

## Marine ecological aquaculture: a successful Mediterranean integrated multi-trophic aquaculture case study of a fish, oyster and algae assemblage

Emmanuelle Roque d'Orbcastel, Mathieu Lutier, Emilie Le Floc'H, François Ruelle, Sébastien Triplet, Patrik Le Gall, Clarisse Hubert, Martine Fortune,

Thierry Laugier, Thibault Geoffroy, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Emmanuelle Roque d'Orbcastel, Mathieu Lutier, Emilie Le Floc'H, François Ruelle, Sébastien Triplet, et al.. Marine ecological aquaculture: a successful Mediterranean integrated multi-trophic aquaculture case study of a fish, oyster and algae assemblage. Aquaculture International, 2022, 10.1007/s10499-022-00953-0. hal-03753874

## HAL Id: hal-03753874 https://hal.science/hal-03753874

Submitted on 18 Aug2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

±

# Marine ecological aquaculture: a successful Mediterranean integrated multi-trophic aquaculture case study of a fish, oyster and algae assemblage

3 Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL, Mathieu LUTIER, Emilie LE FLOC'H, François RUELLE, Sébastien
4 TRIPLET, Patrik LE GALL, Clarisse HUBERT, Martine FORTUNE, Thierry LAUGIER, Thibault GEOFFROY,
5 Anaïs CROTTIER, Angélique GOBET, Eric FOUILLAND

## 7 Abstract

Inspired by agroecology, ecological aquaculture proposes an alternative model that uses ecology as a paradigm to develop innovative, more eco-friendly aquaculture with environmental, economic and social benefits. Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) is one application of this principle. Inspired by the natural trophic chain, it associates primary producers with primary or secondary consumers, providing a new source of biomass without requiring supplementary feed by recycling inorganic and organic wastes. Of these systems, land-based IMTAs demonstrate several advantages, especially easier control of nutrient flows, contaminants and/or predators. This study focused on a land-based marine IMTA, combining a recirculating aquaculture system for fish consecutively with a natural marine polyculture of microalgae and oyster cultivation. The objective was to assess the ability of the microalgal polyculture both to bioremediate fish nutrients and to sustain oyster growth. For the first time in a Mediterranean climate, we confirmed the feasibility of developing a microalgae community of interest for oysters maintained by fish effluent. Despite strong variability in microalgae production, this IMTA system resulted in significant oyster growth over the experimental period of one month, with growth results of the same order of magnitude as natural juvenile growth. In the conditions tested, this IMTA with reduced human intervention allowed a gain in recoverable biomass of 3.7 g of oyster produced per kg of fish feed distributed. By transforming waste into additional biomass, IMTAs offer a more promising, ecological avenue for aquaculture, based on a circular economy, which may in turn increase the social acceptability of fish farming. (250 words)

- 24 Key words Integrated Multi-trophic Aquaculture, nutrient recycling, oysters, fish, microalgae.
- 25 Abbreviations:
- 26 ARA: arachidonic acid
- 27 EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid
- HRAP: high-rate algal pond
- 29 IMTA: Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture
- 30 PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids

RAS: recirculating aquaculture systems

RE: removal efficiency

SGR: specific growth rate

### 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Food system paradigms

Today, the global food system and food security face multiple challenges, with a convergence of population growth and increasing hunger and malnutrition (FAO 2016). Additional pressure, e.g. anthropic activity and environmental pollution, threatens natural resources, while the consequences of climate change are leading to the loss of biodiversity and unbalanced production systems (IPBES 2019). A transition is required towards more sustainable production and consumption.

In recent decades, the general paradigm in animal or vegetal feedstock production, especially in Europe, has been to grow one selected species under conditions of intensive cultivation. This method of production is highly dependent on the species' ability for high and stable growth and resilience to sudden environmental changes. In a context of global change, with extreme climatic events occurring more frequently, in aquaculture systems, high water temperatures potentially increase the risk of some diseases (Karvonen et al. 2010). Monospecific systems are based on species selected for their ability to dedicate their energy to growth and have little adaptability to exogenous pressures; thus, xenobiotics (e.g. antimicrobials) are often needed to maintain productivity in intensive systems (Smith et al. 1999). Of animal production systems, aquaculture is growing 7.8% per year, exceeding all others (Troell et al. 2014). Monospecific aquaculture (especially to raise finfish) is today common worldwide and is often associated with the use of antibiotics (Miranda 2011; Lulijwa et al. 2019), potential chemical contaminants such as heavy metals or dioxins, or hormones (FAO 2003). Another disadvantage is the discharge of large amounts of nutrients (i.e. from organism excretions and unconsumed food), which can cause the eutrophication and deoxygenation of coastal waters when environmental carrying capacity is exceeded (Gowen and Bradbury 1987; Pillay 2004). This can foster the development of pathogens and parasites, which may in turn negatively impact biodiversity, human health, as well as the farmed species (Neori et al. 2004; Jegatheesan et al. 2011), leading to sometimes dramatic environmental concerns due to the presence of potential harmful residues impacting the health of end consumers (Okocha et al. 2018).

- **1.2 From monospecific to multispecies production systems**

A major paradigm shift is essential to improve not only aquaculture food safety, but also its social acceptability. In the agricultural sector, research into alternative agriculture applying the principles of ecology emerged in the 1980s (Altieri 1983). The 'agroecology' paradigm is based on several principles: e.g. input reduction, recycling, animal health, biodiversity and synergy (Wezel et al. 2020). It aims to take into account interactions between the plants, animals, humans and the environment within agricultural systems and to imitate the natural processes involved in ecosystem productivity, stability and resilience (Malézieux 2012) in order to develop new production methods (Snapp 2017).

Inspired by this approach, 'ecological aquaculture' has been put forward as an alternative model that uses ecology as a paradigm to develop aquaculture with environmental, economic and social benefits. It maintains that aquaculture should mimic the structure and functions of natural ecosystems and practice nutrient recycling through waste reuse (Costa-Pierce 2015; Aubin et al. 2017). Based on the natural trophic chain, integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) is in this sense one of the logical next steps in alternative aquaculture development (Barrington et al. 2009), representing a way to improve existing systems.

### 73 1.3 Higher diversity in farmed species could help social acceptability

Studies looking into the possibility of IMTA began in the early 1970s (Ryther 1975; Goldman et al. 1974), with research efforts increasing over the last two decades, both in marine ecosystems (Chopin et al. 2001; Hussenot 2003; Barrington et al. 2009 in FAO 2009; Milhazes-Cunha and Otero, 2017; Buck et al. 2018) and freshwater ecosystems (Wongkiew et al. 2017). As mentioned by Chopin (2013), the concept of IMTA can in fact be traced back to the origins of aquaculture (in 2200–2100 BCE, You Hou Bin detailed the integration of fish with aquatic plants). Chopin defines IMTA as "The farming, in proximity, of species from different trophic levels and with complementary ecosystem functions in a way that allows one species' uneaten feed and wastes, nutrients and by-products to be recaptured and converted into fertilizer, feed and energy for the other crops, and to take advantage of synergistic interactions among species while biomitigation takes place."

By integrating species with complementary diets, IMTAs reproduce a simplified trophic chain (Fig. 1), in which both primary producers and consumers play a key role. Microalgae or macroalgae nourished by inorganic liquid effluents use photosynthesis to grow, reintroducing energy into the system and acting both to fix CO<sub>2</sub> and to provide O<sub>2</sub> and food for other organisms (Shpigel and Neori 1996; Demetropoulos and Langdon 2004; Barrington et al. 2009). They can serve as a food source for high value-added species, e.g. grazers or filter-feeders such as abalone, urchins, oysters or clams (Tenore 1976; Hugues-Games 1977; Gordin et al. 1981; Borges et al. 2005).

89 Organic compounds released by farmed species or from unused external feed can also be consumed by primary or 90 secondary consumers such as crustaceans, or echinoderms such as holothurians and urchins (Chopin 2013). The 91 resulting reduced environmental impact of IMTA could increase the social acceptability of aquaculture (Alexander 92 et al. 2016; Knowler et al. 2020), representing a perceived improvement over current monoculture practices for 93 the public (Barrington et al. 2010).

94 In comparison to other systems, IMTA is not only more environmentally friendly, but can also potentially provide 95 more economic stability through product diversification (Granada et al. 2016), although the overall capital gain 96 (via greater degree of productivity) has not yet been demonstrated at an industrial level, apart from in one Asian 97 study (Fang et al. 2019).

### 98 1.4 Current and future challenges of IMTA

99 IMTAs can be developed both in open sea or inland areas (Shpigel and Neori 1996; Neori et al. 1998). Among 23 100 current IMTAs challenges, there are (i) biological challenges with the choice of candidate species adapted to the 25 101 environmental and societal contexts, (ii) economical challenges to demonstrate their performance and rentability 27 102 in comparison with conventional systems (Yu et al. 2017) and (iii) zoo-technological challenges to determinate 29 103 key variables to cultivate candidate species (Buck et al. 2018) and to ensure nutrient fluxes management (Granada 31 104 et al. 2016), especially for offshore systems (Buck et al. 2018) where the connectivity between compartments need 33 105 to be documented. Moreover, new planification of aquaculture area have to be considered, with extensive areas 35 106 required for species coping with low natural densities, such as holothurian (Tolon et al. 2017; Chary et al. 2019). 37 107 Land-based IMTAs present several advantages: easier control of flows, less pressure from predators or pathogens, 39 108 and negligible exposure to extreme climatic events (Manzi and Castagna 1989; Neori et al. 2004; Blancheton et 41 109 al. 2009). Of the systems widely used for land-based fish production, recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) 43 110 offer many advantages, such as reduced water consumption based on a bacteria treatment loop (Piedrahita 2003; 45 111 Martins et al. 2010). Wastewater flow in an RAS is consequently reduced compared to traditional flow-through 47 112 systems, but carries inorganic compounds such as PO43-, NO3- and CO2. To reduce these compounds, one of the <sup>49</sup> 113 existing treatments proposed by IMTA is to integrate a compartment of primary producers. Macroalgae have <sup>51</sup> 114 frequently been included in these systems as biofilters, e.g. Ulva spp. and Gracilaria spp. (Neori et al. 2004; Lopez 115 Figueroa et al. 2012; Neori et al. 2017), but microalgae are less frequently used (Milhazes-Cunha and Otero 2017). 116 Like macroalgae, microalgae add value in terms of feeding macro-invertebrates (i.e. filter-feeders), but they could 117 be even more promising because of their higher photosynthesis rate and greater surface-area-to-volume ratio (i.e. 118 higher nutrient uptake) (Milhazes-Cunha and Otero 2017).

119 One challenge for land-based IMTA systems is ensuring microalgae culture stability to allow both optimal nutrient 120 remediation for the primary culture (e.g. fish) and optimal feeding for the associated culture (e.g. filter species). A monospecific algal culture may be selected in order to meet the needs of the other IMTA species. However, several 122 studies have reported that an algal polyculture consisting of an assemblage of several species presents higher 123 resilience to disturbances and ensures greater efficiency in resource use (Newby et al. 2016). In particular, multispecies algal cultures could better cope with climatic and fish-effluent variability. Some authors have demonstrated that natural plurispecific algal cultures can both grow on finfish effluent and ensure nutrient remediation (Lefebvre et al. 1996, 2004; Neori et al. 2017, Galès et al. 2020). Of course, within the IMTA, microalgae must also fulfil the feeding requirements of filter species. In one study, the addition of silicate in an algal culture initially filled with natural seawater and continuously supplemented with nitrate-enriched RAS wastewater led to a diatom-based algal culture with a remediation capacity equivalent to that of macroalgae (Li et al. 2019). In the microalgae biochemical composition, lipid content as well as essential amino acids and polyunsaturated fatty acids play a major role in the diet energy content, directly controlling oyster assimilation and biomass productivity (Brown et al. 1997 and references therein; Ben Kheder et al. 2010; Anjos et al. 2017). This nutritional value is mainly related to microalgal diversity, with diatoms being the most suitable diet for oyster growth (Brown et al. 1997 and references therein).

The use of a continuous nutrient-enriched multispecies algal culture as an inoculum reservoir, if the culture is well controlled, stabilized and monitored, may help: (i) to reduce the risk of producing undesirable (i.e. toxic) algal species (when using natural coastal seawater inoculum), (ii) to accelerate the time required to reach the maximal microalgal biomass, ensuring optimal inorganic matter remediation and oyster feeding.

This study focused on combining a RAS (for sea bass) with algal polycultures and oyster cultures. We used an
inoculum from a year-round algal culture (a high-rate algal pond or HRAP, located close to the IMTA) initially
inoculated with natural local seawater. The aim was to assess the algal polyculture's ability to sustain oyster growth
from an associated compartment when continuously supplemented with wastewater from a fish-based RAS in an
IMTA context.

- **53** 144 **2. From IMTA theory to a new way of production**
- 55 145 2.1. Materials and methods
  - 6 2.1.1. Land-based marine IMTA system

147 The experiment ran over 31 days, from 17 April 2018 (day 1) to 17 May 2018 (day 32), at the French Institute for 148 Ocean Science (Ifremer) station in Palavas-les-Flots (southern France), which has a Mediterranean climate. The experimental IMTA system (Fig. 2) was adapted following Li et al. (2019), with improved hydrodynamics by 150 including additional recirculation pumps in the outdoor microalgal raceways and oyster tanks.

151 Three separate units were created to conduct the experiment. An indoor RAS (in triplicate) was dedicated to sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) (n=1380 fish, split into 460 per tank, initial weight of 425±134 g ind<sup>-1</sup>), which were reared at a density increasing from 51 kg  $m^{-3}$  (d1) to 56 kg  $m^{-3}$  (d32); this unit was considered a nutrient provider. Each was connected to an outdoor microalgal raceway (6 m<sup>3</sup>, n=3), which continuously received RAS wastewater with a fixed flow rate (1 L.min<sup>-1</sup>), ensuring a hydraulic retention time of 4.2 d.

The IMTA microalgal raceways were inoculated with RAS effluent seawater (4:5 of the mix) and a local microalgal reservoir (1:5) containing a consortium dominated by Chlorellales (mainly Schizochlamydella sp and Picochlorum sp). Silicate (Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>,5H<sub>2</sub>O) was added to reach a N:Si:P molar ratio of nearly 10:5:1 in order to favour diatom dominance (Lefebvre et al., 1996). Nanostream electronic pumps (Turbelle®) were added in order to limit biodeposition and ensure light access.

The microalgae cultures (chlorophyll a concentration of 6.3 mg.l<sup>-1</sup>) were then mixed in a tank with airstones and distributed to 3 outdoor tanks containing juvenile Pacific oysters (Crassostrea gigas). The microalgae flow rate of 2.7 L.h<sup>-1</sup> was diluted with 100 L.h<sup>-1</sup> of fresh filtered seawater (dilution factor = 37), in order to approach a daily feeding ration of 6–8% dry weight (DW). This food ration is frequently used to meet the energy requirements of C. gigas broodstock in hatcheries (Utting and Millican 1997; Fabioux et al. 2005; Delaporte et al. 2006); and represents a ration 3 times higher than in a previous study (Li et al. 2019). Food distribution was optimized by the inclusion of a homogenization pump (Turbelle®) and an airlift and by replacing baskets clogged by biofouling with new ones every two weeks.

## 2.1.2. Nutrient remediation and algal biomass production

Throughout the 31-day experiment, the water was sampled 3 times a week to monitor nutrient parameters (inlet and outlet) in the photosynthetic extractive compartment (the 3 outdoor microalgal raceways). Water samples (n total = 49) were filtered (GF/F, WhatmanTM) after each sampling and stored (at -25°C) for NO<sub>3</sub>-N, NO<sub>2</sub>-N, NH<sub>4</sub>-N, PO<sub>4</sub>-P analysis (Alliance® auto-analyser). Nutrient removal efficiency (RE, %) was calculated (see Li et al. 2019).

The microalgal biomass was monitored 3 times a week in the 3 outdoor microalgal raceways; chlorophyll a (Chl*a*) was determined after water sample filtration using GF/F filters (Association 1995), and the pigments were extracted with methanol (Ritchie 2006). Chl*a* concentration was measured using a spectrophotometer and calculated using the Ritchie (2006) equation. The main microalgal species were identified by microscopy with an Olympus IMT2 inverted light microscope, following the protocol of the French Observation and Monitoring Programme for Phytoplankton and Hydrology (REPHY) in coastal waters (Neaud-Masson 2020).

Some environmental parameters (i.e. temperature, salinity, pH) were monitored daily in all tanks (i.e. fish, algae and oyster) using a YSI® probe.

2.1.3. Oyster growth

On d1, 756 8-month juvenile oysters ( $n_{total}$ =756) were split into 3 outdoor tanks ( $n_{tank}$ = 252±3). The initial fresh weight and length of the juvenile oysters were measured, with values of 1.55±0.33 g.ind<sup>-1</sup> and 22±3 mm.ind<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The final fresh weight and length were measured after 32 days. The specific growth rate (SGR) was calculated with the equation:

$$SGR (in \%) = \frac{ln(final weight - initial weight) * 100}{number of days}$$

The non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney statistical test was used to assess median differences between experimental conditions.

### 192 2.2. Results & discussion

193 An IMTA-microalgae community of interest, but highly variable Chla production

194 The results confirmed the feasibility of cultivating a microalgae community on fish effluent in a Mediterranean 195 climate.

In all raceways, the Chl*a* pigment concentrations, indicator of algal biomass, showed a similar three-phased pattern (Fig. 3): (1) from d1 to d9, a low initial concentration of around 0.06 mg Chl*a*.L<sup>-1</sup>, with *cyanobacteria* dominance observed in microscopy, (2) from d9 to d16, an increase in production (reaching a maximum of 0.58 mg Chl*a*.L<sup>-1</sup> at d15), with the dominance of *Cylindrotheca closterium* (Ehrenberg) Reimann and Lewin 1964, and finally, (3) after d16, a decline in algal growth, still with *Cylindrotheca closterium* (at d23) as the main algal species, and *Pseudo-nitzschia* and *cyanobacteria* at the end of the culture (d28).

Using an inoculum from a local intensive algal culture to start the microalgal culture, we observed that the maximal
 Chl*a* production was reached after 16 days, twice as fast as in a previous experiment performed during the same

seasonal period but using a natural seawater inoculum (Li et al., 2019). The microalgal crash around d24 was probably due to rapid algal  $CO_2$  consumption leading to elevated pH (maximum pH of 10) and  $CO_2$  depletion.

The results also confirmed the feasibility of bioremediating fish nutrients using an inoculum from a local HRAP. The remediation of phosphates was high,  $85 \pm 25\%$  (with a maximal value of 97.6%), but was lower for nitrogenous elements, with  $49 \pm 21\%$  for NH<sub>4</sub>-N (maximal value of 93.5%), and  $24.9 \pm 11.1\%$  for NO<sub>3</sub>-N (maximal value of 51.3%).

The algal yields – and as a consequence bioremediation efficiency – could be improved, for example, by reusing CO<sub>2</sub> released by the fish in the RAS loop in the algae culture in order to maintain pH at seawater value (*ca* 8) and to avoid CO<sub>2</sub> depletion. Another research avenue would be to integrate detritivorous organisms (e.g. mullet fish, holothurians, nematodes) with algae cultures, as the former would be able to feed on deposits.

### 214 Juvenile oysters more than doubled in weight and length

During the 1-month period, both weight and length gain in the oysters was significant (p < 0.001), more than doubling, with a final weight of  $3.7\pm0.9$  g ind<sup>-1</sup> (n=754). No mortality was observed (0.2%). Despite strong variability in algal production within the IMTA-microalgae raceways, the produced biomass allowed significant oyster growth over the experimental period: a very encouraging result. The oyster growth rate of 1.04% was in the same order of magnitude as that of juveniles reared in a nearby natural lagoon (0.97% in Li et al., 2019).

As mentioned by Troell et al. (2009), the integration of bivalves in an IMTA is not straightforward. With the addition of silicates, the phytoplankton that grows with the input of fish nutrients is suitable food for filter-feeders and can have a positive (Lefebvre et al. 2000, 2004) or insignificant (Li et al. 2019) effect on bivalve growth. Those growth results are mainly explained by determining environmental factors, such as ambient concentrations of nutrient availability, particulate organic matter or seston content (Troell and Norberg 1998), but also indirectly by fish-feeding variability (i.e. its duration and quantity).

In our case study, the IMTA microalgal inoculum was mostly composed of Chlorellales – these are not the common
microalgae used for juvenile oyster feed, which is usually composed of diatoms or *Prymnesiophyceae*(McCausland et al. 1999; Ponis et al. 2003). Indeed, juvenile oysters are usually not able to retain small particles,
e.g. < 4 µm for *C. gigas* when sestonic load is low, <12 µm when sestonic load is higher (Barillé et al. 1993), and</li>
even 20 µm for *Ostrea chilensis* (Dunphy et al. 2006). Chlorellales such as *Schizochlamydella* sp and *Picochlorum*sp are rather small (2–10 microns and 1.5–3 microns, respectively) and consequently not assimilable by juvenile
oysters (Korshikov 1953; Tsarenko 2011; Henley et al. 2004). The addition of silicates permitted a shift in the

initial algal community towards diatoms of the *Bacillariophyceae* family, whether these were present (but not detectable) in the 1:5 inoculum at the beginning of the period, or in the 4:5 water from the RAS effluent. This shift in the microalgal composition allowed the growth of the juvenile oysters, indicating that the feed composition was both assimilable and resulted in growth gain. *C. closterium*, which grew in the microalgal raceways, are long cells (> to 25  $\mu$ m; Reimann and Lewin 1964) with a particular shape that could favour its retention on oyster gills and be suitable microalgae for juvenile oyster growth. Its high nutritional value for secondary consumers has previously been described: it has high lipid content and is particularly rich in essential PUFAs such as EPA and ARA (Keerthi et al. 2012). Other constituents may also have played a role in the oyster food chain, as ciliates and flagellates from 4 to 72  $\mu$ m are known to be retained by the oyster (Dupuy et al. 1999). Future experiments on the entire microbial food web are necessary to delve further into the microorganism communities assimilable by oysters in an IMTA oyster-growing context. To reach optimal conditions for bivalve production, these experiments can give rise to improvements in the system – for example, daily uniform fish feeding and therefore, nutrient excretion – to smooth out variations in the microalgae cultures and decrease the risk of crop crashing.

5 IMTA supplementary biomass production

At a daily feeding rate of 1% of the fish biomass, 5.89 kg of feed.d<sup>-1</sup> were distributed, giving a growth ratio of 2.39 kg of fish.d<sup>-1</sup>. The nutrients excreted by fish and taken in by microalgae fed an oyster biomass of 0.022 kg.d<sup>-1</sup>.

In the experimental conditions tested, the results showed that an IMTA with reduced human intervention allowed a gain in recoverable biomass: i.e. 3.7 g of oyster produced per kg of fish feed distributed. For a production unit of 100 tonnes of fish fed at 1% of the biomass twice a day, an additional production of 2.7 tonnes of oyster could be provided per year. This yield could be even higher if 100% RAS water was used to supplement the microalgal compartment (5% of RAS water was used in this study), as in this case 20 microalgal raceways could be run. Moreover, only 2% of the microalgae biomass was used to feed the 3 oyster compartments: if 100% was used to feed the oysters, 37 times more oyster biomass would be produced. Further work is needed to investigate the economic viability of such a system in more detail, in order to establish whether there is an overall economic benefit to implementing such a system.

### ) Conclusion

This study demonstrated the feasibility of using an inoculum of a microalgal polyculture (favouring diatoms of interest) from a local intensive basin together with an appropriate oyster–food ratio to feed oyster juveniles in an IMTA community. The experiment resulted in equivalent Chl*a* production in a shorter time frame than a previous

published study (15 days less to reach the same production). This IMTA design could still be improved, inparticular regarding technical and environmental factors, in order to better control algal culture variability.

By transforming waste into reusable material and additional biomass, IMTAs can reduce environmental impact, giving a more positive, ecological image to aquaculture. It could potentially be promoted with a specific 'circular aquaculture' certification. However, despite its promise, in Europe the development of IMTA still faces various issues. Some of the obstacles could be overcome by pursuing research on both biological, economic and social aspects of IMTA and of end consumer perceptions of its products. Innovative zero-waste designs should be studied, with specific attention paid to analysing their effects on the biology and welfare of candidate species and the quality of the end products. Increasing the economic and ecological sustainability of aquaculture should help build the case for its social acceptance.

### 3 Acknowledgements

This study was conducted in the framework of the Cooperation in Fisheries, Aquaculture and Seafood Processing (COFASP) European Research Area Network (ERA-Net) project (IMTA-Effect project) with funding from the French National Research Agency (ANR-15-COFA-0001-06) (for installations, experiments, analyses) and the Marine Biodiversity and Conservation (MARBEC) research group (which funded M. Lutier's Master's degree). The authors would also like to thank colleagues from MARBEC and the French National Research Institute for Agriculture, Food and the Environment (INRAE) for their contribution in the fish biometry: Bastien Sadoul, Eric Gasset, Frédéric Clota, Benjamin Geffroy and the two students Maxime Petit Jean and Baptiste Bal. We also thank Claire Carre for her expertise on phytoplankton, Audrey Mandonnet for her help in the laboratory, Elise Caillard for her photos, and Cyrille Przybyla, manager of the VASCO2 project that provided us with the algal inoculum. Finally, many thanks to the editor and referees for their constructive comments on the initial version of this manuscript, and to Elise Bradbury for the English proofreading.

### **Reference list**

- Altieri MA, Letourneau DK, Davis JR (1983). Developing Sustainable Agroecosystems, BioSci 33 (1), 45–49
- Alexander KA, Freeman S, Potts T (2016). Navigating uncertain waters: European public perceptions of integrated
   multi trophic aquaculture (IMTA). Env Sci Policy 61: 230-237.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2016.04.020
- Anjos C, Baptista T, Joaquim S, Mendes S, Matias AM, Moura P, Simões T, Matias D (2017). Broodstock
  conditioning of the Portuguese oyster (*Crassostrea angulata*, Lamarck, 1819): influence of different diets.
  Aquac Res 48: 3859-3878. https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13213

 293 Association APH (1995). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.

- Aubin J, Callier M, Rey-Valette H, Mathé S, Wilfart A, Legendre M, Slembrouck J, Caruso D, Chia E, Masson G, Blancheton JP et al (2017). Implementing ecological intensification in fish farming: definition and principles from contrasting experiences. Rev Aquac 0. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12231
- Barillé L, Prou J, Heral M, Bougrier S (1993). No influence of food quality, but ration-dependent retention efficiencies in the Japanese oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 171: 91-106
- Barrington K, Chopin T, Robinson S (2009). Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine temperate waters. In: Soto D (ed.) Integrated Mariculture: A Global Review. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 529, 7–46. FAO, Rome
- Barrington K, Ridler N, Chopin T, Robinson S, Robinson B (2010). Social aspects of the sustainability of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. Aquac Int 18-2: 201-211. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10499-008-9236-
- Ben Kheder R, Quéré C, Moal J, Robert R (2010). Effect of nutrition on *Crassostrea gigas* larval development and the evolution of physiological indices. Part A: Quantitative and qualitative diet effects. Aquaculture 305, 1–4: 165-173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.04.022
- Blancheton JP, Bosc P, Hussenot J, Roque D'Orbcastel E, Romain D (2009). The 'new' European fish culture systems: Recirculating systems, offshore cages, integrated systems. Cah Agric 18(2): 227-234.
   https://doi.org/10.1684/agr.2009.0294
- Borges MT, Silva P, Moreira L, Soares R (2005). Integration of consumer-targeted microalgal production with
   marine fish effluent biofiltration–a strategy for mariculture sustainability. J Appl Phycol 17 (3): 187-197.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-005-4842-y
- Brown MR, Jeffrey SW, Volkman JK, Dunstan GA (1997). Nutritional properties of microalgae for mariculture.
   Aquaculture 151, 1–4: 315-331. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(96)01501-3.
  - Buck B and Troell MF and Krause G and Angel DL and Grote B and Chopin T (2018). State of the Art and Challenges for Offshore Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA). Review article. Front Mar Sci 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00165
- Chary K, Fiandrino A, Coves D, Aubin J, Falguiere JC, Callier M (2019). Modeling sea cage outputs for data scarce areas: application to red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*) aquaculture in Mayotte, Indian Ocean. Aquac
   Int 27(3): 625-646. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-019-00351-z
- Chopin T (2013). Aquaculture, Integrated Multi-trophic (IMTA). In: Christou P., Savin R., Costa-Pierce B.A.,
   Misztal I., Whitelaw C.B.A. (eds) Sustainable Food Production. Springer, New York, NY
- Chopin T, Buschmann AH, Halling C, Troell M, Kautsky N, Neori A, Kraemer GP, Zertuche-González JA, Yarrish
   C, Neefus C (2001). Integrating seaweeds into marine aquaculture systems: a key toward sustainability. J
   Phycol 37: 975-986. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.2001.01137.x
- Costa-Pierce BA (2015). Seven Principles of Ecological Aquaculture: A Guide for the Blue Revolution. Ecological
   Aquaculture Foundation, Biddeford, Maine, USA. ©2015 Ecological Aquaculture Foundation.

- 329 Delaporte M, Soudant P, Lambert C, Moal J, Pouvreau S, Samain JF (2006). Impact of food availability on energy 1 330 storage and defense related hemocyte parameters of the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas during an 2 331 experimental reproductive cycle. Aquaculture 254(1-4): 571-582. 3 4 332 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.10.006 5
  - 333 Demetropoulos CL, Langdon CJ (2004). Enhanced production of Pacific dulse (Palmaria mollis) for co-culture 334 with abalone in a land-based system: nitrogen, phosphorus, and trace metal nutrition. Aquaculture 235: 335 433-455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2003.09.012
- 336 Dunphy BJ, Hall JA, Jeffs AG, Wells RMG (2006). Selective particle feeding by the Chilean oyster, Ostrea 13 337 chilensis; implications for nursery culture and broodstock conditioning. Aquaculture 261, 2: 594-602, ISSN 338 0044-8486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.08.015.
- 339 Dupuy C, Le Gall S, Hartmann HJ, Bréret M (1999). Retention of ciliates and flagellates by the oyster Crassostrea 18 340 gigas in French Atlantic coastal ponds: protists as a trophic link between bacterioplankton and benthic 341 suspension-feeders. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 177: 165-175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.01.015
- 342 FAO (2003). Assessment and Management of Seafood Safety and Quality. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture 23 343 Technical Paper. No. 444. Rome, FAO. 2003. 230p
- 25 344 FAO (2009). Integrated mariculture: a global review. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 529. 345 Rome, FAO. 2009. 183p
  - 346 FAO (2016). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper
  - 347 Fabioux C, Huvet A, Le Souchu P, Le Pennec M, Pouvreau S (2005). Temperature and photoperiod drive 348 Crassostrea reproductive internal clock. Aquaculture 250, 1-2: 458-470. gigas 349 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.02.038
- 36 350 Fang J, Zhang J, Xiao T, Huang D, Liu S (2019). Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in Sanggou Bay, 351 China. AEI 8, 201-205. https://doi.org/10.3354/AEI00179
- 352 Galès A, Triplet S, Geoffroy G, Roques C, Carré C, Le Floc'h E, Lanfranchii M, Simier M, Roque D'Orbcastel E, 353 Przybyla C, Fouilland E (2020). Control of the pH for marine microalgae polycultures: A key point for CO<sub>2</sub> 354 fixation improvement in intensive cultures. Journal of  $CO_2$ Utilization 38: 187-193. 44 355 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2020.01.019
- 46 356 Goldman JC, Tenore RK, Ryther HJ, Corwin N (1974). Inorganic nitrogen removal in a combined tertiary 357 treatment-marine aquaculture system. I. Removal efficiencies. Water Res 8:45-54
  - 358 Gordin H, Motzkin F, Huges-Games WL, Porter C (1981). Seawater maricultura pond. An integrated system. 359 European Mariculture Society Special Publication 6, 1-13.
- 53 360 Gowen RJ, Bradbury NB (1987). The ecological impact of salmon farming in coastal waters: A review. Oceanogr. 361 Mar Biol 25: 563D575.
- 57 362 Granada L, Sousa N, Lopes S, Lemos MFL (2016). Is integrated multitrophic aquaculture the solution to the 363 sectors' major challenges? - a review. Rev Aquac 8: 283-300. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12093
- 63 64

7

8 9

10 11

12

14

15 16

17

19

20 21

22

24

26

27 28

29 30

31 32

33

34 35

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

45

47

48 49

50 51

52

54

55 56

58

- 1 365 10 370 17 374 22 377 34 384 36 385 46 391 <sub>58</sub> 398
- Henley WJ, Hironaka JL, Guillou L, Buchheim MA, Buchheim JA, Fawley MW, Fawley KP (2004). Phylogenetic
  analysis of the 'Nannochloris-like' algae and diagnoses of *Picochlorum oklahomensis* gen. et sp. nov.
  (*Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta*). Phycologia 43 (6): 641–652. https://doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-43-6641.1
  - Hughes-Games WL (1977). Growing the Japanese oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) in sub-tropical seawater fishponds.
    I. Growth rate, survival and quality index. Aquaculture 11:217-229.
  - Hussenot JME (2003). Emerging effluent management strategies in marine fish-culture farms located in European
    coastal wetlands. Aquaculture 226, 1–4: 113-128. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00472-1
  - 372 IPBES (2019). Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.
  - Jegatheesan V, Shu L, Visvanathan C (2011). Aquaculture effluent: impacts and remedies for protecting the
    environment and human health. In: Nriagu J (ed.) Encyclopedia of Environmental Health, 123–135.
    Elsevier Science, Burlington, Vt.
    - Karvonen A, Rintamäki P, Jokela J, Valtonen ET (2010). Increasing water temperature and disease risks in aquatic systems: climate change increases the risk of some, but not all, diseases. Int J Parasitol 40(13): 1483-8.
       https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2010.04.015
    - Keerthi P, Judy J, Yogi K, Katherine A, Geoffrey D, Carmen S (2012). Impact of impurities in biodiesel- derived
       crude glycerol on the fermentation by *Clostridium pasteurianum* ATCC 6013, Applied Microbiology and
       Biotechnology 93, 1325-1335
    - Knowler D, Chopin T, Martínez- Espiñeira R, Neori A, Nobre A, Noce A, Reid G (2020). The economics of Integrated Multi- Trophic Aquaculture: where are we now and where do we need to go? Rev Aquacult 12: 1579-1594. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12399
  - Korshikov AA (1953). Viznachnik prisnovodnihk vodorostey Ukrainsykoi RSR [Vyp] V. Pidklas Protokokovi
    (Protococcineae). Bakuol'ni (Vacuolales) ta Protokokovi (Protococcales) [The Freshwater Algae of the
    Ukrainian SSR. V. Sub-Class Protococcineae. Vacuolales and Protococcales]. pp. 1-439. Kyjv [Kiev]:
    Akad. NAUK URSR.
- Lefebvre S, Hussenot J, Brossard N (1996). Water treatment of land-based fish farm effluents by outdoor culture of marine diatoms. J Appl Phycol 8, 3: 193-200. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02184971
  - Lefebvre S, Barille L, Clerc M (2000). Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) feeding responses to a fish-farm effluent.
     Aquaculture 187: 185–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00390-7
- Lefebvre S, Probert I, Lefrançois C, Hussenot J (2004). Outdoor phytoplankton continuous culture in a marine 51 394 fish-phytoplankton-bivalve integrated system: combined effects of dilution rate and ambient conditions on growth rate, biomass nutrient Aquaculture 240, 1-4, 211-231. and cycling. 54 396 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.06.022
  - Li M, Callier M, Blancheton JP, Galès A, Nahon S, Triplet S, Geoffroy T, Menniti C, Fouilland E, Roque
     D'Orbcastel E (2019). Bioremediation of fishpond effluent and production of microalgae for an oyster farm

- in an innovative recirculating integrated multi-trophic aquaculture system. Aquaculture 504: 314–325.
   400 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.02.013
  - 401 Lopez Figueroa F, Korbee N, Abdala Díaz R, Jerez C, Torre M, Güenaga L, Larrubia M, Gomez Pinchetti JL
    402 (2012). Biofiltration of fishpond effluents and accumulation of N-compounds (phycobiliproteins and
    403 mycosporine-like amino acids) versus C-compounds (polysaccharides) in *Hydropuntia cornea*404 (*Rhodophyta*). Mar. poll. bull. 64. 310-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.11.012.
  - Lulijwa R, Rupia JE, Alfaro AC (2019). Antibiotic use in aquaculture, policies and regulation, health and
     environmental risks: a review of the top 15 major producers. Rev Aquac. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12344
  - 407 McCausland MA, Brown MR, Barrett SM, Diemar JA, Heasman MP (1999). Evaluation of live microalgae and
     408 microalgal pastes as supplementary food for juvenile Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*), Aquaculture, 174,
     409 3–4: 323-342, ISSN 0044-8486, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00018-6.

410 Malézieux E (2012). Designing cropping systems from nature. ASD, 32: 15–29.

- Martins CIM, Eding EH, Verdegem MCJ, Heinsbroek LTN, Schneider O, Blancheton JP, Roque D'Orbcastel E,
  Verreth JAJ (2010). New developments in recirculating aquaculture systems in Europe: A perspective on
  environmental sustainability. Aqua Eng 43(3): 83-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2010.09.002
- 414 Manzi JJ, Castagna M (1989). Clam Culture in North America. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 461 pp.
  - Milhazes-Cunha H, Otero A (2017). Valorisation of aquaculture effluents with microalgae: The Integrated Multi Trophic Aquaculture concept. Algal Res 24 (B): 416-424. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ALGAL.2016.12.011
  - Miranda CD (2011). Antimicrobial Resistance Associated with Salmonid Farming. Antimicrobial Resistance in
     the Environment: 423-451. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118156247.ch22.
- 419 Neaud-Masson N (2020). Observation et dénombrement du phytoplancton marin par microscopie optique.
  420 Spécifications techniques et méthodologiques appliquées au REPHY. Version 2 février 2020.
  421 ODE/VIGIES/20/03. https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00609/72133/
  - Neori A, Ragg NLC, Shpigel M (1998). The integrated culture of seaweed, abalone, fish and clams in modular intensive landbased systems: II. Performance and nitrogen partitioning within an abalone (*Haliotis tuberculata*) and macroalgae culture system. Aquac Eng 17: 215-239. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8609(98)00017-X
- 426 Neori A, Chopin T, Troell M, Buschmann AH, Kraemer GP, Halling C, Shpigel M, Yarish C (2004). Integrated
  427 aquaculture: rationale, evolution and state of the art emphasizing seaweed biofiltration in modern
  428 mariculture. Aquaculture 231 (1): 361-391. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2003.11.015
- 429 Neori A, Shpigel M, Guttman L, Israel A (2017). Development of Polyculture and Integrated Multi -Trophic
  430 Aquaculture (IMTA) in Israel: A Review. The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture Bamidgeh,
  431 IJA\_69.2017.1385, 19 pages
- Newby DT, Mathews TJ, Pate RC, Huesemann MH, Lane TW, Wahlen BD, Mandal S, Engler RK, Feris KP,
  Shuri J (2016). Assessing the potential of polyculture to accelerate algal biofuel production. Algal Res 19:
  264-277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2016.09.004

- 435 Okocha RC, Olatoye IO, Adedeji OB (2018). Food safety impacts of antimicrobial use and their residues in 436 aquaculture. Public Health Rev 39: 21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40985-018-0099-2
- 437 Piedrahita RH (2003). Reducing the potential environmental impact of thank aquaculture effluents through 438 intensification and recirculation. Aquaculture 226: 35-44. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-439 8486(03)00465-4

Pillay Pillay TVR (2004).Environment edition. Eds. Aquaculture and the 2nd https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470995730

- 12 442 Ponis E, Robert R, Parisi G (2003). Nutritional value of fresh and concentrated algal diets for larval and juvenile 443 Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*), Aquaculture, 221(1-4): 491-505, ISSN 0044-8486, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00075-9.
  - Ritchie RJ (2006). Consistent sets of spectrophotometric chlorophyll equations for acetone, methanol and ethanol 446 solvents. Photosynth Res 89 (1): 27-41.
  - 447 Ryther JH, Goldman JC, Gifford CE, Huguenin E, Wing AS, Clarner JP, Williams LD, Lapointe BE, (1975). Physical models of integrated waste recycling-marine polyculture systems. Aquaculture 5: 163-177.
  - Shpigel M, Neori A (1996). The Integrated Culture of Seaweed, Abalone, Fish and Clams in Modular Intensive 450 Land-based Systems: I. Proportions of Size and Projected Revenues. Aquac Eng 15 (5): 313-326. https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8609(96)01000-X
  - 452 Smith DJ, Gingerich WH, Beconi-Barker MG (1999). Use of chemicals in fish management and fish culture past 453 and future. Xenobiotics in Fish.
  - 454 Snapp (2017). Agroecology: Principles and Practice, Agricultural Systems 2nd Ed, 33-72.
- 35 455 Tenore KR (1976). Food chain dynamics of abalone in a polyculture system. Aquaculture 8: 23-7.
  - Tolon T, Emirolu D, Günay D, Hancı B (2017). Effect of stocking density on growth performance of juvenile sea 457 cucumber Holothuria tubulosa (Gmelin, 1788). Aquac Res 48: 4124-4131. https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13232
  - Troell M, Joyce A, Chopin T, Neori A, Buschmann AH, Fangh GJ (2009). Ecological engineering in aquaculture 460 - Potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine offshore systems. Aquaculture 297 (1-4): 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.09.010
  - Troell M, Norberg J (1998). Modelling output and retention of suspended solids in an integrated salmon-mussel 463 culture. Ecol Modell 110: 65-77.
  - 464 Troell M, Naylor RL, Metian M et al (2014). Does aquaculture add resilience to the global food system? PNAS 465 23. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1404067111.
- 54 466 Tsarenko PM (2011). Trebouxiophyceae. In: Algae of Ukraine: diversity, nomenclature, taxonomy, ecology and 56 467 geography. Volume 3: Chlorophyta. (Tsarenko, P.M., Wasser, S.P. & Nevo, E. Eds): 61-108. Ruggell: 468 A.R.A. Gantner Verlag K.-G.

1

2 3

4

5 6

7 8 440

9 10 441

11

13

1 470 2	) subsequent effect on egg quality and larval viability. Aquaculture 155: 45-54
<sup>3</sup> 47 <sup>4</sup> 472 <sup>6</sup> 472 <sup>8</sup> 474	<ul> <li>Wezel A, Herren BG, Kerr RB, Barrios E, Gonçalves ALR, Sinclair F (2020). Agroecological principles and</li> <li>elements and their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. A review. Agronomy for</li> <li>Sustainable Development, Springer Verlag/EDP Sciences/INRA, 2020, 40 (6), pp.40. 10.1007/s13593-020-</li> <li>00646-z . hal-03410520</li> </ul>
10 47	<ul> <li>Wongkiew S, Hu Z, Kartik C, Lee JW, Khanal SK (2017). Nitrogen transformations in aquaponic systems: A</li> <li>review. Aquac Eng 76.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Yu LQJ, Mu Y, Zhao AZ, Lam VWY, Sumaila R (2017). Economic challenges to the generalization of integrated</li> <li>multi-trophic aquaculture: An empirical comparative study on kelp monoculture and kelp-mollusk</li> <li>polyculture in Weihai, China. Aquaculture 471: 130-139.</li> <li>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.01.015</li> </ul>
<sup>20</sup> 48	1 Author information
22 23 482	2 - Affiliations
$\frac{24}{25}$ 48.	3 MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Sète, France
27 484 29	Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL (ORCID 0000-0002-0954-0033), Emilie LE FLOC'H (ORCID 0000-
29 48:	5 0002-0887-121X), Martine FORTUNE, Thierry LAUGIER (ORCID 0000-0003-0409-4510), Anaïs CROTTIER,
30 <b>48</b> 0 31	6 Angélique GOBET (ORCID 0000-0003-4204-8451), Eric FOUILLAND (ORCID 0000-0001-9633-8847)
32 48' 33	7 MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Palavas-les-flots, France
35 488	8 François RUELLE, Sébastien TRIPLET (ORCID 0000-0002-9060-7089), Thibault GEOFFROY
36 37 48	9 Ifremer, CNRS, IRD, LEMAR, University of Brest, Plouzané, France
38 39 <b>49</b> ( 40	Mathieu LUTIER (ORCID 0000-0003-3955-9277)
41 49	I Ifremer, LER Bretagne Nord, CRESCO, Dinard, France
42 43 492 44	2 Patrik LE GALL
45 49.	3 Ifremer, Laboratoire METabolites des microALGues, Nantes, France
46 47 494 48 404	4 Clarisse HUBERT
49. 49 49. 50	6 - <b>Corresponding author</b>
52 49' 53 54 498	7 Correspondence to Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL emmanuelle.roque@ifremer.fr 8
56 57 499	9 Statements & Declaration
58 59 50	) Ethical
50	
51 52	
53 54	16
2 3 4	16
	= 0

Utting SD, Millican PF (1997). Techniques for the hatchery conditioning of bivalve broodstocks and the

65

All sampling and animal handling respected good scientific practice and animal welfare rules. The number of fish sampled was limited to that strictly necessary: the reared fish were shared with another ERA-Net project (Animal

Health and Welfare - Welfare, Health and Individuality in Farmed Fish, ANIHWA WINFISH, 2015-2018).

Funding

> This work was supported by the ERA-Net COFASP project (IMTA-Effect project) with funding from The French National Research Agency (ANR-15-COFA-0001-06).

### **Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest concerning this manuscript and no competing financial interests that could influence the work reported in this paper.

### Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

### Author contributions

ERO and EF designed the study and wrote the manuscript. ML and FR managed the day-to-day experiment, ML, <sup>32</sup> 515 ERO and EF collected the samples. ML, ERO, FR, ST, TL, AC, PLG performed the biometrics. ML, AG, ELF, CH performed the microalgae analyses. TG performed the nutrient analyses. ML performed the statistics. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. 39 518 Full names as follows: Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL (ERO), Eric FOUILLAND (EF), Mathieu LUTIER

41 519 (ML), François RUELLE (FR), Sébastien TRIPLET (ST), Thierry LAUGIER (TL), Patrik LE GALL (PLG),

43 520 Clarisse HUBERT (CH), Anaïs CROTTIER (AC), Emilie LE FLOC'H (ELF), Thibault GEOFFROY (TG),

Angélique GOBET (AG). All authors approved the manuscript submission.

±

# Marine ecological aquaculture: a successful Mediterranean integrated multi-trophic aquaculture case study of a fish, oyster and algae assemblage

Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL, Mathieu LUTIER, Emilie LE FLOC'H, François RUELLE, Sébastien TRIPLET, Patrik LE GALL, Clarisse HUBERT, Martine FORTUNE, Thierry LAUGIER, Thibault GEOFFROY, Anaïs CROTTIER, Angélique GOBET, Eric FOUILLAND

## 7 Abstract

Inspired by agroecology, ecological aquaculture proposes an alternative model that uses ecology as a paradigm to develop innovative, more eco-friendly aquaculture with environmental, economic and social benefits. Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) is one application of this principle. Inspired by the natural trophic chain, it associates primary producers with primary or secondary consumers, providing a new source of biomass without requiring supplementary feed by recycling inorganic and organic wastes. Of these systems, land-based IMTAs demonstrate several advantages, especially easier control of nutrient flows, contaminants and/or predators. This study focused on a land-based marine IMTA, combining a recirculating aquaculture system for fish consecutively with a natural marine polyculture of microalgae and oyster cultivation. The objective was to assess the ability of the microalgal polyculture both to bioremediate fish nutrients and to sustain oyster growth. For the first time in a Mediterranean climate, we confirmed the feasibility of developing a microalgae community of interest for oysters maintained by fish effluent. Despite strong variability in microalgae production, this IMTA system resulted in significant oyster growth over the experimental period of one month, with growth results of the same order of magnitude as natural juvenile growth. In the conditions tested, this IMTA with reduced human intervention allowed a gain in recoverable biomass of 3.7 g of oyster produced per kg of fish feed distributed. By transforming waste into additional biomass, IMTAs offer a more promising, ecological avenue for aquaculture, based on a circular economy, which may in turn increase the social acceptability of fish farming. (250 words)

- 24 Key words Integrated Multi-trophic Aquaculture, nutrient recycling, oysters, fish, microalgae.
- 25 Abbreviations:
- 26 ARA: arachidonic acid
- 27 EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid
- HRAP: high-rate algal pond
- 29 IMTA: Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture
- 30 PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids

31 RAS: recirculating aquaculture systems

32 RE: removal efficiency

SGR: specific growth rate

### 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Food system paradigms

Today, the global food system and food security face multiple challenges, with a convergence of population growth and increasing hunger and malnutrition (FAO 2016). Additional pressure, e.g. anthropic activity and environmental pollution, threatens natural resources, while the consequences of climate change are leading to the loss of biodiversity and unbalanced production systems (IPBES 2019). A transition is required towards more sustainable production and consumption.

In recent decades, the general paradigm in animal or vegetal feedstock production, especially in Europe, has been to grow one selected species under conditions of intensive cultivation. This method of production is highly dependent on the species' ability for high and stable growth and resilience to sudden environmental changes. In a context of global change, with extreme climatic events occurring more frequently, in aquaculture systems, high water temperatures potentially increase the risk of some diseases (Karvonen et al. 2010). Monospecific systems are based on species selected for their ability to dedicate their energy to growth and have little adaptability to exogenous pressures; thus, xenobiotics (e.g. antimicrobials) are often needed to maintain productivity in intensive systems (Smith et al. 1999). Of animal production systems, aquaculture is growing 7.8% per year, exceeding all others (Troell et al. 2014). Monospecific aquaculture (especially to raise finfish) is today common worldwide and is often associated with the use of antibiotics (Miranda 2011; Lulijwa et al. 2019), potential chemical contaminants such as heavy metals or dioxins, or hormones (FAO 2003). Another disadvantage is the discharge of large amounts of nutrients (i.e. from organism excretions and unconsumed food), which can cause the eutrophication and deoxygenation of coastal waters when environmental carrying capacity is exceeded (Gowen and Bradbury 1987; Pillay 2004). This can foster the development of pathogens and parasites, which may in turn negatively impact biodiversity, human health, as well as the farmed species (Neori et al. 2004; Jegatheesan et al. 2011), leading to sometimes dramatic environmental concerns due to the presence of potential harmful residues impacting the health of end consumers (Okocha et al. 2018).

59 1.2 From monospecific to multispecies production systems

A major paradigm shift is essential to improve not only aquaculture food safety, but also its social acceptability. In the agricultural sector, research into alternative agriculture applying the principles of ecology emerged in the 1980s (Altieri 1983). The 'agroecology' paradigm is based on several principles: e.g. input reduction, recycling, animal health, biodiversity and synergy (Wezel et al. 2020). It aims to take into account interactions between the plants, animals, humans and the environment within agricultural systems and to imitate the natural processes involved in ecosystem productivity, stability and resilience (Malézieux 2012) in order to develop new production methods (Snapp 2017).

Inspired by this approach, 'ecological aquaculture' has been put forward as an alternative model that uses ecology as a paradigm to develop aquaculture with environmental, economic and social benefits. It maintains that aquaculture should mimic the structure and functions of natural ecosystems and practice nutrient recycling through waste reuse (Costa-Pierce 2015; Aubin et al. 2017). Based on the natural trophic chain, integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) is in this sense one of the logical next steps in alternative aquaculture development (Barrington et al. 2009), representing a way to improve existing systems.

## 73 1.3 Higher diversity in farmed species could help social acceptability

Studies looking into the possibility of IMTA began in the early 1970s (Ryther 1975; Goldman et al. 1974), with research efforts increasing over the last two decades, both in marine ecosystems (Chopin et al. 2001; Hussenot 2003; Barrington et al. 2009 in FAO 2009; Milhazes-Cunha and Otero, 2017; Buck et al. 2018) and freshwater ecosystems (Wongkiew et al. 2017). As mentioned by Chopin (2013), the concept of IMTA can in fact be traced back to the origins of aquaculture (in 2200–2100 BCE, You Hou Bin detailed the integration of fish with aquatic plants). Chopin defines IMTA as "The farming, in proximity, of species from different trophic levels and with complementary ecosystem functions in a way that allows one species' uneaten feed and wastes, nutrients and by-products to be recaptured and converted into fertilizer, feed and energy for the other crops, and to take advantage of synergistic interactions among species while biomitigation takes place."

By integrating species with complementary diets, IMTAs reproduce a simplified trophic chain (Fig. 1), in which both primary producers and consumers play a key role. Microalgae or macroalgae nourished by inorganic liquid effluents use photosynthesis to grow, reintroducing energy into the system and acting both to fix CO<sub>2</sub> and to provide O<sub>2</sub> and food for other organisms (Shpigel and Neori 1996; Demetropoulos and Langdon 2004; Barrington et al. 2009). They can serve as a food source for high value-added species, e.g. grazers or filter-feeders such as abalone, urchins, oysters or clams (Tenore 1976; Hugues-Games 1977; Gordin et al. 1981; Borges et al. 2005).

89 Organic compounds released by farmed species or from unused external feed can also be consumed by primary or 90 secondary consumers such as crustaceans, or echinoderms such as holothurians and urchins (Chopin 2013). The 91 resulting reduced environmental impact of IMTA could increase the social acceptability of aquaculture (Alexander 92 et al. 2016; Knowler et al. 2020), representing a perceived improvement over current monoculture practices for 93 the public (Barrington et al. 2010).

94 In comparison to other systems, IMTA is not only more environmentally friendly, but can also potentially provide 95 more economic stability through product diversification (Granada et al. 2016), although the overall capital gain 96 (via greater degree of productivity) has not yet been demonstrated at an industrial level, apart from in one Asian 97 study (Fang et al. 2019).

### 98 1.4 Current and future challenges of IMTA

99 IMTAs can be developed both in open sea or inland areas (Shpigel and Neori 1996; Neori et al. 1998). Among 23 100 current IMTAs challenges, there are (i) biological challenges with the choice of candidate species adapted to the 25 101 environmental and societal contexts, (ii) economical challenges to demonstrate their performance and rentability 27 102 in comparison with conventional systems (Yu et al. 2017) and (iii) zoo-technological challenges to determinate 29 103 key variables to cultivate candidate species (Buck et al. 2018) and to ensure nutrient fluxes management (Granada 31 104 et al. 2016), especially for offshore systems (Buck et al. 2018) where the connectivity between compartments need 33 105 to be documented. Moreover, new planification of aquaculture area have to be considered, with extensive areas 35 106 required for species coping with low natural densities, such as holothurian (Tolon et al. 2017; Chary et al. 2019). 37 107 Land-based IMTAs present several advantages: easier control of flows, less pressure from predators or pathogens, 39 108 and negligible exposure to extreme climatic events (Manzi and Castagna 1989; Neori et al. 2004; Blancheton et 41 109 al. 2009). Of the systems widely used for land-based fish production, recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) 43 110 offer many advantages, such as reduced water consumption based on a bacteria treatment loop (Piedrahita 2003; 45 111 Martins et al. 2010). Wastewater flow in an RAS is consequently reduced compared to traditional flow-through 47 112 systems, but carries inorganic compounds such as PO43-, NO3- and CO2. To reduce these compounds, one of the <sup>49</sup> 113 existing treatments proposed by IMTA is to integrate a compartment of primary producers. Macroalgae have <sup>51</sup> 114 frequently been included in these systems as biofilters, e.g. Ulva spp. and Gracilaria spp. (Neori et al. 2004; Lopez 115 Figueroa et al. 2012; Neori et al. 2017), but microalgae are less frequently used (Milhazes-Cunha and Otero 2017). 116 Like macroalgae, microalgae add value in terms of feeding macro-invertebrates (i.e. filter-feeders), but they could 117 be even more promising because of their higher photosynthesis rate and greater surface-area-to-volume ratio (i.e. 118 higher nutrient uptake) (Milhazes-Cunha and Otero 2017).

119 One challenge for land-based IMTA systems is ensuring microalgae culture stability to allow both optimal nutrient 120 remediation for the primary culture (e.g. fish) and optimal feeding for the associated culture (e.g. filter species). A monospecific algal culture may be selected in order to meet the needs of the other IMTA species. However, several 122 studies have reported that an algal polyculture consisting of an assemblage of several species presents higher 123 resilience to disturbances and ensures greater efficiency in resource use (Newby et al. 2016). In particular, multispecies algal cultures could better cope with climatic and fish-effluent variability. Some authors have demonstrated that natural plurispecific algal cultures can both grow on finfish effluent and ensure nutrient remediation (Lefebvre et al. 1996, 2004; Neori et al. 2017, Galès et al. 2020). Of course, within the IMTA, microalgae must also fulfil the feeding requirements of filter species. In one study, the addition of silicate in an algal culture initially filled with natural seawater and continuously supplemented with nitrate-enriched RAS wastewater led to a diatom-based algal culture with a remediation capacity equivalent to that of macroalgae (Li et al. 2019). In the microalgae biochemical composition, lipid content as well as essential amino acids and polyunsaturated fatty acids play a major role in the diet energy content, directly controlling oyster assimilation and biomass productivity (Brown et al. 1997 and references therein; Ben Kheder et al. 2010; Anjos et al. 2017). This nutritional value is mainly related to microalgal diversity, with diatoms being the most suitable diet for oyster growth (Brown et al. 1997 and references therein).

The use of a continuous nutrient-enriched multispecies algal culture as an inoculum reservoir, if the culture is well controlled, stabilized and monitored, may help: (i) to reduce the risk of producing undesirable (i.e. toxic) algal species (when using natural coastal seawater inoculum), (ii) to accelerate the time required to reach the maximal microalgal biomass, ensuring optimal inorganic matter remediation and oyster feeding.

This study focused on combining a RAS (for sea bass) with algal polycultures and oyster cultures. We used an
inoculum from a year-round algal culture (a high-rate algal pond or HRAP, located close to the IMTA) initially
inoculated with natural local seawater. The aim was to assess the algal polyculture's ability to sustain oyster growth
from an associated compartment when continuously supplemented with wastewater from a fish-based RAS in an
IMTA context.

- **53** 144 **2. From IMTA theory to a new way of production**
- 55 145 2.1. Materials and methods
  - 6 2.1.1. Land-based marine IMTA system

147 The experiment ran over 31 days, from 17 April 2018 (day 1) to 17 May 2018 (day 32), at the French Institute for Ocean Science (Ifremer) station in Palavas-les-Flots (southern France), which has a Mediterranean climate. The experimental IMTA system (Fig. 2) was adapted following Li et al. (2019), with improved hydrodynamics by 150 including additional recirculation pumps in the outdoor microalgal raceways and oyster tanks.

151 Three separate units were created to conduct the experiment. An indoor RAS (in triplicate) was dedicated to sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) (n=1380 fish, split into 460 per tank, initial weight of 425±134 g ind<sup>-1</sup>), which were reared at a density increasing from 51 kg  $m^{-3}$  (d1) to 56 kg  $m^{-3}$  (d32); this unit was considered a nutrient provider. Each was connected to an outdoor microalgal raceway (6 m<sup>3</sup>, n=3), which continuously received RAS wastewater with a fixed flow rate (1 L.min<sup>-1</sup>), ensuring a hydraulic retention time of 4.2 d.

The IMTA microalgal raceways were inoculated with RAS effluent seawater (4:5 of the mix) and a local microalgal reservoir (1:5) containing a consortium dominated by Chlorellales (mainly Schizochlamydella sp and Picochlorum sp). Silicate (Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>,5H<sub>2</sub>O) was added to reach a N:Si:P molar ratio of nearly 10:5:1 in order to favour diatom dominance (Lefebvre et al., 1996). Nanostream electronic pumps (Turbelle®) were added in order to limit biodeposition and ensure light access.

The microalgae cultures (chlorophyll a concentration of 6.3 mg.l<sup>-1</sup>) were then mixed in a tank with airstones and distributed to 3 outdoor tanks containing juvenile Pacific oysters (Crassostrea gigas). The microalgae flow rate of 2.7 L.h<sup>-1</sup> was diluted with 100 L.h<sup>-1</sup> of fresh filtered seawater (dilution factor = 37), in order to approach a daily feeding ration of 6–8% dry weight (DW). This food ration is frequently used to meet the energy requirements of C. gigas broodstock in hatcheries (Utting and Millican 1997; Fabioux et al. 2005; Delaporte et al. 2006); and represents a ration 3 times higher than in a previous study (Li et al. 2019). Food distribution was optimized by the inclusion of a homogenization pump (Turbelle®) and an airlift and by replacing baskets clogged by biofouling with new ones every two weeks.

## 2.1.2. Nutrient remediation and algal biomass production

Throughout the 31-day experiment, the water was sampled 3 times a week to monitor nutrient parameters (inlet and outlet) in the photosynthetic extractive compartment (the 3 outdoor microalgal raceways). Water samples (n total = 49) were filtered (GF/F, WhatmanTM) after each sampling and stored (at -25°C) for NO<sub>3</sub>-N, NO<sub>2</sub>-N, NH<sub>4</sub>-N, PO<sub>4</sub>-P analysis (Alliance® auto-analyser). Nutrient removal efficiency (RE, %) was calculated (see Li et al. 2019).

The microalgal biomass was monitored 3 times a week in the 3 outdoor microalgal raceways; chlorophyll a (Chl*a*) was determined after water sample filtration using GF/F filters (Association 1995), and the pigments were extracted with methanol (Ritchie 2006). Chl*a* concentration was measured using a spectrophotometer and calculated using the Ritchie (2006) equation. The main microalgal species were identified by microscopy with an Olympus IMT2 inverted light microscope, following the protocol of the French Observation and Monitoring Programme for Phytoplankton and Hydrology (REPHY) in coastal waters (Neaud-Masson 2020).

Some environmental parameters (i.e. temperature, salinity, pH) were monitored daily in all tanks (i.e. fish, algae and oyster) using a YSI® probe.

2.1.3. Oyster growth

On d1, 756 8-month juvenile oysters ( $n_{total}$ =756) were split into 3 outdoor tanks ( $n_{tank}$ = 252±3). The initial fresh weight and length of the juvenile oysters were measured, with values of 1.55±0.33 g.ind<sup>-1</sup> and 22±3 mm.ind<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The final fresh weight and length were measured after 32 days. The specific growth rate (SGR) was calculated with the equation:

$$SGR (in \%) = \frac{ln(final weight - initial weight) * 100}{number of days}$$

The non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney statistical test was used to assess median differences between experimental conditions.

### 192 2.2. Results & discussion

193 An IMTA-microalgae community of interest, but highly variable Chla production

194 The results confirmed the feasibility of cultivating a microalgae community on fish effluent in a Mediterranean 195 climate.

In all raceways, the Chl*a* pigment concentrations, indicator of algal biomass, showed a similar three-phased pattern (Fig. 3): (1) from d1 to d9, a low initial concentration of around 0.06 mg Chl*a*.L<sup>-1</sup>, with *cyanobacteria* dominance observed in microscopy, (2) from d9 to d16, an increase in production (reaching a maximum of 0.58 mg Chl*a*.L<sup>-1</sup> at d15), with the dominance of *Cylindrotheca closterium* (Ehrenberg) Reimann and Lewin 1964, and finally, (3) after d16, a decline in algal growth, still with *Cylindrotheca closterium* (at d23) as the main algal species, and *Pseudo-nitzschia* and *cyanobacteria* at the end of the culture (d28).

Using an inoculum from a local intensive algal culture to start the microalgal culture, we observed that the maximalChl*a* production was reached after 16 days, twice as fast as in a previous experiment performed during the same

seasonal period but using a natural seawater inoculum (Li et al., 2019). The microalgal crash around d24 was probably due to rapid algal  $CO_2$  consumption leading to elevated pH (maximum pH of 10) and  $CO_2$  depletion.

The results also confirmed the feasibility of bioremediating fish nutrients using an inoculum from a local HRAP. The remediation of phosphates was high,  $85 \pm 25\%$  (with a maximal value of 97.6%), but was lower for nitrogenous elements, with  $49 \pm 21\%$  for NH<sub>4</sub>-N (maximal value of 93.5%), and  $24.9 \pm 11.1\%$  for NO<sub>3</sub>-N (maximal value of 51.3%).

The algal yields – and as a consequence bioremediation efficiency – could be improved, for example, by reusing CO<sub>2</sub> released by the fish in the RAS loop in the algae culture in order to maintain pH at seawater value (*ca* 8) and to avoid CO<sub>2</sub> depletion. Another research avenue would be to integrate detritivorous organisms (e.g. mullet fish, holothurians, nematodes) with algae cultures, as the former would be able to feed on deposits.

### 214 Juvenile oysters more than doubled in weight and length

During the 1-month period, both weight and length gain in the oysters was significant (p < 0.001), more than doubling, with a final weight of  $3.7\pm0.9$  g ind<sup>-1</sup> (n=754). No mortality was observed (0.2%). Despite strong variability in algal production within the IMTA-microalgae raceways, the produced biomass allowed significant oyster growth over the experimental period: a very encouraging result. The oyster growth rate of 1.04% was in the same order of magnitude as that of juveniles reared in a nearby natural lagoon (0.97% in Li et al., 2019).

As mentioned by Troell et al. (2009), the integration of bivalves in an IMTA is not straightforward. With the addition of silicates, the phytoplankton that grows with the input of fish nutrients is suitable food for filter-feeders and can have a positive (Lefebvre et al. 2000, 2004) or insignificant (Li et al. 2019) effect on bivalve growth. Those growth results are mainly explained by determining environmental factors, such as ambient concentrations of nutrient availability, particulate organic matter or seston content (Troell and Norberg 1998), but also indirectly by fish-feeding variability (i.e. its duration and quantity).

In our case study, the IMTA microalgal inoculum was mostly composed of Chlorellales – these are not the common
microalgae used for juvenile oyster feed, which is usually composed of diatoms or *Prymnesiophyceae*(McCausland et al. 1999; Ponis et al. 2003). Indeed, juvenile oysters are usually not able to retain small particles,
e.g. < 4 µm for *C. gigas* when sestonic load is low, <12 µm when sestonic load is higher (Barillé et al. 1993), and</li>
even 20 µm for *Ostrea chilensis* (Dunphy et al. 2006). Chlorellales such as *Schizochlamydella* sp and *Picochlorum*sp are rather small (2–10 microns and 1.5–3 microns, respectively) and consequently not assimilable by juvenile
oysters (Korshikov 1953; Tsarenko 2011; Henley et al. 2004). The addition of silicates permitted a shift in the

initial algal community towards diatoms of the *Bacillariophyceae* family, whether these were present (but not detectable) in the 1:5 inoculum at the beginning of the period, or in the 4:5 water from the RAS effluent. This shift in the microalgal composition allowed the growth of the juvenile oysters, indicating that the feed composition was both assimilable and resulted in growth gain. *C. closterium*, which grew in the microalgal raceways, are long cells (> to 25  $\mu$ m; Reimann and Lewin 1964) with a particular shape that could favour its retention on oyster gills and be suitable microalgae for juvenile oyster growth. Its high nutritional value for secondary consumers has previously been described: it has high lipid content and is particularly rich in essential PUFAs such as EPA and ARA (Keerthi et al. 2012). Other constituents may also have played a role in the oyster food chain, as ciliates and flagellates from 4 to 72  $\mu$ m are known to be retained by the oyster (Dupuy et al. 1999). Future experiments on the entire microbial food web are necessary to delve further into the microorganism communities assimilable by oysters in an IMTA oyster-growing context. To reach optimal conditions for bivalve production, these experiments can give rise to improvements in the system – for example, daily uniform fish feeding and therefore, nutrient excretion – to smooth out variations in the microalgae cultures and decrease the risk of crop crashing.

5 IMTA supplementary biomass production

At a daily feeding rate of 1% of the fish biomass, 5.89 kg of feed.d<sup>-1</sup> were distributed, giving a growth ratio of 2.39 kg of fish.d<sup>-1</sup>. The nutrients excreted by fish and taken in by microalgae fed an oyster biomass of 0.022 kg.d<sup>-1</sup>.

In the experimental conditions tested, the results showed that an IMTA with reduced human intervention allowed a gain in recoverable biomass: i.e. 3.7 g of oyster produced per kg of fish feed distributed. For a production unit of 100 tonnes of fish fed at 1% of the biomass twice a day, an additional production of 2.7 tonnes of oyster could be provided per year. This yield could be even higher if 100% RAS water was used to supplement the microalgal compartment (5% of RAS water was used in this study), as in this case 20 microalgal raceways could be run. Moreover, only 2% of the microalgae biomass was used to feed the 3 oyster compartments: if 100% was used to feed the oysters, 37 times more oyster biomass would be produced. Further work is needed to investigate the economic viability of such a system in more detail, in order to establish whether there is an overall economic benefit to implementing such a system.

### Conclusion

This study demonstrated the feasibility of using an inoculum of a microalgal polyculture (favouring diatoms of interest) from a local intensive basin together with an appropriate oyster–food ratio to feed oyster juveniles in an IMTA community. The experiment resulted in equivalent Chl*a* production in a shorter time frame than a previous

published study (15 days less to reach the same production). This IMTA design could still be improved, inparticular regarding technical and environmental factors, in order to better control algal culture variability.

By transforming waste into reusable material and additional biomass, IMTAs can reduce environmental impact, giving a more positive, ecological image to aquaculture. It could potentially be promoted with a specific 'circular aquaculture' certification. However, despite its promise, in Europe the development of IMTA still faces various issues. Some of the obstacles could be overcome by pursuing research on both biological, economic and social aspects of IMTA and of end consumer perceptions of its products. Innovative zero-waste designs should be studied, with specific attention paid to analysing their effects on the biology and welfare of candidate species and the quality of the end products. Increasing the economic and ecological sustainability of aquaculture should help build the case for its social acceptance.

### 3 Acknowledgements

This study was conducted in the framework of the Cooperation in Fisheries, Aquaculture and Seafood Processing (COFASP) European Research Area Network (ERA-Net) project (IMTA-Effect project) with funding from the French National Research Agency (ANR-15-COFA-0001-06) (for installations, experiments, analyses) and the Marine Biodiversity and Conservation (MARBEC) research group (which funded M. Lutier's Master's degree). The authors would also like to thank colleagues from MARBEC and the French National Research Institute for Agriculture, Food and the Environment (INRAE) for their contribution in the fish biometry: Bastien Sadoul, Eric Gasset, Frédéric Clota, Benjamin Geffroy and the two students Maxime Petit Jean and Baptiste Bal. We also thank Claire Carre for her expertise on phytoplankton, Audrey Mandonnet for her help in the laboratory, Elise Caillard for her photos, and Cyrille Przybyla, manager of the VASCO2 project that provided us with the algal inoculum. Finally, many thanks to the editor and referees for their constructive comments on the initial version of this manuscript, and to Elise Bradbury for the English proofreading.

### **Reference list**

- Altieri MA, Letourneau DK, Davis JR (1983). Developing Sustainable Agroecosystems, BioSci 33 (1), 45–49
- Alexander KA, Freeman S, Potts T (2016). Navigating uncertain waters: European public perceptions of integrated
   multi trophic aquaculture (IMTA). Env Sci Policy 61: 230-237.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2016.04.020
- Anjos C, Baptista T, Joaquim S, Mendes S, Matias AM, Moura P, Simões T, Matias D (2017). Broodstock
  conditioning of the Portuguese oyster (*Crassostrea angulata*, Lamarck, 1819): influence of different diets.
  Aquac Res 48: 3859-3878. https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13213

Association APH (1995). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.

- Aubin J, Callier M, Rey-Valette H, Mathé S, Wilfart A, Legendre M, Slembrouck J, Caruso D, Chia E, Masson G, Blancheton JP et al (2017). Implementing ecological intensification in fish farming: definition and principles from contrasting experiences. Rev Aquac 0. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12231
- Barillé L, Prou J, Heral M, Bougrier S (1993). No influence of food quality, but ration-dependent retention efficiencies in the Japanese oyster Crassostrea gigas. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 171: 91-106
- Barrington K, Chopin T, Robinson S (2009). Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine temperate waters. In: Soto D (ed.) Integrated Mariculture: A Global Review. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 529, 7-46. FAO, Rome
- Barrington K, Ridler N, Chopin T, Robinson S, Robinson B (2010). Social aspects of the sustainability of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. Aquac Int 18-2: 201-211. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10499-008-9236-
- Ben Kheder R, Quéré C, Moal J, Robert R (2010). Effect of nutrition on Crassostrea gigas larval development and the evolution of physiological indices. Part A: Quantitative and qualitative diet effects. Aquaculture 305, 1-4: 165-173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.04.022
- Blancheton JP, Bosc P, Hussenot J, Roque D'Orbcastel E, Romain D (2009). The 'new' European fish culture systems: Recirculating systems, offshore cages, integrated systems. Cah Agric 18(2): 227-234. https://doi.org/10.1684/agr.2009.0294
- Borges MT, Silva P, Moreira L, Soares R (2005). Integration of consumer-targeted microalgal production with marine fish effluent biofiltration-a strategy for mariculture sustainability. J Appl Phycol 17 (3): 187-197. 34 313 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-005-4842-y
- 36 314 Brown MR, Jeffrey SW, Volkman JK, Dunstan GA (1997). Nutritional properties of microalgae for mariculture. Aquaculture 151, 1-4: 315-331. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(96)01501-3.
  - Buck B and Troell MF and Krause G and Angel DL and Grote B and Chopin T (2018). State of the Art and Challenges for Offshore Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA). Review article. Front Mar Sci 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00165
- Chary K, Fiandrino A, Coves D, Aubin J, Falguiere JC, Callier M (2019). Modeling sea cage outputs for data-46 320 scarce areas: application to red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) aquaculture in Mayotte, Indian Ocean. Aquac Int 27(3): 625-646. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-019-00351-z
  - Chopin T (2013). Aquaculture, Integrated Multi-trophic (IMTA). In: Christou P., Savin R., Costa-Pierce B.A., Misztal I., Whitelaw C.B.A. (eds) Sustainable Food Production. Springer, New York, NY
- 53 324 Chopin T, Buschmann AH, Halling C, Troell M, Kautsky N, Neori A, Kraemer GP, Zertuche-González JA, Yarrish 55 325 C, Neefus C (2001). Integrating seaweeds into marine aquaculture systems: a key toward sustainability. J Phycol 37: 975-986. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.2001.01137.x
- Costa-Pierce BA (2015). Seven Principles of Ecological Aquaculture: A Guide for the Blue Revolution. Ecological 60 328 Aquaculture Foundation, Biddeford, Maine, USA. ©2015 Ecological Aquaculture Foundation.

12 300

17 303

22 306

 

- 329 Delaporte M, Soudant P, Lambert C, Moal J, Pouvreau S, Samain JF (2006). Impact of food availability on energy 1 330 storage and defense related hemocyte parameters of the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas during an 2 331 experimental reproductive cycle. Aquaculture 254(1-4): 571-582. 3 4 332 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.10.006 5
  - 333 Demetropoulos CL, Langdon CJ (2004). Enhanced production of Pacific dulse (Palmaria mollis) for co-culture 334 with abalone in a land-based system: nitrogen, phosphorus, and trace metal nutrition. Aquaculture 235: 335 433-455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2003.09.012
- 336 Dunphy BJ, Hall JA, Jeffs AG, Wells RMG (2006). Selective particle feeding by the Chilean oyster, Ostrea 13 337 chilensis; implications for nursery culture and broodstock conditioning. Aquaculture 261, 2: 594-602, ISSN 338 0044-8486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.08.015.
- 339 Dupuy C, Le Gall S, Hartmann HJ, Bréret M (1999). Retention of ciliates and flagellates by the oyster Crassostrea 18 340 gigas in French Atlantic coastal ponds: protists as a trophic link between bacterioplankton and benthic 341 suspension-feeders. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 177: 165-175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.01.015
- 342 FAO (2003). Assessment and Management of Seafood Safety and Quality. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture 23 343 Technical Paper. No. 444. Rome, FAO. 2003. 230p
- 25 344 FAO (2009). Integrated mariculture: a global review. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 529. 345 Rome, FAO. 2009. 183p
  - 346 FAO (2016). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper
  - 347 Fabioux C, Huvet A, Le Souchu P, Le Pennec M, Pouvreau S (2005). Temperature and photoperiod drive 348 Crassostrea reproductive internal clock. Aquaculture 250, 1-2: 458-470. gigas 349 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.02.038
- 36 350 Fang J, Zhang J, Xiao T, Huang D, Liu S (2019). Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in Sanggou Bay, 351 China. AEI 8, 201-205. https://doi.org/10.3354/AEI00179
- 352 Galès A, Triplet S, Geoffroy G, Roques C, Carré C, Le Floc'h E, Lanfranchii M, Simier M, Roque D'Orbcastel E, 353 Przybyla C, Fouilland E (2020). Control of the pH for marine microalgae polycultures: A key point for CO<sub>2</sub> 354 fixation improvement in intensive cultures. Journal of  $CO_2$ Utilization 38: 187-193. 44 355 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2020.01.019
- 46 356 Goldman JC, Tenore RK, Ryther HJ, Corwin N (1974). Inorganic nitrogen removal in a combined tertiary 357 treatment-marine aquaculture system. I. Removal efficiencies. Water Res 8:45-54
  - 358 Gordin H, Motzkin F, Huges-Games WL, Porter C (1981). Seawater maricultura pond. An integrated system. 359 European Mariculture Society Special Publication 6, 1-13.
- 53 360 Gowen RJ, Bradbury NB (1987). The ecological impact of salmon farming in coastal waters: A review. Oceanogr. 361 Mar Biol 25: 563D575.
- 57 362 Granada L, Sousa N, Lopes S, Lemos MFL (2016). Is integrated multitrophic aquaculture the solution to the 363 sectors' major challenges? - a review. Rev Aquac 8: 283-300. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12093

63 64 65

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

14

15 16

17

19

20 21

22

24

26

27 28

29 30

31 32

33

34 35

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

45

47

48 49

50 51

52

54

55 56

58

- 2 3 4 5 6 7 369 8 9 10 370 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 374 18 19 20 376 21 22 377 23 378 24 25 379 26 27 380 28 381 29 30 382 31 32 383 33 34 384 35 36 385 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 390 45 46 391 47 48 49 50 52 53 55 56 397 57 <sub>58</sub> 398 59 60 61 62 63 64 65
- Henley WJ, Hironaka JL, Guillou L, Buchheim MA, Buchheim JA, Fawley MW, Fawley KP (2004). Phylogenetic
  analysis of the 'Nannochloris-like' algae and diagnoses of *Picochlorum oklahomensis* gen. et sp. nov. (*Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta*). Phycologia 43 (6): 641–652. https://doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-43-6641.1
  Hughes-Games WL (1977). Growing the Japanese oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) in sub-tropical seawater fishponds.
  I. Growth rate, survival and quality index. Aquaculture 11:217-229.
  - Hussenot JME (2003). Emerging effluent management strategies in marine fish-culture farms located in European
    coastal wetlands. Aquaculture 226, 1–4: 113-128. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00472-1
  - 372 IPBES (2019). Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.
  - Jegatheesan V, Shu L, Visvanathan C (2011). Aquaculture effluent: impacts and remedies for protecting the
    environment and human health. In: Nriagu J (ed.) Encyclopedia of Environmental Health, 123–135.
    Elsevier Science, Burlington, Vt.
    - Karvonen A, Rintamäki P, Jokela J, Valtonen ET (2010). Increasing water temperature and disease risks in aquatic systems: climate change increases the risk of some, but not all, diseases. Int J Parasitol 40(13): 1483-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2010.04.015
    - Keerthi P, Judy J, Yogi K, Katherine A, Geoffrey D, Carmen S (2012). Impact of impurities in biodiesel- derived crude glycerol on the fermentation by *Clostridium pasteurianum* ATCC 6013, Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 93, 1325-1335
    - Knowler D, Chopin T, Martínez- Espiñeira R, Neori A, Nobre A, Noce A, Reid G (2020). The economics of Integrated Multi- Trophic Aquaculture: where are we now and where do we need to go? Rev Aquacult 12: 1579-1594. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12399
  - Korshikov AA (1953). Viznachnik prisnovodnihk vodorostey Ukrainsykoi RSR [Vyp] V. Pidklas Protokokovi
    (Protococcineae). Bakuol'ni (Vacuolales) ta Protokokovi (Protococcales) [The Freshwater Algae of the
    Ukrainian SSR. V. Sub-Class Protococcineae. Vacuolales and Protococcales]. pp. 1-439. Kyjv [Kiev]:
    Akad. NAUK URSR.
  - Lefebvre S, Hussenot J, Brossard N (1996). Water treatment of land-based fish farm effluents by outdoor culture
     of marine diatoms. J Appl Phycol 8, 3: 193-200. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02184971
  - Lefebvre S, Barille L, Clerc M (2000). Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) feeding responses to a fish-farm effluent.
     Aquaculture 187: 185–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00390-7
- 393 Lefebvre S, Probert I, Lefrançois C, Hussenot J (2004). Outdoor phytoplankton continuous culture in a marine 51 394 fish-phytoplankton-bivalve integrated system: combined effects of dilution rate and ambient conditions on 395 rate, biomass Aquaculture 240, 1-4, 211-231. growth and nutrient cycling. 54 396 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.06.022
  - Li M, Callier M, Blancheton JP, Galès A, Nahon S, Triplet S, Geoffroy T, Menniti C, Fouilland E, Roque D'Orbcastel E (2019). Bioremediation of fishpond effluent and production of microalgae for an oyster farm

in an innovative recirculating integrated multi-trophic aquaculture system. Aquaculture 504: 314–325.
 400 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.02.013

- 401 Lopez Figueroa F, Korbee N, Abdala Díaz R, Jerez C, Torre M, Güenaga L, Larrubia M, Gomez Pinchetti JL
  402 (2012). Biofiltration of fishpond effluents and accumulation of N-compounds (phycobiliproteins and
  403 mycosporine-like amino acids) versus C-compounds (polysaccharides) in *Hydropuntia cornea*404 (*Rhodophyta*). Mar. poll. bull. 64. 310-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.11.012.
- Lulijwa R, Rupia JE, Alfaro AC (2019). Antibiotic use in aquaculture, policies and regulation, health and
   environmental risks: a review of the top 15 major producers. Rev Aquac. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12344
- 407 McCausland MA, Brown MR, Barrett SM, Diemar JA, Heasman MP (1999). Evaluation of live microalgae and
   408 microalgal pastes as supplementary food for juvenile Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*), Aquaculture, 174,
   409 3–4: 323-342, ISSN 0044-8486, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00018-6.

410 Malézieux E (2012). Designing cropping systems from nature. ASD, 32: 15–29.

- Martins CIM, Eding EH, Verdegem MCJ, Heinsbroek LTN, Schneider O, Blancheton JP, Roque D'Orbcastel E,
   Verreth JAJ (2010). New developments in recirculating aquaculture systems in Europe: A perspective on environmental sustainability. Aqua Eng 43(3): 83-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2010.09.002
- 414 Manzi JJ, Castagna M (1989). Clam Culture in North America. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 461 pp.
  - Milhazes-Cunha H, Otero A (2017). Valorisation of aquaculture effluents with microalgae: The Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture concept. Algal Res 24 (B): 416-424. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ALGAL.2016.12.011
  - Miranda CD (2011). Antimicrobial Resistance Associated with Salmonid Farming. Antimicrobial Resistance in the Environment: 423-451. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118156247.ch22.
- 419 Neaud-Masson N (2020). Observation et dénombrement du phytoplancton marin par microscopie optique.
  420 Spécifications techniques et méthodologiques appliquées au REPHY. Version 2 février 2020.
  421 ODE/VIGIES/20/03. https://archimer.fr/doc/00609/72133/
  - Neori A, Ragg NLC, Shpigel M (1998). The integrated culture of seaweed, abalone, fish and clams in modular intensive landbased systems: II. Performance and nitrogen partitioning within an abalone (*Haliotis tuberculata*) and macroalgae culture system. Aquac Eng 17: 215-239. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8609(98)00017-X
- 426 Neori A, Chopin T, Troell M, Buschmann AH, Kraemer GP, Halling C, Shpigel M, Yarish C (2004). Integrated
  427 aquaculture: rationale, evolution and state of the art emphasizing seaweed biofiltration in modern
  428 mariculture. Aquaculture 231 (1): 361-391. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2003.11.015
- 429 Neori A, Shpigel M, Guttman L, Israel A (2017). Development of Polyculture and Integrated Multi -Trophic
  430 Aquaculture (IMTA) in Israel: A Review. The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture Bamidgeh,
  431 IJA\_69.2017.1385, 19 pages

# Newby DT, Mathews TJ, Pate RC, Huesemann MH, Lane TW, Wahlen BD, Mandal S, Engler RK, Feris KP, Shuri J (2016). Assessing the potential of polyculture to accelerate algal biofuel production. Algal Res 19: 264-277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2016.09.004

- 435 Okocha RC, Olatoye IO, Adedeji OB (2018). Food safety impacts of antimicrobial use and their residues in 436 aquaculture. Public Health Rev 39: 21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40985-018-0099-2
- 437 Piedrahita RH (2003). Reducing the potential environmental impact of thank aquaculture effluents through 438 intensification and recirculation. Aquaculture 226: 35-44. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-439 8486(03)00465-4
  - Pillay Pillay TVR (2004).Environment edition. Eds. Aquaculture and the 2nd https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470995730
  - Ponis E, Robert R, Parisi G (2003). Nutritional value of fresh and concentrated algal diets for larval and juvenile Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*), Aquaculture, 221(1-4): 491-505, ISSN 0044-8486, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00075-9.
  - Ritchie RJ (2006). Consistent sets of spectrophotometric chlorophyll equations for acetone, methanol and ethanol solvents. Photosynth Res 89 (1): 27–41.
  - Ryther JH, Goldman JC, Gifford CE, Huguenin E, Wing AS, Clarner JP, Williams LD, Lapointe BE, (1975). Physical models of integrated waste recycling-marine polyculture systems. Aquaculture 5: 163-177.
  - Shpigel M, Neori A (1996). The Integrated Culture of Seaweed, Abalone, Fish and Clams in Modular Intensive Land-based Systems: I. Proportions of Size and Projected Revenues. Aquac Eng 15 (5): 313-326. https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8609(96)01000-X
- 452 Smith DJ, Gingerich WH, Beconi-Barker MG (1999). Use of chemicals in fish management and fish culture past 453 and future. Xenobiotics in Fish.
- 454 Snapp (2017). Agroecology: Principles and Practice, Agricultural Systems 2nd Ed, 33-72.
  - Tenore KR (1976). Food chain dynamics of abalone in a polyculture system. Aquaculture 8: 23-7.
- Tolon T, Emirolu D, Günay D, Hancı B (2017). Effect of stocking density on growth performance of juvenile sea 457 cucumber Holothuria tubulosa (Gmelin, 1788). Aquac Res 48: 4124-4131. https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13232
- Troell M, Joyce A, Chopin T, Neori A, Buschmann AH, Fangh GJ (2009). Ecological engineering in aquaculture 460 - Potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine offshore systems. Aquaculture 297 (1-4): 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.09.010
- Troell M, Norberg J (1998). Modelling output and retention of suspended solids in an integrated salmon-mussel 463 culture. Ecol Modell 110: 65-77.
- 464 Troell M, Naylor RL, Metian M et al (2014). Does aquaculture add resilience to the global food system? PNAS 465 23. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1404067111.
- Tsarenko PM (2011). Trebouxiophyceae. In: Algae of Ukraine: diversity, nomenclature, taxonomy, ecology and 56 467 geography. Volume 3: Chlorophyta. (Tsarenko, P.M., Wasser, S.P. & Nevo, E. Eds): 61-108. Ruggell: 468 A.R.A. Gantner Verlag K.-G.
- 12 442 13 14 15 444 16 17 445 18 19 20 447 21 22 448 23 24 449 25 450 26 27 451 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 455 36 37 456 38 39 40 458 41 42 459 43 44 45 461 46 47 462 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 466 55 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65

2 3

4

5 6

7 8 440

9 10 441

11

443

4 14	69 70	Utting SD, Millican PF (1997). Techniques for the hatchery conditioning of bivalve broodstocks and the subsequent effect on egg quality and larval viability. Aquaculture 155: 45-54
34 4 54	71 72	Wezel A, Herren BG, Kerr RB, Barrios E, Gonçalves ALR, Sinclair F (2020). Agroecological principles and elements and their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. A review, Agronomy for
64	73	Sustainable Development, Springer Verlag/EDP Sciences/INRA, 2020, 40 (6), pp.40. 10.1007/s13593-020-
84	74	00646-z . hal-03410520
9 10 4 11 4	75 76	Wongkiew S, Hu Z, Kartik C, Lee JW, Khanal SK (2017). Nitrogen transformations in aquaponic systems: A review. Aquac Eng 76.
12 13 1	77	Vul I OI Mu V Zhao AZ I am VWV Sumaila $\mathbf{R}$ (2017) Economic challenges to the generalization of integrated
14 <sup>-</sup> 15 4	.78	multi-trophic aquaculture: An empirical comparative study on keln monoculture and keln-mollusk
16 4	.79	polyculture in Weihai China Aquaculture 471. 130-139
17 <sup>.</sup> 18 4	.80	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.aguaculture.2017.01.015
19 20 4 21	81	Author information
$\frac{22}{22}$ 4	82	- Affiliations
23 24 25 4	83	MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Sète, France
26 27 4	84	Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL (ORCID 0000-0002-0954-0033), Emilie LE FLOC'H (ORCID 0000-
28 29 4	85	0002-0887-121X), Martine FORTUNE, Thierry LAUGIER (ORCID 0000-0003-0409-4510), Anaïs CROTTIER,
30 4 31	86	Angélique GOBET (ORCID 0000-0003-4204-8451), Eric FOUILLAND (ORCID 0000-0001-9633-8847)
32 4 33	87	MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Palavas-les-flots, France
34 35 4	88	François RUELLE, Sébastien TRIPLET (ORCID 0000-0002-9060-7089), Thibault GEOFFROY
36 37 4	89	Ifremer, CNRS, IRD, LEMAR, University of Brest, Plouzané, France
38 39 4	90	Mathieu LUTIER (ORCID 0000-0003-3955-9277)
40 41 4 42	91	Ifremer, LER Bretagne Nord, CRESCO, Dinard, France
43 4	92	Patrik LE GALL
44 45 4 46	93	Ifremer, Laboratoire METabolites des microALGues, Nantes, France
474	94	Clarisse HUBERT
484 494	.95 .96	- Corresponding author
50 51		
52 4 53	.97	Correspondence to Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL emmanuelle.roque@ifremer.fr
544 55	.98	
56 57 4	.99	Statements & Declaration
<sup>58</sup> 5	00	Ethical
60		
o⊥ 62		
63		10

All sampling and animal handling respected good scientific practice and animal welfare rules. The number of fish sampled was limited to that strictly necessary: the reared fish were shared with another ERA-Net project (Animal

Health and Welfare - Welfare, Health and Individuality in Farmed Fish, ANIHWA WINFISH, 2015-2018).

Funding

> This work was supported by the ERA-Net COFASP project (IMTA-Effect project) with funding from The French National Research Agency (ANR-15-COFA-0001-06).

### **Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest concerning this manuscript and no competing financial interests that could influence the work reported in this paper.

### Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

### Author contributions

ERO and EF designed the study and wrote the manuscript. ML and FR managed the day-to-day experiment, ML, <sup>32</sup> 515 ERO and EF collected the samples. ML, ERO, FR, ST, TL, AC, PLG performed the biometrics. ML, AG, ELF, CH performed the microalgae analyses. TG performed the nutrient analyses. ML performed the statistics. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. 39 518 Full names as follows: Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL (ERO), Eric FOUILLAND (EF), Mathieu LUTIER

41 519 (ML), François RUELLE (FR), Sébastien TRIPLET (ST), Thierry LAUGIER (TL), Patrik LE GALL (PLG),

43 520 Clarisse HUBERT (CH), Anaïs CROTTIER (AC), Emilie LE FLOC'H (ELF), Thibault GEOFFROY (TG),

Angélique GOBET (AG). All authors approved the manuscript submission.



**Fig. 1.** Theoretical representation of an IMTA assemblage, with triangles representing the different trophic compartments and arrows representing the different flows (blue for inorganic, black for feed, brown for organic) - illustrations from: lapecheenligne.com, ©N.Neaud-Masson, shutterstock.com and Manuel d'actinologie ou de zoophytologie Paris ;F.G. Levrault,1834 – 1836



**Fig. 2.** Experimental land-based IMTA (Ifremer, Palavas) with three compartments: (A) fish tanks operating with water recirculation; (B) outdoor microalgal raceways receiving fish effluent from A; (C) oyster ponds receiving microalgae from lagoons in B. Total surface area =  $150 \text{ m}^2$ .



**Fig. 3.** Temporal Chl*a* concentrations (in mg.L<sup>-1</sup>) during the experiment in the three IMTA-microalgae replicates: L1, L2 and L3.



**Fig. 4.** *Pseudonitzschia* (A and B) and *Cylindrotheca closterium* (C) identified in the three IMTAmicroalgae replicates (photos © Elise Caillard).