

Nonconcomitant host-to-host transmission of multipartite virus genome segments may lead to complete genome reconstitution

Jérémy Di Mattia, Babil Torralba, Michel Yvon, Jean-Louis Zeddam, Stéphane Blanc, Yannis Michalakis

▶ To cite this version:

Jérémy Di Mattia, Babil Torralba, Michel Yvon, Jean-Louis Zeddam, Stéphane Blanc, et al.. Nonconcomitant host-to-host transmission of multipartite virus genome segments may lead to complete genome reconstitution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2022, 119 (32), pp.e2201453119. 10.1073/pnas.2201453119 . hal-03755700

HAL Id: hal-03755700 https://hal.science/hal-03755700

Submitted on 22 Aug2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

2 Main Manuscript for

- 3 Non-concomitant host-to-host transmission of multipartite virus
- 4 genome segments may lead to complete genome reconstitution.
- 5
- Jérémy Di Mattia¹, Babil Torralba¹, Michel Yvon¹, Jean-Louis Zeddam¹, Stéphane Blanc^{1#} and
 Yannis Michalakis^{2#*}
- 8 1: PHIM, Université Montpellier, INRAE, CIRAD, IRD, Institut Agro, Montpellier, F 34398,
 9 France
- 10 2: MIVEGEC, Université Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, F- 34394, France
- 11 # YM and SB equally contributed to this work
- 12 * Yannis Michalakis
- 13 Email: yannis.michalakis@ird.fr
- Author Contributions: All authors designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript; JDM
 and BT performed the experiments; YM analyzed the data.
- 16 **Competing Interest Statement:** The authors declare no competing interests.
- 17 **Classification:** Biological Sciences; Microbiology.
- Keywords: multipartite virus, virus transmission, genome architecture, vector transmission,
 reassortement.
- 20
- 21 This PDF file includes:
- 22 Main Text23 Figures legends
- 24

25 Abstract

Because multipartite viruses package their genome segments in different viral particles, they face 26 a potentially huge cost if the entire genomic information, i.e. all genome segments, needs to be 27 present concomitantly for the infection to function. Previous work with the octapartite faba bean 28 necrotic stunt virus (FBNSV, Family Nanoviridae, Genus Nanovirus) showed that this issue can be 29 resolved at the within-host level through a supra-cellular functioning: all viral segments do not need 30 to be present within the same host cell, but may complement each other through inter-cellular 31 32 trafficking of their products (protein or mRNA). Here, we report on whether FBNSV can as well 33 decrease the genomic integrity cost during between-host transmission. Using viable infections 34 lacking non-essential virus segments, we show that full genome infections can be reconstituted 35 and function through separate acquisition and/or inoculation of complementary sets of genome 36 segments in recipient hosts. This separate acquisition/inoculation can occur either through 37 transmission of different segment sets by different individual aphid vectors, or by the sequential 38 acquisition by the same aphid of complementary sets of segments from different hosts. The 39 possibility of a separate between-host transmission of different genome segments thus offers a 40 way to at least partially resolve the genomic maintenance problem faced by multipartite viruses.

41 Significance Statement

42 Multipartite viruses package each of their genome segments in different particles, facing a 43 potentially huge cost if the entire genomic information needs to be present concomitantly. Previous 44 work with the octapartite faba bean necrotic stunt virus (FBNSV) showed this issue can be resolved 45 at the within-host level through a supra-cellular functioning: all viral segments do not need to be 46 present within the same host cell, but may complement each other through inter-cellular trafficking 47 of their products (protein or mRNA). Here we show that full genome infections can be reconstituted 48 and function through separate acquisition and/or inoculation of complementary genome segment 49 sets in recipient hosts, thus decreasing the genomic integrity cost during between-host 50 transmission through a supra-host functioning.

51

52 53 Main Text

- 53 54
- 55

56 Introduction57

About >25% of annotated viral species have their genomic information carried by more than one nucleic acid molecule (1). The most well-known are the "segmented viruses" which package all their genome segments in a single virion for transmission, such as the Influenza virus A. The "multipartite viruses", despite being more numerous (~17% of all viral species) are much less studied, perhaps because their vast majority infects plants or fungi; these viruses package each segment in a distinct viral particle.

64

65 Multipartite viruses have important economical and plant health consequences, but at present 66 remain an evolutionary puzzle: while none of the proposed advantages is specific to this genomic 67 architecture (all are shared with segmented viruses but a surprising and idiosyncratic increased 68 lifespan of viral particles observed in an artificial system (2)), they face a potentially huge cost if the 69 entire genomic information, i.e. all genome segments, needs to be present concomitantly for the 70 infection to function (1, 3). Indeed, if the different segments were transmitted randomly, an 71 unrealistically large number of viral particles would need to enter each host cell (multiplicity of 72 infection; MOI), particularly for multipartite viruses with more than four segments (4, 5).

73

Our work with the aphid-transmitted octapartite faba bean necrotic stunt virus (FBNSV, Family *Nanoviridae*, Genus *Nanovirus*) has added to the puzzle. The FBNSV genome is composed of

76 eight circular single stranded DNA segments, each of about 1000 bases and encoding a single 77 protein (6, 7). Segment C encodes the cell cycle-linked protein (Clink), M encodes the movement 78 protein (MP), N encodes the nuclear shuttle protein (NSP), S encodes the coat protein (CP), R 79 encodes the master replication-associated protein (M-Rep), and U1, U2, and U4 encode proteins 80 of unknown functions. We previously showed that (i) the eight genome segments do not occur at 81 equal frequencies within host plants; the frequency distribution converges to a host plant species 82 specific distribution, which we termed "genome formula" (8). The discovery of the genome formula, 83 subsequently revealed in another nanovirus species (9) and in two unrelated multipartite viruses 84 (10, 11), other than unveiling another biological 'weirdness' of these viruses begging for an 85 explanation, further questions their existence: if some segments are rare the MOI needs to be even 86 higher. (ii) We subsequently showed that within individual hosts all viral segments do not need to 87 be present within the same host cell, but that they may complement each other through inter-88 cellular trafficking of their expression products (mRNA and/or protein); this distributed mode of 89 functioning should greatly reduce the within-host putative genomic integrity cost (12). Nevertheless, 90 the potential genomic integrity cost during host-to-host transmission still needs to be addressed. 91 We directly showed that very few copies of each segment were aphid-transmitted from plant to 92 plant, two to three orders of magnitude fewer than predicted from evolutionary models (5). This 93 result, which empirically illustrates the between-host cost, was in agreement with the only other 94 report on transmission bottlenecks in multipartite viruses, which found that when an aphid 95 successfully inoculated the tripartite cucumber mosaic virus to a host plant, only one or two copies 96 of each segment were transmitted (13).

97

98 A possibility to circumvent the cost of host to host transmission, would be that the concomitant 99 transmission of all genome segments is not necessary: the segments could be sequentially 100 acquired by the same aphid from different host plants and then transmitted, or different aphids 101 could acquire different segments from different plants and then transmit them to the same plant 102 (14). In this paper, we partly tested this hypothesis. We took advantage of the fact that, although 103 all segments were found in the ten known FBNSV field isolates (15-18), within host infection can be achieved in the lab if some of them are not present (19, 20). We inoculated different sets of 104 plants with incomplete viral genomes, FBNSV^{C-}, FBNSV^{N-} or FBNSV^{U4-}, each lacking the genome 105 106 segment indicated in superscript. It has been previously shown that the absence of these segments does not affect within plant virus accumulation (20). We then tested whether the complete viral 107 108 genome could be reconstituted on receiver plants, either following transmission by different aphids 109 that fed on the different sets of plants, the parallel mode, or by the same aphid fed sequentially on the different plants, the sequential mode (Experiment 1). In the latter case, we also looked at how 110 temporal spacing of the sequential acquisitions affected the probability of complete genome 111 reconstitution (Experiment 2; see Figure 1 for a schematic of the two experiments; all experimental 112 procedures are detailed in the Materials and Methods). Our results show that it is indeed possible 113 114 to reconstitute the whole virus genome, in both cases, even though this is less likely when increasing the time between sequential acquisitions. We further show that under sequential 115 acquisition the reconstitution occurs at the very early stage of the virus cycle within the aphid midgut 116 117 cells. Our findings thus support the hypothesis that multipartite viruses may circumvent the genomic 118 integrity maintenance cost during host-to-host transmission because concomitant transmission of 119 all genome segments is not necessary. Their capacity to transmit genomic segments nonconcomitantly suggests that these viruses have an immense potential to exchange genetic 120

information through reassortment. i.e. exchange of complete segments, since parental genotypescould exchange segments without ever occurring on the same host individual.

123 124 **Results**

125 126

128

i. FBNSV full genome reconstitution is possible through both parallel and sequential transmission by aphid vectors.

Figure 2 presents the proportions of infected plants and of those containing all eight segments, for all conditions and replicates without any temporal spacing between sequential acquisitions. Though with variable proportions, all conditions generated full FBNSV genome reconstitutions, demonstrating that such reconstitution is possible from infections with incomplete genomes, whether transmitted separately by different aphids (parallel transmission) or acquired sequentially by the same aphid (sequential transmission).

In all replicates/conditions but two, some infections did not result in the reconstitution of the complete genome: the proportion of reconstitutions (red bars) was lower than that of infections (blue bars). Some segments are missing in these cases, and this is discussed in more length in a separate section.

140

141 Sequential transmissions performed by single aphids led, over all conditions and replicates, to 142 approximately 40% infection, compatible with what we previously found when transmitting the full 143 genome with one aphid (5). Parallel transmissions performed by two aphids led to approximately 144 60% infection. This transmission rate is very close to 64%, the proportion expected if each aphid 145 had a 40% chance to transmit the infection and there was no interaction between them. Sequential 146 transmission, however, led to roughly twice as many reconstitutions of the complete genome than 147 parallel transmissions. Over all test plants, the 'grand mean' of those containing all eight FBNSV 148 segments after parallel transmission is 13.6% while it is of the order of 25% after sequential 149 transmission. This observation holds whether we calculate the mean over both experiments or only 150 the "parallel vs sequential" experiment, over both pairs of segments or only over C/U4 (which are 151 the only segments involved in parallel transmissions). It is worth noting, however, that the 152 differences in reconstitution rate between parallel and sequential transmission modes are due only 153 to the U4-||C- treatment (with the data of only the first experiment z=2.011, p=0.044; with the data 154 of both experiments in Fig. 2 z=2.240, p=0.025). The reconstitution rates of the C-||U4- reverse acquisition order does not differ statistically from those of the parallel transmission treatment (with 155 156 the data of only the first experiment z=0.046, p=0.963; with the data of both experiments in Fig. 2 z=0.27, p=0.821). 157

158

159 We further investigated whether the missing segments and their order of acquisition in sequential 160 transmission affected the rates of infection and reconstitution. As already suggested by the above 161 comparison looking at the situations involving segments C and U4, despite variation among replicates, there were more infections and reconstitutions when segment C was acquired first: 162 across both experiments and replicates, U4-IIC- led to ~54% infections and ~32% reconstitutions 163 vs. ~31% infections and ~15% reconstitutions when C was acquired second (C-I|U4-). These 164 differences were statistically significant (z=2.496, p=0.013; the 'experiment' effect was not 165 166 statistically significant z=0.061, p=0.951) and are further discussed later.

167

For N and U4 combinations, it is highly remarkable that transmission was efficient even when segment N was not acquired first (i.e. the N-||U4- conditions). Indeed, it has been repeatedly shown that no transmission occurs from plants lacking segment N (14, 15), which encodes for the helper factor of FBNSV (14). We comment on this surprising result more extensively in the Discussion section. For the moment we note that, even though more variable between replicates, transmissions involving segments N and U4 led to similar proportions of infection and reconstitution as transmissions involving segments C and U4. However, in contrast to segment C and U4, the reconstitution rates resulting from the two N and U4 acquisition orders did not differ significantly
 (z=0.181, p=0.856; 'experiment' z=-0.908, p=0.364; 'experiment'-by-'order of acquisition'
 interaction z=0.633, p=0.526).

178 179 ii. FBNSV infection and reconstitution when sequential acquisition by aphids is spaced 180 in time

181 182 Figure 3 presents the proportion of infected plants (blue) and of plants with the full genome 183 reconstituted (red) when segments where acquired sequentially but with variable spacing in time 184 of 0, 1, 2 or 3 days. The figure clearly shows that increasing spacing in time rapidly leads to a 185 decrease both in infection and reconstitution rates (infection: z=-3.310, p<0.001; reconstitution: z=-186 2.567, p=0.010). Indeed, with no spacing (0 d), across all segment combinations and replicates, 65 187 plants were infected and 38 had the full genome reconstituted out of a total of 170 receiver plants, 188 while after 3 d spacing only 13 plants were infected and only one had a reconstituted genome over 189 a total of 174. Most infections and reconstitutions occurred with no or just one day spacing, while 190 very little of either occurred with more than one day.

191
 192 None of the order of acquisition comparisons revealed a statistically significant effect except for the
 193 N-||U4- condition which resulted in less infections (z=-2.148, p=0.032).
 194

195 iii. Incomplete genome reconstitution and loss of segments

As mentioned earlier, many infections did not result in the reconstitution of the complete FBNSV genome, implying that at least one segment was missing. Here, we summarize the broad patterns, first on the replicates without time spacing and then on the replicates of time spacing under sequential transmission, and relegate the detailed account to SI tables S1 and S2.

202 Among the 385 receiver plants used in all segment combinations and transmission mode (without 203 time spacing), 158 were infected. Only three of those did not carry segment N indicating that this 204 segment is rarely involved in incomplete or failed reconstitutions. Segment C was absent from 205 14.5% (23/158) infected plants and there was no clear pattern in the distribution of these cases, 206 either between transmission modes or across segment combinations involved. Segment U4 was 207 absent from 33% (52/158) infected plants. It was particularly absent from recipient plants of the 208 parallel transmission mode (63%), but much less so in all sequential transmission mode treatments 209 (27%), with no strong pattern across segment combinations involved.

210

Time spacing had no effect on segment N which was present in all infected plants except for the plants mentioned above plus two more plants. Segment C was present in ~80% of infected plants for all time-spacing intervals except for 3 d where it was present in only 6/13 infected plants. Segment U4's presence gradually declined with time-spacing interval, being present in 75% infected plants at 0 d spacing, and down to 38% at 3 d. No clear pattern could be observed across segment combinations involved (table S2).

218 iv. Reconstitution may occur already in aphids upon sequential acquisition

219

217

Using segment-specific Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH) by specifically labeling the pairs of sequentially acquired segments (segment C then U4 or N then U4), we investigated whether the FBNSV genome could reconstitute in aphid vectors, when subsets of segments were acquired sequentially. Aphids were placed on plants during 7 days for each acquisition step, with one day on healthy plants between the two acquisition steps and one day of feeding on water through a Parafilm membrane after the second acquisition to eliminate the virus present in the lumen of the aphid midgut.

227

228 For segments C and U4, when U4 was acquired first (i.e. C-IIU4- treatment), a few intracellular 229 fluorescent foci showed co-localization of the two segments (Figure 4A white arrows). However, 230 the majority contained only one of the two, rare foci containing only U4 (green) and numerous 231 others containing only C (red). In contrast, when C was acquired first (U4-IIC-), a large proportion 232 of the foci contained both segments (Figure 4B). Even more remarkable, for segments N and U4 233 we observed a near perfect co-localization in all foci (Figures 4C and D) for both acquisition orders 234 (U4-||N- or N-||U4-). These observations were very consistent across biological replicates: 16, 10, 235 6 and 14 individual aphids were examined for treatments C-||U4-, U4- ||C-, U4-||N- and N-||U4-, 236 respectively. At least ten cells of the anterior midgut were zoomed for each individual aphid. For 237 each treatment, all zoomed cells revealed the same pattern, illustrated in Figure 4. All controls for 238 these experiments, particularly those confirming the segment-specificity of the probes are shown 239 in figure S1 (see the "Without C" and "without U4" panels).

240

Altogether, our results demonstrate that full genome reconstitution can occur at very early stages
of the virus cycle within the aphid vectors, soon after entry of the segments into midgut cells.

244 v. The reconstituted viral genome can be transmitted as an integral FBNSV genome

245 246 We finally verified that the FBNSV could be aphid-transmitted from plants containing a reconstituted 247 genome in a way similar to that observed from plants initially agro- or aphid inoculated with the 248 complete genome, as detailed in the Materials and Methods. Over the four transmission assays 249 (one donor plant with a reconstituted genome from each of the sequential transmission treatments 250 C-||U4-, U4-||C-, N-||U4- and U4-||N-), we obtained 36.36% (28/77) successful transmissions. This 251 transmission rate is close to that we typically observe for transmissions by a single aphid under our 252 experimental conditions, from plants initially infected with full FBNSV genome. There was variability 253 among treatments, at least partially reflecting the relatively small sample sizes (U4-||C-: 45.45% 254 (10/22); C-||U4-: 31.25% (5/16); U4-||N-: 50% (10/20); N-||U4-: 15.79% (3/19)).

255

256 In the 28 infected plants, we observed the absence of one or two segments in only 3 plants: 257 segment U4 was absent from one plant of the U4-||C- treatment, segments C and N were both 258 absent from one plant of the C-IIU4- treatment and segments C and U4 were both absent from another plant of C-IIU4- treatment. Finally one plant of the U4-IIC- tested negative for segments N 259 260 and U4 and had one qPCR replicate slightly above (Ct = 30.85) and one slightly below (Ct = 30.28) 261 the threshold value of segment C (Ct = 30.43). Repeating the qPCRs for this individual plant yielded 262 almost identical values. We decided to consider this plant as not infected as indicated by most of 263 the evidence. 264

These results thus confirm that the reconstituted FBNSV can successfully be transmitted by aphids
 to uninfected plants as the integral viral genome.

267

268269 Discussion

270

Our results clearly show that the reconstitution of the complete FBNSV genome through aphid transmission from distinct plants infected with incomplete genomes is possible. This result demonstrates that all FBNSV segments do not need to be acquired and/or inoculated concomitantly, and thus has important implications for our understanding of how multipartite viruses may be coping with the so far assumed cost of a multipartite way of life related to the maintenance of their genomic integrity during host-to-host transmission (1, 3–5, 14, 21).

278 The non-concomitant transmission of genomic segments could potentially occur in all vector-borne 279 multipartite viruses, even those not following the circulative transmission mode. There is no reason 280 why the parallel mode would be restricted to such viruses. The relevance of the sequential mode 281 would depend on the opportunity of viral particles from different acquisitions to co-occur in the 282 vector. This should not be a problem for semi-persistent viruses which may be retained in their 283 vectors from hours to days (e.g. the bipartite criniviruses transmitted by whiteflies (22) to 284 weeks/months/years (e.g. the bipartite nepoviruses and tobraviruses transmitted by nematodes 285 (23). Even non-persistent viruses could potentially use the sequential mode because they may 286 cause alterations of their vectors' behavior that make the vectors visit more plants more rapidly 287 (e.g. the tripartite CMV (24).

288

We found that genome reconstitution could occur when incomplete infections are transmitted either by distinct aphids, a mode we termed parallel transmission, or by the same aphid sequentially acquiring viral segment sets from different plants to transmit them together to a receiver plant. These modes represent the two extremes of a continuum; reconstitutions could potentially, and probably most likely, occur in nature through any mixture of these modes.

294

295 When transmission is parallel, reconstitution can only occur in the receiver plant. Our results show 296 that the overall infection levels we observed, ~60%, are compatible with the independent 297 transmission of the two incomplete infections by the two aphids that potentially carry them. 298 However, only ~22% of these infected plants ended up with a reconstituted complete genome, the 299 remaining plants lacking either segment C or, for unknown reasons, more frequently U4. These 300 segments may be missing either because they were not transmitted, or because they were lost in 301 the receiver plant after transmission. The former could occur because the aphids which were 302 supposed to transmit them did not acquire, or acquired but did not inoculate them to the receiver 303 plant in sufficient amounts. Consistent with this is our previous demonstration that very few viral 304 particles are transmitted from single aphids (5), likely resulting in frequent segment loss sometimes 305 resulting in infection failure. Unfortunately, this previous study quantifying the bottleneck that aphid 306 transmission imposes to FBNSV populations did not specifically monitor the transmission of 307 segments C and U4, and thus we have no data allowing direct comparison. If 'missing segments' 308 were lost after transmission, we see no obvious reason explaining why segment U4 should be lost 309 more often than segment C, as it is more frequent than segment C both in plants (8) and aphids 310 (25). Our results on the transmission from plants with the reconstituted genomes indicate that 311 segment loss is much rarer when donor plants have all eight segments, suggesting that 312 transmission from plants with incomplete infections may be particular in this respect. This matter thus deserves further investigation. Incomplete infections are rarely reported on field isolates of 313 nanoviruses, which could be due to several compatible explanations: a reporting bias, a lower 314 performance relative to full infections or complementation of initially incomplete infections through 315 the processes investigated here. 316

317

318 Sequential transmission without time-spacing led to infection levels in agreement with previous 319 single-aphid transmission results (5). Reconstitution of the complete genome was much more frequent under this transmission mode: ~50% of infected plants carried all genome segments, as 320 321 opposed to only 22% through parallel transmission. It is remarkable that the segments acquired 322 sequentially co-localized within intracellular granules (fluorescent foci) in aphid gut epithelial cells, indicating that under this transmission mode reconstitution can occur at very early stages of the 323 324 virus cycle within the body of its vector. This observation suggests similar accumulation sites for 325 the eight FBNSV segments in aphid midgut cells, whether acquired concomitantly (26) or sequentially (this study), which may explain the higher reconstitution rate, when compared to 326 parallel transmission. 327

328

We found an unexpected effect due to the order of acquisition: more infections and reconstitutions
 occurred when segment C was acquired first ('U4-||C-' treatment) than second ('C-||U4' treatment).
 The fact that we only rarely manage to obtain infections lacking segment C through agro-inoculation

may suggest that, at least under our conditions, this segment plays an important role which was not revealed in other experimental conditions (20). Alternatively, we cannot exclude that segment C plays a yet unanticipated role in FBNSV transmission in the aphids, resulting into higher transmission if it is acquired early.

336

337 A much more remarkable result, however, concerns segment N. As previously stated, it is 338 established that the presence of this segment is mandatory for aphid transmission (20, 27). It is 339 thus considered as the segment producing the helper component required for FBNSV transmission 340 (28). So far, all described helper components in the vector-transmission of plant viruses must be 341 acquired together with or before the viral particles (29-32). Our sequential experiment results, 342 however, clearly demonstrate that this is not the case for the N segment of FBNSV: it is possible 343 to have transmission, successful infection and even full genome reconstitution even if segment N 344 (and/or its expression products) is acquired after the other segments (i.e. our 'N-||U4-' treatment). 345 This suggests that the segments acquired by the aphid from plants that do not contain the segment 346 N can 'survive' or wait in the aphid's gut until segment N (and/or its expression products) are 347 acquired during the second acquisition step. The single full genome reconstitution (out of a total of 348 13 infected plants) we observed with a 3d-spacing shows that this complementation can occur with 349 at least this delay between the two acquisition steps. We have previously shown that when the 350 virus is acquired from plants lacking segment N no viral segment is visible in aphid midgut cells, 351 while when N is present in the infected plant they all penetrate and accumulate together into 352 cytoplasmic granules (27). Our confocal microscopy results show that the same process occurs 353 during the sequential acquisition, whether N is acquired prior to or after the other segments. The 354 specific mechanisms through which the helper of FBNSV acts need further studies. We can note, 355 however, more to the point of this study, that by being able to potentiate transmission of viral 356 segments previously or subsequently acquired by aphids, this helper allows for a much larger 357 spectrum of possible reconstitutions of the virus' full genome. Consequently, genome reconstitution 358 through sequential acquisition and transmission may help FBNSV, and potentially other 359 nanoviruses, alleviate the cost of maintenance of genome integrity upon host-to-host transmission. 360

361 Our time-spacing results were very surprising. We observed that infection and reconstitution declined as time-spacing increased. FBNSV, as all nanoviruses, is supposed to be transmitted 362 363 according to the circulative non-propagative mode (33), and thus travel through the aphid's body, gut and salivary gland cells, without replicating. Depletion of the first acquired infection (drastic 364 365 reduction of transmission rate) during the short time intervals we used is thus surprising (34). But 366 what is even more surprising is that the second-acquired infection should lead to successful infections on its own, even if the first infection was depleted, at a rate that in principle should not 367 depend on the time since the first acquisition. This is clearly not what happened in our experiments. 368 One potential explanation could be that the ability of aphids to successfully transmit viral infections 369 370 decreases with time. As already noted above, this would be unlikely within the short time intervals we used (34). An alternative explanation could be that the first acquisition somehow triggers a 371 372 mechanism which inhibits transmission after the second acquisition, and the strength of this inhibitory mechanism would increase with spacing-time between the two acquisitions. Because it 373 374 is beyond the scope of this work, we leave this very interesting phenomenon to the future 375 investigation it deserves We note for the moment that this unanticipated negative effect of timespacing between sequential acquisitions may limit the potential of reconstitutions to help 376 377 multipartite viruses overcome the cost linked to genomic integrity upon host-to-host transmission.

378

It is currently impossible to quantitatively evaluate the potential of complete genome reconstitution through non-concomitant transmission of different segments to alleviate the host-to-host transmission cost of multipartitism. Such evaluation would require the precise knowledge of ecological and behavioral variables, such as the prevalence and density of infected plants, the density of vectors, how often vectors move from plant to plant, what governs their individual host plant choice, all of which is currently unknown. Moreover, in the introduction, we stated that our study "partly" addresses this issue. We used this term because we acknowledge that here we 386 demonstrate that reconstitution is possible between incomplete infections which are viable (even 387 though they do not necessarily perform as well as complete genome infections). The potential of genome reconstitution to alleviate the cost of maintenance of genomic integrity would be much 388 389 larger if it could be shown that such reconstitution occurs also from uptake within aphids or release 390 within plants of segments sets which are not viable on their own. We relegate this to future 391 investigation. This study nevertheless demonstrates that the concomitant transmission of the 392 different genome components is not mandatory. Thus after showing that multipartite viruses may 393 solve the within-host cost of genomic integrity maintenance through a supra-cellular, distributed, 394 functioning (12), the present study shows that they may solve the between-host cost of genomic 395 integrity maintenance through a supra-individual host, distributed, transmission. Further, this 396 functioning suggests that these viruses have an immense potential for reassortment; compared to 397 e.g. segmented viruses which in order to reassort need to co-infect the same host cell, since 398 parental genotypes could exchange segments without ever having to 'meet' each other, a feature 399 that may greatly influence their evolution.

400 401

402 Materials and Methods403

404 i. Virus isolate, clones and plant agroinoculation

405 The first FBNSV isolate, discovered on faba beans (Vicia faba) in Ethiopia (15) was characterized 406 and cloned by Grigoras and colleagues (35). Each genome segment was inserted as a head-to-tail 407 dimer into the binary plasmid pBin19. Eight plasmids, each containing a dimer of a segment, 408 together constitute the FBNSV infectious clone. The impact on plant infection and plant-to-plant 409 transmission of the absence of any of the segments was investigated (20). The authors showed 410 that the presence of segments R, S and M was mandatory for infection but it was possible to infect 411 plants when one of segments C, N, U1, U2 or U4 was missing. They further found that the absence 412 of segments C or U4 did not affect virus accumulation and transmission while the absence of U1 413 or U2 decreased symptom severity and virus titer. They also established that the absence of the N 414 segment impedes plant-to-plant aphid-transmission, even though it does not seem to affect within-415 plant viral growth.

416

417 In this study we inoculated ten-day-old faba bean plantlets (Vicia faba cv. Sevilla; Vilmorin) with FBNSV^{complete}, FBNSV^{C-}, FBNSV^{N-} or FBNSV^{U4-} agrobacteria solutions (strain COR308), as earlier 418 described (35). While FNSV^{complete} corresponds to a mixture at equal ratio of eight agrobacterium 419 420 cultures, each containing one of the eight plasmids described above, the indicated culture and thus the indicated segment was omitted in FBNSV^{C-}, FBNSV^{N-} or FBNSV^{U4-}. Plants were maintained as 421 422 previously described in (27). Plant infection was controlled by total DNA extraction and gPCR (see 423 below) 21 days after agro-inoculation. Even though we were able to obtain relatively many infected plants through agro-inoculation of FBNSV^{N-} and FBNSV^{U4-}, respectively 51.06% (=96/188) and 424 425 52.81% (=94/178), we could only obtain 13.08% (=56/428) infected plants with FBNSV^C.

426 427 ii. Aphid transmission

428

For all experiments we used aphid colonies of *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (clone 210) maintained in a controlled chamber as previously described in (27). Transmission tests were made under similar conditions using faba beans as host plants.

432

We tested whether the complete virus genome could be reconstituted if: (i) different aphids fed on different plants carrying incomplete infections missing different segments came together on the same recipient plant, a mode we hereafter call 'parallel transmission'; and if the same aphid sequentially fed on different plants carrying incomplete infections missing different segments was then transferred on one recipient plant, a mode we hereafter call 'sequential transmission'. We use these terms for ease of language although the parallel mode implies separate acquisition as well as separate inoculation of the distinct sets of segments, while the sequential mode implies a 440 sequential acquisition of the distinct sets of segments and may allow their concomitant inoculation. 441 Subsequently (ii), we asked whether sequential transmission could successfully reconstitute the 442 complete viral genome if the sequential feedings of the aphid were separated in time (from 0 up to 443 3 days). Finally (iii), we tested that the reconstituted genomes were successfully transmitted as the 444 genuine parental genotype. Figure 1 provides a schematic representation of the experimental 445 design.

- 446
- 447 Parallel vs. sequential transmission
- 448

449 In a first experiment we tested whether the complete genome could be reconstituted through 450 parallel or sequential transmission and at which rate. For the parallel transmission test (Figure 1, blue arrows), two FBNSV^{C-} and two FBNSV^{U4-} plants, one of each per replicate, were used as 451 donors and 40 aphids were placed on each plant. Aphids fed on plants during an acquisition access 452 453 period (AAP) of 3 days to acquire the respective set of segments. Then, we took one aphid from 454 each donor plant and placed them on two different leaflets of the same recipient plant in a clip-cage 455 (cage allowing the maintenance the aphid on one leaflet) during an inoculation access period (IAP) 456 of 3 days.

For sequential transmission, we used one FBNSV^{C-} and two FBNSV^{U4-} donor plants and further tested whether the order of acquisition of the different incomplete infections affected the probability of complete genome reconstitution. Thus, for each condition 40 aphids were placed on each donor plant (either FBNSV^{C-} or FBNSV^{U4-}) for the first acquisition step. Then, we directly transferred aphids on the plants for the second acquisition step with the complementary set of segments. Each AAP step lasted 3 days. Finally, aphids were individually transferred into a clip-cage on a leaflet of a receiver plant (one aphid/receiver) during an IAP of 3 days.

465

457

466 Transmission does not occur in the absence of segment N (20, 27), which is thus considered to 467 encode for a transmission helper component (28, 36). For this reason, we used only incomplete 468 infections lacking either segments C or U4 for the parallel transmission mode. For the sequential transmission mode, we used the following combinations: (1) FBNSV^{C-} then FBNSV^{U4-} (C-||U4-), (2) 469 FBNSV^{U4-} then FBNSV^{C-} (U4-||C-), (3) FBNSV^{N-} then FBNSV^{U4-} (N-||U4-) and (4) FBNSV^{U4-} then 470 471 FBNSV^{N-} (U4-||N-). The third combination allowed to test whether successful transmission of 472 segments can occur even if the N segment, which is necessary for aphid transmission, cannot be 473 acquired during the first but during the second acquisition.

474

The number of receiver plants per transmission mode and replicates are given in Table 1. All replicates for both transmission modes were conducted at the same time.

- 477
- 478 Sequential transmission spaced in time 479

We subsequently tested whether the time separating the two sequential acquisitions had an effect
on the reconstitution of the complete viral genome.

483 For this type of experiments (Figure 1, purple arrows), 200 to 250 aphids were placed on a first donor plant infected with one set of segments. Then, 40 aphids were transferred on a second donor 484 485 plant infected with the complementary set of segments. When the two sequential acquisitions were not spaced in time (0 day) the transfer occurred immediately after the end of the first AAP period. 486 The remaining aphids were placed onto a healthy plant. Every 24 h, up to a maximum spacing of 487 488 3 days, 40 aphids were taken from the healthy plant and placed on a second donor plant infected 489 with the complementary set of segments. Each AAP lasted 3 days. Finally, at the end of the second 490 AAP, aphids were individually placed in a clip-cage on a leaflet of a receiver plantlet during an IAP 491 of 3 days.

Two replicates were carried out for each combination and spacing time (see Table 1). However, because the number of receiver plants involved was very large, we first conducted one replicate with all segment combinations and spacing times, and two weeks later, the second replicate.

All IAP were stopped with a spray of insecticide (Pirimor – Certis) except where otherwise mentioned. For all experiments, 21 days after the end of the IAP, total DNA of symptomatic plants was extracted and qPCR was performed to test for the presence of segments C, N and U4 (see qPCR details below).

- 500
- 501

Transmission of the reconstituted complete FBNSV genome

502 503 To verify if the reconstituted complete FBNSV infections can be transmitted to healthy plants, just 504 as the genuine parental genotype, we used the infected faba beans from the sequential 505 transmission experiments with 1 day of time spacing as source plants. In this case, at the end of 506 the IAP of the second replicate, plants were not treated with the insecticide solution. Instead, all 507 aphids were individually removed from the plants and a spray of Marseille soap in water 508 (approximately 1 weight/volume concentration) was applied onto the plants to eliminate potentially 509 remaining aphids. We allowed for 21 days of disease progression during which plants were isolated 510 to avoid any aphid contamination. After this period, one plant from each of the C-||U4-, U4-||C-, N-511 ||U4- and U4-||N- sequential transmission experiments with the reconstituted complete FBNSV 512 genome (checked by qPCR) was used as donor for the transmission of the reconstituted virus. 513 Forty aphids were placed onto one donor plant of each combination. After an AAP of 3 days, one 514 aphid was placed onto each of 20 to 24 receiver plantlets for an IAP of 3 days. Finally, 21 days 515 after the end of the IAP, total DNA of symptomatic receiver plants was extracted and the segments 516 C, N and U4 were quantified by gPCR.

517 518 519

iii. Viral DNA extraction and quantitative PCR (qPCR) detection

520 Total DNA extraction from plants was performed as described in (37). FBNSV infection causes faba 521 bean leaf rolling and plant stunting in the early stage of infection and chlorosis and necrosis in the 522 late stage. We extracted DNA from all plants showing some signs of leaf rolling and stunting and 523 quantified segments C, N or U4 in all these plants. On the two upper leaf-level of symptomatic faba 524 beans, three circular area of 0.6 cm diameter were squashed onto a Whatman paper disc. Then, 525 we deposited each disc onto the filter of a 200 µL micropipette tip and added 100 µL of modified 526 Edwards buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 25 mM EDTA, 250 mM NaCl, 0.5% SDS, 1% PVP40, 527 0.2% ascorbic acid in water). Samples were centrifuged, at 5000 g for 15 sec, into a PCR plate 528 placed underneath. Finally, we precipitated the DNA with 50% isopropanol (final concentration) and 529 washed the sample once with 70% EtOH before resuspending it in 50 µL of distilled water.

530

531 For the qPCR quantification of the C, N and U4 segments, each sample was diluted 10 times in 532 distilled water. We used the LightCycler 480 thermocycler (Roche, Indianapolis, Ind, USA) using 2 533 µL of total DNA one-tenth diluted extract. We used the LightCycler FastStart DNA Master Plus SYBR Green I kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instruction. For each sample, we added 534 5 μL of the 2X qPCR Mastermix, 0.3 or 0.5 μM primers (0.3 μM final for segment C and 0.5 μM for 535 segments N and U4 – specific primers for FBNSV segments were described in (6)), complemented 536 at 8 µL with H2O. Two µL of DNA sample were added to a final mix of 10 µL. All samples were 537 analyzed with two technical replicates. Forty qPCR cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 10 s and 72°C 538 539 for 10 s were applied to the samples. Post-PCR data analyses were carried as described elsewhere 540 (38).

541

542 Because the goal of this study is to investigate whether complete infections can be reconstituted 543 from incomplete infections, we need to define values of Ct, i. e. the number of cycles needed to 544 reach the fluorescence threshold, beyond which a segment can be considered as absent. Indeed, 545 it is possible in principle to obtain some amplification with the primers of a segment even when it is 546 absent if the primers can hybridize at a low rate with other segments or plant DNA sequences. In 547 order to establish threshold Ct beyond which each of segments C. N or U4 could be considered 548 with confidence as absent, we performed qPCRs on samples of plants which were agro-inoculated 549 with all FBNSV segments but the focal one. To this end, we agro-inoculated plants with FBNSV^{N-}. 550 FBNSV^{U4-} or FBNSV^{C-}, following the procedures described earlier. These plants were grown for 21 551 days in growth chambers under a 13/11 h day/night photoperiod at a temperature of 26/20°C. The 552 total DNA of plants was then extracted and the presence of segments C, N and U4 was tested in 553 each plant through gPCR. We could thus obtain the amplification level produced by the primers of 554 a segment from plants in which the segment was absent. We then calculated for each segment the 555 lower tolerance threshold such that 95% of potential future samples would have higher values with 556 a 95% probability (39, 40). These thresholds were 30.37, 31.09 and 28.27 for segments C, N and 557 U4, respectively (see SI for details on these calculations).

558 559

iv. Preparation of aphid midguts and Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

We previously showed that, when acquired from a plant infected with FBNSV^{complete}, the eight segments of FBNSV co-localized in peri-nuclear cytoplasmic granules in cells of the anterior midgut (AMG) of aphid vectors *A. pisum* (27). Here, we specifically labeled the pairs of sequentially acquired segments (segment C then U4 or N then U4) to determine whether they co-localize in the AMG cells of their vector. Such eventual co-localization of the two sequentially acquired segments would indicate that the FBNSV genome reconstitution can occur at early stages of accumulation in aphid midguts.

568

569 Organ preparation and labeling were performed as detailed in (27). Because 3 days of AAP do not 570 allow sufficient accumulation of viruses into the vector AMG for visualization, aphids were placed 571 on plants during 7 days for the first and the second acquisition steps. After the first AAP, aphids 572 were placed on healthy plants in order to purge viral particles from their gut; in order to limit potential 573 depletion of the first set of segments, this purge period was limited to a single day. The second 574 AAP was followed by a 24 h purging period by feeding aphids on water through a Parafilm 575 membrane to eliminate the virus present in the lumen of the AMG. Then, purged aphids were 576 dissected. AMGs were soaked in 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4) and fixed for 20 min 577 in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) prepared in 1X PBS. To stop the fixation reaction, AMGs were 578 incubated in 0.1 M glycine pH 7.4 for 15 min. Organs were washed twice (10 min each) in 1X PBS 579 and an additional incubation in 30 % H2O2 solution for 20 min was performed to discolor AMGs and improve the posterior FISH treatment. Finally, AMGs were kept in 1X PBS at 4°C until used for 580 581 a maximum storage time of 3 weeks.

582

583 FISH was performed using fluorescent probes specific to segments C, N or U4 prepared as 584 described in (41). Amplified coding sequences of segments C and N were labeled with Alexa fluor 585 468 (red) and those for segment U4 were labeled with Alexa fluor 488 (green). Dissected AMGs kept in 1X PBS were washed three times (5 min each) in hybridization buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 586 587 8, 0.9 M NaCl, 0.01% SDS and 2.7 M of urea that replaced the 30% deionized formamide used in (41)), before an overnight incubation at 37°C with the segment specific probes (diluted 1/30 in 588 589 hybridization buffer). Labeling was stopped by three washes (5 min each) in the hybridization buffer 590 and then AMGs were placed in a 1X PBS solution. Samples were mounted on microscope slides in a Vectashield antifade-mounting medium (Vector Laboratories) that contains DAPI for nuclei 591 staining, and AMGs were observed using the Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope equipped with a 592 593 63X objective. 594

595 v. Data analysis

596

597 Based on the Ct threshold values defined above, we determined whether each segment was 598 present or absent from each recipient plant. In all cases but one, both technical replicates of each 599 sample were either above or below the threshold. The only exception concerned segment C of one 600 sample that we conservatively considered as absent.

All asymptomatic plants were considered non-infected. All plants showing symptoms were qPCR processed and if any of the C, N, or U4 segments was present in the sample we considered the plant as infected. When all three segments were present in recipient plants we considered that a complete infection was reconstituted.

To investigate whether transmission modalities (parallel or sequential) affected the probability of reconstitution (P(R)) or infection (P(I)), we ran GLMMs considering that P(R) and P(I) were binomially distributed with logit-distributed errors, transmission modality and segments involved as fixed factors, and experimental replicate as a random factor. Spacing time was added as a covariate when relevant. We used the Ime4 package in R to run these analyses. Figures were drawn using JMP 13.2.1. The data and R scripts for these analyses can be found at https://doi.org/10.57745/EH9KRW.

614 615

617

616 Acknowledgments

This work was funded by ANR Reassort ANR-20-CE02-0016 and ANR Nanovirus ANR-18-CE920028. MY and SB acknowledge support from INRAE dpt. SPE, YM from CNRS and IRD, and JLZ
from IRD. The authors acknowledge valuable technical help provided by Ms Sophie Le Blaye. Many
thanks to Bernard Francq and Thomas Mathew for their insights on tolerance intervals.

622 623

624 References

- 625
- A. Lucía-Sanz, S. Manrubia, Multipartite viruses: adaptive trick or evolutionary treat? *npj Systems Biology and Applications* 3 (2017).
- S. Ojosnegros, *et al.*, Viral genome segmentation can result from a trade-off between genetic
 content and particle stability. *PLOS Genetics* 7, e1001344 (2011).
- A. Sicard, Y. Michalakis, S. Gutiérrez, S. Blanc, The strange lifestyle of multipartite viruses.
 PLOS Pathogens 12, e1005819 (2016).
- 4. J. Iranzo, S. C. Manrubia, Evolutionary dynamics of genome segmentation in multipartite viruses. *Proc Biol Sci* 279, 3812–3819 (2012).
- 5. R. Gallet, *et al.*, Small bottleneck size in a highly multipartite virus during a complete infection cycle. *Journal of Virology* **92**, e00139-18 (2018).
- 636 6. B. Gronenborn, Nanoviruses: genome organisation and protein function. *Veterinary* 637 *Microbiology* **98**, 103–109 (2004).
- A. Lal, *et al.*, Nanovirus disease complexes: an emerging threat in the modern era. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11 (2020).
- A. Sicard, *et al.*, Gene copy number is differentially regulated in a multipartite virus. *Nature Communications* 4, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms3248 (2013).
- M. Mansourpour, *et al.*, Effects of an alphasatellite on life cycle of the nanovirus Faba bean necrotic yellows virus. *Journal of Virology* 96, e01388-21 (2021).
- 10. Z. Hu, *et al.*, Genome segments accumulate with different frequencies in Bombyx mori bidensovirus. *Journal of Basic Microbiology* 56, 1338–1343 (2016).

- B. Wu, M. P. Zwart, J. A. Sánchez-Navarro, S. F. Elena, Within-host evolution of segments ratio for the tripartite genome of Alfalfa Mosaic Virus. *Scientific Reports* 7, 5004 (2017).
- 12. A. Sicard, et al., A multicellular way of life for a multipartite virus. eLife 8, e43599 (2019).
- M. Betancourt, A. Fereres, A. Fraile, F. García-Arenal, Estimation of the effective number of founders that initiate an infection after aphid transmission of a multipartite plant virus. *Journal* of *Virology* 82, 12416–12421 (2008).
- 4. Y. Michalakis, S. Blanc, The curious strategy of multipartite viruses. *Annual Review of Virology* 7, 203–218 (2020).
- 15. A. Franz, K. M. Makkouk, H. J. Vetten, Host range of faba bean necrotic yellows virus and potential yield loss in infected faba bean. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* **36**, 94–103 (1997).
- 16. I. Grigoras, *et al.*, Genome diversity and evidence of recombination and reassortment in nanoviruses from Europe. *Journal of General Virology* **95**, 1178–1191 (2014).
- A. D. Abraham, *et al.*, Two distinct nanovirus species infecting faba bean in Morocco. *Arch Virol* **155**, 37–46 (2010).
- 18. M. Lotfipour, S. A. A. Behjatnia, M. Dall'Ara, C. Ratti, The full-length genome characterization
 and diversity of faba bean necrotic stunt virus in Iran. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 157, 239–250 (2020).
- 19. T. Timchenko, Infectivity of nanovirus DNAs: induction of disease by cloned genome
 components of Faba bean necrotic yellows virus. *Journal of General Virology* 87, 1735–1743
 (2006).
- 665 20. I. Grigoras, *et al.*, Nanovirus DNA-N encodes a protein mandatory for aphid transmission.
 666 *Virology* 522, 281–291 (2018).
- 467 21. Y. Michalakis, S. Blanc, Editorial overview: Multicomponent viral systems. *Current Opinion in Virology* 33, vi–ix (2018).
- A. Y. S. Chen, G. P. Walker, D. Carter, J. C. K. Ng, A virus capsid component mediates virion
 retention and transmission by its insect vector. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108, 16777–16782 (2011).
- 672 23. D. J. F. Brown, W. M. Robertson, D. L. Trudgill, Transmission of viruses by plant nematodes.
 673 Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 33, 223–249 (1995).
- K. E. Mauck, C. M. De Moraes, M. C. Mescher, Deceptive chemical signals induced by a plant
 virus attract insect vectors to inferior hosts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 3600–3605 (2010).
- 677 25. A. Sicard, *et al.*, Circulative nonpropagative aphid transmission of nanoviruses: an 678 oversimplified view. *J Virol* **89**, 9719–26 (2015).
- 679 26. J. Di Mattia, *et al.*, Route of a multipartite Nanovirus across the body of its aphid vector. *J Virol*680 94, e01998-19 (2020).
- 581 27. J. D. Mattia, *et al.*, Route of a multipartite nanovirus across the body of its aphid vector. *Journal* 582 of Virology 94 (2020).

- 28. A. W. E. Franz, F. van der Wilk, M. Verbeek, A. M. Dullemans, J. F. J. M. van den Heuvel,
 Faba bean necrotic yellows virus (Genus Nanovirus) requires a helper factor for its aphid
 transmission. *Virology* 262, 210–219 (1999).
- B. Kassanis, D. A. Govier, New evidence on the mechanism of aphid transmission of potato C
 and potato aucuba mosaic viruses. *J Gen Virol* 10, 99–101 (1971).
- 888 30. B. Kassanis, D. A. Govier, The role of the helper virus in aphid transmission of potato aucuba
 mosaic virus and potato virus C. *J Gen Virol* 13, 221–228 (1971).
- M. C. Y. Lung, T. P. Pirone, Studies on the reason for differential transmissibility of cauliflower
 mosaic virus isolates by aphids. *Phytopathology* 63, 910 (1973).
- G. Lu, *et al.*, Tenuivirus utilizes its glycoprotein as a helper component to overcome insect
 midgut barriers for its circulative and propagative transmission. *PLOS Pathogens* 15, e1007655
 (2019).
- 33. Y. Z. A. Gaafar, H. Ziebell, Aphid transmission of nanoviruses. Archives of Insect Biochemistry
 and Physiology 104, e21668 (2020).
- 697 34. S. Watanabe, A. Bressan, Tropism, compartmentalization and retention of banana bunchy top
 698 virus (Nanoviridae) in the aphid vector Pentalonia nigronervosa. *J Gen Virol* 94, 209–219
 699 (2013).
- 35. I. Grigoras, *et al.*, Reconstitution of authentic Nanovirus from multiple cloned DNAs. *Journal of Virology* 83, 10778–10787 (2009).
- 36. R. Froissart, Y. Michalakis, S. Blanc, Helper component-transcomplementation in the vector transmission of plant viruses. *Phytopathology* **92**, 576–579 (2002).
- 37. J. Di Mattia, *et al.*, Co-acquired nanovirus and geminivirus exhibit a contrasted localization
 within their common aphid vector. *Viruses* 12, 299 (2020).
- R. Gallet, F. Fabre, Y. Michalakis, S. Blanc, The number of target molecules of the amplification
 step limits accuracy and sensitivity in ultradeep-sequencing viral population studies. *Journal of Virology* 91, e00561-17 (2017).
- 39. G. Sharma, T. Mathew, One-sided and two-sided tolerance intervals in general mixed and
 random effects models using small-sample asymptotics. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 107, 258–267 (2012).
- 40. B. G. Francq, D. Lin, W. Hoyer, Confidence, prediction, and tolerance in linear mixed models.
 Statistics in Medicine 38, 5603–5622 (2019).
- 41. M.-S. Vernerey, E. Pirolles, S. Blanc, A. Sicard, Localizing genome segments and protein
 products of a multipartite virus in host plant cells. *Bio Protoc* 9, e3443 (2019).

- 718 Figure Legends
- 719

721 Figure 1. Schematic of the experimental design of parallel and sequential transmission 722 modes. Details of the two transmission modes are schematized as well as their expected outcome 723 using infections lacking segments C and U4 as an example. For sequential transmissions we show only the case where aphids first fed on plants infected with FBNSV^C- and then fed on plants infected 724 725 with FBNSV^{U4}-. The age of test plants, the status and age of infections, the duration of acquisition 726 access periods (AAP) and of inoculation access periods (IAP) are indicated. The parallel and 727 sequential with no time spacing treatments were performed during Experiment 1, the sequential 728 with time spacing treatments were performed during Experiment 2 (the sequential with no time 729 spacing treatment was independently performed in both experiments). Each experiment was 730 replicated twice. As mentioned in the Materials and Methods, the parallel transmission mode 731 implies separate acquisition and inoculation of segments, whereas the sequential transmission 732 mode implies temporally separated acquisition of segments but may allow concomitant inoculation.

733

734 Figure 2. Infection and full genome reconstitution as a function of the transmission mode. 735 Proportion of infected plants (blue) and plants where all three investigated segments are found 736 (reconstitution, red) as a function of the transmission mode (parallel vs. sequential) and the 737 segments involved (parallel: only U4 and C; sequential: either U4 and C or N and U4; the order of 738 the segments in the labels indicates the order of infected plants on which the aphids fed). For 739 sequential transmission and for a given pair of segments and order, we provide the results from 740 the two different experiments, "parallel vs sequential" (Exp 1) and "sequential with spacing in time" 741 (Exp 2), using for the latter only the 0 spacing time treatments. The two experimental replicates (1, 742 2) are shown for each case, and the error bars are ± 1 s.e. of the mean. Sample size varies from 743 20 to 23 (see table 1).

744 745

Figure 3. Infection and full genome reconstitution as a function of time spacing between sequential acquisitions. Proportion of infected plants (blue) and plants in which all three investigated segments are found (reconstitution, red) as a function of time spacing (top x-axis, expressed in days) for the different segments and order combinations. The two experimental replicates are shown for each case, and the error bars are ± 1 s.e. of the mean. Sample size varies from 17 to 24 (see table 1).

752 753

754 Figure 4. Localization of sequentially acquired DNA segments of FBNSV in aphid anterior 755 midgut (AMG) cells. Viral DNA is labeled by FISH in anterior midgut (AMG) cells of viruliferous 756 aphids and observed by confocal microscopy. The green probe targets segment U4 and the red 757 probes target either C or N in the corresponding panels. The accumulation of FBNSV DNA was 758 similarly revealed in all observed cells (>10 cells per midgut) from 16, 10, 6 and 14 viruliferous 759 aphids for (A), (B), (C) and (D), respectively. A representative image of each case is shown to 760 illustrate the results. Panels A and B represent the sequential acquisition of segments C/U4, and C and D that of segments N/U4, in the indicated sequential order. The white arrows show two foci 761 762 containing both C and U4 segments. All images correspond to single optical sections. Cell nuclei 763 are stained with DAPI (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; blue). The scale bar represents 25 µm. 764







First segment acquired

A U4

Second segment acquired

Merge





















 Table 1. Number of inoculated plants for each experiment, transmission mode, segments combination and replicate.

	Number of inoculated plants																		
	Experiment	(i)										(ii)							
	Transmission mode	Para	allel	Sequential								Sequential							
	Combinations			1		2		3		4		1		2		3		4	
Spacing time (days)	Replicate	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b	а	b
0		20	23	20	23	21	21	22	20	22	22	22	21	21	20	21	21	21	23
1												20	24	22	20	21	20	21	24
2												23	21	23	22	22	22	20	21
3												17	20	21	24	23	22	23	22

Combinations:

(1). Aphids fed on $FBNSV^{C-}$ (plants without segment C) infected plants and then on $FBNSV^{U4-}$ (plants without segment U4) infected plants

(2). Aphids fed on FBNSV^{U4-} infected plants then on FBNSV^{C-} infected plants

(3). Aphids fed on FBNSV^{N-} (plants without segment N) infected plants then on FBNSV^{U4-} infected plants

(4). Aphids fed on $FBNSV^{U4-}$ infected plants then on $FBNSV^{N-}$ infected plants

Median/mean sample size = 21/21.5