



HAL
open science

Marine ecological aquaculture: a successful Mediterranean integrated multi-trophic aquaculture case study of a fish, oyster and algae assemblage

Emmanuelle Roque d'Orbcastel, Mathieu Lutier, Emilie Le Floc'H, François Ruelle, Sébastien Triplet, Patrik Le Gall, Clarisse Hubert, Martine Fortune, Thierry Laugier, Thibault Geoffroy, et al.

► To cite this version:

Emmanuelle Roque d'Orbcastel, Mathieu Lutier, Emilie Le Floc'H, François Ruelle, Sébastien Triplet, et al.. Marine ecological aquaculture: a successful Mediterranean integrated multi-trophic aquaculture case study of a fish, oyster and algae assemblage. *Aquaculture International*, 2022, 10.1007/s10499-022-00953-0 . hal-03753874

HAL Id: hal-03753874

<https://hal.science/hal-03753874v1>

Submitted on 18 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Marine ecological aquaculture: a successful Mediterranean integrated multi-trophic aquaculture**
2 **case study of a fish, oyster and algae assemblage**

3 Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL, Mathieu LUTIER, Emilie LE FLOC'H, François RUELLE, Sébastien
4 TRIPLET, Patrik LE GALL, Clarisse HUBERT, Martine FORTUNE, Thierry LAUGIER, Thibault GEOFFROY,
5 Anaïs CROTTIER, Angélique GOBET, Eric FOUILLAND

7 **Abstract**

8 Inspired by agroecology, ecological aquaculture proposes an alternative model that uses ecology as a paradigm to
9 develop innovative, more eco-friendly aquaculture with environmental, economic and social benefits. Integrated
10 multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) is one application of this principle. Inspired by the natural trophic chain, it
11 associates primary producers with primary or secondary consumers, providing a new source of biomass without
12 requiring supplementary feed by recycling inorganic and organic wastes. Of these systems, land-based IMTAs
13 demonstrate several advantages, especially easier control of nutrient flows, contaminants and/or predators. This
14 study focused on a land-based marine IMTA, combining a recirculating aquaculture system for fish consecutively
15 with a natural marine polyculture of microalgae and oyster cultivation. The objective was to assess the ability of
16 the microalgal polyculture both to bioremediate fish nutrients and to sustain oyster growth. For the first time in a
17 Mediterranean climate, we confirmed the feasibility of developing a microalgae community of interest for oysters
18 maintained by fish effluent. Despite strong variability in microalgae production, this IMTA system resulted in
19 significant oyster growth over the experimental period of one month, with growth results of the same order of
20 magnitude as natural juvenile growth. In the conditions tested, this IMTA with reduced human intervention
21 allowed a gain in recoverable biomass of 3.7 g of oyster produced per kg of fish feed distributed. By transforming
22 waste into additional biomass, IMTAs offer a more promising, ecological avenue for aquaculture, based on a
23 circular economy, which may in turn increase the social acceptability of fish farming. (250 words)

24 **Key words** Integrated Multi-trophic Aquaculture, nutrient recycling, oysters, fish, microalgae.

25 **Abbreviations:**

26 ARA: arachidonic acid

27 EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid

28 HRAP: high-rate algal pond

29 IMTA: Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture

30 PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids

31 RAS: recirculating aquaculture systems

32 RE: removal efficiency

33 SGR: specific growth rate

34

35 **1. Introduction**

36 *1.1 Food system paradigms*

37 Today, the global food system and food security face multiple challenges, with a convergence of population growth
38 and increasing hunger and malnutrition (FAO 2016). Additional pressure, e.g. anthropic activity and
39 environmental pollution, threatens natural resources, while the consequences of climate change are leading to the
40 loss of biodiversity and unbalanced production systems (IPBES 2019). A transition is required towards more
41 sustainable production and consumption.

42 In recent decades, the general paradigm in animal or vegetal feedstock production, especially in Europe, has been
43 to grow one selected species under conditions of intensive cultivation. This method of production is highly
44 dependent on the species' ability for high and stable growth and resilience to sudden environmental changes. In a
45 context of global change, with extreme climatic events occurring more frequently, in aquaculture systems, high
46 water temperatures potentially increase the risk of some diseases (Karvonen et al. 2010). Monospecific systems
47 are based on species selected for their ability to dedicate their energy to growth and have little adaptability to
48 exogenous pressures; thus, xenobiotics (e.g. antimicrobials) are often needed to maintain productivity in intensive
49 systems (Smith et al. 1999). Of animal production systems, aquaculture is growing 7.8% per year, exceeding all
50 others (Troell et al. 2014). Monospecific aquaculture (especially to raise finfish) is today common worldwide and
51 is often associated with the use of antibiotics (Miranda 2011; Lulijwa et al. 2019), potential chemical contaminants
52 such as heavy metals or dioxins, or hormones (FAO 2003). Another disadvantage is the discharge of large amounts
53 of nutrients (i.e. from organism excretions and unconsumed food), which can cause the eutrophication and
54 deoxygenation of coastal waters when environmental carrying capacity is exceeded (Gowen and Bradbury 1987;
55 Pillay 2004). This can foster the development of pathogens and parasites, which may in turn negatively impact
56 biodiversity, human health, as well as the farmed species (Neori et al. 2004; Jegatheesan et al. 2011), leading to
57 sometimes dramatic environmental concerns due to the presence of potential harmful residues impacting the health
58 of end consumers (Okocha et al. 2018).

59 *1.2 From monospecific to multispecies production systems*

60 A major paradigm shift is essential to improve not only aquaculture food safety, but also its social acceptability.
1
2 61 In the agricultural sector, research into alternative agriculture applying the principles of ecology emerged in the
3
4 62 1980s (Altieri 1983). The ‘agroecology’ paradigm is based on several principles: e.g. input reduction, recycling,
5
6 63 animal health, biodiversity and synergy (Wezel et al. 2020). It aims to take into account interactions between the
7
8 64 plants, animals, humans and the environment within agricultural systems and to imitate the natural processes
9
10 65 involved in ecosystem productivity, stability and resilience (Malézieux 2012) in order to develop new production
11
12 66 methods (Snapp 2017).

13
14
15 67 Inspired by this approach, ‘ecological aquaculture’ has been put forward as an alternative model that uses ecology
16
17 68 as a paradigm to develop aquaculture with environmental, economic and social benefits. It maintains that
18
19 69 aquaculture should mimic the structure and functions of natural ecosystems and practice nutrient recycling through
20
21 70 waste reuse (Costa-Pierce 2015; Aubin et al. 2017). Based on the natural trophic chain, integrated multi-trophic
22
23 71 aquaculture (IMTA) is in this sense one of the logical next steps in alternative aquaculture development
24
25 72 (Barrington et al. 2009), representing a way to improve existing systems.

26 27 28 73 ***1.3 Higher diversity in farmed species could help social acceptability***

29
30 74 Studies looking into the possibility of IMTA began in the early 1970s (Ryther 1975; Goldman et al. 1974), with
31
32 75 research efforts increasing over the last two decades, both in marine ecosystems (Chopin et al. 2001; Hussenot
33
34 76 2003; Barrington et al. 2009 in FAO 2009; Milhazes-Cunha and Otero, 2017; Buck et al. 2018) and freshwater
35
36 77 ecosystems (Wongkiew et al. 2017). As mentioned by Chopin (2013), the concept of IMTA can in fact be traced
37
38 78 back to the origins of aquaculture (in 2200–2100 BCE, You Hou Bin detailed the integration of fish with aquatic
39
40 79 plants). Chopin defines IMTA as “*The farming, in proximity, of species from different trophic levels and with*
41
42 80 *complementary ecosystem functions in a way that allows one species’ uneaten feed and wastes, nutrients and by-*
43
44 81 *products to be recaptured and converted into fertilizer, feed and energy for the other crops, and to take advantage*
45
46 82 *of synergistic interactions among species while biomitigation takes place.*”

47
48
49 83 By integrating species with complementary diets, IMTAs reproduce a simplified trophic chain (Fig. 1), in which
50
51 84 both primary producers and consumers play a key role. Microalgae or macroalgae nourished by inorganic liquid
52
53 85 effluents use photosynthesis to grow, reintroducing energy into the system and acting both to fix CO₂ and to
54
55 86 provide O₂ and food for other organisms (Shpigel and Neori 1996; Demetropoulos and Langdon 2004; Barrington
56
57 87 et al. 2009). They can serve as a food source for high value-added species, e.g. grazers or filter-feeders such as
58
59 88 abalone, urchins, oysters or clams (Tenore 1976; Hugues-Games 1977; Gordin et al. 1981; Borges et al. 2005).

89 Organic compounds released by farmed species or from unused external feed can also be consumed by primary or
1 90 secondary consumers such as crustaceans, or echinoderms such as holothurians and urchins (Chopin 2013). The
2
3 91 resulting reduced environmental impact of IMTA could increase the social acceptability of aquaculture (Alexander
4
5 92 et al. 2016; Knowler et al. 2020), representing a perceived improvement over current monoculture practices for
6
7 93 the public (Barrington et al. 2010).

9
10 94 In comparison to other systems, IMTA is not only more environmentally friendly, but can also potentially provide
11
12 95 more economic stability through product diversification (Granada et al. 2016), although the overall capital gain
13
14 96 (via greater degree of productivity) has not yet been demonstrated at an industrial level, apart from in one Asian
15
16 97 study (Fang et al. 2019).

18 19 98 **1.4 Current and future challenges of IMTA**

20
21 99 IMTAs can be developed both in open sea or inland areas (Shpigel and Neori 1996; Neori et al. 1998). Among
22
23 100 current IMTAs challenges, there are (i) biological challenges with the choice of candidate species adapted to the
24
25 101 environmental and societal contexts, (ii) economical challenges to demonstrate their performance and rentability
26
27 102 in comparison with conventional systems (Yu et al. 2017) and (iii) zoo-technological challenges to determinate
28
29 103 key variables to cultivate candidate species (Buck et al. 2018) and to ensure nutrient fluxes management (Granada
30
31 104 et al. 2016), especially for offshore systems (Buck et al. 2018) where the connectivity between compartments need
32
33 105 to be documented. Moreover, new planification of aquaculture area have to be considered, with extensive areas
34
35 106 required for species coping with low natural densities, such as holothurian (Tolon et al. 2017; Chary et al. 2019).

36
37 107 Land-based IMTAs present several advantages: easier control of flows, less pressure from predators or pathogens,
38
39 108 and negligible exposure to extreme climatic events (Manzi and Castagna 1989; Neori et al. 2004; Blancheton et
40
41 109 al. 2009). Of the systems widely used for land-based fish production, recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS)
42
43 110 offer many advantages, such as reduced water consumption based on a bacteria treatment loop (Piedrahita 2003;
44
45 111 Martins et al. 2010). Wastewater flow in an RAS is consequently reduced compared to traditional flow-through
46
47 112 systems, but carries inorganic compounds such as PO_4^{3-} , NO_3^- and CO_2 . To reduce these compounds, one of the
48
49 113 existing treatments proposed by IMTA is to integrate a compartment of primary producers. Macroalgae have
50
51 114 frequently been included in these systems as biofilters, e.g. *Ulva spp.* and *Gracilaria spp.* (Neori et al. 2004; Lopez
52
53 115 Figueroa et al. 2012; Neori et al. 2017), but microalgae are less frequently used (Milhazes-Cunha and Otero 2017).

54
55 116 Like macroalgae, microalgae add value in terms of feeding macro-invertebrates (i.e. filter-feeders), but they could
56
57 117 be even more promising because of their higher photosynthesis rate and greater surface-area-to-volume ratio (i.e.
58
59 118 higher nutrient uptake) (Milhazes-Cunha and Otero 2017).

119 One challenge for land-based IMTA systems is ensuring microalgae culture stability to allow both optimal nutrient
120 remediation for the primary culture (e.g. fish) and optimal feeding for the associated culture (e.g. filter species). A
121 monospecific algal culture may be selected in order to meet the needs of the other IMTA species. However, several
122 studies have reported that an algal polyculture consisting of an assemblage of several species presents higher
123 resilience to disturbances and ensures greater efficiency in resource use (Newby et al. 2016). In particular,
124 multispecies algal cultures could better cope with climatic and fish-effluent variability. Some authors have
125 demonstrated that natural plurispecific algal cultures can both grow on finfish effluent and ensure nutrient
126 remediation (Lefebvre et al. 1996, 2004; Neori et al. 2017, Galès et al. 2020). Of course, within the IMTA,
127 microalgae must also fulfil the feeding requirements of filter species. In one study, the addition of silicate in an
128 algal culture initially filled with natural seawater and continuously supplemented with nitrate-enriched RAS
129 wastewater led to a diatom-based algal culture with a remediation capacity equivalent to that of macroalgae (Li et
130 al. 2019). In the microalgae biochemical composition, lipid content as well as essential amino acids and
131 polyunsaturated fatty acids play a major role in the diet energy content, directly controlling oyster assimilation and
132 biomass productivity (Brown et al. 1997 and references therein; Ben Kheder et al. 2010; Anjos et al. 2017). This
133 nutritional value is mainly related to microalgal diversity, with diatoms being the most suitable diet for oyster
134 growth (Brown et al. 1997 and references therein).

135 The use of a continuous nutrient-enriched multispecies algal culture as an inoculum reservoir, if the culture is well
136 controlled, stabilized and monitored, may help: (i) to reduce the risk of producing undesirable (i.e. toxic) algal
137 species (when using natural coastal seawater inoculum), (ii) to accelerate the time required to reach the maximal
138 microalgal biomass, ensuring optimal inorganic matter remediation and oyster feeding.

139 This study focused on combining a RAS (for sea bass) with algal polycultures and oyster cultures. We used an
140 inoculum from a year-round algal culture (a high-rate algal pond or HRAP, located close to the IMTA) initially
141 inoculated with natural local seawater. The aim was to assess the algal polyculture's ability to sustain oyster growth
142 from an associated compartment when continuously supplemented with wastewater from a fish-based RAS in an
143 IMTA context.

144 **2. From IMTA theory to a new way of production**

145 ***2.1. Materials and methods***

146 *2.1.1. Land-based marine IMTA system*

147 The experiment ran over 31 days, from 17 April 2018 (day 1) to 17 May 2018 (day 32), at the French Institute for
148 Ocean Science (Ifremer) station in Palavas-les-Flots (southern France), which has a Mediterranean climate. The
149 experimental IMTA system (Fig. 2) was adapted following Li et al. (2019), with improved hydrodynamics by
150 including additional recirculation pumps in the outdoor microalgal raceways and oyster tanks.

151 Three separate units were created to conduct the experiment. An indoor RAS (in triplicate) was dedicated to sea
152 bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) (n=1380 fish, split into 460 per tank, initial weight of 425 ± 134 g ind⁻¹), which were
153 reared at a density increasing from 51 kg m⁻³ (d1) to 56 kg m⁻³ (d32); this unit was considered a nutrient provider.
154 Each was connected to an outdoor microalgal raceway (6 m³, n=3), which continuously received RAS wastewater
155 with a fixed flow rate (1 L.min⁻¹), ensuring a hydraulic retention time of 4.2 d.

156 The IMTA microalgal raceways were inoculated with RAS effluent seawater (4:5 of the mix) and a local
157 microalgal reservoir (1:5) containing a consortium dominated by Chlorellales (mainly *Schizochlamyde* sp
158 and *Picochlorum* sp). Silicate (Na₂SiO₃·5H₂O) was added to reach a N:Si:P molar ratio of nearly 10:5:1 in order
159 to favour diatom dominance (Lefebvre et al., 1996). Nanostream electronic pumps (Turbelle®) were added in
160 order to limit biodeposition and ensure light access.

161 The microalgae cultures (chlorophyll a concentration of 6.3 mg.l⁻¹) were then mixed in a tank with airstones and
162 distributed to 3 outdoor tanks containing juvenile Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*). The microalgae flow rate of
163 2.7 L.h⁻¹ was diluted with 100 L.h⁻¹ of fresh filtered seawater (dilution factor = 37), in order to approach a daily
164 feeding ration of 6–8% dry weight (DW). This food ration is frequently used to meet the energy requirements of
165 *C. gigas* broodstock in hatcheries (Utting and Millican 1997; Fabioux et al. 2005; Delaporte et al. 2006); and
166 represents a ration 3 times higher than in a previous study (Li et al. 2019). Food distribution was optimized by the
167 inclusion of a homogenization pump (Turbelle®) and an airlift and by replacing baskets clogged by biofouling
168 with new ones every two weeks.

2.1.2. Nutrient remediation and algal biomass production

170 Throughout the 31-day experiment, the water was sampled 3 times a week to monitor nutrient parameters (inlet
171 and outlet) in the photosynthetic extractive compartment (the 3 outdoor microalgal raceways). Water samples (n
172 total = 49) were filtered (GF/F, Whatman™) after each sampling and stored (at -25°C) for NO₃-N, NO₂-N, NH₄-
173 N, PO₄-P analysis (Alliance® auto-analyser). Nutrient removal efficiency (RE, %) was calculated (see Li et al.
174 2019).

175 The microalgal biomass was monitored 3 times a week in the 3 outdoor microalgal raceways; chlorophyll a (Chla)
176 was determined after water sample filtration using GF/F filters (Association 1995), and the pigments were
177 extracted with methanol (Ritchie 2006). Chla concentration was measured using a spectrophotometer and
178 calculated using the Ritchie (2006) equation. The main microalgal species were identified by microscopy with an
179 Olympus IMT2 inverted light microscope, following the protocol of the French Observation and Monitoring
180 Programme for Phytoplankton and Hydrology (REPHY) in coastal waters (Neaud-Masson 2020).

181 Some environmental parameters (i.e. temperature, salinity, pH) were monitored daily in all tanks (i.e. fish, algae
182 and oyster) using a YSI® probe.

2.1.3. Oyster growth

184 On d1, 756 8-month juvenile oysters ($n_{\text{total}}=756$) were split into 3 outdoor tanks ($n_{\text{tank}}= 252\pm 3$). The initial fresh
185 weight and length of the juvenile oysters were measured, with values of 1.55 ± 0.33 g.ind⁻¹ and 22 ± 3 mm.ind⁻¹
186 respectively. The final fresh weight and length were measured after 32 days. The specific growth rate (SGR) was
187 calculated with the equation:

$$SGR \text{ (in \%)} = \frac{\ln(\text{final weight} - \text{initial weight}) * 100}{\text{number of days}}$$

189 The non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney statistical test was used to assess median differences between
190 experimental conditions.

2.2. Results & discussion

An IMTA-microalgae community of interest, but highly variable Chla production

194 The results confirmed the feasibility of cultivating a microalgae community on fish effluent in a Mediterranean
195 climate.

196 In all raceways, the Chla pigment concentrations, indicator of algal biomass, showed a similar three-phased pattern
197 (Fig. 3): (1) from d1 to d9, a low initial concentration of around 0.06 mg Chla.L⁻¹, with *cyanobacteria* dominance
198 observed in microscopy, (2) from d9 to d16, an increase in production (reaching a maximum of 0.58 mg Chla.L⁻¹
199 at d15), with the dominance of *Cylindrotheca closterium* (Ehrenberg) Reimann and Lewin 1964, and finally, (3)
200 after d16, a decline in algal growth, still with *Cylindrotheca closterium* (at d23) as the main algal species, and
201 *Pseudo-nitzschia* and *cyanobacteria* at the end of the culture (d28).

202 Using an inoculum from a local intensive algal culture to start the microalgal culture, we observed that the maximal
203 Chla production was reached after 16 days, twice as fast as in a previous experiment performed during the same

204 seasonal period but using a natural seawater inoculum (Li et al., 2019). The microalgal crash around d24 was
1
2 205 probably due to rapid algal CO₂ consumption leading to elevated pH (maximum pH of 10) and CO₂ depletion.
3
4 206 The results also confirmed the feasibility of bioremediating fish nutrients using an inoculum from a local HRAP.
5
6 207 The remediation of phosphates was high, 85 ± 25% (with a maximal value of 97.6%), but was lower for
7
8 208 nitrogenous elements, with 49 ± 21% for NH₄-N (maximal value of 93.5%), and 24.9 ± 11.1% for NO₃-N (maximal
9
10 209 value of 51.3%).
11
12 210 The algal yields – and as a consequence bioremediation efficiency – could be improved, for example, by reusing
13
14 211 CO₂ released by the fish in the RAS loop in the algae culture in order to maintain pH at seawater value (*ca* 8) and
15
16 212 to avoid CO₂ depletion. Another research avenue would be to integrate detritivorous organisms (e.g. mullet fish,
17
18 213 holothurians, nematodes) with algae cultures, as the former would be able to feed on deposits.
19
20
21 214 *Juvenile oysters more than doubled in weight and length*
22
23 215 During the 1-month period, both weight and length gain in the oysters was significant (*p* <0.001), more than
24
25 216 doubling, with a final weight of 3.7±0.9 g ind⁻¹ (n=754). No mortality was observed (0.2%). Despite strong
26
27 217 variability in algal production within the IMTA-microalgae raceways, the produced biomass allowed significant
28
29 218 oyster growth over the experimental period: a very encouraging result. The oyster growth rate of 1.04% was in the
30
31 219 same order of magnitude as that of juveniles reared in a nearby natural lagoon (0.97% in Li et al., 2019).
32
33
34 220 As mentioned by Troell et al. (2009), the integration of bivalves in an IMTA is not straightforward. With the
35
36 221 addition of silicates, the phytoplankton that grows with the input of fish nutrients is suitable food for filter-feeders
37
38 222 and can have a positive (Lefebvre et al. 2000, 2004) or insignificant (Li et al. 2019) effect on bivalve growth.
39
40 223 Those growth results are mainly explained by determining environmental factors, such as ambient concentrations
41
42 224 of nutrient availability, particulate organic matter or seston content (Troell and Norberg 1998), but also indirectly
43
44 225 by fish-feeding variability (i.e. its duration and quantity).
45
46
47 226 In our case study, the IMTA microalgal inoculum was mostly composed of Chlorellales – these are not the common
48
49 227 microalgae used for juvenile oyster feed, which is usually composed of diatoms or *Prymnesiophyceae*
50
51 228 (McCausland et al. 1999; Ponis et al. 2003). Indeed, juvenile oysters are usually not able to retain small particles,
52
53 229 e.g. < 4 µm for *C. gigas* when sestonic load is low, <12 µm when sestonic load is higher (Barillé et al. 1993), and
54
55 230 even 20 µm for *Ostrea chilensis* (Dunphy et al. 2006). Chlorellales such as *Schizochlamydeella* sp and *Picochlorum*
56
57 231 sp are rather small (2–10 microns and 1.5–3 microns, respectively) and consequently not assimilable by juvenile
58
59 232 oysters (Korshikov 1953; Tsarenko 2011; Henley et al. 2004). The addition of silicates permitted a shift in the
60
61
62
63
64
65

233 initial algal community towards diatoms of the *Bacillariophyceae* family, whether these were present (but not
1
2 234 detectable) in the 1:5 inoculum at the beginning of the period, or in the 4:5 water from the RAS effluent. This shift
3
4 235 in the microalgal composition allowed the growth of the juvenile oysters, indicating that the feed composition was
5
6 236 both assimilable and resulted in growth gain. *C. closterium*, which grew in the microalgal raceways, are long cells
7
8 237 (> to 25 µm; Reimann and Lewin 1964) with a particular shape that could favour its retention on oyster gills and
9
10 238 be suitable microalgae for juvenile oyster growth. Its high nutritional value for secondary consumers has
11
12 239 previously been described: it has high lipid content and is particularly rich in essential PUFAs such as EPA and
13
14 240 ARA (Keerthi et al. 2012). Other constituents may also have played a role in the oyster food chain, as ciliates and
15
16 241 flagellates from 4 to 72 µm are known to be retained by the oyster (Dupuy et al. 1999). Future experiments on the
17
18 242 entire microbial food web are necessary to delve further into the microorganism communities assimilable by
19
20 243 oysters in an IMTA oyster-growing context. To reach optimal conditions for bivalve production, these experiments
21
22 244 can give rise to improvements in the system – for example, daily uniform fish feeding and therefore, nutrient
23
24 245 excretion – to smooth out variations in the microalgae cultures and decrease the risk of crop crashing.

26 246 *IMTA supplementary biomass production*

27
28 247 At a daily feeding rate of 1% of the fish biomass, 5.89 kg of feed.d⁻¹ were distributed, giving a growth ratio of 2.39
29
30 248 kg of fish.d⁻¹. The nutrients excreted by fish and taken in by microalgae fed an oyster biomass of 0.022 kg.d⁻¹.

31
32
33 249 In the experimental conditions tested, the results showed that an IMTA with reduced human intervention allowed
34
35 250 a gain in recoverable biomass: i.e. 3.7 g of oyster produced per kg of fish feed distributed. For a production unit
36
37 251 of 100 tonnes of fish fed at 1% of the biomass twice a day, an additional production of 2.7 tonnes of oyster could
38
39 252 be provided per year. This yield could be even higher if 100% RAS water was used to supplement the microalgal
40
41 253 compartment (5% of RAS water was used in this study), as in this case 20 microalgal raceways could be run.
42
43 254 Moreover, only 2% of the microalgae biomass was used to feed the 3 oyster compartments: if 100% was used to
44
45 255 feed the oysters, 37 times more oyster biomass would be produced. Further work is needed to investigate the
46
47 256 economic viability of such a system in more detail, in order to establish whether there is an overall economic
48
49 257 benefit to implementing such a system.

51 258 52 53 259 **Conclusion**

54
55 260 This study demonstrated the feasibility of using an inoculum of a microalgal polyculture (favouring diatoms of
56
57 261 interest) from a local intensive basin together with an appropriate oyster–food ratio to feed oyster juveniles in an
58
59 262 IMTA community. The experiment resulted in equivalent Chla production in a shorter time frame than a previous
60
61
62
63
64
65

263 published study (15 days less to reach the same production). This IMTA design could still be improved, in
1 particular regarding technical and environmental factors, in order to better control algal culture variability.
2

3
4 265 By transforming waste into reusable material and additional biomass, IMTAs can reduce environmental impact,
5
6 266 giving a more positive, ecological image to aquaculture. It could potentially be promoted with a specific ‘circular
7
8 267 aquaculture’ certification. However, despite its promise, in Europe the development of IMTA still faces various
9
10 268 issues. Some of the obstacles could be overcome by pursuing research on both biological, economic and social
11
12 269 aspects of IMTA and of end consumer perceptions of its products. Innovative zero-waste designs should be studied,
13
14 270 with specific attention paid to analysing their effects on the biology and welfare of candidate species and the
15
16 271 quality of the end products. Increasing the economic and ecological sustainability of aquaculture should help build
17
18 272 the case for its social acceptance.
19

20 21 273 **Acknowledgements**

22
23
24 274 This study was conducted in the framework of the Cooperation in Fisheries, Aquaculture and Seafood Processing
25
26 275 (COFASP) European Research Area Network (ERA-Net) project (IMTA-Effect project) with funding from the
27
28 276 French National Research Agency (ANR-15-COFA-0001-06) (for installations, experiments, analyses) and the
29
30 277 Marine Biodiversity and Conservation (MARBEC) research group (which funded M. Lutier’s Master’s degree).
31
32 278 The authors would also like to thank colleagues from MARBEC and the French National Research Institute for
33
34 279 Agriculture, Food and the Environment (INRAE) for their contribution in the fish biometry: Bastien Sadoul, Eric
35
36 280 Gasset, Frédéric Clota, Benjamin Geffroy and the two students Maxime Petit Jean and Baptiste Bal. We also thank
37
38 281 Claire Carre for her expertise on phytoplankton, Audrey Mandonnet for her help in the laboratory, Elise Caillard
39
40 282 for her photos, and Cyrille Przybyla, manager of the VASCO2 project that provided us with the algal inoculum.
41
42 283 Finally, many thanks to the editor and referees for their constructive comments on the initial version of this
43
44 284 manuscript, and to Elise Bradbury for the English proofreading.
45
46

47 285 **Reference list**

- 48
49 286 Altieri MA, Letourneau DK, Davis JR (1983). Developing Sustainable Agroecosystems, *BioSci* 33 (1), 45–49
50
51 287 Alexander KA, Freeman S, Potts T (2016). Navigating uncertain waters: European public perceptions of integrated
52
53 288 multi trophic aquaculture (IMTA). *Env Sci Policy* 61: 230-237.
54 289 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2016.04.020>
55
56 290 Anjos C, Baptista T, Joaquim S, Mendes S, Matias AM, Moura P, Simões T, Matias D (2017). Broodstock
57
58 291 conditioning of the Portuguese oyster (*Crassostrea angulata*, Lamarck, 1819): influence of different diets.
59 292 *Aquac Res* 48: 3859-3878. <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13213>
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 293 Association APH (1995). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.
- 1
2 294 Aubin J, Callier M, Rey-Valette H, Mathé S, Wilfart A, Legendre M, Slembrouck J, Caruso D, Chia E, Masson
3 295 G, Blancheton JP et al (2017). Implementing ecological intensification in fish farming: definition and
4 296 principles from contrasting experiences. Rev Aquac 0. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12231>
- 6
7 297 Barillé L, Prou J, Heral M, Bougrier S (1993). No influence of food quality, but ration-dependent retention
8 298 efficiencies in the Japanese oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 171: 91-106
- 9
10 299 Barrington K, Chopin T, Robinson S (2009). Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine temperate
11 300 waters. In: Soto D (ed.) Integrated Mariculture: A Global Review. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture
12 301 Technical Paper. No. 529, 7–46. FAO, Rome
- 15 302 Barrington K, Ridler N, Chopin T, Robinson S, Robinson B (2010). Social aspects of the sustainability of
16 303 integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. Aquac Int 18-2: 201-211. [https://doi.org/10.1007/S10499-008-9236-](https://doi.org/10.1007/S10499-008-9236-0)
17 304 0
- 20 305 Ben Kheder R, Quéré C, Moal J, Robert R (2010). Effect of nutrition on *Crassostrea gigas* larval development
21 306 and the evolution of physiological indices. Part A: Quantitative and qualitative diet effects. Aquaculture
22 307 305, 1–4: 165-173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.04.022>
- 25 308 Blancheton JP, Bosc P, Hussenot J, Roque D'Orbcastel E, Romain D (2009). The 'new' European fish culture
26 309 systems: Recirculating systems, offshore cages, integrated systems. Cah Agric 18(2): 227-234.
27 310 <https://doi.org/10.1684/agr.2009.0294>
- 30
31 311 Borges MT, Silva P, Moreira L, Soares R (2005). Integration of consumer-targeted microalgal production with
32 312 marine fish effluent biofiltration—a strategy for mariculture sustainability. J Appl Phycol 17 (3): 187-197.
33 313 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-005-4842-y>
- 35
36 314 Brown MR, Jeffrey SW, Volkman JK, Dunstan GA (1997). Nutritional properties of microalgae for mariculture.
37 315 Aquaculture 151, 1–4: 315-331. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(96\)01501-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(96)01501-3).
- 39 316 Buck B and Troell MF and Krause G and Angel DL and Grote B and Chopin T (2018). State of the Art and
40 317 Challenges for Offshore Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA). Review article. Front Mar Sci 5.
41 318 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00165>
- 44 319 Chary K, Fiandrino A, Coves D, Aubin J, Falguiere JC, Callier M (2019). Modeling sea cage outputs for data-
45 320 scarce areas: application to red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*) aquaculture in Mayotte, Indian Ocean. Aquac
46 321 Int 27(3): 625-646. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-019-00351-z>
- 49
50 322 Chopin T (2013). Aquaculture, Integrated Multi-trophic (IMTA). In: Christou P., Savin R., Costa-Pierce B.A.,
51 323 Misztal I., Whitelaw C.B.A. (eds) Sustainable Food Production. Springer, New York, NY
- 52
53 324 Chopin T, Buschmann AH, Halling C, Troell M, Kautsky N, Neori A, Kraemer GP, Zertuche-González JA, Yarrish
54 325 C, Neefus C (2001). Integrating seaweeds into marine aquaculture systems: a key toward sustainability. J
55 326 Phycol 37: 975-986. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.2001.01137.x>
- 57
58 327 Costa-Pierce BA (2015). Seven Principles of Ecological Aquaculture: A Guide for the Blue Revolution. Ecological
59 328 Aquaculture Foundation, Biddeford, Maine, USA. ©2015 Ecological Aquaculture Foundation.

- 329 Delaporte M, Soudant P, Lambert C, Moal J, Pouvreau S, Samain JF (2006). Impact of food availability on energy
1 330 storage and defense related hemocyte parameters of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* during an
2
3 331 experimental reproductive cycle. *Aquaculture* 254(1-4): 571-582.
4 332 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.10.006>
5
- 6 333 Demetropoulos CL, Langdon CJ (2004). Enhanced production of Pacific dulse (*Palmaria mollis*) for co-culture
7
8 334 with abalone in a land-based system: nitrogen, phosphorus, and trace metal nutrition. *Aquaculture* 235:
9 335 433-455. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2003.09.012>
10
- 11 336 Dunphy BJ, Hall JA, Jeffs AG, Wells RMG (2006). Selective particle feeding by the Chilean oyster, *Ostrea*
12
13 337 *chilensis*; implications for nursery culture and broodstock conditioning. *Aquaculture* 261, 2: 594-602, ISSN
14 338 0044-8486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.08.015>.
15
- 16 339 Dupuy C, Le Gall S, Hartmann HJ, Bréret M (1999). Retention of ciliates and flagellates by the oyster *Crassostrea*
17
18 340 *gigas* in French Atlantic coastal ponds: protists as a trophic link between bacterioplankton and benthic
19 341 suspension-feeders. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 177: 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.01.015>
20
- 21 342 FAO (2003). Assessment and Management of Seafood Safety and Quality. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture
22
23 343 Technical Paper. No. 444. Rome, FAO. 2003. 230p
24
- 25 344 FAO (2009). Integrated mariculture: a global review. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 529.
26
27 345 Rome, FAO. 2009. 183p
28
- 29 346 FAO (2016). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper
30
- 31 347 Fabioux C, Huvet A, Le Souchu P, Le Pennec M, Pouvreau S (2005). Temperature and photoperiod drive
32 348 *Crassostrea gigas* reproductive internal clock. *Aquaculture* 250, 1-2: 458-470.
33 349 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.02.038>
34
- 35 350 Fang J, Zhang J, Xiao T, Huang D, Liu S (2019). Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in Sanggou Bay,
36
37 351 China. *AEI* 8, 201-205. <https://doi.org/10.3354/AEI00179>
38
- 39 352 Galès A, Triplet S, Geoffroy G, Roques C, Carré C, Le Floc'h E, Lanfranchii M, Simier M, Roque D'Orbecastel E,
40
41 353 Przybyla C, Fouilland E (2020). Control of the pH for marine microalgae polycultures: A key point for CO₂
42 354 fixation improvement in intensive cultures. *Journal of CO₂ Utilization* 38: 187-193.
43 355 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2020.01.019>
44
- 45 356 Goldman JC, Tenore RK, Ryther HJ, Corwin N (1974). Inorganic nitrogen removal in a combined tertiary
46
47 357 treatment-marine aquaculture system. I. Removal efficiencies. *Water Res* 8:45–54
48
- 49 358 Gordin H, Motzkin F, Huges-Games WL, Porter C (1981). Seawater mariculture pond. An integrated system.
50
51 359 European Mariculture Society Special Publication 6, 1-13.
52
- 53 360 Gowen RJ, Bradbury NB (1987). The ecological impact of salmon farming in coastal waters: A review. *Oceanogr.*
54
55 361 *Mar Biol* 25: 563D575.
56
- 57 362 Granada L, Sousa N, Lopes S, Lemos MFL (2016). Is integrated multitrophic aquaculture the solution to the
58 363 sectors' major challenges? – a review. *Rev Aquac* 8: 283–300. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12093>
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 364 Henley WJ, Hironaka JL, Guillou L, Buchheim MA, Buchheim JA, Fawley MW, Fawley KP (2004). Phylogenetic
1 365 analysis of the 'Nannochloris-like' algae and diagnoses of *Picochlorum oklahomensis* gen. et sp. nov.
2 366 (*Trebouxiophyceae*, *Chlorophyta*). *Phycologia* 43 (6): 641–652. [https://doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-43-6-](https://doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-43-6-641.1)
3 367 641.1
4 368
5
6 368 Hughes-Games WL (1977). Growing the Japanese oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) in sub-tropical seawater fishponds.
7
8 369 I. Growth rate, survival and quality index. *Aquaculture* 11:217-229.
9
10 370 Hussenot JME (2003). Emerging effluent management strategies in marine fish-culture farms located in European
11 371 coastal wetlands. *Aquaculture* 226, 1–4: 113-128. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(03\)00472-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00472-1)
12
13 372 IPBES (2019). Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.
14
15 373 Jegatheesan V, Shu L, Visvanathan C (2011). Aquaculture effluent: impacts and remedies for protecting the
16 374 environment and human health. In: Nriagu J (ed.) *Encyclopedia of Environmental Health*, 123–135.
17 375 Elsevier Science, Burlington, Vt.
18
19 376 Karvonen A, Rintamäki P, Jokela J, Valtonen ET (2010). Increasing water temperature and disease risks in aquatic
20 377 systems: climate change increases the risk of some, but not all, diseases. *Int J Parasitol* 40(13): 1483-8.
21 378 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2010.04.015>
22
23 379 Keerthi P, Judy J, Yogi K, Katherine A, Geoffrey D, Carmen S (2012). Impact of impurities in biodiesel- derived
24 380 crude glycerol on the fermentation by *Clostridium pasteurianum* ATCC 6013, *Applied Microbiology and*
25 381 *Biotechnology* 93, 1325-1335
26
27 382 Knowler D, Chopin T, Martínez- Espiñeira R, Neori A, Nobre A, Noce A, Reid G (2020). The economics of
28 383 Integrated Multi- Trophic Aquaculture: where are we now and where do we need to go? *Rev Aquacult* 12:
29 384 1579-1594. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12399>
30
31 385 Korshikov AA (1953). Vznachnik prisnovodnihk vodorostey Ukrainykoï RSR [Vyp] V. Pidklas Protokokovi
32 386 (Protococcineae). Bakuol'ni (Vacuolales) ta Protokokovi (Protococcales) [The Freshwater Algae of the
33 387 Ukrainian SSR. V. Sub-Class Protococcineae. Vacuolales and Protococcales]. pp. 1-439. Kyjv [Kiev]:
34 388 Akad. NAUK URSR.
35
36 389 Lefebvre S, Hussenot J, Brossard N (1996). Water treatment of land-based fish farm effluents by outdoor culture
37 390 of marine diatoms. *J Appl Phycol* 8, 3: 193-200. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02184971>
38
39 391 Lefebvre S, Barille L, Clerc M (2000). Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) feeding responses to a fish-farm effluent.
40 392 *Aquaculture* 187: 185–198. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(99\)00390-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00390-7)
41
42 393 Lefebvre S, Probert I, Lefrançois C, Hussenot J (2004). Outdoor phytoplankton continuous culture in a marine
43 394 fish–phytoplankton–bivalve integrated system: combined effects of dilution rate and ambient conditions on
44 395 growth rate, biomass and nutrient cycling. *Aquaculture* 240, 1-4, 211-231.
45 396 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.06.022>
46
47 397 Li M, Callier M, Blancheton JP, Galès A, Nahon S, Triplet S, Geoffroy T, Menniti C, Fouilland E, Roque
48 398 D'Orbcastel E (2019). Bioremediation of fishpond effluent and production of microalgae for an oyster farm
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 399 in an innovative recirculating integrated multi-trophic aquaculture system. *Aquaculture* 504: 314–325.
1 400 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.02.013>
2
- 3 401 Lopez Figueroa F, Korbee N, Abdala Díaz R, Jerez C, Torre M, Güenaga L, Larrubia M, Gomez Pinchetti JL
4 402 (2012). Biofiltration of fishpond effluents and accumulation of N-compounds (phycobiliproteins and
5 403 mycosporine-like amino acids) versus C-compounds (polysaccharides) in *Hydropuntia cornea*
6 404 (*Rhodophyta*). *Mar. poll. bull.* 64. 310-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.11.012>.
7
8
9
- 10 405 Lulijwa R, Rupia JE, Alfaro AC (2019). Antibiotic use in aquaculture, policies and regulation, health and
11 406 environmental risks: a review of the top 15 major producers. *Rev Aquac.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12344>
12
- 13 407 McCausland MA, Brown MR, Barrett SM, Diemar JA, Heasman MP (1999). Evaluation of live microalgae and
14 408 microalgal pastes as supplementary food for juvenile Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*), *Aquaculture*, 174,
15 409 3–4: 323-342, ISSN 0044-8486, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(99\)00018-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00018-6).
16
17
- 18 410 Malézieux E (2012). Designing cropping systems from nature. *ASD*, 32: 15–29.
19
- 20 411 Martins CIM, Eding EH, Verdegem MCJ, Heinsbroek LTN, Schneider O, Blancheton JP, Roque D'Orbcastel E,
21 412 Verreth JAJ (2010). New developments in recirculating aquaculture systems in Europe: A perspective on
22 413 environmental sustainability. *Aqua Eng* 43(3): 83-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2010.09.002>
23
24
- 25 414 Manzi JJ, Castagna M (1989). *Clam Culture in North America*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 461 pp.
26
- 27 415 Milhazes-Cunha H, Otero A (2017). Valorisation of aquaculture effluents with microalgae: The Integrated Multi-
28 416 Trophic Aquaculture concept. *Algal Res* 24 (B): 416-424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ALGAL.2016.12.011>
29
30
- 31 417 Miranda CD (2011). Antimicrobial Resistance Associated with Salmonid Farming. *Antimicrobial Resistance in*
32 418 *the Environment*: 423-451. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118156247.ch22>.
33
- 34 419 Neaud-Masson N (2020). Observation et dénombrement du phytoplancton marin par microscopie optique.
35 420 Spécifications techniques et méthodologiques appliquées au REPHY. Version 2 – février 2020.
36 421 ODE/VIGIES/20/03. <https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00609/72133/>
37
38
- 39 422 Neori A, Ragg NLC, Shpigel M (1998). The integrated culture of seaweed, abalone, fish and clams in modular
40 423 intensive landbased systems: II. Performance and nitrogen partitioning within an abalone (*Haliotis*
41 424 *tuberculata*) and macroalgae culture system. *Aquac Eng* 17: 215-239. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8609\(98\)00017-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8609(98)00017-X)
42
43
44 425
- 45 426 Neori A, Chopin T, Troell M, Buschmann AH, Kraemer GP, Halling C, Shpigel M, Yarish C (2004). Integrated
46 427 aquaculture: rationale, evolution and state of the art emphasizing seaweed biofiltration in modern
47 428 mariculture. *Aquaculture* 231 (1): 361-391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2003.11.015>
48
49
50
- 51 429 Neori A, Shpigel M, Guttman L, Israel A (2017). Development of Polyculture and Integrated Multi -Trophic
52 430 Aquaculture (IMTA) in Israel: A Review. *The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - Bamidgeh*,
53 431 IJA_69.2017.1385, 19 pages
54
55
- 56 432 Newby DT, Mathews TJ, Pate RC, Huesemann MH, Lane TW, Wahlen BD, Mandal S, Engler RK, Feris KP,
57 433 Shuri J (2016). Assessing the potential of polyculture to accelerate algal biofuel production. *Algal Res* 19:
58 434 264-277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2016.09.004>
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 435 Okocha RC, Olatoye IO, Adedeji OB (2018). Food safety impacts of antimicrobial use and their residues in
1 436 aquaculture. *Public Health Rev* 39: 21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40985-018-0099-2>
2
- 3 437 Piedrahita RH (2003). Reducing the potential environmental impact of tank aquaculture effluents through
4 438 intensification and recirculation. *Aquaculture* 226: 35-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-
6 439 8486\(03\)00465-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00465-4)
7
- 8 440 Pillay TVR (2004). *Aquaculture and the Environment* 2nd edition. Pillay Eds.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470995730>
10 441
- 11 442 Ponis E, Robert R, Parisi G (2003). Nutritional value of fresh and concentrated algal diets for larval and juvenile
12 443 Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*), *Aquaculture*, 221(1-4): 491-505, ISSN 0044-8486,
13 444 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(03\)00075-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00075-9).
14 445
- 15 445 Ritchie RJ (2006). Consistent sets of spectrophotometric chlorophyll equations for acetone, methanol and ethanol
16 446 solvents. *Photosynth Res* 89 (1): 27-41.
17 447
- 18 447 Ryther JH, Goldman JC, Gifford CE, Huguenin E, Wing AS, Clarner JP, Williams LD, Lapointe BE, (1975).
19 448 Physical models of integrated waste recycling—marine polyculture systems. *Aquaculture* 5: 163-177.
20 449
- 21 449 Shpigel M, Neori A (1996). The Integrated Culture of Seaweed, Abalone, Fish and Clams in Modular Intensive
22 450 Land-based Systems: I. Proportions of Size and Projected Revenues. *Aquac Eng* 15 (5): 313-326.
23 451 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8609\(96\)01000-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8609(96)01000-X)
24 452
- 25 452 Smith DJ, Gingerich WH, Beconi-Barker MG (1999). Use of chemicals in fish management and fish culture past
26 453 and future. *Xenobiotics in Fish*.
27 454
- 28 454 Snapp (2017). *Agroecology: Principles and Practice*, Agricultural Systems 2nd Ed, 33-72.
29 455
- 30 455 Tenore KR (1976). Food chain dynamics of abalone in a polyculture system. *Aquaculture* 8: 23-7.
31 456
- 32 456 Tolon T, Emiroglu D, Günay D, Hancı B (2017). Effect of stocking density on growth performance of juvenile sea
33 457 cucumber *Holothuria tubulosa* (Gmelin, 1788). *Aquac Res* 48: 4124-4131.
34 458 <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13232>
35 459
- 36 459 Troell M, Joyce A, Chopin T, Neori A, Buschmann AH, Fangh GJ (2009). Ecological engineering in aquaculture
37 460 — Potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine offshore systems. *Aquaculture* 297
38 461 (1-4): 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.09.010>
39 462
- 40 462 Troell M, Norberg J (1998). Modelling output and retention of suspended solids in an integrated salmon-mussel
41 463 culture. *Ecol Modell* 110: 65-77.
42 464
- 43 464 Troell M, Naylor RL, Metian M et al (2014). Does aquaculture add resilience to the global food system? *PNAS*
44 465 23. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1404067111>.
45 466
- 46 466 Tsarenko PM (2011). Trebouxiophyceae. In: *Algae of Ukraine: diversity, nomenclature, taxonomy, ecology and*
47 467 *geography*. Volume 3: *Chlorophyta*. (Tsarenko, P.M., Wasser, S.P. & Nevo, E. Eds): 61-108. Ruggell:
48 468 A.R.A. Gantner Verlag K.-G.
49 469
50 470
51 471
52 472
53 473
54 474
55 475
56 476
57 477
58 478
59 479
60 480
61 481
62 482
63 483
64 484
65 485

- 469 Utting SD, Millican PF (1997). Techniques for the hatchery conditioning of bivalve broodstocks and the
1 470 subsequent effect on egg quality and larval viability. *Aquaculture* 155: 45-54
2
- 3 471 Wezel A, Herren BG, Kerr RB, Barrios E, Gonçalves ALR, Sinclair F (2020). Agroecological principles and
4 472 elements and their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. A review. *Agronomy for*
5 473 *Sustainable Development*, Springer Verlag/EDP Sciences/INRA, 2020, 40 (6), pp.40. 10.1007/s13593-020-
6 474 00646-z . hal-03410520
7
8 475 Wongkiew S, Hu Z, Kartik C, Lee JW, Khanal SK (2017). Nitrogen transformations in aquaponic systems: A
9 476 review. *Aquac Eng* 76.
10
11 477 Yu LQJ, Mu Y, Zhao AZ, Lam VWY, Sumaila R (2017). Economic challenges to the generalization of integrated
12 478 multi-trophic aquaculture: An empirical comparative study on kelp monoculture and kelp-mollusk
13 479 polyculture in Weihai, China. *Aquaculture* 471: 130-139.
14
15 480 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.01.015>
16
17
18
19

20 481 **Author information**

21 22 482 - **Affiliations**

23
24 483 **MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Sète, France**

25
26
27 484 Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL (ORCID 0000-0002-0954-0033), Emilie LE FLOC'H (ORCID 0000-
28 485 0002-0887-121X), Martine FORTUNE, Thierry LAUGIER (ORCID 0000-0003-0409-4510), Anaïs CROTTIER,
29 486 Angélique GOBET (ORCID 0000-0003-4204-8451), Eric FOUILLAND (ORCID 0000-0001-9633-8847)
30
31

32 487 **MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Palavas-les-flots, France**

33
34
35 488 François RUELLE, Sébastien TRIPLET (ORCID 0000-0002-9060-7089), Thibault GEOFFROY

36
37 489 **Ifremer, CNRS, IRD, LEMAR, University of Brest, Plouzané, France**

38
39 490 Mathieu LUTIER (ORCID 0000-0003-3955-9277)

40
41 491 **Ifremer, LER Bretagne Nord, CRESCO, Dinard, France**

42
43 492 Patrik LE GALL

44
45 493 **Ifremer, Laboratoire METabolites des microALGues, Nantes, France**

46
47 494 Clarisse HUBERT

48 495 - **Corresponding author**

49
50
51 497 Correspondence to Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL emmanuelle.roque@ifremer.fr
52
53

54 498

55 56 499 **Statements & Declaration**

57 58 500 **Ethical**

501 All sampling and animal handling respected good scientific practice and animal welfare rules. The number of fish
1
2 502 sampled was limited to that strictly necessary: the reared fish were shared with another ERA-Net project (Animal
3
4 503 Health and Welfare – Welfare, Health and Individuality in Farmed Fish, ANIHWA WINFISH, 2015–2018).
5

6 504 **Funding**

7
8
9 505 This work was supported by the ERA-Net COFASP project (IMTA-Effect project) with funding from The French
10
11 506 National Research Agency (ANR-15-COFA-0001-06).
12

13 507 **Competing Interest**

14
15
16 508 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest concerning this manuscript and no competing financial
17
18 509 interests that could influence the work reported in this paper.
19

20 510 **Data availability**

21
22
23 511 The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author
24
25 512 on reasonable request.
26

27 513 **Author contributions**

28
29
30 514 ERO and EF designed the study and wrote the manuscript. ML and FR managed the day-to-day experiment. ML,
31
32 515 ERO and EF collected the samples. ML, ERO, FR, ST, TL, AC, PLG performed the biometrics. ML, AG, ELF,
33
34 516 CH performed the microalgae analyses. TG performed the nutrient analyses. ML performed the statistics. All
35
36 517 authors read and approved the final manuscript.
37

38
39 518 Full names as follows: Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL (ERO), Eric FOUILLAND (EF), Mathieu LUTIER
40
41 519 (ML), François RUELLE (FR), Sébastien TRIPLET (ST), Thierry LAUGIER (TL), Patrik LE GALL (PLG),
42
43 520 Clarisse HUBERT (CH), Anaïs CROTTIER (AC), Emilie LE FLOC'H (ELF), Thibault GEOFFROY (TG),
44
45 521 Angélique GOBET (AG). All authors approved the manuscript submission.
46

47 522
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Marine ecological aquaculture: a successful Mediterranean **integrated multi-trophic aquaculture****
2 **case study of a fish, oyster and algae assemblage**

3 Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL, Mathieu LUTIER, Emilie LE FLOC'H, François RUELLE, Sébastien
4 TRIPLET, Patrik LE GALL, Clarisse HUBERT, Martine FORTUNE, Thierry LAUGIER, Thibault GEOFFROY,
5 Anaïs CROTTIER, Angélique GOBET, Eric FOUILLAND

6
7 **Abstract**

8 Inspired by agroecology, ecological aquaculture proposes an alternative model that uses ecology as a paradigm to
9 develop innovative, more eco-friendly aquaculture with environmental, economic and social benefits. Integrated
10 multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) is one application of this principle. Inspired by the natural trophic chain, it
11 associates primary producers with primary or secondary consumers, providing a new source of biomass without
12 requiring supplementary feed by recycling inorganic and organic wastes. Of these systems, land-based IMTAs
13 demonstrate several advantages, especially easier control of nutrient flows, contaminants and/or predators. This
14 study focused on a land-based marine IMTA, combining a recirculating aquaculture system for fish consecutively
15 with a natural marine polyculture of microalgae and oyster cultivation. The objective was to assess the ability of
16 the microalgal polyculture both to bioremediate fish nutrients and to sustain oyster growth. For the first time in a
17 Mediterranean climate, we confirmed the feasibility of developing a microalgae community of interest for oysters
18 maintained by fish effluent. Despite strong variability in microalgae production, this IMTA system resulted in
19 significant oyster growth over the experimental period of one month, with growth results of the same order of
20 magnitude as natural juvenile growth. In the conditions tested, this IMTA with reduced human intervention
21 allowed a gain in recoverable biomass of 3.7 g of oyster produced per kg of fish feed distributed. By transforming
22 waste into additional biomass, IMTAs offer a more promising, ecological avenue for aquaculture, based on a
23 circular economy, which may in turn increase the social acceptability of fish farming. (250 words)

24 **Key words** Integrated Multi-trophic Aquaculture, nutrient recycling, oysters, fish, microalgae.

25 **Abbreviations:**

26 ARA: arachidonic acid

27 EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid

28 HRAP: high-rate algal pond

29 IMTA: Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture

30 PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids

31 RAS: recirculating aquaculture systems

32 RE: removal efficiency

33 SGR: specific growth rate

34

35 **1. Introduction**

36 *1.1 Food system paradigms*

37 Today, the global food system and food security face multiple challenges, with a convergence of population growth
38 and increasing hunger and malnutrition (FAO 2016). Additional pressure, e.g. anthropic activity and
39 environmental pollution, threatens natural resources, while the consequences of climate change are leading to the
40 loss of biodiversity and unbalanced production systems (IPBES 2019). A transition is required towards more
41 sustainable production and consumption.

42 In recent decades, the general paradigm in animal or vegetal feedstock production, especially in Europe, has been
43 to grow one selected species under conditions of intensive cultivation. This method of production is highly
44 dependent on the species' ability for high and stable growth and resilience to sudden environmental changes. In a
45 context of global change, with extreme climatic events occurring more frequently, in aquaculture systems, high
46 water temperatures potentially increase the risk of some diseases (Karvonen et al. 2010). Monospecific systems
47 are based on species selected for their ability to dedicate their energy to growth and have little adaptability to
48 exogenous pressures; thus, xenobiotics (e.g. antimicrobials) are often needed to maintain productivity in intensive
49 systems (Smith et al. 1999). Of animal production systems, aquaculture is growing 7.8% per year, exceeding all
50 others (Troell et al. 2014). Monospecific aquaculture (especially to raise finfish) is today common worldwide and
51 is often associated with the use of antibiotics (Miranda 2011; Lulijwa et al. 2019), potential chemical contaminants
52 such as heavy metals or dioxins, or hormones (FAO 2003). Another disadvantage is the discharge of large amounts
53 of nutrients (i.e. from organism excretions and unconsumed food), which can cause the eutrophication and
54 deoxygenation of coastal waters when environmental carrying capacity is exceeded (Gowen and Bradbury 1987;
55 Pillay 2004). This can foster the development of pathogens and parasites, which may in turn negatively impact
56 biodiversity, human health, as well as the farmed species (Neori et al. 2004; Jegatheesan et al. 2011), leading to
57 sometimes dramatic environmental concerns due to the presence of potential harmful residues impacting the health
58 of end consumers (Okocha et al. 2018).

59 *1.2 From monospecific to multispecies production systems*

60 A major paradigm shift is essential to improve not only aquaculture food safety, but also its social acceptability.
1
2 61 In the agricultural sector, research into alternative agriculture applying the principles of ecology emerged in the
3
4 62 1980s (Altieri 1983). The ‘agroecology’ paradigm is based on several principles: e.g. input reduction, recycling,
5
6 63 animal health, biodiversity and synergy (Wezel et al. 2020). It aims to take into account interactions between the
7
8 64 plants, animals, humans and the environment within agricultural systems and to imitate the natural processes
9
10 65 involved in ecosystem productivity, stability and resilience (Malézieux 2012) in order to develop new production
11
12 66 methods (Snapp 2017).

13
14
15 67 Inspired by this approach, ‘ecological aquaculture’ has been put forward as an alternative model that uses ecology
16
17 68 as a paradigm to develop aquaculture with environmental, economic and social benefits. It maintains that
18
19 69 aquaculture should mimic the structure and functions of natural ecosystems and practice nutrient recycling through
20
21 70 waste reuse (Costa-Pierce 2015; Aubin et al. 2017). Based on the natural trophic chain, integrated multi-trophic
22
23 71 aquaculture (IMTA) is in this sense one of the logical next steps in alternative aquaculture development
24
25 72 (Barrington et al. 2009), representing a way to improve existing systems.

26 27 28 73 ***1.3 Higher diversity in farmed species could help social acceptability***

29
30 74 Studies looking into the possibility of IMTA began in the early 1970s (Ryther 1975; Goldman et al. 1974), with
31
32 75 research efforts increasing over the last two decades, both in marine ecosystems (Chopin et al. 2001; Hussenot
33
34 76 2003; Barrington et al. 2009 in FAO 2009; Milhazes-Cunha and Otero, 2017; Buck et al. 2018) and freshwater
35
36 77 ecosystems (Wongkiew et al. 2017). As mentioned by Chopin (2013), the concept of IMTA can in fact be traced
37
38 78 back to the origins of aquaculture (in 2200–2100 BCE, You Hou Bin detailed the integration of fish with aquatic
39
40 79 plants). Chopin defines IMTA as “*The farming, in proximity, of species from different trophic levels and with*
41
42 80 *complementary ecosystem functions in a way that allows one species’ uneaten feed and wastes, nutrients and by-*
43
44 81 *products to be recaptured and converted into fertilizer, feed and energy for the other crops, and to take advantage*
45
46 82 *of synergistic interactions among species while biomitigation takes place.*”

47
48
49 83 By integrating species with complementary diets, IMTAs reproduce a simplified trophic chain (Fig. 1), in which
50
51 84 both primary producers and consumers play a key role. Microalgae or macroalgae nourished by inorganic liquid
52
53 85 effluents use photosynthesis to grow, reintroducing energy into the system and acting both to fix CO₂ and to
54
55 86 provide O₂ and food for other organisms (Shpigel and Neori 1996; Demetropoulos and Langdon 2004; Barrington
56
57 87 et al. 2009). They can serve as a food source for high value-added species, e.g. grazers or filter-feeders such as
58
59 88 abalone, urchins, oysters or clams (Tenore 1976; Hugues-Games 1977; Gordin et al. 1981; Borges et al. 2005).

89 Organic compounds released by farmed species or from unused external feed can also be consumed by primary or
1 90 secondary consumers such as crustaceans, or echinoderms such as holothurians and urchins (Chopin 2013). The
2
3 91 resulting reduced environmental impact of IMTA could increase the social acceptability of aquaculture (Alexander
4
5 92 et al. 2016; Knowler et al. 2020), representing a perceived improvement over current monoculture practices for
6
7 93 the public (Barrington et al. 2010).

9
10 94 In comparison to other systems, IMTA is not only more environmentally friendly, but can also potentially provide
11
12 95 more economic stability through product diversification (Granada et al. 2016), although the overall capital gain
13
14 96 (via greater degree of productivity) has not yet been demonstrated at an industrial level, apart from in one Asian
15
16 97 study (Fang et al. 2019).

18 19 98 ***1.4 Current and future challenges of IMTA***

20
21 99 IMTAs can be developed both in open sea or inland areas (Shpigel and Neori 1996; Neori et al. 1998). Among
22
23 100 current IMTAs challenges, there are (i) biological challenges with the choice of candidate species adapted to the
24
25 101 environmental and societal contexts, (ii) economical challenges to demonstrate their performance and rentability
26
27 102 in comparison with conventional systems (Yu et al. 2017) and (iii) zoo-technological challenges to determinate
28
29 103 key variables to cultivate candidate species (Buck et al. 2018) and to ensure nutrient fluxes management (Granada
30
31 104 et al. 2016), especially for offshore systems (Buck et al. 2018) where the connectivity between compartments need
32
33 105 to be documented. Moreover, new planification of aquaculture area have to be considered, with extensive areas
34
35 106 required for species coping with low natural densities, such as holothurian (Tolon et al. 2017; Chary et al. 2019).

36
37 107 Land-based IMTAs present several advantages: easier control of flows, less pressure from predators or pathogens,
38
39 108 and negligible exposure to extreme climatic events (Manzi and Castagna 1989; Neori et al. 2004; Blancheton et
40
41 109 al. 2009). Of the systems widely used for land-based fish production, recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS)
42
43 110 offer many advantages, such as reduced water consumption based on a bacteria treatment loop (Piedrahita 2003;
44
45 111 Martins et al. 2010). Wastewater flow in an RAS is consequently reduced compared to traditional flow-through
46
47 112 systems, but carries inorganic compounds such as PO_4^{3-} , NO_3^- and CO_2 . To reduce these compounds, one of the
48
49 113 existing treatments proposed by IMTA is to integrate a compartment of primary producers. Macroalgae have
50
51 114 frequently been included in these systems as biofilters, e.g. *Ulva spp.* and *Gracilaria spp.* (Neori et al. 2004; Lopez
52
53 115 Figueroa et al. 2012; Neori et al. 2017), but microalgae are less frequently used (Milhazes-Cunha and Otero 2017).
54
55 116 Like macroalgae, microalgae add value in terms of feeding macro-invertebrates (i.e. filter-feeders), but they could
56
57 117 be even more promising because of their higher photosynthesis rate and greater surface-area-to-volume ratio (i.e.
58
59 118 higher nutrient uptake) (Milhazes-Cunha and Otero 2017).

119 One challenge for land-based IMTA systems is ensuring microalgae culture stability to allow both optimal nutrient
120 remediation for the primary culture (e.g. fish) and optimal feeding for the associated culture (e.g. filter species). A
121 monospecific algal culture may be selected in order to meet the needs of the other IMTA species. However, several
122 studies have reported that an algal polyculture consisting of an assemblage of several species presents higher
123 resilience to disturbances and ensures greater efficiency in resource use (Newby et al. 2016). In particular,
124 multispecies algal cultures could better cope with climatic and fish-effluent variability. Some authors have
125 demonstrated that natural plurispecific algal cultures can both grow on finfish effluent and ensure nutrient
126 remediation (Lefebvre et al. 1996, 2004; Neori et al. 2017, Galès et al. 2020). Of course, within the IMTA,
127 microalgae must also fulfil the feeding requirements of filter species. In one study, the addition of silicate in an
128 algal culture initially filled with natural seawater and continuously supplemented with nitrate-enriched RAS
129 wastewater led to a diatom-based algal culture with a remediation capacity equivalent to that of macroalgae (Li et
130 al. 2019). In the microalgae biochemical composition, lipid content as well as essential amino acids and
131 polyunsaturated fatty acids play a major role in the diet energy content, directly controlling oyster assimilation and
132 biomass productivity (Brown et al. 1997 and references therein; Ben Kheder et al. 2010; Anjos et al. 2017). This
133 nutritional value is mainly related to microalgal diversity, with diatoms being the most suitable diet for oyster
134 growth (Brown et al. 1997 and references therein).

135 The use of a continuous nutrient-enriched multispecies algal culture as an inoculum reservoir, if the culture is well
136 controlled, stabilized and monitored, may help: (i) to reduce the risk of producing undesirable (i.e. toxic) algal
137 species (when using natural coastal seawater inoculum), (ii) to accelerate the time required to reach the maximal
138 microalgal biomass, ensuring optimal inorganic matter remediation and oyster feeding.

139 This study focused on combining a RAS (for sea bass) with algal polycultures and oyster cultures. We used an
140 inoculum from a year-round algal culture (a high-rate algal pond or HRAP, located close to the IMTA) initially
141 inoculated with natural local seawater. The aim was to assess the algal polyculture's ability to sustain oyster growth
142 from an associated compartment when continuously supplemented with wastewater from a fish-based RAS in an
143 IMTA context.

144 **2. From IMTA theory to a new way of production**

145 ***2.1. Materials and methods***

146 *2.1.1. Land-based marine IMTA system*

147 The experiment ran over 31 days, from 17 April 2018 (day 1) to 17 May 2018 (day 32), at the French Institute for
148 Ocean Science (Ifremer) station in Palavas-les-Flots (southern France), which has a Mediterranean climate. The
149 experimental IMTA system (Fig. 2) was adapted following Li et al. (2019), with improved hydrodynamics by
150 including additional recirculation pumps in the outdoor microalgal raceways and oyster tanks.

151 Three separate units were created to conduct the experiment. An indoor RAS (in triplicate) was dedicated to sea
152 bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) (n=1380 fish, split into 460 per tank, initial weight of 425 ± 134 g ind⁻¹), which were
153 reared at a density increasing from 51 kg m⁻³ (d1) to 56 kg m⁻³ (d32); this unit was considered a nutrient provider.
154 Each was connected to an outdoor microalgal raceway (6 m³, n=3), which continuously received RAS wastewater
155 with a fixed flow rate (1 L.min⁻¹), ensuring a hydraulic retention time of 4.2 d.

156 The IMTA microalgal raceways were inoculated with RAS effluent seawater (4:5 of the mix) and a local
157 microalgal reservoir (1:5) containing a consortium dominated by Chlorellales (mainly *Schizochlamyde* sp
158 and *Picochlorum* sp). Silicate (Na₂SiO₃·5H₂O) was added to reach a N:Si:P molar ratio of nearly 10:5:1 in order
159 to favour diatom dominance (Lefebvre et al., 1996). Nanostream electronic pumps (Turbelle®) were added in
160 order to limit biodeposition and ensure light access.

161 The microalgae cultures (chlorophyll a concentration of 6.3 mg.l⁻¹) were then mixed in a tank with airstones and
162 distributed to 3 outdoor tanks containing juvenile Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*). The microalgae flow rate of
163 2.7 L.h⁻¹ was diluted with 100 L.h⁻¹ of fresh filtered seawater (dilution factor = 37), in order to approach a daily
164 feeding ration of 6–8% dry weight (DW). This food ration is frequently used to meet the energy requirements of
165 *C. gigas* broodstock in hatcheries (Utting and Millican 1997; Fabioux et al. 2005; Delaporte et al. 2006); and
166 represents a ration 3 times higher than in a previous study (Li et al. 2019). Food distribution was optimized by the
167 inclusion of a homogenization pump (Turbelle®) and an airlift and by replacing baskets clogged by biofouling
168 with new ones every two weeks.

2.1.2. Nutrient remediation and algal biomass production

170 Throughout the 31-day experiment, the water was sampled 3 times a week to monitor nutrient parameters (inlet
171 and outlet) in the photosynthetic extractive compartment (the 3 outdoor microalgal raceways). Water samples (n
172 total = 49) were filtered (GF/F, Whatman™) after each sampling and stored (at -25°C) for NO₃-N, NO₂-N, NH₄-
173 N, PO₄-P analysis (Alliance® auto-analyser). Nutrient removal efficiency (RE, %) was calculated (see Li et al.
174 2019).

175 The microalgal biomass was monitored 3 times a week in the 3 outdoor microalgal raceways; chlorophyll a (Chla)
176 was determined after water sample filtration using GF/F filters (Association 1995), and the pigments were
177 extracted with methanol (Ritchie 2006). Chla concentration was measured using a spectrophotometer and
178 calculated using the Ritchie (2006) equation. The main microalgal species were identified by microscopy with an
179 Olympus IMT2 inverted light microscope, following the protocol of the French Observation and Monitoring
180 Programme for Phytoplankton and Hydrology (REPHY) in coastal waters (Neaud-Masson 2020).

181 Some environmental parameters (i.e. temperature, salinity, pH) were monitored daily in all tanks (i.e. fish, algae
182 and oyster) using a YSI® probe.

2.1.3. Oyster growth

184 On d1, 756 8-month juvenile oysters ($n_{\text{total}}=756$) were split into 3 outdoor tanks ($n_{\text{tank}}= 252\pm 3$). The initial fresh
185 weight and length of the juvenile oysters were measured, with values of 1.55 ± 0.33 g.ind⁻¹ and 22 ± 3 mm.ind⁻¹
186 respectively. The final fresh weight and length were measured after 32 days. The specific growth rate (SGR) was
187 calculated with the equation:

$$SGR \text{ (in \%)} = \frac{\ln(\text{final weight} - \text{initial weight}) * 100}{\text{number of days}}$$

189 The non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney statistical test was used to assess median differences between
190 experimental conditions.

2.2. Results & discussion

An IMTA-microalgae community of interest, but highly variable Chla production

194 The results confirmed the feasibility of cultivating a microalgae community on fish effluent in a Mediterranean
195 climate.

196 In all raceways, the Chla pigment concentrations, indicator of algal biomass, showed a similar three-phased pattern
197 (Fig. 3): (1) from d1 to d9, a low initial concentration of around 0.06 mg Chla.L⁻¹, with *cyanobacteria* dominance
198 observed in microscopy, (2) from d9 to d16, an increase in production (reaching a maximum of 0.58 mg Chla.L⁻¹
199 at d15), with the dominance of *Cylindrotheca closterium* (Ehrenberg) Reimann and Lewin 1964, and finally, (3)
200 after d16, a decline in algal growth, still with *Cylindrotheca closterium* (at d23) as the main algal species, and
201 *Pseudo-nitzschia* and *cyanobacteria* at the end of the culture (d28).

202 Using an inoculum from a local intensive algal culture to start the microalgal culture, we observed that the maximal
203 Chla production was reached after 16 days, twice as fast as in a previous experiment performed during the same

204 seasonal period but using a natural seawater inoculum (Li et al., 2019). The microalgal crash around d24 was
1
2 205 probably due to rapid algal CO₂ consumption leading to elevated pH (maximum pH of 10) and CO₂ depletion.
3
4 206 The results also confirmed the feasibility of bioremediating fish nutrients using an inoculum from a local HRAP.
5
6 207 The remediation of phosphates was high, 85 ± 25% (with a maximal value of 97.6%), but was lower for
7
8 208 nitrogenous elements, with 49 ± 21% for NH₄-N (maximal value of 93.5%), and 24.9 ± 11.1% for NO₃-N (maximal
9
10 209 value of 51.3%).
11
12 210 The algal yields – and as a consequence bioremediation efficiency – could be improved, for example, by reusing
13
14 211 CO₂ released by the fish in the RAS loop in the algae culture in order to maintain pH at seawater value (*ca* 8) and
15
16 212 to avoid CO₂ depletion. Another research avenue would be to integrate detritivorous organisms (e.g. mullet fish,
17
18 213 holothurians, nematodes) with algae cultures, as the former would be able to feed on deposits.
19
20
21 214 *Juvenile oysters more than doubled in weight and length*
22
23 215 During the 1-month period, both weight and length gain in the oysters was significant ($p < 0.001$), more than
24
25 216 doubling, with a final weight of 3.7±0.9 g ind⁻¹ (n=754). No mortality was observed (0.2%). Despite strong
26
27 217 variability in algal production within the IMTA-microalgae raceways, the produced biomass allowed significant
28
29 218 oyster growth over the experimental period: a very encouraging result. The oyster growth rate of 1.04% was in the
30
31 219 same order of magnitude as that of juveniles reared in a nearby natural lagoon (0.97% in Li et al., 2019).
32
33
34 220 As mentioned by Troell et al. (2009), the integration of bivalves in an IMTA is not straightforward. With the
35
36 221 addition of silicates, the phytoplankton that grows with the input of fish nutrients is suitable food for filter-feeders
37
38 222 and can have a positive (Lefebvre et al. 2000, 2004) or insignificant (Li et al. 2019) effect on bivalve growth.
39
40 223 Those growth results are mainly explained by determining environmental factors, such as ambient concentrations
41
42 224 of nutrient availability, particulate organic matter or seston content (Troell and Norberg 1998), but also indirectly
43
44 225 by fish-feeding variability (i.e. its duration and quantity).
45
46
47 226 In our case study, the IMTA microalgal inoculum was mostly composed of Chlorellales – these are not the common
48
49 227 microalgae used for juvenile oyster feed, which is usually composed of diatoms or *Prymnesiophyceae*
50
51 228 (McCausland et al. 1999; Ponis et al. 2003). Indeed, juvenile oysters are usually not able to retain small particles,
52
53 229 e.g. < 4 µm for *C. gigas* when sestonic load is low, <12 µm when sestonic load is higher (Barillé et al. 1993), and
54
55 230 even 20 µm for *Ostrea chilensis* (Dunphy et al. 2006). Chlorellales such as *Schizochlamydeella* sp and *Picochlorum*
56
57 231 sp are rather small (2–10 microns and 1.5–3 microns, respectively) and consequently not assimilable by juvenile
58
59 232 oysters (Korshikov 1953; Tsarenko 2011; Henley et al. 2004). The addition of silicates permitted a shift in the
60
61
62
63
64
65

233 initial algal community towards diatoms of the *Bacillariophyceae* family, whether these were present (but not
1
2 234 detectable) in the 1:5 inoculum at the beginning of the period, or in the 4:5 water from the RAS effluent. This shift
3
4 235 in the microalgal composition allowed the growth of the juvenile oysters, indicating that the feed composition was
5
6 236 both assimilable and resulted in growth gain. *C. closterium*, which grew in the microalgal raceways, are long cells
7
8 237 (> to 25 µm; Reimann and Lewin 1964) with a particular shape that could favour its retention on oyster gills and
9
10 238 be suitable microalgae for juvenile oyster growth. Its high nutritional value for secondary consumers has
11
12 239 previously been described: it has high lipid content and is particularly rich in essential PUFAs such as EPA and
13
14 240 ARA (Keerthi et al. 2012). Other constituents may also have played a role in the oyster food chain, as ciliates and
15
16 241 flagellates from 4 to 72 µm are known to be retained by the oyster (Dupuy et al. 1999). Future experiments on the
17
18 242 entire microbial food web are necessary to delve further into the microorganism communities assimilable by
19
20 243 oysters in an IMTA oyster-growing context. To reach optimal conditions for bivalve production, these experiments
21
22 244 can give rise to improvements in the system – for example, daily uniform fish feeding and therefore, nutrient
23
24 245 excretion – to smooth out variations in the microalgae cultures and decrease the risk of crop crashing.

26 246 *IMTA supplementary biomass production*

27
28 247 At a daily feeding rate of 1% of the fish biomass, 5.89 kg of feed.d⁻¹ were distributed, giving a growth ratio of 2.39
29
30 248 kg of fish.d⁻¹. The nutrients excreted by fish and taken in by microalgae fed an oyster biomass of 0.022 kg.d⁻¹.

31
32
33 249 In the experimental conditions tested, the results showed that an IMTA with reduced human intervention allowed
34
35 250 a gain in recoverable biomass: i.e. 3.7 g of oyster produced per kg of fish feed distributed. For a production unit
36
37 251 of 100 tonnes of fish fed at 1% of the biomass twice a day, an additional production of 2.7 tonnes of oyster could
38
39 252 be provided per year. This yield could be even higher if 100% RAS water was used to supplement the microalgal
40
41 253 compartment (5% of RAS water was used in this study), as in this case 20 microalgal raceways could be run.
42
43 254 Moreover, only 2% of the microalgae biomass was used to feed the 3 oyster compartments: if 100% was used to
44
45 255 feed the oysters, 37 times more oyster biomass would be produced. Further work is needed to investigate the
46
47 256 economic viability of such a system in more detail, in order to establish whether there is an overall economic
48
49 257 benefit to implementing such a system.

51 258 52 53 259 **Conclusion**

54
55 260 This study demonstrated the feasibility of using an inoculum of a microalgal polyculture (favouring diatoms of
56
57 261 interest) from a local intensive basin together with an appropriate oyster–food ratio to feed oyster juveniles in an
58
59 262 IMTA community. The experiment resulted in equivalent Chl_a production in a shorter time frame than a previous
60
61
62
63
64
65

263 published study (15 days less to reach the same production). This IMTA design could still be improved, in
1 particular regarding technical and environmental factors, in order to better control algal culture variability.
2

3
4 265 By transforming waste into reusable material and additional biomass, IMTAs can reduce environmental impact,
5
6 266 giving a more positive, ecological image to aquaculture. It could potentially be promoted with a specific ‘circular
7
8 267 aquaculture’ certification. However, despite its promise, in Europe the development of IMTA still faces various
9
10 268 issues. Some of the obstacles could be overcome by pursuing research on both biological, economic and social
11
12 269 aspects of IMTA and of end consumer perceptions of its products. Innovative zero-waste designs should be studied,
13
14 270 with specific attention paid to analysing their effects on the biology and welfare of candidate species and the
15
16 271 quality of the end products. Increasing the economic and ecological sustainability of aquaculture should help build
17
18 272 the case for its social acceptance.
19

20 21 273 **Acknowledgements**

22
23
24 274 This study was conducted in the framework of the Cooperation in Fisheries, Aquaculture and Seafood Processing
25
26 275 (COFASP) European Research Area Network (ERA-Net) project (IMTA-Effect project) with funding from the
27
28 276 French National Research Agency (ANR-15-COFA-0001-06) (for installations, experiments, analyses) and the
29
30 277 Marine Biodiversity and Conservation (MARBEC) research group (which funded M. Lutier’s Master’s degree).
31
32 278 The authors would also like to thank colleagues from MARBEC and the French National Research Institute for
33
34 279 Agriculture, Food and the Environment (INRAE) for their contribution in the fish biometry: Bastien Sadoul, Eric
35
36 280 Gasset, Frédéric Clota, Benjamin Geffroy and the two students Maxime Petit Jean and Baptiste Bal. We also thank
37
38 281 Claire Carre for her expertise on phytoplankton, Audrey Mandonnet for her help in the laboratory, Elise Caillard
39
40 282 for her photos, and Cyrille Przybyla, manager of the VASCO2 project that provided us with the algal inoculum.
41
42 283 Finally, many thanks to the editor and referees for their constructive comments on the initial version of this
43
44 284 manuscript, and to Elise Bradbury for the English proofreading.
45
46

47 285 **Reference list**

48
49 286 Altieri MA, Letourneau DK, Davis JR (1983). Developing Sustainable Agroecosystems, *BioSci* 33 (1), 45–49
50
51 287 Alexander KA, Freeman S, Potts T (2016). Navigating uncertain waters: European public perceptions of integrated
52
53 288 multi trophic aquaculture (IMTA). *Env Sci Policy* 61: 230-237.
54 289 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2016.04.020>
55
56 290 Anjos C, Baptista T, Joaquim S, Mendes S, Matias AM, Moura P, Simões T, Matias D (2017). Broodstock
57
58 291 conditioning of the Portuguese oyster (*Crassostrea angulata*, Lamarck, 1819): influence of different diets.
59 292 *Aquac Res* 48: 3859-3878. <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13213>
60
61
62
63
64
65

293 Association APH (1995). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.

- 1
2 294 Aubin J, Callier M, Rey-Valette H, Mathé S, Wilfart A, Legendre M, Slembrouck J, Caruso D, Chia E, Masson
3 295 G, Blancheton JP et al (2017). Implementing ecological intensification in fish farming: definition and
4 principles from contrasting experiences. Rev Aquac 0. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12231>
5 296
- 6
7 297 Barillé L, Prou J, Heral M, Bougrier S (1993). No influence of food quality, but ration-dependent retention
8 298 efficiencies in the Japanese oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 171: 91-106
9
- 10 299 Barrington K, Chopin T, Robinson S (2009). Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine temperate
11 waters. In: Soto D (ed.) Integrated Mariculture: A Global Review. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture
12 300 Technical Paper. No. 529, 7–46. FAO, Rome
13 301
- 14
15 302 Barrington K, Ridler N, Chopin T, Robinson S, Robinson B (2010). Social aspects of the sustainability of
16 integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. Aquac Int 18-2: 201-211. [https://doi.org/10.1007/S10499-008-9236-](https://doi.org/10.1007/S10499-008-9236-0)
17 303
18 304 0
- 19
20 305 Ben Kheder R, Quéré C, Moal J, Robert R (2010). Effect of nutrition on *Crassostrea gigas* larval development
21 and the evolution of physiological indices. Part A: Quantitative and qualitative diet effects. Aquaculture
22 306 305, 1–4: 165-173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.04.022>
23 307
- 24
25 308 Blancheton JP, Bosc P, Hussenot J, Roque D'Orbcastel E, Romain D (2009). The 'new' European fish culture
26 systems: Recirculating systems, offshore cages, integrated systems. Cah Agric 18(2): 227-234.
27 309 <https://doi.org/10.1684/agr.2009.0294>
28 310
- 29
30 311 Borges MT, Silva P, Moreira L, Soares R (2005). Integration of consumer-targeted microalgal production with
31 marine fish effluent biofiltration—a strategy for mariculture sustainability. J Appl Phycol 17 (3): 187-197.
32 312 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-005-4842-y>
33 313
- 34
35 314 Brown MR, Jeffrey SW, Volkman JK, Dunstan GA (1997). Nutritional properties of microalgae for mariculture.
36 315 Aquaculture 151, 1–4: 315-331. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(96\)01501-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(96)01501-3).
- 37
38 316 Buck B and Troell MF and Krause G and Angel DL and Grote B and Chopin T (2018). State of the Art and
39 Challenges for Offshore Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA). Review article. Front Mar Sci 5.
40 317 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00165>
41 318
- 42
43 319 Chary K, Fiandrino A, Coves D, Aubin J, Falguiere JC, Callier M (2019). Modeling sea cage outputs for data-
44 scarce areas: application to red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*) aquaculture in Mayotte, Indian Ocean. Aquac
45 320 Int 27(3): 625-646. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-019-00351-z>
46 321
- 47
48 322 Chopin T (2013). Aquaculture, Integrated Multi-trophic (IMTA). In: Christou P., Savin R., Costa-Pierce B.A.,
49 Misztal I., Whitelaw C.B.A. (eds) Sustainable Food Production. Springer, New York, NY
50 323
- 51
52 324 Chopin T, Buschmann AH, Halling C, Troell M, Kautsky N, Neori A, Kraemer GP, Zertuche-González JA, Yarrish
53 325 C, Neefus C (2001). Integrating seaweeds into marine aquaculture systems: a key toward sustainability. J
54 326 Phycol 37: 975-986. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.2001.01137.x>
55 326
56 327
57 328
- 58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 329 Delaporte M, Soudant P, Lambert C, Moal J, Pouvreau S, Samain JF (2006). Impact of food availability on energy
1 330 storage and defense related hemocyte parameters of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* during an
2
3 331 experimental reproductive cycle. *Aquaculture* 254(1-4): 571-582.
4 332 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.10.006>
5
- 6 333 Demetropoulos CL, Langdon CJ (2004). Enhanced production of Pacific dulse (*Palmaria mollis*) for co-culture
7
8 334 with abalone in a land-based system: nitrogen, phosphorus, and trace metal nutrition. *Aquaculture* 235:
9 335 433-455. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2003.09.012>
10
- 11 336 Dunphy BJ, Hall JA, Jeffs AG, Wells RMG (2006). Selective particle feeding by the Chilean oyster, *Ostrea*
12
13 337 *chilensis*; implications for nursery culture and broodstock conditioning. *Aquaculture* 261, 2: 594-602, ISSN
14 338 0044-8486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.08.015>.
15
- 16 339 Dupuy C, Le Gall S, Hartmann HJ, Bréret M (1999). Retention of ciliates and flagellates by the oyster *Crassostrea*
17
18 340 *gigas* in French Atlantic coastal ponds: protists as a trophic link between bacterioplankton and benthic
19 341 suspension-feeders. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 177: 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.01.015>
20
- 21 342 FAO (2003). Assessment and Management of Seafood Safety and Quality. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture
22
23 343 Technical Paper. No. 444. Rome, FAO. 2003. 230p
24
- 25 344 FAO (2009). Integrated mariculture: a global review. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 529.
26
27 345 Rome, FAO. 2009. 183p
28
- 29 346 FAO (2016). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper
30
- 31 347 Fabioux C, Huvet A, Le Souchu P, Le Pennec M, Pouvreau S (2005). Temperature and photoperiod drive
32 348 *Crassostrea gigas* reproductive internal clock. *Aquaculture* 250, 1-2: 458-470.
33 349 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.02.038>
34
- 35 350 Fang J, Zhang J, Xiao T, Huang D, Liu S (2019). Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in Sanggou Bay,
36
37 351 China. *AEI* 8, 201-205. <https://doi.org/10.3354/AEI00179>
38
- 39 352 Galès A, Triplet S, Geoffroy G, Roques C, Carré C, Le Floc'h E, Lanfranchii M, Simier M, Roque D'Orbcastel E,
40
41 353 Przybyla C, Fouilland E (2020). Control of the pH for marine microalgae polycultures: A key point for CO₂
42 354 fixation improvement in intensive cultures. *Journal of CO₂ Utilization* 38: 187-193.
43 355 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2020.01.019>
44
- 45 356 Goldman JC, Tenore RK, Ryther HJ, Corwin N (1974). Inorganic nitrogen removal in a combined tertiary
46
47 357 treatment-marine aquaculture system. I. Removal efficiencies. *Water Res* 8:45–54
48
- 49 358 Gordin H, Motzkin F, Huges-Games WL, Porter C (1981). Seawater mariculture pond. An integrated system.
50
51 359 European Mariculture Society Special Publication 6, 1-13.
52
- 53 360 Gowen RJ, Bradbury NB (1987). The ecological impact of salmon farming in coastal waters: A review. *Oceanogr.*
54
55 361 *Mar Biol* 25: 563D575.
56
- 57 362 Granada L, Sousa N, Lopes S, Lemos MFL (2016). Is integrated multitrophic aquaculture the solution to the
58 363 sectors' major challenges? – a review. *Rev Aquac* 8: 283–300. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12093>
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 364 Henley WJ, Hironaka JL, Guillou L, Buchheim MA, Buchheim JA, Fawley MW, Fawley KP (2004). Phylogenetic
 1 365 analysis of the 'Nannochloris-like' algae and diagnoses of *Picochlorum oklahomensis* gen. et sp. nov.
 2 366 (*Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta*). *Phycologia* 43 (6): 641–652. [https://doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-43-6-](https://doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-43-6-641.1)
 4 367 [641.1](https://doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-43-6-641.1)
 5
- 6 368 Hughes-Games WL (1977). Growing the Japanese oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) in sub-tropical seawater fishponds.
 7
 8 369 I. Growth rate, survival and quality index. *Aquaculture* 11:217-229.
 9
- 10 370 Hussenot JME (2003). Emerging effluent management strategies in marine fish-culture farms located in European
 11 371 coastal wetlands. *Aquaculture* 226, 1–4: 113-128. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(03\)00472-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00472-1)
 12
- 13 372 IPBES (2019). Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.
 14
- 15 373 Jegatheesan V, Shu L, Visvanathan C (2011). Aquaculture effluent: impacts and remedies for protecting the
 16 374 environment and human health. In: Nriagu J (ed.) *Encyclopedia of Environmental Health*, 123–135.
 17 375 Elsevier Science, Burlington, Vt.
- 20 376 Karvonen A, Rintamäki P, Jokela J, Valtonen ET (2010). Increasing water temperature and disease risks in aquatic
 21 377 systems: climate change increases the risk of some, but not all, diseases. *Int J Parasitol* 40(13): 1483-8.
 22 378 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2010.04.015>
 23
- 25 379 Keerthi P, Judy J, Yogi K, Katherine A, Geoffrey D, Carmen S (2012). Impact of impurities in biodiesel- derived
 26 380 crude glycerol on the fermentation by *Clostridium pasteurianum* ATCC 6013, *Applied Microbiology and*
 27 381 *Biotechnology* 93, 1325-1335
 28
- 30 382 Knowler D, Chopin T, Martínez- Espiñeira R, Neori A, Nobre A, Noce A, Reid G (2020). The economics of
 31 383 Integrated Multi- Trophic Aquaculture: where are we now and where do we need to go? *Rev Aquacult* 12:
 32 384 1579-1594. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12399>
 33
- 35 385 Korshikov AA (1953). Vznachnik prisnovodnihk vodorostey Ukrainykoï RSR [Vyp] V. Pidklas Protokokovi
 36 386 (Protococcineae). Bakuol'ni (Vacuolales) ta Protokokovi (Protococcales) [The Freshwater Algae of the
 37 387 Ukrainian SSR. V. Sub-Class Protococcineae. Vacuolales and Protococcales]. pp. 1-439. Kyjv [Kiev]:
 38 388 Akad. NAUK URSR.
- 41 389 Lefebvre S, Hussenot J, Brossard N (1996). Water treatment of land-based fish farm effluents by outdoor culture
 42 390 of marine diatoms. *J Appl Phycol* 8, 3: 193-200. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02184971>
 43
- 44 391 Lefebvre S, Barille L, Clerc M (2000). Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) feeding responses to a fish-farm effluent.
 45 392 *Aquaculture* 187: 185–198. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(99\)00390-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00390-7)
 46
- 47 393 Lefebvre S, Probert I, Lefrançois C, Hussenot J (2004). Outdoor phytoplankton continuous culture in a marine
 48 394 fish–phytoplankton–bivalve integrated system: combined effects of dilution rate and ambient conditions on
 49 395 growth rate, biomass and nutrient cycling. *Aquaculture* 240, 1-4, 211-231.
 50 396 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.06.022>
 51
- 52 397 Li M, Callier M, Blancheton JP, Galès A, Nahon S, Triplet S, Geoffroy T, Menniti C, Fouilland E, Roque
 53 398 D'Orbcastel E (2019). Bioremediation of fishpond effluent and production of microalgae for an oyster farm
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65

- 399 in an innovative recirculating integrated multi-trophic aquaculture system. *Aquaculture* 504: 314–325.
1 400 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.02.013>
2
- 3 401 Lopez Figueroa F, Korbee N, Abdala Díaz R, Jerez C, Torre M, Güenaga L, Larrubia M, Gomez Pinchetti JL
4 402 (2012). Biofiltration of fishpond effluents and accumulation of N-compounds (phycobiliproteins and
5 403 mycosporine-like amino acids) versus C-compounds (polysaccharides) in *Hydropuntia cornea*
6 404 (*Rhodophyta*). *Mar. poll. bull.* 64: 310-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.11.012>.
7
8
9
- 10 405 Lulijwa R, Rupia JE, Alfaro AC (2019). Antibiotic use in aquaculture, policies and regulation, health and
11 406 environmental risks: a review of the top 15 major producers. *Rev Aquac.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12344>
12
- 13 407 McCausland MA, Brown MR, Barrett SM, Diemar JA, Heasman MP (1999). Evaluation of live microalgae and
14 408 microalgal pastes as supplementary food for juvenile Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*), *Aquaculture*, 174,
15 409 3–4: 323-342, ISSN 0044-8486, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(99\)00018-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00018-6).
16
17
- 18 410 Malézieux E (2012). Designing cropping systems from nature. *ASD*, 32: 15–29.
19
- 20 411 Martins CIM, Eding EH, Verdegem MCJ, Heinsbroek LTN, Schneider O, Blancheton JP, Roque D'Orbcastel E,
21 412 Verreth JAJ (2010). New developments in recirculating aquaculture systems in Europe: A perspective on
22 413 environmental sustainability. *Aqua Eng* 43(3): 83-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2010.09.002>
23
24
- 25 414 Manzi JJ, Castagna M (1989). *Clam Culture in North America*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 461 pp.
26
- 27 415 Milhazes-Cunha H, Otero A (2017). Valorisation of aquaculture effluents with microalgae: The Integrated Multi-
28 416 Trophic Aquaculture concept. *Algal Res* 24 (B): 416-424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ALGAL.2016.12.011>
29
30
- 31 417 **Miranda CD (2011). Antimicrobial Resistance Associated with Salmonid Farming. Antimicrobial Resistance in**
32 418 **the Environment: 423-451. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118156247.ch22>.**
33
- 34 419 Neaud-Masson N (2020). Observation et dénombrement du phytoplancton marin par microscopie optique.
35 420 Spécifications techniques et méthodologiques appliquées au REPHY. Version 2 – février 2020.
36 421 ODE/VIGIES/20/03. <https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00609/72133/>
37
38
- 39 422 Neori A, Ragg NLC, Shpigel M (1998). The integrated culture of seaweed, abalone, fish and clams in modular
40 423 intensive landbased systems: II. Performance and nitrogen partitioning within an abalone (*Haliotis*
41 424 *tuberculata*) and macroalgae culture system. *Aquac Eng* 17: 215-239. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8609\(98\)00017-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8609(98)00017-X)
42
43
44 425
45
- 46 426 Neori A, Chopin T, Troell M, Buschmann AH, Kraemer GP, Halling C, Shpigel M, Yarish C (2004). Integrated
47 427 aquaculture: rationale, evolution and state of the art emphasizing seaweed biofiltration in modern
48 428 mariculture. *Aquaculture* 231 (1): 361-391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2003.11.015>
49
50
- 51 429 **Neori A, Shpigel M, Guttman L, Israel A (2017). Development of Polyculture and Integrated Multi -Trophic**
52 430 **Aquaculture (IMTA) in Israel: A Review. The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - Bamidgeh,**
53 431 **IJA_69.2017.1385, 19 pages**
54
55
- 56 432 Newby DT, Mathews TJ, Pate RC, Huesemann MH, Lane TW, Wahlen BD, Mandal S, Engler RK, Feris KP,
57 433 Shuri J (2016). Assessing the potential of polyculture to accelerate algal biofuel production. *Algal Res* 19:
58 434 264-277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2016.09.004>
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 435 Okocha RC, Olatoye IO, Adedeji OB (2018). Food safety impacts of antimicrobial use and their residues in
1 436 aquaculture. *Public Health Rev* 39: 21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40985-018-0099-2>
2
- 3 437 Piedrahita RH (2003). Reducing the potential environmental impact of tank aquaculture effluents through
4 438 intensification and recirculation. *Aquaculture* 226: 35-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00465-4)
5 439 8486(03)00465-4
6 439
7
- 8 440 Pillay TVR (2004). *Aquaculture and the Environment* 2nd edition. Pillay Eds.
9
10 441 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470995730>
- 11
12 442 Ponis E, Robert R, Parisi G (2003). Nutritional value of fresh and concentrated algal diets for larval and juvenile
13 443 Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*), *Aquaculture*, 221(1-4): 491-505, ISSN 0044-8486,
14 444 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(03\)00075-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00075-9).
- 15 444
16
17 445 Ritchie RJ (2006). Consistent sets of spectrophotometric chlorophyll equations for acetone, methanol and ethanol
18 446 solvents. *Photosynth Res* 89 (1): 27-41.
- 19
20 447 Ryther JH, Goldman JC, Gifford CE, Huguenin E, Wing AS, Clarner JP, Williams LD, Lapointe BE, (1975).
21 448 Physical models of integrated waste recycling—marine polyculture systems. *Aquaculture* 5: 163-177.
- 22 448
23
24 449 Shpigel M, Neori A (1996). The Integrated Culture of Seaweed, Abalone, Fish and Clams in Modular Intensive
25 450 Land-based Systems: I. Proportions of Size and Projected Revenues. *Aquac Eng* 15 (5): 313-326.
26 451 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8609\(96\)01000-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8609(96)01000-X)
27 451
28
- 29 452 Smith DJ, Gingerich WH, Beconi-Barker MG (1999). Use of chemicals in fish management and fish culture past
30 453 and future. *Xenobiotics in Fish*.
- 31 453
32
33 454 Snapp (2017). *Agroecology: Principles and Practice*, Agricultural Systems 2nd Ed, 33-72.
- 34
35 455 Tenore KR (1976). Food chain dynamics of abalone in a polyculture system. *Aquaculture* 8: 23-7.
- 36
37 456 Tolon T, Emirolu D, Günay D, Hancı B (2017). Effect of stocking density on growth performance of juvenile sea
38 457 cucumber *Holothuria tubulosa* (Gmelin, 1788). *Aquac Res* 48: 4124-4131.
39 458 <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13232>
40 458
41
- 42 459 Troell M, Joyce A, Chopin T, Neori A, Buschmann AH, Fangh GJ (2009). Ecological engineering in aquaculture
43 460 — Potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine offshore systems. *Aquaculture* 297
44 461 (1-4): 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.09.010>
45 461
46
- 47 462 Troell M, Norberg J (1998). Modelling output and retention of suspended solids in an integrated salmon-mussel
48 463 culture. *Ecol Modell* 110: 65-77.
- 49 463
50
51 464 Troell M, Naylor RL, Metian M et al (2014). Does aquaculture add resilience to the global food system? *PNAS*
52 465 23. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1404067111>.
53 465
54
- 54 466 Tsarenko PM (2011). Trebouxiophyceae. In: *Algae of Ukraine: diversity, nomenclature, taxonomy, ecology and*
55 467 *geography*. Volume 3: *Chlorophyta*. (Tsarenko, P.M., Wasser, S.P. & Nevo, E. Eds): 61-108. Ruggell:
56 467 A.R.A. Gantner Verlag K.-G.
57 468
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 469 Utting SD, Millican PF (1997). Techniques for the hatchery conditioning of bivalve broodstocks and the
1 470 subsequent effect on egg quality and larval viability. *Aquaculture* 155: 45-54
2
- 3 471 Wezel A, Herren BG, Kerr RB, Barrios E, Gonçalves ALR, Sinclair F (2020). Agroecological principles and
4 472 elements and their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. A review. *Agronomy for*
5 473 *Sustainable Development*, Springer Verlag/EDP Sciences/INRA, 2020, 40 (6), pp.40. 10.1007/s13593-020-
6 474 00646-z . hal-03410520
7
8 475 Wongkiew S, Hu Z, Kartik C, Lee JW, Khanal SK (2017). Nitrogen transformations in aquaponic systems: A
9 476 review. *Aquac Eng* 76.
10
11 477 Yu LQJ, Mu Y, Zhao AZ, Lam VWY, Sumaila R (2017). Economic challenges to the generalization of integrated
12 478 multi-trophic aquaculture: An empirical comparative study on kelp monoculture and kelp-mollusk
13 479 polyculture in Weihai, China. *Aquaculture* 471: 130-139.
14
15 480 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.01.015>
16
17
18
19

20 481 **Author information**

21 22 482 - **Affiliations**

23
24 483 **MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Sète, France**

25
26
27 484 Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL (ORCID 0000-0002-0954-0033), Emilie LE FLOC'H (ORCID 0000-
28 485 0002-0887-121X), Martine FORTUNE, Thierry LAUGIER (ORCID 0000-0003-0409-4510), Anaïs CROTTIER,
29 486 Angélique GOBET (ORCID 0000-0003-4204-8451), Eric FOUILLAND (ORCID 0000-0001-9633-8847)
30
31

32 487 **MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Palavas-les-flots, France**

33
34
35 488 François RUELLE, Sébastien TRIPLET (ORCID 0000-0002-9060-7089), Thibault GEOFFROY

36
37 489 **Ifremer, CNRS, IRD, LEMAR, University of Brest, Plouzané, France**

38
39 490 Mathieu LUTIER (ORCID 0000-0003-3955-9277)

40
41 491 **Ifremer, LER Bretagne Nord, CRESCO, Dinard, France**

42
43 492 Patrik LE GALL

44
45 493 **Ifremer, Laboratoire METabolites des microALGues, Nantes, France**

46
47 494 Clarisse HUBERT

48 495 - **Corresponding author**

49
50
51 497 Correspondence to Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL emmanuelle.roque@ifremer.fr
52
53

54 498

55 56 499 **Statements & Declaration**

57 58 500 **Ethical**

59
60
61
62
63
64
65

501 All sampling and animal handling respected good scientific practice and animal welfare rules. The number of fish
1
2 502 sampled was limited to that strictly necessary: the reared fish were shared with another ERA-Net project (Animal
3
4 503 Health and Welfare – Welfare, Health and Individuality in Farmed Fish, ANIHWA WINFISH, 2015–2018).
5

6 504 **Funding**

7
8
9 505 This work was supported by the ERA-Net COFASP project (IMTA-Effect project) with funding from The French
10
11 506 National Research Agency (ANR-15-COFA-0001-06).
12

13 507 **Competing Interest**

14
15
16 508 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest concerning this manuscript and no competing financial
17
18 509 interests that could influence the work reported in this paper.
19

20 510 **Data availability**

21
22
23 511 The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author
24
25 512 on reasonable request.
26

27 513 **Author contributions**

28
29
30 514 ERO and EF designed the study and wrote the manuscript. ML and FR managed the day-to-day experiment. ML,
31
32 515 ERO and EF collected the samples. ML, ERO, FR, ST, TL, AC, PLG performed the biometrics. ML, AG, ELF,
33
34 516 CH performed the microalgae analyses. TG performed the nutrient analyses. ML performed the statistics. All
35
36 517 authors read and approved the final manuscript.
37

38
39 518 Full names as follows: Emmanuelle ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL (ERO), Eric FOUILLAND (EF), Mathieu LUTIER
40
41 519 (ML), François RUELLE (FR), Sébastien TRIPLET (ST), Thierry LAUGIER (TL), Patrik LE GALL (PLG),
42
43 520 Clarisse HUBERT (CH), Anaïs CROTTIER (AC), Emilie LE FLOC'H (ELF), Thibault GEOFFROY (TG),
44
45 521 Angélique GOBET (AG). All authors approved the manuscript submission.
46

47 522
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Fig. 1. Theoretical representation of an IMTA assemblage, with triangles representing the different trophic compartments and arrows representing the different flows (blue for inorganic, black for feed, brown for organic) - illustrations from: lapecheenligne.com, ©N.Neaud-Masson, shutterstock.com and Manuel d'actinologie ou de zoophytologie Paris ;F.G. Levraut, 1834 – 1836




Fig. 2. Experimental land-based IMTA (Ifremer, Palavas) with three compartments: (A) fish tanks operating with water recirculation; (B) outdoor microalgal raceways receiving fish effluent from A; (C) oyster ponds receiving microalgae from lagoons in B. Total surface area = 150 m².




Fig. 3. Temporal Chla concentrations (in mg.L⁻¹) during the experiment in the three IMTA-microalgae replicates: L1, L2 and L3.




Fig. 4. *Pseudonitzschia* (A and B) and *Cylandrotheca closterium* (C) identified in the three IMTA-microalgae replicates (photos © Elise Caillard).