

Optical and magnetic investigation of multiferroic and magnetocaloric properties of Nd0.8Tb0.2Mn2O5

S Mansouri, M Balli, S Jandl, A O Suleiman, P Fournier, M Orlita, I A

Zobkalo, S N Barilo, M Chaker

► To cite this version:

S Mansouri, M Balli, S Jandl, A O Suleiman, P Fournier, et al.. Optical and magnetic investigation of multiferroic and magnetocaloric properties of Nd0.8Tb0.2Mn2O5. Physical Review B, 2022, 106, 10.1103/physrevb.106.085107. hal-03750845

HAL Id: hal-03750845 https://hal.science/hal-03750845

Submitted on 12 Aug2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Optical and magnetic investigation of multiferroic and magnetocaloric properties of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅

S. Mansouri¹,^{1,*} M. Balli¹,² S. Jandl,³ A. O. Suleiman,¹ P. Fournier,³ M. Orlita,^{4,5} I. A. Zobkalo⁶,⁶ S. N. Barilo⁶,⁷ and M. Chaker^{1,†}

¹Centre Énergie, Matériaux et Télécommunications, Institut National de la Recherche Scientifique, 1650, Boulevard Lionel-Boulet, Varennes, Québec J3X 1P7, Canada

²AMEEC Team, LERMA, International University of Rabat, Parc Technopolis, Rocade Rabat-Salé 11100, Morocco

³Institut Quantique et Regroupement québécois sur les matériaux de pointe, Département de Physique,

Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, J1K 2R1 Canada

⁴Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Intenses, LNCMI-EMFL, CNRS UPR3228, Univ. Grenoble Alpes,

Univ. Toulouse, INSA-T, Grenoble and Toulouse, France

⁵Institute of Physics, Charles University, Ke Karlovu 5, Prague 121 16, Czech Republic

⁶Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, NRC Kurchatov Institute, Orlova Roshcha, Gatchina, St. Petersburg district 188300, Russia ⁷Scientific-Practical Materials Research Centre NAS of Belarus, Minsk 220072, Belarus

(Received 20 March 2022; revised 19 June 2022; accepted 22 July 2022; published 4 August 2022)

The multiferroic and the rotating magnetocaloric properties of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ are investigated by microscopic optical probes and macroscopic magnetic measurements. Raman-active phonons as a function of temperature, and Nd³⁺ and Tb³⁺ infrared active crystal-field (CF) excitations as a function of temperature and under magnetic fields up to 11 T have been studied in $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$. The obtained results are compared to those of NdMn₂O₅ and TbMn₂O₅ reference compounds. The observation of one set of Raman-active phonons and CF excitations rule out possible twinning while their energy positions and thermal evolutions indicate noticeable changes of Mn1-O3-Mn1 and TbO₈ structural units. This would explain the nature of separated magnetic phases in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅. The degeneracy of the ground-state Kramers doublet is lifted ($\Delta_0 \sim 9 \, \text{cm}^{-1}$), indicating that the Nd^{3+} - Mn^{3+} interaction impacts the magnetic and ferroelectric properties of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$. The Zeeman splitting of excited crystal-field levels of the Nd³⁺ ions at low temperatures shows that the g_z factor is weak compared to that in NdMn₂O₅. This indicates that the R^{3+} spins in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ are mostly aligned within the *ab*-plane. The nature of magnetocrystalline anisotropy in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ as well as in all RMn₂O₅ compounds is quantitatively investigated by studying the anisotropy of paramagnetic Curie temperatures along (θ_{\parallel}) and perpendicular (θ_{\perp}) to the c axis, $(\theta_{\parallel} - \theta_{\perp})$, as a function of the rare-earth atomic number. It is particularly found that the magnetocrystalline anisotropy is mainly determined by the quadrupolar charge distribution of 4f shells. The rotating magnetocaloric effect in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ is also evaluated and compared to that in NdMn₂O₅ and TbMn₂O₅. Our findings show that Nd- and Tb- separated magnetic phases independently contribute to the magnetocaloric effect of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.106.085107

I. INTRODUCTION

 RMn_2O_5 , where *R* is rare-earth atom, are prototype materials of multiferroics of type II where the ferroic order is induced or enhanced by a particular spin arrangement that breaks the inversion symmetry [1–3]. These multiferroic materials have attracted great attention as they are candidates for the next generation of data storage devices and cryomagnetocaloric refrigeration such as the liquefaction of hydrogen/helium gases [4–9]. Indeed, a giant rotating magnetocaloric effect (RMCE) at relatively low magnetic fields was recently reported in TbMn₂O₅ and HoMn₂O₅ single crystals, opening a new perspective for the implementation of compact,

temperatures [7,8]. This giant RMCE is mainly attributed to the strong magnetocrystalline anisotropy shown by these crystals [7–9]. In this way, the control of such a RMCE requires therefore an understanding of the origin of magnetocrystalline anisotropy in the fascinating family of RMn₂O₅ multiferroics. The strong magnetoelectric effect of RMn₂O₅ compounds is associated with unusual commensurate-incommensurate magnetic transitions where the magnetism of rare earth seems to play a key role [10-14]. Their spontaneous electric polarization, along their b axis, can reach values as large as $P_{l/b} > 3000 \,\mu\text{C} \text{ m}^{-2}$ [10]. This magnetoelectric coupling depends strongly on the size of rare-earth elements [10-13]. Accordingly, the RMn₂O₅ compounds with large ionic radii (R = La and Pr) are classified as paraelectrics due to the absence of detectable electric polarization [13]. In contrast, those with small ionic radii (R = Sm to Lu) exhibit a finite

simplified, and efficient magnetic refrigerators working at low

^{*}sabeur.mansouri@inrs.ca

[†]chaker@inrs.ca

electric polarization [11]. The NdMn₂O₅ compound is the intermediate-size member of this family. It represents a particular case between the nonferroelectric PrMn₂O₅ and the ferroelectric SmMn₂O₅. It is considered as a weak ferroelectric with a spontaneous electric polarization of $\sim 2.4 \,\mu \text{C} \text{ m}^{-2}$, two orders of magnitude lower than that of other multiferroic members of *RMn*₂O₅ family [14]. Furthermore, its electrical polarization develops at $T_2 \sim 26 \pm 2 \text{ K}$ (T_c), arises only in an incommensurate state, being in contrast with other RMn₂O₅ multiferroic members [11]. These properties render $NdMn_2O_5$ unique as a reference member of the RMn₂O₅ series to provide a deeper understanding of the fundamental mechanisms behind its magnetic and electric properties [15–18]. Doping this parent compound with smaller rare-earth elements, such as terbium (Tb), could enhance its multiferroic character. This would lead to significant changes in the structure of magnetic interactions, which can help in a better understanding of the strong magnetoelectric effect shown by RMn₂O₅ compounds. Besides, the coexistence of two rare-earth ions with different types of ground state, Kramers-like Nd³⁺ and non-Kramers Tb^{3+} , could lead to different types of site anisotropies. This also can provide more insight about the role of the R-Mn magnetic interactions in these systems and its large magnetocrystalline anisotropy that play a crucial role in determining the magnetocaloric properties of RMn₂O₅ multiferroics.

The main subject of this study is the Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ compound. A neutron diffraction study carried out recently on the here-studied Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ single crystals by Zobkalo et al. [19] reveals that two magnetic phases coexist over a broad temperature range, indicating magnetic phase separation. One of them bears features of NdMn₂O₅ (Nd phase) and the other one those of TbMn₂O₅ (Tb phase). The "Nd phase" features are closer to the magnetic order of NdMn₂O₅ with the same transition temperature $T_N = 30$ K. When compared to NdMn₂O₅, the Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ system exhibits even greater ability to generate ordered magnetic phases of the same type. Indeed, in the narrow temperature range of 20-30 K, two more magnetic incommensurate phases were observed [19]. The "Tb phase" has higher temperature of alignment than that of NdMn₂O₅, but lower than that of TbMn₂O₅. This inhomogeneity would arise from the nonrigidity of Mn³⁺-Mn⁴⁺ bonding in the RMn₂O₅ multiferroics.

The presence of magnetic domains, on a short scale in a phase-separated sample, should affect locally the rareearth crystal-field (CF) excitations by lifting its R^{3+} Kramers doublets (KD) degeneracy. Infrared transmission technique, combined with Raman spectroscopy, have been successfully used for the study of rare-earth crystal-field excitations and the interplay between charge, spin, and lattice degrees of freedom in manganite multifunctional materials [20–26]. In fact, relevant information concerning the local inhomogeneities, as well as the electronic and magnetic properties, can be obtained by studying the CF excitation and the phonon evolution as a function of temperature and/or magnetic field [25]. For instance, the Kramers ions Nd^{3+} , Sm^{3+} , and Dy^{3+} CF excitations have allowed the probing of *R*-Mn magnetic interactions in the multiferroic NdMn₂O₅, SmMn₂O₅, and DyMnO₃ [24,25]. Indeed, these Kramers ions, with odd numbers of electrons in their 4f shells, have levels with twofold degeneracies when they are placed at low site symmetry and

exposed to the crystal-field effect induced by the surrounding oxygen ligands. Such Kramers degeneracies can only be lifted by an additional perturbation, which breaks the time-reversal invariance of the rare-earth ion Hamiltonian. This perturbation can be due to external magnetic fields or by an effective Zeeman effect resulting from local magnetic interactions. The high sensitivity of the Kramers-ion crystal-field levels to these perturbations is then a valuable probe for the existing magnetic exchange interactions.

In this paper, we present an optical and magnetic investigation of the multiferroic and the magnetocaloric properties of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$. The objectives are (i) to probe the nature of observed separated magnetic phases in $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$, (ii) to provide a microscopic insight on the magnetocrystalline anisotropy in $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$ while disclosing quantitatively its nature in all RMn_2O_5 systems, and (iii) to study the magnetocaloric effect in $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$ and evaluate the contribution of coexisting magnetic phases.

II. EXPERIMENT

Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ single crystals were grown with the flux-melt method as described in Ref. [19]. This relatively moderate substitution of Nd^{3+} by Tb^{3+} (20%) is arbitrary chosen with the aim to induce significant changes in the electronic and magnetic properties of NdMn₂O₅. The crystallinity and the orientations of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ samples are checked by x-ray diffraction (XRD) and Raman measurements. The XRD patterns are performed in the θ -2 θ configuration of a PANalytical X'Pert PRO diffractometer with Cu K α radiation operated at 45 kV and 40 mA. For Raman measurements, the samples were mounted on the cold finger of a microhelium Janis cryostat. The Raman spectra were collected between 5 and 300 K using a Labram-800 Raman spectrometer equipped with a nitrogen-cooled charge-coupled device detector and a proper notch filter. The excitation wavelength (632.8 nm) was focused on the samples using an objective lens of $50 \times$ with beam intensity maintained at less than 0.8 mW to avoid local heating. The infrared transmission spectra of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ as a function of temperature were collected using a Fourier transform interferometer BOMEM DA3.002 equipped with a CaF₂ beamsplitter, a quartz-halogen source, and an InSb detector. For transmission measurements under magnetic fields, single crystals were placed in a cryostat equipped with a superconducting coil with the applied magnetic field along the c axis and parallel to the incident light propagation direction via light-pipe optics. These measurements were performed using a Bruker Vertex 80v infrared spectrometer. During the experiments, the temperature of the measured sample was kept at 4.2 K in a low-pressure helium gas. The magnetization curves were measured using a superconducting quantum interferometer device magnetometer from Quantum Design.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. X-ray diffraction patterns and Raman spectra of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$ versus those of $NdMn_2O_5$ and $TbMn_2O_5$

The RMn_2O_5 compounds crystallize in the orthorhombic structure [17] where edge-shared $Mn^{4+}O_6$ octahedra are



FIG. 1. Structure of RMn_2O_5 . This schematic structure is generated by VESTA program. The oxygens O3 and O4 connect the octahedra and the pyramids along *a* and *b* axes, respectively. The O1 oxygen atoms link the pyramid pairs and the O2 oxygen atoms connect the octahedra along the *c* axis.

linked along the *c* axis and pairs of $Mn^{3+}O_5$ pyramids are connected to two $Mn^{4+}O_6$ chains as shown in Fig. 1. The rare-earth *R* ions are located in distorted RO_8 polyhedra. The space-group symmetry of RMn_2O_5 is usually identified as *Pbam*. However, recent findings have questioned the latter and identified a slight deviation from *Pbam* space group to be likely *Pm* [18]. Figure 2 shows θ - 2θ scan of an XRD pattern of a face perpendicular to the *c* axis for Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, NdMn₂O₅, and TbMn₂O₅ single crystals. A zoom in on all the peaks is presented in the inset of Fig. 2. The presence of (002), (003), and (004) peaks confirms the crystal face orientation, and its high quality with a single domain. The reported XRD patterns allow us only to calculate the *c*-lattice constants. However, previous crystallographic studies carried



FIG. 2. θ -2 θ scan of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, NdMn₂O₅, and TbMn₂O₅ single crystals showing the diffracted peaks from the (00*l*) reflection planes. The intensity is presented in a logarithmic scale.



FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of the Raman spectra of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$ recorded in the x(y'z')x polarization. The blue and the red curves show the Raman spectra of $NdMn_2O_5$ and $TbMn_2O_5$ at 5 K, respectively. The Raman spectra of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$ recorded in the x(yz)x and x(zz)x configurations are reported in the Supplemental Material [27].

out on our samples [16,19,24] have identified an orthorhombic structure with the *Pbam* space-group symmetry. The reported lattice constants *a*, *b*, and *c* are found to be 7.4940, 8.6071, and 5.6934 Å for NdMn₂O₅ (at 300 K); 7.531(5), 8.610(5), and 5.719(5) Å for Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ (at 3.8 K); and 7.351, 8.60, and 5.690 Å for TbMn₂O₅ (at 300 K), respectively. As expected, the volume of the unit cell is reduced when going from NdMn₂O₅ (367.233 Å³) to TbMn₂O₅ (359.797 Å³) as the size of the *R* ion is decreased.

Figure 3 presents the temperature dependence of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$ Raman spectra in the x(y'z')x polarization. The details about the optical configurations of our Raman measurements are previously reported in Ref. [15]. The obtained Raman data in the x(zz)x and x(yz)x configurations are provided in the Supplemental Material (Fig. S1) [27]. Typical phonons associated with the orthorhombic RMn_2O_5 family are observed [23,24,28,29]. The phonons' linewidths are narrow, close to 4–10 cm⁻¹, confirming the high crystalline quality of our crystals. Besides, upon decreasing temperature down to 5 K, no additional vibration modes are observed, attesting to a structural phase stability. The Raman spectra of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$ are also compared to those of

TABLE I. Raman-active mode frequencies (in cm⁻¹) in NdMn₂O₅, Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, and TbMn₂O₅. "—" indicates that the vibration mode is not described in literature [29].

Ionic displacements [29]	Symmetry	NdMn ₂ O ₅ 5 K	Nd _{0.8} Tb _{0.2} Mn ₂ O ₅ 5 K	TbMn ₂ O ₅ 5 K
	$A_{\varrho}(3)$	214	216	220
Symmetric bending due to Mn displacement.	$A_g(4)$	224	227	228
	$A_g(8)$	497	499	506
	$A_g(9)$	533	535	548
	$A_{g}^{'}(10)$	601	609	627
Bending modes of Mn1-O3-Mn1 with predominant displacement of Mn1 ions.	$A_g^{\circ}(11)$	619	622	637
Symmetric stretch of Mn1-O3-Mn1 structural units due to oxygen displacements. Mn1-O4- Mn2 and Mn1-O3-Mn2 structural units: bond stretching modes due to O4 motion.	<i>A_g</i> (12)	681	688	704
Symmetric bending due to Mn displacement.	$B_{1g}(4)$	230	232	238
Symmetric bending due to Mn displacement.	$B_{1g}(5)$	323	324	327
Bending modes of Mn1-O3-Mn1 with predominant displacement of Mn1 ions.	$B_{1g}(12)$	655	663	683
Symmetric bending due to Mn displacement.	$B_{2g}(4)$	246	244	241
Asymmetric stretch caused by Mn1 movement. Symmetric bending due to Mn displacement.	$B_{2g}(5)$	292	295	300
	$B_{2g}(0)$	340	548	549
Mn2 displacements.	$B_{2g}(\delta)$	444	447	461
Mn2-O1-Mn2 bending involving predominant O1 motion.	$B_{2g}(9)$	454	458	471
	$B_{3g}(5)$	275	277	279

NdMn₂O₅ (blue) and TbMn₂O₅ (red). Table I lists the phonon frequencies of NdMn₂O₅, Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, and TbMn₂O₅ crystals. The assignment of phonon symmetries and the ionic displacements is mainly based on the space-group analysis and the lattice dynamic calculations reported in Refs. [28] and [29]. Interestingly, our Raman data confirm that the here-investigated $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$ sample is a single crystal and rule out unequivocally possible twinning. These findings are consistent with omega scans of some nuclear reflections in the basis plane $(h \ 0 \ l)$ that were performed on our Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ crystals by Zobkalo *et al.* [19]. They have observed that the nuclear reflections correspond only to one crystallite. Except the vibration mode at 244 cm⁻¹, all the peak positions of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ phonons, especially those at high frequencies mainly related to oxygen vibrations, are noticeably shifted to higher energy compared to those of NdMn₂O₅ and toward those of TbMn₂O₅. This frequency blueshift is in good agreement with the XRD data showing a reduction of the unit-cell volume when the size of the *R* ions is decreased due to smaller $\langle Mn1-O \rangle$ and $\langle Mn2-O \rangle$ bond distances [17]. The corresponding frequencies of the $B_{2g}(4)$ 244 cm⁻¹ mode in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ are 246 cm⁻¹ in NdMn₂O₅ and 241 cm⁻¹ in TbMn₂O₅. The frequency softening of this $B_{2g}(4)$ mode with increasing the Tb substitution is due to the fact that the latter involves mainly the vibration of rare-earth ions [29]. This softening is then caused by the increase of the reduced atomic mass of rare-earth [m(Nd) = 144.242 u and m(Tb) = 158.925 u], since the squared frequency of this mode (ω^2) should scale, if one assumes a harmonic-oscillator-type motion, as 1/m(R).

Figures 4(a), 4(b), and 4(c) show the Raman frequencies of the $A_g(3)$, $A_g(10)$, $A_g(11)$, $B_{2g}(12)$, and $A_g(12)$ cm⁻¹ modes as



FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of the Raman frequencies of $A_g(3)$, $A_g(10)$, $A_g(11)$, $B_{1g}(12)$, and $A_g(12)$ modes in NdMn₂O₅, Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, and TbMn₂O₅. The dotted lines correspond to the expected anharmonic behavior. The characteristic temperatures T_C , T_N , T^* , and T^S are defined in the text.

a function of temperature for NdMn₂O₅, Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, and TbMn₂O₅, respectively. The dashed line is a fit of the experimental data at high temperature with the function $\omega(T) = \omega_0 - C(1 + \frac{2}{e^x - 1})$, where $x = \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2K_BT}$, ω_0 , and C are adjustable parameters. $\omega(T)$ describes the expected temperature dependence of a phonon mode frequency due to anharmonic phonon-phonon scattering. Based on such a behavior, the temperature dependence of some phonons exhibits evident anomalies around the characteristic temperatures $T^* \sim 70 \,\mathrm{K}$ and $T^{\rm S} \sim 150 \, {\rm K}$. The observed feature at $T^{\rm S}$ is attributed to a local disorder effect induced by the splitting of R-O bonds, into short and long bonds [30]. This thermal disorder is reduced at T^* when the number of short bonds matches up the number of long bonds in the unit cell. Interestingly, the Raman frequency of the ~ 622 -cm⁻¹ $A_g(11)$ mode for NdMn₂O₅ follows the anharmonic model between 300 and 160 K but decreases remarkably below $T^* \sim 70$ K. The frequency softening of this mode is very weak for Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅. In contrast, this same mode shows a frequency-hardening behavior with respect to the anharmonic profile for TbMn₂O₅ below $T^* \sim 70$ K. Moreover, the magnitude of the frequency hardening of $A_g(10)$, $A_g(12)$, and $B_{1g}(12)$ below $T^* \sim 70 \text{ K}$ $(\Delta \omega$ with respect to anharmonic prediction) is enhanced when decreasing the reduced R ions' size: $\Delta \omega \sim 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for $NdMn_2O_5$, $\sim 2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$, and $\sim 3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for TbMn₂O₅. These different temperature evolutions of $A_{o}(10)$, $A_g(12)$, and $B_{1g}(12)$ modes, involving mainly the vibration of Mn1-O3-Mn1 and Mn1-O4-Mn2 structural units (see Table I), indicate that doping NdMn₂O₅ with small Tb³⁺ ions (at 20%)

induces noticeable changes in the lattice-dynamic properties. This would result in the modification of magnetic interactions at low temperatures opening the way for the coexistence of several magnetic propagation vectors.

B. Infrared study of the crystal-field excitations in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅

The temperature dependence of the rare-earth CF excitations provides useful information about the surrounding ligands and local inhomogeneities. In orthorhombic RMn₂O₅, the rare-earth R atoms occupy the C_s^{xy} -site symmetry. The oxygen environment and the interactions within the $4f^n$ electron configuration split the R^+ free-ion electronic levels. Each 4I_J multiplet corresponds to (2J + 1)/2 sublevels for Kramers ions (Kramers doublets) and to (2J + 1) for non-Kramers ions. The ${}^{4}I_{J}$ level multiplets of Nd³⁺ have total moments of J = 9/2, 11/2, 13/2, and 15/2 with their corresponding 5, 6, 7, and 8 Kramers doublets, respectively. The ${}^{2}F_{J}$ level multiplets of Tb^{3+} have total moments of J = 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, and 0 with their corresponding 13, 11, 9, 7, 5, 3, and 1 degenerate levels, respectively. A complete identification of the CF level frequencies is necessary for the success of the numerical search for reliable CF parameters that depends strongly on the initial estimate. Figure 5 presents the transmission spectra of NdMn₂O₅, Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, and TbMn₂O₅ at 5 K for E parallel to the *ab* plane. In the transmission spectrum of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$, both the Nd^{3+} $(4f^3) I_{9/2} \rightarrow I_{11/2}, I_{9/2} \rightarrow$ $I_{13/2}$ and $I_{9/2} \rightarrow I_{15/2}$ CF transitions as well as the Tb³⁺ (4f⁸)



FIG. 5. The blue curve shows the transmission spectra of Nd³⁺ ${}^{4}I_{9/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{11/2}$, ${}^{4}I_{3/2}$, and ${}^{4}I_{15/2}$ CF transitions in NdMn₂O₅ at 5 K. The red curve shows the transmission spectra of Tb³⁺ ${}^{2}F_{6} \rightarrow {}^{2}F_{5}$, ${}^{2}F_{4}$, ${}^{2}F_{3}$, ${}^{2}F_{2}$, and ${}^{2}F_{1}$ CF transitions in TbMn₂O₅ at 5 K. The black curve shows the transmission spectra of Nd³⁺ and Tb³⁺ CF transitions in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ at 5 K.

 $F_6 \rightarrow F_5, F_4, F_3, F_2, F_1$, and F_0 CF transitions are found. As theoretically predicted, three groups of sharp absorption lines due to f-f transitions of Nd^{3+} , centered at 2200, 4000, and 6000 cm⁻¹ are detected. These absorption bands correspond to the $I_{9/2} \rightarrow I_{11/2}$, $I_{9/2} \rightarrow I_{13/2}$, and $I_{9/2} \rightarrow I_{15/2}$ CF transitions. Some transmission spectra of all the absorption bands of Nd³⁺ (${}^{4}I_{9}/2 \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{J}$) and Tb³⁺ (${}^{2}F_{6} \rightarrow {}^{2}F_{J}$) CF transitions in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, recorded at different temperature between 300 and 5 K, are shown in the Supplemental Material (Fig. S2) [27]. Table II compares the CF energy levels of the 26 Kramers doublets of Nd³⁺ in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ with those in NdMn₂O₅ at 30 K, while Table III compares the CF energy levels of Tb³⁺ in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ with respect to those in TbMn₂O₅ at 30 K. The ground-state excited levels of Nd³⁺ $(I_{9/2})$ and Tb³⁺ (F₆) are determined when they are thermally populated at high temperatures (above 100 K). Their energy values are deduced by comparing the thermally excited levels of the different multiplets. The CF energy levels of Nd^{3+} in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ are quite similar to those in NdMn₂O₅. Small redshifts (lower than $\sim 2-5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) are only observed for some CF energy levels (excitations at 2141, 2257, 5900, and $6174 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$). These small differences in the CF energy

levels mean that substituting the Nd ions by the smaller Tb ions (at 20%) in NdMn₂O₅ does not significantly change the Nd surrounding oxygen atoms in NdO₈ (the average Nd-O distances ((Nd-O)) could be slightly expanded). This slight change is in agreement with the small redshift ($\sim 2 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$) of the Raman phonon at 246 cm^{-1} involving the *R*-ion vibrations. These findings reveal that the Nd Kramers doublets is only sensitive to the change induced by local magnetic perturbations in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, which are induced by the magnetic moments of Tb ions. In contrast, the CF energy levels of Tb³⁺ in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ are notably shifted when compared to those in TbMn₂O₅: large redshifts ($\sim 30 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$) are observed for some CF energy levels such as those at 3670, 3694, 4512, 5178, and 5564 cm⁻¹ (see Table III). The Tb³⁺ ${}^2F_6 \rightarrow {}^2F_4$ and ${}^{2}F_{6} \rightarrow {}^{2}F_{3}$ CF transitions in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ compared to those in TbMn₂O₅ measured at 5 K with the same polarization E/(ab) plane are reported in Fig. S3 [27]. The shape of absorption bands is also affected as the spectral weight of some CF excitations in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ compared to those in TbMn₂O₅ is redistributed. For example, see the absorption bands at 3388, 3415, 3431, 4448, and 4467 cm^{-1} . All these observations indicate that the Tb surrounding oxygen atoms (TbO₈) in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ is significantly distorted when compared to that in TbMn₂O₅. In addition, the large redshifts of Tb³⁺ CF energy levels in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ implies that the average Tb-O distances (Tb-O) are significantly expanded compared to that in $TbMn_2O_5$.

The temperature dependence of the frequency and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the 3922-cm⁻¹ CF excitation in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, between 300 and 35 K, are reported in Figs. S4(a)–S4(b) [27]. NdMn₂O₅ data are also shown for comparison. As shown, a similar temperature dependence is observed. In fact, the frequency of the 3922-cm⁻¹ CF excitation hardens between 300 and 200 K and becomes nearly constant between 200 and 70 K. Below 70 K, it hardens again while its FWHM decreases gradually in the temperature range going from 300 to 70 K and then increases between 70 and 30 K. Such a behavior is observed for all the Nd^{3+} CF excitations. This broadening of the CF excitations below 70 K, recently reported for the, Sm³⁺, Tb³⁺, and Ho³⁺ CF excitations in SmMn₂O₅, TbMn₂O₅, and HoMn₂O₅ [24,25] is attributed to a local thermal disorder effect. The latter is induced by the splitting of R-O bonds, which also explains the decrease in Raman intensities, and the frequency shifts of some RMn₂O₅ phonons below 180 K. This thermal disorder effect in the RO_8 polyhedra seems to be a universal behavior

TABLE II. Experimental CF excitation energies (in cm⁻¹) at 30 K of the Kramers doublets of Nd³⁺ in NdMn₂O₅.

Nd ³⁺ CF levels in	⁴ <i>I</i> _{9/2}	${}^{4}I_{11/2}$	⁴ <i>I</i> _{13/2}	${}^{4}I_{15/2}$
NdMn ₂ O ₅ /Nd _{0.8} Tb _{0.2} Mn ₂ O ₅	0	1978/1978	3921/3922	5835/5833
	142/141 ^a	2035/2035	3978/3978	5900/5898
	238/238 ^a	2043/2043	4011/4011	6104/6104
	291/290 ^a	2055/2054	4074/4073	6174/6172
	329/329 ^a	2141/2136	4120/4119	6196/6196
		2257/2255	4168/4168	6262/6262
			4246/4245	6289/6289
				6344/6344

^aThe energy of these crystal-field excitations is determined at high temperature when they are thermally populated.

$Tb^{3+}CF$ levels in $Nd_{0.8}T_{0.2}bMn_2O_5/TbMn_2O_5$								
<i>F</i> 6	<i>F</i> 5	F4	F3	F2	<i>F</i> 1	F0		
0	2165/2173	3379/3379	4413/4426	5132/5144	5564/5596	5939/		
/18	2185/2192	3388/3392	4448/4460	5178/5207	5592/5617			
/37	2210/2219	3415/3430	4467/4486	5232/5235	5672/			
65/75	2294/	3431/3453	4512/4535	5246/5249				
105/120	2319/2319	3485/3485	4539/4549	5383/5394				
138/143	2329/	3503/3505	4590/4606					
150/180	2510/2510	/3638 3670/3700 3604/3721	4609/4628					

TABLE III. CF energy levels of Tb³⁺ in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ with respect to those in TbMn₂O₅ at 30 K.

regarding the lattice-dynamic properties of RMn_2O_5 series and raises questions concerning the actual space-group symmetry of RMn_2O_5 systems at high temperature as previously discussed by Baledent *et al.* [18]. Keeping this in mind, further experimental and numerical investigations are still needed to learn more about the role of thermal dynamic properties of the R-O bonds in driving the ferroelectric properties of RMn_2O_5 systems.

Figure 6 shows the absorbance maps of Nd^{3+} $I_{9/2} \rightarrow I_{13/2}$ CF transitions in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ as a function of temperature, between 45 and 4.5 K, compared to those in NdMn₂O₅. The absorbance spectra (A) are calculated from the transmission spectra (T) and the incident intensity (I_0) using the equation $A = -\log_{10}(T) = -\log_{10}(\frac{I}{I_0})$. The variation of the ${}^{4}I_{1}$ 3/2 CF excitation frequencies as a function of temperature is presented by the empty white squares. With decreasing temperature, the CF lines in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ similarly behave as those in NdMn₂O₅ while the different CF excitations are equally split compared to their positions at 35 K. The temperature profile of the splitting shift is almost the same for all the CF excitations. An example illustrating this evident splitting is presented in Fig. S5 for the absorption band at 3922 cm⁻¹ (3921 cm^{-1}) of Nd³⁺ in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ (NdMn₂O₅) [27]. Below 35 K (30 K), this band is well resolved in two subbands at 3918 cm^{-1} (3918 cm⁻¹) and 3925 cm^{-1} (3923 cm⁻¹). As discussed for the CF energy levels of Nd³⁺ in NdMn₂O₅ [15], the uniform splitting of the Nd^{3+} CF excitations in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ is also due to the degeneracy of the KD ground state of Nd^{3+} . This degeneracy (Δ_0) is induced by an effective Zeeman effect resulting from the Nd-Mn exchange interaction below the antiferromagnetic ordering of Mn moments. Figure 7 shows the variation of the splitting $\Delta_0(T)$ as a function of temperature in both Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ and NdMn₂O₅. Similar temperature dependence of $\Delta_0(T)$ is observed. The ground-state KD of Nd³⁺ in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ $(NdMn_2O_5)$ is affected when the temperature is lowered from 30 to 5 K. Indeed, the average doublet splitting increases from 7 cm^{-1} at 30 K (5.5 cm⁻¹ at 28 K) to $\sim 9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (7.5 cm⁻¹) at 5 K. However, the magnitude of $\Delta_0(T)$ in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ is relatively larger than that in NdMn₂O₅. In addition, the corresponding temperature to the splitting of KD ground state is shifted to a higher temperature. For Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ the splitting is observed below 35 K while it occurs only below 30 K for NdMn₂O₅. In NdMn₂O₅ [15], the splitting of Nd³⁺ KD ground state is observed at the occurrence of the ferroelectric phase transition, indicating that the Nd^{3+} ions and Nd-Mn interactions are involved in the magnetic and ferroelectric orderings at low temperatures. The observed relatively high value of the ground-state Nd-Mn exchange splitting (9 cm⁻¹), at higher temperature, could be responsible for the stability of Nd magnetic phase (Nd phase) in



FIG. 6. Absorbance maps of $Nd^{3+} I_{9/2} \rightarrow I_{13/2}$ CF transitions as a function of temperature, between 45 and 4.5 K, in $NdMn_2O_5$ (a) and in $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$ (b), where the empty white squares indicate the CF frequency levels of the ${}^4I_{13/2}$ multiplet. The notations PM, PE, ICM, CM, and FE are used to represent paramagnetic, paraelectric, incommensurate magnetic, commensurate magnetic, and ferroelectric states. (a) was previously reported in our paper on NdMn₂O₅ [15]. The vertical dashed lines indicate the temperature of the different phase transitions.



FIG. 7. Variation of the splitting $\Delta_0(T)$ as a function of temperature in NdMn₂O₅ and in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅.

Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅. We spectulate that the ferroelectic character of Nd phase is enhanced and its contribution to the total spontaneous polarization of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ is improved. However, ferroelectric measurements must be prformed to confirm these inferences. On the other hand, the magnetic anisotropy in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ should be necessarily enhanced compared to NdMn₂O₅ due to the ordering of Tb magnetic moments within the *ab* plane. This means that the Zeeman effect on the *i*th Nd CF excitations, along the *c* axis, should be reduced since it depends on the Zeeman-splitting g tensors (the gyromagnetic g_z factor along the c axis) [31], which is sensitive to the magnetic anisotropy. In the basis quantized along one of the main magnetic axes, the main values of the g tensor obey the relations $g_x = g_y = g_z = g$ for cubic and icosahedral groups and $g_x = g_y = g_{\perp}$, $g_z = g_{\parallel}$ for axial groups [31]. To get a microscopic insight on the magnetic anisotropy change in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, the magnetic field dependence of Nd³⁺ CF transitions are studied and compared to that reported in NdMn₂O₅. Figure 8 (Fig. S6 in Supplemental Material [27]) presents the absorption bands of $\overline{\text{Nd}}^{3+}$ $I_{9/2} \rightarrow I_{11/2}$ ($I_{9/2} \rightarrow I_{13/2}$) CF transitions as a function of magnetic field ($\mathbf{B}||c$), between 0 and 11 T, in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ [Fig. 8(a)] and NdMn₂O₅ [Fig. 8(b)] at 4.2 K. As expected, additional splittings due to the Zeeman effect are observed. The high-energy Kramers-doublets component of the ground state at 4.2 K is very weakly populated and does not contribute to the CF excitations. This means that the detected splittings are directly related to the KD excited multiplets. The magnetic field dependence of the crystal-field level scheme for the ${}^{4}I_{11/2}$ and ${}^{4}I_{13/2}$ multiplets of Nd³⁺ ions in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ is remarkably different from that of the NdMn₂O₅ parent compound. Interestingly, except the CF excitations at 1979 cm⁻¹, which do not split in NdMn₂O₅ while splitting in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, all the CF excitations, which split significantly in NdMn₂O₅, are weakly split under high magnetic fields in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅. For example, the CF excitations at 2260 and 4250 cm⁻¹, which show an important splitting of 50 and 70 cm⁻¹ (11 T) in NdMn₂O₅, exhibit no significant splitting in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ (broadened by \sim 3 cm⁻¹ under 11 T), respectively.



FIG. 8. Absorption bands of $Nd^{3+} I_{9/2} \rightarrow I_{11/2}$ CF transitions as a function of magnetic (**B**||*c*) field, between 0 and 11 T, in NdMn₂O₅ (a) and in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ (b) at 4.2 K.

According to Hemberger *et al.*, the doublet splitting $2\Delta_i$ of the *i*th CF Kramers doublet can be determined by [32]

$$\Delta_i^2 \approx \left(\Delta_z^{\mathrm{ex}} A_{zi}\right)^2 + \left(\Delta_x^{\mathrm{ex}} A_{xi} + g_z \mu_B H_z\right)^2,$$

where A_{xi} and A_{zi} are normalized components of the antiferromagnetic vector of the *i*th Mn ions in the *ac* plane. ($\Delta_z^{ex}A_{zi}$) and ($\Delta_x^{ex}A_{xi}$) are the Nd-Mn exchange splitting for A||x and A||z axis, respectively. g_z represents the *z* component of the g tensor. *x*, *y*, and *z* correspond to the *a*, *b*, and *c* axes, respectively. In this framework, the very weak splitting of excited KD under magnetic fields in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ indicates that the *z* component of *g* tensor, $g_{||} = g_z$ factor, is lower when compared to that of NdMn₂O₅. This means that the magnetic anisotropy is enhanced with the partial substitution of Nd³⁺ ions by Tb³⁺ ions at 20%. This also indicates that the Nd³⁺ spins (and necessarily the Tb³⁺ spins) are more ordered within the *ab* plane.

C. Magnetocrystalline anisotropy and rotating magnetocaloric effect in $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$

Although the magnetocaloric effect (MCE) is generally considered in the community as an "applied" measurement tool to characterize magnetic refrigerant materials [7–9], the MCE is also shown to be a useful method to probe the subtle balance of coexisting phases in mixed-phase manganites [33]. The purpose of this section is to investigate the magnetocaloric properties of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ with a great attention



FIG. 9. (a) Temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility *M/H* of a Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ single crystal measured in ZFC and FC conditions with an applied magnetic field of 500 Oe along the *a*- and *c* axes. Inset: the magnetic susceptibility with an applied magnetic field of 100 Oe along the *c* axis. Triangle and circle symbols indicate susceptibility data measured in ZFC and FC processes, respectively. (b) The derivative of χ along the *c* axis. (c) Curie-Weiss fitting of the inverse susceptibility as a function of temperature and with an applied magnetic field of 500 Oe along the *a*- and *c* axes.

paid to its rotating MCE. The obtained results are analyzed and compared to those reported for the parent compound TbMn₂O₅ [7]. This mainly enables us to get insight regarding the role of magnetocrystalline anisotropy in determining the rotating MCE in the RMn_2O_5 family.

Figure 9(a) shows the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility M/H of a Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ single crystal measured in zero-field cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) conditions with an applied magnetic field of 500 Oe along the *a*- and *c* axes. Inflection points as kinklike anomalies are observed, as indicated by arrows in Figs. 9(a) and 9(c). The inset represents the magnetic susceptibility with an applied magnetic field of 100 Oe along the *c* axis. A large hysteresis is observed between the ZFC and FC curves in the temperature

range between \sim 43 and \sim 20 K. Figure 9(b) presents the derivative of χ along the *c* axis compared to that of NdMn₂O₅. The derivative process determines approximatively the temperatures corresponding to the kinklike anomalies which are located around 43, 26, and 8 K. Here, the nature of these anomalies is explained regarding the recent neutron diffraction study carried out on our crystals and reported by Zobkalo et al. [19]. The anomaly around 43 K could be attributed to a precursor effect of the long-range Mn magnetic order at 37 K. The coexistence of magnetic phase separation in $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$, closer to the magnetic orders in NdMn₂O₅ (Nd phase) and TbMn₂O₅ (Tb phase), makes its phase magnetic diagram rich on account to the competition between different exchange interactions. The anomaly at 26 K, also observed in NdMn₂O₅ and coinciding with the appearance of its ferroelectric character, could be related the Mn spin reordering. The 8 K feature is probably due to the ordering of the rare-earth spins as usually observed in this series of compounds. The large hysteresis, between the ZFC and FC curves, is due to the multiple-k incommensurate magnetic structure observed in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ over the same temperature range. These multiple competing magnetic interactions could arise from the nonrigidity of the Mn³⁺–Mn⁴⁺ bonding and the geometrical arrangement of the superexchange interactions.

Figure 9(c) presents a Curie-Weiss fitting of the inverse susceptibility. Well above T_N , the inverse susceptibility follows the Curie-Weiss behavior $\chi^{-1} = \frac{T - \theta_{CW}}{C}$ (dashed lines), yielding to an effective magnetic moment value of $\mu_{\rm ob} \approx 7.84 \,\mu_B$ that is closer to the theoretical value given by $\mu_{\rm eff} = \sqrt{\left[0.8 \ \mu_{\rm eff}(\rm Nd^{3+})\right]^2 + \left(0.2 \ \mu_{\rm eff}(\rm Tb^{3+})\right)^2 + \ \mu_{\rm eff}^2(\rm Mn^{3+}) + }$ $\sqrt{\mu_{\rm eff}^2({\rm Mn}^{4+})} = 7.15\mu_B$. By considering the demagnetization factor, the obtained value of of θ_{CW} along the *c* axis is roughly -102 K, suggesting dominant antiferromagnetic interactions. In contrast, the value of θ_{CW} along the *a* axis is about -11K, indicating a strong magnetocrystalline anisotropy in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅. According to Hur et al. [34], RMn₂O₅ compounds exhibit a strong magnetocrystalline anisotropy, and their easy directions depend on the size of R ions. The easy magnetic direction was found to be along the b axis for $DyMn_2O_5$ [34] and $HoMn_2O_5$ [8], while it is along the *a* axis for TbMn₂O₅ [2]. For ErMn₂O₅ [35], TmMn₂O₅ [36], and Yb Mn_2O_5 [37], the easy magnetization orientation is along the c axis. However, in $GdMn_2O_5$, no magnetocrystalline anisotropy between the main crystallographic axes is observed [38,39]. Concerning light rare-earth ions, the easy magnetization direction in NdMn₂O₅ is along the ab plane [14] since no significant anisotropy occurs between the a and b directions. For the $SmMn_2O_5$ compound, the easy axis is parallel to the c direction. At low temperatures, Blake et al. [40] has shown that the spin structures of RMn_2O_5 mainly differ in their periodicity along the c axis, which was attributed to the change of the R radius that determines also the sign of the magnetic exchange between adjacent planes. However, the nature of magnetocrystalline anisotropy in the RMn_2O_5 family, already manifested in the paramagnetic phase, is not clear and still needs investigation. Here, the role of the anisotropic charge distribution of their 4f shells in determining the anisotropic magnetic properties of RMn₂O₅

compounds is addressed by studying the dependence of $\theta_{||} - \theta_{\perp}$ sign on the atomic number of the rare earth. Indeed, the sign of the difference $\theta_{||} - \theta_{\perp}$ is determined by the sign of the Stevens factor α_J , which is a measure of the quadrupolar charge distribution (prolate or oblate shape) within the *R* ion, itself determined by the crystal field. This approach has been successfully used to explain the origin of the magnetocrystalline anisotropy in the ferroic hexagonal *R*MnO₃ oxides with heavy rare-earth elements [41]. Below, we adopt this formalism to the multiferroic *R*Mn₂O₅ oxides with both heavy and light rare-earth ions.

According to the Elliott formula, the difference $\theta_{||}-\theta_{\perp}$ can be written as

$$\theta_{||} - \theta_{\perp} = -\frac{3}{10}(2J - 1)(2J + 3)\alpha_J A_{20} \langle r^2 \rangle, \qquad (1)$$

where $\langle r^2 \rangle$ is the 4*f* radial expectation value, A_{20} is the rareearth crystal-field parameter, and α_J is the Stevens factor. We consider the ground configuration $4f^N$, where *N* is the number of 4*f* electrons (1 < *N* < 14). The quantum numbers *S*, *L*, and *J*, regarded as continuous variable, can be expressed in terms of *N* by the means of the three Hund rules:

$$S = \frac{1}{2}(14 - N) \text{ for heavy RE}$$
(2)

$$S = \frac{1}{2}N$$
 for light RE (3)

$$L = S(N - 7) = \frac{1}{2}(14 - N)(N - 7) \text{ for heavy RE} \quad (4)$$

$$L = S(7 - N) = \frac{1}{2}N(7 - N)$$
 for light RE (5)

$$J = L + S = \frac{1}{2}(N - 6)(14 - N)$$
 for heavy RE (6)

$$J = L - S = \frac{1}{2}N(6 - N) \text{ for light RE}$$
(7)

For the Stevens factor of a heavy rare-earth ion the following expression holds [42]:

$$\alpha_J = \frac{2}{45} \frac{L(7-4S)}{J(2J-1)} \text{ for heavy RE}$$
(8)

$$\alpha_J = -\frac{2}{45} \frac{(7-4S)}{(2L-1)} \frac{(L+1)(2L+3)}{(J+1)(2J+3)} \text{ for light RE}$$
(9)

where the "-" sign is used in the first half of the shell and the "+" sign is used in the second half of the shell. By writing J and α_J as a function of N, $\theta_{||} - \theta_{\perp}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$\theta_{\parallel} - \theta_{\perp} = \frac{1}{75} f'_N A_{20} \langle r^2 \rangle$$
 for heavy RE (10)

$$\theta_{\parallel} - \theta_{\perp} = \frac{1}{75} f_N'' A_{20} \langle r^2 \rangle$$
 for light RE (11)

where

$$f'_N = (N-7)(2N-21)\left(N-14-\frac{3}{N-6}\right)$$
 for heavy R (12)

$$f_N'' = \frac{[N(6-N)-1](7-2N)[N(7-N)+2][N(7-N)+3]}{[N(6-N)+2][N(7-N)-1]} \times \text{ for light RE}$$
(13)



FIG. 10. Dependence of $(\theta_{\parallel} - \theta_{\perp})$ sign on the atomic number (*N*) of 4*f* electrons in the ground configuration of R^{3+} rare-earth elements. The dashed blue and red curves represent Eqs. (12) and (13), respectively (times -4 K). The number *N* is regarded as a continuous variable. The experimental data of $(\theta_{\parallel} - \theta_{\perp})$ (triangle symbol) for NdMn₂O₅, SmMn₂O₅, TbMn₂O₅, and HoMn₂O₅ are determined from our magnetization measurements, while those for GdMn₂O₅, DyMn₂O₅, ErMn₂O₅, TmMn₂O₅, and YbMn₂O₅ are deduced from Refs. [8,35–39].

According to this prediction, the quantity $\theta_{\parallel} - \theta_{\perp}$ should have the same dependence on *N* as the numerical factors f'_N (for heavy RE) and f''_N (for light RE). Figure 10 shows the dependence on *N* of the quantity $\theta_{\parallel} - \theta_{\perp}$, where the dashed red and blue lines represent Eqs. (12) and (13) (times -4 K), respectively. The crystal-field parameter $A_{20}\langle r^2 \rangle$ is fixed at $A_{20}\langle r^2 \rangle = -300$ K. As shown, a good agreement, including a change of sign between N = 3 (Nd³⁺) and 5 (Sm³⁺) and between N = 10 (Ho³⁺) and 11(Er³⁺), can be clearly observed. In addition, the absence of anisotropy for Gd³⁺ magnetic moments (f'_N is zero for Gd³⁺) confirms Sanina *et al.*'s findings [38,39]. This demonstrates that the anisotropic magnetic properties of *R*Mn₂O₅ at high temperatures are predominantly governed by the second-order crystal field on the rare-earth sites.

The isothermal M(H) curves of the Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ single crystal measured as a function of magnetic field applied along the easy and hard axes are plotted in Figs. 11(a) and 11(b), respectively. Along the easy axis *a*, the magnetization isotherms demonstrate a typical "ferromagnetic" behavior at the temperatures below the Néel transition temperature of Tb $(T_{\rm Tb})$, while the linear field dependence of the magnetization at high temperatures is typical for a "paramagnetic phase." The temperature dependence of isothermal entropy change (ΔS) due to the variation of applied magnetic field is the most-used parameter for evaluating the magnetocaloric potential of magnetic materials [43]. In an isothermal process of magnetization, ΔS can be obtained from isotherms M(H)curves by using the well-known Maxwell relation,

$$\Delta S(T, 0 \to H) = \int_0^H \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right)_{H'} dH'.$$
 (14)

Due to the discreteness of the data, the ΔS values are usually computed by the following numerical



FIG. 11. (a), (b) Isothermal magnetization curves of the single-crystal Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ measured as a function of magnetic field applied along the *a*- and *c* axes at different fixed temperatures. (c), (d) Computed value of $-\Delta S$ as a function of temperature under several external magnetic fields along the *a*- and *c* axes.

formula:

$$\Delta S = \sum_{i} \frac{M_{i+1} - M_i}{T_{i+1} - T_i} \Delta H_i, \qquad (15)$$

where M_{i+1} and M_i are the measured magnetizations in a field *H*, at temperatures T_{i+1} and T_i , respectively. Figures 11(c) and 11(d) show the computed value of $-\Delta S$ as a function of temperature under several external magnetic fields along the a and c axes in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅. As shown, the isothermal entropy change also reveals a considerable anisotropy. For H//a, the $-\Delta S$ maxima are distributed in the temperature range between \sim 5 and \sim 15 K. When changing the magnetic field from 0 to 2 T, 0 to 5 T and 0 to 7 T, the isothermal entropy change reaches maximum values of 3.08, 4.23, and 4.81 J/kg K for H//a, while it is only 0.08, 0.41, and 0.79 J/kg K for H//c, respectively. The maximum value of $-\Delta S$, along the easy axis and in a field of 7 T, is about ~ 6.1 times larger than that along the hard orientation. For the parent compound TbMn₂O₅, the maximum value of $-\Delta S$ along the easy axis in a field of 7 T is about \sim 63 times larger than that along the hard orientation as previously reported in Ref. [7]. The change of $-\Delta S$ of the parent compound NdMn₂O₅ as a function of temperature under several external magnetic fields along the a and c axes is reported in Fig. S7 [27]. In the field change of 7 T, the computed $-\Delta S$ along the easy axis, is about ~ 3 times larger than that calculated along the hard orientation. Figure 12 shows the entropy changes related to the rotation of the single crystals TbMn₂O₅ [Fig. 12(a)], Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ [Fig. 12(b)], and NdMn₂O₅ [Fig. 12(c)] from the c to a direction by 90° in different constant magnetic fields initially parallel to the *c* axis. This rotating entropy change can be expressed as follows [7,8,43]: $\Delta S_{R,ca} = \Delta S(H//a) - \Delta S(H//c)$, where $\Delta S(H//a)$ and $\Delta S(H//c)$ are the entropy changes when the magnetic field is applied along the *a* and *c* axes, respectively. Under a magnetic field change of 0–7 T, the temperature corresponding to the maximum of $-\Delta S_R$ is shifted from ~6 K for NdMn₂O₅, to ~12 K for Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, and to ~15 K for TbMn₂O₅.

The refrigerant capacity (RC) is a parameter that has been suggested by Gschneidner and Pecharsky [44] as an important figure of merit for the evaluation of magnetocaloric materials. The RC measures the amount of thermal energy that can be transferred between the hot (T_H) and cold (T_C) reservoirs in one ideal refrigeration cycle. It is defined as

$$\mathrm{RC} = \int_{T_c}^{T_H} \Delta S(T) dT, \qquad (16)$$

where T_H and T_C are the temperatures marking the width at half maximum of the $-\Delta S(T)$ profile. The relative cooling power (RCP), which strongly depends on the maximum entropy change (ΔS_M^{max}) and the FWHM of ΔS peak (δT_{FWHM}) is also usually used to rapidly assess magnetocaloric materials. It is defined as

$$RCP = \Delta S_M^{\text{max}} \delta T_{\text{FWHM.}}$$
(17)

The RC and RCP values as a function of applied magnetic field for Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ are presented in Figs. 13(a) and 13(b), respectively. The RC and RCP values presented in filled black circles are directly calculated from the ΔS_R



FIG. 12. Entropy changes related to the rotation of the single crystals TbMn_2O_5 (a), $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Tb}_{0.2}\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_5$ (b), and NdMn_2O_5 (c) from the *c* to the *a* direction by 90° in different constant magnetic fields, with magnetic field initially parallel to the *c* axis.

change of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$. With increasing field, the RC (RCP) increases almost linearly with a rate of about 16 J/kg T (22 J/kg T). Under a magnetic field change of 0–7 T, the RC (RCP) of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$ reaches 120 J/kg (144 J/kg),



FIG. 13. RC and RCP changes as a function of applied magnetic field for $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$. The RC and RCP values presented in black circles are directly calculated from the ΔS_R change of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$. The RC and RCP values presented in red triangles are calculated from the reference compounds $NdMn_2O_5$ and $TbMn_2O_5$ (RC' and RCP').

being much larger than that of NdMn₂O₅, which is 9 J/kg (11 J/kg), and much smaller than that of TbMn₂O₅, which attains 443 J/kg (571 J/kg). As mentioned above, Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ presents a magnetic phase separation without a twinning effect. One of them bears the features of TbMn₂O₅ and the other one of NdMn₂O₅. This implies that the magnetocaloric power of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ could be a result from the contribution of two magnetic phases. To check if the MCE of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ is related to the subtle balance of coexisting magnetic phases, the RC and RCP changes as a function of magnetic field of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ are compared to those of NdMn₂O₅ and TbMn₂O₅ reference materials. Here, we presume that the fractions of coexisting magnetic phases correspond to the stoichiometric ratios x_{Nd} and x_{Tb} since the Nd phase and Tb phase are induced and stabilized locally by the R-ions' anisotropic sites. This means that the RC (RCP) changes of $Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn_2O_5$ can be calculated using a multiple linear analysis of the RC (RCP) values of reference compounds NdMn₂O₅ and TbMn₂O₅. In this case,

$$RC_{Nd0.8Tb0.2Mn2O5} = x_{Nd} RC_{NdMn2O5} + x_{Tb}RC_{TbMn2O5},$$
 (18)

$$RCP_{Nd0.8Tb0.2Mn2O5} = x_{Nd}RCP_{NdMn2O5} + x_{Tb}RCP_{TbMn2O5},$$
(19)

where the fitting parameters x_{Nd} and x_{Tb} are 0.8 and 0.2, respectively. Hereafter, RC' (RCP') denotes the RC (RCP) of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ deduced from the reference compounds NdMn₂O₅ and TbMn₂O₅. RC' and RCP' are presented in filled red triangles in Figs. 13(a) and 13(b), respectively. Both, RC (RCP) and RC' (RCP') present a linear behavior versus magnetic field, with quite a similar rate of about 16 J/kg T (22 J/kg T). This suggests that each Nd- and Tb- separated magnetic phase contributed independently to the magnetocaloric effect of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅. However, RC (RCP) is larger and quasiuniformly scaled with respect to RC' (RCP'). This discrepancy is probably induced by the actual magnetic structures of separated magnetic phases in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅, which are slightly different from that of NdMn₂O₅ and TbMn₂O₅ as reported by Zobkalo et al. [19]. More interestingly, the temperature corresponding to the maximum of $-\Delta S$ in such separated magnetic phase systems can be shifted from 7 K for NdMn₂O₅ to 15 K for TbMn₂O₅, which

can control and extend the working temperature range of the magnetocaloric effect. All these findings indicate that for such separated magnetic phase systems, the magnetocaloric effect can be finely tuned by controlling the balance of coexisting magnetic phases.

IV. CONCLUSION

To sum up, the optical and magnetic investigations of the multiferroic and magnetocaloric properties of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ are reported. The Raman phonon frequencies and almost all the CF energy levels of Kramers ion Nd³⁺ and non-Kramers ion Tb³⁺ in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ have been determined and compared to those in the NdMn₂O₅ and TbMn₂O₅ parent compounds. Raman and infrared data confirm that our Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ sample is a single crystal and rule out unequivocally possible twinning. Also, the variation of phonon frequencies and CF excitation energies, with respect to those in NdMn₂O₅ and TbMn₂O₅, retraces a noticeable change in the Mn1-O3-Mn1 and TbO8 structural units that could explain the coexistence of separated magnetic phases in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅. In addition, we have found that the ground-state Kramers doublet of Nd³⁺ splits into two sublevels below $T_N \sim 30$ K, which is associated with the occurrence of Nd phase. This splitting $(\Delta_0 \sim 9 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ is larger than the one reported in NdMn₂O₅ ($\Delta_0 \sim 7.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), suggesting that the Nd^{3+} – Mn^{3+} interaction is involved in the stability of magnetic and ferroelectric phases in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅. The weak Zeeman splitting of excited crystal-field levels of Nd^{3+}

- [1] N. Hur, S. Park, P. Sharma, J. Ahn, S. Guha, and S-W. Cheong, Nature (London) 429, 392 (2004).
- [2] T. Kimura, T. Goto, H. Shintani, K. Ishizaka, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) 426, 55 (2003).
- [3] S.-W. Cheong and M. Mostovoy, Nature (London) 6, 13 (2007).
- [4] J. A. Mundy, C. M. Brooks, M. E. Holtz, J. A. Moyer, H. Das, A. F. Rébola, J. T. Heron, J. D. Clarkson, S. M. Disseler, Z. Liu, A. Farhan, R. Held, R. Hovden, E. Padgett, Q. Mao, H. Paik, R. Misra, L. F. Kourkoutis, E. Arenholz, A. Scholl, J. A. Borchers, W. D. Ratcliff, R. Ramesh, C. J. Fennie, P. Schiffer, D. A. Muller, and D. G. Schlom, Nature (London) 537, 523 (2016).
- [5] M. Bibes and A. Barthelemy, Nat. Mater. 7, 425 (2008).
- [6] M. J. Pitcher et al., Science 347, 420 (2015).
- [7] M. Balli, S. Jandl, P. Fournier, and D. Z. Dimitrov, Appl. Phys. Lett. 108, 102401 (2016).
- [8] M. Balli, S. Jandl, P. Fournier, and M. M. Gospodinov, Appl. Phys. Lett. **104**, 232402 (2014).
- [9] M. Balli, P. Fournier, S. Jandl, S. Mansouri, A. Mukhin, Y. V. Ivanov, and A. M. Balbashov, Phys. Rev. B 96, 146401 (2017).
- [10] N. Lee, C. Vecchini, Y. J. Choi, L. C. Chapon, A. Bombardi, P. G. Radaelli, and S. W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 137203 (2013).
- [11] M. Fukunaga and Y. Noda, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 79, 054705 (2010).
- [12] W. Peng, V. Balédent, S. Chattopadhyay, M.-B. Lepetit, G. Yahia, C. V. Colin, M. J. Gooch, C. R. Pasquier, P. Auban-Senzier, M. Greenblatt, and P. Foury-Leylekian, Phys. Rev. B 96, 054418 (2017).

under magnetic fields reveals that the g_z factor is weak compared to that in NdMn₂O₅. This indicates that the magnetic anisotropy is enhanced and the R^{3+} spins in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ are mostly aligned within the *ab* plane. On the other hand, the magnetocrystalline anisotropy, shown by the whole RMn_2O_5 family, is reviewed and investigated by studying quantitatively the anisotropy of paramagnetic Curie temperatures $\theta_{\parallel} - \theta_{\perp}$ as a function of the rare-earth atomic number. Our theoretical analysis demonstrates that the variation in $\theta_{||} - \theta_{||}$ across the rare-earth series is determined by the quadrupolar charge distribution of 4f shells, itself determined by the crystal field. The rotating magnetocaloric effect in Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅ was also evaluated and compared to those of NdMn2O5 and TbMn₂O₅. The result shows that Nd- and Tb-separated magnetic phases contribute independently to the magnetocaloric effect of Nd_{0.8}Tb_{0.2}Mn₂O₅.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the technical support of C. Chabanier, S. Pelletier, and J. Rousseau. S.M., S.J., and M.C. would like to thank the Canada Research Chair Program and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for their financial support. I.A.Z. is grateful to the Russian Foundation for Basic Research for the financial support (Grant No. 16-02-00545-a). M.B. appreciates the financial support from the International University of Rabat.

- [13] C. Doubrovsky, G. André, A. Gukasov, P. Auban-Senzier, C. R. Pasquier, E. Elkaim, M. Li, M. Greenblatt, F. Damay, and P. Foury-Leylekian, Phys. Rev. B 86, 174417 (2012).
- [14] S. Chattopadhyay, V. Balédent, F. Damay, A. Gukasov, E. Moshopoulou, P. Auban- Senzier, C. Pasquier, G. André, F. Porcher, E. Elkaim, C. Doubrovsky, M. Greenblatt, and P. Foury-Leylekian, Phys. Rev. B 93, 104406 (2016); S. Chattopadhyay, V. Baledent, P. Auban-Senzier, C. Pasquier, C. Doubrovsky, M. Greenblatt, and P. Foury-Leylekian, Physica, B 460, 214 (2015).
- [15] S. Mansouri, S. Jandl, M. Balli, P. Fournier, B. Roberge, M. Orlita, I. A. Zobkalo, S. N. Barilo, and S. V. Shiryaev, Phys. Rev. B 98, 205119 (2018).
- [16] I. A. Zobkalo, A. N. Matveeva, A. Sazonov, S. N. Barilo, S. V. Shiryaev, B. Pedersen, and V. Hutanu, Phys. Rev. B 101, 064425 (2020).
- [17] J. A. Alonso, M. T. Casais, M. J. Martinez-Lope, J. L. Martinez, and M. T. Fernandez- Diaz, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 9, 8515 (1997).
- [18] V. Baledent, S. Chattopadhyay, P. Fertey, M. B. Lepetit, M. Greenblatt, B. Wanklyn, F. O. Saouma, J. I. Jang, and P. Foury-Leylekian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 117601 (2015); N. Narayanan, P. J. Graham, P. Rovillain, J. O'Brien, J. Bertinshaw, S. Yick, J. Hester, A. Maljuk, D. Souptel, B. Büchner, D. Argyriou, and C. Ulrich, Phys. Rev. B **105**, 214413 (2022).
- [19] I. Zobkalo, S. Gavrilov, A. Matveeva, A. Sazonov, S. Barilo, S. Shiryaev, and V. Hutanu, IEEE Trans. Magn. 58, 1 (2022).

- [20] S. Mansouri, S. Jandl, A. Mukhin, V. Y. Ivanov, and A. Balbashov, Sci. Rep. 7, 13796 (2017).
- [21] S. Jandl, S. Mansouri, J. Vermette, A. A. Mukhin, V. Yu Ivanov, A. Balbashov, and M. Orlita, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25, 475403 (2013).
- [22] S. Mansouri, S. Jandl, J. Laverdière, P. Fournier, A. A. Mukhin, V. Yu Ivanov, and A. M. Balbashov, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25, 066003 (2013).
- [23] S. Mansouri, S. Jandl, B. Roberge, M. Balli, D. Z. Dimitrov, M. Orlita, and C. Faugeras, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 28, 055901 (2016).
- [24] S. Mansouri, S. Jandl, M. Balli, J. Laverdiere, P. Fournier, and D. Z. Dimitrov, Phys. Rev. B 94, 115109 (2016).
- [25] S. Mansouri, S. Jandl, M. Balli, P. Fournier, Y. Ishii, H. Kimura, M. Orlita, and M. Chaker, Phys. Rev. B 100, 085147 (2019).
- [26] S. Mansouri, S. Jandl, M. Balli, P. Fournier A.A. Mukhin, V. Yu Ivanov, A. Balbashov, and M. Orlita, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 30, 175602 (2018).
- [27] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.106.085107 for additional figures.
- [28] B. Mihailova, M. M. Gospodinov, B. Güttler, F. Yen, A. P. Litvinchuk, and M. N. Iliev, Phys. Rev. B 71, 172301 (2005).
- [29] A. P. Litvinchuk, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 321, 2373 (2009).
- [30] T. A. Tyson, M. Deleon, S. Yoong, and S-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. B 75, 174413 (2007).
- [31] L. F. Chibotaru, A. Ceulemans, and H. Bolvin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 033003 (2008).

- [32] J. Hemberger, M. Brando, R. Wehn, V. Yu. Ivanov, A. A. Mukhin, A. M. Balbashov, and A. Loidl, Phys. Rev. B 69, 064418 (2004).
- [33] M. H. Phan, M. B. Morales, N. S. Bingham, H. Srikanth, C. L. Zhang, and S. W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. B 81, 094413 (2010).
- [34] N. Hur, S. Park, P. A. Sharma, S. Guha, and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 107207 (2004).
- [35] A. M. Kadomtseva, S. S. Krotov, Y. F. Popov, G. P. Vorobev, and M. M. Lukina, JETP 100, 305 (2005).
- [36] M. Uga, N. Iwata, and K. Kohn, Ferroelectrics 219, 55 (1998).
- [37] Y. Koyata, H. Nakamura, N. Iwata, A. Inomata, and K. Kohn, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 1383 (1996).
- [38] B. Kh. Khannanov, V. A. Sanina, E. I. Golovenchits, and M. P. Scheglov, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 421, 326 (2017).
- [39] V. A. Sanina, B. Kh Khannanov, and E. I. Golovenchits, Phys. Solid State 59.10, 1952 (2017).
- [40] G. R. Blake, L. C. Chapon, P. G. Radaelli, S. Park, N. Hur, S.-W. Cheong, and J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, Phys. Rev. B 71, 214402 (2005).
- [41] V. Skumryev, M. D. Kuz'min, M. Gospodinov, and J. Fontcuberta, J. Phys. Rev. B 79, 212414 (2009).
- [42] M. D. Kuz'min and A. M. Tishin, in *Handbook of Magnetic Materials*, edited by K. H. J. Buschow (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2008), Vol. 17, p. 159.
- [43] M. Balli, S. Jandl, P. Fournier, and A. Kedous-Lebouc, Appl. Phys. Rev. 4, 021305 (2017).
- [44] K. A. Gschneidner Jr. and V. K. Pecharsky, Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 30, 387 (2000).