.; Suzuki, Y.; Shimooka, T.; Nakamura, H.; Yamada, T.; Yamazaki, S.; Yamauchi, A.; Hayashi, K.; Yamamoto, Y.; Takahashi, K. Electrolyte, Electrochemical Device, Lithium-Ion Secondary Battery, and Module. WIPO Patent WO2019220764A1, 2019.

67 Qiu, Y.-L.; Kass, J.; Suh, B.-C.; Cao, H.; Li, W.; Peng, X.; Gao, X.; Or, Y. S.; Hepatitis, B. Antiviral Agents. WIPO Patent WO2019113175A1, 2019.

68 Flynn, D. L.; Ahn, Y. M.; Caldwell, T.; Vogeti, L. Preparation of (Phenylamino)Pyrimidine Compounds as Autophagy Inhibitors for Treating Cancers. US Patent US20200354352A1, 2020.

69 Sherman, D.; Cardozo, T. J. Indazole Derivatives as CaMKK2 Inhibitors and Their Preparation, Pharmaceutical Compositions and Use in the Treatment of Diseases. US Patent US20200369656A1, 2020.

70 Bennett, F.; Imbriglio, J. E.; Kerekes, A. D.; Khan, T.; Lankin, C.; Li, D.; Wu, Z.; Xiong, Y.; Youm, H.; Yu, Y.; Kurissery, A. T.; Komanduri, V.; Ye, F. Preparation of 5,6,7,8-Tetrahydroacridine-2- Carboxamide Derivatives as Natriuretic Peptide Receptor A Agonists Useful for the Treatment of Cardiometabolic Diseases, Kidney Disease, and Diabetes. WIPO Patent WO2020236690, 2020.

71 Nieman, J.; Hena, M.; Bai, B.; Kandadai, A. S.; Belovodskiy, A. Pyridopyrazine Derivatives as Inhibitors of Human Herpesviruses and Their Preparation. WIPO Patent WO2021174355A1, 2021.

72 Benz, J.; Grether, U.; Hornsperger, B.; Kroll, C.; Kuhn, B.; O'Hara, F.; Richter, H. Preparation of Hexahydro-1H-Pyrido[1,2-a]Pyrazin-2-Yl Methanone Derivatives as Monoacylglycerol Lipase Inhibitors. WIPO Patent WO2021001330A1, 2021.

73 Boisnard, S.; El-Ahmad, Y.; Fett, E.; Halley, F.; Nicolai, E.; Tabart, M.; Terrier, C.; Vivet, B. Preparation of Novel Substituted 6,7-Dihydro-5H-Benzo[7]Annulene Compounds as Inhibitors and Degraders of Estrogen Receptors. WIPO Patent WO2021063967A1, 2021.

74 Kaldor, S. W.; Kanouni, T.; Tyhonas, J.; Murphy, E. A. N-Heteroarylphenyl Heterocyclecarboxamides as Inhibitors of RAF Kinases and Their Preparation. WIPO Patent WO2021081375A1, 2021.