

Magnetic and electric Purcell factor control through geometry optimization of high index dielectric nanostructures

Yoann Brûlé, Peter Wiecha, Aurélien Cuche, Vincent Paillard, Gérard Colas

Des Francs

► To cite this version:

Yoann Brûlé, Peter Wiecha, Aurélien Cuche, Vincent Paillard, Gérard Colas Des Francs. Magnetic and electric Purcell factor control through geometry optimization of high index dielectric nanostructures. Optics Express, 2022, 30 (12), pp.20360-20372. 10.1364/OE.460168 . hal-03757244

HAL Id: hal-03757244 https://hal.science/hal-03757244v1

Submitted on 4 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Magnetic and electric Purcell factor control through geometry optimization of high index dielectric nanostructures

YOANN BRÛLÉ,^{1,4} PETER WIECHA,² AURÉLIEN CUCHE,³ VINCENT PAILLARD,³ AND GÉRARD COLAS DES FRANCS^{1,5}

¹ICB, Université Bourgogne-Franche Comté, CNRS, Dijon, France
²LAAS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France
³CEMES-CNRS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France
⁴yoann.brule@u-bourgogne.fr
⁵gcolas@u-bourgogne.fr

Abstract: We design planar silicon antennas for controlling the emission rate of magnetic or electric dipolar emitters. Evolutionary algorithms coupled to the Green Dyadic Method lead to different optimized geometries which depend on the nature and orientation of the dipoles. We discuss the physical origin of the obtained configurations thanks to modal analysis but also emphasize the role of nanoscale design of the LDOS. We complete our study using finite element method and demonstrate an enhancement up to 2×10^3 of the magnetic Purcell factor in europium ions. Our work brings together random optimizations to explore geometric parameters without constraint, a first order deterministic approach to understand the optimized designs and a modal analysis which clarifies the physical origin of the exaltation of the magnetic Purcell effect.

© 2022 Optica Publishing Group under the terms of the Optica Open Access Publishing Agreement

1. Introduction

The coupling of the magnetic part of light to atoms is much weaker than the electric one. Indeed, magnetic dipole transitions are α^2 weaker than electric dipole transitions, where $\alpha \simeq 1/137$ is the fine-structure constant [1]. Hence, the development of novel applications based on magnetic response in the optical regime, such as negative-index metamaterials [2] or efficient nanoantennas [3], requires to focus on the engineering of the magnetic Local Density Of States (LDOS) in order to enhance the magnetic contribution to light matter interaction. As predicted by E. M. Purcell more than 70 years ago for "nuclear magnetic moment at radio frequencies", the interaction of light and especially the spontaneous emission rate of solid state emitter can be drastically enhanced by its surrounding photonics environment which is well-known as the *Purcell effect* [4]. Placing a dipolar emitter into an optical micro-cavity or near a resonant nanostructure, it is possible to control its emission rate. Until recently, interactions at a sub-wavelength scale were mainly focused on plasmonics, as noble metals nanostructures support Localized Surface Plasmon Resonances (LSPR) that are tunable by size, shape and constituent materials [5,6]. However, despite impressive advances, severe limitations on the use of metals, such a high dissipation losses and poor compatibility with Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS) technology, prevent them to be used in integrated devices. To overcome these limitations, replacing plasmonic resonators by high refractive index dielectric ones such as Silicon (Si) becomes interesting [7]. As the Si refractive index is above 3.5 and is associated to a very low extinction coefficient below its direct bandgap [8], it allows to obtain electric and magnetic Mie resonances in the visible to the near-infrared domain using nanostructures with sub-wavelength dimensions. Moreover, the high index dielectric contrast between the Si nanostructure and its low index environment (e.g. $n \simeq 1.5$ for silica) ensures a high confinement and near-field intensity. The use of Si also guarantees a fully compatible CMOS technology for fabrication with large scalability and perfect

reproducibility [7,9–11]. Regarding the quantum emitters, rare-earth ions such as Europium ions (Eu^{3+}) are particularly relevant as they exhibit efficient electric and magnetic transitions in the visible domain [3,12–18]. Moreover, synthesis of sesquioxides (Y₂O₃, Gd₂O₃) thin films doped with such luminescent elements has become accessible [16] allowing to investigate the design of planar high index dielectric cavities.

In this context, numerical optimization is a particularly relevant tool. Since few decades, it has been largely applied to various domains of nanophotonics. While first attempts were focusing on the inverse design of optical coatings and mutilayered structures [19,20], development of efficient algorithms associated to numerous flexible computational tools and improvement in computational power [21], have allowed the design of various optical components with desired properties [22] such as plasmonic [23–26] or dielectric [9,27] nanoantennas, compact broadband on-chip wavelength demultiplexer [28] or plasmonic and dielectric metasurfaces [29]. To do so, various classes of algorithms have been used such as gradient-based methods [30], Evolutionary Optimization (EO) techniques [31] and more recently deep learning approaches [32–35].

In this work, we apply a subset of EO algorithms called Differential Evolution (DE) [36] in order to optimize the geometrical design of planar Si dielectric antennas coupled to Gd_2O_3 nanostructures doped with Eu^{3+} emitters ($Gd_2O_3:Eu^{3+}$) for maximizing or minimizing the decay rate enhancements (*i.e.* the Purcell factor) of their magnetic and electric dipolar transitions. We deeply investigate the magnetic Purcell factor to overcome the extremely weak magnetic light-matter interaction. We also discuss the electric Purcell factor as a benchmark since it has been widely explored in the literature. To go beyond the "black box" optimization, we interprete the physical origin of the obtained designs thanks to modal analysis but also in relation to the deterministic design approach recently developped by Mignuzzi *et al.* in [37]. Finally, having determined the optimal shapes for enhancing or inhibiting the magnetic dipolar emission, we investigate the maximum and minimum achievable magnetic Purcell factor by finite element method simulations, better adapted to the cylindrical shapes suggested by EO optimization.

2. Green Dyadic Method and differential evolution algorithm

EO algorithms are inspired from the evolution theory [31]. These bio-inspired algorithms can be classified as stochastic ones as they iteratively use random mutations of an initial random population of candidates in order to make it evolves towards one population whose individuals present the best predefined characteristics. These characteristics can be implemented by one (single objective [38]) or several (multi-objective [9,39]) fitness functions to maximize (or minimize). These algorithms aim to find the global optimal solution of problems with large and complex dimensionality. They can indeed be seen as global optimization techniques. They have been recently applied to the better understanding of natural design of photonic architectures that has been optimized through natural evolution [40]. Regarding the optimization of nanoantennas geometry, EO algorithms have been applied to maximize the near-field intensity, Purcell factor and directivity considering dielectric [27,41] or plasmonic nanostructures [23–26].

In the following, we are presenting the results obtained by applying a specific type of EO algorithms, Differential Evolution [36] in order to optimize either the electric or magnetic decay rates. The emitters are europium ions doping Gd₂O₃ matrix since they present both electric (ED) and magnetic (MD) dipolar transitions at wavelengths $\lambda_e = 610$ nm and $\lambda_m = 590$ nm, respectively. We investigate the enhancement of their emission by coupling them to planar silicon nanostructures of arbitrary shape. We have used the Green Dyadic Method (GDM) and specifically the python toolkit pyGDM [42,43] to perform decay-rate calculation inside tridimensional multi-material nanostructures [25]. The GDM is based on a volumic discretization of the nanostructures. In order to limit computational resources and consider realistic shapes, the cubic mesh size has been limited to 20 nm, slightly above the resolution of standard e-beam lithography. It is worth mentionning that comparison with Mie analytical model has demonstrated

that the decay is strongly sensitive to the meshing [43]. We attribute this mainly to the strong sensitivity of morphological resonances to the object shape. For the current work we estimate the error of the order of 5% for the electric Purcell factor but up to 30-50% for magnetic Purcell factor. Since we will observe that the arbitrarily optimized structures also rely on resonances, we will complete this study in a second step, considering finite element method, better adapted to describe rounded object.

The EO algorithm and the sample to be optimized are depicted in Fig. 1. The core consists in a Gd₂O₃:Eu³⁺ matrix of 100 nm height and 50 nm diameter (optical index $n_1 = 1.8$). We are optimizing the planar nanostructured Si environment which is constituted of N = 300, 400, 500,and 600 Si nanopillars (each 20 nm \times 20 nm \times 100 nm) lying on a SiO₂ substrate ($n_2 = 1.5$) in an area limited to $1.68 \times 1.68 \ \mu m^2$. The surrounding medium is air. Refractive index of the silicon n_{Si} has been taken from [44]. In reality, a Gd₂O₃:Eu³⁺ core would contain a lot of randomly oriented emitters. However, for the sake of simplicity and understanding, we have limited the study to a single emitter with defined orientation. Thus, we have fixed the optimization goal to find the Si nanostructure that maximizes or minimizes the magnetic (resp. electric) decay rate enhancement Γ_m/Γ_0 (resp. Γ_e/Γ_0) at wavelength of λ_m (resp. λ_e) for an emitter situated at the center of the core $\mathbf{r}_0 = [0, 0, 50]$ nm and oriented either along or perpendicular to the substrate. A population of 64 individuals λ_i (i = 1 to 64) is evolving. Each of which is a set of (x_i, y_i) positions (i = 1 to N) chosen among a 20 × 20 nm² discretized grid of the 1.68 × 1.68 μ m² plane, core emitter positions excluded (*i.e.* 85² – 5 = 7220 possible positions). To converge, EO algorithms apply the following process. The fitness function (Purcell factor) of each individual of the current generation is evaluated. A mutation and a crossover is performed to form trial individuals. The trial individuals fitness functions are then re-evaluated and a selection is operated in order to form a new generation with better characteristics. The process is then repeated iteratively (see Fig. 1(A)). We have used the self adaptive "jDE" implementation of differential evolution for single objective problem [38] available in the Python "pyGMO" interface associated to the "paGMO" library [45] and to the Python toolkit pyGDM [9,42,43]. We are using the default "/rand/1/exp" parameter for the mutation variant of the jDE algorithm and its default configuration for the auto-adaptation scheme.



Fig. 1. A) Algorithm of the differential evolution cycle for geometrical optimization. B) Scheme of the structure to be optimized. *N* Si nanopillars ($20x20x100 \text{ nm}^3$) are free to evolve in a $1.68 \times 1.68 \mu \text{m}^2$ area.

The next section presents the results of the optimization for the magnetic (*resp.* electric) dipolar emissions. For the sake of clarity, we discuss in the main text two representative configurations leading to the highest Purcell factor: namely out of plane MD and in-plane ED. The others configurations (in-plane MD and out of plane ED) lead to similar designs and are presented in the Supplement 1, section 1.

3. Geometrical optimizations

3.1. Out of plane magnetic Purcell factor

In Fig. 2, we present the results of the optimization for the decay rate enhancement of the magnetic dipolar emission of Eu^{3+} at $\lambda_m = 590$ nm and for an out of plane dipole. We only show the results of the optimization for N = 300 Si blocks. Numerical simulations were repeatedly reproduced with differents numbers of Si blocks and all lead to similar shapes as well as optimized Purcell factors (see section 1.A in the Supplement 1).



Fig. 2. Left : *XY*-plane projection of the optimized structure (orange : fixed core emitter, blue : Si nanopillars), Center : Evolution of the magnetic Purcell factor $\Gamma_m^{\perp}/\Gamma_0$ through the optimization iterations, Right : Scheme of the configuration extrapolated from the optimized nanostructure.

The optimization has been stopped after $N_{it} = 35333$ iterations with $N_{imp} = 2256$ improvements of the fitness function $(\Gamma_m^{\perp}/\Gamma_0)$ of the best candidate among the full 64 individuals of the population. We also include in supplementary material **Visualization 1**, an animation which presents the evolution of the best candidate geometry and its associated magnetic Purcell factor during the optimization. It converges towards a regular structure that consists in a Si shell of diameter ≈ 200 nm surrounding the Gd₂O₃:Eu³⁺ core and concentric Si rings of width ≈ 100 nm and period ≈ 300 nm. The associated enhancement of the magnetic decay rate is evaluated to $\Gamma_m^{\perp}/\Gamma_0 = 1057$. We can presume that an optimization on a larger area with more Si blocks would converge toward a cylindrical grating.

Reversely, we can minimize the MD transition at $\lambda_e = 590$ nm, see Fig. 3. It converges towards a regular structure that consists in concentric Si rings of width ≈ 80 nm and period ≈ 250 nm without shell around the core emitter. The associated inhibition of the magnetic decay rate is evaluated to approximately $\Gamma_m^{\perp}/\Gamma_0 \approx 1/23$. We can observe that the optimized structure for the inhibition is complementary to the one of the exaltation.

3.2. In-plane electric Purcell factor

For comparison, we present in Fig. 4 the optimization of the in-plane electric Purcell factor at $\lambda_e = 610$ nm, considering N = 300 Si blocks. The optimized structure leads to an in-plane electric Purcell factor $\Gamma_e^{\parallel}/\Gamma_0 \simeq 270$.

Regularity is emerging one more time. A bowtie aperture antenna is formed and circular rings also appear. A qualitative scheme extrapolated from the optimized structure is represented on



Fig. 3. Left : *XY*-plane projection of the optimized structure (orange : fixed core emitter, blue : Si nanopillars), Center : Evolution of the magnetic decay rate inhibition $\Gamma_0/\Gamma_m^{\perp}$ through the optimization iterations, Right : Scheme of the configuration extrapolated from the optimized nanostructure. The area of optimization is limited to a 500×500 nm² plane and the number of block is N = 400.



Fig. 4. Left : *XY*-plane projection of the optimized structure (orange : fixed core emitter, blue : Si nanopillars), Center : Evolution of the electric Purcell factor $\Gamma_e^{\parallel}/\Gamma_0$ through the optimization iterations, Right : Scheme of the configuration extrapolated from the optimized nanostructure. The ED source is along the *x*-axis (black arrow).

the right part of Fig. 4 (see also in section Discussions). Additional simulations with different numbers of Si blocks lead to similar results. Figure 5 presents the superposition of the structures obtained optimizing with N = 300, 400, 500 and 600 Si nanopillars. This figure does not result from an optimization but emphasizes that all optimized structures present similar features, namely a bowtie aperture antenna and circular rings.



Fig. 5. *XY*-plane projection of the structure arising from the superposition of four independant EO evolving N = 300, 400, 500 or 600 Si blocks (orange : fixed core emitter, blue : Si nanopillars).

4. Discussion

To summarize, we distinguish different behaviours in the near and far field zones of the dipolar emitter. In the far-field, we observe circular gratings for all the configurations. In case of in-plane ED (Fig. 4) or MD (see Fig. S3 for N=300 in the Supplement 1), the Si grating seems not fully circular but rather presents two lobes perpendicularly to the dipole orientation. Since dipolar emission is mainly along its axis in the near-field and perpendicular to its axis in the far-field, the presence (or not) of matter along the dipole axis in the far-field zone poorly modifies the dipole emission. Therefore, a simplified structure with full rings would present similar behaviours. As far as the near-field zone is concerned, we observe strong differences for ED and MD emitters, with the presence or not of a Si core, that depends on both the dipole nature and orientation. In case of in-plane ED, a complex bowtie aperture nanoantenna is retrieved. This bowtie shape reminds notably the nanostructures obtained in [37,41,46,47]. In Ref. [41], an EO algorithm was applied to an analog situation, that is improving the confinement of an in-plane electric field. Hence similar optimized design is retrieved since the Purcell factor is inversely proportional to the mode volume. In Ref. [46] the authors introduced the concept of mode matching to optimize the coupling of an electric emitter to a plasmonic nanoantenna but obtained similar features, revealing the generality of the achieved configurations. In case of MD, we observe a nanodisk that surrounds directly the emitters. Such geometry has already been spotted as good candidates for maximizing the magnetic Purcell factor [48-50], our work confirms them to be close to the optimal. We also emphasize that these previous works obtain Purcell factor in the range 100-5000 but with a strong sensitivity to the presence of the substrate and the diameter of the doped core.

4.1. Material local contribution to the decay rates

Aiming to access physical understanding of the achieved optimized structures, we use the approach of Mignuzzi and coworkers on the "nanoscale design of the LDOS" [37]. To this purpose, they have derived a volume integral for the expression of the ED decay rate enhancement so that they can assess *locally* the effect of matter on the electric decay rate, namely enhancement or inhibition. This reveals where to remove materials to strenghten the enhancement effect solely. They obtain that the decay rate associated to an electric dipole **d** located at \mathbf{r}_d is expressed as

$$\frac{\Gamma_e}{\Gamma_0} = 1 + \frac{6\pi\epsilon_0}{k_0^3 |d|^2} Im\left\{\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{E}_s(\mathbf{r}_d)\right\}$$
(1)

$$= 1 + \frac{6\pi}{k_0^3 |d|^2} \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \, \left(\epsilon_r(\mathbf{r}) - 1\right) Im[f_E(\mathbf{r})] \text{ , with}$$
(2)

$$f_E(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{G}_0(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0) \cdot \mathbf{d} , \qquad (3)$$

where \mathbf{E}_s is the electric field scattered by the dipolar source in the complex environment, k_0 the free-space wavenumber. In the two last lines, we introduced the free-space electric Green's tensor \mathbf{G}_0 and the Green's tensor associated to the complex environment \mathbf{G} . Mignuzzi and coworkers propose to remove material everywhere where $Im[f_E(\mathbf{r})] < 0$ since it induces decreasing of the decay rate. By an iterative procedure they finally obtained *deterministically* complex geometries presenting similar feature as "blackbox" optimization.

In section 2 of the Supplement 1, we derive an analog expression for the magnetic dipole emission

$$\frac{\Gamma_m}{\Gamma_0} = 1 + \frac{6\pi}{\mu_0 k^3 |m|^2} Im \left\{ \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}_s(\mathbf{r}_d) \right\}$$
(4)

$$= 1 + \frac{6\pi}{k^3 |m|^2} \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \, \left(\epsilon_r(\mathbf{r}) - 1\right) Im\left[f_H(\mathbf{r})\right] \,, \text{with}$$
(5)

$$f_H(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{G}_0^{HE}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{G}^{EH}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0) \cdot \mathbf{m} , \qquad (6)$$

where the mixed Green's tensor \mathbf{G}^{EH} gives the electric field scattered by a magnetic dipole in the complex environment. \mathbf{G}_0^{HE} refers to the magnetic field scattered by an ED in free–space. We consider the dipolar emission in the silica/Si(100nm)/air slab for which Green's tensors are analytical. We plot on Fig. 6 the sign of the factor Im(f). Blue areas with Im(f) < 0 reveal where materials has to be removed to enhance the decay rate. Better designs should be obtained from an iterative procedure [37] but we use this approach solely to qualitatively understand the shapes obtained by EO approach. For an electric dipole parallel to the interfaces, we recover the bowtie aperture antenna configuration with an additional circular grating. For out of plane MD, we observe a circular symmetry, again in agreement with our EO optimization, with notably the presence of a high-index dielectric core. We observe qualitative agreement between the shape suggested by removing material where it reduces the LDOS. However, we didn't pursue an iterative process to recalculate the positive or negative local contributions of material to the LDOS at the dipole position. Therefore, no quantitative agreement could be achieved, particularly on the ring periodicity since removing material will strongly modify the effective wavelength in the nanostructured medium. Nevertheless, the approach proposed by Mignuzzi and coworkers clearly reveals the physical origin of the EO optimized structures design. In addition, we emphasize that ED and MD emissions are fully analog in free-space. Different reflexions at the silica/Si and Si/air interfaces for ED or MD emission is at the origin of the different optimized designs.

Reversely, removing material everywhere where Im(f)>0 (red areas) will minimize the Purcell factor, in agreement with the EO simulation presented in Fig. 3. This also demonstrates the efficiency of the EO geometrical optimization that can be extended safely to other criteria for which no deterministic approach exists.

4.2. Modal analysis

For a better understanding of the MD decay rate enhancement mechanisms, we have performed a multipole expansion of the optical response [43,51] on the cylindrical Gd₂O₃:Eu³⁺ core/Si shell nanostructure found in Section 3.1. We first consider plane wave excitation of the Gd₂O₃:Eu³⁺ core (50 nm diameter) with a Si shell (44 nm width). We have used hexagonal meshing of 9 nm for better description of the circular shape inferred from both EO arbitrary optimization and nanoscale design of the LDOS. The considered dimensions of the core correspond to the maximum decay rate enhancement for this shape ($\Gamma_m^{\perp}/\Gamma_0 \approx 256$, see also the next section). In Fig. 7, we plot the scattering cross section for plane wave illumination from the substrate. We





Fig. 6. Sign of the Im(f) for in-plane (along x, $\lambda_e = 610$ nm) electric and out of plane (along z, $\lambda_m = 590$ nm) magnetic dipoles. The dipole is in the middle of a Si layer (100 nm) sandwiched between a glass substrate and air superstrate. Blue and red areas refer to negative and positive signs, respectively.

have considered 40° oblique incidence to access both in-plane and out of plane modes of the structure. We observe two MD and one ED resonances. We associate the MD resonances at 510 and 580 nm to in-plane and out-of plane MD modes, respectively.



Fig. 7. Spectral decomposition of the scattering cross section of the Si core when irradiated from the substate by a plane wave with oblique incidence (40°) with (A) TM and (B) TE-polarization. The vertical dashed black lines stand for the optimized wavelength of the Eu³⁺ MD emission $\lambda_m = 590$ nm.

We have then calculated the multipolar decomposition of the scattered field of the same structure but excited with an in- and out-of-plane MD, placed at its center, see Fig. 8. On the one hand, the in-plane magnetic dipole couples mainly to the in-plane MD mode of the structure at 510 nm plus a small ED contribution. The amplitude of the magnetic dipole induced in the nanostructure is 12 times higher than the MD source. On the other hand, the out of plane magnetic dipole couples to the out of plane MD mode of the structure at 580 nm. The induced MD amplitude is enhanced by a factor 17.

4.3. Maximum and minimum achievable magnetic Purcell factor

The obtained optimized structures rely on Si core and a circular grating. Consequently, it presents resonances very sensitive to their shape. Thus, we complete the geometrical optimization by



Fig. 8. Spectral decomposition of the internal field response of the core structure to an MD excitation with a dipolar moment amplitude of 1 A.m² (A) oriented along the *x*-axis (in-plane) and (B) along the *z*-axis (out of plane). The vertical dashed black lines stand for the optimized wavelength of emission $\lambda_m = 590$ nm.

direct finite element method (FEM) simulations to further estimate the maximum achievable magnetic Purcell factor considering this configuration, schemed in Fig. 2. We assume an out of plane MD located at the center of a Gd₂O₃:Eu³⁺ nanodisk of 50 nm diameter and 100 nm height. It is surrounded by Si cylindrical shell and a circular gratings constituted of 3 rings. For simplicity, we assume a ring width w_r identical to the Si shell width w_s surrounding the $Gd_2O_3:Eu^{3+}$ core. We note $w_{Si} = w_s = w_r$. This parameter and the period p of the circular grating has been optimized thanks to a Monte-Carlo simulations of 5000 iterations, see Fig. 9. We observe a maximum magnetic decay rate enhancement of $\Gamma_m^{\perp}/\Gamma_0 \simeq 1940$ for a period p = 125nm and a width $w_{Si} = 49$ nm. This value is very high, but not a record, as a slightly higher value of 3250 can be found in the literature in the case of a homodimer of III-V semiconductor spheres [52], though at a wavelength of 1550 nm. Comparing the two systems is however tricky as we imposed stronger restrictions to our system. First, the sphere dimer is placed in vacuum, the most favorable situation for the dielectric contrast between the nanoantenna and its environment, compared to our structure lying on a silica substrate. Second, the gap between the two spheres, where is placed the emitter, is only 10 nm, much lower than the 50 nm diameter of the emitter core we chose. The impact of the hole dimension on the Purcell factor is depicted for instance in the case of a central hollowed silicon disk [48], or a disk-ring composite nanocavity [49], where shrinking the central hole diameter around the emitter from 50 nm to 10 nm leads to roughly a two-fold enhancement. We could expect a similar enhancement of the Purcell factor in our optimized structure, but we fixed the central hole diameter to a realistic value of 50 nm dictated by experimental constraints (fabrication and characterization). Our studies once more demonstrates very high value of the achievable magnetic Purcell factor, largely above the enhancement obtained in bulk high index materials $\Gamma_m^{\perp}/\Gamma_0 = n^3 \simeq 62$ for Si. Without the circular grating, the Purcell factor is 125 only, demonstrating the important role of the grating. The rings strongly increase the decay rate to 754 (one ring), 1819 (two rings) and up to 1943 for 3 rings. We do not observe further increase with additionnal rings. The in-plane magnetic Purcell factor is $\Gamma_m^{\parallel}/\Gamma_0 = 45$ for this structure. This corresponds to an average magnetic Purcell factor of 678 for randomly oriented emitters. We also estimate the electric Purcell factor for the same configuration at $\lambda_e = 610$ nm and obtain a value of 3.8 for a randomly oriented emitter $(\Gamma_{e}^{\parallel}/\Gamma_{0} = 5.4 \text{ and } \Gamma_{e}^{\perp}/\Gamma_{0} = 0.5).$

If the Si cylinder shell (the core) is first optimized, without the circular grating, a maximum Purcell enhancement of 250 is achieved for $w_s = 44$ nm and associated to the excitation of a



Fig. 9. Left : Scheme of the nanostructure to optimize. Right : Results of the Monte-Carlo optimization performed to optimize the width $w_{Si} = w_s = w_r$ and period *p* dimensions of the grating to maximize the decay rate enhancement of a magnetic dipole located at the center of the structure and polarized perpendicularly to the substrate.



Fig. 10. Left: Magnetic Purcell factor $\Gamma_m^{\perp}/\Gamma_0$ dependency on the shell's width w_s (no gratings). Right: Results of the Monte-Carlo with $w_s = 44$ nm to optimize the rings width w_r and period *p* dimensions of the grating to maximize the decay rate enhancement of a magnetic dipole located at the center of the structure and polarized perpendicularly to the substrate.

We have also investigated minimization of the out of plane magnetic Purcell factor performing a Monte-Carlo simulations with 5000 iterations by removing the Si shell around the Gd_2O_3 :Eu³⁺

Research Article

core, see Fig. 11. The strongest inhibition is $\Gamma_m^{\perp}/\Gamma_0 \simeq 1/97$ obtained for a ring period p = 125 nm and width $w_r = 46$ nm. As expected, the geometry is complementary to the optimal design which leads to $\Gamma_m^{\perp}/\Gamma_0 \simeq 1940$.



Fig. 11. Results of the Monte-Carlo optimization performed to optimize the rings width w_r and period dimensions of a circular grating to minimize the decay rate of a out of plane MD located at the center of the structure. We plot the inverse of the Purcell factor to be maximized.

5. Conclusions

In summary, we have shown that evolutionary optimization and more precisely differential evolution algorithms are particularly relevant tools for the geometrical optimization of nanophotonics system. Its application to the design of dielectric planar nanoantennas for the enhancement and inhibition of the magnetic and electric Purcell factor has allowed us to retrieve regular and periodic characteristics that are naturally materialized through the evolution of these simulations. Regarding the geometry of the optimized nanoantennas' shape, the circular grating is a common pattern that is arising in the far-field region of the dipolar emission either of electric or magnetic nature. For the near-field region, different shapes have been retrieved and analyzed depending on the nature and orientation of the dipole such as dielectric nanodisks for MD or dielectric aperture nanoantennas for ED. We observe qualitative agreement with a deterministic approach based on the nanoscale design of the LDOS, revealing the underlying physical principles. With an emphasis on the magnetic emission, we also highlight the role of optical resonances thanks to modal analysis. Completed with Monte-Carlo optimizations, we have been able to provide the design of an efficient planar Si nanoantenna that leads to a spontaneous magnetic decay rate enhancement of $\Gamma_m^{\perp}/\Gamma_0 \simeq 2000$ in a Gd₂O₃:Eu³⁺ core. The concrete realization of such an optimized nanostructure would lead to better control of the magnetic light-matter interaction for promising innovative applications in new types of light sources [54,55].

Funding. European Regional Development Fund (FEDER-FSE 2014-2020, Bourgogne- Franche-Comté); Agence Nationale de la Recherche (EQUIPEX+ SMARTLIGHT contract ANR-21-ESRE-0040, project HiLight ANR-19-CE24-0026); French Investissements d'Avenir program EUR-EIPHI (17-EURE-0002).

Disclosures. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability. Data underlying the results presented in this paper are not publicly available at this time but may be obtained from the authors upon reasonable request.

Supplemental document. See Supplement 1 for supporting content.

References

1. V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshifts, and L. P. Pitaevskii, in *Quantum Electrodynamics*, 2nd ed. (Butterworth-Heinemann, 1982).

Research Article

Optics EXPRESS

- 2. V. Shalaev, "Optical negative-index metamaterials," Nat. Photonics 1(1), 41–48 (2007).
- S. Bidault, M. Mivelle, and N. Bonod, "Dielectric nanoantennas to manipulate solid-state light emission," J. Appl. Phys. 126(9), 094104 (2019).
- 4. E. M. Purcell, "Spontaneous emission probabilities at radio frequencies," Phys. Rev. 69(11-12), 674 (1946).
- 5. L. Novotny and N. van Hulst, "Antennas for light," Nat. Photonics 5(2), 83–90 (2011).
- G. Colas des Francs, J. Barthes, A. Bouhelier, J-C. Weeber, A. Dereux, A. Cuche, and C. Girard, "Plasmonic Purcell factor and coupling efficiency to surface plasmons. implications for addressing and controlling optical nanosources," J. Opt. 18(9), 094005 (2016).
- A. I. Kuznetsov, A. E. Miroshnichenko, M. L. Brongersma, Y. S. Kivshar, and B. Luk'yanchuk, "Optically resonant dielectric nanostructures," Science 354(6314), aag2472 (2016).
- D. G. Baranov, D. A. Zuev, S. I. Lepeshov, O. V. Kotov, A. E. Krasnok, A. B. Evlyukhin, and B. N. Chichkov, "All-dielectric nanophotonics: the quest for better materials and fabrication techniques," Optica 4(7), 814–825 (2017).
- P. Wiecha, A. Arbouet, C. Girard, A. Lecestre, G. Larrieu, and V. Paillard, "Evolutionary multi-objective optimization of colour pixels based on dielectric nanoantennas," Nat. Nanotechnol. 12(2), 163–169 (2017).
- 10. I. Staude and J. Schilling, "Metamaterial-inspired silicon nanophotonics," Nat. Photonics 11(5), 274–284 (2017).
- T. Wood, M. Naffouti, J. Berthelot, T. David, J.-B. Claude, L. Métayer, A. Delobbe, L. Favre, A. Ronda, I. Berbezier, N. Bonod, and M. Abbarchi, "All-Dielectric Color Filters Using SiGe-Based Mie Resonator Arrays," ACS Photonics 4(4), 873–883 (2017).
- S. Karaveli and R. Zia, "Spectral tuning by selective enhancement of electric and magnetic dipole emission," Phys. Rev. Lett. 106(19), 193004 (2011).
- L. Aigouy, A. Cazé, P. Gredin, M. Mortier, and R. Carminati, "Mapping and quantifying electric and magnetic dipole luminescence at the nanoscale," Phys. Rev. Lett. 113(7), 076101 (2014).
- M. Kasperczyk, S. Person, D. Ananias, L. D. Carlos, and L. Novotny, "Excitation of magnetic dipole transitions at optical frequencies," Phys. Rev. Lett. 114(16), 163903 (2015).
- F. T. Rabouw, P. T. Prins, and D. J. Norris, "Europium-doped NaYF₄ nanocrystals as probes for the electric and magnetic local density of optical states throughout the visible spectral range," Nano Lett. 16(11), 7254–7260 (2016).
- P. Wiecha, C. Majorel, C. Girard, A. Arbouet, B. Masenelli, O. Boisron, A. Lecestre, G. Larrieu, V. Paillard, and A. Cuche, "Enhancement of electric and magnetic dipole transition of rare-earth-doped thin films tailored by high-index dielectric nanostructures," Appl. Opt. 58(7), 1682–1690 (2019).
- R. Chacon, A. Leray, J. Kim, K. Lahlil, S. Mathew, A. Bouhelier, J.-W. Kim, T. Gacoin, and G. Colas des Francs, "Measuring the magnetic dipole transition of single nanorods by spectroscopy and fourier microscopy," Phys. Rev. Appl. 14(5), 054010 (2020).
- C. Majorel, C. Girard, A. Cuche, A. Arbouet, and P. R. Wiecha, "Quantum theory of near-field optical imaging with rare-earth atomic clusters," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 37(5), 1474–1484 (2020).
- 19. J. A. Dobrowolski, "Computer design of optical coatings," Thin Solid Films 163, 97-110 (1988).
- A. V. Tikhonravov, M. K. Trubetskov, and G. W. DeBell, "Application of the needle optimization technique to the design of optical coatings," Appl. Opt. 35(28), 5493–5508 (1996).
- G. E. Moore, "Lithography and the future of Moore's law," in *Integrated Circuit Metrology, Inspection, and Process Control IX*, (International Society for Optics and Photonics, May 1995), Vol. 2439, pp. 2–17.
- S. Molesky, Z. Lin, A. Y. Piggott, W. Jin, J. Vucković, and A. W. Rodriguez, "Inverse design in nanophotonics," Nat. Photonics 12(11), 659–670 (2018).
- T. Feichtner, O. Selig, M. Kiunke, and B. Hecht, "Evolutionary Optimization of Optical Antennas," Phys. Rev. Lett. 109(12), 127701 (2012).
- T. Feichtner, O. Selig, and B. Hecht, "Plasmonic nanoantenna design and fabrication based on evolutionary optimization," Opt. Express 25(10), 10828 (2017).
- P. R. Wiecha, A. Arbouet, A. Cuche, V. Paillard, and C. Girard, "Decay rate of magnetic dipoles near nonmagnetic nanostructures," Phys. Rev. B 97(8), 085411 (2018).
- P. R. Wiecha, C. Majorel, C. Girard, A. Cuche, V. Paillard, O. L. Muskens, and A. Arbouet, "Design of plasmonic directional antennas via evolutionary optimization," Opt. Express 27(20), 29069–29081 (2019).
- N. Bonod, S. Bidault, G. W. Burr, and M. Mivelle, "Evolutionary optimization of all-dielectric magnetic nanoantennas," Adv. Opt. Mater. 7(10), 1900121 (2019).
- A. Y. Piggott, J. Lu, K. G. Lagoudakis, J. Petykiewicz, T. M. Babinec, and J. Vučković, "Inverse design and demonstration of a compact and broadband on-chip wavelength demultiplexer," Nat. Photonics 9(6), 374–377 (2015).
- M. M. Elsawy, S. Lanteri, R. Duvigneau, J. A. Fan, and P. Genevet, "Numerical optimization methods for metasurfaces," Laser Photonics Rev. 14(10), 1900445 (2020).
- M. C. Fu, F. W. Glover, and J. April, "Simulation optimization: a review, new developments, and applications," in *Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference*, 2005 (IEEE, December 2005), pp. 13.
- 31. D. E. Goldberg and J. H. Holland, "Genetic algorithms and machine learning," Mach. Learn. 3(2/3), 95–99 (1988).
- D. Liu, Y. Tan, E. Khoram, and Z. Yu, "Training Deep Neural Networks for the Inverse Design of Nanophotonic Structures," ACS Photonics 5(4), 1365–1369 (2018).
- K. Yao, R. Unni, and Y. Zheng, "Intelligent nanophotonics: merging photonics and artificial intelligence at the nanoscale," Nanophotonics 8(3), 339–366 (2019).

Research Article

- S. So, T. Badloe, J. Noh, J. Bravo-Abad, and J. Rho, "Deep learning enabled inverse design in nanophotonics," Nanophotonics 9(5), 1041–1057 (2020).
- P. R. Wiecha, A. Arbouet, C. Girard, and O. L. Muskens, "Deep learning in nano-photonics: inverse design and beyond," Photonics Res. 9(5), B182–B200 (2021).
- R. Storn and K. Price, "Differential evolution a simple and efficient heuristic for global optimization over continuous spaces," J. Glob. Optim. 11(4), 341–359 (1997).
- S. Mignuzzi, S. Vezzoli, S. A. R. Horsley, W. L. Barnes, S. A. Maier, and R. Sapienza, "Nanoscale design of the local density of optical states," Nano Lett. 19(3), 1613–1617 (2019).
- S. M. Islam, S. Das, S. Ghosh, S. Roy, and P. N. Suganthan, "An adaptive differential evolution algorithm with novel mutation and crossover strategies for global numerical optimization," IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. B 42(2), 482–500 (2012).
- 39. K. Deb, in Multi-objective optimization using evolutionary algorithms, (Wiley, 2001).
- M. A. Barry, V. Berthier, B. D. Wilts, M.-C. Cambourieux, P. Bennet, R. Pollès, O. Teytaud, E. Centeno, N. Biais, and A. Moreau, "Evolutionary algorithms converge towards evolved biological photonic structures," Sci. Rep. 10(1), 12024 (2020).
- A. Gondarenko, S. Preble, J. Robinson, L. Chen, H. Lipson, and M. Lipson, "Spontaneous Emergence of Periodic Patterns in a Biologically Inspired Simulation of Photonic Structures," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96(14), 143904 (2006).
- P. R. Wiecha, "pyGDM–A python toolkit for full-field electro-dynamical simulations and evolutionary optimization of nanostructures," Comput. Phys. Commun. 233, 167–192 (2018).
- P. R. Wiecha, C. Majorel, A. Arbouet, A. Patoux, Y. Brûlé, G. Colas des Francs, and C. Girard, "pyGDM new functionalities and major improvements to the python toolkit for nano-optics full-field simulations," Comput. Phys. Commun. 270, 108142 (2022).
- 44. D. F. Edwards, in Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids, ed. E. D. Palik, (Academic Press, 1997), pp. 547-569.
- F. Biscani and D. Izzo, "A parallel global multiobjective framework for optimization: pagmo," J. Open Source Softw. 5(53), 2338 (2020).
- T. Feichtner, S. Christiansen, and B. Hecht, "Mode Matching for Optical Antennas," Phys. Rev. Lett. 119(21), 217401 (2017).
- Z. Xie, Y. Lefier, M. Angel Suarez, M. Mivelle, R. Salut, J.-M. Merolla, and T. Grosjean, "Doubly resonant photonic antenna for single infrared quantum dot imaging at telecommunication wavelengths," Nano Lett. 17(4), 2152–2158 (2017).
- J. Li, N. Verellen, and P. Van Dorpe, "Enhancing magnetic dipole emission by a nano-doughnut-shaped silicon disk," ACS Photonics 4(8), 1893–1898 (2017).
- Y. Yang, B. Zhu, and H. Dai, "Strong magnetic field enhancement and magnetic Purcell effect in a dielectric disk-ring composite nanocavity," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 37(3), 702–708 (2020).
- E. Aslan and E. Aslan, "Engineering the boosting of the magnetic Purcell factor with a composite structure based on nanodisk and ring resonators," J. Electromagn. Waves Appl. 36(10), 1339–1351 (2022).
- R. Alaee, C. Rockstuhl, and I. Fernandez-Corbaton, "An electromagnetic multipole expansion beyond the longwavelength approximation," Opt. Commun. 407, 17–21 (2018).
- D. Rocco, A. Lamprianidis, A. E. Miroshnichenko, and C. De Angelis, "Giant electric and magnetic Purcell factor in dielectric oligomers," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 37(9), 2738–2744 (2020).
- S. V. Zhukovsky and S. V. Gaponenko, "Constraints on transmission, dispersion, and density of states in dielectric multilayers and stepwise potential barriers with an arbitrary layer arrangement," Phys. Rev. E 77(4), 046602 (2008).
- I. Staude, T. Pertsch, and Y. S. Kivshar, "All-Dielectric Resonant Meta-Optics Lightens up," ACS Photonics 6(4), 802–814 (2019).
- 55. B. Kalinic, T. Cesca, S. Mignuzzi, A. Jacassi, I. G. Balasa, S. A. Maier, R. Sapienza, and G. Mattei, "All-Dielectric Silicon Nanoslots for Er³⁺ Photoluminescence Enhancement," Phys. Rev. Appl. 14(1), 014086 (2020).