

# What are the limitations for the use of Carbopack X as passive or active sampling adsorbent for determination of 1,3-butadiene in ambient environment?

D. Urupina, T. Leonardis, S. Crunaire, Nadine Locoge

# ▶ To cite this version:

D. Urupina, T. Leonardis, S. Crunaire, Nadine Locoge. What are the limitations for the use of Carbopack X as passive or active sampling adsorbent for determination of 1,3-butadiene in ambient environment?. Environmental Technology and Innovation, 2022, 28, pp.102711. 10.1016/j.eti.2022.102711. hal-03749135

# HAL Id: hal-03749135 https://hal.science/hal-03749135v1

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

#### What are the limitations for the use of Carbopack X as passive or active 1 sampling adsorbent for determination of 1,3-butadiene in ambient 2 environment? 3 D. Urupina<sup>1\*</sup>, T. Leonardis<sup>1</sup>, S. Crunaire<sup>1</sup>, N. Locoge<sup>1</sup> 4 5 6 7 (1) IMT Nord Europe, Institut Mines-Telecom, Univ. Lille, Centre for Energy and Environment, F-8 59000 Lille, France 9 10 \*Corresponding author: darya.urupina@imt-lille-douai.fr 11 12 Key Words: 1,3-butadiene, diffusive sampling, active sampling, Carbopack X, environmental 13 monitoring 14

# 15 Abstract

16 Two widely used sampling methods for measurement of 1,3 butadiene in ambient air include active 17 sampling and radial passive sampling techniques using sorbent tubes filled with Carbopack X 18 adsorbent. The laboratory study was designed to evaluate the suitability of these sampling methods 19 for long-term monitoring of 1,3-butadiene in ambient air. The results point against the use of passive 20 radial diffusive sampling for determination of 1,3-butadiene due to the dependence of its uptake rate 21 on experimental conditions. Inability to correctly reflect the occurrence of episodes of high 22 concentration levels using radial diffusive passive sampling was proven experimentally. As for active 23 sampling technique, caution must be taken as to not overreach the safe sampling limit which 24 considerably decreases as a function of temperature and relative humidity. At 10 ml min<sup>-1</sup> flow-rate, 25 the breakthrough volume per gram of Carbopack X material is established at 81.6-84.0 L at 50% RH, 26 20 °C; 61.2-64.8 L at 80% RH, 20 °C; and 24.0-28.8 L at 80% RH, 30 °C. Relatively low sampling times 27 associated with these sampling volumes point to the need to develop more adequate supports for 28 active sampling to enable long-term ambient monitoring.

29

## 30 1. Introduction

31 1,3-butadiene is a volatile hazardous pollutant which is used as an intermediate in the manufacturing 32 of adhesives, synthetic rubber, polymers, organic fiber, plastics, resin, paints, coatings, lubricants, 33 and automotive care products [1]. Along with vicinity of the production sites of the above-mentioned 34 products, its major environmental sources are linked to automobile exhaust and wood smoke [2], [3], 35 [4]. The highest exposure to butadiene occurs in occupational settings with exposure levels of up to 2 36 mg m<sup>-3</sup> reported for US and EU [4]. For non-occupational exposure, 1,3-butadiene is detected at 37 lower levels. Mean indoor air concentrations of 1,3-butadiene measured inside of residential homes 38 range from 0.1 to 2.5  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> [5]. Ambient levels of 1,3-butadiene show high variability and range from a few ng m<sup>-3</sup> in rural areas to hundreds of µg m<sup>-3</sup> in the direct vicinity of industrial sources [6], 39 40 [7], [8].

41 1,3-butadiene is a colorless gas characterized by high reactivity and short lifetime [9]. Its major fate 42 in the atmosphere is removal by hydroxyl radicals, with lifetimes estimated at 4.2 and 21 hours in 43 summer and winter respectfully (rate coefficient for the reaction of 1,3-butadiene with OH at 298 K is 44 equal to  $6.7 \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) [9]. Due to the short lifetime, the potential for long-range 45 transport of this compound is limited [10].

46 1,3-butadiene is classified as a Group 1 carcinogen by International Agency for Research on Cancer 47 (IARC) which puts it on the list of compounds that require adequate monitoring [4]. European 48 chemical agency (ECHA) classifies 1,3-butadiene as Class 1A carcinogen and 1B mutagen [11]. Based 49 on modelled animal data, ovarian atrophy presents the highest risk linked to exposure to 1,3-50 butadiene [12]. Benchmark concentration associated with 10% benchmark response due to 50-year 51 exposure (birth to menopause) was established at just 0.9 ppb (2.0  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) [12]. The highest 52 carcinogenic risk for general population was associated with a specific type of leukemia, called 53 lymphoid neoplasm [13]. More specifically, by the age of 70 an excess risk of 1/100,000 was 54 established at environmental concentration of 0.06 ppm (135 µg m<sup>-3</sup>) [13]. As for occupational 55 exposure, by the age of 70, a risk of 1/100,000 for 45-year exposure (from 20 years) was linked to 56 0.273 ppm (614  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) concentration of 1,3-butadiene [13]. According to the French Agency for 57 Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES) a 45-year occupational exposure to 8 58  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> (3.6 ppb) for 8 h a day, 240 days a year (from 25 to 70 years) leads to an increased mortality 59 risk of 1/100,000 associated with leukemia [14]. Currently, based on summary reports by 60 internationally recognized organizations (US EPA, 2002; JRC, 2002; AFSSET, 2010; INERIS, 2019; 61 ANSES, 2019) a toxicity reference value of 2.0  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> is established by ANSES for chronic exposure by 62 respiratory route [15]. In addition, the products of 1,3-butadiene reaction with hydroxyl radicals and 63 ozone in ambient air include acrolein and formaldehyde that are likewise considered to be toxic [16].

64 For 1,3-butadiene two types of monitoring can be distinguished: short-time occupational monitoring at concentrations in the order of mg m<sup>-3</sup> and long-time ambient air monitoring with concentrations in 65 66 the order of  $\mu g m^{-3}$ . Of the two, environmental monitoring presents additional challenges due to the 67 fact that ambient air is a dynamic system and thus high fluctuations of temperature and humidity, 68 changes in wind speed and direction, and possibility of transient episodes are expected. Personal 69 exposure and lifetime cancer risk estimation due to 1,3-butadiene in various environments is an area 70 of active research [17], [5]. This article specifically addresses the challenges of 1,3-butadiene ambient 71 air monitoring where the optimum method is expected to have the following characteristics: is 72 sensitive to low concentrations of 1,3-butadiene; has a sufficiently wide response range to include 73 transient episodes of high concentrations; applicable to long-term monitoring (in order to provide 74 the most accurate information on the average yearly exposure levels by employing the least number 75 of measurements); compliant with real levels of environmental parameters such as temperature, 76 humidity and wind speed; resistant to variations of temperature and humidity; specific to 1,3-77 butadiene in the presence of other compounds; is cost-effective to enable long-term monitoring at 78 multiple locations; and last, but not least, is straightforward to use. Based on these criteria, direct 79 automated methods for determination of 1,3-butadiene, such as online GC-MS, PTR-MS or SIFT-MS 80 monitoring, even though operating in real time, robust, and exhibiting detection limits as low as 50 81 ppt, are judged to be too expensive for routine monitoring at multiple location sites and will not be 82 further discussed [18], [19]. Instead, we will focus on passive and active sampling using adsorbent 83 materials.

84 Diffusive sampling technique is widely used in ambient monitoring of hazardous volatile compounds 85 due to its ease of use, low cost and ability to survey simultaneously large areas at multiple location 86 sites. The technique is currently employed by US EPA at petroleum refineries for fenceline 87 monitoring of a number of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), such as benzene, toluene and xylene 88 with a recommended sampling period of 2 weeks using industry standard inert-coated stainless steel tubes packed with Carbograph 1, Carbogack B, Carbogack X or another equivalent sorbent [20], 89 90 [21], [22]. For environmental sampling of 1,3-butadiene using Carbopack X or Carbograph 5 one-91 week long sampling is recommended [23]. Diffusive sampling is relatively cheap, requires no energy 92 supply and is easy to operate. Nevertheless, evaluating analyte concentration using diffusive 93 sampling technique heavily relies on correct values of analyte's uptake rate, which is linked to 94 environmental conditions (humidity, temperature, pollutant concentration, change in wind speed) 95 and experimental parameters (sampling time, presence of co-pollutants, variability of concentration). 96 Diffusive samplers include badge-type samplers and tube-type samplers, that can be further 97 classified as axial (diffusion path is parallel to the axis of the sorbent cartridge) and radial (diffusion 98 path is parallel to the radius of the sorbent cartridge). Radial diffusive samplers, such as Radiello 99 samplers have a higher diffusive surface than axial samplers and thus allow higher sampling rates. 100 Based on the data obtained using Radiello diffusive samplers that consist of cylindrical cartridge (60 101 mm long, 100 mesh hole size) filled with 350 mg of Carbopack X adsorbent housed in a cylindrical diffusive body made of polycarbonate and microporous polyethylene (50 mm long, 16 mm diameter) 102 103 and exposed to standard atmospheres of 1,3-butadiene and benzene in experimental chamber (20°C 104 et 1013 hPa), Strandberg et al. calculated the uptake rate for 2.26  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> of 1,3-butadiene to be 105 5.1±0.31 ml min<sup>-1</sup> if sampled for a one-week period and 22.6±0.23 ml min<sup>-1</sup> if sampled for a 24 h. 106 Thus, it is highlighted that for 1,3-butadiene time of sampling affects significantly the uptake rates 107 [23]. It was suggested that sampling rates should be validated for different time periods [23].

108 In the current work radial diffusive sampling of 1,3-butadiene is evaluated by studying the 109 dependency of its uptake rates on changes in ambient conditions. To this end, 1,3-butadiene uptake 110 rates for RAD 141 Radiello diffusive samplers filled with Carbopack X (40/60 mesh) are obtained for one-week exposure at various experimental conditions, such as humidity, temperature, constant and 111 112 changing concentration levels. Carbopack X is a hydrophobic graphitized carbon adsorbent able to 113 withhold high bake-out temperatures and is commonly used for determination of 1,3-butadiene [24], 114 [23], [25], [26], [27]. Carbopack X adsorbent is preferred to traditionally used molecular sieves mostly due to the fact that this support is less affected by the excess of water and demonstrates higher 115 116 storage stability [28], [24]. Having said that, a 30% decrease in uptake rates for 3.4  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> of 1,3-117 butadiene was observed at 80% humidity while sampling with Radiello samplers [23]. In the present 118 study similar uptake rates under various conditions would be a good indication for robust reliable 119 sampling while variable uptake rates and their dependency on environmental parameters could 120 signal a problem, as clearly outdoor conditions are prone to change.

121 An alternative to diffusive sampling is active sampling where air is actively pumped through the 122 sampler containing one or more adsorbents. It is costlier since it requires a pump and a power 123 supply. On the other side, active sampling does not depend on the diffusion rates and the calculated 124 concentration is only a function of sampled volume (till reaching maximum sorbent adsorption 125 capacity). The volume of sampled atmosphere required for adsorbent to get saturated, so-called 126 breakthrough volume, can be experimentally determined. This volume depends on the species being 127 sampled along with environmental parameters, such as humidity and temperature [29]. Seventy 128 percent of the breakthrough volume is a value accepted as a safe sampling volume [24]. For the 129 concentration of 0.60±0.03 ppb (1.35±0.07  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) of 1,3-butadiene, safe sampling volume was 130 determined at 82 L per gram of Carbopack X material (40/60 mesh) at 20°C, 50% RH [24]. Thus, 131 sampling 1,3-butadiene at 5 mL min<sup>-1</sup> using an active sampling tube filled with 300 mg of Carbopack X 132 material will allow 82 hr sampling which is roughly 3.4 days, a half of the recommended one-week 133 sampling time for diffusive sampling. While this value is validated for a defined set of conditions, the 134 question is whether the breakthrough volume is further affected by change in temperature, humidity 135 and presence of others pollutants. In the current work the dependence of breakthrough volume on 136 temperature, RH and presence of co-pollutants will be investigated to better evaluate possible 137 sampling time determination of atmospherically relevant concentrations of 1,3-butadiene, keeping in 138 mind that longer sampling time is cost-effective for environmental sampling. Due to its common use 139 for determination of 1,3-butadiene, Carbopack X (40/60 mesh) is once again chosen as an adsorption 140 medium. 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> is chosen as a relevant concentration of 1,3-butadiene [15], [5], [17].

To sum up, practical use of two sampling methods is evaluated by investigating the shortcomings of each technique: radial diffusive sampling is evaluated as a function of stability of its uptake rate, while active sampling is evaluated as a function of its safe sampling volume and thus conceivable sampling time. Whatever is the sampling technique, it is most often followed by gas chromatography coupled to flame ionization or mass spectrometry analysis for quantitative separation and identification of both 1,3-butadiene and its numerous degradation products [26].

# 147 2. Materials and methods

## 148 2.1. Gases

149 Certified gas cylinders are used as a source of 1,3-butadiene. For calibration purposes NPL Primary 150 Reference material (Cylinder # D600109, National physical laboratory, Teddington Middlesex, UK) 151 consisting of a mixture of 34 components at around 4 ppb, including 1,3-butadiene at 4.29 ±0.09 ppb 152 is used without dilution. To generate a single-component atmosphere a 1,3-butadiene cylinder of 153 1.05 ppm in air provided by Messer, France is used. To generate multi-component atmosphere, a 154 cylinder containing a 51-component mixture (hydrocarbons and aromatics ranging from C2 to C10) at 155 around 1 ppm each in nitrogen including 1,3-butadiene was purchased form Air Products, Belgium. In 156 both single-component and multi-component atmosphere the targeted concentration of 1,3-157 butadiene after dilution is 1 µg m<sup>-3</sup>. Experiments are carried out using zero air; it is generated by a 158 classical air compressor, and then passed through a catalytic zero air generator (Claind ZeroAir 2020, 159 Lenno, Italy) coupled to a swing adsorption (PSA) device. The remaining impurity levels in the air 160 stream before entering the reactor are lower than the analytical system detection limits: VOCs < 0.1161 ppb,  $CO_2 < 10$  ppb, and CO < 80 ppb. Moisture level is ca. 2 ppm. A second flow of zero air going 162 through a bubbler of ultrapure water (milli-Q, resistivity 18.2 M $\Omega$  cm) is mixed with the dry air flow, 163 in proportions necessary to reach the relative humidity targeted.

#### 164 2.2. Sampling tubes

#### 165 2.2.1 Passive sampling

166 Radiello diffusive samplers filled with Carbopack X were used for passive sampling. Diffusive 167 samplers, each consisting of an adsorbing cartridge, diffusive body and a support plate, were 168 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, France. Adsorbing RAD141 cartridges consist of a stainless-steel net 169 cylinder with  $3x8 \ \mu m$  mesh grid opening and  $4.8 \ mm$  diameter, packed with approximately  $480 \ mg$  of 170 graphitized carbon Carbopack X material (particle size 40/60 mesh). A graphitic solid adsorbent Carbopack X has 40-60 mesh particle size and a specific surface area of 240 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> [30]. Adsorbing 171 172 cartridge is placed in a Radiello yellow diffusive body (RAD1202) made of microporous 5 mm thick 173 polyethylene with average porosity  $10\pm 2 \mu m$  that ensures diffusive path length of approximately 150 174 mm. Before use, cartridges are placed in empty inox tubes and are conditioned with nitrogen at the flow rate of 30 mL min<sup>-1</sup> at 250 °C for 12 h using RTA tube conditioner, Tera, France. After exposure, 175 176 the tubes are placed in empty inox tubes, closed with caps and analyzed immediately using GC-MS. 177 Blanks levels were evaluated for freshly conditioned tubes and concentration of 1,3-butadiene was 178 below the limit of detection.

#### 179 2.2.2 Active sampling

For active sampling CX 500 tubes (8.9-cm × 5-mm i.d. × 6.3-mm o.d) filled with 500 mg of graphitized
 carbon Carbopack X material (particle size 40/60 mesh) were purchased from Tera, France. These
 tubes served for online determination of the breakthrough volumes and do not require post analysis.
 Before and after use tubes are conditioned with nitrogen at the flow rate of 30 mL min<sup>-1</sup> at 250 °C for

184 12 h. Concentration of 1,3-butadiene in the blanks was below the detection level.

#### 185 2.3. Instrumentation and chromatographic conditions

186 Continuous gas-phase monitoring of the exposition chamber where the diffusive Radiello samplers 187 were placed as well as investigation of the breakthrough volumes during active sampling was 188 achieved by using Perkin Elmer Thermal Desorber (TD) coupled with Perkin Elmer GC CLARUS 580 gas 189 chromatograph and FID detector. TD conditions: sampling flow at 10 ml min<sup>-1</sup> for 30 min; trap 190 adsorbent consisting of 100 mg of Carbosieve SIII and 20 mg of Carbopack B; trap low: -30°C; trap high: 350 °C for 15 min; inlet split none; outlet split at 4 mL min<sup>-1</sup>; valve at 210 °C; transfer line at 210 191 192 °C. GCFID conditions: dual columns (i) CP SIL 5CB 50 m capillary column (100% dimethylpolysiloxane 193 (PDMS) phase) and (ii) Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> /Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 50 m; carrier gas helium=41 psi; oven 45 °C (12 min) to 200°C 194 (8min) at 6 °C min<sup>-1</sup>; FID detector at 225°C.

195 Post-analysis of Radiello sampling tubes was performed by TD-GC/MS using Perkin Elmer Turbo 196 Matrix 650 thermal desorber coupled with Perkin Elmer CLARUS 680 gas chromatograph and Perkin Elmer Clarus SQ8T mass spectrometer detector. Optimized TD conditions: trap adsorbent 100 mg 197 Carbopack B; tube purge: 1min; tube desorb: 25 ml min<sup>-1</sup> at 280 °C for 5 min; trap low: -30°C; trap 198 high: 300 °C for 15 min; inlet split none; outlet split at 4 mL min<sup>-1</sup>; valve at 210 °C; transfer line at 210 199 200 °C. GC conditions: Agilent CP 8780 column (CP Sil 5C B 60 m 0.25 mm 1 µm capillary column with 201 100% dimethylpolysiloxane (PDMS) phase); carrier gas helium=5 psi; oven 30 °C (10 min) to 250°C 202 (2.5 min) at 8 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. MS conditions: mass range: 25 to 250 Da, m/z=54 was used as 1,3-butadiene 203 quantification ion, source temperature: 250°C, transfer line at 250°C; photomultiplier at around 2000 204 Volts.

#### 205 2.4. Experimental procedure

Throughout the experimental work, concentration of 1,3-butadiene generated in the experimental chamber is monitored using online gas chromatography system that was calibrated by means of undiluted NPL Reference Standard. During experimental sampling diffusive samplers are placed 209 inside of the chamber. Tubes for active sampling are incorporated one at a time in the exit line

210 leading from the exposure chamber to the TD-GC. Check point is incorporated into the setup

211 between the chamber output and the active sampler and the supplied concentration is verified daily.

212 The detailed setup is shown in Figure 1.



214 Figure 1 : Schematic representation of a setup used for passive and active sampling of 1,3-butadiene used in this study.

#### 215 2.4.1 Experimental chamber

213

Experiments are conducted in an exposure chamber, which allows control of supplied gas 216 217 concentrations, temperature, humidity and air velocity. It consists of a ring tube made of thick glass 218 placed in a 1500 L thermostatic enclosure (Aralab Climatic Chamber, FITOCLIMA 1500 EC20). The 219 glass ring has a size of 74 cm by 88 cm, 15 cm inner diameter and a total capacity of 51 L. The 220 chamber contains either a single-component or a multi-component atmosphere. Standard 221 atmospheres containing 1,3-butadiene are generated by diluting one of the 1,3-butadiene standard 222 gas cylinders (1,3-butadiene only or multi-component) in a desired proportion of dry and humidified 223 air. The air and gas flows are regulated by using OMNICRON mass flow controllers. Exposure 224 chamber is supplied with the standard atmosphere at the rate of 10 L min<sup>-1</sup>. An inductive fan (Papst 225 P/N 5112N) is set up to regulate the air velocity inside the chamber. The diffusive samplers are placed perpendicularly to the dynamic airflow. Controlled parameters include: concentration of 1,3-226 butadiene ranging from 1 to 14  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, relative humidity (RH) of 50 and 80%, temperature of 20 and 227 30 °C and wind speed at 1.0 m s<sup>-1</sup>. 228

## 229 2.4.2 Passive sampling

230 Once the test atmosphere in the chamber reaches a stable level, a diffusive Radiello adsorbing 231 cartridge is opened, inserted inside of the yellow diffusive body and screwed to the support. The 232 sampler is then placed inside of the chamber for a period of one-week at either constant or variable 233 conditions as described below. During each exposition six to eight replicate samples are obtained by 234 placing in the experimental chamber six to eight diffusive samplers. Three replicate trials for each of 235 the constant exposure conditions are conducted in order to evaluate repeatability of the 236 measurements. Note, that experiments were specifically designed so that each tube is subjected to a 237 very similar average concentration of 1,3-butadiene within the 7-day exposure. Three sets of 238 conditions were investigated at constant concentration of 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> 1,3-butadiene: (i) T=20°C,

RH=50%, (ii) T=20°C, RH=80%, (iii) T=30°C, RH=80%. In addition, at the conditions of 20°C and 50% 239 240 RH three expositions to transient concentrations were performed in the following way: (i) 7 day 241 experiment while alternating 12 hour exposure to clean air and 12 hour exposure at 2  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, (ii) 7 day exposure starting with 12 hours of clean air followed by 12 hours of exposure to 1,3-butadiene at 242 243 14  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> and finishing with 6 days of clean air, (iii) 7 day exposure starting with 6 days of clean air 244 followed by 22 hours of exposure to 1,3-butadiene at 6 µg m<sup>-3</sup>. Note, that in the last scenario the 245 tubes were subjected to smaller average concentration of 1,3-butadiene equivalent to 0.8  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> as 246 opposed to 1.0  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> in the scenarios (i) and (ii). At the end of each exposure period the diffusive 247 samplers are removed, adsorbing cartridges are placed in empty inox tubes and the tubes are 248 analyzed immediately. Analysis is performed using thermal desorption coupled with ion specific MS 249 detection.

# 250 2.4.3 Active sampling

Experiments aimed to investigate the breakthrough volume of 1,3-but adiene 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> alone or in the 251 252 presence of other species are conducted concurrently with passive sampling experiments using the 253 testing atmosphere generated in the experimental chamber at (i) T=20°C, RH=50%, (ii) T=20°C, 254 RH=80%, (iii) T=30°C, RH=80%. An active sampling tube is connected to the line leading from the 255 experimental chamber to the thermal desorber. By switching the valve, one can direct the air from 256 the chamber either through a sampling tube or straight to the TD at 10 ml min<sup>-1</sup> as shown in Figure 1. 257 Only one active tube can be used at a time and two essays are done to ensure reproducibility of the results. A breakthrough profile is constructed by first bypassing the sampling tube and thus obtaining 258 259 the concentration of 1,3-butadiene in the chamber, then switching the flow so that it goes through 260 the tube leading to the adsorption of 1,3-butadiene in the tube and registering concentration by the 261 GC-online. With time, capacity of the active sampler filled with Carbopack X to adsorb 1,3-butadiene diminishes and the molecules of 1,3-butadiene start to emerge from the tube, leading to increase in 262 263 the concentration of 1,3-butadiene registered by GC-online. The breakthrough time is established 264 when the level of concentration in the air emerging from the active tube reaches 10% of the 265 concentration that is supplied to the tube.

# 266 3. Results and discussion

# 267 3.1. Passive sampling

Having obtained the mass of 1,3-butadiene in the tubes and knowing its concentration in the chamber uptake rates were calculated for each set of conditions.

Fick's First Law of Diffusion is used to calculate the uptake of 1,3-butadiene and is given by Equation1:

272 Equation 1

273

$$C = \frac{m}{U_p t}$$

- where *C* is concentration in ppm,  $U_p$  is uptake rate in ng ppm<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, *m* is mass in ng and *t* is time in min. Knowing the generated concentration we can calculate uptake rate:
- 276 Equation 2

277 
$$U_p = \frac{m}{C \times t}$$

278

- Uptake rate can be conveniently expressed in mL min<sup>-1</sup> by converting the concentration *C* commonly
  expressed in ppm to that expressed in ng mL<sup>-1</sup> by using the Equation 3:
- 281 Equation 3

282 
$$C_{(ng \, mL^{-1})} = \frac{C_{(ppm)} \times M}{V_m} \times 10^6$$

283 where *C* is concentration expressed either in ng mL<sup>-1</sup> or in ppm, *M* is molar mass in g mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $V_m$  is 284 standard molar volume of the ideal gas at 20 °C equal to 24.0 L mol<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, 1 ppm (v/v) of 1,3-285 butadiene is equal to 2.25 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> (1 ppb=2.25 µg m<sup>-3</sup>).

- Using Equation 2 uptake rates of 1,3-butadiene in various conditions were calculated (Table 1, Table 2). The average standard deviation for experiments consisting of multiple runs ranged from 5.6 to 40.1%. An average %RSD of multiple runs was calculated at 18.1% and a rounded value of 20% was used as a method reproducibility. From Table 1 and Figure 2 the uptake rates are within the method reproducibility for single and multi-component atmosphere and so we can consider that the uptake rates of 1,3-butadiene are not significantly affected by the competition with other components for the adsorption sites.
- 293 The value of 4.95±0.70 ml min<sup>-1</sup> obtained at 50% RH and 20 °C (Table 1) corresponds well to 4.9±0.37
- 294 ml min<sup>-1</sup> measured by Strandberg et al. for one week exposure using the same type of diffusive
- sampler at the concentrations of 1,3-butadiene between 0.23  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> and 2,26  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> [23]. Note, that

	Single-component atmosphere						Multi-component atmosphere						
Conditions	Run #	# Tubes	Average uptake rate mL min <sup>-1</sup>	%RSD	Average uptake rate mL min <sup>-1</sup>	%RSD	Run #	# Tubes	Average uptake rate mL min <sup>-1</sup>	%RSD	Average uptake rate mL min <sup>-1</sup>	%RSD	
T=20°C	1	8	5.08±0.52	9.6	4.95±0.70	14.2	1	8	3.00±0.17	5.04	3.36±0.66	19.6	
	2	8	4.20±0.28	6.2			2	8	2.98±0.32	10.23			
КП=30%	3	8	5.58±0.27	4.6			3	8	4.13±0.49	11.16			
T=20°C RH=80%	1	8	2.41±0.24	9.5	2.65±0.25	9.3	1	7	2.56± 0.10	4.49	2.45±0.14	5.6	
	2	8	2.89±0.15	4.8			2	8	2.50±0.23	9.47			
	3	7	2.58±0.24	8.5			3	6	2.30±0.23	10.57			
T=30°C	1	7	1.03±0.08	7.0			1	8	1.69±0.35	20.19			
RH=80%	2	8	2.45±0.33	12.6	1.74±0.71	40.1	2	8	1.71±0.51	29.38	1.94±0.41	21.1	
	3	8	1.83±0.16	8.3			3	8	2.41±0.38	16.25			

for the exposure shorter than 24 h, a higher rate of  $22.00\pm0.44$  ml min<sup>-1</sup> was obtained [23].

Table 1 : Uptake rates for 1,3-butadiene at constant concentration of 1 μg m<sup>-3</sup>: (i) T=20°C, RH=50%, (ii) T=20°C, RH=80%, (iii)
 T=30°C, RH=80%.

	Single-component atmosphere					
Conditions	Run #	# Tubes	Average uptake rate mL min <sup>-1</sup>	%RSD	Average uptake mL min <sup>-1</sup>	%RSD
T=20°C; RH=50%		8	4.08±0.45	10.4		
Alternating for 7 days: 12 hours of clean air and 12 hours of 1,3-butadiene at 2 $\mu$ g m <sup>-3</sup>	2	8	3.22±0.19	5.5	3.65±0.61	16.7
T=20°C; RH=50% (i) 12 hours of clean air, (ii) 12 hours of exposure to 1,3-butadiene at 14 μg m <sup>-3</sup> (iii) 6 days of clean air	1	8	0.42±0.14	31.7	-	
T=20°C; RH=50%	1	5	23.48±0.41	1.6	-	

(i) 6 days of clean air, (ii) 22 hours of exposure to			
1,3-butadiene at 6 μg m <sup>-3</sup>			

Table 2 : Uptake rates for 1,3-butadiene at variable concentration conditions: (i) 7 day experiment while alternating 12 hour
 exposure to clean air and 12 hour exposure at 2 μg m<sup>-3</sup>, (ii) 7 day exposure starting with 12 hours of clean air followed by 12

300 exposure to clean air and 12 hour exposure at 2  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, (ii) 7 day exposure starting with 12 hours of clean air followed by 12 301 hours of exposure to 1,3-butadiene at 14  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> and finishing with 6 days of clean air, (iii) 7 day exposure starting with 6 302 days of clean air followed by 22 hours of exposure to 1,3-butadiene at 6  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>.

#### 303



304

305 Figure 2: Uptake rates for 1,3-butadiene at constant and variable conditions. Method reproducibility is estimated at 20 %. 306 (A) Red bars with diagonal lines represent an average of three trials obtained using seven to eight tubes each and refer to 307 constant exposure to single component atmosphere at 1,3-butadiene concentration of 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>3</sup>. (B) Blue bars with waves 308 represent an average of three trials obtained using six to eight tubes each and refer to constant exposure to 309 multicomponent atmosphere at 1,3-butadiene concentration of 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>. (C) Dark green bar with triangles represents an 310 average of two trials obtained using eight tubes each and refer to variable exposure to single component atmosphere at 1,3-311 butadiene concentration of 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>3</sup>: 7-day experiment while alternating 12-hour exposure to clean air and 12-hour 312 exposure at 2  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>. (D) Yellow solid bar represents one trial obtained using eight tubes and refer to variable exposure to 313 single atmosphere at 1,3-butadiene concentration of 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>: 7-day exposure starting with 12 hours of clean air followed by 314 12 hours of exposure to 1,3-butadiene at 14  $\mu$ g m<sup>3</sup> and finishing with 6 days of clean air. (E) Blue bar with horizontal lines 315 represents one trial obtained using five tubes and refer to variable exposure to single atmosphere at 1,3-butadiene 316 concentration of 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>: 7-day exposure starting with 6 days of clean air followed by 22 hours of exposure to 1,3-317 butadiene at 6  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>.

318 Figure 2 shows that the uptake rates depend to a great extent on experimental conditions. This is 319 especially true for variable exposure to 1,3-butadiene, with high concentrations either at the 320 beginning or at the end of the experiment. Thus, for 50% RH and 20 °C exposure of the tubes to 14  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> of 1,3-butadiene at the beginning of the experiment followed by 6-day exposure at zero air led 321 322 to the uptake rates almost 10 times lower than when tubes were exposed at a steady 1,3-butadiene concentration at 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>. On the other hand, when tubes were exposed to 6  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> of 1,3-butadiene 323 324 at the end of the experiment a significant 5 times increase in uptake rates was observed (compared 325 with standard constant conditions of 50% RH and 20 °C). Remember that the 7-day experiment, where 6 days of clean air is followed by 22 h at 6  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, corresponds to the average concentration of 326 327 roughly 0.8  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, while in all other cases the average concentration is 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>. For a 7-day experiment starting with 6 days of clean air and following with 24 h at 7  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> (and thus 328 corresponding to the average concentration of  $1 \mu g m^{-3}$ ) the uptake of butadiene is expected to be 329 330 even higher. In the case where  $2 \mu g m^{-3}$  of 1,3-butadiene was alternated with clean air every 2 hours 331 the results are consistent with exposure at constant concentration. Remember, that tubes were 332 exposed to a similar amount of pollutant, but differently distributed in time. This type of variability

reflects back diffusion of the species on the adsorbent and signals a problem in applying passive sampling to environmental sampling where changing levels of pollutant concentration are expected, as it is likely to either underevaluate or overevaluate the measurements.

336 Variability of uptake rates of 1,3-butadiene was addressed in previous publications. Strandberg et al. 337 witnessed a considerable drop in the 1,3-butadiene uptake rate on Radiello sampler filled with 338 Carbopack X as a function of exposure time and suggested validating sampling rates over the desired 339 time period before field sampling [23]. Similarly, Martin et al. noticed a significant fall-off in uptake 340 rates as a function of time when Radiello samplers where exposed to 5 ppb of 1,3-butadiene for 4 341 hours versus 4 days and suggested that back diffusion or sorbent saturation is responsible for the 342 decline [24]. In our case sorbent saturation is an unlikely explanation as the diffusive tubes are 343 subjected to a similar average 1,3-butadiene concentration. The reason behind the phenomenon is 344 most probably back diffusion of 1,3-butadiene and inability of Radiello diffusive samplers to durably 345 retain the compound. In addition, comparing 1,3-butadiene concentrations measured in the vicinity 346 of the industrial plant near Barcelona producing 1,3-butadiene using diffusive Radiello samplers with 347 concentrations measured at the same time and location but using multi-sorbent bed tubes (active 348 sampling) Gallego et al. reported that Radiello diffusive samplers are unable to uptake high amounts 349 of 1,3-butadiene concentrations leading to underestimation of real values [25]. They suggested that 350 back diffusion occurs when the concentration differential between the surface of the adsorbing 351 medium and the sampling atmosphere is negative [25]. Similarly, Strandberg et al. observed 56 % 352 decline in the percent recovery of 1,3-butadiene on Radiello Carbopack X samplers when the sampler 353 previously exposed to a given concentration was subjected to clean air [23]. Thus, it seems that 354 uptake rates of 1,3-butadiene are a function of both time and change in concentration, making its 355 quantification prone to errors. It's important to keep in mind, that determination of many other 356 compounds, such as benzene, do not suffer from back diffusion nor the drop in uptake rates as a 357 function of time during typical sampling procedure [23].

358 In addition, high air humidity can adversely affect the adsorption capacity of a sampler. Carbopack X 359 belongs to a group of Graphitized Carbon Black adsorbents that are hydrophobic in nature, 360 nevertheless, considerable water sorption can occur on this material at higher RH values as water 361 condenses inside of the micropores, thus changing adsorption capacity of the sampler [28]. From 362 Table 1, humidity has a negative impact on the uptake rate of 1,3-butadiene. For the same 363 temperature, measured uptake rates for 1,3-butadiene at 50% RH are 40% higher than the rates 364 measured at 80% RH. In line with the results of this study, Strandberg et al. reported a constant uptake rate for humidities between 30% and 65 %, but noticed a decrease in uptake rates at 365 humidities higher than 65% [23]. Minimizing the effect of humidity on the adsorption of 1,3-366 367 butadiene for diffusive sampling was successfully attempted by Sakura et al. by developing a 368 dehumidification system employing magnesium perchlorate as a dehumidifying agent [31]. Even 369 though a dehumidification system can work to ensure constant sampling rate at the conditions of 370 various RH the problem of back diffusion remains unsolved.

During a field study Strandberg et al. noticed minor effect of temperature on the uptake of 1,3butadiene on Carbopack X Radiello tubes with a decrease in calculated uptakes when going from cold to warm temperatures, especially for longer sampling times of one week [3]. This trend can be explained by the fact that back diffusion for compounds with weak affinity for the sorbent increases with temperature [22], [3]. In addition, adsorption efficiency of an analyte may decrease with increase of temperature [32]. In the current study the decreasing trends in average uptake of 1,3butadiene with increase in temperature cannot be confirmed as the values fall within method 378 reproducibility (Figure 2). In addition to studying the effect of temperature and humidity individually,379 it would be of interest to investigate the effect of absolute humidity on the uptake rates.

If one compares the effects of humidity, temperature and variability in concentration of 1,3butadiene within a single experiment on the uptake rates, it is clear that the latter plays a major role. Taking into account the inconsistency in uptake rates as a function of variability of concentration (Table 1, Figure 2), radial diffusive sampling using Carbopack X as a sorbent is not a reliable sampling technique for determination of 1,3-butadiene mostly due to the fact that it does not reflect changing concentration levels in ambient environment.

#### 386 3.2. Active sampling

387 Calculation of the concentration using active sampling is very straightforward:

388 Equation 4

$$C = \frac{m}{v \times t} \times 10^6$$

390 where C is concentration in  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, m is mass in  $\mu$ g, v is sampling flow rate in mL min<sup>-1</sup>, and t is time 391 in min. This formula can be used as long as the mass measured on the sampling tube is proportional 392 to the sampled volume V ( $V = v \times t$ ). As time increases at some point a tube is no longer capable to 393 adsorb all the analyte molecules passing through it and the unretained molecules emerge from the 394 tube. The time/volume it takes to observe 10% of the inlet concentration at the exit of the sampling 395 tube is defined as a breakthrough time/volume. Similar to the chromatographic elution, 396 breakthrough time and volume (time necessary for the molecule to pass through the tube) are 397 independent of the concentration of the species, but are dependent on the type of the molecule 398 being sampled, sampling rate, temperature and humidity of the matrix gas [29].

399 In this work breakthrough time was evaluated by directly measuring the exit concentration of 1,3-400 butadiene using online GC system and comparing it to the 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> generated in the experimental 401 chamber that serves as a gas source. The moment when 10% of the initial concentration is reached a 402 breakthrough time is established and a breakthrough volume is calculated.





404 Figure 3: Breakthrough times and volumes for 1,3-butadiene on Carbopack X (500 mg) sampling tubes at varying values of 405 RH and temperature. Flow rate for all experiments is equal to 10 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Each bar represents a separate experiment using 406 one active sampling tube. Red bars with diagonal lines: breakthrough time/volume for constant exposure to single 407 atmosphere at 1,3-butadiene concentration of 1  $\mu q$  m<sup>-3</sup>. Red solid bars: safe breakthrough time/volume for constant 408 exposure to single atmosphere at 1,3-butadiene concentration of 1 µg m<sup>-3</sup>. Blue bars with waves: breakthrough time/volume 409 for constant exposure to multicomponent atmosphere at 1,3-butadiene concentration of 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>3</sup>. Blue solid bars: safe 410 breakthrough time/volume for constant exposure to multicomponent atmosphere at 1,3-butadiene concentration of 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-</sup> 411 З.

412 Figure 3 reflects the breakthrough times and volumes for 1,3-butadiene on Carbopack X at different 413 temperatures and humidities. It can be observed that the breakthrough time does not depend on the 414 presence of other VOCs in the air, as the breakthrough is virtually the same for single component and 415 51-component atmosphere. At 50% RH, 20 °C and at 10 ml min<sup>-1</sup>, the average breakthrough time of 416 68-70 h corresponds to 40.8-42.0 L per tube, and thus 81.6-84.0 L per gram of Carbopack X material. 417 The safe sampling volume being 70% of the breakthrough volume is thus established at 57.1-58.8 L g <sup>1</sup>. This value is about 30% smaller than the value of 82 L  $g^{-1}$  that Martin et al. established as a safe 418 419 sampling volume at 50 % RH for Carbopack X [24]. The reason for higher sampling volume 420 established by Martin et al. could be the slower flow rate of 5 mL min<sup>-1</sup> employed in the experiments 421 as opposed to 10 mL min<sup>-1</sup> employed in this work. The safe sampling volume is cut by 25% if relative 422 humidity is increased to 80% RH and by 68 % if both RH and temperature are positively affected (80% 423 RH and 30°C). Thus, for 80% RH and 30°C conditions safe sampling time falls to only 14.0-16.8 h and 424 represents 8.4-10.1 L per tube or 16.8-20.2 L per g of Carbopack X material. The 20 L volume was 425 estimated as acceptable volume by Carbopack X manufacturer Supelco, but the value was obtained by doping and flushing the tubes with various volumes of nitrogen at 0% RH and at 50 ml min<sup>-1</sup> and is 426 427 hard to compare with values obtained at ambient 50% RH and low 10 ml min<sup>-1</sup> rate [33]. The 428 decrease in breakthrough volume with increase of humidity can be explained by increased 429 competition of 1,3-butadiene molecules with water molecules for the active sites of the adsorbent, 430 thus lowering the sorbent loading capacity causing 1,3-butadiene to elute earlier. Consequently, 431 long-term environmental measurements employing active sampling using Carbopack X presents a 432 challenge of switching a tube up to twice a day to obtain a long-term monitoring of average

433 concentrations. It might be possible to minimize the effect of humidity by using drying pre-tubes.
434 Vallecillos et al. observed a three-time increase in 1,3-butadiene breakthrough volume (going from
435 12 to 36 L) at RH between 56% and 68% when using a pre-tube filled with 0.5 g of calcium chloride
436 (CaCl<sub>2</sub>) and 0.1 g of diatomaceous earth in addition to the triple-bed sampling tube containing
437 Carbotrap B, Carbopack X and Carboxen 569 [8]. In the case of current study, increasing time of
438 sampling three times will only provide 42.0-50.4 hr sampling under hot and humid conditions, which
439 is far from the desired one-week period.

440 In conclusion, in comparison with passive sampling active sampling is definitely more reliable, but not 441 practical for long-term monitoring as it requires deploying one or more tubes a day, which is time 442 consuming and labor intensive. Thus, development of a different material for active sampling, the 443 one that exhibits a higher capacity for 1,3-butadiene, is of vital importance. In this respect, using an 444 active multi-sorbent bed is promising and needs to be thoroughly investigated for breakthrough 445 volumes. To this end, breakthrough volumes for real outdoor air samples were evaluated by Gallego 446 et al. at 81 to 101 L per tube using multi-sorbent tubes consisting of three materials: Carbotrap, 447 Carbopack X and Carboxen 569 [25]. At 70 ml min<sup>-1</sup> these volumes translate to sampling 448 breakthrough times of 19 to 24 h (safe breakthrough times of 13.3 and 16.8 h). Taking 70 % as a safe sampling volume, sampling at lower rate of 5 ml min<sup>-1</sup> will increase safe sampling time to 189 and 449 450 235 h. Enabling sampling times on the order of a week would be a big step in facilitating active 451 sampling protocol. To the best of our knowledge, the effect of humidity and temperature on the 452 breakthrough time/volume at low rate sampling of 1,3-butadiene using multi-sorbent tubes has not 453 yet been evaluated.

# 454 4. Conclusions

455 In this study we evaluated the limitations for the use of Carbopack X as radial passive or active 456 sampling adsorbent for determination of 1,3-butadiene in ambient environment. To this end uptake 457 rates at a number of standard conditions were evaluated for 1,3-butadiene. Unlike other VOCs, 1,3-458 butadiene is not a good candidate for continuous passive monitoring using radial diffusive samplers 459 due to the back diffusion and thus a potential for underestimation of real ambient concentrations of 460 the pollutant. It is especially noticeable when perturbations in the form of isolated increase in 461 concentration occur at the beginning of the exposure followed by the period of time when the air is 462 free of 1,3-butadiene. Thus, roughly 10 times decrease in uptake rate is measured for the same mass of analyte when the mass is collected at the beginning of the experiment (12 hours of clean air 463 464 followed by 12 hours of exposure to 1,3-butadiene at 14 µg m<sup>-3</sup> followed 6 days of clean air) 465 compared to when it is evenly distributed throughout the experiment (7 days at 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>). One can 466 easily imagine a scenario where an emission peak for 1,3-butadiene is followed by a drop in 467 concentration (caused, for example, by change in wind direction) leading to underestimation of 1,3-468 butadiene.

As for active sampling, current employment of Carbopack X has its limitation of a short sampling window of less than 1 day at high temperature and humidity. In practice it either means nonrepresentative sampling (if a choice is made to test one day a week) or heavy and costly manpower employment in order to deal with changing tubes and analyzing results. Hence, in order to adequately address the need for monitoring of 1,3-butadiene a more adsorbing support is indispensable. Recently reviewed multi-sorbent bed tubes for active sampling show promising results and need to be further investigated for their use in active sampling.

# 476 5. Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the French Ministry of Environment as a part of the activities ofNational Reference Laboratory for air quality monitoring (LCSQA).

479

480

## 481 References

- 482 [1] US EPA, Risk Evaluation for 1,3-Butadiene, US EPA. (2020). https://www.epa.gov/assessing-and-483 managing-chemicals-under-tsca/risk-evaluation-13-butadiene (accessed March 26, 2021).
- 484 [2] B.L. Duffy, P.F. Nelson, Exposure to emissions of 1,3-butadiene and benzene in the cabins of
  485 moving motor vehicles and buses in sydney, australia, Atmos. Environ. 31 (1997) 3877–3885.
  486 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00255-0.
- 487 B. Strandberg, A.-L. Sunesson, M. Sundgren, J.-O. Levin, G. Sällsten, L. Barregard, Field [3] 488 evaluation of two diffusive samplers and two adsorbent media to determine 1,3-butadiene and 489 benzene levels in air, Atmos. Environ. 40 (2006)7686-7695. 490 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.08.005.
- [4] IARC, 1,3-Butadiene, Ethylene Oxide and Vinyl Halides (Vinyl Fluoride, Vinyl Chloride and Vinyl
  Bromide), International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 2008.
  https://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report-Series/Iarc-Monographs-On-The-Identification-OfCarcinogenic-Hazards-To-Humans/1-3-Butadiene-Ethylene-Oxide-And-Vinyl-Halides-VinylFluoride-Vinyl-Chloride-And-Vinyl-Bromide--2008 (accessed March 26, 2021).
- L.N. Huy, S.C. Lee, Z. Zhang, Human cancer risk estimation for 1,3-butadiene: An assessment of
  personal exposure and different microenvironments, Sci. Total Environ. 616–617 (2018) 1599–
  1611. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.152.
- 499 [6] S. Sauvage, H. Plaisance, N. Locoge, A. Wroblewski, P. Coddeville, J.C. Galloo, Long term
  500 measurement and source apportionment of non-methane hydrocarbons in three French rural
  501 areas, Atmos. Environ. 43 (2009) 2430–2441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.02.001.
- 502 [7] E. Gallego, F.J. Roca, J.F. Perales, E. Gadea, Outdoor air 1,3-butadiene monitoring near a 503 petrochemical industry (Tarragona region) and in several Catalan urban areas using active 504 multi-sorbent bed tubes and analysis through TD-GC/MS, Sci. Total Environ. 618 (2018) 1440– 505 1448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.280.
- L. Vallecillos, A. Maceira, R.M. Marcé, F. Borrull, Evaluation of active sampling strategies for the 506 [8] 507 determination of 1,3-butadiene in air, Atmos. Environ. 176 (2018) 21-29. 508 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.12.012.
- 509 [9] G.J. Dollard, C.J. Dore, M.E. Jenkin, Ambient concentrations of 1,3-butadiene in the UK, Chem.
   510 Biol. Interact. 135–136 (2001) 177–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2797(01)00190-9.
- [10] K. Hughes, M.E. Meek, M. Walker, R. Beauchamp, 1,3-BUTADIENE: HUMAN HEALTH ASPECTS,
  (2001). http://www.inchem.org/documents/cicads/cicad30.htm (accessed May 26,
  2021).
- 514 [11] Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, (2008). https://echa.europa.eu/regulations/clp/legislation 515 (accessed March 30, 2021).
- 516 [12] US EPA, 1,3-Butadiene CASRN 106-99-0 | DTXSID3020203 | IRIS | US EPA, ORD, (2002).
   517 https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris2/chemicalLanding.cfm?substance\_nmbr=139 (accessed May
   518 27, 2021).
- 519 [13] R.L. Sielken, C. Valdez-Flores, A comprehensive review of occupational and general population 520 cancer risk: 1,3-Butadiene exposure-response modeling for all leukemia, acute myelogenous 521 leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, chronic myelogenous leukemia, myeloid neoplasm 522 and lymphoid neoplasm, Chem. Biol. Interact. 241 (2015)50-58. 523 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2015.06.009.
- [14] ANSES, Avis et rapport relatifs à l'évaluation des effets sur la santé et des méthodes de mesure
   des niveaux d'exposition sur le lieu de travail pour le 1,3-butadiène [N°CAS : 106-99-0] | Anses Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire de l'alimentation, de l'environnement et du travail,
   (2011). https://www.anses.fr/fr/content/avis-et-rapport-relatifs-%C3%A0 I%E2%80%99%C3%A9valuation-des-effets-sur-la-sant%C3%A9-et-des-m%C3%A9thodes-de-
- 529 mesure-1 (accessed September 13, 2021).
- 530 [15] ANSES, Opinion of the French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & 531 Safety on the development of a chronic TRV by the respiratory route for 1,3-butadiene (CAS No.

- 532
   106-99-0), (2021). https://www.anses.fr/en/system/files/VSR2019SA0073EN.pdf (accessed

   533
   September 14, 2021).
- 534[16]F. Kramp, S.E. Paulson, The gas phase reaction of ozone with 1,3-butadiene: formation yields of535some toxic products, Atmos. Environ. 34 (2000) 35–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-5362310(99)00327-1.
- 537[17]J.M. Delgado-Saborit, N.J. Aquilina, C. Meddings, S. Baker, R.M. Harrison, Relationship of538personal exposure to volatile organic compounds to home, work and fixed site outdoor539concentrations, Sci. Total Environ. 409 (2011) 478–488.540https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.10.014.
- 541 [18] B.J. Prince, D.B. Milligan, M.J. McEwan, Application of selected ion flow tube mass
  542 spectrometry to real-time atmospheric monitoring, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 24 (2010)
  543 1763–1769. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4574.
- [19] V.S. Langford, I. Graves, M.J. McEwan, Rapid monitoring of volatile organic compounds: a
  comparison between gas chromatography/mass spectrometry and selected ion flow tube mass
  spectrometry, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 28 (2014) 10–18.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6747.
- [20] US EPA, Method 325A Volatile Organic Compounds from Fugitive and Area Sources: Sampler
   Deployment and VOC Sample Collection, US EPA. (2016). https://www.epa.gov/emc/method 325a-volatile-organic-compounds-fugitive-and-area-sources-sampler-deployment-and-voc
   (accessed March 31, 2021).
- US EPA, Method 325B Volatile Organic Compounds from Fugitive and Area Sources: Sampler
   Preparation and Analysis, US EPA. (2016). https://www.epa.gov/emc/method-325b-volatile organic-compounds-fugitive-and-area-sources-sampler-preparation-and (accessed March 31,
   2021).
- 556 [22] R.M. Healy, J. Bennett, J.M. Wang, N.S. Karellas, C. Wong, A. Todd, U. Sofowote, Y. Su, L. Di 557 Federico, A. Munoz, J.-P. Charland, D. Herod, M. Siu, L. White, Evaluation of a Passive Sampling 558 Method for Long-Term Continuous Monitoring of Volatile Organic Compounds in Urban 559 Environments, Environ. Sci. Technol. 52 (2018) 10580-10589. 560 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b02792.
- [23] B. Strandberg, A.-L. Sunesson, K. Olsson, J.-O. Levin, G. Ljungqvist, M. Sundgren, G. Sällsten, L. 561 Barregard, Evaluation of two types of diffusive samplers and adsorbents for measuring 1,3-562 air, Atmos. 563 butadiene and benzene in Environ. 39 (2005)4101-4110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.03.039. 564
- [24] N.A. Martin, P. Duckworth, M.H. Henderson, N.R.W. Swann, S.T. Granshaw, R.P. Lipscombe,
  B.A. Goody, Measurements of environmental 1,3-butadiene with pumped and diffusive
  samplers using the sorbent Carbopack X, Atmos. Environ. 39 (2005) 1069–1077.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.09.078.
- [25] E. Gallego, P. Teixidor, F.J. Roca, J.F. Perales, E. Gadea, Outdoor air 1,3-butadiene monitoring:
   Comparison of performance of Radiello<sup>®</sup> passive samplers and active multi-sorbent bed tubes,
   Atmos. Environ. 182 (2018) 9–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.03.022.
- [26] L. Vallecillos, J. Sanmartin, R.M. Marcé, F. Borrull, Determination of 1,3-butadiene degradation
   products in air samples by thermal desorption-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, Atmos.
   Environ. 196 (2019) 95–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.10.010.
- 575 [27] P. Almerud, M. Akerstrom, E.M. Andersson, B. Strandberg, G. Sallsten, Low personal exposure
  576 to benzene and 1,3-butadiene in the Swedish petroleum refinery industry, Int. Arch. Occup.
  577 Environ. Health. 90 (2017) 713–724. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-017-1234-y.
- 578 [28] J. Gawłowski, T. Gierczak, A. Jeżo, J. Niedzielski, Adsorption of water vapour in the solid
  579 sorbents used for the sampling of volatile organic compounds, Analyst. 124 (1999) 1553–1558.
  580 https://doi.org/10.1039/A905039F.
- 581 [29] D.M. Butterfield, R.P. Lipscombe, T.D. Gardiner, Safe Sampling Volume determinations of 12
  582 volatile organic compounds on Carboxen 1003, Carbopack-X & Tenax-TA, J. Chromatogr. A.
  583 1626 (2020) 461369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2020.461369.

- 584[30]MERCK,CarbopackAdsorbent,Sigma-Aldrich.(2021).585https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/catalog/product/supelco/10436 (accessed March 29, 2021).
- [31] K. Sakurai, Y. Miyake, T. Amagai, Development of a Dehumidification System for a Passive
  Sampler for Determining 1,3-Butadiene, J. UOEH. 38 (2016) 215–221.
  https://doi.org/10.7888/juoeh.38.215.
- [32] P. Pérez Ballesta, E. Grandesso, R.A. Field, A. Cabrerizo, Validation and modelling of a novel diffusive sampler for determining concentrations of volatile organic compounds in air, Anal.
   Chim. Acta. 908 (2016) 102–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2015.12.032.
- 592[33]SUPELCO, A Tool for Selecting an Adsorbent for Thermal Desorption Applications, Sigma-593Aldrich.(2001).
- 594 https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/deepweb/assets/sigmaaldrich/marketing/global/documents/11
   595 342.pdf (accessed April 9, 2021).
- 596

