

Prediction of size distribution in dairy cream homogenization

Olivier Masbernat, Frédéric Risso, Benjamin Lalanne, Simon Bugeat, Mikaël

Berton

▶ To cite this version:

Olivier Masbernat, Frédéric Risso, Benjamin Lalanne, Simon Bugeat, Mikaël Berton. Prediction of size distribution in dairy cream homogenization. Journal of Food Engineering, 2022, 324, pp.110973. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2022.110973 . hal-03750989

HAL Id: hal-03750989 https://hal.science/hal-03750989v1

Submitted on 13 Aug2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Graphical Abstract

Prediction of size distribution in dairy cream homogenization

Olivier Masbernat, Frédéric Risso, Benjamin Lalanne, Simon Bugeat, Mikaël Berton



Highlights

Prediction of size distribution in dairy cream homogenization

Olivier Masbernat, Frédéric Risso, Benjamin Lalanne, Simon Bugeat, Mikaël Berton

- Homogenized fat globules size distribution in cream can be deduced from the entry size distribution with a single parameter.
- A simple viscous shear dominated breakup model is proposed to interpret this result.
- The breakup model parameter can be explicitely related to the HPH pressure.

Prediction of size distribution in dairy cream homogenization

Olivier Masbernat^{*a,c,**}, Frédéric Risso^{*b,c*}, Benjamin Lalanne^{*a,c*}, Simon Bugeat^{*d*} and Mikaël Berton^{*e*,1}

^aLaboratoire de Génie Chimique, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, BP 84234, 4 allée Emile Monso, 31432 Toulouse, France ^bInstitut de Mécanique des Fluides de Toulouse, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, 2 Allée du Professeur Camille Soula,31400 Toulouse, France ^cFédération de Recherche FERMAT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France ^dSODIAAL International, 1-3 rue Jules Maillard de la Gournerie, ZAC Atalante Champeau, 35000 Rennes, France ^eLemma Engineering, 1110 avenue de l'Occitanie, Technoparc 1, Bât. 4, 31670 Labège, France

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: High-pressure homogenizer cream fat globules Breakup Size distribution

ABSTRACT

The size distribution of fat globules in homogenized concentrated dairy cream has been measured in High-Pressure Homogenizers (*HPH*), working at 80°C and various operating pressures. For each pressure, the outlet size distribution is found to be self-similar to the inlet distribution, and can be accurately predicted dividing each class diameter of the inlet distribution by a single proportionality factor, which can be interpreted using a simplified deformation model of the fat globules as elongated filaments. The evolution of the factor with the operating pressure is consistent with a scaling analysis of the dissipation rate in the *HPH* as well as with the value predicted from numerical simulations of the flow in the *HPH*, supporting the physical interpretation of the fragmentation model.

1 1. Introduction

Homogenization of emulsions consists in reducing the average size of micrometer-size droplets down to the order 2 of a few hundred nanometers and is commonly performed in dairy industry for more than a century (???). The knowl-3 edge of size distribution and composition of the interface of fat globules after homogenization is crucial regarding Δ a number of issues, such as metastability (?), rheology and texture of the resulting suspension (??). High-Pressure 5 Homogenizer (HPH) is an apparatus in which the emulsion is forced to flow at a (nearly) constant flowrate within a 6 thin gap where it is highly accelerated, before merging in an outlet chamber or impact ring where it is highly sheared. 7 The high mean shear rates and induced turbulence produced in the chamber are responsible for the deformation and 8 breakup of the emulsion droplets. Therefore, predicting the size distribution in such a flow geometry *a priori* requires 9 to model the fragmentation statistics in a complex highly heterogeneous turbulent flow with very short residence times 10 (???). In the case of concentrated emulsions with complex interfaces such as a dairy cream, this problem is even 11 more complicated due to additional bulk and interfacial rheology issues (??). In particular, it is likely that classical 12 Hinze-Kolmogorov theory which is often applied to model the breakup process of a drop in turbulent flows (?) is not 13

^{*}Corresponding author

Simon.BUGEAT@Sodiaal.fr (S. Bugeat); m.berton@absolutcooking.com (M. Berton)

Length ttps://www.lemma-ing.com (M. Berton)

ORCID(s):

¹Present address: Absolut Cooking, 25 ter route de Caussidières 31560 Nailloux, France

appropriate in the case of high-viscosity milk fat globules, which can be stretched over length scales that may exceed 14 any of the turbulent length scale present in the flow. For such systems, it seems that only macroscopic correlations 15 relating mean or maximum stable diameter to the dissipation rate or operating pressure in the HPH can be proposed 16 to the food processing engineers (?). Such correlations are of limited range regarding the upscaling issue of homog-17 enization processes. In the present work, the size distributions of the fat globules resulting from the homogenization 18 of dairy cream at various (moderate) pressures have been measured in two HPHs: a pilot one at a reduced scale, and 19 an industrial one at practical operating conditions. The comparison of measured outlet size distributions with those of 20 native fat globules before homogenization revealed a simple breakup mechanism that that can be modeled by consid-21 ering the viscous deformation of a droplet under an unsteady shear flow, in the absence of any shape relaxation. This 22 simple model provides an accurate prediction of the size distribution of fat globules in homogenized dairy cream. 23

24

25 2. Materials and methods

26 2.1. Cream properties

Raw milk is collected from farms in the area of St Etienne (France) and stored at 4°C. The cream is produced at a 27 flowrate of 20×10^3 L/h from a centrifugal plate skimmer (Westfalia Separator Type MSA 160-01-076) operated at 4700 28 rpm. The fat content of the cream, measured by infrared spectroscopy (Delta Instruments, Lactoscope FTIR FTA-3.4), 29 is close to 400 g/L. Then it is adjusted to 35% w/w by addition of skimmed milk to the cream. The cream is then stored 30 at 4°C during 2 to 4 hours. A sample of the cream is collected for granulometric analysis. A sample of butter (82% 31 fat) was melted at 80°C in a falcon tube during 10 minutes and the fat was collected after water demixing. The internal 32 viscosity of the fat globules was measured on milk fat samples extracted at 80°C from melted butter produced in the 33 site of SODIAAL in Clermont-Ferrand during the same period the cream was produced, with no significant difference 34 in fatty acids composition between cream and butter. Rheological properties of the fat extracted from the butter and the 35 cream are therefore similar. The viscosity of fat was determined from stress-shear rate curves measured at controlled 36 temperatures (75, 80 and 85°C) in a cone/plate viscometer (Brookfield DV-I Prime, BE, UK). Measurements were 37 performed with a shear rate ranging between 2 and 40 s^{-1} . A slight shear-shinning behavior is observed in the lower 38 range of shear rate, followed by a Newtonian plateau above $10 \ s^{-1}$. The fat viscosity measured at 80° C is 10.5×10^{-3} . 39 The cream density ρ_e and viscosity μ_e at 80°C can be estimated respectively to 960 kg/m³ and 2.9×10⁻³, from the 40 correlations proposed by ?. 41

42 2.2. Size distribution measurement

Cream samples are prepared as follows: 1 mL of homogenized cream is diluted in 9 mL of an aqueous solution 43 containing 1% w/w of Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS) and 35 mM of Ethylene-diamine-tetra-acetic acid (EDTA), 44 adjusted at pH=7 at ambient temperature. SDS prevents the flocculation of fat globules and EDTA dissociates casein 45 micelles and prevents the appearance of a parasite peak around 100 nm in the size distribution (?). Few drops of this 46 solution are introduced in the measurement cell of a Static Light Scattering granulometer (Mastersizer 2000, Malvern 47 Instruments, UK). The light sources are a He/Ne laser at 633 nm and a electroluminescent diode at 466 nm. Refractive 48 indices selected for the measurements are 1.33 for the dispersing medium (water), and 1.452 and 1.460 for the milk 49 fat, at respectively 633 and 466 nm, as prescribed by ?. Measurements were performed with an obscuration rate of 2% 50 after the measurement of the noise level in water. The size distributions in volume were averaged over 3 consecutive 51 measurements. 52

53 2.3. HPH equipment

Two High Pressure Homogenizers (HPHs) of different brands were used in this study, one at pilot scale and one 54 at industrial scale. The pilot HPH is a GEA Niro Soavi NS3015H, and the industrial HPH is a TETRAPAK Alex 55 Homogenizer 400. The flowrate Q was 200 L/h in the pilot and 8800 L/h in industrial scale HPHs, which corresponds 56 to the same residence time T_r (ratio between the HPH volume and the flowrate) of the emulsion in both HPHs ($T_r=0.09$ 57 s). The typical internal geometry of a HPH is schematized in Fig. 1. It's an axially symmetric valve composed of an 58 inlet section of radius R_0 (of a few millimeters) through which the dairy emulsion is injected by a volumetric pump at a 59 flowrate $Q = \pi R_0^2 U_0$ and is then forced to flow through a narrow gap of thickness δ , which is two orders of magnitude 60 smaller than R_0 . The emulsion is highly accelerated in the gap up to a velocity U_{δ} forming a jet that rams the wall of the 61 impact ring, before flowing out of the device. More on the internal geometry of the two HPHs is given in appendix B. 62 With this geometry, mass conservation imposes that $U_{\delta} = U_0 R_0 / (2\delta)$, so $U_{\delta} >> U_0$. A simplified Bernouilli equation 63 therefore gives an estimate of the pressure drop ΔP in a HPH as $\Delta P \sim \rho_e U_{\delta}^2$ (with ρ_e the emulsion density). For a typical pressure of 100 bars in a HPH, the emulsion reaches a velocity U_{δ} of the order of 100 m/s. The break-up of the 65 emulsion drops occurs in the impact ring chamber (of width $L_R \sim O(10^2 \times \delta)$) where the shear rate produced is intense 66 $(U_{\delta}/L_R \sim 10^5 \, s^{-1})$. In this study, the operating pressure ΔP used for the concentrated dairy cream homogenization 67 ranges between 15 and 60 bars in the pilot HPH and between 8 and 23 bars in the industrial HPH. The choice of a 68 low range of operating pressure (compared to the usual range of pressure of several hundred bars in the case of milk 69 homogenization) is motivated by the need of producing a metastable homogenized cream (i.e. that will not recoalesce 70 after homogenization). The reservoir of amphiphilic components (such as caseins) being smaller in concentrated cream 71 than in milk, the increase of interfacial area in cream is limited as compared to milk, hence explaining the use of a 72

⁷³ lower range of pressure for concentrated cream homogenization. The cream is heated at 80°C prior to being processed.
⁷⁴ At the homogenizer outlet, the cream is cooled down to 20°C in a tubular heat exchanger and a sample is collected
⁷⁵ and stored at 4°C for granulometric analysis. Size distributions of cream fat globules obtained in these conditions are
⁷⁶ presented and discussed in the next section.

77 3. Results

78 3.1. Pilot HPH

Size distributions of fat globules at the outlet of the pilot HPH are displayed in Fig. 2 at different pressures. 79 Fig. 2(a) shows the volume probability density function (pdf), noted P(d) and 2(b) the corresponding cumulative 80 probability distribution (CPD), noted $C_P(d)$. It can be observed that the pdfs are monomodal and are shifting towards 81 smaller diameters as the operating pressure is increased, as a result of the breakup of the fat globules in the HPH. 82 While the inlet diameter ranges between 0.8 and 8 μ m, the outlet diameter at $\Delta P=60$ bars ranges between 0.2 and 3.6 83 μ m. Interestingly, when comparing the inlet to the outlet size distribution at a given pressure, they look self-similar, 84 i.e. the outlet distribution can be deduced by dividing the diameters of the inlet distribution by a unique factor K, 85 keeping constant the volume fraction. This self-similarity can be checked on the CPDs: for any given diameter of the 86 inlet CPD (blue curve in Fig. 2(b)), the corresponding diameter of the outlet distribution at same value of the CPD, is 87 obtained by drawing a horizontal line on the graph. One then can observe that the ratio between the inlet and outlet 88 diameters is approximately constant for all diameters. This observation is verified at all pressures investigated. 89

This means that the outlet CPD matches the inlet one by multiplying the abscissa by a factor K. This matching ۵n is illustrated in Fig. 3(a) where all curves are collapsing with the inlet distribution curve with a pretty good level of 91 approximation, with a factor K which is an increasing function of the operating pressure. Another way to illustrate the 92 self-similarity property is to plot outlet-to-inlet pdf ratio, noted $K_x (=P_o(d_{ox})/P_i(d_{ix}))$ as a function of the inlet-to-93 outlet diameter ratio (d_{ix}/d_{ox}) for few characteristic diameters along the size distribution. This graph is represented 94 in Fig. 3(b) for d_{20} ($C_P(d_{20}) = 20\%$), d_{Max} (diameter class of maximum volume fraction) and d_{90} ($C_P(d_{90}) = 90\%$), 95 indicated in Fig. 2(b). For all pressures investigated, the evolution is very close to the first bisector (y=x), validating 96 the self-similarity property of all outlet size distributions. As a result, the outlet $pdf P_o(d)$, can be deduced from the 97

⁹⁸ inlet *pdf* $P_i(d)$, applying mass conservation:

1

$$P_{o}(d_{o})\delta d_{o} = P_{i}(d)\delta d$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{o}(d_{o}) = KP_{i}(d) = KP_{i}(Kd_{o})$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{o}(d) = KP_{i}(Kd)$$
(1)

⁹⁹ in which the factor *K* is a growing function of the operating pressure ΔP . Equation (1) turns to consider that in average, ¹⁰⁰ each diameter class *d* of the inlet distribution will break into single size fragments of size d/K, as schematized in Fig.4. ¹⁰¹ Under the action of a local shear $\dot{\gamma}$, a globule of diameter *d* is stretched into a filament of length *L* that eventually breaks ¹⁰² into equal size fragments of diameter $d_a = d/K$ (their number being imposed by the volume conservation).

The *pdf* predicted by equation (1) has been plotted in Fig. 5 and compared to the experimental curves for each operating pressure investigated in the pilot *HPH*. For each curve, *K* has been taken equal to the ratio d_{iMax}/d_{oMax} from the corresponding experimental size distributions (cf Fig. 2(b)). The agreement between this model and the experimental data is remarkably good. This breakup model therefore describes the size distribution of 35% cream in the pilot *HPH* within a significant range of operating pressure, by making use of a single parameter *K*.

3.2. Industrial scale *HPH*

The *pdf* and *CPD* of cream fat globules diameters measured in the industrial *HPH* are reported in Fig. 6(a) and 6(b) respectively. The *pdfs* are bimodal in this case, with the presence of a secondary distribution of finer fat globules separated from a primary one, which tends to get closer and slightly overlap with the secondary distribution, as the pressure in the *HPH* is increased. As shown by Fig. 6(b), the cumulated volume fraction of this secondary distribution is less than 10%.

Due to the presence of a secondary distribution, even if of low volume fraction, the self-similarity property of the 114 outlet distribution with the inlet one is no longer conserved. However, this property can be evaluated on the primary 115 distributions obtained at different pressures, trying to find for each of them, a multiplication factor of the abscissa that 116 would make them collapse on a single curve, as for the size distributions obtained at the pilot scale HPH. The result 117 is shown in Fig. 7(a) where the collapse of the CPDs is quite convincing. They all merge with the inlet distribution 118 for $d \ge d_{iMax}$, where d_{iMax} is the diameter of maximum volume fraction of the inlet *pdf*, equal to 2.82 μ m (cf. Fig. 119 6(b)). The corresponding multiplication factor K_1 of the absicssa has been reported as a function of the inlet-to-outlet 120 maximum volume fraction diameter, d_{iMax}/d_{o1Max} for all cases in Fig. 7(b) (d_{o1Max} is the diameter at maximum 121 volume fraction of the primary distribution of the outlet distribution, see Fig. 6(b)). Here again, the matching between 122

these two quantities is impressive, suggesting that each diameter class of the inlet distribution breaks into two classes 123 of fragments of contrasted sizes, the larger being composed of single size fragments, proportional to the parent globule 124 size. The smaller size class of fragments feeds the secondary distribution displayed in Fig. 6, and the maximum volume 125 fraction diameter of the secondary distribution, noted d_{o2Max} , seems to be nearly constant in the whole pressure range 126 (around 0.16 μ m). The proportionality of the smaller fragment size to that of the parent globule can also be tested, 127 taking the ratio d_{iMax}/d_{o2Max} as the proportionality factor. Doing so, each size class d of the inlet distribution will feed 128 the outlet distribution with fragments of only two different sizes: $d_{o1} = d/K_1$ and $d_{o2} = d/K_2$, with $K_1 = d_{iMax}/d_{o1Max}$ 129 and $K_2 = d_{iMax}/d_{o2Max}$. The corresponding breakup process is described in Fig. 8. Introducing the volume fraction 130 Φ_2 of the smaller fragments d_{o2} , and using mass conservation, one finds: 131

$$\begin{cases}
P_{o}(d_{o2})\delta d_{o2} = \Phi_{2}P_{i}(d)\delta d \\
P_{o}(d_{o1})\delta d_{o1} = (1 - \Phi_{2})P_{i}(d)\delta d \implies \begin{cases}
P_{o}(d_{o2}) = K_{2}\Phi_{2}P_{i}(K_{2}d_{o2}) \\
P_{o}(d_{o1}) = K_{1}(1 - \Phi_{2})P_{i}(K_{1}d_{o1})
\end{cases}$$
(2)
$$d = K_{1}d_{o1} = K_{2}d_{o2}$$

132 So the outlet size $pdf P_o(d)$ writes:

$$P_{o}(d) = K_{2}\Phi_{2}P_{i}(K_{2}d) + K_{1}(1-\Phi_{2})P_{i}(K_{1}d)$$
(3)

Note that equation (1) is the particular case of $\Phi_2=0$ in equation (3).

Using equation (3), the outlet $pdf P_o(d)$ can then be deduced from the inlet size distribution $P_i(d)$. Corresponding results are displayed in Fig.9. The matching between experimental pdfs and modelled pdfs deduced from equation (3) is quite good, with a slight underestimation of the overlapping zone of the primary and secondary distribution, which represents no more than 2-3% in volume. As the pressure is increased from 8 to 23 bars, the proportionality factor K_1 corresponding to the largest fragment is increasing, in the same way as K for the pilot HPH, whereas K_2 remains constant ($K_2 \simeq 18$) and Φ_2 increases from 6.5 to 8.7%.

These results show that the homogenization of cream in *HPHs* at different scales can be described by a model (given by equation (3)) containing three independent parameters, K_1 , K_2 and Φ_2 . K_1 describes the largest fragments resulting from the fragmentation of an initial globule, whereas K_2 describes the secondary fragments of the same breakup event (see Fig. 8). When, the *HPH* operating pressure increases, K_1 is found to increase while K_2 remains almost constant. In the case of homogenization of 35% cream in the pilot *HPH*, the volume fraction of the smaller fragments is negligible and the model reduces to a single parameter K.

146

3.3. Milk in pilot scale *HPH*

Finally, a test of milk homogenization has been performed in the pilot HPH at 80°C and 120 bars. The resulting 148 size pdf and CPD are reported in Fig. 10(a) and (b), respectively. In this case, the pdf of the outlet size distribution 149 is also bi-modal, but with a strong overlapping of the primary and secondary distributions between 0.5 and 1 μ m. 150 Assuming the same fragmentation mechanism as for the cream, we have used model (3) to construct the outlet size 151 distribution, taking $K_1 = d_{iMax}/d_{o1Max} = 2.22$, $K_2 = d_{iMax}/d_{o2Max} = 10$ and $\Phi_2 = 0.36$. As can be seen in Fig. 10, 152 the match of the calculated distribution with the experimental curve is also quite correct in this case, suggesting that 153 this fragmentation mechanism is more related to the milk fat globule properties than to the bulk flow properties. It is 154 also interesting to note that the identified value of K_1 for milk homogenization in the pilot HPH at 120 bars is smaller 155 than that of K for the cream in the same equipment at 45 bars. This result suggests a predominance of viscous stress 156 contribution to the deformation of fat globules in the HPH. A physical interpretation of fat globule deformation and 157 fragmentation in the HPH is discussed in the next section. 158

4. Derivation of a predictive model

It can be concluded from the preceding section that the homogenization of cream and milk in the HPH can be 160 described by a simple fragmentation mechanism of each fat globule, independent of its size and valid in a wide range 161 of operating pressure. In what follows, we propose a physical interpretation of this mechanism based on a simple 162 model of fat globule deformation in a time-dependent flow field. ? and ? developped a numerical model describing 163 the streching, breakup and mixing of viscous filaments, in 2D-chaotic viscous flows in which the leading forcing term 164 is due to local elongational strain rate. Here, we consider that the fat globule continuously stretches with time under the 165 action of a local shear rate in the HPH and ends to break into a single fragment size or two fragment sizes. The largest 166 diameter of the produced fragments (d_o or d_{o1} resp. in equations (1) and (3)) is supposed to be equal to the thickness of 167 the stretched globule at the HPH outlet. This allows us to relate the proportionality constant K in equation (1) (or K_1 168 in equation (3)) to a single hydrodynamic parameter, which is a non-dimensional shear stress. This parameter is then 169 correlated to the operating pressure through a macroscopic scaling analysis of dissipation rate in the HPH. Therefore, 170 the model presented below intends to provide a physical interpretation of the proportionality factor K (or K_1) in the 171 breakup model. 172

4.1. Dynamic model of fat globule stretching

The model is a balance between the hydrodynamic forces exerted by the external flow on the fat globule, which 174 tends to deform it, and the resistive forces that tend to oppose this deformation. A first important assumption is that 175 for a fat globule of diameter d at 80°C, the resistance to the deformation is supposed to be controlled by the internal 176 viscosity μ_d of the fat globule (mixture of triglycerides), the interfacial forces due to surface tension or viscoelastic 177 modulus (?) being supposed negligible. This is the case because the Ohnesorge number of the globule is larger than 178 one: $Oh = \mu_d / \sqrt{\rho_d \sigma d} > 1$, with ρ_d the fat globule density and σ the interfacial tension (?). Note that interfacial 179 tension includes here the viscoelastic contributions originating from interface structure and composition (?). During 180 deformation, the shape of the stretched globule is assumed to be a cylinder of radius e(t) and length L(t), as sketched 181 in Fig. 4 and 8. 182

Then it is postulated that the external force per unit surface acting on the globule in the *HPH* is the viscous shear stress $\mu_e \dot{\gamma}(t)$, where μ_e is the effective viscosity of the external phase (35% cream) and $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ is the shear rate acting on the globule along its trajectory in the *HPH*. This viscous stress is assumed to result from the mean planar jet flow developing at the gap outlet in the ring chamber. This assumption will be discussed and validated in the next two sections. The resulting force balance along the globule trajectory through the *HPH* reads:

$$\mu_d \frac{1}{e} \frac{dL}{dt} = \mu_e \dot{\gamma}(t) \tag{4}$$

Making use of mass conservation ($6e^2L = d^3$ (where *d* is the diameter of the native fat globule), equation (4) can be integrated over the residence time T_r of the fat globule in the *HPH*. The averaged radius of the filament e_o at the *HPH* outlet hence reads:

$$e_o \simeq \frac{d}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle \int_0^{T_r} \dot{\gamma}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \rangle \right\}^{-1/3} = \frac{d}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle T_r \right\}^{-1/3},\tag{5}$$

in which the brackets denote the average over the residence time T_r and $\lambda = \mu_d / \mu_e$ is the viscosity ratio. Let K' be defined as:

$$K' = \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle T_r \right\}^{1/3} \tag{6}$$

193

The proposed model therefore predicts that the thickness $2e_{\rho}$ of the stretched globule at the *HPH* outlet is propor-

194 tional to its diameter:

$$2e_o = d/K' \tag{7}$$

In equation (7), the parameter K' embeds the effect of the flow hydrodynamics in the *HPH* on the fat globule deformation.

4.2. Breakup criterion and fragmentation model

Due to the Rayleigh Plateau instability, a fluid cylinder is unconditionally unstable (?). Thus, we assume that all fat globules passing through the HPH eventually breaks after having being stretched into a long filament. A simple fragmentation model consists in assuming that the filament will eventually break into fragments of equal size d_o or in two fragment sizes d_{o1} and d_{o2} as sketched in Fig.8, with d_o and d_{o1} being of the order of the filament thickness $2e_o$:

$$d_o \text{ or } d_{o1} \simeq 2e_o = d/K' \tag{8}$$

The physical reality of such a deterministic fragmentation process has been experimentally evidenced in the paper of 202 ? where images of aligned equal size fragments have been captured at the outlet of a model HPH device (flow in a 203 duct through a planar restriction), resulting from the breakup of elongated filaments. It is important to mention that 204 the experiments of ? have been carried out with oil-in-water emulsions of high internal viscosity, corresponding to 205 Ohnesorge numbers larger than 1. This fragmentation model predicts that each size class d_{ei} of the size distribution 206 of the fat globules at the HPH entry, of probablity density $P_e(d_{ei})$, either feeds a single class of fragment d_{oi} or two 207 classes of fragments d_{o1i} and d_{o2i} , the probability density of which is imposed by the mass conservation expressed 208 in equations (1) or (2). Therefore, according to the proposed model, values of K and K_1 , factors of respectively the 209 single and two classes fragment breakup models (1) and (3), are equal to K'. Equation (6) therefore relates the breakup 210 constants K (or equivalently K_1) to a single parameter, $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle T_r / \lambda$, which is a non-dimensional stress. It represents the 211 averaged stress experienced by each fat globule along its trajectory in the HPH. The mean residence time T_r is the HPH 212 volume divided by the volumetric flowrate, and $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ is the average shear rate exerted by the flow on each globule along 213 its trajectory, which depends upon the HPH geometry and operating pressure ΔP . The larger $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle$, T_r and $1/\lambda$ (i.e., the 214 larger μ_e), the larger K, and the smaller the outlet globule diameter (d_o or d_{o1}). If one admits that at constant T_r (or 215 flowrate), $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ is a growing function of ΔP , then the present model is consistent with the observed trends of K with the 216 operating pressure. Also, the former comparison of K_1 value for the milk at 120 bars with the K values for the cream 217

at much lower pressure, is consistent with the effect of the effective viscosity μ_e predicted by the model: for a given $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle$, the higher μ_e , the higher *K*. This result confirms the role of external phase viscosity in the breakup mechanism of fat globules in the *HPH*. The assumption of a viscous stress as the major cause of globule deformation can be justified here by the fact that any inertial contribution would be globule size-dependent and would result in a non-linear relation between the fragment size and the parent globule size. Note that this observation and the deterministic character of this fragmentation process makes unlikely a turbulence-induced breakup mechanism (inertial or viscous). The next section is devoted to the establishment of the relation between $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ and ΔP .

4.3. Modeling of the relation between K and ΔP

As presented in section 2.3, the emulsion in the *HPH* experiences a radial flow in a cylindrical gap of few tens of micrometers thickness, connecting the impact ring chamber (see Fig.1). The deformation of the globule being supposed to be due to the viscous shear stress exerted by the mean jet flow in the impact ring chamber, the averaged shear rate $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ can then be estimated according to:

$$\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle \propto \frac{U_{\delta}}{\delta/2}$$
(9)

²³⁰ Due to mass conservation in a cylindrical channel section, U_{δ} is inversely proportional to δ . Hence equation (9) leads to:

$$\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle \propto \Delta P_R$$
 (10)

²³² Next step is to determine the relation between ΔP_R and the total pressure difference in the *HPH*, Δ_P . ΔP is supposed ²³³ to be the sum of two main contributions, the pressure drop in the gap radial flow of thickness δ , noted ΔP_{δ} , and the ²³⁴ pressure drop due to the discharge in the impact ring chamber, ΔP_R :

$$\Delta P \simeq \Delta P_{\delta} + \Delta P_R \tag{11}$$

The Reynolds number in the gap being independent of the gap thickness, it is straightforward to show that the pressure drop in the gap ΔP_{δ} is proportional to δ^{-3} , whether the flow in the gap is laminar or turbulent (see Appendix A). The pressure drop ΔP_R is assumed to be proportional to U_{δ}^2 , as in a sudden expansion flow, where U_{δ} is the mean velocity in the gap. Due to mass conservation in a cylindrical channel section, U_{δ} is inversely proportional to δ . Hence, ΔP_R varies as δ^{-2} and ΔP_{δ} is proportional to $\Delta P_R^{3/2}$. Equation (11) can then be written as:

$$\Delta P = \alpha \Delta P_R^{3/2} + \Delta P_R \tag{12}$$

The calculation of ΔP_{δ} and α in both laminar and turbulent regimes is developped in Appendix A. In all cases, it is possible with equation (12) to relate for a given fluid system and at a given flowrate, ΔP_R to the operating pressure ΔP in the *HPH*. For the 35% cream at 80°C, the gap flow in the pilot *HPH* is found to be laminar, whereas it is turbulent in the industrial *HPH*. In both cases, the evolution of ΔP_R with ΔP provided by equation (12), can be very well represented by a power law ($\Delta P_R = a\Delta P^b$), with the prefactor *a* and the exponent *b* close to 1. Hence, in the range of operating pressure ΔP investigated, the discharge pressure drop ΔP_R can be fairly identified to the pressure drop in the *HPH* ($\Delta P_R \simeq \Delta P$).

From equations (10), the proportionality factor K' given by equation (6) can be expressed as a function of ΔP according to:

$$K' = \left\{ 1 + k' \Delta P \right\}^{1/3} \tag{13}$$

where k' is a parameter which depends upon *HPH* geometry and flow properties. This model has been tested in Fig.11(a) against the experimental values of *K* and K_1 obtained with the 35% cream at 80°C, respectively in the pilot (closed blue rounds) and industrial (closed red rounds) *HPH*. The data can be reasonably well described by the following model:

$$K' = 0.6 \left\{ 1 + 1.2\Delta P \right\}^{1/3} \tag{14}$$

This result first shows that the fragmentation model proposed leads to a suitable model of the fragmentation constants *K* and K_1 as a function of ΔP . The value of the prefactor is found to be smaller than 1 (0.6), probably because in the low range of *K* values, the approximation of the stretched globule as a cylinder is not accurate (an overestimation of 256 22% is observed for the lowest pressure in the pilot *HPH*). It also validates the scaling of $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ given by (10).

4.4. Prediction of *K'* from numerical simulations

To complete this study, the parameter K' given by equation (6) has been estimated from numerical simulations of 258 single-phase flow in the two HPHs geometries investigated in this study. The objective was to compute the average 259 quantities $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ and T_r (residence time of the particle in the calculation domain) seen by a fat globule along its trajectory. 260 In these simulations, the cream was considered as a homogeneous Newtonian fluid, and flowrates were set identical 261 to those of the experiments (Q=200 and 8800 L/h for the pilot and industrial HPH, respectively). The numerical 262 method, the calculation domain and typical flow structure are described in appendix B. One test case was performed 263 at a pressure of 100 bars in the pilot HPH and three test cases in the industrial HPH, at 40, 70 and 115 bars, were 264 done. In each case, several thousands of fluid particles of the emulsion, which is treated as a homogeneous fluid, 265 initially homogeneously distributed in the gap flow entry, were individually tracked in the impact ring chamber, and 266 K' was obtained by averaging the shear rate experienced by all fluid particles along their trajectory. For each particle, 267 $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \sqrt{2D:D} \rangle$ (where D is the local deformation rate tensor), T_r is directly computed and K' is derived from 268 equation (6). For all these simulations, the flow viscosity μ_e was arbitrarily set to 1.16×10^{-3} Pa.s, which corresponds 269 to $\lambda = \mu_d / \mu_e = 9.25$ (this bulk viscosity corresponds to a laminar regime of the gap flow in the pilot *HPH*, close to the 270 transition, and to a turbulent gap flow in the industrial HPH). The resulting CPD F(K') is displayed in Fig.11(b) for all 271 test cases. It exhibits a multimodal shape with a principal mode corresponding to more than 50% of the distribution. 272 The averaged value is indicated by a round symbol on each curve. 273

These averaged values of K' are reported in Fig.11(a) for both pilot and industrial *HPHs*, represented by open sym-274 bols. Their order of magnitude is close to the values of K and K_1 obtained for the cream, respectively in the pilot and 275 industrial HPH, but they tend to deviate from the trend observed for the cream, with smaller values as those predicted 276 by the model (equation (14)). In Fig.11(a), the experimental value obtained for K_1 in the case of milk at 120 bars in 277 the pilot HPH has been also reported and its value is also widely below the trend observed for cream. These results 278 confirm that the bulk viscosity favors the breakup efficiency and increase the value of K or K_1 . In order to account 279 for the effect of viscosity, a correction under the form of a power law of the viscosity ratio λ^{-b} has been introduced in 280 equation (14). Taking b=0.4, this correction seem to regroup the data on a single curve, as shown in Fig.12, with an 281 average discrepancy of 8.5%. 282

These results give support to the present model for the viscous deformation mechanism and break-up of the fat globules in the *HPHs*, and confirm the relevance of the hydrodynamic parameter $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle T_r / \lambda$ to quantify homogenization efficiency of dairy cream. They also confirm the scaling proposed for its relation with the operating pressure ΔP , at both pilot and industrial scales.

287

It must be stressed that this simple micro-scale model of the stretching of a non-relaxing viscous cylindrical fila-

ment does not claim to describe exactly the flow in a HPH, but it intends to account for the main physical mechanisms in 289 order to understand the characteristics of the shape distributions generated in HPHs. There are two underlying reasons 290 to the success of this model. First, the fat globule resistance to deformation is controled by the internal viscosity and 291 not by the surface stress, so its relaxation time is always much larger than the characteristic time of shearing in a HPH. 292 In the particular case of dairy cream, this is characterized by a value of the Ohnesorge number larger than unity. The 293 second reason is the HPH flow geometry, which forces the each fat globule to undergo the same range of deformation 294 within a given residence time (like in a Couette apparatus), so the description of the forcing term at the scale of an 295 individual globule by a single hydrodynamic scale - the averaged shear rate - is relevant for the whole emulsion. We 296 therefore believe this model builds up a valuable tool for the dairy industry engineers, regarding the understanding of 297 dairy cream homogenization and the optimization of HPH parameters. 298

299

300 4.5. Secondary distribution

The fragmentation model of fat globules in HPHs considers two contrasted fragment sizes (cf. equation (3)), 301 both being proportional to their parent fat globule size. The larger fragment size has been related to the operating 302 pressure, the smaller one seems to be quasi-constant and independent of ΔP , at least in the range of operating pressure 303 in the industrial HPH (between 8 and 23 bars). This breakup mechanism hence involves two more parameters that 304 needs to be modeled: the size reduction constant K_2 and the volume fraction Φ_2 (or the number of fragments). The 305 formation of satellite drops during the fragmentation of a viscous filament is well documented in the literature (?). 306 Satellite drops results from the breakage of the thin bridge developing between two separating main bulbs in the 307 latter stage of the fragmentation process. The production of satellite drops could depend on the local hydrodynamics 308 in the HPH (Reynolds number and turbulence level), but we have no means to propose a predictive model for these 309 satellite drops at the moment. The prediction of their size and volume fraction requires a detailed and complex analysis 310 involving surface stress properties, which is beyond the scope of the present paper. However, the fact that the size of 311 the secondary fragments are found to be proportional to that of parent fat globule is in qualitative agreement with 312 the present deformation model, since for given shear rate and residence time, a smaller drop is stretched in a thinner 313 filament than a larger drop, leading to a thinner bridge eventually separating fragments and to the formation of smaller 314 satellite drops. Also, the increasing volume fraction of the satellite drops as the operating pressure is increased, is 315 consistent with the fact that the larger the fat globule extension, the longer the developing thin film, and the larger the 316 number of satellite drops. 317

318 5. Conclusion

Homogenization of 35% dairy cream at pilot and industrial scales was investigated in this work. The analysis of fat 319 globules size distributions at the pilot HPH outlet operated at different pressures shows that they are self-similar to the 320 inlet size distribution. This means that the size distribution of the homogenized cream can be deduced by considering a 321 single fragment size for each fat globule entering the HPH with the same volume (or mass) fraction. Size distributions 322 measured at the outlet of the industrial HPH were found to be bimodal with two characteristic distributions centered 323 around a large and a small fragment sizes with a small overlapping. In this case, the two distributions can be also 324 reconstructed from the inlet distribution by considering only two fragment sizes issued from each individual inlet 325 globule. In both cases, the size of the largest fragment produced is proportional to the inlet fat globule diameter, 326 and the proportionality factor is independent of the inlet globule size. This simple model was also successfull at 327 predicting the size distribution of fat globules issued from milk homogenization in the pilot HPH. The physics of this 328 fragmentation mechanism was then interpreted by considering the dynamics of the deformation of a fat globule into 329 a cylindrical filament, resulting from the balance between the external time dependent viscous stress and the internal 330 viscous stress of the stretched globule. Then it was assumed that the breakup of this filament at the HPH outlet leads 331 to the formation of a single- or two-fragment size population, with the largest fragment size scaling as the filament 332 thickness. From that theoretical framework, the self-similarity factor deduced from the experiments could be related to 333 a single hydrodynamic parameter, a non-dimensional stress which was modeled as a function of the operating pressure 334 of the HPH. The evaluation of this scaling law with experimental values of the proportionality factor obtained at both 335 HPH scales showed a good agreement, also validated by numerical simulations performed in real HPH geometries. 336 This elementary fragmentation mechanism of fat globules is thought to result from two specific conditions: first the 337 relaxation time of the fat globule shape is much larger than any flow time scale in the HPH, so breakup always occurs 338 at maximum stretching of the globule. This property is due to the high internal viscosity of the fat globule, which 339 overcomes any viscoelastic property of the interface. The second condition is due to the flow configuration generated 340 in a HPH, where the whole emulsion is submitted to the same level of shear rate. It results that all fat globules flowing 341 through the thin gap of the HPH experience the same average shear rate, so the hydrodynamic stress can be reduced 342 to a single parameter. The theoretical interpretation of this fragmentation mechanism of fat globules in HPHs, even 343 though not an exact representation of the reality, is therefore believed to constitute a relevant guide for the optimization 344 of process parameters and scaling up of dairy cream homogenization. 345

346 Credit author statement

The contributions of each of the authors were essential to the completion of this study, which is the result of a complex fruitful human collaboration.

A. Pressure drop contributions in the HPH

350 A.1. Pressure drop in the gap channel

The pressure drop in the gap channel, of length L_g and thickness δ can be estimated considering that the flow is established in the gap and that the flow section is constant between the entry and the outlet of the gap. The latter assumption is justified by the condition $L_g/R_0 << 1$ which is verified in both *HPH* geometries, where R_0 is the radius of the cylindrical gap (cf values of R_0 and L_g in table 1). The pressure drop in the gap can be expressed through the momentum balance integrated in the gap flow section:

$$\frac{\Delta P_{\delta}}{L_g} = \tau_w \frac{2}{\delta} \tag{15}$$

where τ_w is the wall shear stress in the gap. The velocity in the gag, U_{δ} , is equal to the flowrate, Q, divided by the gap crosssection:

$$U_{\delta} = \frac{Q}{2\pi R_0 \delta} \tag{16}$$

The Reynolds number in the gap, Re_{δ} is equal to:

$$Re_{\delta} = \frac{\rho_e U_{\delta} \delta}{\mu_e} = \frac{\rho_e Q}{2\pi R_0 \mu_e} \tag{17}$$

where ρ_e and μ_e are the cream density and viscosity respectively. Therefore, the Reynolds number is independent of the gap thickness. If the flow in the gap channel is laminar, the wall shear stress τ_w can be calculated assuming a steady established parabolic velocity profile, and taking its derivative at the wall:

$$\tau_w = 6\mu_e \frac{U_\delta}{\delta} \tag{18}$$

³⁶² In laminar regime, the pressure drop in the gap reads:

$$\Delta P_{\delta} = 12\mu_e L_g \frac{U_{\delta}}{\delta^2} \tag{19}$$

O. Masbernat et al.: Preprint submitted to Elsevier

³⁶³ Inserting (16) in (19) leads to:

$$\Delta P_{\delta} = \frac{12\mu_e L_g Q}{2\pi R_0 \delta^3} \tag{20}$$

For a given flowrate in a given geometry, the pressure drop in the gap varies as δ^{-3} in laminar regime. If the flow in the gap channel is turbulent and established, the wall shear stress can be expressed as a function of the friction velocity at the wall, u^* , which is related to the mean velocity in the gap, U_{δ} through the friction factor $f(Re_{\delta})$:

$$\tau_w = \rho_e u^{*2} = \rho_e f \frac{U_\delta^2}{2} \tag{21}$$

³⁶⁷ The pressure drop in the gap hence reads in turbulent regime:

$$\Delta P_{\delta} = \frac{12\rho_e f L_g Q^2}{4\pi^2 R_0^2 \delta^3}$$
(22)

As *f* is a function of gap Reynolds number Re_{δ} which is independent of gap thickness δ , in turbulent regime, the pressure drop in the gap is also proportionnal to δ^{-3} . Taking $\rho_e = 958 \ kg/m^3$ and $\mu_e = 2.89 \times 10^{-3}$ for the 35% w/w cream at 80°C, Re_{δ} can be calculated in both *HPH* geometries. In the pilot *HPH*, at a flowrate Q = 200L/h, $Re_{\delta} = 730$, and in the industrial *HPH*, $Re_{\delta} = 3200$ for a flowrate Q = 8800L/h. Hence, the flow is laminar in the pilot *HPH* and turbulent in the larger scale *HPH*. With milk at 80°C and Q = 200L/h in the pilot *HPH*, $Re_{\delta} = 3500$, so the flow in the gap channel is turbulent.

A.2. Pressure drop in the impact ring chamber

The pressure drop in the impact ring chamber, ΔP_R can be approximated by:

$$\Delta P_R \sim \rho_e \frac{U_\delta^2}{2} \tag{23}$$

As a result, for both laminar and turbulent regimes in the gap flow, ΔP_{δ} scales as $\Delta P_{R}^{3/2}$:

$$\Delta P_{\delta} = \alpha \Delta P_R^{3/2} \tag{24}$$

O. Masbernat et al.: Preprint submitted to Elsevier

Making use of equations (20) and (22), the factor α in equation (24) can be determined in laminar and turbulent regime:

1. Laminar regime

$$\alpha = \frac{48\mu_e L_g(\pi R_0)^2}{Q^2} (\frac{2}{\rho_e})^{3/2}$$
(25)

2. Turbulent regime

$$\alpha = \frac{32\rho_e f L_g \pi R_0}{Q} (\frac{2}{\rho_e})^{3/2}$$
(26)

with:

$$f = 0.073 R e_{\delta}^{-1/4} \tag{27}$$

The total pressure drop in *HPH* is the sum of ΔP_R and ΔP_{δ} :

$$\Delta P = \Delta P_{\delta} + \Delta P_R = \alpha \Delta P_R^{3/2} + \Delta P_R \tag{28}$$

From relations (25) or (26), α can be computed in both *HPH* geometries. At a given operating pressure ΔP , ΔP_R can then be determined using (28). In all range of α values, equation (28) can be replaced by a simple power law $\Delta P_R = a\Delta P^b$, with *a* and *b* close to 1, as shown in Fig. 13. As a result, in the range of pressure investigated, the discharge pressure drop is identical to the pressure drop in the *HPH*. The values of gap Reynolds number, parameters α (in equation(28)), *a* and *b* are reported in table 2 for the cream in both *HPHs*, and in table 3 for milk in the pilot *HPH*.

B. Flow simulations in the *HPHs*

Computations were run using ANANASTM which solves the incompressible balance equations for mass and mo-385 mentum. ANANASTM uses tetrahedral elements and is based on a mixed finite volume/finite element method. The 386 domain geometry and the mesh grid used for the flow computation in the industrial HPH are shown in Fig. 14. Time 387 integration is carried out using a third order explicit scheme, while space integration is handled with a high-order 388 scheme introduced by ?, which yields to a sixth-order accuracy for an uniform mesh spacing. Depending on the case 389 and Reynolds number value, the turbulence can be either modelled with a k- ϵ RANS model as proposed by ? or 390 thanks to Large Eddy Simulation Variational Multi-Scale model (LES-VMS) which enables the resolution of turbu-391 lent structures scaling down to the mesh size (?). Contrarily to common LES approaches, LES-VMS reduces spurious 392

dissipation. Simulations of pilot and industrial *HPHs* have been achieved with different numerical models. At pilot scale, a transitional problem occurs in the jet while a fully turbulent flow is identified at industrial scale. It is why all the simulations have been run using *LES-VMS* at the pilot scale and a k- ϵ model was chosen for the simulation of the industrial *HPH*.

In these simulations, the density of the fluid is $\rho_e = 958 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and the viscosity is $\mu_e = 1.16 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa.s.}$ The operating pressure, gap (average) thickness and gap average velocity are reported in table 4 for the pilot and industrial *HPH*. Gap Reynolds numbers (equation (17)) are respectively 1800 and 8000 for the pilot and industrial *HPH*.

In Figs.15 and 16, typical velocity fields respectively obtained in the pilot and industrial scale *HPHs* are displayed. The regions of highest shear are generated around the jet, in the impact ring wall region, and in the recirculation loops developing in the whole chamber.

403



Figure 1: Schematic of the HPH. $\delta = O(10 - 10^2 \mu m)$ is the gap thickness, U_0 is the inlet velocity of the emulsion and $L_R = O(10^2 \times \delta)$ is the width of the impact ring chamber.



Figure 2: Experimental size distribution in the *pilot scale HPH* at different pressures. (a) Probability density function (*pdf*) ; (b) Cumulative probability distribution (*CPD*). Characteristic diameters $(d_{i20}, d_{iMax}, d_{i90})$ and $(d_{o20}, d_{oMax}, d_{o90})$ correspond to the inlet and outlet distributions at 15 bars, respectively.



Figure 3: (a) Same curves as in Fig. 2(b) for the pilot *HPH* after multiplying the abscissas by a factor K (K = 1.27, 2, 2.35 and 2.51) respectively for ($\Delta P=15$, 30, 45 and 60 bars). (b) Outlet-to-inlet *pdf* ratio $K_x = P_o(d_{ix})/P_o(d_{ox})$ at three different characteristic diameters d_x as a function of inlet-to-outlet diameter ratio (d_{ix}/d_{ox}) for all operating pressures investigated. The characteristic diameters are d_{20} , d_{Max} (diameter of maximum volume fraction of the distribution), and d_{90} . Error bars correspond to the width of a size class divided by the class size (i.e. $\pm 6\%$). The uncertainty on K_x is smaller than the symbol size on this graph.

Figure 4: Scheme of fat globule breakup in the pilot HPH



Figure 5: *pdfs* of cream globule size in the *pilot HPH*. Comparison between model (dashed line) and experiments (symbols) (K = 1.27, 2, 2.35 and 2.51) respectively for (ΔP =15, 30, 45 and 60 bars)



Figure 6: Experimental size distribution in the industrial HPH at different pressures. (a) pdf ; (b) CPD.



Figure 7: (a) Same curves as in Fig.6(b) for industrial *HPH* after multiplying the abscissas by a factor K_1 ($K_1 = 1.12$, 1.29, 1.48 and 1.70) for ($\Delta P = 8$, 13, 18 and 23 bars), respectively. (b) K_1 as a function of inlet-to-outlet maximum volume fraction diameter ratio. Error bars correspond to the width of a size class divided by the class size (i.e. $\pm 6\%$). The uncertainty on K_1 is smaller than the symbol size on this graph.



Figure 8: Scheme of fat globule break-up in the industrial scale HPH



Figure 9: *pdfs* of cream globule size in the *industrial scale HPH*. Comparison between model (dashed line) and experiments (symbols) K_1 =(1.12, 1.29, 1.48 and 1.70) and Φ_2 =(6.5, 7.1, 8.6 and 8.7 %) respectively for ΔP =(8, 13, 18 and 23 bars). K_2 =17.8 for all cases



Figure 10: Size distribution of milk fat globules in the pilot scale *HPH* at 120 bars and 80°C. Comparison between model (dashed line) and experiments (symbols). (a) *pdf* (b) *CPD* (K_1 =2.22, K_2 =10, Φ_2 = 36%)



Figure 11: (a) Evolutions of K, K_1 and K' as a function of ΔP in the HPHs. Blue symbols: pilot scale. Red symbols: industrial scale. Open symbols are obtained from numerical simulations. The triangle symbols shows the case of milk ($\rho_e = 1000$ and $\mu_e = 0.64 \times 10^{-3}$ at 80°C) at 120 bars in the pilot HPH (b) CPD of K' from numerical simulations. $K' = (1 + \langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle T_r / \lambda)^{1/3}$, with $\lambda = 9.25$ ($\mu_e = 1.16 \times 10^{-3}$). On each curve, the round symbol represents the average value. Blue color: pilot scale (PS), with K' = 2.77 for $\Delta P = 100$ bars. Red color: industrial scale (IS) with K' = 2.04, 2.30 and 2.50 for $\Delta P = 40$, 75 and 115 bars, respectively.



Figure 12: Fragmentation constant as a function of $(1 + 2\Delta P/\lambda^{0.4})^{1/3}$ for all systems in both *HPH* geometries. Closed round symbols: experimental data for cream λ =3.69 (μ_e =2.9×10⁻³). Open round symbols: simulation data with λ =9.25 (μ_e =1.16×10⁻³). Triangle symbol: milk with λ =1.69 (μ_e =0.63×10⁻³). Blue color: pilot scale (PS). Red color: industrial scale (IS)



Figure 13: Discharge pressure drop in the ring chamber as a function of the *HPH* pressure for 35% concentrated cream at 80°C (ρ_e =960 kg/m³ and μ_e =2.9×10⁻³. Blue color: pilot scale (PS). Red color: industrial scale (IS)



Figure 14: left: Domain geometry and unstructured mesh grid in the industrial HPH. right: zoom of the mesh grid in the gap outlet region.



Figure 15: left: Snapshot of velocity magnitude field in the pilot *HPH* obtained from *LES* simulation. right: corresponding streamlines.





	$R_0 (mm)$	L_{g} (μ m)
Pilot	4	150
Industrial	40	300

Table 1

Inlet section radius and gap length of pilot and industrial HPHs.

$\mu_e = 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa.s	Q (L/h)	Re_{δ}	$\alpha \ (10^{-2} \text{bars}^{-1/2})$	а	b
Pilot	200	730	3.22	1.06	0.94
Industrial	8800	3200	0.964	1.02	0.98

Table 2

Flow rate, gap flow Reynolds number, parameter α in equation (28), parameters a and b in relation $\Delta P_R = a\Delta P^b$ for cream in pilot and industrial *HPHs*.

$\mu_e = 0.63 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa.s	Q (L/h)	Re_{δ}	$\alpha (10^{-2} \text{bars}^{-1/2})$	а	b
Pilot	200	3500	1.86	1.04	0.96

Table 3

Flow rate, gap flow Reynolds number, parameter α in equation (28), parameters a and b in relation $\Delta P_R = a\Delta P^b$ for milk in pilot *HPH*.

	$\Delta P(bars)$	δ (μm)	$U_{\delta}~({ m m/s})$
Pilot	100	17	129
Industrial	40	111	88
	70	82	118
	115	67	144

Table 4

Pressure, gap average thickness and velocity for the simulated cases