

Linearly implicit energy consistent time discretisation for nonlinear wave equations

Guillaume Castera, Juliette Chabassier

► To cite this version:

Guillaume Castera, Juliette Chabassier. Linearly implicit energy consistent time discretisation for nonlinear wave equations. WAVES 2022 - 15th International Conference on Mathematical and Numerical Aspects of Wave Propagation, Jul 2022, Palaiseau, France. hal-03757200

HAL Id: hal-03757200 https://hal.science/hal-03757200

Submitted on 8 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Linearly implicit energy consistent time discretisation for nonlinear wave equations

<u>Guillaume Castera</u>^{1,*}, Juliette Chabassier¹ ¹MAKUTU team, LMAP (UMR 5142, UPPA–Inria BSO) *Email: guillaume.castera@inria.fr

Abstract

Nonlinear phenomena can occur in vibrating structures, as for instance piano strings, due to large deformations or nonlinear constitutive state laws. Integrating the nonlinear models in space and time can be done accurately in many ways, but preserving an energy identity at the discrete level is an efficient way to adress numerical stability when coupling with other systems (as in the case of the piano). Gradient based integrators achieve this purpose at the cost of solving a nonlinear system at every time step. New formulations called Invariant Energy Quadratization (IEQ) and Scalar Auxiliary Variable (SAV) [2–4] only require the inversion of a linear system while still preserving a discrete energy identity. This work presents a convergence analysis of an interleaved time integrator based on IEQ and θ -scheme as well as numerical illustrations. **Keywords:** nonlinear wave equation, energy identity, linearly implicit scheme

1 Introduction

Let $x \in \Omega = [0, L]$ and $t \in [0, T]$, a system of wave equations is considered under the form :

$$M\ddot{q} + (R_1\dot{q} - \partial_x(R_2\partial_x\dot{q})) - \partial_x(K\partial_xq + Bq +\nabla\mathcal{U}(\partial_xq)) + Cq + {}^tB\partial_xq = S(x,t) \quad (1)$$

where $q(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, M, R_1 , R_2 , K, B, C are matrices with physical coefficients, $\mathcal{U} : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$ is a nonlinear application and S is the source term. Boundary and initial conditions are given by q(0,t) = q(L,t) = q(x,0) = 0. The piano string enters this general framework, see [1]. Any regular solution to (1) satisfies an energy identity that states

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt} = \int_0^L S \cdot \dot{q} - \int_0^L R_1 \dot{q} \cdot \dot{q} - \int_0^L R_2 \partial_x \dot{q} \cdot \partial_x \dot{q}$$
(2a)

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L M \dot{q} \cdot \dot{q} + \int_0^L \mathcal{U}(\partial_x q) +$$
(2b)
$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \begin{pmatrix} C & {}^tB \\ B & K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \partial_x q \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \partial_x q \end{pmatrix}$$

2 Energy compliant formulation of a nonlinear system of wave equations

Following the Invariant Energy Quadratization technique [2–4] an auxiliary variable is introduced as $\zeta(x,t) = \sqrt{2\mathcal{U}(\partial_x q(x,t)) + c}$, where c is chosen so that the square root is real. Hence the term $\nabla \mathcal{U}(\partial_x q)$ in (1) is equal to $\zeta \mathcal{H}(\partial_x q)$ where

$$\mathcal{H}(p) = \frac{\nabla \mathcal{U}(p)}{\sqrt{2\mathcal{U}(p) + c}} \tag{3}$$

so (1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} M\ddot{q} + (R_1\dot{q} - \partial_x(R_2\partial_x\dot{q})) - \partial_x\left(K\partial_xq + Bq\right. \\ +\zeta \,\mathcal{H}(\partial_xq)\right) + Cq + {}^tB\partial_xq = S(x,t) \\ \dot{\zeta} = \mathcal{H}(\partial_xq) \cdot \partial_x\dot{q} \end{cases}$$
(4)

and this new system satisfies the previous energy identity (2a) with

$$\mathcal{E}_{c} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} M \dot{q} \cdot \dot{q} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \zeta^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \begin{pmatrix} C & {}^{t}B \\ B & K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \partial_{x}q \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \partial_{x}q \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

3 Space and time approximations

After performing a variational formulation of (4) with $q(t) \in (H^1(\Omega))^N$ and $\zeta(t) \in L^2(\Omega)$ and restricting to adequate finite-elements approximation spaces, a semi-discrete system is obtained

$$\begin{cases} M_h \ddot{Q}_h + R_h \dot{Q}_h + K_h Q_h + {}^t \mathbb{H}(Q_h) Z_h = S_h \\ A_h \dot{Z}_h = \mathbb{H}(Q_h) \cdot \dot{Q}_h \end{cases}$$
(6)

where M_h , A_h , R_h and K_h are usual FEM matrices, S_h the source vector, and $\mathbb{H}(Q_h)$ is a matrix whose values depend on Q_h .

Following [4], an interleaved time scheme is proposed:

$$\begin{cases} M_h \left[Q_h\right]_{\Delta t^2}^n + R_h \frac{Q_h^{n+1} - Q_h^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} + K_h \left\{Q_h\right\}_{\theta}^n + \\ {}^t \mathbb{H}(Q_h^n) \frac{Z_h^{n+1/2} + Z_h^{n-1/2}}{2} = S_h^n \\ A_h \frac{Z_h^{n+1/2} - Z_h^{n-1/2}}{\Delta t} = \mathbb{H}\left(Q_h^n\right) \frac{Q_h^{n+1} - Q_h^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} \end{cases}$$
(7)

where
$$[Q_h]_{\Delta t^2}^n = (Q_h^{n+1} - 2Q_h^n + Q_h^{n-1})/\Delta t^2$$
 and $\{Q_h\}_{\theta}^n = \theta Q_h^{n+1} + (1 - 2\theta)Q_h^n + \theta Q_h^{n-1}.$

3.1 Energy consistency

This space/time discretisation satisfies a discrete equivalent of (2a)

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_{h}^{n+1/2} - \mathcal{E}_{h}^{n-1/2}}{\Delta t} = S_{h}^{n} \cdot \frac{Q_{h}^{n+1} - Q_{h}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} - \left\| \frac{Q_{h}^{n+1} - Q_{h}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} \right\|_{R_{h}}^{2}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{h}^{n+1/2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{Q_{h}^{n+1} - Q_{h}^{n}}{\Delta t} \right\|_{\widetilde{M}_{h}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{Q_{h}^{n+1} + Q_{h}^{n}}{2} \right\|_{K_{h}}^{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\| Z_{h}^{n+1/2} \right\|_{A_{h}}^{2} \end{split}$$

where the modified mass matrix writes $\widetilde{M}_h = M_h + \Delta t^2 \left(\theta - \frac{1}{4}\right) K_h.$

3.2 Stability

The scheme (7) is shown to be stable if the modified mass matrix \widetilde{M}_h is definite positive, which is the classical CFL condition of the θ -scheme. The treatment of nonlinear terms does not impact the stability properties.

3.3 Complexity

An interesting property of scheme (7) is that for each time step, knowing $(Q_h^{n-1}, Q_h^n, Z_h^{n-1/2})$, the computation of $(Q_h^{n+1}, Z_h^{n+1/2})$ only requires the evaluation of $\mathbb{H}(Q_h^n)$ and the solution of one linear system of size $N \times N_h^q + N_h^{\zeta}$.

4 Convergence analysis

Let $e_Q^n = Q_h^n - Q_h(t^n)$ and $e_Z^n = Z_h^n - Z_h(t^n)$ where Q_h, Z_h are solutions of (6). We will show that :

$$||e_Q^n||_p \leq T\mathcal{R}$$
 and $||e_Z^{n+1/2}||_p \leq \mathcal{R}$, where

$$\mathcal{R} = e^{K^{-T}} \left[\frac{\Delta t}{\sqrt{M^{-}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \|\epsilon_{h,1}^j\|_p + \frac{\Delta t}{\sqrt{A^{-}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \|\epsilon_{h,2}^j\|_p \right]$$

and K^- , M^- , A^- are the strictly positive minimal eigenvalues of K_h , \widetilde{M}_h and A_h which are supposed definite positive.

Truncation errors $\epsilon_{h,i}^j$ are $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2)$ if the semidiscrete solution is regular enough in time $(Q_h \text{ must be } \mathcal{C}^4 \text{ and } Z_h \text{ must be } \mathcal{C}^3)$.

5 Numerical illustration

A piano string is modeled (see [1]) and forced with a \mathcal{C}^{∞} in space and time compactly supported source. Fig. 1 shows the relative L^2 in space and L^{∞} in time consecutive error between the solution computed with a time step Δt and the refined one with step $\Delta t/2$, using second order FEM. As expected the presented IEQ scheme shows quadratic convergence.



Figure 1: L^2 in space and L^{∞} in time relative error of several schemes

6 Prospects

Another promising idea [3] is to define ζ as

$$\zeta(t) = \sqrt{2 \int_0^L \mathcal{U}(\partial_x q)(x, t) dx + c} \qquad (8)$$

This auxiliary variable is now a scalar and the new system equivalent to (7) is only of size $N \times N_h^q + 1$. This SAV scheme is faster than IEQ and the relative error between the two obtained solutions is only 10^{-8} . Convergence is also quadratic (see Fig. 1).

References

- Chabassier, J., Chaigne, A., & Joly, P. (2014). Time domain simulation of a piano. Part 1: model description. M2AN, 48(5), 1241-1278.
- [2] Jiang, C., Cai, W., & Wang, Y. (2019). A linearly implicit and local energy-preserving scheme for the sine-Gordon equation based on the invariant energy quadratization approach. JSC, 80(3), 1629-1655.
- [3] Liu, Z., & Li, X. (2022). Step-by-step solving schemes based on scalar auxiliary variable and invariant energy quadratization approaches for gradient flows. NA, 89(1), 65-86
- [4] Ducceschi, M., & Bilbao, S. (2021). Simulation of the Geometrically Exact Nonlinear String via Energy Quadratisation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.15008.