HAL open science

Blow-up of 2D attractive Bose-Einstein condensates at the critical rotational speed

Van Duong Dinh, Dinh-Thi Nguyen, Nicolas Rougerie

To cite this version:

Van Duong Dinh, Dinh-Thi Nguyen, Nicolas Rougerie. Blow-up of 2D attractive Bose-Einstein condensates at the critical rotational speed. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire, 2023, 10.4171/AIHPC/94 . hal-03752950v3

HAL Id: hal-03752950

https://hal.science/hal-03752950v3

Submitted on 26 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

BLOW-UP OF 2D ATTRACTIVE BOSE–EINSTEIN CONDENSATES AT THE CRITICAL ROTATIONAL SPEED

VAN DUONG DINH, DINH-THI NGUYEN, AND NICOLAS ROUGERIE

ABSTRACT. We study the ground states of a 2D focusing non-linear Schrödinger equation with rotation and harmonic trapping. When the strength of the interaction approaches a critical value from below, the system collapses to a profile obtained from the optimizer of a Gagliardo–Nirenberg interpolation inequality. This was established before in the case of fixed rotation frequency. We extend the result to rotation frequencies approaching, or even equal to, the critical frequency at which the centrifugal force compensates the trap. We prove that the blow-up scenario is to leading order unaffected by such a strong deconfinement mechanism. In particular the blow-up profile remains independent of the rotation frequency.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction . . . 1
1.1. Collapse in NLS theory . . . 3
1.2. Collapse in the mean-field limit . . . 4
2. Collapse of the NLS ground states . . . 5
2.1. Collapse at the critical speed . . . 6
2.2. Collapse with an almost critical speed . . . 17
3. Collapse of many-body ground states . . . 18
References . . . 19

1. INTRODUCTION

Bose–Einstein condensates [9, 24] form a remarkable phase of matter where quantum effects can be spectacularly observed on a mesoscopic scale. Indeed, a single quantum wave-function being macroscopically occupied, its quantum coherence becomes accessible e.g. to imaging techniques. The flexibility of modern experiments with dilute atomic gases are also remarkable [1, 4, 10, 8, 35, 34], allowing to access reduced dimensionalities (2D or even 1D), to tune the interactions (allowing for repulsion or attraction between particles) and to mimic external magnetic fields either by rotation or by coupling internal degrees of freedom to optical fields.

In this note we consider such a combination of effects. Namely we are interested in 2D attractive BECs, where the contact interactions will destabilize the gas towards collapse if they are too strong. The resulting collapse of ground states [20] turns out to be unaffected by the addition of a moderate rotation of the gas [25] (see also [15] for dipolar gases). A fast rotation may however destabilize the gas towards expansion, because the centrifugal force fights the confining potential. These two effects might compete, but we prove that the instability towards collapse always dominates, leading to a blow-up scenario independent of the rotation frequency. This answers a question raised in [25, Remark 2.2].

Date: August 2022.

We shall consider the minimization problem

$$E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega,a} := \inf\left\{\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega,a}(\phi) : \phi \in X(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1\right\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega,a}$ is the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) energy functional with attractive interactions

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega,a}(\phi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 {\rm d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2|\phi(x)|^2 {\rm d}x + 2\Omega\langle \phi, L\phi\rangle - \frac{a}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(x)|^4 {\rm d}x \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(-i\nabla + \Omega x^\perp)\phi(x))|^2 {\rm d}x + (1-\Omega^2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2|\phi(x)|^2 {\rm d}x - \frac{a}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(x)|^4 {\rm d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Here $a > 0$ describes the strength of interactions, $\Omega \geq 0$ is the rotation frequency, $x^\perp = (-x_2, x_1)$, and

$$L = -ix \wedge \nabla = i(x_2\partial_1 - x_1\partial_2)$$

the angular momentum operator. The space $X(\mathbb{R}^2)$ in (1.1) is a functional space in which the energy functional $\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega,a}$ is well-defined, see below.

In the case of high rotational speed $\Omega > 1$, it was proved in [3] that there are no ground states for $E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega,a}$ for all $a > 0$. Indeed, when the rotational speed is larger than the trapping frequency, the centrifugal force caused by the rotation is stronger than the centripetal force created by the harmonic trap and the gas flies apart. On the other hand, the condensate remains stable when $\Omega < 1$. In this case, one can prove the norm equivalence

$$\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^2} + \|x\phi\|^2_{L^2} + 2\Omega\langle L\phi, \phi\rangle \simeq \|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^2} + \|x\phi\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{1.2}$$

It is then clear that the energy functional is well-defined on the weighted Sobolev space

$$\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^2) := H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, |x|^2{\rm d}x),$$

and hence one can take $X(\mathbb{R}^2) \equiv \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Using the compact embedding $\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset L^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $r \in [2, \infty)$, one can easily show the existence of a ground state for $E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega,a}$ with $0 < a < a_*$ (see e.g., [20] in the case $\Omega = 0$). Here $a_* = \|Q\|^2_{L^2}$ with Q the unique (up to translations) positive solution of the elliptic equation

$$-\Delta Q + Q - Q^3 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{1.3}$$

The constant a_* also appears in the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality

$$\frac{a_*}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(x)|^4 {\rm d}x \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 {\rm d}x\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(x)|^2 {\rm d}x\right), \quad \forall \phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{1.4}$$

The case of critical rotational speed $\Omega = 1$ is special. The situation becomes more subtle since the centrifugal force caused by the rotation is exactly compensated by the harmonic trap. In particular, the norm equivalence (1.2) is no longer available. Thus working on $\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^2)$ does not help to find ground states for $E^{\rm NLS}_{1,a}$. In this case, we study the minimization (1.1) on a larger functional space of magnetic Sobolev functions, namely

$$H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2) := \left\{\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) : (-i\nabla + x^\perp)\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\right\},$$

hence we set $X(\mathbb{R}^2) = H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ when $\Omega = 1$. Note that by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have $\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, but $\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^2) \subsetneq H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (for the latter see e.g., [13, Remark 2.1]). By making use of a concentration-compactness argument adapted to magnetic Sobolev spaces (see e.g., [14]), it was proved in [13, 18] that $E^{\rm NLS}_{1,a}$ has at least one ground state provided that $0 < a < a_*$. By the standard Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.4) and the diamagnetic inequality (see e.g., [28, Theorem 7.21])

$$|\nabla|\phi|(x)| \leq |(-i\nabla + x^\perp)\phi(x)|, \quad \text{a.e } x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \forall \phi \in H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2) \tag{1.5}$$

we also have the following magnetic Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality

$$\frac{a_*}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(x)|^4 {\rm d}x \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(-i\nabla + x^\perp)\phi(x)|^2 {\rm d}x\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(x)|^2 {\rm d}x\right), \quad \forall \phi \in H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{1.6}$$

The main difference between (1.4) and (1.6) is that there is no optimizer for (1.6) while Q in (1.3) is the unique (up to translations and dilations) optimizer for (1.4). Thanks to (1.6), the energy $E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega,a}$ is non-negative for all $0 < a \leq a_*$.

1.1. **Collapse in NLS theory.** In the sequel we are interested in the blow-up behavior of ground states for $E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega,a}$ when a approaches a_*. Our first result concerns the blow-up limit with the critical rotation speed $\Omega = 1$.

Theorem 1.1 (**Collapse of NLS ground states at the critical rotational speed**).
We have, as $a \nearrow a_*$,

$$E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a} = (a_* - a)^{1/2}\left(2\frac{\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}}{a_*^{1/2}} + o(1)\right) \tag{1.7}$$

where $Q_0 = \|Q\|_{L^2}^{-1}Q$. In addition, for any sequence $\{a_n\}_n$ satisfying $a_n \nearrow a_*$ and any sequence of ground state ϕ_n for E^{NLS}_{1,a_n}, there exist a sequence $\{\theta_n\}_n \subset [0, 2\pi)$ and a sequence $\{x_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the following convergence holds strongly in $H^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(a_* - a_n)^{1/4}}{a_*^{1/4}\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}^{1/2}}\phi_n\left(\frac{(a_* - a_n)^{1/4}}{a_*^{1/4}\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}^{1/2}}x + x_n\right)\exp\left(i\frac{(a_* - a_n)^{1/4}}{a_*^{1/4}\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}^{1/2}}x_n^\perp \cdot x + i\theta_n\right) = Q_0(x). \tag{1.8}$$

As an application of this result, we have the following blow-up behavior of ground states when $\Omega \nearrow 1$ and $a \nearrow a^*$ at the same time.

Corollary 1.2 (**Collapse at subcritical rotational speed**).
For any sequence $\{\Omega_n\}_n, \{a_n\}_n$ satisfying $\Omega_n \nearrow 1$ and $a_n \nearrow a_*$, and any ground state ϕ_n for $E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega_n,a_n}$, there exists a sequence $\{\theta_n\}_n \subset [0, 2\pi)$ such that the following convergence holds strongly in $H^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(a_* - a_n)^{1/4}}{a_*^{1/4}\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}^{1/2}}\phi_n\left(\frac{(a_* - a_n)^{1/4}}{a_*^{1/4}\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}^{1/2}}x\right)e^{i\theta_n} = Q_0(x). \tag{1.9}$$

Remark 1.1.

1. The convergences of energy and of ground states were proved by Guo and Seiringer [20] when $\Omega = 0$. These convergences were extended to the case $0 < \Omega < 1$ fixed by Lewin, Nam, and the third author [25] (see also further works in [22, 17, 12]). In [19] it is even proved that a fixed rotation rate has no effect at any order. Theorem 1.1 shows that the energy convergence found remains valid in the case of critical rotational speed $\Omega = 1$, at least to leading order. This is noteworthy because the trapping potential, which sets the length-scale of the blow-up behavior, is compensated by the centrifugal force.
2. The convergence of ground states however has to be stated differently from [20, 25]. The model is translation-invariant for $\Omega = 1$ and thus ground states converge only modulo a magnetic translation (namely, a translation decorated by the suitable phase making it commute with the magnetic Laplacian see e.g. [33] and references therein).
3. The only effect of the magnetic/rotation field is to set the blow-up length-scale (see the sketch of proof below). This is comparable to the positive particle mass $m > 0$ in the Hartree-type and Thomas–Fermi-type models of stars [21, 29, 32, 30, 31].
4. Our blow-up result, when $\Omega \nearrow 1$ at the same time as $a \nearrow a_*$, answers a question raised in [25, Remark 2.2]. In this situation, although the centrifugal force almost compensates the trapping potential, the small residual trapping favors blow-up at the center of the trap. Hence there is no need for a magnetic translation and the ground state convergence is completely similar to the case $0 \leq \Omega < 1$ fixed.

Let us briefly describe the strategy of the proof. To prove Theorem 1.1, we first show that the sequence of ground states $\{\phi_n\}_n$ for $E^{\rm NLS}_{1,a_n}$ blows up in the sense that

$$\varepsilon_n := \|\nabla|\phi_n|\|_{L^2}^{-1} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{1.10}$$

The blow-up length is then set by ε_n (whose precise asymptotic behavior is not known at this point) and we shall show that

$$\varphi_n(x) := \varepsilon_n \phi_n(\varepsilon_n x + x_n) e^{i\varepsilon_n x_n^\perp \cdot x + i\theta_n} \to Q_0(x)$$

strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, i.e. there is convergence modulo a magnetic translation of vector $\{x_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and the choice of a constant phase $\{\theta_n\}_n \subset [0, 2\pi)$. To prove this, we rely on a property of the Lagrange multiplier associated to ϕ_n together with the local boundedness of sub-solutions obtained by analyzing the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation. Thanks to the non-degeneracy of Q, we then prove that the imaginary part of φ_n is small in H^1-norm. This implies that the rotation acts on φ_n only as a quadratic external potential. This effectively sets a length-scale, and we next prove by matching energy lower and upper bounds that the blow-up length behaves like

$$\frac{(a_* - a_n)^{1/4}}{a_*^{1/4} \|xQ_0\|_{L^2}^{1/2}}.$$

Hence we obtain the energy convergence (1.7). Finally, the L^∞-convergence of ground states follows from H^1-convergence and H^2-boundedness deduced from the variational equation.

To prove Corollary 1.2, we first use an energy argument to show that $E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega_n,a_n}$ has the same asymptotic behavior as for $\Omega = 0, 1$. By taking a sequence of ground states for $E^{\rm NLS}_{1,a_n}$ and choosing a suitable trial state for $E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega_n,a_n}$, we prove that a ground state for $E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega_n,a_n}$ is an approximate ground state for $E^{\rm NLS}_{0,a_n}$. At this point, the conclusion follows directly from a result proved in [25, Section 3].

1.2. **Collapse in the mean-field limit.** The focusing NLS functional (1.1) is commonly used to predict the collapse of an attractive system, but it should be seen as an effective, mean-field model [36]. It is of interest to see whether the mean-field and blow-up limits can be exchanged as in [25]. Based on Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2, we give a positive answer to this question, starting from many-body quantum mechanics.

In this framework, a Bose gas with an attractive interaction is described by the N-particle Hamiltonian

$$H_{\Omega,a,N} = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(-\Delta_{x_j} + |x_j|^2 - 2\Omega L_{x_j}\right) - \frac{a}{N-1} \sum_{1\le i<j\le N} w_N(x_i - x_j), \tag{1.11}$$

acting on $\mathfrak{H}^N := L^2_{\rm sym}((\mathbb{R}^2)^N)$. As is customary [36], the two-body interaction w_N is chosen in the form

$$w_N(x) = N^{2\beta} w(N^\beta x) \tag{1.12}$$

for a fixed parameter $\beta > 0$ and a fixed function w satisfying

$$w(x) = w(-x) \ge 0, \quad (1+|x|)w,\ \hat{w} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w(x)\mathrm{d}x = 1. \tag{1.13}$$

We are interested in the large-N behavior of the ground state energy per particle of $H_{\Omega,a,N}$, namely

$$E^{\rm QM}_{\Omega,a}(N) := N^{-1} \inf_{\Phi_N \in \mathfrak{H}^N, \|\Phi_N\|=1} \langle \Phi_N, H_{\Omega,a,N}\Phi_N\rangle, \tag{1.14}$$

and the associated eigenstates of $H_{\Omega,a,N}$. When $\Omega = 1$, the Hamiltonian $H_{1,a,N}$ is magnetic translation invariant so it probably has no discrete spectrum (see e.g., [2, Proposition 5.4] or a discussion before (1.21) in [27] for a similar model of stars). In the following, we therefore assume that $0 \le \Omega < 1$ and $0 < a < a_*$. We will consider the limit where $a = a_N \nearrow a_*$ at the same time as $\Omega = \Omega_N \nearrow 1$ when $N \to \infty$. In that case, the NLS ground states blow up at the origin to the function Q_0, as showed in Corollary 1.2. We will prove that

the many-body ground states condense fully on Q_0. As usual, the convergence of ground states is formulated using k-particles reduced density matrices, defined for any $\Phi_N \in \mathfrak{H}^N$ by a partial trace

$$\gamma_{\Phi_N}^{(k)} := \operatorname*{Tr}_{k+1\to N} |\Phi_N\rangle\langle\Phi_N|.$$

Equivalently, $\gamma_{\Phi_N}^{(k)}$ is the trace class operator on $\mathfrak{H}^k$ with kernel

$$\gamma_{\Phi_N}^{(k)}(x_1,...,x_k;y_1,...,y_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2(N-k)}} \Phi_N(x_1,...,x_k,Z)\overline{\Phi_N(y_1,...,y_k,Z)}\mathrm{d}Z.$$

Bose–Einstein condensation is properly expressed by the convergence in trace norm

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left|\gamma_{\Phi_N}^{(k)} - |\phi^{\otimes k}\rangle\langle\phi^{\otimes k}|\right| = 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 1.3 (**Collapse and condensation of the many-body ground states**).
Let $0 < \beta < 1/2$ *be fixed and* $a = a_N = a_* - N^{-\alpha}$ *with*

$$0 < \alpha < \min\left\{\frac{4}{5}\beta, 2(1-2\beta)\right\}.$$

Then for every $0 \le \Omega < 1$ *we have, as* $N \to \infty$,

$$E_{\Omega,a_N}^{\mathrm{QM}}(N) = E_{\Omega,a_N}^{\mathrm{NLS}} + o\big(E_{\Omega,a_N}^{\mathrm{NLS}}\big) = (a_* - a_N)^{1/2}\left(2\frac{\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}}{a_*^{1/2}} + o(1)\right). \tag{1.15}$$

Assume in addition that $\Omega = \Omega_N = 1 - N^{-\nu}$ *with*

$$0 < \nu < \min\left\{1 - 2\beta - \frac{\alpha}{2}, \beta - \frac{5\alpha}{4}\right\}.$$

Let Φ_N *be a ground state for* $E_{\Omega_N,a_N}^{\mathrm{QM}}(N)$. *Then we have*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left|\gamma_{\Phi_N}^{(k)} - |Q_N^{\otimes k}\rangle\langle Q_N^{\otimes k}|\right| = 0 \tag{1.16}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *where*

$$Q_N(x) = \frac{a_*^{1/4}\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}^{1/2}}{(a_* - a_N)^{1/4}} Q_0\left(\frac{a_*^{1/4}\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}^{1/2}}{(a_* - a_N)^{1/4}}x\right).$$

Remark 1.2. This shows that a result found in [25] remains valid when $\Omega \nearrow 1$ slower than $a \nearrow a_*$ ([25] only deals with $0 \le \Omega < 1$ fixed). The method is the same as in [25]. The energy estimates do not depend on the rotation parameter. In fact, we also obtain (1.15) for $\Omega = 1$. Furthermore, the convergence of the many-body ground states follows from that of the approximate NLS ground states. In the case $\Omega_N \nearrow 1$, under the additional assumption on the convergence speed of Ω_N in Theorem 1.3, we check that the approximate NLS ground state for $E_{\Omega_N,a_N}^{\mathrm{NLS}}$ is still the one for E_{0,a_N}^{NLS}.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (Grant agreement CORFRONMAT No. 758620).

2. Collapse of the NLS ground states

In this section we study the limiting behavior of ground states for (1.1) when a approaches a_* from below. We first deal with the critical speed $\Omega = 1$. The case $\Omega \nearrow 1$ will be given in the end of this section.

2.1. **Collapse at the critical speed.** Let us consider the case $\Omega = 1$. For simplicity, we denote $\nabla_{x^\perp} := -i\nabla + x^\perp$. Let us start by recalling some useful facts.

Lemma 2.1 (L^2**-bound**).
We have

$$2\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\nabla_{x^\perp}\phi\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \forall \phi \in H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

with equality achieved e.g. by $\phi(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$.

This is a consequence of Landau's well-known diagonalization of $\left(\nabla_{x^\perp}\right)^2$ (see e.g., [37]).

Lemma 2.2 (**Compactness modulo translations**).
Let $\{\phi_n\}_n$ *be a sequence of functions satisfying*

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} \|\phi_n\|_{L^4} \geq C.$$

for some positive constant $C > 0$. *We have the following weak convergences:*

- *If* $\sup_{n\geq 1} \|\phi_n\|_{H^1} < \infty$, *then there exist* $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)\backslash\{0\}$ *and a sequence* $\{x_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ *such that up to a subsequence,*

$$\phi_n(x + x_n) \rightharpoonup \phi(x) \text{ weakly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and almost everywhere in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

- *If* $\sup_{n\geq 1} \|\phi_n\|_{H^1_{x^\perp}} < \infty$, *then there exist* $\tilde{\phi} \in H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)\backslash\{0\}$ *and a sequence* $\{y_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ *such that up to a subsequence,*

$$e^{iy_n^\perp \cdot x}\phi_n(x + y_n) \rightharpoonup \tilde{\phi}(x) \text{ weakly in } H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and almost everywhere in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Here $\phi_n \to \phi$ *weakly in* $H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *means that*

$$\int (\nabla_{x^\perp}\phi_n - \nabla_{x^\perp}\phi) \cdot \overline{\nabla_{x^\perp}\varphi} dx + \int (\phi_n - \phi)\overline{\varphi} dx \to 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Proof. The proof of this Lemma can be found in [26, Lemma 6] for the H^1-weak convergence and [13, Lemma 2.6] for the $H^1_{x^\perp}$-weak convergence. □

Lemma 2.3 (**Energy upper bound**).
Let $\{a_n\}_n$ *be a positive sequence satisfying* $a_n \nearrow a_*$ *as* $n \to \infty$. *Then, for every* $0 \leq \Omega \leq 1$, *we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega,a_n} = E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega,a_*} = 0.$$

More precisely,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega,a_n}}{(a_* - a_n)^{1/2}} \leq 2\frac{\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}}{a_*^{1/2}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. It is obvious that $E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega,a_n} \geq 0$, by the magnetic Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.6). On the other hand, let Q be the unique positive radial solution of (1.3). By Pohozaev's identity, we have

$$\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|Q\|_{L^4}^4 = \|Q\|_{L^2}^2 = a_*.$$

Denote $Q_0 = \|Q\|_{L^2}^{-1}Q$. Then

$$\|\nabla Q_0\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{a_*}{2}\|Q_0\|_{L^4}^4 = \|Q_0\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$$

By the variational principle, we have

$$E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega,a_n} \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega,a_n}(\lambda Q_0(\lambda\cdot)) = \lambda^2\left(1 - \frac{a_n}{a_*}\right) + \lambda^{-2}\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}^2 \tag{2.2}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. Here we have used the fact that $\langle L(\lambda Q_0(\lambda\cdot)), \lambda Q_0(\lambda\cdot)\rangle = 0$ since Q_0 is real-valued, where we recall that $L = i(x_2\partial_1 - x_1\partial_2)$. Optimizing over λ, we get

$$E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega,a_n} \leq 2\frac{\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}}{a_*^{1/2}}(a_* - a_n)^{1/2} \tag{2.3}$$

which implies (2.1) and also $\limsup_{n\to\infty} E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega,a_n} \leq 0$. □

Lemma 2.4 (Blow-up).
Let $\{a_n\}_n$ be a positive sequence such that $a_n \nearrow a_*$ as $n \to \infty$ and ϕ_n be a ground state for E^{NLS}_{1,a_n}. Then $\{\phi_n\}_n$ blows up both in $H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ in the sense that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\nabla_{x^\perp}\phi_n\|_{L^2} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\nabla\phi_n\|_{L^2} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\nabla|\phi_n|\|_{L^2} = +\infty.$$

Proof. We first show that $\{\phi_n\}_n$ blows up in $H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Assume for contradiction that

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \|\nabla_{x^\perp}\phi_n\|^2_{L^2} < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

In particular, $\{\phi_n\}_n$ is then a bounded sequence in $H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Observe that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \|\phi_n\|_{L^4} \geq C$$

since otherwise, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\nabla_{x^\perp}\phi_n\|^2_{L^2} \geq 2,$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 2.1. This, however, is not possible (see Lemma 2.3). Thus, by Lemma 2.2, there exist $\phi \in H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)\backslash\{0\}$ and a sequence $\{x_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that up to a subsequence,

$$\tilde{\phi}_n(x) := e^{ix_n^\perp\cdot x}\phi_n(x + x_n) \to \phi \text{ weakly in } H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and almost everywhere in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We claim that $\|\phi\|^2_{L^2} = 1$. Indeed, we have

$$0 < \|\phi\|^2_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{\phi}_n\|^2_{L^2} = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|\phi_n\|^2_{L^2} = 1.$$

If $\|\phi\|^2_{L^2} < 1$, then by the magnetic translation invariance, we have

$$E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} = \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n}(\phi_n) = \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n}(\tilde{\phi}_n) \geq \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_*}(\tilde{\phi}_n) = \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_*}(\phi) + \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_*}(\tilde{\phi}_n - \phi) + o(1). \tag{2.5}$$

Here we have used the weak convergence in $H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the almost everywhere convergence in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and the Brézis-Lieb lemma (see [5]) with the fact that $\|\tilde{\phi}_n\|_{L^4}$ is bounded uniformly, by the magnetic Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.6) and (2.4). Again, (1.6) implies that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_*}(\tilde{\phi}_n - \phi) \geq 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_*}(\phi) = \|\phi\|^2_{L^2}\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_*}\left(\frac{\phi}{\|\phi\|_{L^2}}\right) + \frac{a_*}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\|\phi\|^2_{L^2}} - 1\right)\|\phi\|^4_{L^4} > 0$$

since $0 < \|\phi\|_{L^2} < 1$. This contradicts the fact that $E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, by Lemma 2.3. Therefore, we must have $\|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1$, hence $\tilde{\phi}_n \to \phi$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In fact, $\tilde{\phi}_n \to \phi$ strongly in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $r \in [2, \infty)$, because of the $H^1_{x^\perp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ boundedness. Since $a_n \nearrow a_*$, we have from (2.5) that

$$E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_*} \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_*}(\phi) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n}(\phi_n) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} = E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_*}.$$

In particular, ϕ is a ground state for E^{NLS}_{1,a_*}. However there are no such ground states, as proven in e.g. [13, 18], and we deduce that (2.4) cannot hold.

We now conclude the proof by showing that $\{\phi_n\}_n$ blows up in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We have

$$0 = E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_*} = \lim_{n\to\infty} E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n}(\phi_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\nabla_{x^\perp}\phi_n\|^2_{L^2} - \frac{a_n}{2}\|\phi_n\|^4_{L^4}.$$

Since $\|\nabla_{x^\perp}\phi_n\|_{L^2} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, we must have $\|\phi_n\|^4_{L^4} \to \infty$. But then the standard Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.4) implies that $\|\nabla\phi_n\|_{L^2} \to \infty$ and $\|\nabla|\phi_n|\|_{L^2} \to \infty$ as well. □

We are now in the position to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is divided into several steps.

Step 1. Convergence of the modulus. We first show that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\varepsilon_n|\phi_n|(\varepsilon_n \cdot + x_n) \to Q_0 \text{ strongly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{2.6}$$

where ε_n is given by (1.10). Denote

$$v_n(x) := \varepsilon_n|\phi_n|(\varepsilon_n x).$$

We then have

$$\|v_n\|_{L^2} = \|\phi_n\|_{L^2} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^2} = \varepsilon_n\|\nabla|\phi_n|\|_{L^2} = 1.$$

Hence $\{v_n\}_n$ is a bounded sequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. On the other hand, using the diamagnetic inequality (1.5) we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a}(\phi) \geq \|\nabla|\phi|\|^2_{L^2} - \frac{a}{2}\|\phi\|^4_{L^4} =: \mathcal{E}^0_a(|\phi|).$$

But the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.4) implies

$$\mathcal{E}^0_a(|\phi|) \geq \left(1 - \frac{a}{a_*}\right)\|\nabla|\phi|\|^2_{L^2}.$$

From this and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n}(\phi_n) \geq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^0_{a_n}(|\phi_n|) \geq 0.$$

In particular, we have $\mathcal{E}^0_{a_n}(v_n) = \varepsilon_n^2\mathcal{E}^0_{a_n}(|\phi_n|) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Since by definition

$$\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^2} = 1$$

for all $n \geq 1$, we infer that, up to a subsequence,

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} \|v_n\|_{L^4} \geq C$$

for some constant $C > 0$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)\backslash\{0\}$ and $\{y_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that up to a subsequence,

$$\tilde{v}_n(x) := v_n(\cdot + y_n) \to \phi \text{ weakly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and almost everywhere in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We next show that $\|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1$. In fact, we first have

$$0 < \|\phi\|^2_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{v}_n\|^2_{L^2} = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|v_n\|^2_{L^2} = 1,$$

where the first inequality comes from the strong convergence in $L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (see again [26]). Assume for contradiction that $\|\phi\|_{L^2} < 1$. As in (2.5), we have

$$0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^0_{a_n}(v_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^0_{a_n}(\tilde{v}_n) \geq \mathcal{E}^0_{a_*}(\phi) + \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^0_{a_*}(\tilde{v}_n - \phi). \tag{2.7}$$

Again, by the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.4), we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^0_{a_*}(\tilde{v}_n - \phi) \geq 0$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^0_{a_*}(\phi) = \|\phi\|^2_{L^2}\mathcal{E}^0_{a_*}\left(\frac{\phi}{\|\phi\|_{L^2}}\right) + \frac{a_*}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\|\phi\|^2_{L^2}} - 1\right)\|\phi\|^4_{L^4} > 0$$

since $0 < \|\phi\|_{L^2} < 1$. This is a contradiction with (2.7) and we thus must have $\|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1$. Then $\tilde{v}_n \to \phi$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, up to a subsequence. In fact, $\tilde{v}_n \to \phi$ strongly in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $r \in [2, \infty)$, because of the $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ boundedness. Therefore,

$$0 \le \mathcal{E}^0_{a_*}(\phi) \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^0_{a_*}(\tilde{v}_n) \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^0_{a_n}(v_n) = 0.$$

This shows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\nabla \tilde{v}_n\|_{L^2} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{2}\|\tilde{v}_n\|_{L^4} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_*}{2}\|\phi\|_{L^4} = \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence $\tilde{v}_n \to \phi$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, up to a subsequence. Moreover, ϕ is an optimizer of the standard Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.4). By the uniqueness (up to translations and dilations) of optimizers for (1.4) and the fact that $\tilde{v}_n$ is non-negative, there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\phi(x) = \lambda Q_0(\lambda(x+x_0))$. Since $\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2} = 1$, we must have $\lambda = 1$. Again, by uniqueness of Q_0, we conclude that passing to a subsequence is unnecessary. This leads to (2.6) after setting $x_n = \varepsilon_n(y_n - x_0)$.

Step 2. A property of Lagrange multipliers. The minimizer ϕ_n of E^{NLS}_{1,a_n} satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation

$$\left(\nabla_{x^\perp}\right)^2 \phi_n - a_n|\phi_n|^2\phi_n = \mu_n\phi_n \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \tag{2.8}$$

in the distributional sense, namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{x^\perp}\phi_n \cdot \nabla_{x^\perp}\chi - a_n|\phi_n|^2\phi_n\chi - \mu_n\phi_n\chi \mathrm{d}x = 0, \quad \forall \chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

where $\mu_n \in \mathbb{R}$ is the Lagrange multiplier. In this step, we show that $\varepsilon_n^2\mu_n \to -1$ as $n \to \infty$. Indeed, as ϕ_n is a ground state for E^{NLS}_{1,a_n}, using (2.8), we have

$$\mu_n = \|\nabla_{x^\perp}\phi_n\|^2_{L^2} - a_n\|\phi_n\|^4_{L^4} = \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n}(\phi_n) - \frac{a_n}{2}\|\phi_n\|^4_{L^4} = E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} - \frac{a_n}{2}\|\phi_n\|^4_{L^4}.$$

Denote

$$\varphi_n(x) = e^{i\theta_n}\psi_n(x) \tag{2.9}$$

with

$$\psi_n(x) := \varepsilon_n\phi_n(\varepsilon_n x + x_n)e^{i\varepsilon_n x_n^\perp \cdot x}$$

and $\theta_n \in [0, 2\pi)$ satisfying

$$\|\varphi_n - Q_0\|_{L^2} = \min_{\theta\in[0,2\pi)} \|e^{i\theta}\psi_n - Q_0\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.10}$$

By (2.6), we have $|\varphi_n| := \varepsilon_n|\phi_n|(\varepsilon_n \cdot + x_n) \to Q_0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n^2\|\phi_n\|^4_{L^4} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n\|^4_{L^4} = \|Q_0\|^4_{L^4} = \frac{2}{a_*}.$$

Since $0 \le E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} \to 0$ (see Lemma 2.3) and $a_n \nearrow a_*$, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n^2\mu_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n^2 E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} - \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{2}\varepsilon_n^2\|\phi_n\|^4_{L^4} = -1.$$

Step 3. A sub-equation for $|\varphi_n|^2$. We next use (2.8) to derive an equation and a sub-equation satisfied by φ_n and $|\varphi_n|^2$. To do so, we write

$$\psi_n(x) = \varepsilon_n\tilde{\phi}_n(\varepsilon_n x)$$

with $\tilde{\phi}_n(x) := \phi_n(x+x_n)e^{ix_n^\perp\cdot x}$. A direct computation gives

$$\left(\nabla_{x^\perp}\right)^2 \tilde{\phi}_n(x) = \left(\left(\nabla_{x^\perp}\right)^2 \phi_n\right)(x+x_n)e^{ix_n^\perp\cdot x}$$

which, by (2.8), implies

$$\left(\nabla_{x^\perp}\right)^2 \tilde{\phi}_n - a_n|\tilde{\phi}_n|^2\tilde{\phi}_n = \mu_n\tilde{\phi}_n.$$

Using the identity

$$\left(\nabla_{x^\perp}\right)^2 \phi = -\Delta\phi + 2L\phi + |x|^2\phi$$

with $L = i(x_2\partial_1 - x_1\partial_2) = -ix^\perp\cdot\nabla$, we see that $\tilde{\phi}_n$ solves the elliptic equation

$$-\Delta\tilde{\phi}_n + |x|^2\tilde{\phi}_n + 2L\tilde{\phi}_n - a_n|\tilde{\phi}_n|^2\tilde{\phi}_n - \mu_n\tilde{\phi}_n = 0.$$

By the definition of φ_n in (2.9), we get

$$-\Delta\varphi_n + \varepsilon_n^4|x|^2\varphi_n + 2\varepsilon_n^2 L\varphi_n - a_n|\varphi_n|^2\varphi_n - \varepsilon_n^2\mu_n\varphi_n = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Observe that (2.11) can be written as

$$\left(-i\nabla + \varepsilon_n^2 x^\perp\right)^2 \varphi_n - a_n|\varphi_n|^2\varphi_n - \varepsilon_n^2\mu_n\varphi_n = 0$$

which, by [7, Proposition 2.2], implies that $\varphi_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty}|\varphi_n(x)| = 0$.

Denote $W_n := |\varphi_n|^2$. Since $|\varphi_n| \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (using the diamagnetic inequality (1.5)) and $\varphi_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have $W_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Multiplying both sides of (2.11) with $\overline{\varphi}_n$, taking the real part, and using the following identities (in the distributional sense)

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{Re}(\Delta\varphi_n\overline{\varphi}_n) &= -\frac{1}{2}\Delta W_n + |\nabla\varphi_n|^2, \\ 2\operatorname{Re}(L\varphi_n\overline{\varphi}_n) &= L\varphi_n\overline{\varphi}_n + \overline{L\varphi_n}\varphi_n = x^\perp\cdot J(\varphi_n), \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

with $J(\varphi) = i(\varphi\nabla\overline{\varphi} - \overline{\varphi}\nabla\varphi)$ the superfluid current, we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta W_n + |\nabla\varphi_n|^2 + \varepsilon_n^4|x|^2W_n + \varepsilon_n^2 x^\perp\cdot J(\varphi_n) - a_nW_n^2 - \varepsilon_n^2\mu_nW_n = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Using the identity

$$|(-i\nabla + \varepsilon_n^2x^\perp)\varphi_n|^2 = |\nabla\varphi_n|^2 + \varepsilon_n^2x^\perp\cdot J(\varphi_n) + \varepsilon_n^4|x|^2W_n,$$

we deduce that

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta W_n - \varepsilon_n^2\mu_nW_n - a_nW_n^2 \le 0 \tag{2.14}$$

in the weak sense, namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{1}{2}\nabla W_n\cdot\nabla\chi - \varepsilon_n^2\mu_nW_n\chi - a_nW_n^2\chi\,\mathrm{d}x \le 0, \quad \forall 0 \le \chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Step 4. Uniform boundedness of W_n. To prove the uniform boundedness of the sub-solution $W_n = |\varphi_n|^2$ to (2.14), we need its local boundedness. The following formulation is taken from [23, Theorem 4.14] (see [23, Theorem 4.1] and [16, Theorem 8.17] for the proof).

Theorem 2.5 (**Local boundedness**).
Let D be a connected open set with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Assume that $a_{jk} \in L^\infty(D)$ satisfies

$$\lambda|\xi|^2 \le \sum_{j,k} a_{jk}(x)\xi_j\xi_k \le \Lambda|\xi|^2, \quad \forall x \in D,\ \forall\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for some positive constants λ and Λ. Let $u \in H^1(D)$ be a non-negative sub-solution in D in the following sense

$$\int_D a_{jk}\partial_j u \partial_k \chi \mathrm{d}x \le \int_D f\chi \mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall \chi \in H_0^1(D),\ \chi \ge 0 \text{ in } D.$$

Suppose that $f \in L^q(D)$ for some $q > \frac{d}{2}$. Then there holds for any $B_R(x_0) \subset D$ and any $p > 0$

$$\sup_{B_{R/2}(x_0)} u(x) \le C\left(R^{-\frac{d}{p}}\|u\|_{L^p(B_R(x_0))} + R^{2-\frac{d}{q}}\|f\|_{L^q(B_R(x_0))}\right),$$

where $C = C(d, \lambda, \Lambda, p, q)$ is a positive constant.

Let $M > 0$ and denote $D_M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| > M\}$. Applying Theorem 2.5 to (2.14) with $D = D_M$, $a_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{jk}$, $f = \epsilon_n^2\mu_n W_n + a_n W_n^2$, $p = q = 2$, $R = 2$, and $B_2(x_0) \subset D_M$, we get

$$\sup_{B_1(x_0)} W_n(x) \le C\left(\|W_n\|_{L^2(B_2(x_0))} + \|W_n^2\|_{L^2(B_2(x_0))}\right) \tag{2.15}$$

for some universal constant $C > 0$. Since $B_2(x_0) \subset D_M$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\|W_n\|_{L^2(B_2(x_0))} + \|W_n^2\|_{L^2(B_2(x_0))} &\le \|W_n\|_{L^2(|x|>M)} + \|W_n^2\|_{L^2(|x|>M)}\\ &\to \|Q_0^2\|_{L^2(|x|>M)} + \|Q_0^4\|_{L^2(|x|>M)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $\epsilon_n^2\mu_n \to -1$ and the fact that $W_n \to Q_0^2$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $W_n^2 \to Q_0^4$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ because

$$\begin{aligned}\|W_n - Q_0^2\|_{L^2} &\le \||\varphi_n| - Q_0\|_{L^4}\||\varphi_n| + Q_0\|_{L^4},\\ \|W_n^2 - Q_0^4\|_{L^2} &\le \||\varphi_n| - Q_0\|_{L^8}\||\varphi_n| + Q_0\|_{L^8}\||\varphi_n|^2 + Q_0^2\|_{L^4},\end{aligned}$$

and $|\varphi_n| \to Q_0$ strongly in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $r \in [2, \infty)$. The later follows from the strong convergence $|\varphi_n| \to Q_0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and Sobolev embedding. In particular, for $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ and M_ϵ sufficiently large such that for all $n \ge n_\epsilon$ and all $M \ge M_\epsilon$,

$$\|W_n\|_{L^2(B_2(x_0))} + \|W_n^2\|_{L^2(B_2(x_0))} \le \frac{\epsilon}{C}$$

which together with (2.15) yield

$$\sup_{B_1(x_0)} W_n(x) \le \epsilon$$

for all $B_1(x_0) \subset D_{M_\epsilon}$. As $B_1(x_0)$ is arbitrarily in D_{M_ϵ}, we get (by possibly increasing M_ϵ)

$$W_n(x) \le \epsilon \text{ for all } |x| > M_\epsilon \text{ and all } n \text{ sufficiently large.} \tag{2.16}$$

Applying again Theorem 2.5 to (2.14) with $D = \mathbb{R}^2$, $a_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{jk}$, $f = \mu_n\epsilon_n^2 W_n + a_n W_n^2$, $p = q = 2$, and $R = 2M_\epsilon$, we get

$$\sup_{B_{M_\epsilon}(0)} W_n(x) \le C\left(M_\epsilon^{-1}\|W_n\|_{L^2(B_{2M_\epsilon}(0))} + M_\epsilon\|W_n^2\|_{L^2(B_{2M_\epsilon}(0))}\right)$$

for some universal constant $C > 0$. This implies

$$\sup_{B_{M_\epsilon}(0)} W_n(x) \le C(M_\epsilon) \text{ for all } n \text{ sufficiently large.} \tag{2.17}$$

Collecting (2.16) and (2.17), we prove

$$0 \le \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^2} W_n(x) \le C \text{ for all } n \text{ sufficiently large,} \tag{2.18}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of n.

Step 5. Uniform exponential decay of W_n. We now prove the uniform exponential decay of W_n. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is dense in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we can test (2.14) against non-negative functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The following calculation is done formally by testing (2.14) with $e^{\alpha|x|}W_n$ for some constant $\alpha > 0$ to be chosen shortly. Strictly speaking, this requires a standard truncation argument. First we replace $e^{\alpha|x|}$ by

$$\chi_\delta(x) := e^{\alpha\frac{|x|}{1+\delta|x|}}, \quad \delta > 0$$

and perform the usual computation. Then we let $\delta \to 0$ to obtain the desired estimate. For more details, see e.g., [6, Theorem 8.1.1]. Note that χ_δ is bounded, Lipschitz continuous, and $|\nabla\chi_\delta| \le \alpha\chi_\delta$; hence $\chi_\delta W_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

We have

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\Delta W_n e^{\alpha|x|}W_n\mathrm{d}x - \mu_n\varepsilon_n^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}W_n e^{\alpha|x|}W_n\mathrm{d}x - a_n\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}W_n^2 e^{\alpha|x|}W_n\mathrm{d}x \le 0. \tag{2.19}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\Delta W_n e^{\alpha|x|}W_n\mathrm{d}x &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{\alpha|x|}\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta(W_n^2) - |\nabla W_n|^2\right)\mathrm{d}x\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}W_n^2\Delta(e^{\alpha|x|})\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla W_n|^2 e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}W_n^2\left(\alpha^2 + \frac{\alpha}{|x|}\right)e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla W_n|^2 e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla(W_n e^{\alpha|x|/2})|^2\mathrm{d}x = \frac{\alpha^2}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}W_n^2 e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla W_n|^2 e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}W_n^2\left(\alpha^2 + \frac{\alpha}{|x|}\right)e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x.$$

In particular, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\Delta W_n e^{\alpha|x|}W_n\mathrm{d}x = \frac{\alpha^2}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}W_n^2 e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla(W_n e^{\alpha|x|/2})|^2\mathrm{d}x,$$

hence (see (2.19))

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla(W_n e^{\alpha|x|/2})|^2\mathrm{d}x - \frac{\alpha^2}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}W_n^2 e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x - \mu_n\varepsilon_n^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}W_n^2 e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x - a_n\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}W_n^3 e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x \le 0$$

so

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(-\mu_n\varepsilon_n^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{8} - a_n W_n\right)W_n^2 e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x \le 0.$$

We pick $\alpha = 1$ and choose $M > 0$ so large that $W_n(x) \le \frac{1}{4a_*}$ for all $|x| \ge M$ and all n sufficiently large (see (2.16)). As $\mu_n\varepsilon_n^2 \to -1$ (by Step 1), we get

$$-\mu_n\varepsilon_n^2 - \frac{1}{8} - a_n W_n(x) \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $|x| \ge M$ and all n sufficiently large. Thus we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus B_M(0)}W_n^2 e^{|x|}\mathrm{d}x \le \int_{B_M(0)}\left|-\mu_n\varepsilon_n^2 - \frac{1}{8} - a_n W_n\right|W_n^2 e^{|x|}\mathrm{d}x \le Ce^M\|W_n\|_{L^2}^2 \le Ce^M$$

for all n sufficiently large, where we have used (2.18) to get the second estimate. This proves that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}W_n^2 e^{|x|}\mathrm{d}x \le C, \tag{2.20}$$

for all n sufficiently large, where $C > 0$ is independent of n. From this, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\varphi_n|^2 e^{|x|/4} \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_n e^{|x|/2} e^{-|x|/4} \mathrm{d}x \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W_n^2 e^{|x|} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-|x|/2} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2} \leq C \tag{2.21}$$

for all n sufficiently large. A consequence of this uniform exponential decay and $|\varphi_n| \to Q_0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is that $|x||\varphi_n| \to |x|Q_0$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Step 6. H^1-strong convergence. By the definition of φ_n (see (2.9)), we have

$$\phi_n(x) = \varepsilon_n^{-1} \varphi_n(\varepsilon_n^{-1}(x - x_n)) e^{-i x_n^{\perp} \cdot x - i\theta_n}.$$

Since ϕ_n is a ground state for E^{NLS}_{1,a_n}, we see that

$$E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} = \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n}(\phi_n) = \|\nabla \phi_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|x\phi_n\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\langle L\phi_n, \phi_n \rangle - \frac{a_n}{2} \|\phi_n\|_{L^4}^4. \tag{2.22}$$

This implies the following identity (see again (2.9))

$$\varepsilon_n^2 E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} = \|\nabla \varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\varepsilon_n^2 \langle L\varphi_n, \varphi_n \rangle + \varepsilon_n^4 \|x\varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{a_n}{2} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^4}^4. \tag{2.23}$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|2\varepsilon_n^2 \langle L\varphi_n, \varphi_n \rangle| \leq 2\varepsilon_n^2 \|\nabla \varphi_n\|_{L^2} \|x\varphi_n\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\varepsilon_n^4 \|x\varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2$$

which implies

$$\|\nabla \varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2 \left(\varepsilon_n^2 E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{a_n} + \varepsilon_n^4 \|x\varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{a_n}{2} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^4}^4 \right).$$

Since $E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} \to 0$, $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, $|x||\varphi_n| \to |x|Q_0$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and $|\varphi_n| \to Q_0$ strongly in $L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we infer that $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ is bounded uniformly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

From (2.23), we also have

$$\|\nabla \varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{a_*}{2} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^4}^4 = \varepsilon_n^2 E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} - 2\varepsilon_n^2 \langle L\varphi_n, \varphi_n \rangle - \varepsilon_n^4 \|x\varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{a_* - a_n}{2} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^4}^4.$$

Using the uniform boundedness of $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the strong convergence $|x||\varphi_n| \to |x|Q_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and $a_n \nearrow a_*$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\nabla \varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{a_*}{2} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^4}^4 = 0.$$

Since $\|\varphi_n\|_{L^2} = 1$ and $|\varphi_n| \to Q_0$ strongly in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $r \in [2, \infty)$, there exists $\{z_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\varphi_n(x + z_n) \to e^{i\theta} Q_0(x) \tag{2.24}$$

strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Using the fact that $\|Q_0(\cdot + z_n) - Q_0\|_{H^1} \to 0$ if and only if $|z_n| \to 0$, we get $|z_n| \to 0$. This in turn implies that $\varphi_n \to e^{i\theta} Q_0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_n - e^{i\theta} Q_0\|_{H^1} &= \|\varphi_n(\cdot + z_n) - e^{i\theta} Q_0(\cdot + z_n)\|_{H^1} \\ &\leq \|\varphi_n(\cdot + z_n) - e^{i\theta} Q_0\|_{H^1} + \|Q_0 - Q_0(\cdot + z_n)\|_{H^1} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we write

$$\varphi_n(x) = q_n(x) + i r_n(x)$$

with q_n and r_n the real and imaginary parts of φ_n respectively. By (2.10), we have the following orthogonality condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q_0 r_n \mathrm{d}x = 0. \tag{2.25}$$

Since $\|\varphi_n - e^{i\theta}Q_0\|_{L^2}^2 \to 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\mathrm{Re}(\varphi - e^{i\theta}Q_0)\right)^2 + \left(\mathrm{Im}(\varphi_n - e^{i\theta}Q_0)\right)^2 \mathrm{d}x \to 0.$$

In particular, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (r_n - Q_0 \sin\theta)^2 \mathrm{d}x \to 0.$$

Using (2.25), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} r_n^2 + Q_0^2 \sin^2\theta \mathrm{d}x \to 0.$$

This shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x \to 0 \text{ and } \sin^2\theta = 0$$

or $\theta = 0$ or $\theta = \pi$. In the following, we consider only the case $\theta = 0$. The case $\theta = \pi$ can be treated similarly by changing φ_n to $-\varphi_n$. For $\theta = 0$, we have $\varphi_n \to Q_0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In particular,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (q_n - Q_0)^2 \mathrm{d}x \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x \to 0. \tag{2.26}$$

This, together with the exponential decay of W_n, yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 (q_n - Q_0)^2 \mathrm{d}x \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x \to 0. \tag{2.27}$$

In fact, by the exponential decay of W_n (see (2.21)), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^4 r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/2} \to 0$$

and similarly for $q_n - Q_0$.

Step 7. Smallness of the imaginary part. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L\varphi_n, \varphi_n\rangle = \mathrm{Re}\langle L\varphi_n, \varphi_n\rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^\perp \cdot \mathrm{Im}(\overline{\varphi}_n \nabla\varphi_n)\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^\perp \cdot (q_n \nabla r_n - r_n \nabla q_n)\mathrm{d}x = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^\perp q_n \nabla r_n \mathrm{d}x \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

which implies

$$|\langle L\varphi_n, \varphi_n\rangle| \le 2\|xq_n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla r_n\|_{L^2} \le C\|\nabla r_n\|_{L^2}.$$

Here we have used the fact that $|x|q_n$ is bounded uniformly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ since $|x||\varphi_n| \to |x|Q_0$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We deduce from the above and (2.23) that

$$\varepsilon_n^2 E_{1,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}} \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla q_n|^2 + |\nabla r_n|^2 - \frac{a_*}{2}(q_n^4 + r_n^4 + 2q_n^2 r_n^2)\mathrm{d}x - C\varepsilon_n^2 \|\nabla r_n\|_{L^2}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_*}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (r_n^4 + 2q_n^2 r_n^2)\mathrm{d}x \le a_* \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\varphi_n|^2 r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^2 r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x + a_* \int (|\varphi_n|^2 - Q_0^2) r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^2 r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x + o(1)\|r_n\|_{H^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\varphi_n|^2 - Q_0^2) r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x\right| \le \||\varphi_n|^2 - Q_0^2\|_{L^2}\|r_n\|_{L^4}^2 \le C\||\varphi_n|^2 - Q_0^2\|_{L^2}\|r_n\|_{H^1}^2$$

and

$$\||\varphi_n|^2 - Q_0^2\|_{L^2} \le \||\varphi_n| - Q_0\|_{L^4}\||\varphi_n| + Q_0\|_{L^4} \to 0$$

as $|\varphi_n| \to Q_0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ hence in $L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by Sobolev embeddings. On the other hand, by the standard Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.4), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla q_n|^2 - \frac{a_*}{2} q_n^4 \mathrm{d}x \ge \|\nabla q_n\|_{L^2}^2 (1 - \|q_n\|_{L^2}^2) = (1 + o(1))\|r_n\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where we have used that $q_n \to Q_0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\|q_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|r_n\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$ as $\|\varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, and $\|\nabla Q_0\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_n^2 E_{1,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}} &\ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla r_n|^2 - Q^2 r_n^2 + r_n^2 \mathrm{d}x + o(1)\|r_n\|_{H^1}^2 - C\varepsilon_n^2 \|\nabla r_n\|_{L^2} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{L} r_n, r_n\rangle + o(1)\|r_n\|_{H^1}^2 - C\varepsilon_n^2 \|\nabla r_n\|_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{L} := -\Delta - Q^2 + 1$.

We now use the non-degeneracy property of Q. It is well-known (see [28, Theorem 11.8 and Corrollary 11.9]) that Q is the first eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}$ and the corresponding eigenvalue 0 is non-degenerate. In particular, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}u, u\rangle \ge \lambda_2 \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

for all u orthogonal to Q, where $\lambda_2 > 0$ is the second eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}$. This together with the fact that

$$\langle \mathcal{L}u, u\rangle \ge \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \|Q\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

yield

$$\langle \mathcal{L}u, u\rangle \ge C\|u\|_{H^1}^2$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and all u orthogonal to Q. Thanks to this estimate and the orthogonality condition (2.25), we get

$$\varepsilon_n^2 E_{1,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}} \ge C_1 \|r_n\|_{H^1}^2 - C_2 \varepsilon_n^2 \|\nabla r_n\|_{L^2}$$

for some positive constants C_1 and C_2. This implies that

$$\|r_n\|_{H^1}^2 \le C(\varepsilon_n^2 E_{1,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}} + \varepsilon_n^4). \tag{2.29}$$

On the other hand, from (2.3), the magnetic Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.6) and the diamagnetic inequality (1.5), we have

$$C(a_* - a_n)^{1/2} \ge E_{1,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}} = \mathcal{E}_{1,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}}(\phi_n) \ge \frac{a_* - a_n}{a_*} \|\nabla_{x^\perp} \phi_n\|_{L^2}^2 \ge \frac{a_* - a_n}{a_*} \|\nabla |\phi_n|\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{a_* - a_n}{a_*} \varepsilon_n^{-2}$$

which implies

$$E_{1,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}} \le C(a_* - a_n)^{1/2} \le C\varepsilon_n^2 \tag{2.30}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. This together with (2.29) yield

$$\|r_n\|_{H^1} \le C\varepsilon_n^2. \tag{2.31}$$

Step 8. Identifying the blow-up limit. Coming back to (2.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle L\varphi_n, \varphi_n\rangle &= 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^\perp \cdot \nabla r_n q_n \mathrm{d}x = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^\perp \cdot \nabla r_n Q_0 \mathrm{d}x + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^\perp \cdot \nabla r_n (q_n - Q_0)\mathrm{d}x \\ &= 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^\perp \cdot \nabla r_n (q_n - Q_0)\mathrm{d}x\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $x^\perp \cdot \nabla Q_0 = 0$ since Q_0 is radial and (2.27). This shows that

$$|\langle L\varphi_n, \varphi_n\rangle| \le \|\nabla r_n\|_{L^2} \|x(q_n - Q_0)\|_{L^2} \le o(1)\|\nabla r_n\|_{L^2} \le o(\varepsilon_n^2). \tag{2.32}$$

Here we have used (2.27) in the second inequality and (2.31) in the last one.

From (2.22) and the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (1.4), we have

$$E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} \geq 2\langle L\phi_n, \phi_n\rangle + \|x\phi_n\|^2_{L^2} = 2\langle L\varphi_n, \varphi_n\rangle + \varepsilon_n^2\|x\varphi_n\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Denote

$$\beta_n := \frac{\varepsilon_n}{(a_* - a_n)^{1/4}}.$$

From (2.30), we have

$$\beta_n^2 \geq C > 0.$$

Moreover, using (2.3), we also have

$$C \geq \frac{E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n}}{(a_* - a_n)^{1/2}} \geq \frac{2}{(a_* - a_n)^{1/2}}\langle L\varphi_n, \varphi_n\rangle + \beta_n^2\|x\varphi_n\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Thanks to (2.32) and the fact that $|x||\varphi_n| \to |x|Q_0$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we deduce

$$C \geq \beta_n^2(\|xQ_0\|^2_{L^2} + o(1)).$$

In particular, we deduce that $\{\beta_n\}_n$ is bounded above and below away from zero. Passing to subsequence, we have $\beta_n \to \beta > 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

By (2.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n} &\geq \frac{a_* - a_n}{2}\|\phi_n\|^4_{L^4} + 2\langle L\phi_n, \phi_n\rangle + \|x\varphi_n\|^2_{L^2} \\ &= \frac{(a_* - a_n)^{1/2}}{2\beta_n^2}\|\varphi_n\|^4_{L^4} + 2\langle L\varphi_n, \varphi_n\rangle + (a_* - a_n)^{1/2}\beta_n^2\|x\varphi_n\|^2_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_n \to Q_0$ strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $|x||\varphi_n| \to |x|Q_0$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and (2.32), we infer that

$$\frac{E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n}}{(a_* - a_n)^{1/2}} \geq \frac{1}{2\beta^2}\|Q_0\|^4_{L^4} + \beta^2\|xQ_0\|^2_{L^2} + o(1).$$

Optimizing over $\beta > 0$ and noticing that $\|Q_0\|^4_{L^4} = \frac{2}{a_*}$ we get

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{1,a_n}}{(a_* - a_n)^{1/2}} \geq 2\frac{\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}}{a_*^{1/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{1}{a_*^{1/4}\|xQ_0\|^{1/2}_{L^2}}.$$

From this and the energy upper bound (2.1), we obtain (1.7) and (1.8).

Step 9. L^∞ convergence. We finally prove the L^∞-convergence. To this end, we first show the uniform exponential decay for $\nabla\varphi_n$, namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla\varphi_n|^2 e^{|x|/4}\mathrm{d}x \leq C \tag{2.33}$$

for all n sufficiently large. We provide below a formal calculation and a regularizing argument is needed to justify it rigorously (see Step 5). We multiply both sides of (2.11) with $e^{\alpha|x|}\overline{\varphi}_n$, integrate over $\mathbb{R}^2$, and take the real part to get

$$\mathrm{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -\Delta\varphi_n e^{\alpha|x|}\overline{\varphi}_n + \varepsilon_n^4|x|^2e^{\alpha|x|}|\varphi_n|^2 + 2\varepsilon_n^2 L\varphi_n e^{\alpha|x|}\overline{\varphi}_n - a_n|\varphi_n|^4e^{\alpha|x|} - \varepsilon_n^2\mu_n|\varphi_n|^2e^{\alpha|x|}\mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

Arguing as in [25, Lemma 3.2], we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -\Delta\varphi_n e^{\alpha|x|}\overline{\varphi}_n\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(e^{\alpha|x|/2}\varphi_n)|^2\mathrm{d}x - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\alpha|x|}|\varphi_n|^2\mathrm{d}x.$$

In particular, we get

$$0=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla(e^{\alpha|x|/2}\varphi_n)|^2\mathrm{d}x+\varepsilon_n^4\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|x|^2e^{\alpha|x|}|\varphi_n|^2\mathrm{d}x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{\alpha|x|}\Big(-a_n|\varphi_n|^2-\varepsilon_n^2\mu_n-\frac{\alpha^2}{4}\Big)|\varphi_n|^2\mathrm{d}x$$
$$+2\varepsilon_n^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}L\varphi_n e^{\alpha|x|}\overline{\varphi}_n\mathrm{d}x.$$

Since $L(e^{\alpha|x|/2})=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|2\varepsilon_n^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}L\varphi_n e^{\alpha|x|}\overline{\varphi}_n\mathrm{d}x\Big|&=\Big|2\varepsilon_n^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{\alpha|x|/2}\overline{\varphi}_nL(e^{\alpha|x|/2}\varphi_n)\mathrm{d}x\Big|\\&\le 2\varepsilon_n^2\|x^{\perp}e^{\alpha|x|/2}\varphi_n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla(e^{\alpha|x|/2}\varphi_n)\|_{L^2}\\&\le\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla(e^{\alpha|x|/2}\varphi_n)|^2\mathrm{d}x+2\varepsilon_n^4\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|x|^2e^{\alpha|x|}|\varphi_n|^2\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla(e^{\alpha|x|/2}\varphi_n)|^2\mathrm{d}x\le\varepsilon_n^4\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|x|^2e^{\alpha|x|}|\varphi_n|^2\mathrm{d}x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{\alpha|x|}\Big(a_n|\varphi_n|^2+|\varepsilon_n^2\mu_n|+\frac{\alpha^2}{4}\Big)|\varphi_n|^2\mathrm{d}x$$

By choosing $\alpha=\frac{1}{4}$, using (2.20), (2.21) and the fact that $\varepsilon_n^2\mu_n\to-1$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla(e^{|x|/8}\varphi_n)|^2\mathrm{d}x\le C \tag{2.34}$$

for all n sufficiently large. Note that, by the triangle inequality,

$$\|\nabla(e^{|x|/8}\varphi_n)\|_{L^2}=\Big\|e^{|x|/8}\nabla\varphi_n+\frac{x}{8|x|}e^{|x|/8}\varphi_n\Big\|_{L^2}\ge\|e^{|x|/8}\nabla\varphi_n\|_{L^2}-\frac{1}{8}\|e^{|x|/8}\varphi_n\|_{L^2}.$$

Then the claim (2.33) follows directly from (2.34) and (2.21).

We next show that $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ is bounded uniformly in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. To see this, we rewrite (2.11) as

$$-\Delta\varphi_n+\varphi_n=(1+\varepsilon_n^2\mu_n)\varphi_n-\varepsilon_n^4|x|^2\varphi_n-2\varepsilon_n^2L\varphi_n+a_n|\varphi_n|^2\varphi_n.$$

Since $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ is bounded uniformly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the uniform exponential decay in (2.21) and (2.33) imply that the right hand side is bounded uniformly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. This shows that $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ is bounded uniformly in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. By the Sobolev embedding $H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)\subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the strong convergence $\varphi_n\to Q_0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and the uniformly boundedness of $(\varphi_n)_n$ in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have that φ_n converges strongly to Q_0 in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and hence (1.8). □

2.2. **Collapse with an almost critical speed.** We now study the blow-up behavior of minimizers for $E_{\Omega,a}$ when both $\Omega\nearrow 1$ and $a\nearrow a_*$ at the same time. To this end, we recall the following energy asymptotic formula when $\Omega=0$ (see [20]):

$$E_{0,a}^{\mathrm{NLS}}=\sqrt{a_*-a}\left(2\frac{\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}}{a_*^{1/2}}+o(1)\right)\text{ as }a\nearrow a_*. \tag{2.35}$$

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let $\Omega_n\nearrow 1$, $a_n\nearrow a_*$ as $n\to\infty$, and ϕ_n be a minimizer for E_{Ω_n,a_n}. We rewrite the energy functional as follows

$$\begin{aligned}E_{\Omega_n,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}}=\mathcal{E}_{\Omega_n,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}}(\phi_n)&=\Omega_n\mathcal{E}_{1,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}}(\phi_n)+(1-\Omega_n)\mathcal{E}_{0,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}}(\phi_n)\\&\ge\Omega_nE_{1,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}}+(1-\Omega_n)E_{0,a_n}^{\mathrm{NLS}},\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

where we have used that $\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{1,a_n}(\phi_n) \geq E^{\rm NLS}_{1,a_n}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{0,a_n}(\phi_n) \geq E^{\rm NLS}_{0,a_n}$. Since both $E^{\rm NLS}_{1,a_n}$ and $E^{\rm NLS}_{0,a_n}$ have the same asymptotic formula (see (1.7) and (2.35)), we obtain

$$E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega_n,a_n} = (a_* - a_n)^{1/2}\left(2\frac{\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}}{a_*^{1/2}} + o(1)\right),$$

where the upper bound follows from (2.3). Let ψ_n be a ground state for $E^{\rm NLS}_{1,a_n}$. By Theorem 1.1, there exist sequences $\{x_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $(\vartheta_n)_n \subset [0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$\varphi_n(x) := \varepsilon_n \psi_n(\varepsilon_n x + x_n) e^{i\varepsilon_n x_n^\perp \cdot x + i\vartheta_n} \to Q_0(x)$$

strongly in $H^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $n \to \infty$. We choose $\tilde{\psi}_n(x) := \psi_n(x + x_n)e^{ix_n^\perp \cdot x + i\vartheta_n}$ as a trial state for $E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega_n,a_n}$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega_n,a_n} \leq \mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega_n,a_n}(\tilde{\psi}_n) &= \Omega_n \mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{1,a_n}(\tilde{\psi}_n) + (1-\Omega_n)\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{0,a_n}(\tilde{\psi}_n) \\ &= \Omega_n E^{\rm NLS}_{1,a_n} + (1-\Omega_n)\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{0,a_n}(\tilde{\psi}_n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

Here we have used the magnetic translation invariance of the energy functional $\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{1,a_n}$. Putting together (2.36) and (2.37), we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{0,a_n}(\phi_n) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{0,a_n}(\tilde{\psi}_n).$$

By (2.3) and the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (especially of (2.32) and $\varepsilon_n \simeq (a_* - a_n)^{1/4}$), we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{0,a_n}(\tilde{\psi}_n) = \mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{1,a_n}(\tilde{\psi}_n) - 2\langle \tilde{\psi}_n, L\tilde{\psi}_n\rangle = E^{\rm NLS}_{1,a_n} - 2\langle \varphi_n, L\varphi_n\rangle \leq (a_* - a_n)^{1/2}\left(2\frac{\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}}{a_*^{1/2}} + o(1)\right).$$

This together with (2.35) show that ϕ_n is an approximate ground state for $E^{\rm NLS}_{0,a_n}$. We then conclude (see e.g., [25, Step 5 in Section 3]) that there exists a sequence of phases $\{\theta_n\}_n \subset [0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(a_* - a_n)^{1/4}}{a_*^{1/4}\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}^{1/2}} \phi_n\left(\frac{(a_* - a_n)^{1/4}}{a_*^{1/4}\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}^{1/2}} x\right) e^{i\theta_n} = Q_0(x) \tag{2.38}$$

strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In fact, we obtain the strong convergence in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, by the same arguments as in the proof of (1.8). □

3. Collapse of many-body ground states

In this section, we prove the large-N behavior of ground states for (1.14) given in Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Following arguments from [25], we have

$$CN^{-\beta}\|\nabla Q_N\|_{L^2}\|Q_N\|_{L^6}^3 + E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega,a_N} \geq E^{\rm QM}_{\Omega,a_N}(N) \geq E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega,a_N} - CN^{2\beta-1}.$$

where Q_N is given in Theorem 1.3. Note that the above energy estimates as well as the asymptotic formula of $E^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega,a_N}$ are independent of Ω. Therefore, we obtain (1.15) for every $0 \leq \Omega \leq 1$.

To prove convergence of ground states as $\Omega = \Omega_N \nearrow 1$ we consider the perturbed Hamiltonian

$$H_{\Omega_N,a_N,N,\eta_N} = H_{\Omega_N,a_N,N} + \eta_N \sum_{j=1}^N A_j \tag{3.1}$$

with ground-state energy per particle denoted $E^{\rm QM}_{\Omega_N,a_N,\eta_N}(N)$. Here $\eta_N > 0$ is a small parameter to be chosen later and A is a bounded self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The associated NLS energy functional is

$$\mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega_N,a_N,\eta_N}(u) = \mathcal{E}^{\rm NLS}_{\Omega_N,a_N}(u) + \eta_N\langle Au, u\rangle.$$

Denote by $E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega_N,a_N,\eta_N}$ the corresponding ground-state energy and u_{η_N} its ground state. Let Φ_N be a ground state for $H_{\Omega_N,a_N,N} = H_{\Omega_N,a_N,N,0}$ and $\gamma^{(1)}_{\Phi_N}$ its one-body reduced density matrix. As in [25, Step 2 in Section 4] we obtain

$$\eta_N \operatorname{Tr}\left[A\gamma^{(1)}_{\Phi_N}\right] \geq \eta_N \left\langle u_{\eta_N} | A | u_{\eta_N} \right\rangle + O(N^{2\beta-1}) + O(N^{3\alpha/4-\beta}). \tag{3.2}$$

Again the above estimate is independent of Ω_N. Under the assumption that $a_* - a_N = N^{-\alpha}$ with

$$0 < \alpha < \min\left\{\frac{4\beta}{5}, 2(1-2\beta)\right\}$$

one can chose $\eta_N = N^{-\alpha/2-\sigma}$ with

$$0 < \sigma < \min\left\{1 - 2\beta - \frac{\alpha}{2}, \beta - \frac{5\alpha}{4}\right\}$$

in such a way that

$$\eta_N = o\big(E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{0,a_N}\big) = o\big((a_* - a_N)^{1/2}\big) = o\big(N^{-\alpha/2}\big)$$

and also

$$\eta_N^{-1} N^{2\beta-1} + \eta_N^{-1} N^{3\alpha/4-\beta} \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Then dividing (3.2) by η_N and repeating the argument with A changed to $-A$ yields

$$\left\langle u_{\eta_N} | A | u_{\eta_N} \right\rangle + o(1) \leq \operatorname{Tr}\left[A\gamma^{(1)}_{\Phi_N}\right] \leq \left\langle u_{-\eta_N} | A | u_{-\eta_N} \right\rangle + o(1). \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, with the above choice of η_N, we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega_N,a_N}(u_{\eta_N}) = \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega_N,a_N,\eta_N}(u_{\eta_N}) + O(\eta_N\|A\|) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega_N,a_N}(u_0) + O(\eta_N\|A\|) = E^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{\Omega_N,a_N} + O(\eta_N\|A\|).$$

By the argument in the proof of (1.9), the above implies that

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{NLS}}_{0,a_N}(u_{\eta_N}) \leq (a_* - a_n)^{1/2}\left(2\frac{\|xQ_0\|_{L^2}}{a_*^{1/2}} + o_N(1)\right) + O\left(\frac{\eta_N}{1-\Omega_N}\|A\|\right).$$

It then follows that (u_{η_N}) and $(u_{-\eta_N})$ are sequences of quasi-ground states for E^{NLS}_{0,a_N}, under the assumption on Ω_N in Theorem 1.3. Thus both sequences satisfy (2.38). Combining with (3.3), we get, after a dilation of space variables, trace-class weak-$\star$ convergence of $\gamma^{(1)}_{\Phi_N}$ to $|Q_N\rangle\langle Q_N|$. Since no mass is lost in the limit, this convergence must hold in trace-class norm (see e.g., [11] or [38, Appendix H]). The limit being rank 1, this implies the convergence of higher order density matrices to tensor powers of the limiting operator by well-known arguments (recalled e.g. in [36, Section 2.2]). □

References

[1] Aftalion, A. Vortex patterns in Bose Einstein condensates. In *Perspectives in nonlinear partial differential equations*, vol. 446 of *Contemp. Math.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 1–18.

[2] Avron, J. E., Herbst, I. W., and Simon, B. Separation of center of mass in homogeneous magnetic fields. *Ann. Phys. 114*, 1-2 (1978), 431–451.

[3] Bao, W., Wang, H., and Markowich, P. A. Ground, symmetric and central vortex states in rotating Bose-Einstein condensates. *Commun. Math. Sci. 3*, 1 (2005), 57–88.

[4] Bloch, I., Dalibard, J., and Zwerger, W. Many-body physics with ultracold gases. *Rev. Mod. Phys. 80*, 3 (2008), 885–964.

[5] Brezis, H., and Lieb, E. H. A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88*, 3 (1983), 486–490.

[6] Cazenave, T. *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, vol. 10 of *Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, 2003.

[7] Chabrowski, J., and Szulkin, A. On the schrödinger equation involving a critical sobolev exponent and magnetic field. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 25* (2005), 3–21.

[8] Cooper, N. R. Rapidly rotating atomic gases. *Advances in Physics 57* (2008), 539–616.

[9] Cornell, E. A., and Wieman, C. E. Bose-Einstein condensation in a dilute gas, the first 70 years and some recent experiments. *Rev. Mod. Phys. 74* (Aug 2002), 875–893.

[10] DALIBARD, J., GERBIER, F., JUZELIŪNAS, G., AND ÖHBERG, P. Artificial gauge potentials for neutral atoms. *Rev. Mod. Phys. 83* (2011), 1523.
[11] DELL'ANTONIO, G. F. On the limits of sequences of normal states. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 20 (1967), 413–429.
[12] DENG, Y., GUO, Y., AND LU, L. On the collapse and concentration of Bose-Einstein condensates with inhomogeneous attractive interactions. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 54*, 1 (2015), 99–118.
[13] DINH, V. D. Existence and stability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a critical rotational speed. *Lett. Math. Phys. 112*, 3 (2022), Paper No. 53, 36.
[14] ESTEBAN, M. J., AND LIONS, P.-L. Stationary solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with an external magnetic field. In *Partial differential equations and the calculus of variations, Vol. I*, vol. 1 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1989, pp. 401–449.
[15] EYCHENNE, A., AND ROUGERIE, N. On the stability of 2D dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates. *SIAM J. Math. Anal. 51*, 2 (2019), 1371–1386.
[16] GILBARG, D., AND TRÜDINGER, N. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, 2nd ed. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, 2001.
[17] GUO, Y., LIN, C. S., AND WEI, J. Local uniqueness and refined spike profiles of ground states for two-dimensional attractive Bose-Einstein condensates. *SIAM J. Math. Anal. 49*, 5 (2017), 3671–3715.
[18] GUO, Y., LUO, Y., AND PENG, S. Existence and asymptotic behavior of ground states for rotating bose–einstein condensates. *arXiv eprints* (2021).
[19] GUO, Y., LUO, Y., AND YANG, W. The nonexistence of vortices for rotating bose-einsteing condensates with attractive interactions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 238*, 3 (2020), 1231–1281.
[20] GUO, Y., AND SEIRINGER, R. Symmetry breaking and collapse in Bose-Einstein condensates with attractive interactions. *Lett. Math. Phys. 104* (2014), 141–156.
[21] GUO, Y., AND ZENG, X. Ground states of pseudo-relativistic boson stars under the critical stellar mass. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 34*, 6 (2017), 1611–1632.
[22] GUO, Y., ZENG, X., AND ZHOU, H.-S. Energy estimates and symmetry breaking in attractive Bose-Einstein condensates with ring-shaped potentials. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 33*, 3 (2016), 809–828.
[23] HAN, Q., AND LIN, F. *Elliptic partial differential equations*, second ed., vol. 1 of *Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
[24] KETTERLE, W. When atoms behave as waves: Bose-Einstein condensation and the atom laser. *Rev. Mod. Phys. 74* (Nov 2002), 1131–1151.
[25] LEWIN, M., NAM, P. T., AND ROUGERIE, N. Blow-up profile of rotating 2d focusing Bose gases. In *Workshop on Macroscopic Limits of Quantum Systems* (2017), Springer, pp. 145–170.
[26] LIEB, E. H. On the lowest eigenvalue of the Laplacian for the intersection of two domains. *Invent. Math. 74*, 3 (1983), 441–448.
[27] LIEB, E. H, AND YAU, H.-T. The Chandrasekhar theory of stellar collapse as the limit of quantum mechanics. *Comm. Math. Phys. 112*, 1 (1987), 147–174.
[28] LIEB, E. H., AND LOSS, M. *Analysis*, 2nd ed., vol. 14 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
[29] NGUYEN, D.-T. On blow-up profile of ground states of boson stars with external potential. *J. Stat. Phys. 169*, 2 (2017), 395–422.
[30] NGUYEN, D.-T. Blow-up profile of neutron stars in the Chandrasekhar theory. *J. Math. Phys. 60*, 7 (2019), 071508, 14.
[31] NGUYEN, D.-T. Blow-up profile of neutron stars in the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov theory. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 58*, 6 (2019), Paper No. 202, 16.
[32] NGUYEN, D.-T. Many-body blow-up profile of boson stars, with external potentials. *Rev. Math. Phys. 31*, 10 (2019), 1950034, 22.
[33] PÉRICE, D. Multiple landau level filling for a large magnetic field limit of 2d fermions. in preparation, 2022.
[34] PETHICK, C., AND SMITH, H. *Bose-Einstein Condensation of Dilute Gases*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
[35] PITAEVSKII, L., AND STRINGARI, S. *Bose-Einstein Condensation*. Oxford Science Publications. Oxford, 2003.
[36] ROUGERIE, N. Scaling limits of bosonic ground states, from many-body to nonlinear Schrödinger. *EMS Surveys in Mathematical Sciences 7*, 2 (2020), 253–408.
[37] ROUGERIE, N., AND YNGVASON, J. Holomorphic quantum Hall states in higher Landau levels. *J. Math. Phys.*, 61 (2020), 041101.
[38] SIMON, B. *Trace ideals and their applications*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 35, Cambridge University Press., 1979.

(V. D. Dinh) ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE DE LYON & CNRS, UMPA (UMR 5669), LYON, FRANCE
Email address: `contact@duondinh.com`

(D.-T. Nguyen) ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE DE LYON & CNRS, UMPA (UMR 5669), LYON, FRANCE
Email address: `dinh.nguyen@ens-lyon.fr`

(N. Rougerie) ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE DE LYON & CNRS, UMPA (UMR 5669), LYON, FRANCE
Email address: `nicolas.rougerie@ens-lyon.fr`