

Comparison of sample preparation techniques for the (-)ESI-FT-ICR-MS analysis of humic and fulvic acids

Aleksandar Goranov, Amanda Tadini, Ladislau Martin-Neto, Alberto Bernardi, Patricia Oliveira, José Pezzopane, Débora Milori, Stéphane Mounier, Patrick Hatcher

▶ To cite this version:

Aleksandar Goranov, Amanda Tadini, Ladislau Martin-Neto, Alberto Bernardi, Patricia Oliveira, et al.. Comparison of sample preparation techniques for the (-)ESI-FT-ICR-MS analysis of humic and fulvic acids. Environmental Science and Technology, inPress, 10.1021/acs.est.2c01125. hal-03752314

HAL Id: hal-03752314 https://hal.science/hal-03752314v1

Submitted on 16 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Comparison of sample preparation techniques for the (-)ESI-FT-ICR-MS analysis of humic and 2 fulvic acids 3

Aleksandar I. Goranov^{a,#}, Amanda M. Tadini^{a,b,#}, Ladislau Martin-Neto^b, Alberto C. C. Bernardi^c, Patricia P.
 A. Oliveira^c, José R. M. Pezzopane^c, Débora M.B.P.Milori^b, Stéphane Mounier^d, Patrick G. Hatcher^{a,*}

- 7 a) Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, USA 23529
- 8 b) Embrapa Instrumentação, Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation Embrapa, São Carlos, SP,
 9 Brazil 13560-970
- 10 c) Embrapa Pecuária Sudeste, Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation Embrapa, São Carlos, SP,
 11 Brazil 13560-970
- d) University of Toulon, Aix Marseille University, CNRS/INSU, IRD, MIO UM 110, Mediterranean Institute
 of Oceanography, CS 60584, 83041 Toulon, France
- 15 [#]Authors contributed equally to this work.
- 16 *Corresponding author: Dr. Patrick G. Hatcher (phatcher@odu.edu)

18 ABSTRACT

19 20 Soil organic matter (SOM) plays a key role in the global carbon and nitrogen cycles. Soil 21 biogeochemistry is commonly studied by extracting the base-soluble fractions of SOM: the acid-insoluble 22 humic acid (HA) and acid-soluble fulvic acid (FA). Electrospray ionization - Fourier transform - ion 23 cyclotron resonance - mass spectrometry (ESI-FT-ICR-MS) is commonly utilized for molecularly 24 characterizing these fractions. Different sample preparation techniques exist for the analysis of HA and FA 25 though questions remain regarding data comparability following different preparations. Comparisons of 26 different sample preparation techniques revealed that the negative-mode ESI-FT-ICR-MS analytical 27 window can be skewed to detect different groups of molecules, with primary differences in oxygenation, 28 aromaticity, and molecular weight. It was also observed that HA and FA from soils versus an aquatic 29 matrix behaved very differently and thus, we concluded that sample preparation techniques determined to 30 be "most optimal" in our study are in no way universal, and we recommend that future studies of HA and 31 FA involve such comparative studies for determining the most suitable sample preparation technique for their particular type of HA or FA matrices. This will enhance data comparability among different studies 32 and environmental systems and ultimately, allow us to better understand the complex composition of 33 34 environmental matrices. 35

36 **Subjects:** Soil organic matter, electrospray ionization (ESI), FT-ICR-MS, data comparability. 37

Synopsis: Minimal research exists on the comparability of mass spectral data of humic and fulvic acid extracts. This study compares different sample preparation techniques and discusses potential analytical biases to characterized molecular composition.

42 Graphical Abstract:



46 1. INTRODUCTION

47

Humic substances (HS) are the biogeochemical products of the natural decomposition of plant hitter by microorganisms^{1, 2} and abiotic processes³ contributing to the production of soil organic matter (SOM). HS account for 60-75 % of SOM⁴ and have a unique structure dissimilar to other compound

5 6

groups present in SOM⁵⁻⁹. HS play an essential role in soil fertility, agricultural productivity, stabilization of aggregates, carbon sequestration, and as a whole are fundamental players in the biogeochemical cycling of SOM^{1, 2, 4, 10-16}. HS have been a significant focus of soil science research and are still objects of intense discussion, research, and even controversy^{4, 11, 17-27}. Therefore, understanding the molecular composition of HS is important for deciphering their enigmatic cycling as well as their involvement in carbon sequestration processes and mitigating climate change.

57 Ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry, namely Fourier transform - ion cyclotron resonance -58 mass spectrometry (FT-ICR-MS), is a powerful technique providing a detailed view of the molecular composition of natural organic matter²⁸⁻³², including HS^{3, 32-42}. Nowadays, electrospray ionization (ESI), 59 60 particularly in negative ionization mode (-), has become the norm in the environmental sciences^{43, 44}. As SOM cannot be directly solubilized (a requirement for ESI analysis), HS are extracted using an alkali 61 62 extraction (0.1 M NaOH). The unextracted remainder of SOM, the humin, is insoluble in most solvents 63 making it difficult to analyze and thus, the humin fraction is rarely employed in SOM research. The NaOH-64 extracted HS are further fractionated into a fulvic acid (FA) fraction and a humic acid (HA) fraction based on the adjusting the solution to pH = 1 by the addition of HCI: the FA fraction remains fully solubilized 65 while the HA fraction precipitates out. These two HS are then usually freeze-dried into a powder for 66 67 sequential analyses⁴⁵.

HA and FA fractions have been studied for decades and it has been found that they are analytically challenging samples for ESI methods: FA samples are difficult to purify and thus, are often rich in inorganic species – a severe issue, which can bias, and even prevent the ionization of molecules in the ESI source. The HA fraction, in contrast, has high aromatic content and tends to aggregate⁴⁶, and sometimes it can also contain inorganic (e.g., Ca, Fe) constituents^{47, 48} additionaly skewing its solubility and ionizability. Once acid-precipitated and dried, the HA fraction is also difficult to re-solubilize back into solution.

75 Different sample preparation techniques have been developed to overcome the challenging 76 matrices of HA and FA. HA fractions have been previously dissolved in neutral MeOH:Water mixtures^{49, 50}. basified MeOH: Water mixtures with NaOH⁵¹, basified MeOH: Water mixtures with NH₄OH^{35-37, 51}, acidified 77 78 MeOH: Water mixtures with acetic acid⁵¹, basified MeOH: Water mixtures with NaOH followed with cationexchange⁵², neutral MeOH⁴⁴, basified water with NH₄OH^{44, 53-55}, as well as in basified water with NaOH 79 80 followed by cation-exchange and solvent extraction of the resin³. Commonly, NH₄OH is added after HA had been already solubilized and thus, NH₄OH only serves as an ionization enhancer^{35-37, 49, 50}. The addition 81 82 of NH₄OH is necessary as HA fractions are often rich in poorly ionizable aromatic and condensed aromatic 83 molecules^{56, 57}. In other studies, however, NH₄OH was simultaneously added when the powdered HA was 84 mixed with the solubilization solution and thus, NH4OH served both as a basification agent and an ionization enhancer^{44, 51, 53-55}. Recent work has shown that the use of NH₄OH can induce nitrogen-85 86 incorporation and possibly bias the mass spectrometric analytical window⁵⁸, but this has not been 87 assessed in HA matrices.

FA fractions have been previously solubilized in neutral MeOH: Water mixtures^{43, 51}, basified
 isopropanol:water mixtures with NH₄OH^{51, 59}, basified MeOH: Water mixtures with NH₄OH³⁸, acidified
 MeOH: Water mixtures with acetic acid⁵⁹, basified water with NH₄OH⁵⁵. Solid-phase extraction using Bond
 Elut Priority Pollutant cartridges (SPE-PPL), a popular approach for obtaining high purity organic matter
 extracts from aquatic samples⁶⁰, has also been employed⁶¹.

Clearly, there is a large diversity in the possible sample preparation techniques to solubilize HA 93 94 and FA fractions in solution and prepare samples suitable for ESI-FT-ICR-MS analysis. Different sample preparation techniques have been previously discussed in the literature⁶² though questions remain about 95 96 the comparability of data from these different sample preparation techniques. The composition of solvent 97 (e.g., 100% MeOH, MeOH: Water or isopropanol:water mixtures) and addition of modifiers (NH₄OH, acetic acid, etc.) are controlling factors in the ionizability of molecules in the ESI source^{63, 64}. It has been shown 98 99 that when HA is analyzed in MeOH: Water mixtures of different ratios (e.g., 25:75, 50:50, 70:30), the highest quality spectra are obtained when MeOH is more than 50%^{53, 59, 65}. Solvents and modifiers affect 100 the molecular conformation, charge distribution, the stability of the formed ions, and consistency of 101 ionization currents⁶⁶⁻⁶⁹. Additional factors include the pH of the solution, ratio of organic solvent:water, as 102 well the quantity of ions (i.e., the ionic strength)^{59, 70, 71}. Thus, variability in the sample preparation will affect 103 the ionizability of molecules and thus likely affect the observed molecular composition of HA and FA using 104 105 ESI-FT-ICR-MS.

Previous studies have shown that different solvents fractionate organic matter and further bias the analytical window of ESI-FT-ICR-MS in the context of sediments⁷², aerosols⁷³, soils⁷⁴, and petroleum and its fractions^{67, 69}. However, in these studies the solvent was used both for obtaining solvent-extractable organic matter and for a carrier solvent in the ESI analysis. By contrast in HA and FA analysis, the humic subtances are already extracted and only need to be solubilized. 111 In this manuscript, we assess how data for freeze-dried HA and FA compares when different 112 sample preparation techniques are used. This is the first comprehensive study of sample preparation 113 techniques for HA and FA fractions. Though these fractions are key SOM constituents and are commonly 114 employed in soil science studies, this humic fractionation technique has started to appear in aquatic 115 dissolved organic matter (DOM) studies too. This is likely because DOM partly originates from SOM 116 leaching into aquatic environments establishing the connection between terrestrial (land) and aquatic 117 interfaces. Different sample preparation techniques were tested on HA and FA fractions from several soils 118 and one river. We investigate compositional differences using (-)ESI-FT-ICR-MS and assess how sample 119 preparation and/or solvent composition affect the molecular results. Results from this study will enhance 120 our understanding of HA and FA and create a framework for researchers to perform similar sample 121 preparation comparative studies in order to obtain more representative molecular information about HA, 122 FA, and other environmental samples. 123

124 2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION125

127

126 **2.1 Soil samples and extraction of humic substances**

128 Two haplorthox (red-yellow latosol) soil samples, representing agricultural and forest soils, were 129 collected in the Embrapa Southeast Livestock Research Center (Embrapa Pecuária Sudeste, São Carlos, 130 São Paulo State, Brazil). A spodosol soil profile, characteristic of Amazonian soils, was obtained from 131 nearby Barcelos city, Amazon State, Brazil. Obtained were samples of its Horizon A (0 – 15 cm, sample 132 lebeled Amazonian-A) and its Horizon C (350 cm, sample labeled Amazonian-C).

Additional information about the four soils can be found in Section 1 of the supporting information (SI). Powdered HA and FA samples were prepared from the four soils following the procedure recommended by the International Humic Substances Society (IHSS)⁴⁵. The full protocol is described in Section 2 of the SI. Additional standardized and internationally recognized HA and FA samples were purchased from the IHSS (https://humic-substances.org/): Eliott Soil HA (sample label ESHA, cat. no. 5S102H, lot V) and FA (sample label ESFA, cat. no. 5S102F, lot V) as well as Suwannee River HA (sample label SRHA, cat. no. 2S101H, lot II) and FA (sample label SRFA, cat. no. 2S101F, lot II).

141 **2.2 Sample preparation techniques**

142 143 Calculated sample amounts (0.5 - 0.7 mg), depending on carbon content, were weighed into glass 144 vials to result in 0.2 mg-carbon equivalents. The solid HA or FA powders were then solubilized in 2000 µL 145 solvent (as described below) to yield a HA or FA solution at a concentration of 100 mg sample-carbon per 146 L solution (mgC·L⁻¹). This solution was then diluted 2-fold to yield a final sample concentration of 50 147 mgC·L⁻¹ that was to be infused in the ESI-FT-ICR-MS per the standard operating procedure for the 148 instrument. For all sample preparations, MilliQ laboratory-grade water (18.1 M Ω) and methanol (MeOH, 149 Fisher Scientific, Optima LC-MS grade) were used, and all glassware was acid-cleaned and combusted (8 150 hours at 450 °C) prior to usage. 151

Five different solubilization procedures were employed to solubilize HA fractions. Procedural blanks corresponding to the five sample preparation techniques were also prepared.

- Solubilization in methanol (MeOH approach) tested only on the agricultural HA sample. The powdered HA sample was mixed with 2000 µL MeOH and then sonicated for 10 minutes. Due to the incomplete visual dissolution of the sample, the suspension was filtered using a 0.45 µm acid-washed filter (ThermoFisher ScientificTarget2 F2513-3, PTFE membrane). The sample was then diluted with additional 2000 µL MeOH. Solubilized HA carbon was not quantified. A 30 min sonication was also employed as a separate test but complete HA solubilization was not achieved. A (-)ESI-FT-ICR mass spectrum was not acquired for the 30 min sonication test.
- Solubilization in pyridine (Pyridine approach) tested only on the agricultural HA sample. The powdered HA sample was mixed with 2000 μL pyridine (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥ 99.9%, HPLC grade) and then sonicated for 10 minutes. Due to the incomplete visual dissolution of the sample, the suspension was filtered using a 0.45 μm acid-washed filter (Fisher Target2 F2513-3, PTFE membrane). The sample was then diluted with additional 2000 μL pyridine. Solubilized HA carbon was not quantified. A 30 min sonication was also employed as a separate test but complete HA solubilization was not achieved. A (-)ESI-FT-ICR mass spectrum was not acquired for the 30 min sonication test.
- Solubilization with ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH approach) The powdered HA sample was mixed with 2000 μL basified MilliQ water (pH 9, NH₄OH, Fluka, ≥ 25 wt.%, LC-MS grade) and then sonicated for 10 minutes. Complete dissolution was achieved. A 500 μL aliquot of the aqueous

172 supernatant was mixed with 500 μ L MeOH to result in a 1:1 MeOH: Water solution at a final sample-173 carbon concentration of 50 mgC·L⁻¹.

- Base-solubilization with cation-exchange (CE approach) The powdered HA sample was mixed with 1800 μL basified MilliQ water (pH 9, NaOH, Fisher Chemical SS254-4, 50% w/w Certified) and then sonicated for 10 minutes. Complete dissolution was achieved. To this solution, 200 μL of a suspension of cation-exchange resin (Dowex 50WX8 100-200 mesh, Acros Organics) in water was added yielding a total water volume of 2000 μL. The resin suspension was vortexed for 30 seconds, and let sit for 10 minutes. A 500 μL aliquot of the aqueous supernatant was mixed with 500 μL MeOH to result in a 1:1 MeOH: Water solution at a final sample-carbon concentration of 50 mgC·L⁻¹.
- 181 Base-solubilization with cation-exchange and solvent-extraction ($CE_{EXTRACTION}$ approach) – The 182 powdered HA sample was mixed with 1800 µL basified MilliQ water (pH 9, NaOH, Fisher Chemical 183 SS254-4, 50% w/w Certified) and then sonicated for 10 minutes. Complete dissolution was achieved. 184 To this solution, 200 µL of a suspension of cation-exchange resin (Dowex 50WX8 100-200 mesh, 185 Acros Organics) in water was added yielding a total water volume of 2000 µL. The resin suspension 186 was vortexed for 30 seconds, and let sit for 10 minutes. Then, the supernatant was aliquoted out of the 187 resin, and 2000 µL of MeOH were added to the resin to solvent-extract any organic molecules that 188 were retained onto it. The vial with the resin solvent-extraction was vortexed for 30 seconds and let sit 189 for 10 min. Exactly 500 µL of the methanolic supernatant were mixed with 500 µL of the cation-190 exchanged aqueous solution to result in a 1:1 MeOH: Water solution at a final sample-carbon 191 concentration of 50 mgC·L⁻¹. The CE_{EXTRACTION} approach is graphically described on Figure S2. 192

Four different solubilization procedures were employed to solubilize FA fractions. Procedural blanks
 corresponding to the four approaches were also prepared.

- Base-solubilization with cation-exchange and solvent-extraction (CE_{EXTRACTION} approach) see above.
- Solubilization in methanol (MeOH approach) The powdered FA sample was mixed with 2000 μL
 MeOH and then sonicated for 10 minutes. Complete dissolution was achieved. The sample was then
 diluted with additional 2000 μL MeOH.
- Solubilization in methanol-water mixture (MeOH:Water approach) The powdered FA sample was mixed with 2000 μL MeOH and then sonicated for 10 minutes. Complete dissolution was achieved. Then, 500 μL of the methanolic solution was mixed with 500 μL of MQ water resulting in a 1:1 MeOH: Water solution.
- 205 Solid-phase extraction using PPL cartridges (SPE-PPL approach) - The powdered FA sample 206 was solubilized in 5000 μ L of neutral (pH = 7) MilliQ water and then sonicated for 10 minutes. 207 Complete dissolution was achieved. Then, the solution was acidified to pH = 2 using dropwise addition 208 of HCI (Fisher, Certified ACS Plus). Solid-phase extraction was performed using PPL cartridges (Agilent Technologies, Bond Elut Priority Pollutant (PPL), 100 mg styrene divinyl copolymer). The 209 extraction was performed following previously published procedures^{60, 75} with slight modifications 210 211 including more extensive cartridge washing, as described below, to minimize the number of 212 contaminant species introduced into the samples from the PPL resin. The PPL cartridge is firstly 213 conditioned with three cartridge volumes of MeOH and then washed using six cartridge volumes of 214 acidified (pH = 2) MilliQ water. Then, the acidified sample solution is passed through the column. 215 Then, the cartridge is washed with six cartridge volumes of acidified (pH = 2) MilliQ water. Volumes 216 passing through the PPL cartridges were allowed to flow through by gravity, i.e., the flow rate was not 217 enhanced using a peristaltic pump setup. The cartridges are then dried under a flow of ultrapure N_2 218 gas (AirGas, NI UHP300). Cartridges are eluted with 2000 µL of MeOH to result in a methanolic 219 extract at a final sample-carbon concentration of 50 mgC L^{-1} (assuming 50% recovery).

A complete summary of samples and sample preparation techniques is presented in Table SX.

223 **2.3 Ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry: (-)ESI-FT-ICR-MS** 224

220

225 Prepared HA and FA samples with different sample preparation techniques were directly injected into an 226 Apollo II ESI source of a Bruker Daltonics 10-Tesla Apex Qe FT-ICR-MS at the College of Sciences Major 227 Instrumentation Cluster (COSMIC) at Old Dominion University (Norfolk, VA). Samples were analyzed in 228 (-)ESI mode with the same tuning parameters instrumental variability from influencing the spectral 229 comparability. The samples of this project were analyzed in three separate days and data comparability 230 was was ensured by tune validation using SRFA and the recommendations by Hawkes and D'Andrilli⁴³. All 231 spectra are internally calibrated using naturally present fatty acids, dicarboxylic acids, and compounds belonging to CH₂-homologous series⁷⁶. Peaks with signal-to-noise ratio \geq 3 were used for further 232

processing. Using an in-house MATLAB script, peaks found in the procedural blank, peaks of inorganic origin ("salt" peaks), and isotopologue (¹³C, ³²S) peaks are removed. Salt peaks are defined as following:

235

236	Table S1.	Definition	of	salt	peaks
			_		

m/z range	Mass defects of salt peaks		
< 300	0.4 – 0.97		
300-500	0.5 – 0.96		
500-800	0.6 – 0.95		
> 800	0.7 – 0.94		

237

Mass spectra are assessed based on total number of formulas and number of salt peaks. Number of assignable peaks is also calculated as total peaks – salt peaks – blank peaks – isotopologue peaks. Salt and assignable peaks are assessed based on number-based percentages (i.e., salt % = number of salt peaks/number of total peaks x 100).

To assign molecular formulas and assess molecular composition, refined mass lists of assignable 242 243 peaks were combined in a dataset of 81 samples in total. This dataset included SRFA quality control 244 spectra as well as mass spectra of various agricultural and Amazonian samples from previous studies of Tadini^{77, 78}. The mass spectra of all samples have been published in the *Mendelay Data* repository⁷⁹⁻⁸¹. 245 246 Spectra were then aligned to minimize formula assignment errors⁸² using a previously published MATLAB 247 script⁸³. Aligned spectra were then assigned molecular formulas using a previously published MATLAB script⁸⁴. Assignment was limited to ${}^{12}C_{5-\infty}$, ${}^{1}H_{5-100}$, ${}^{16}O_{1-50}$, ${}^{14}N_{0-5}$, ${}^{32}S_{0-4}$, and ${}^{31}P_{0-2}$ with maximum assignment 248 error of 1 ppm. Formulas that did not adhere to previously established molecular rules for natural organic 249 250 matter⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷ were eliminated. Mass peaks with any residual ambiguous assignments (multiple possible molecular formulas per mass peak) were further refined using inclusion within homologous series (CH₂, 251 H_2 , COO, C H_2 O, C $_2$ H $_4$ O, O, H_2 O, N H_3)^{85, 86}. Any further ambiguous assignments were refined based on 252 assignment error and the molecule with lower assignment error was selected. In the final list of molecular 253 formulas, there was only one molecular formula per mass peak. For all formulas, the modified aromaticity 254 index^{88, 89} is calculated as shown below: 255

256

257
$$AI_{MOD} = \frac{1+C-\frac{1}{2}O-S-\frac{1}{2}(N+P+H+Cl)}{C-\frac{1}{2}O-N-S-P}$$

258

263

265

Formulas were classified as following: Condensed aromatic ($AI_{MOD} \ge 0.67$), aromatic ($0.67 < AI_{MOD} \le 0.50$), olefinic/alicyclic ($0 < AI_{MOD} < 0.50$), and aliphatic ($AI_{MOD} = 0$).

262 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

264 **3.1 Sample preparation techniques for humic acids (HA)**

The agricultural soil HA was prepared using five solubilization approaches and was analyzed on the same day with identical tuning parameters (Figure 1). Corresponding procedural blank samples are shown in Figure S4.



Figure 1: Whole (-)ESI-FT-ICR mass spectra (left) and expanded windows at nominal mass of 443 (right)
 for an agricultural soil humic acid prepared using the MeOH, Pyridine, NH₄OH, CE, and CE_{EXTRACTION}
 sample preparation techniques.

275 The mass spectra had variable shapes indicative of different ionization distribution when different 276 preparation techniques were employed (Figure 1). The MeOH and Pyridine approaches yielded trimodal spectra whereas the NH₄OH, CE, and CE_{EXTRACTION} approaches yielded unimodal Gaussian-like spectra. 277 278 Unimodal spectra are commonly observed for natural organic matter, including for HA and FA fractions^{30,} ^{31, 35-37, 51, 74, 90, 91}. The observed spectral multimodality following the MeOH and Pyridine approaches was 279 280 likely caused by aggregation, selective ionization of different molecular classes, or preferential extraction 281 of different molecular classes because the HA samples were not entirely solubilized in MeOH or pyridine. A detailed discussion of the observed spectral multimodality in the MeOH and Pyridine treatments can be 282 found in Section 7 of the SI. 283

284 The peak distributions at single nominal mass ranges are inspected to evaluate differences among 285 the detected ions in each sample (right panels of Figure 1). As spectral shape and magnitude are highly liable to bias by instrumental parameters^{92, 93}, per-m/z distributions are more reliable for evaluating how the 286 287 sample preparation techniques affected the observed HA molecular composition. These expanded ranges 288 reflect the chemical stability, prevalence, and ionizability of molecules of different compositions present in 289 the analyzed sample⁹³. The distribution of ionized molecules with the MeOH approach appeared to contain 290 a variety of different molecules covering mass defects of 0.00 - 0.35. The distribution of ionized molecules 291 with the Pyridine approach appears to be missing ions with mass defects 0.95 - 0.15 indicative of 292 discrimination against more oxygenated aromatic molecules and preferential ionization of poorly 293 oxygenated aliphatic molecules. Additionally, molecular formulas were assessed based on the abundance non-oxygen heteroelements (i.e., abundance of CHO, CHON, CHOS, and CHOP formulas). NSP 294 295 heteroelements are actively involved in the global biogeochemical cycles and in soil systems are 296 responsible for modulating plant growth as well as carbon decomposition and humification reactions⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶. 297 Highly elevated contents of CHON formulas were observed following the MeOH and Pyridine approaches 298 and these are discussed in detail in Section 8 of the SI. Because these two approaches (MeOH and 299 Pyridine) did not fully solubilize the samples and appeared to significantly bias the molecular composition, 300 they were not further considered for testing on other HA samples.

301 To further assess this, characteristic H/C and O/C clustering patterns of molecular formulas were assessed using van Krevelen diagrams^{90, 97} (Figure S6). The modified aromaticity index (AI_{MOD}) metric 302 allowed for distinguishing aliphatic, olefinic, aromatic, and condensed aromatic molecules^{88, 89}. The MeOH 303 304 approach enhanced the ionization of aliphatic/olefinic molecules ($AI_{MOD} < 0.50$) but still allowed for the 305 observation of aromatic (0.67 < $AI_{MOD} \le 0.50$) and condensed aromatic compounds ($AI_{MOD} \ge 0.67$). When 306 the agricultural HA was solubilized in pyridine (Figure 1), the ionization of aliphatic and olefinic molecules 307 $(AI_{MOD} < 0.50)$ was enhanced, and aromatic molecules $(AI_{MOD} > 0.50)$ were almost entirely undetected. 308 Aromatic molecules could have been suppressed in the ESI source (outcompeted by the aliphatic 309 molecules), but aromatic molecules could also have been not solubilized by the pyridine at all. Previous 310 studies have shown that pyridine extractions of organic matter are selective towards molecules of less 311 polar aliphatic character⁷³ agreeing with our findings.

Using basified aqueous solutions (either with NaOH or NH₄OH) achieved complete solubilization of HA samples. Therefore, all sample molecules could be introduced into the ESI source. Using NH₄OH is very practical and is commonly employed in HA analysis: In addition to serving as a basification agent, NH₄OH is an ionization enhancer in (-)ESI⁹⁸. Upon nebulization, NH₄OH transforms into gaseous species, which are easily evacuated while promoting molecular ionization:

317

 $NH_4OH_{(aq)} \rightarrow NH_{3(g)} + H_2O_{(g)}$

318 In contrast, when powdered HA is solubilized by basified solutions with NaOH, sodium cations 319 negatively affect the ionization and also cause the formation of peaks of inorganic origin, such as solvent-320 salt or HA-salt adducts^{51, 59} also known as "salt" peaks. Thus, sodium cations must be removed using 321 cation-exchange (CE) resin prior to analysis. When the spectra following NH₄OH and CE approaches are 322 compared (Figure 1), it is evident that peaks with mass defect > 0.20 are lost after the CE approach. Van 323 Krevelen diagrams of the assigned formulas (Figure S6) indicate that higher molecular weight and poorly 324 oxygenated molecules of both aromatic and aliphatic character sorbed onto the resin³. To recover any 325 possible CE-entrapped molecules, the resin was further solvent-extracted with MeOH. This MeOH fraction 326 was mixed with the CE-exchanged aqueous solution (CE_{EXTRACTION} approach, Figure S2). Thus, all 327 molecules were combined to provide a more representative solution of the original HA sample. The peaks 328 that were not observed when only CE was employed have now been detected following the CE_{EXTRACTION} 329 approach (Figure 1). These ions may also have been lost due to unoptimized instrument parameters, but 330 this is highly unlikely as all agricultural HA samples were analyzed on the same day with the same 331 parameters and all samples exhibited stable ionization currents.

332 The spectra following following the NH4OH and CE_{EXTRACTION} appeared most to contain the highest 333 diversity of HA ions (Figure 1), but it is evident that the per-m/z magnitude distributions were different. 334 When NH4OH was used, ions of higher mass defect were detected indicative that less oxygenated 335 molecules (primarity aliphatic but some aromatic too) are preferentially ionized at the expense of more 336 oxygenated of various aromatic character. Not all aliphatic molecules that were observed following the 337 NH₄OH approach were detected when the CE_{EXTRACTION} approach was employed. This indicates that while 338 there is a significant overlap, the NH₄OH and CE_{EXTRACTION} approaches both yield a bias towards different molecular classes. Notably, the CE_{EXTRACTION} approach allowed for detecting 48% more assignable peaks 339 (5387) than the NH₄OH approach (3648) making the CE_{EXTRACTION} approach superior for this particular 340 341 sample matrix. We hypothesize that NH4OH sucks up all of the charge which would be otherwise 342 available for more molecules to be ionized (i.e., ionization poorly transfers to molecules and they do not become ions). Alternatively, NH4OH may be overionizing the oxygen-rich molecules cheating 343 344 supercharged ions. Such ions would likely have too many charged groups (e.g., COO⁻) in close proximity 345 that would cause the ions to collapse and degrade by the time they reach the ICR cell. These two 346 hypotheses explain why NH₄OH reduced the number of total and assignable peaks, as well as why less 347 oxygenated compounds are preferentially detected with the NH₄OH approach.

348 Only the three solubilization approaches which allowed for full solubilization (NH₄OH, CE, 349 CE_{EXTRACTION}) were employed on the HA from the forest soil and similar trends were observed (Figure SX), 350 as described in Section X of the SI. The NH₄OH and CE_{EXTRACTION} approaches were additionally tested on 351 HA from the Elliott Soil (ESHA) and Suwannee River (SRHA). The spectral trends for ESHA (Figure SX) 352 were similar to those observed for the agricultural and forest HA: CE_{EXTRACTION} allowed for the detection of 353 higher number of peaks over a large mass defect range. By contrast, SRHA performed very differentently: the CE_{EXTRACTION} spectrum had a bimodal distribution and there was an evident loss of ions with higher 354 mass defect (> 0.23). The NH4OH preparation allowed for detecting a higher number of total and 355 356 assignable peaks, and the per per-m/z distributions appeared to contain most diverse types of ions.

357 To better understand how the NH₄OH and CE_{EXTRACTION} approaches affect the molecular 358 composition of HA fractions, molecular formulas of the CE_{EXTRACTION} and NH₄OH samples of the four HA samples were assessed as using presence/absence analysis⁹⁹ (Figure 2). The formulas of soil HA 359 samples preferentially observed for following the NH₄OH approach were less oxygenated than those 360 361 observed following the CE_{EXTRACTION} approach. The common formulas of these samples (Figures X) had 362 H/C and O/C clustering patterns characteristic of soil HA fractions indicating that both NH₄OH and 363 CE_{EXTRACTION} approaches manage to representatively characterize soil HA fractions³. Furthermore, the 364 CE_{EXTRACTION} also enhanced the detection of CHON and CHOS species for the soil HA matrices (Figures 365 SX) but suppressed the detection of CHON species in SRHA (Figure SX).

In summary: 1) the unique formulas observed following the CE_{EXTRACTION} approach generally had the same H/C and O/C clustering pattern as the common formulas (Figures SX and SX); and 2): CE_{EXTRACTION} increased the number of total and assignable peaks; and 3) CE_{EXTRACTION} allowed for observing the most diverse types of molecules (Figure S6) including more CHON species as well as condensed aromatic compounds of different degrees of oxygenation characteristic for the heterogenious nature of HA 371 fractions³. For these reasons we conclude that CE_{EXTRACTION} is the most optimal approach for the analysis of 372 the soil HA matrices assessed here. Thus, the CE_{EXTRACTION} sample preparation technique should be 373 considered more often for the analysis of HA samples. There was a notable difference in how the aquatic 374 HA sample behaved relative to the HA samples. The CE_{EXTRACTION} approach enhanced the detection of less 375 oxygenated compounds of uniform aromaticity and higher molecular weight (> 600 Da) whereas the 376 NH₄OH approach enhanced the detection of more aromatic and more oxygenated compounds across the 377 entire MW range (300-800 Da). The NH₄OH approach also allows for the detection of more total and 378 assignable peaks. For these reasons, and because the uniquely detected peaks following the NH₄OH 379 approach have a similar H/C and O/C clustering pattern to the common peaks among the NH₄OH and 380 CE_{EXXTRACTION} apporaches, we conclude that the NH₄OH sample preparation technique is more optimal for 381 aquatic HA samples.





384

Figure 2. Van Krevelen diagrams (H/C versus O/C molar ratios) of assigned molecular formulas to humic acids prepared using the **NH**₄**OH** and **CE**_{EXTRACTION} sample preparation techniques. Formulas are assessed using presence/absence analysis⁹⁹ and only unique formulas to each sample are shown. The number of formulas found in each of these pools is listed in the legends along with corresponding percentages (relative to total number of formulas in the two samples being compared). The black lines indicate modified aromaticity index cutoffs (AI_{MOD})^{88, 89}. Common formulas and assessments relative to molecular weight are shown in Figures S7 and S8.

392

393 3.2 Sample preparation techniques for fulvic acids (FA) 394

The FA fraction is generally less problematic than the HA one due to the solubility of FA in both acid and base. However, FA can have high mineral content even after clean-up with resins. To analyze the FA samples, Amazon-C FA was first prepared using the **CE**_{EXTRACTION} approach. This approach was chosen as we assumed that the sample is white due to its high content of AI complexes or minerals¹⁰⁰ that had survived cation-exchange and DAX-8 resin purifications. Employing the same solubilization approach (i.e., CE_{EXTRACTION}) for both HA and FA fractions would have been ideal for achieving fully comparable data if HA and FA from the same soil are compared. The resultant (-)ESI-FT-ICR mass spectrum was of poor quality 402 and only 1156 peaks were detected, 792 (58%) of which were salts (Figure 3). The sample also exhibited 403 unstable ionization currents which provided early evidence that inorganic species which were not 404 successfully removed by the CE resin. The molecular formulas corresponding to the 287 assignable peaks 405 did not exhibit characteristic H/C and O/C clustering patterns for FA (Figure SX) but formulas within 406 homologous series (CH₂, H₂O, CO, etc.) were found validating their existence in the Amazon-C sample. Thus, solid-phase extraction using Bond Elut Priority Pollutant (PPL) cartridges⁶⁰ was employed (SPE-PPL 407 approach) yielding a spectrum of very high guality. The observed stable ionization currents during the 408 analysis and the detected 6694 peaks indicated that the SPE-PPL preparation was successful in removing 409 410 the inorganic impurities.





412

Figure 3: Whole (-)ESI-FT-ICR mass spectra (left) and expanded windows at nominal mass of 443 (right) for Amazon-C fulvic acid prepared using the CE_{EXTRACTION} and SPE-PPL_{100% MeOH} sample preparation techniques.

416

Though SPE-PPL is widely used in the environmental sciences, it is well known that it only recovers 40-60% of the sample-carbon which fractionates the sample and biases the composition towards. Thus, we compared SPE-PPL with two more sample preparation techniques: MeOH and MeOH:Water approaches (Figure 4). For these additional tests we used Amazonian-A FA as the Amazonian-C FA was in limited amounts.

422 We first solubilized the powdered Amazonian-A FA in MeOH (MeOH approach). We assumed that 423 MeOH will only solubilize the organic matter and avoid most inorganic substances due to the poor solubility of inorganic salts in MeOH¹⁰¹. The resultant spectrum was again populated with salt peaks. Then, 424 425 we mixed the MeOH solution with water, which we have seen sometimes to improve the spectral quality 426 (MeOH:Water approach)⁵⁷. Unfortunately, this approach did not produce a usable spectrum for the 427 Amazonian-A FA either. By contrast, the resultant mass spectrum of following SPE-PPL contained 7553 428 peaks, which included 2% salt peaks and 4747 assignable peaks. The assigned molecular formulas to 429 Amazonian-A and Amazonian-C spectra after SPE-PPL showed H/C and O/C clustering patterns typical 430 for fulvic acids providing additional support that that the SPE-PPL approach was most appropriate for the 431 soil FA samples of this study. 432



433

Figure 4: Whole (-)ESI-FT-ICR mass spectra (left) and expanded windows at nominal mass of 443 (right) for Amazon-A fulvic acid prepared using the MeOH, MeOH:Water, and SPE-PPL_{100% MeOH} sample preparation techniques.

m/z

437

However, because the MeOH: Water approach was ineffective for our Amazonian FA samples, and this is the recommended sample preparation technique for standardized FA samples from IHSS⁴³, we suspected that the "most optimal" sample preparation technique will differ for FA of different levels of purity or types of environments (e.g., soil versus aquatic) or matrices (e.g., peat versus loam soil). To investigate this, the Elliott Soil FA (ESFA) and Suwannee River FA (SRFA) samples from IHSS were solubilized using three different approaches: MeOH:Water (recommended for IHSS FA standards⁴³), CE_{EXTRACTION} (most optimal for the soil HA of our study), and SPE-PPL (most optimal for the Amazon soil FA samples). 445 For both ESHA and SRFA, the MeOH:Water approach yielded high number of peaks (4723 and 5681 peaks, respectively) with spectra (Figures SX) comparable to those published by the interlaboratory 446 comparison study⁴³. Assigned molecular formulas also had typical H/C and O/C clustering patterns for 447 these two samples (Figure 5)⁴³. For ESFA, the molecular composition following ESFA and CE_{EXTRACTION} 448 449 approaches was of high similarity. This was probably because the molecules lost during the SPE-PPL 450 extraction were likely small and undetectable by (-)ESI-FT-ICR-MS. Similar comparisons of CE and SPE-PPL preparations on ESFA were published previously parallelling our findings⁶¹. By contrast, the 451 CE_{EXTRACTION} approach caused a significant loss of lignin and tannin-like compounds of O/C > 0.4 in the 452 453 SRFA sample indicating that CE_{EXTRACTION} is not suitable for this matrix. The SPE-PPL approach produced 454 similar spectra to those after the MeOH:Water approach but a closer inspection revealed that SPE-PPL skewed the molecular compositions towards a more oxygenated composition causing a loss of molecules 455 456 with O/C > 0.5. Thus, for both Elliott soil and Suwannee River FA, the most appropriate sample 457 preparation technique appears to be MeOH:Water concluding that the "most optimal" sample preparation 458 technique will vary with the type of FA sample (e.g., aquatic versus soil) and its matrix constitutents (e.g., 459 inorganic contents). These findings validated that the MeOH:Water sample preparation technique is the 460 most suitable approach for working with SRFA and ESFA, which are key reference materials used for 461 mass spectrometric tuning, tune validation and general quality control, and for ensuring inter- and intralaboratory data comparability⁴³. It must be noted that SPE-PPL greatly enhanced the detection of CHON 462 species which might make the SPE-PPL technique more optimal for cases where soil nitrogen dynamics 463 464 are researched. 465



Figure 5. Presence/absence analysis⁹⁹ on Elliott soil fulvic acid (ESFA, top) and Suwannee River fulvic
 acid (SRFA, bottom) molecular compostions obtained following MeOH: Water, CE_{EXTRACTION}, and SPE-PPL
 solubilization approaches. Shown are van Krevelen diagrams (H/C versus O/C molar ratio plots) of unique

formulas to two or three samples. Formulas are color-coded based on molecular weight (MW). The black
ovals serve as visual references for comparisons. Common formulas are shown in Figures SX and SX.

473 The SPE-PPL technique is highly utilized in the community of aquatic sciences, but little knowledge exists 474 on how data compares if the methanolic eluent is analyzed with 2-fold dilution with MeOH (i.e., as 100% 475 MeOH) or with Water (i.e., as 1:1 MeOH:Water). Different research groups analyze SPE-PPL extracts 476 differently without published rationale for the chosen solvent system. We have found that the addition of 477 water can sometimes improve the spectral quality, but in other cases can deteriorate it. We have seen that 478 this can vary even within the same type of sample matrix. For example, biochar extracts analyzed for the study by Wozniak⁵⁶ gave the highest quality spectrum following SPE-PPL_{100% MEOH} whereas biochar extracts 479 analyzed for the studies by Goranov^{57, 102} gave the highest quality spectrum following SPE-PPL_{1:1 MeOH:Water}. 480 Samples were analyzed by the same analyst and sample preparation technique comparsions were made 481 for each dataset. To test this for a soil FA, we analyzed ESFA using both SPE-PPL100% MeOH and SPE-482 483 PPL_{1:1 MeOH:Water}. The addition of water reduced the total number of peaks from 6209 to 5006 and caused 484 molecules with O/C > 0.5 to be suppressed whereas molecules with O/C < to be preferentially ionized 485 (Figure SX). 486

487 **3.3 Implications and recommendations for future studies**

488 489 The employment of sample preparation techniques for for HA and FA fractions can significantly 490 alter the analytical window of the (-)ESI-FT-ICR-MS technique. The utilization of MeOH or pyridine as 491 solvents did not provide representative spectra of the samples of this study likely due to the incomplete HA 492 solubilization and the enhanced ionization of aliphatic compounds at the expense of aromatic ones (Figure 493 1). However, it must be noted that the observed trends in this study are possibly specific to our sample 494 matrices: the agricultural and forest HA samples used here were not fully soluble in MeOH but MeOH had 495 been previously successful in solubilizing the aquatic SRHA without the addition of NH₄OH or NaOH⁴⁴. 496 Thus, MeOH and Pyridine approaches should not be disregarded and be tested in future studies for HA 497 matrices other than the ones employed here. The two approaches that were shown most prominent for the 498 HA of our study, NH₄OH and CE_{EXTRACTION}, produced high-quality spectra but introduced biases in the observed molecular composition caused from different solvent composition affecting the (-)ESI. The 499 500 CE_{EXTRACTION} approach has been rarely employed³ while using NH₄OH has been the most common approach in HA analysis^{35-37, 44, 51, 53-55}. Furthermore, while we did not observe this in our study (see section 501 502 X of the SI), NH₄OH has been shown to react with molecules and produce N-adducts⁵⁸. Thus, it is likely 503 that previously published HA data has been biased towards a more aliphatic (H-rich), N-rich, and less 504 oxygenated (O-poor) composition, which may lead to false interpretations that the refractory HA fraction of 505 SOM is biologically reactive and possibly labile towards microbial consumption.

506 The Amazonian FA samples of our study had challenging salt-rich matrices and the organic 507 matter could not be purified using CE or DAX-8 resins. The SPE-PPL approach is rarely used for analyzing FA samples⁶¹ but here we show that it has a significant merit for preparing FA samples of 508 509 challenging composition (e.g., with high inorganic content). This agrees broad application of SPE-PPL in 510 desalinating marine and other inorganic-rich aquatic matrices^{60, 75} but questions remain regarding the molecular fractionation following SPE-PPL. Our comparisons reveal that SPE-PPL fractionates the sample 511 512 causing a loss of less oxygenated molecules, but this may be unavoidable for salty FA matrices. For cleaner FA matrices, such as ESFA, employing SPE-PPL is not necessary as both MeOH:Water and 513 CE_{EXTRACTION} produced comparable data. Similar comparisons by Han⁶¹ agree with our results for ESFA and 514 515 disagree with those for SRFA, likely because of the significant differences among soil and aquatic 516 molecules and how their chemistries.

517 While we make conclusions for the "most optimal" approach in our study, we must emphasize that 518 these approaches are in no way universally optimal. Our work shows that the "most optimal" sample 519 preparation technique is dependent on the type of sample and its matrix. Thus, we recommend that the 520 most effective sample preparation technique is determined on a per-dataset basis. For future studies 521 researchers should identify 1-3 key samples that are representative of the particular sample matrix of a 522 dataset and test several different sample preparation techniques to determine the most optimal sample 523 preparation technique. Performing such methodical comparisons will enhance (-)ESI-FT-ICR-MS data 524 quality allowing us to better understand the molecular-level role of HS in the global biogeochemical cycles.

This study fills a key research gap in our knowledge on the (-)ESI-FT-ICR-MS analytical window as well as on data comparability. Ideally, all samples should be prepared using the same technique, but this is incredibly challenging for HS as the HA and FA fractions are incredibly different in their composition. We showed the utility of $CE_{EXTRACTION}$ for HA analysis and our comparisons on ESFA revealed that $CE_{EXTRACTION}$ is useful for FA analysis too. Thus, depending on the sample matrix, it may be possible that both HA and FA fractions of certain datasets are prepared using the same techniques. The large differences in trends 531 of aquatic versus soil HS indicate that comparing terrestrial and aquatic data is extremely challenging and 532 more universal sample preparation techniques should be developed for such comparisons.

533 Any future studies combining datases should carefully assess what sample preparation techniques 534 were used and consider possible ways to trim formulas that are not reproducible among methods. For 535 example, one possible way for this would be to align all formulas throughout the dataset and trim formulas found in only one sample while keeping formulas found in at least two (or more) samples. Another 536 537 possible option would be to optimize for higher signal-to-noise peak picking thresholds removing irreproducible peaks of poor signal-to-noise from the spectra⁹⁹. Such refinements are incredibly important 538 for combining datasets and assessing them using multivariate statistical methods¹⁰³. The usage of multiple 539 sample preparation techniques, on the other hand, can be useful in expanding the ESI-FT-ICR-MS 540 analytical window and by combining the data of the multiple preparations one can obtain a more 541 comprehensive catalog of molecular formulas for a particular sample. Though only (-)ESI was employed 542 543 here, it is well known that other ionization techniques can provide additional aspects to the molecular 544 composition of environmental matrices. Thus, future studies should consider other ionization methods, 545 such as positive-mode ESI or atmospheric pressure photoionization (APPI) techniques, and perform 546 similar sample preparation technique comparisons to assess data comparability. This would advance the 547 knowledge of the complex composition and heterogeneity of HS and more broadly enhance our 548 understanding of the global biogeochemical cycles.

549 550 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

551

559

552 Graphical description of sampling sites; Sample preparation flowchart for the CE_{EXTRACTION} approach; 553 Description of ESI-FT-ICR-MS tuning and quality control; Mass spectra of procedural blanks; Assigned 554 molecular formulas and van Krevelen diagrams; Discussion of mass spectral multimodality; Discussion of 555 potential nitrogen-incorporation. 556

557 Mass spectral data (formula assignments) has been published in the *Mendeley Data* repository⁷⁹ (doi: 10.17632/bwrgwx8b4s.1).

560 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for the financial support provided by the São Paulo State Research Foundation
(FAPESP, projects numbers: 2011/03250-2, 2012/51469-6, 2013/07276-1, 2013/13013-3, 2017/22950-1,
2017/20084-5, and 2019/02939-9) and the Frank Batten Endowment fund to Dr. Patrick G. Hatcher by Old
Dominion University (Norfolk, VA). We also thank Dr. Célia R. Montes (Centro de Energia Nuclear na
Agricultura, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil) for providing the Amazon soil samples.

568 **REFERENCES** 569

(1) Cotrufo, M. F.; Soong, J. L.; Horton, A. J.; Campbell, E. E.; Haddix, Michelle L.; Wall, D.
H.; Parton, W. J. Formation of soil organic matter via biochemical and physical pathways of
litter mass loss. *Nature Geoscience* 2015, 8 (10), 776-779. DOI: 10.1038/ngeo2520.

573 (2) Liang, C.; Schimel, J. P.; Jastrow, J. D. The importance of anabolism in microbial control carbon Nature Microbiology 2017. 574 over soil storage. 2 (8). 1-6. DOI: 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.105. 575

(3) DiDonato, N.; Chen, H.; Waggoner, D.; Hatcher, P. G. Potential origin and formation for
molecular components of humic acids in soils. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 2016, *178*,
210-222. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.01.013.

(4) De Nobili, M.; Bravo, C.; Chen, Y. The spontaneous secondary synthesis of soil organic
matter components: A critical examination of the soil continuum model theory. *Applied Soil Ecology* 2020, *154*, 1-13. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103655</u>.

582 (5) Hedges, J. I. The formation and clay mineral reactions of melanoidins. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **1978**, *42* (1), 69-76. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(78)90218-</u> 584 $\underline{1}$. 585 (6) Stevenson, F. J. *Humus chemistry: Genesis, composition, reactions*; John Wiley & Sons, 586 1994.

(7) Jokic, A.; Wang, M. C.; Liu, C.; Frenkel, A. I.; Huang, P. M. Integration of the polyphenol and Maillard reactions into a unified abiotic pathway for humification in nature: the role of δ-MnO₂. *Organic Geochemistry* **2004**, 35 (6), 747-762. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2004.01.021</u>.

(8) Schaeffer, A.; Nannipieri, P.; Kästner, M.; Schmidt, B.; Botterweck, J. From humic substances to soil organic matter–microbial contributions. In honour of Konrad Haider and James P. Martin for their outstanding research contribution to soil science. *Journal of Soils and Sediments* **2015**, *15* (9), 1865-1881. DOI: 10.1007/s11368-015-1177-4.

(9) Hayes, M. H. B.; Swift, R. S. An appreciation of the contribution of Frank Stevenson to the
advancement of studies of soil organic matter and humic substances. *Journal of Soils and Sediments* 2018, *18* (4), 1212-1231. DOI: 10.1007/s11368-016-1636-6.

(10) García, A. C.; van Tol de Castro, T. A.; Santos, L. A.; Tavares, O. C. H.; Castro, R. N.;
Berbara, R. L. L.; García-Mina, J. M. Structure-property-function relationship of humic
substances in modulating the root growth of plants: A review. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2019, 48 (6), 1622-1632. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.01.0027.

602 (11) Olk, D. C.; Bloom, P. R.; Perdue, E. M.; McKnight, D. M.; Chen, Y.; Farenhorst, A.; 603 Senesi, N.; Chin, Y.-P.; Schmitt-Kopplin, P.; Hertkorn, N.; et al. Environmental and agricultural relevance of humic fractions extracted by alkali from soils and natural waters. 604 605 Journal of Environmental Quality 2019. 48 (2), 217-232. DOI: 606 https://doi.org/10.2134/jeg2019.02.0041.

(12) Islam, M. A.; Morton, D. W.; Johnson, B. B.; Angove, M. J. Adsorption of humic and
fulvic acids onto a range of adsorbents in aqueous systems, and their effect on the
adsorption of other species: A review. *Separation and Purification Technology* 2020, 247, 119. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2020.116949.

(13) Lipczynska-Kochany, E. Humic substances, their microbial interactions and effects on
biological transformations of organic pollutants in water and soil: A review. *Chemosphere* **2018**, *202*, 420-437. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.03.104.

(14) Valenzuela, E. I.; Cervantes, F. J. The role of humic substances in mitigating
greenhouse gases emissions: Current knowledge and research gaps. *Science of The Total Environment* 2021, 750, 1-14. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141677</u>.

(15) Bayer, C.; Martin-Neto, L.; Mielniczuk, J.; Pavinato, A.; Dieckow, J. Carbon
sequestration in two Brazilian Cerrado soils under no-till. *Soil and Tillage Research* 2006, *86*(2), 237-245. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2005.02.023</u>.

(16) Sá, J. C. d. M.; Lal, R.; Cerri, C. C.; Lorenz, K.; Hungria, M.; de Faccio Carvalho, P. C.
Low-carbon agriculture in South America to mitigate global climate change and advance food
security. *Environ Int* **2017**, *98*, 102-112. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.10.020</u>.

(17) Hatcher, P. G.; Waggoner, D. C.; Chen, H. Evidence for the existence of humic acids in
peat soils based on solid-state ¹³C NMR. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **2019**, *48* (6),
1571-1577. DOI: 10.2134/jeq2019.02.0083.

626 (18) Gerke, J. Concepts and misconceptions of humic substances as the stable part of soil 627 organic matter: A review. *Agronomy* **2018**, *8* (76), 1-16. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy8050076.

- (19) Kleber, M.; Lehmann, J. Humic substances extracted by alkali are invalid proxies for the
 dynamics and functions of organic matter in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2019, *48* (2), 207-216, <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.01.0036</u>. DOI:
 <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.01.0036</u>.
- (20) Lehmann, J.; Kleber, M. The contentious nature of soil organic matter. *Nature* 2015, 528
 (7580), 60-68. DOI: 10.1038/nature16069.
- (21) Myneni, S. C. B. Chemistry of natural organic matter the next step: Commentary on a
 humic substances debate. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2019, 48 (2), 233-235,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.02.0002c</u>. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.02.0002c</u>.
- (22) Janzen, H. The future of humic substances research: Preface to a debate. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2019, 48 (2), 205-206, <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.01.0001c</u>. DOI:
 <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.01.0001c</u>.
- 640 (23) Kelleher, B. P.; Simpson, A. J. Humic substances in soils: Are they really chemically 641 distinct? *Environmental Science & Technology* **2006**, *40* (15), 4605-4611. DOI: 642 10.1021/es0608085.
- (24) Schmidt, M. W. I.; Torn, M. S.; Abiven, S.; Dittmar, T.; Guggenberger, G.; Janssens, I.
 A.; Kleber, M.; Kögel-Knabner, I.; Lehmann, J.; Manning, D. A. C.; et al. Persistence of soil
 organic matter as an ecosystem property. *Nature* **2011**, *478* (7367), 49-56. DOI:
 10.1038/nature10386.
- 647 (25) Ohno, T.; Hess, N. J.; Qafoku, N. P. Current understanding of the use of alkaline 648 extractions of soils to investigate soil organic matter and environmental processes. *Journal of* 649 *Environmental Quality* **2019**, *48* (6), 1561-1564. DOI: 10.2134/jeq2019.08.0292.
- (26) Olk, D. C.; Bloom, P. R.; De Nobili, M.; Chen, Y.; McKnight, D. M.; Wells, M. J. M.;
 Weber, J. Using humic fractions to understand natural organic matter processes in soil and
 water: Selected studies and applications. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **2019**, *48* (6),
 1633-1643. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.03.0100</u>.
- (27) Hayes, M. H. B.; Swift, R. S. Chapter One Vindication of humic substances as a key
 component of organic matter in soil and water. In *Advances in Agronomy*, Sparks, D. L. Ed.;
 Vol. 163; Academic Press, 2020; pp 1-37.
- (28) Marshall, A. G.; Hendrickson, C. L.; Jackson, G. S. Fourier transform ion cyclotron
 resonance mass spectrometry: A primer. *Mass Spectrom Rev* **1998**, *17* (1), 1-35. DOI: Doi
 10.1002/(Sici)1098-2787(1998)17:1<1::Aid-Mas1>3.0.Co;2-K.
- (29) Kujawinski, E. B. Electrospray ionization Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance
 mass spectrometry (ESI FT-ICR MS): Characterization of complex environmental mixtures.
 Environmental Forensics 2002, 3 (3), 207-216.
- (30) Sleighter, R. L.; Hatcher, P. G. The application of electrospray ionization coupled to
 ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry for the molecular characterization of natural organic
 matter. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry* 2007, *42* (5), 559-574. DOI: doi:10.1002/jms.1221.
- (31) Sleighter, R. L.; Hatcher, P. G. Fourier transform mass spectrometry for the molecular
 level characterization of natural organic matter: Instrument capabilities, applications, and
 limitations In *Fourier Transforms Approach to Scientific Principles*, Nikolic, G. Ed.; InTech,
 2011; pp 295-320.

(32) Kujawinski, E. B.; Freitas, M. A.; Zang, X.; Hatcher, P. G.; Green-Church, K. B.; Jones,
R. B. The application of electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI MS) to the structural
characterization of natural organic matter. *Organic Geochemistry* **2002**, *33* (3), 171-180.

(33) Stenson, A. C.; Marshall, A. G.; Cooper, W. T. Exact masses and chemical formulas of
individual Suwannee River fulvic acids from ultrahigh resolution electrospray ionization
Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectra. *Analytical Chemistry* 2003, 75 (6),
1275-1284. DOI: 10.1021/ac026106p.

(34) Witt, M.; Fuchser, J.; Koch, B. P. Fragmentation studies of fulvic acids using collision
induced dissociation Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 2009, *81* (7), 2688-2694.

(35) Ikeya, K.; Sleighter, R. L.; Hatcher, P.; Watanabe, A. Compositional features of
Japanese Humic Substances Society standard soil humic and fulvic acids by Fourier
transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry and X-ray diffraction profile analysis. *Humic Subst. Res.* 2012, 9, 25-33.

(36) Ikeya, K.; Sleighter, R. L.; Hatcher, P. G.; Watanabe, A. Fourier transform ion cyclotron
resonance mass spectrometric analysis of the green fraction of soil humic acids. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* **2013**, *27* (22), 2559-2568. DOI: 10.1002/rcm.6718.

687 (37) Ikeya, K.; Sleighter, R. L.; Hatcher, P. G.; Watanabe, A. Characterization of the chemical composition of soil humic acids using Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass 688 689 spectrometry. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 2015. 153. 169-182. DOI: 690 10.1016/j.gca.2015.01.002.

(38) Ikeya, K.; Sleighter, R. L.; Hatcher, P. G.; Watanabe, A. Chemical compositional
analysis of soil fulvic acids using Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass
spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* **2020**, *34* (15), 1-11. DOI:
10.1002/rcm.8801.

(39) Qin, S.; Xu, C.; Xu, Y.; Bai, Y.; Guo, F. Molecular signatures of humic acids from
different sources as revealed by ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry. *J. Chem.* 2020,
2020, 1-11.

(40) Qin, S.; Xu, C.; Guo, F.; Qi, J.; Xu, L.; Xu, Y.; Song, F.; Bai, Y. Molecular signatures of
three fulvic acid standard samples as revealed by electrospray ionization Fourier transform
ion yclotron resonance mass spectrometry. *ChemistrySelect* **2019**, *4* (47), 13940-13946.
DOI: 10.1002/slct.201903293.

(41) Reemtsma, T.; These, A.; Springer, A.; Linscheid, M. Fulvic acids as transition state of
organic matter: Indications from high resolution mass spectrometry. *Environmental Science*& Technology 2006, 40 (19), 5839-5845. DOI: 10.1021/es060318c.

(42) Kramer, R. W.; Kujawinski, E. B.; Hatcher, P. G. Identification of black carbon derived
structures in a volcanic ash soil humic acid by Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance
mass spectrometry. *Environmental Science & Technology* **2004**, *38* (12), 3387-3395.

(43) Hawkes, J. A.; D'Andrilli, J.; Agar, J. N.; Barrow, M. P.; Berg, S. M.; Catalán, N.; Chen,
H.; Chu, R. K.; Cole, R. B.; Dittmar, T.; et al. An international laboratory comparison of
dissolved organic matter composition by high resolution mass spectrometry: Are we getting
the same answer? *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* **2020**, *18* (6), 235-258. DOI:
10.1002/lom3.10364.

(44) Zherebker, A.; Kim, S.; Schmitt-Kopplin, P.; Spencer, R. G. M.; Lechtenfeld, O.;
Podgorski, D. C.; Hertkorn, N.; Harir, M.; Nurfajin, N.; Koch, B.; et al. Interlaboratory
comparison of humic substances compositional space as measured by Fourier transform ion
cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry (IUPAC Technical Report). *Pure and Applied Chemistry* 2020, *92* (9), 1447-1467. DOI: doi:10.1515/pac-2019-0809.

(45) Swift, R. S. Organic matter characterization. In *Methods of Soil Analysis*, 1996; pp 1011-1069.

(46) Wershaw, R. L. Molecular aggregation of humic substances. *Soil Science* **1999**, *164*(11), 803-813.

(47) Zanin, L.; Tomasi, N.; Cesco, S.; Varanini, Z.; Pinton, R. Humic Substances Contribute
to Plant Iron Nutrition Acting as Chelators and Biostimulants. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **2019**, *10* (675), 1-10, Mini Review. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00675.

725 (48) Hakim, A.; Suzuki, T.; Kobayashi, M. Strength of humic acid aggregates: Effects of 726 divalent cations and solution pH. *ACS Omega* **2019**, *4* (5), 8559-8567. DOI: 727 10.1021/acsomega.9b00124.

(49) Ohno, T.; Ohno, P. E. Influence of heteroatom pre-selection on the molecular formula
assignment of soil organic matter components determined by ultrahigh resolution mass
spectrometry. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* **2013**, *405* (10), 3299-3306. DOI:
10.1007/s00216-013-6734-3.

(50) Ohno, T.; He, Z.; Sleighter, R. L.; Honeycutt, C. W.; Hatcher, P. G. Ultrahigh resolution
mass spectrometry and indicator species analysis to identify marker components of soil- and
plant biomass-derived organic matter fractions. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2010,
44 (22), 8594-8600. DOI: 10.1021/es101089t.

(51) Stenson, A. C.; Landing, W. M.; Marshall, A. G.; Cooper, W. T. Ionization and
fragmentation of humic substances in electrospray ionization Fourier transform-ion cyclotron
resonance mass spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 2002, 74 (17), 4397-4409. DOI:
10.1021/ac020019f.

740 (52) Jiménez-Morillo, N. T.; González-Pérez, J. A.; Almendros, G.; De la Rosa, J. M.; 741 Waggoner, D. C.; Jordán, A.; Zavala, L. M.; González-Vila, F. J.; Hatcher, P. G. Ultra-high resolution mass spectrometry of physical speciation patterns of organic matter in fire-affected 742 743 soils. Environmental Management 2018. 139-147. DOI: Journal of 225, 744 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.07.069.

(53) Kujawinski, E. B.; Hatcher, P. G.; Freitas, M. A. High-resolution Fourier transform ion
cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry of humic and fulvic acids: Improvements and
comparisons. *Analytical Chemistry* **2002**, *74* (2), 413-419. DOI: 10.1021/ac0108313.

(54) Jiménez-González, M. A.; Almendros, G.; Waggoner, D. C.; Álvarez, A. M.; Hatcher, P.
G. Assessment of the molecular composition of humic acid as an indicator of soil carbon
levels by ultra-high-resolution mass spectrometric analysis. *Organic Geochemistry* 2020,
143, 1-10. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2020.104012</u>.

(55) Panettieri, M.; Jiménez-González, M. A.; De Sosa, L. L.; Almendros, G.; Madejón, E.
Chemical diversity and molecular signature of soil humic fractions used as proxies of soil
quality under contrasted tillage management. *Spanish Journal of Soil Science* 2021, *11* (1),
39-54. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.3232/SJSS.2021.V11.N1.04</u>.

(56) Wozniak, A. S.; Goranov, A. I.; Mitra, S.; Bostick, K. W.; Zimmerman, A. R.; Schlesinger,
D. R.; Myneni, S.; Hatcher, P. G. Molecular heterogeneity in pyrogenic dissolved organic
matter from a thermal series of oak and grass chars. *Organic Geochemistry* 2020, *148* (1), 118. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2020.104065.

(57) Goranov, A. I.; Wozniak, A. S.; Bostick, K. W.; Zimmerman, A. R.; Mitra, S.; Hatcher, P.
G. Photochemistry after fire: Structural transformations of pyrogenic dissolved organic matter
elucidated by advanced analytical techniques. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 2020, 290,
271-292. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.08.030.

(58) Leverton, T. Method considerations for compound identification in complex mixtures
 using electrospray ionization ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry. Master's Thesis,
 Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan, 2019.

(59) Brown, T. L.; Rice, J. A. Effect of Experimental Parameters on the ESI FT-ICR Mass
Spectrum of Fulvic Acid. *Analytical Chemistry* **2000**, *72* (2), 384-390. DOI:
10.1021/ac9902087.

(60) Dittmar, T.; Koch, B.; Hertkorn, N.; Kattner, G. A simple and efficient method for the
solid-phase extraction of dissolved organic matter (SPE-DOM) from seawater. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* 2008, *6*, 230-235. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2008.6.230.

(61) Han, R.; Lv, J.; Luo, L.; Wen, B.; Zhang, S. Molecular-scale investigation of soil fulvic
acid and water-extractable organic matter by high-resolution mass spectrometry and ¹H NMR
spectroscopy. *Environmental Chemistry* **2019**, *16* (2), 92-100. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1071/EN18124.

(62) Bahureksa, W.; Tfaily, M. M.; Boiteau, R. M.; Young, R. B.; Logan, M. N.; McKenna, A.
M.; Borch, T. Soil Organic Matter Characterization by Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron
Resonance Mass Spectrometry (FTICR MS): A Critical Review of Sample Preparation,
Analysis, and Data Interpretation. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2021, 55 (14), 96379656. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.1c01135.

(63) Liigand, J.; Kruve, A.; Leito, I.; Girod, M.; Antoine, R. Effect of mobile phase on
electrospray ionization efficiency. *Journal of The American Society for Mass Spectrometry* **2014**, *25* (11), 1853-1861. DOI: 10.1007/s13361-014-0969-x.

(64) Kostiainen, R.; Kauppila, T. J. Effect of eluent on the ionization process in liquid
chromatography - mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2009, *1216* (4), 685699. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2008.08.095.

(65) Soule, M. C. K.; Longnecker, K.; Giovannoni, S. J.; Kujawinski, E. B. Impact of
instrument and experiment parameters on reproducibility of ultrahigh resolution ESI FT-ICR
mass spectra of natural organic matter. *Organic Geochemistry* **2010**, *41* (8), 725-733. DOI:
10.1016/j.orggeochem.2010.05.017.

(66) Van Berkel, G. J.; McLuckey, S. A.; Glish, G. L. Electrochemical origin of radical cations
observed in electrospray ionization mass spectra. *Analytical Chemistry* **1992**, *64* (14), 15861593. DOI: 10.1021/ac00038a015.

(67) Miyabayashi, K.; Naito, Y.; Tsujimoto, K.; Miyake, M. Structure characterization of
 polyaromatic hydrocarbons in Arabian mix vacuum residue by electrospray ionization Fourier
 transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry* 2004, 235 (1), 49-57. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2004.04.001.

(68) Van Berkel, G. J.; Asano, K. G. Chemical derivatization for electrospray ionization mass
spectrometry. 2. Aromatic and highly conjugated molecules. *Analytical Chemistry* **1994**, 66
(13), 2096-2102. DOI: 10.1021/ac00085a027.

(69) Molnárné Guricza, L.; Schrader, W. Electrospray ionization for determination of non-802 803 polar polyaromatic hydrocarbons and polyaromatic heterocycles in heavy crude oil 804 asphaltenes. Journal of Mass Spectrometry 2015. 50 (3). 549-557. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.3561. 805

(70) Gaspar, A.; Kunenkov, E. V.; Lock, R.; Desor, M.; Perminova, I.; Schmitt-Kopplin, P.
Combined utilization of ion mobility and ultra-high-resolution mass spectrometry to identify
multiply charged constituents in natural organic matter. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 2009, *23* (5), 683-688. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.3924.

(71) Kruve, A.; Kaupmees, K.; Liigand, J.; Leito, I. Negative electrospray ionization via
deprotonation: Predicting the ionization efficiency. *Analytical Chemistry* **2014**, *86* (10), 48224830. DOI: 10.1021/ac404066v.

(72) McKee, G. A.; Hatcher, P. G. A new approach for molecular characterisation of
sediments with Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry: Extraction
optimisation. Organic Geochemistry 2015, 85, 22-31. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2015.04.007.

(73) Willoughby, A. S.; Wozniak, A. S.; Hatcher, P. G. A molecular-level approach for
characterizing water-insoluble components of ambient organic aerosol particulates using
ultrahigh-resolution mass spectrometry. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 2014, *14* (18),
10299-10314. DOI: 10.5194/acp-14-10299-2014.

(74) Tfaily, M. M.; Chu, R. K.; Tolić, N.; Roscioli, K. M.; Anderton, C. R.; Paša-Tolić, L.;
Robinson, E. W.; Hess, N. J. Advanced solvent based methods for molecular
characterization of soil organic matter by high-resolution mass spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 2015, 87 (10), 5206-5215. DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00116.

(75) Li, Y.; Harir, M.; Lucio, M.; Kanawati, B.; Smirnov, K.; Flerus, R.; Koch, B. P.; SchmittKopplin, P.; Hertkorn, N. Proposed guidelines for solid phase extraction of Suwannee River
dissolved organic matter. *Analytical Chemistry* **2016**, *88* (13), 6680-6688. DOI:
10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04501.

(76) Sleighter, R. L.; McKee, G. A.; Liu, Z.; Hatcher, P. G. Naturally present fatty acids as
internal calibrants for Fourier transform mass spectra of dissolved organic matter. *Limnology*and Oceanography: Methods **2008**, 6, 246-253. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2008.6.246</u>.

(77) Tadini, A. M.; Nicolodelli, G.; Senesi, G. S.; Ishida, D. A.; Montes, C. R.; Lucas, Y.;
Mounier, S.; Guimarães, F. E. G.; Milori, D. M. B. P. Soil organic matter in podzol horizons of
the Amazon region: Humification, recalcitrance, and dating. *Science of The Total Environment* 2018, 613-614, 160-167. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.068.

(78) Tadini, A. M.; Bernardi, A. C. C.; Milori, D. M. B. P.; Oliveira, P. P. A.; Pezzopane, J. R.
M.; Martin-Neto, L. Spectroscopic characteristics of humic acids extracted from soils under
different integrated agricultural production systems in tropical regions. *Geoderma Regional*2022, 28, e00476. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2021.e00476</u>.

(79) Goranov, A.; Tadini, A. M.; Martin-Neto, L.; Bernardi, A. C. C.; Oliveira, P. P. A.;
Pezzopane, J. R. M.; Milori, D. M. B. P.; Mounier, S.; Hatcher, P. G. DATASET: Comparison

- of sample preparation techniques for the ESI-FT-ICR-MS analysis of humic and fulvic acids.
 Mendeley Data, 2022.
- (80) Tadini, A. M.; Goranov, A.; Martin-Neto, L.; Bernardi, A. C. C.; Oliveira, P. P. A.;
 Pezzopane, J. R. M.; Hatcher, P. G. DATASET: Characterization of humic acid fractions from
 crop-livestock-forest systems using ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry. Mendeley Data,
 2022.
- (81) Tadini, A. M.; Goranov, A.; Mounier, S.; Milori, D. M. B. P.; Martin-Neto, L.; Hatcher, P.
 G. DATASET: Characterization of humic and fulvic acid fractions from Amazonian Spodosol
 soils using ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry. Mendeley Data, 2022.
- (82) Merder, J.; Freund, J. A.; Feudel, U.; Niggemann, J.; Singer, G.; Dittmar, T. Improved
 mass accuracy and isotope confirmation through alignment of ultrahigh-resolution mass
 spectra of complex natural mixtures. *Analytical Chemistry* **2020**, *92* (3), 2558-2565. DOI:
 10.1021/acs.analchem.9b04234.
- (83) Mantini, D.; Petrucci, F.; Pieragostino, D.; Del Boccio, P.; Di Nicola, M.; Di Ilio, C.;
 Federici, G.; Sacchetta, P.; Comani, S.; Urbani, A. LIMPIC: a computational method for the
 separation of protein MALDI-TOF-MS signals from noise. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2007, *8* (1),
 101. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2105-8-101.
- (84) Obeid, W. A. Investigation of the ootential for algaenan to produce hydrocarbon based
 fuels from algae by hydrous pyrolysis. Doctoral Dissertation, Old Dominion University, 2015.
 https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1040&context=chemistry_etds.
- (85) Kujawinski, E. B.; Behn, M. D. Automated analysis of electrospray ionization Fourier
 transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectra of natural organic matter. *Analytical Chemistry* 2006, 78 (13), 4363-4373. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ac0600306.
- (86) Koch, B. P.; Dittmar, T.; Witt, M.; Kattner, G. Fundamentals of molecular formula
 assignment to ultrahigh resolution mass data of natural organic matter. *Analytical Chemistry*2007, 79 (4), 1758-1763. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac061949s</u>.
- (87) Stubbins, A.; Spencer, R. G. M.; Chen, H.; Hatcher, P. G.; Mopper, K.; Hernes, P. J.;
 Mwamba, V. L.; Mangangu, A. M.; Wabakanghanzi, J. N.; Six, J. Illuminated darkness:
 Molecular signatures of Congo River dissolved organic matter and its photochemical
 alteration as revealed by ultrahigh precision mass spectrometry. *Limnology and Oceanography* **2010**, *55* (4), 1467-1477. DOI: 10.4319/lo.2010.55.4.1467.
- (88) Koch, B. P.; Dittmar, T. From mass to structure: An aromaticity index for high-resolution
 mass data of natural organic matter. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 2006, 20
 (5), 926-932. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.2386</u>.
- (89) Koch, B. P.; Dittmar, T. From mass to structure: An aromaticity index for high-resolution
 mass data of natural organic matter (Erratum). *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*2016, *30* (1), 1. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.7433</u>.
- (90) Kim, S.; Kramer, R. W.; Hatcher, P. G. Graphical method for analysis of ultrahighresolution broadband mass spectra of natural organic matter, the van Krevelen diagram. *Analytical Chemistry* 2003, 75 (20), 5336-5344. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ac034415p.
- (91) Kim, S.; Simpson, A. J.; Kujawinski, E. B.; Freitas, M. A.; Hatcher, P. G. High resolution
 electrospray ionization mass spectrometry and 2D solution NMR for the analysis of DOM

extracted by C₁₈ solid phase disk. *Organic Geochemistry* **2003**, *34* (9), 1325-1335. DOI: 10.1016/s0146-6380(03)00101-3.

(92) Cao, D.; Lv, J.; Geng, F.; Rao, Z.; Niu, H.; Shi, Y.; Cai, Y.; Kang, Y. Ion accumulation
time dependent molecular characterization of natural organic matter using electrospray
ionization - Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 2016, 88 (24), 12210-12218. DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.6b03198.

(93) Kew, W.; Blackburn, J. W. T.; Uhrin, D. Response to Comment on "Laser desorption/ionization coupled to FTICR mass spectrometry for studies of natural organic
matter". *Analytical Chemistry* **2018**, *90* (9), 5968-5971. DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b00332.

(94) Zhao, Q.; Thompson, A. M.; Callister, S. J.; Tfaily, M. M.; Bell, S. L.; Hobbie, S. E.;
Hofmockel, K. S. Dynamics of organic matter molecular composition under aerobic
decomposition and their response to the nitrogen addition in grassland soils. *Science of The Total Environment* 2022, *806*, 150514. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150514.

(95) Lu, M.; Zhou, X.; Luo, Y.; Yang, Y.; Fang, C.; Chen, J.; Li, B. Minor stimulation of soil
carbon storage by nitrogen addition: A meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 2011, 140 (1), 234-244. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2010.12.010.

901 (96) Huang, X.; Terrer, C.; Dijkstra, F. A.; Hungate, B. A.; Zhang, W.; van Groenigen, K. J.
902 New soil carbon sequestration with nitrogen enrichment: a meta-analysis. *Plant and Soil*903 **2020**, 454 (1), 299-310. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-020-04617-x.

904 (97) Van Krevelen, D. W. Graphical-statistical method for the study of structure and reaction 905 processes of coal. *Fuel Processing Technology* **1950**, *29*, 269-228.

906 (98) Mallet, C. R.; Lu, Z.; Mazzeo, J. R. A study of ion suppression effects in electrospray
907 ionization from mobile phase additives and solid-phase extracts. *Rapid Communications in*908 Mass Spectrometry **2004**, *18* (1), 49-58. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1276</u>.

(99) Sleighter, R. L.; Chen, H.; Wozniak, A. S.; Willoughby, A. S.; Caricasole, P.; Hatcher, P.
G. Establishing a measure of reproducibility of ultrahigh-resolution mass spectra for complex
mixtures of natural organic matter. *Analytical Chemistry* 2012, *84* (21), 9184-9191. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1021/ac3018026.

(100) Tadini, A. M.; Nicolodelli, G.; Marangoni, B. S.; Mounier, S.; Montes, C. R.; Milori, D. M.
B. P. Evaluation of the roles of metals and humic fractions in the podzolization of soils from
the Amazon region using two analytical spectroscopy techniques. *Microchemical Journal* **2019**, *144*, 454-460. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2018.10.009</u>.

(101) Pinho, S. P.; Macedo, E. A. Solubility of NaCl, NaBr, and KCl in water, methanol,
ethanol, and their mixed solvents. *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data* 2005, *50* (1), 2932. DOI: 10.1021/je049922y.

(102) Goranov, A. I.; Wozniak, A. S.; Bostick, K. W.; Zimmerman, A. R.; Mitra, S.; Hatcher, P.
G. Microbial labilization and diversification of pyrogenic dissolved organic matter. *Biogeosciences* 2022, *19* (5), 1491-1514. DOI: 10.5194/bg-19-1491-2022.

(103) Sleighter, R. L.; Liu, Z.; Xue, J.; Hatcher, P. G. Multivariate statistical approaches for
the characterization of dissolved organic matter analyzed by ultrahigh resolution mass
spectrometry. *Environmental Science & Technology* **2010**, *44*, 7576-7582.