



HAL
open science

$SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$, Higgs bundles, and quantization

Olivier Biquard

► **To cite this version:**

Olivier Biquard. $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$, Higgs bundles, and quantization. Geometry and physics. Vol. II. A Festschrift in honour of Nigel Hitchin. Edited by Jørgen Ellegaard Andersen, Andrew Dancer and Oscar García-Prada., 2018. hal-03740918

HAL Id: hal-03740918

<https://hal.science/hal-03740918>

Submitted on 30 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

$SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$, HIGGS BUNDLES, AND QUANTIZATION

OLIVIER BIQUARD

ABSTRACT. Nigel Hitchin recently proposed a theory of $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ -Higgs bundles which should parametrize a Hitchin component of representations of surface groups into $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$. We discuss some properties and propose a formal approximation of $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ representations by $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ representations when n goes to infinity.

In 1992 Hitchin defined the ‘Hitchin component’ of the moduli space of representations of a surface group into $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$. That was the beginning of ‘higher Teichmüller theory’, which studies higher dimensional analogues of Teichmüller space.

Recently, Hitchin [6] proposed an interpretation of the Hitchin component for $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$, following the same kind of ideas: since the Hitchin component is defined by Higgs bundles, the idea is to understand what a $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ -Higgs bundle is. It turns out that there is a natural geometric interpretation in terms of the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere S^2 , and this leads to interpret points in the Hitchin component for $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ as geometric structures on a symplectic 2-sphere bundle M^4 over the Riemann surface Σ , namely ‘folded hyperKähler structures’, that is hyperKähler metrics with singularities on a circle bundle $X^3 \subset M^4$.

In [2] I showed that, as expected, this Hitchin component is locally parametrized by

$$\bigoplus_{i \geq 2} H^0(\Sigma, K^i)$$

(some topology has to be taken in account here), which is natural from the point of view of the limit $SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$, since the Hitchin component for $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is parametrized by

$$\bigoplus_{i \geq 2}^n H^0(\Sigma, K^i).$$

The aim of this article is to study how one can approximate a point in the Hitchin component for $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ by a sequence in the Hitchin

components for $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. We prove that there exists a formal solution to this problem. This is the first step to produce a genuine approximation—a problem that we will study elsewhere. Our quantization technique has some similarities with Donaldson’s quantization for constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics [3], but here the quantization is done fibrewise, and the result is a solution of a geometric PDE rather than a solution of algebraic equations.

In § 1–2, we recall some basis on the Hitchin component and on the setting for $n = \infty$. In § 3 we describe our quantization procedure, and the formal solution is produced in § 4, but we avoid the most technical details coming from the machinery developed in [2].

1. HITCHIN’S COMPONENT FOR $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$

Let Σ be a surface of genus $g \geq 2$. The Teichmüller space of Σ can be seen in several equivalent ways:

- the space of complex structures on Σ ;
- the space of hyperbolic metrics on Σ ;
- the space of discrete faithful representations $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ modulo conjugations.

It is well-known that Teichmüller space is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{6g-6} . One construction of this isomorphism is the following. Suppose one fixes a reference hyperbolic metric g_0 on Σ (hence a complex structure), and g is another hyperbolic metric, then it follows from the Eells-Sampson theorem that there exists a unique harmonic diffeomorphism $\phi : (\Sigma, g_0) \rightarrow (\Sigma, g)$ which minimizes the energy among maps which are homotopic to the identity. Then $(\phi^*g)^{2,0} =: q$ is a quadratic differential: $q \in H^0(\Sigma, K^2)$. The map

$$g \longmapsto q$$

gives an identification of Teichmüller space with the vector space $H^0(\Sigma, K^2)$, whose complex dimension is $3g - 3$. Starting from a holomorphic quadratic differential q , one can construct a corresponding g by solving some geometric PDE, which is a special case of Hitchin selfduality equations [4].

These equations enabled Hitchin [5] to prove a correspondence between:

- the component (now called Hitchin component) of the space of representations $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of deformations of the representation

$$\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{R}),$$

where the monodromy representation of a hyperbolic metric on Σ is followed by the irreducible representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ in \mathbb{R}^n ;

- a moduli space of $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -Higgs bundles, which we will now describe.

Let us define G -Higgs bundles for a real semisimple group G . Let $H \subset G$ be a maximal compact subgroup, and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ the Cartan decomposition, with Cartan involution θ . Then a G -Higgs bundle on the Riemann surface Σ is a pair (E, Φ) , where E is a principal holomorphic $H^{\mathbb{C}}$ bundle and $\Phi \in H^0(\Sigma, E(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes K)$. The Higgs bundles occurring in the Hitchin component have the following form:

- the holomorphic bundle is fixed,

$$E = K^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \oplus K^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \oplus \dots \oplus K^{-\frac{n-1}{2}};$$

this has a canonical quadratic form and is therefore a $SO(n, \mathbb{C})$ bundle;

- the Higgs field has the form

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_2 & \cdots & q_n \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & q_2 \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q_i \in H^0(\Sigma, K^i).$$

This formula defines a holomorphic 1-form with values in $E(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}})$, the bundle of symmetric endomorphisms of E .

In particular, the Hitchin component is parametrized by the space

$$(1) \quad \bigoplus_{i=2}^n H^0(\Sigma, K^i),$$

and the q_i is calculated from the Higgs bundle by $q_i = \text{Tr}(\Phi^i)$.

Finally let us recall how such Higgs bundles parametrize representations of fundamental groups. A metric h on E (that is, a reduction of the structural group of E to H) enables to define a G -connection on E by

$$D_h = A_h + \Phi - \theta_h(\Phi),$$

where A_h is the Chern connection of (E, h) . One wants to solve the equation

$$F(A_h) = [\Phi, \theta_h(\Phi)],$$

which together with $\bar{\partial}\Phi = 0$, implies that D_h is flat, hence provides the representation $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow G$. The selfduality equations of Hitchin alluded to above are therefore the set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}\Phi &= 0, \\ F(A_h) &= [\Phi, \theta_h(\Phi)]. \end{aligned}$$

2. $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ -HIGGS BUNDLES AND HYPERKÄHLER METRICS

Let us see what one can do with $G = SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$. To define a G-Higgs bundle, we actually need only an interpretation of the summands of the Cartan decomposition, that is an interpretation of $H = SO(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

There is a well-known interpretation of $SU(\infty)$ as the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere S^2 . We will see in § 3 that in a precise sense $SU(\infty)$ is indeed a limit of the groups $SU(n)$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we can consider $\mathfrak{su}(\infty)$ as the space of functions on S^2 with zero integral. Then consider the equatorial symmetry ι on S^2 : this reverses the symplectic form on S^2 , so we can define a symmetric decomposition

$$\mathfrak{su}(\infty) = \mathfrak{so}(\infty, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{m},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{so}(\infty, \mathbb{R}) &= \{f \in \mathfrak{su}(\infty), \iota^* f = -f\}, \\ \mathfrak{m} &= \{f \in \mathfrak{su}(\infty), \iota^* f = f\}. \end{aligned}$$

In standard coordinates x_0, x_1, x_2 on S^2 , we have

$$\iota(x_0, x_1, x_2) = (-x_0, x_1, x_2),$$

and their Poisson brackets satisfy the standard relations $\{x_0, x_1\} = x_2$, etc. so we have a copy of $\mathfrak{su}(2, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{su}(\infty, \mathbb{R})$, with x_0 generating a $\mathfrak{so}(2, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{su}(\infty, \mathbb{R})$.

Now, to define what a solution of the selfduality equations for the group $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ is, we need:

- a H -bundle: this can be realized as a symplectic 2-sphere bundle

$$S^2 \rightarrow M^4 \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

with an involution ι of X which acts as the equatorial symmetry in each fibre;

- a H -connection: this is a symplectic connection on $M \rightarrow \Sigma$;

- a Higgs field: this is a $(1,0)$ -form on Σ , with values in $E(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}})$, that is ι -invariant complex functions on the fibre S^2 .

We write down the equations in a local trivialization $\mathbb{C} \times S^2$ of the bundle X , where the 2-sphere has the standard symplectic form ω_{S^2} : let $z = x+iy$ be a holomorphic coordinate on \mathbb{C} , then the H -connection and the Higgs field are given by

$$A = a_0 dx + a_1 dy, \quad \Phi = \frac{1}{2}(a_2 + ia_3) dz,$$

where $a_0, a_1 \in \mathfrak{so}(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ and $a_2, a_3 \in \mathfrak{m}$. The selfduality equations are now:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_x a_1 - \partial_y a_0 + \{a_0, a_1\} + \{a_2, a_3\} &= 0, \\ \partial_{\bar{z}}(a_2 + ia_3) + \frac{1}{2}\{a_0 + ia_1, a_2 + ia_3\} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the bracket is the fibrewise Poisson bracket of functions. Hitchin observed [6] that if we consider the a_i as functions defined on the total space $\mathbb{C} \times S^2$ and define

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \omega_{S^2} - da_0 \wedge dx - da_1 \wedge dy, \\ \omega_c &= \omega_2 + i\omega_3 = d(a_2 + ia_3) \wedge dz, \end{aligned}$$

then the system (2) is equivalent to the fact that $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ is a hyperKähler triple: the three 2-forms ω_i automatically satisfy $d\omega_i = 0$, and also the orthogonality relations

$$(4) \quad \omega_i \wedge \omega_j = \delta_{ij} \{a_2, a_3\} \omega_{S^2} \wedge idz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

This gives a hyperKähler metric on the locus where the volume form ω_i^2 does not degenerate, that is on the locus $\{a_2, a_3\} \neq 0$.

Here we have written the equations in local coordinates, but everything makes sense globally on the S^2 bundle $M \rightarrow \Sigma$, so we obtain a hyperKähler metric on the total space M , nondegenerate on the locus $\{a_2, a_3\} \neq 0$. Observe that $\{a_2, a_3\}$ takes values in \mathfrak{m} , and therefore satisfies $\iota^* \{a_2, a_3\} = -\{a_2, a_3\}$, so $\{a_2, a_3\}$ must vanish at least on the fixed point set of ι , which is a circle bundle $S^1 \rightarrow X^3 \rightarrow \Sigma$ inside the S^2 bundle $M^4 \rightarrow \Sigma$ (the equator in each sphere). The hyperKähler metric g degenerates along X in a special way and satisfies $\iota^* g = -g$, it is therefore positive on one side of X and negative on the other side. If we choose a defining function x of X , then for a certain coframe $(\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3)$ on X one has the behaviour near X :

$$g \sim x(dx^2 + (\theta^2)^2 + (\theta^3)^2) + x^{-1}(\theta^1)^2.$$

This kind of metric is called a folded hyperKähler metric, see [6] for details.

One important fact is that one can think of a folded hyperKähler metric in two equivalent ways:

- a ι -anti-invariant hyperKähler metric on M ;
- a hyperKähler metric only on one side of X in M , say the domain $D \subset M$ where g is positive.

The domain D may be compactified in a different way. Actually, the formula $\omega_c = (a_2 + ia_3)dz = 2d\Phi$ expresses ω_c as the symplectic form of the cotangent bundle $T^*\Sigma$, with $2\Phi = (a_2 + ia_3)dz$ as the Liouville form. Therefore D can be seen as a domain in the holomorphic cotangent bundle $T^*\Sigma$.

Example. A $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle leads to a $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle, thanks to the embedding $\mathfrak{su}(2) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{su}(\infty)$. In particular, the standard solution for the hyperbolic metric g_0 leads to a well-known S^1 -invariant folded hyperKähler metric on the disc bundle of $T^*\Sigma$. This metric can be seen as the noncompact dual of the Eguchi-Hanson metric, and we will refer to it as the ‘standard model’.

We can now define the Hitchin component for the group $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ as the space of triples (D, ω_1, ω_c) , where

- (D, ω_c) is a holomorphic symplectic domain of $T^*\Sigma$,
- ω_1 is a folded hyperKähler metric on (D, ω_c) , with fixed cohomology class in $H^2(D, \partial D)$.

In [2] we constructed the Hitchin component near the standard model:

Theorem 1. *Near the standard model, the Hitchin’s component for $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ is parametrized by $\bigoplus_{n \geq 2} H^0(\Sigma, K^n)$.*

This indeed corresponds to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (1). The statement in [2] is more precise, and the sum is to take with respect to some topology.

There is a nice infinitesimal description of the cotangent domains which occur in the Hitchin component, near the standard model D_0 (the disc bundle). The infinitesimal deformations of D are of the form $f u \partial_u$, where f is a real function on ∂D_0 and $u \partial_u$ is the homothety vector field in the fibres. It turns out that the functions which occur for the domains D in theorem 1 are the real parts of the CR holomorphic functions on ∂D_0 , and the decomposition into Fourier series on ∂D_0 gives the sum in the theorem (the functions corresponding to $H^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ do not preserve the cohomology class of ω_1 , and those corresponding to $H^1(\Sigma, K)$ actually give trivial deformations).

3. QUANTIZATION

We now explain how one can say that the group $SU(\infty)$ is the limit of the groups $SU(n)$ when n goes to infinity. This relies on the Berezin quantization [1], see for example [7, Chapter 7]. We need an auxiliary complex structure on the symplectic 2-sphere, that is we consider S^2 as $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Then

$$E_n = H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1, \mathcal{O}(n)) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n+1}.$$

For $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}P^1, \mathbb{R})$ define the Toeplitz operator $T_{f,n} \in \mathfrak{iu}(E_n)$ by

$$T_{f,n}s = \pi_{E_n}(fs) \quad \text{for } s \in V_n,$$

where π_{E_n} is the orthogonal projection on E_n . Then, for smooth functions f, g , there is an infinite development

$$(5) \quad [iT_{f,n}, iT_{g,n}] \sim \frac{1}{n}iT_{\{f,g\},n} + \frac{1}{n^2}T_{P_2(f,g),n} + \frac{1}{n^3}T_{P_3(f,g),n} + \dots$$

where the $P_k(f, g)$ are bi-differential operators (and $P_1(f, g) = i\{f, g\}$). The development means that for every j one has, with respect to the operator norm,

$$\| [iT_{f,n}, iT_{g,n}] - \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{n^k} T_{P_k(f,g),n} \| = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{j+1}}\right).$$

The meaning of (5) is clear: the map $f \mapsto iT_{f,n}$ is asymptotically a Lie algebra morphism from $\mathfrak{su}(\infty)$ to $\mathfrak{su}(n+1)$. We will see in § 4 that an asymptotic inverse of this map can be constructed, making precise the statement that $\mathfrak{su}(\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{su}(n)$.

Now come back to the Hitchin component for the group $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$. A point in the Hitchin component is described by a solution of the $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ -selfduality equations on the symplectic bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^2 & \longrightarrow & M^4 \\ & & \downarrow p \\ & & \Sigma \end{array}$$

In order to approximate the Hitchin component for $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ by the Hitchin components for $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, we want to relate such a solution with a solution of the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -selfduality equations. The idea is to apply the above described quantization fibrewise on M . As for usual quantization, we need an auxiliary complex structure: we describe M as the complex ruled surface

$$M = P(K^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus K^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

and we denote by $\Sigma_0, \Sigma_\infty \subset M$ the zero and infinity sections. We introduce the holomorphic line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ defined by

$$L|_{\text{fibre}} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad L|_{\Sigma_0} = K^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad L|_{\Sigma_\infty} = K^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The vector space E_n now becomes a \mathbb{C}^{n+1} -holomorphic bundle over Σ defined by

$$(6) \quad E_n = p_* L^n = K^{-\frac{n}{2}} \oplus K^{-\frac{n}{2}+1} \oplus \dots \oplus K^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

The equatorial symmetry $\iota(z) = 1/\bar{z}$ on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ lifts to an anti-holomorphic involution ι_1 of the total space of the bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$. This gives a real structure τ on $H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1, \mathcal{O}(n))$ defined by $\tau(s) = \iota_1 \circ s \circ \iota$. Combining with the natural Hermitian metric h on $H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1, \mathcal{O}(n))$, we obtain on this space a complex quadratic form

$$q(s) = h(\tau s, s).$$

All these algebraic considerations make sense fibrewise on M , and we obtain on E_n a holomorphic quadratic form, making E_n a $SO(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle. Of course, this is the standard quadratic form that one can write on E_n from the explicit form (6), but it is useful to derive q from the involution ι . In particular, if a complex function f on M satisfies $\iota^* f = \pm f$, then $T_{f,n}$ is symmetric (resp. antisymmetric). Indeed, for any $s, t \in E_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} q(T_{f,n}s, t) &= h(\tau f s, t) \\ &= \pm h(\bar{f} \tau s, t) \\ &= \pm h(\tau s, f t) \\ &= \pm q(s, f t) = \pm q(s, T_{f,n}t). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us add in the picture a solution $(\omega_1, \omega_c = 2d\Phi)$ of the $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ -selfduality equations. Given a vector field X on Σ , we define a horizontal lift \tilde{X} of X on M by asking that

$$p_* \tilde{X} = X, \quad \tilde{X} \perp_{\omega_1} \text{ fibres.}$$

We also choose a Hermitian connection ∇ on L such that $iF(\nabla) = \omega_1$. We will require the following normalization:

- (1) along each fibre S^2 , the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator $\nabla^{0,1}$ coincides with the holomorphic structure of L along the fibre;
- (2) ∇ is compatible with the real structure τ , that is, for any section of L ,

$$\nabla(\tau s) = \tau \nabla s.$$

Suppose we have another connection with the same curvature, $\nabla + i\alpha$, with α a closed 1-form on M , then the two above conditions imply respectively:

- (1) α vanishes on the fibres; therefore $\alpha = p^*\alpha_0$, where $\alpha_0 \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ is closed;
- (2) for any section s , one should have $i\alpha\tau s = \tau i\alpha s$, but since $\alpha = p^*\alpha_0$ one has $\tau i\alpha s = -i\alpha_0\tau s$ so one must have $\alpha_0 = 0$.

Our normalization therefore defines ∇ canonically. The existence is obvious in a local trivialization of (M, L) over a disk $\Delta \subset \Sigma$, such that all fibres (S^2, ω_{S^2}, L) are identified (this cannot be done in natural holomorphic cotangent coordinates, since the metric on Σ is curved): in such a trivialization, if ω_1 is given by the formula (3), then

$$\nabla = \nabla_{S^2} + i(a_0 dx + a_1 dy).$$

We can now proceed with the quantization: we define

- a connection ∇_n on E_n by $\nabla_{X,n}s = \pi_{E_n}(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}s)$; the above compatibility $\nabla\tau = 0$ implies that the coefficients of ∇_n are antisymmetric, so ∇_n is a $SO(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -connection; this can be seen simply in a local trivialization of M as above, since we then obtain

$$(7) \quad \nabla_n = d + inT_{a_0 + \frac{\Delta a_0}{2n}, n} dx + inT_{a_1 + \frac{\Delta a_1}{2n}, n} dy;$$

the lower order terms $\frac{\Delta a_0}{2n}$ come from the fact that the horizontal lifting of the vector field ∂_x is $\tilde{\partial}_x = \partial_x - \xi_{a_0}$, where ξ_f is the vertical Hamiltonian vector field associated to a function f ; and from the application of Tuynman's formula

$$\pi_{E_n}(\nabla_{\xi_f}s) = -iT_{\frac{\Delta f}{2}, n}s$$

for any $s \in E_n$ (the proof is a simple integration by parts);

- a Higgs field Φ_n on E_n by $\Phi_n = nT_{\Phi, n}$; since Φ is a section of p^*K , it follows that Φ_n is a section of $K \otimes \text{Sym}(E_n)$, given locally by the formula $\frac{n}{2}T_{a_2 + ia_3, n} dz$.

Theorem 2. *The triple (E_n, ∇_n, Φ_n) is an asymptotic solution of the $SL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -selfduality equations.*

The meaning of 'asymptotic' is made precise by the following lemma, which implies the theorem.

Lemma 1. *Suppose given (∇, Φ) on (M, L) , not necessarily satisfying the selfduality equations. Then there is a full asymptotic expansion of the following quantities in powers of $\frac{1}{n}$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, with leading terms given*

by:

$$\frac{1}{n} \nabla_{\partial_{\bar{z}}, n} \Phi_n \sim T_{\tilde{\partial}_{\bar{z}}, n} + \dots,$$

$$\frac{1}{n} (F(\nabla_n) - [\Phi_n, \theta(\Phi_n)])_{\partial_x, \partial_y} \sim iT_{\partial_x a_1 - \partial_y a_0 + \{a_0, a_1\} + \{a_2, a_3\}, n} + \dots.$$

Since $\tilde{\partial}_{\bar{z}} = \partial_{\bar{z}} - \frac{1}{2} \xi_{a_0 + ia_1}$, one has

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\bar{z}} \Phi = \partial_{\bar{z}} \Phi + \frac{1}{2} \{a_0 + ia_1, \Phi\}$$

so the two leading terms of the expansions in the lemma cancel exactly when (∇, Φ) is a solution of the selfduality equations. This shows how the lemma implies the theorem.

The lemma is a consequence of the following formulas, which are contained in a forthcoming article of Xiaonan Ma and Weiping Zhang:

Lemma 2. *One has the full asymptotic expansions in powers of $\frac{1}{n}$:*

$$\nabla_{X, n} T_{f, n} \sim T_{\tilde{X}, f, n} + \dots$$

$$\frac{1}{n} F(\nabla_n)_{X, Y} \sim T_{F(L)_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, n}} + \dots$$

In our case the formula for $F(\nabla_n)$ is immediate from the formula (7) and the expansion (5). The first formula is more difficult and we refer the reader to Ma-Zhang.

Let us come back to lemma 1: the first formula of the lemma now follows. For the second formula, we write

$$\begin{aligned} F(L)_{\tilde{\partial}_x, \tilde{\partial}_y} &= -i\omega_1(\tilde{\partial}_x, \tilde{\partial}_y) \\ &= -i\omega_1(\partial_x - \xi_{a_0}, \partial_y - \xi_{a_1}) \\ &= i(\partial_x a_1 - \partial_y a_0 + \{a_0, a_1\}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand from (5) one has

$$-[\Phi_n, \theta(\Phi_n)]_{\partial_x, \partial_y} = -n^2 [T_{\Phi_n}, T_{\bar{\Phi}_n}] = -inT_{\{\Phi, \bar{\Phi}\}, n} + \dots$$

and

$$\{\Phi, \bar{\Phi}\} = \{a_2 + ia_3, a_2 - ia_3\} \frac{1}{4} dz \wedge d\bar{z} = -\{a_2, a_3\} dx \wedge dy.$$

The second formula in the lemma follows.

4. FORMAL APPROXIMATION

We have seen that, as a consequence of the existence of the asymptotic Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{su}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n+1)$, a point (∇, Φ) in the $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ -Hitchin component gives rise to an asymptotic solution (∇_n, Φ_n) of the $SL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ selfduality equations.

We would like to refine this to an exact solution of the selfduality equations. Here we need another ingredient from quantization which shows that $\mathfrak{su}(n+1)$ actually approximates $\mathfrak{su}(\infty)$. The Berezin symbol $\sigma_n : i\mathfrak{su}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(\infty)$ is the adjoint of the map

$$(8) \quad T_{\cdot, n} : \mathfrak{su}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(n+1).$$

It can be defined for $x \in S^2$ by

$$\sigma_n(A)(x) = \text{Tr}(\pi_{i_n(x)} \circ A),$$

where i_n is the natural embedding $i_n : \mathbb{C}P^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\pi_{i_n(x)}$ is the orthogonal projection on the line $i_n(x) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. The important fact is that σ_n gives an asymptotic inverse of the Toeplitz map (8): for any $f \in C^\infty(S^2)$,

$$(9) \quad \sigma_n(T_{f, n}) \sim f + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^k} S_k(f),$$

where the S_k are differential operators (in particular $S_1 = \Delta$).

Now let us emphasize the dependence of $(\nabla = d + a_0 dx + a_1 dy, \Phi = \frac{1}{2}(a_2 + ia_3) dz)$ to a by writing $(\nabla_n(a), \Phi_n(a))$, and note $(\nabla_n(a), \Phi_n(a))$ the resulting quantized $SL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ -Higgs bundle.

The Berezin symbol is injective (equivalently, the Toeplitz map is surjective). Therefore the selfduality equations for $(\nabla_n(a), \Phi_n(a))$ can be written

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} P_1(n, a) &:= \frac{1}{n} \sigma_n(F(\nabla_n(a)) - [\Phi_n(a), \theta(\Phi_n(a))]) = 0, \\ P_c(n, a) &:= \frac{1}{n} \sigma_n(\nabla_n(a)^{0,1} \Phi_n(a)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From lemma 1 and the expansion (9), it follows that there is an asymptotic development of $P(n, a) = (P_1(n, a), P_c(n, a))$ given by

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} P_1(n, a) &\sim i(\partial_x a_1 - \partial_y a_0 + \{a_0, a_1\} + \{a_2, a_3\}) dx \wedge dy + \dots \\ P_c(n, a) &\sim (\partial_{\bar{z}}(a_2 + ia_3) + \frac{1}{2}\{a_0 + ia_1, a_2 + ia_3\}) d\bar{z} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we have written in a local trivialization, but the leading terms in the two equations can be more intrinsically interpreted as $\omega_1(a)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\omega_c(a) \wedge \bar{\omega}_c(a)$ and $\omega_1(a) \wedge \omega_c(a)$ respectively, which together form the hyperKähler equations.

Theorem 3. *Given $a^{(0)}$ any solution to the $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ selfduality equations, there is a formal solution $a = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{a^{(k)}}{n^k}$ to the equations (10).*

Let us sketch the proof of the theorem: consider the variable $\hbar = \frac{1}{n}$, we can then consider P as a function of \hbar and a , with $\hbar = 0$ corresponding to $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then:

- if a is a solution of the $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$ -selfduality equations, then $P(0, a) = 0$;
- the differential $\frac{\partial P}{\partial a}(0, a)$ is the linearisation of the hyperKähler equations, which is surjective by [2].

The existence of formal developments of $P(\hbar, a)$ in powers of \hbar then ensures that one can apply formally the implicit function theorem to the equation $P(\hbar, a) = 0$ to find solutions for nonzero \hbar .

We do not give more details on the proof of theorem 3, since the technical details require the machinery developed in [2] to analyse the folded hyperKähler cotangent domains.

Conjectural considerations. The next step is to pass from a formal solution to a genuine solution of the equations. One way to do that is to truncate the formal solution at some order, and then deform to a true solution—the model of such a method being Donaldson’s quantization for the problem of constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics [3]. We will deal with this problem in another article.

There are some conjectural considerations that one may deduce from such an approximation map. Let us call \mathcal{H}_n the $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ Hitchin component, and \mathcal{H}_∞ that for $SL(\infty, \mathbb{R})$. We know that \mathcal{H}_n is parametrized by $B_n = \bigoplus_{i=2}^n H^0(\Sigma, K^i)$, and the ‘Hitchin map’ $\mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow B_n$ is given by

$$(E, \Phi) \mapsto (p_i(\Phi) = \text{Tr}(\Phi^i))_{i=2, \dots, n}.$$

On the other hand, the Hitchin map for \mathcal{H}_∞ is given by

$$(\nabla, \Phi) \mapsto (q_i(\Phi) = \int_{M/\Sigma} \Phi^i \omega_1)_{i \geq 2}.$$

Hitchin [6] showed that this defines an element of $B_\infty = \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} H^0(\Sigma, K^i)$. Here we do not give any precise topology on the sum.

It is almost obvious from the definitions that one has, for any function f on S^2 ,

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \text{Tr}(T_{f,n}) = \int_{S^2} f \omega_{S^2}.$$

There is also a full asymptotic expansion

$$T_{f,n} \circ T_{g,n} \sim T_{fg,n} + \dots$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1} \text{Tr} \left(\left(\frac{\Phi_n}{n} \right)^i \right) &= \frac{1}{n+1} \text{Tr} T_{\Phi,n}^i \\ &\sim \frac{1}{n+1} \text{Tr} T_{\Phi^i,n} \longrightarrow \int_{M/\Sigma} \Phi^i \omega_1, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{n^{i+1}} p_i(\Phi_n) \longrightarrow q_i(\Phi).$$

Now suppose that we were able to define a genuine approximation map $\mathbf{a}_n : \mathcal{H}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$. Then it should follow that, with the normalization (12), we also have a convergence of the Hitchin maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_n} & \mathcal{H}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_\infty & \supset & B_n \end{array}$$

Because the Hitchin maps on \mathcal{H}_n are injective, it should follow that the Hitchin map on \mathcal{H}_∞ is also injective, that is, an element in \mathcal{H}_∞ is determined by its polynomials q_i . Another way to state the same thing is to say that a cotangent domain of Σ carrying a folded hyper-Kähler metric is determined by its q_i 's. This would be the first step in proving that \mathcal{H}_∞ is parametrized by B_∞ (with the sum equipped with a suitable topology).

REFERENCES

- [1] F. A. Berezin. General concept of quantization. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 40:153–174, 1975.
- [2] O. Biquard. Métriques hyperkähleriennes pliées. [arXiv:1503.04128](https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.04128).
- [3] S. K. Donaldson. Scalar curvature and projective embeddings. I. *J. Differential Geom.*, 59(3):479–522, 2001.
- [4] N. J. Hitchin. The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 55(1):59–126, 1987.
- [5] N. J. Hitchin. Lie groups and Teichmüller space. *Topology*, 31(3):449–473, 1992.
- [6] N. J. Hitchin. Higgs bundles and diffeomorphism groups. In H.-D. Cao and S.-T. Yau, editors, *Advances in geometry and mathematical physics*, volume XXI of *Surveys in differential geometry*, pages 139–163. International Press, 2016.
- [7] X. Ma and G. Marinescu. *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2007.