Homogeneous non-split superstrings of odd dimension 4

Mikhail Bashkin

Communications in Mathematics n (2022) 00–∞
DOI: 10.46298/cm.ABCD-XYZW

Homogeneous non-split superstrings of odd dimension 4

Mikhail Bashkin

Abstract. Let $\mathbf{L}_k$ be the holomorphic line bundle of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ on the projective line. Here, the tuples $(k_1k_2k_3k_4)$ for which there does not exists homogeneous non-split supermanifolds $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{k_1k_2k_3k_4}$ associated with the vector bundle $\mathbf{L}_{-k_1} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-k_2} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-k_3} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-k_4}$ are classified.

For many types of the remaining tuples, there are listed cocycles that determine homogeneous non-split supermanifolds.

Proofs follow the lines indicated in the paper Bunegina V.A., Onishchik A.L., Homogeneous supermanifolds associated with the complex projective line. J. Math. Sci. V. 82 (1996) 3503–3527.

1 Introduction

In this paper, I summarize the results of classification (up to a diffeomorphism) of homogeneous complex (more precisely, almost complex, see [9]*, since the vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor is never required) supermanifolds $\mathcal{M} := (M, \mathcal{O})$, where $M = \mathbb{CP}^1$ and $\dim \mathcal{M} = 1|n$. (*Comments with starred references are added by the editor of this Special Volume. D.L.*)

For the case where $\mathcal{M}$ is split, the classification is known, see [10]: the non-diffeomorphic supermanifolds are in one-to-one correspondence with n-tuples of non-negative integers.

If $\mathcal{M}$ is non-split, the classification is considerably more complicated and reduces to computation of cohomology of split homogeneous supermanifolds with coefficients in the tangent sheaf.

For $n = 2$ and 3, V. A. Bunegina and A. L. Onishchik completely investigated the case, see [10], [11].

For $n = 4$, see below (summary of the results of [1] – [4], [6], [7]). For the method of the proof, see [10], [11].

2 Results

As is known, any holomorphic bundle $\mathbf{E}$ over $\mathbb{CP}^1$ can be uniquely decomposed into a direct sum of line bundles: Grothendieck's theorem, see [15]*. (For interesting applications of Linear Superalgebra (with elements of category theory) to the description of vector bundles over projective spaces, see the review [8]*. For the latest results on non-splitness of supermanifolds whose retract

MSC 2010: Primary 32C11, 32M10 Secondary 53Z05, 81T30
Keywords: Non-split homogeneous supermanifold, retract, holomorphic vector bundle
Affiliation: P.A. Solovyov Rybinsk State Aviation Technical University, Rybinsk, Russia
E-mail: mbashkin@rsatu.ru

is the Grassmann manifold, see [24]*, [25]*, [26]*.) Let $\mathbf{L}_k$ be the holomorphic line bundle of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider a holomorphic bundle

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{L}_{-k_1} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-k_2} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-k_3} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{-k_4}, \text{ where } k_1 \geq k_2 \geq k_3 \geq k_4 \geq 0.$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is homogeneous, then the k_i must be non-negative, see [10].

Let $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{k_1k_2k_3k_4}$ designate the split supermanifold determined by $\mathbf{E}$.

Let us cover $\mathbb{CP}^1$ by two affine charts U_0 and U_1 with local coordinates x and $y = \frac{1}{x}$, respectively. Then, the transition functions on $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{k_1k_2k_3k_4}$ in $U_0 \cap U_1$ are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} y &= x^{-1}, \\ \eta_i &= x^{-k_i}\xi_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, 4, \end{aligned}$$

where ξ_i and η_i are basis sections of $\mathbf{E}$ over U_0 and U_1, respectively.

Let M be a compact complex manifold. We will sometimes need general statements about the $m|n$-dimensional supermanifold $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O})$. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}$ be the subsheaf of ideals generated by the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{1}}$. Consider the filtration of $\mathcal{O}$ by powers of $\mathcal{I}$:

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{I}^0 \supset \mathcal{I} \supset \mathcal{I}^2 \supset \ldots \supset \mathcal{I}^n \supset \mathcal{I}^{n+1} = 0.$$

The graded sheaf $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{O} = \oplus_{0 \leq i \leq n} \operatorname{gr}_i\mathcal{O}$ with $\operatorname{gr}_i\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{I}^i/\mathcal{I}^{i+1}$ defines the split supermanifold $(M, \operatorname{gr}\mathcal{O})$ called the *retract* of $(M, \mathcal{O})$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{\text{gr}} := \oplus_{-1 \leq p \leq 4}(\mathcal{T}_{\text{gr}})_p$ denote the graded tangent sheaf of the split supermanifold $(M, \mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}})$. Consider the subsheaf

$$\mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}} = \exp((\mathcal{T}_{\text{gr}})_2 \oplus (\mathcal{T}_{\text{gr}})_4)$$

of the sheaf $\mathcal{A}ut\,\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}}$. Thanks to a theorem due to Green ([14]), the set of supermanifolds with a given retract $(M, \mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}})$ is isomorphic to the set of orbits of the group $\operatorname{Aut}\mathbf{E}$ in $H^1(M, \mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}})$.

In what follows we often assume that $H^0(M, (\mathcal{T}_{\text{gr}})_2) = 0$. This is needed for existence of a bijection (see [21, Section 3.2 pp.23–37])

$$H^1(M, \mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}}) \longleftrightarrow H^1(M, (\mathcal{T}_{\text{gr}})_2) \oplus H^1(M, (\mathcal{T}_{\text{gr}})_4).$$

2.1. Statement ([7]). *For $n \leq 5$, let $H^0(M, (\mathcal{T}_{\text{gr}})_2) = 0$ and let there be given subspaces $Q_{2p} \subset Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, (\mathcal{T}_{\text{gr}})_{2p})$, where $p = 1, 2$, such that every cohomology class in $H^1(M, (\mathcal{T}_{\text{gr}})_{2p})$ contains precisely one cocycle in Q_{2p}.*

Then, every cohomology class in $H^1(M, \mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr}})$ can be represented by a unique cocycle of the form $z = \exp(u^2 + u^4)$, where $u^2 \in Q_2$ and $u^4 \in Q_4$.

Hereafter, the expression "$(M, \mathcal{O})$ is determined by the cocycle $u^2 + u^4$" means that $(M, \mathcal{O})$ actually corresponds to the cocycle $z = \exp(u^2 + u^4)$.

In [10, Prop. 12], there is given a description of the algebra $\operatorname{End}\mathbf{E}$. Let us reformulate this Proposition in terms more convenient for us here. Any endomorphism $a \in \operatorname{End}\mathbf{E}$ can be considered as an endomorphism of the sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{F}$-modules. In U_0, we have

$$a(\xi_i) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ji}\xi_j \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $A=(a_{ji})$ is a matrix with elements $a_{ji}\in\mathcal{F}(U_0)$. The matrix A completely determines the endomorphism a, and $a\in\operatorname{Aut}\mathbf{E}$ if and only if A is invertible.

The following statement is needed in the classification of homogeneous non-split supermanifolds up to a diffeomorphism.

2.2. Statement ([7]). *The matrix $A=(a_{ji})$ over $\mathcal{F}(U_0)$ corresponds to some $a\in\operatorname{End}\mathbf{E}$ if and only if*

$$a_{ij}=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } k_i<k_j,\\ \text{is a polynomial of degree} \le k_i-k_j & \text{if } k_i\ge k_j.\end{cases}$$

First, consider the tuples $(k,k,2,0)$ for $k\ge 2$. Let $\mathfrak{v}(\mathbb{CP}^1,\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{gr}})$ be the Lie superalgebra of vector fields on $\mathbb{CP}^{1|4}_{kk20}$. Theorem 14 in [6] implies the following

2.3. Lemma. *For a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1,(\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{gr}})_q)$, where $q=1,2,4$, we can take* (the classes of) *the following cocycles defining $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{kk20}$.*

1) $q=1$

for $k=2$

$$x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2},\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4},\quad x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1},\quad x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4},\quad x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1},$$
$$x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4},\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_{\xi_3},\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_{\xi_2},\quad x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_1},$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_{\xi_4},\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_{\xi_4},\quad x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_4}\quad \text{for } r=1,2,3$$

for $k=3$

$$x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4},\quad x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1},\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_{\xi_2},\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_{\xi_4}\ (r=1,2,3,4),\quad x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_1},$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_4}\ (r=1,2,3,4),\ x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_{\xi_3}\ (r=1,2,3),\ x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_{\xi_4}\ (r=1,2,3,4,5),$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=2,3,4,\ r=1,2),\ x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=1,3,4,\ r=1,2);$$

for $k\ge 4$

$$x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4},\quad x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1},\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_{\xi_2},\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_{\xi_4}\ (r=1,\dots,k+1),$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_{\xi_3}\ (r=1,\dots,2k-3),\quad x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_1},\quad x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_4}\ (r=1,\dots,k+1),$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_{\xi_4}\ (r=1,\dots,2k-1),\ x^{-r}\xi_i\partial_x\ (i=1,2,\ j=1,\dots,k-3),$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=2,3,4,\ r=1,\dots,k-1),\ x^{-r}\xi_j\xi_4\partial_{\xi_3}\ (j=1,2,\ r=1,\dots,k-3),$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=1,3,4,\ r=1,\dots,k-1);$$

2) $q=2$

for $k=2$

$$x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_x,\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=3,4,\ r=1,2,3),\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_4\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2},\quad x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1},$$
$$x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_x,\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=2,4,\ r=1,2,3),\quad x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1},\quad x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1},$$
$$x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_x,\quad x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=1,4,\ r=1,2,3),\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2},\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_3},$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_4}\ (r=1,2,3,4,5);$$

for $k=3$

$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_x\ (r=1,2,3),\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=3,4,\ r=1,2,3,4,5),\quad x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1},$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_x\ (r=1,2),\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=2,4,\ r=1,2,3,4),\quad x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1},$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_x\ (r=1,2),\quad x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=1,4,\ r=1,2,3,4),\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2},$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_4}\ (r=1,\dots,7),\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_4\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=2,3,\ r=1,2),$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_3}\ (r=1,2,3),\quad x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=1,3,\ r=1,2);$$

for $k\ge 4$

$$x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1},\quad x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1},\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2},$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_x\ (r=1,\dots,2k-3),\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=3,4,\ r=1,\dots,2k-1),$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_x\ (r=1,\dots,k-1),\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=2,4,\ r=1,\dots,k+1),$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_x\ (r=1,\dots,k-1),\quad x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=1,4,\ r=1,\dots,k+1),$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_4}\ (r=1,\dots,2k+1),\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_3}\ (r=1,\dots,2k-3),$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_4\partial_x\ (r=1,\dots,k-3),\quad x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_4\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=2,3,\ r=1,\dots,k-1),$$
$$x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_4\partial_x\ (r=1,\dots,k-3),\quad x^{-r}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\ (j=1,3,\ r=1,\dots,k-1);$$

4) $q=4$

$$x^{-r}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_x\ (r=1,\dots,2k-1).$$

Consider the exact sequence (see [10])

$$0\to\operatorname{End}\mathbf{E}\to\mathfrak{v}(\mathbb{CP}^1,\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{gr}})_0\xrightarrow{\beta}\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})\to 0. \tag{1}$$

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{v}(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{gr}})_0$ splits the sequence (1) if β is an isomorphism with $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ or, equivalently, $\mathfrak{v}(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{gr}})_0 = \operatorname{End}\mathbf{E} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ is a direct sum of Lie algebras. In [10], it was shown that the supermanifold with retract $(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{gr}})$ is even-homogeneous if and only if a subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ splitting (1) can be lifted to it.

If this is the case, we will say that the $(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O})$ is *even-homogeneous relative* $\mathfrak{a}$. Then, there exist only the following (up to an automorphism in $\operatorname{Aut}\mathbf{E}$) splitting subalgebras $\mathfrak{a}_i \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ (see [10]) for the supermanifold $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{kk20}$ (spanned by $\mathbf{e}$, $\mathbf{f}$, and $\mathbf{h} = [\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}]$)
for $k = 2$
$\mathfrak{a}_1$: $\mathbf{e} = \partial_x$, $\mathbf{f} = -x^2\partial_x - 2x\,(\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1} + \xi_2\partial_{\xi_2} + \xi_3\partial_{\xi_3})$;
$\mathfrak{a}_2$: $\mathbf{e} = \xi_2\partial_{\xi_1} + \partial_x$, $\mathbf{f} = \xi_1\partial_{\xi_2} - x^2\partial_x - 2x\,(\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1} + \xi_2\partial_{\xi_2} + \xi_3\partial_{\xi_3})$;
$\mathfrak{a}_3$: $\mathbf{e} = \xi_3\partial_{\xi_2} + \xi_2\partial_{\xi_1} + \partial_x$,
$\mathbf{f} = 2\xi_2\partial_{\xi_3} + 2\xi_1\partial_{\xi_2} - x^2\partial_x - 2x\,(\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1} + \xi_2\partial_{\xi_2} + \xi_3\partial_{\xi_3})$;
for $k \geq 3$ and $\nabla = k\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1} + k\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2} + 2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_3}$
$\mathfrak{a}_1$: $\mathbf{e} = \partial_x$, $\mathbf{f} = -x^2\partial_x - x\nabla$;
$\mathfrak{a}_2$: $\mathbf{e} = \xi_2\partial_{\xi_1} + \partial_x$, $\mathbf{f} = \xi_1\partial_{\xi_2} - x^2\partial_x - x\nabla$.

Designate by $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, (\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{gr}}))^{\mathfrak{a}}$ the set of $\mathfrak{a}$-invariants.

2.4. Lemma ([1]). *Let $n = 4$, $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq k_3 \geq k_4 \geq 0$ and $H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, (\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{gr}})_2) = \{0\}$. Then,*
1) *$H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, (\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{gr}})_2)^{\mathfrak{s}} \neq \{0\}$ if and only if (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) is one of the following*

$$
\begin{array}{l}
(1,1,1,0),\quad (2,2,1,0),\quad (3,3,1,0),\quad (4,4,1,0),\quad (4,4,3,0),\\
(6,4,3,0),\quad (2,2,2,1),\quad ,(3,3,2,1),\quad (5,3,2,1),\quad (k+1,k,1,1)_{k\geq 1},\\
(k+1,k,2,0)_{k\geq 2},\ (k,k,2,0)_{k\geq 2},\ (k+3,k,2,2)_{k\geq 2},\ (k+1,k,2,2)_{k\geq 2},\\
(k,k,2,2)_{k\geq 2},\quad (k+3,k,3,1)_{k\geq 3},\quad (k+1,k,3,1)_{k\geq 3},\quad (k,k,3,1)_{k\geq 3},\\
(k+3,k,4,0)_{k\geq 4},\ (k+2,k,4,0)_{k\geq 4},\ (k+1,k,4,0)_{k\geq 4},\ (k,k,4,0)_{k\geq 4},\\
(k_2+k_3+k_4-2,k_2,k_3,k_4)_{k_3\geq 1}.
\end{array}
$$

2) *$H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, (\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{gr}})_4)^{\mathfrak{s}} \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$.*

2.5. Lemma. *For a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, (\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{gr}})_2)^{\mathfrak{a}_i}$ we can take* (the classes of) *the following cocycles for the supermanifold $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{kk20}$.*

for $k = 2$
1) $i = 1$:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_x + x^{-2}\,(\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_3} + \xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4})\,, & x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_4\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2}, & x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1},\\
x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_x + x^{-2}\,(\xi_1\xi_3\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2} + \xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4})\,, & x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}, & x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_3},\\
x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_x + x^{-2}\,(\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1} + \xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4})\,, & x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}, & x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1};
\end{array}
$$

2) $i = 2$: $x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_3}$;
3) $i = 3$: $x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_3}$, $2x^{-3}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_3} + x^{-2}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2} + x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}$,
$2x^{-3}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4} + x^{-2}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4} + x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4}$;

for $k = 3$ and $k \geq 5$

1) $i = 1$: $x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}$, $x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}$, $x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}$;
2) $i = 2$: $x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}$, $x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}$;

for $k = 4$

1) $i=1$:

$$x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1},\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2},\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_4\partial_x+2x^{-2}\xi_1\xi_4\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2}+x^{-2}\xi_1\xi_4\xi_3\partial_{\xi_3},$$
$$x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1},\quad x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_4\partial_x+2x^{-2}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-2}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_3\partial_{\xi_3};$$

2) $i=2:\quad x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2},\quad x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}.$

Proof follows from Theorem 15 in [7]. □

The proof of the following Lemma is similar.

2.6. Lemma. *$H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1,(\mathcal{T}_{\rm gr})_4)^{\mathfrak{a}}=\{0\}$ for any splitting subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ and supermanifold $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{kk20}$.*

Let $\lambda_2:\mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O}_{\rm gr}\longrightarrow(\mathcal{T}_{\rm gr})_2$ be a sheaf homomorphism which to any germ of the automorphism a assigns the 2-component of $\log a$ in $(\mathcal{T}_{\rm gr})_2\oplus(\mathcal{T}_{\rm gr})_4$.

Denote by $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1,\mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O}_{\rm gr})^{\mathfrak{a}}$ the set of classes that determine supermanifolds even-homogeneous relative $\mathfrak{a}$.

2.7. Proposition. *If $\mathfrak{a}$ splits the sequence (1), then λ_2^* bijectively maps $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1,\mathcal{A}ut_{(2)}\mathcal{O}_{\rm gr})^{\mathfrak{a}}$ to $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1,(\mathcal{T}_{\rm gr})_2)^{\mathfrak{a}}$.*

Proof follows from Statement 2.1 and Lemma 2.6. □

Using the lifting condition of the vector field on non-split supermanifold described in [20], and Lemma 2.6, we deduce that any supermanifold $\bar{0}$-homogeneous relative $\mathfrak{a}$ is determined by cocycles u^2 such that the class $[u^2]$ is $\mathfrak{a}$-invariant and $[u^2,u^2]=0$. Since $[u^2,u^2]=0$ is true for all cocycles in Lemma 2.5, the following Theorem holds.

2.8. Theorem. *For any splitting subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$, the even-homogeneous relative $\mathfrak{a}$ supermanifolds are determined by the cocycles listed in Lemma 2.5.*

Thus, all even-homogeneous relative $\mathfrak{a}$ non-split supermanifolds with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{kk20}$ are described for any $k\geq 2$.

Let us find out if any of these even-homogeneous relative $\mathfrak{a}$ non-split supermanifolds are homogeneous. For this we use the following Proposition analogous to Proposition 15 in [10]:

2.9. Proposition. *Under conditions of Proposition 2.7, let $(\mathbb{CP}^1,\mathcal{O})$ be even-homogeneous relative $\mathfrak{a}_i$ for one of $i=1,2,3$.*

If $i=1$, then $(\mathbb{CP}^1,\mathcal{O})$ is homogeneous if and only if the vector fields ∂_{ξ_j}, where $j=1,\dots,4$ can be lifted to $(\mathbb{CP}^1,\mathcal{O})$;.

If $i=2$, then $(\mathbb{CP}^1,\mathcal{O})$ is homogeneous if and only if the vector fields ∂_{ξ_j}, where $j=1,3,4$, can be lifted to $(\mathbb{CP}^1,\mathcal{O})$.

If $i=3$, then $(\mathbb{CP}^1,\mathcal{O})$ is homogeneous if and only if the vector fields ∂_{ξ_1} and ∂_{ξ_4} can be lifted to $(\mathbb{CP}^1,\mathcal{O})$.

Proposition 2.9 applied to the cocycles of Lemma 2.5 implies the classification (Theorems 2.10–2.20). For other 4-tuples, homogeneous non-split supermanifolds do not exist.

2.10. Theorem. *There does not exists homogeneous non-split supermanifolds with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{kk20}$ for any $k\geq 2$.*

2.11. Theorem. *For the following tuples $(k_1k_2k_3k_4)$, there does not exists homogeneous non-split supermanifolds $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{k_1k_2k_3k_4}$.*

$(1,1,1,0)$, $(3,3,1,0)$, $(4,4,1,0)$, $(4,4,3,0)$, $(6,4,3,0)$, $(5,4,3,0)$, $(k+2,k,4,0)_{k\geq 4}$,
$(3,3,2,1)$, $(5,3,2,1)$, $(k+3,k,4,0)_{k\geq 4}$, $(k+3,k,3,1)_{k\geq 3}$, $(k+1,k,4,0)_{k\geq 4}$,
$(k+1,k,3,1)_{k\geq 3}$, $(k+1,k,2,0)_{k>2}$, $(k,k,4,0)_{k\geq 4}$, $(k,k,3,1)_{k\geq 3}$.

2.12. Theorem. *For every of the following tuples $(k_1k_2k_3k_4)$, there exists one homogeneous non-split supermanifold with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{k_1k_2k_3k_4}$ which can be represented, up to an isomorphism, by* (the classes of) *the following cocycles.*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
(2,2,1,0) & x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_4\xi_3\partial_{\xi_3};\\
(2,2,2,1) & x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_x;\\
(3,2,2,0) & 2x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_x+3x^{-2}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1};\\
(4,3,2,1) & x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1};\\
(k+1,k,1,1)_{k>1} & x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1};\\
(k+3,k,2,2)_{k\geq 2} & 2x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\partial_x+(k+3)x^{-2}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+kx^{-2}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2};\\
(k+1,k,2,2)_{k>2} & 2x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\partial_x+(k+1)x^{-2}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+kx^{-2}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2};\\
(k,k,2,2)_{k\geq 4} & x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\partial_x.
\end{array}$$

2.13. Theorem. *If $k_4\neq 0$, then for $(k_2+k_3+k_4-2,k_2,k_3,k_4)_{k_3\geq 1}$ different from $(k,k,1,1)_{k\geq 1}$, there exists one homogeneous non-split supermanifold which can be represented, up to an isomorphism, by the* (class of) *cocycle*

$$x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}.$$

2.14. Theorem. *There exist two homogeneous non-split supermanifolds with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{2222}$, which can be represented, up to an isomorphism, by* (the classes of) *the following cocycles.*

$$\begin{array}{l}
x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_x+x^{-2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_3}+x^{-2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4},\\
x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\partial_x+x^{-2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_3}+x^{-2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4}+x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\partial_x+\\
\qquad\qquad +x^{-2}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-2}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2}.
\end{array}$$

2.15. Theorem. *There exist two homogenous non-split supermanifolds with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{3222}$, which can be represented, up to an isomorphism, by* (the classes of) *the cocycles*

$$\begin{array}{l}
x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_x+x^{-2}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-2}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4},\\
2x^{-3}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-2}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}.
\end{array}$$

2.16. Theorem. *There exist three homogeneous non-split supermanifolds with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{3322}$, which can be represented, up to an isomorphism, by* (the classes of) *the following cocycles.*

$$\begin{array}{l}
x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\partial_x,\quad x^{-2}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_x+x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_x,\\
x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\partial_x+x^{-2}\xi_1\xi_3\partial_x+x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\partial_x.
\end{array}$$

2.17. Theorem. *There exist five homogeneous non-split supermanifolds with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{kk11}$, where $k>2$, which can be represented, up to an isomorphism, by* (the classes of) *the following cocycles.*

$$\begin{array}{l}
x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1},\ x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2},\ x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2},\\
x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}+x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1},\ x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}+x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}.
\end{array}$$

2.18. Theorem. *There exist eight homogeneous non-split supermanifolds with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{2111}$, which can be represented, up to an isomorphism, by* (the classes of) *the following cocycles.*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}, & x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4}, \quad x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}, \\
x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4}, & x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2}, \\
x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_4}+x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}, & x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2}, \\
x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_3\partial_{\xi_3}+x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_2\partial_{\xi_2}. &
\end{array}$$

2.19. Theorem. *There exist nine homogeneous non-split supermanifolds with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{2211}$, which can be represented, up to an isomorphism, by* (the classes of) *the following cocycles.*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}, & x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}, \\
x^{-2}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}-x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}, & x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}, \\
x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}, & \\
x^{-2}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}-x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}, & \\
x^{-2}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}-x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}, & \\
x^{-2}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}-x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}, & \\
x^{-2}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}-x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_3\xi_4\xi_1\partial_{\xi_1}+ & \\
\qquad +x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}+x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}. &
\end{array}$$

2.20. Theorem ([5]). *There exist four homogeneous non-split superstrings and one* 1*-parameter family of homogeneous non-split superstrings with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{1111}$, which can be represented, up to an isomorphism, by* (the classes of) *the following cocycles.*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}, & x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}-x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}, \\
x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}-x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}+x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_3}, & \\
x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}-x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}+x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_3}-x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_4}, & \\
t(x^{-1}\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_1}-x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_4\partial_{\xi_2}+x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_4\partial_{\xi_3}-x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\partial_{\xi_4})+ & \\
\qquad +x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4\partial_x, \textit{ where } \ t\in\mathbb{C}^\times. &
\end{array}$$

Acknowledgements. Thanks are due to A. Onishchik for his help and to D. Leites for his comments and help.

References

[1] Bashkin M. A., Homogeneous non-split supermanifolds of odd dimensiona 4 over a complex projective line. In: Nevsky M. V. (ed.) Mathematics in Yaroslavl U., Yaroslavl U., Yaroslavl, (2011) 7–12.

[2] Bashkin M. A., Homogeneous and even-homogeneous supermanifolds with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{k,k,2,0}$ for $k \geq 2$. Models and analysis of inform. systems. v.16, No3. (2009) 14–21.

[3] Bashkin M. A., Homogeneous non-split supermanifolds with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{k,k,2,2}$. In: Abstracts of talks at the International algebraic conference dedicated to A. V. Yakovlev's 70th birthday. St. Petersbourg State U., St. Petersbourg (2010) 6–8.

[4] Bashkin M. A., Homogeneous non-split supermanifolds with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}_{6,4,2,2}$. In: Mathematics and natural sciences. Theory and practice. Interuniversity collection of scientific papers. V.11, Yaroslavl State U., Yaroslavl (2016), 13–19

[5] Bashkin M. A., Homogeneous superstrings with retract $\mathcal{CP}^{1|4}$. Communications in Mathematics. This Special volume.

[6] Bashkin M. A., Onishchik A. L., Homogeneous non-split supermanifolds of dimension 1|4 over complex projective line. In: Mathematics in Yaroslavl University, Collection of review papers to the 30th anniversary of the Mathematical Department, Yaroslavl State U., Yaroslavl (2006) 17–32.

[7] Bashkin M. A., Onishchik A. L., Homogeneous non-split supermanifolds over complex projective line. In: Mathematics, cybernetics, informatics: proceedings of the International scientific conference to the memory of A. Yu. Levin. Yaroslavl State U., Yaroslavl (2008) 40–57.

[8] * Bernstein J., Gelfand S., $\Lambda(\Theta)$-modules and algebraic vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}(\Theta)$. In: D. Leites (ed.) Seminar on supersymmetries. Preprints of Stockholm University 10/1987-14, `http://staff.math.su.se/mleites/sos/bernstein-gelfand-1987.pdf` For an abridged version, see [13]*.

[9] * Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Minkowski superspaces and superstrings as almost real-complex supermanifolds. Theor. and Mathem. Physics, Vol. 173, no. 3, (2012) 1687–1708; `arXiv:1010.4480`

[10] Bunegina V. A., Onishchik A. L., Homogeneous supermanifolds associated with the complex projective line. J. Math. Sci. V. 82 (1996) 3503–3527)

[11] Bunegina V. A., Onishchik A. L., Two families of flag supermanifolds.[1] Different. Geom. and its Appl. V. 4. (1994) 329–360.

[12] * Chapovalov D., Chapovalov M., Lebedev A., Leites D. The classification of almost affine (hyperbolic) Lie superalgebras. v. 17, Special issue in memory of F. Berezin, (2010) 103–161; `arXiv:0906.1860`

[13] * Gelfand S. Sheaves on $\mathbb{P}_n$ and problems of linear algebra. Appendix in the book Okonek Ch., Schneider M., Spindler H. [Vector bundles on complex projective spaces] (Russian) Translated from the English by V. Ya. Lin. With an appendix by S. I. Gelfand. Translation edited and with a preface by Yu. I. Manin. Mir, Moscow, 1984. 312 pp.

Okonek Ch., Schneider M., Spindler H., Vector bundles on complex projective spaces. Corrected reprint of the 1988 edition. With an appendix by S. I. Gelfand. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. viii+239 pp.

[14] Green P., On holomorphic graded manifolds. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. V. 85. (1982) 587–590.

[15] * Hazewinkel M., Martin C., A short elementary proof of Grothendieck's theorem on algebraic vectorbundles over the projective line. Journal of pure and applied algebra 25 (1982), pp. 207 – 211.

[16] * Kac V. G., Letter to the editors: "Classification of simple Lie superalgebras" (Funkcional. Anal. i Prilož., v. 9 (1975), no. 3, 91–92). (in Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Prilož., v. 10 (1976), no. 2, 93

[17] * Kaplansky I., Superalgebras. Pacific J. Math., v. 86 (1980), no. 1, 93–98

[18] * Kaplansky I., Graded Lie algebras I, II, preprints, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1975, see `http://www1.osu.cz/~Zusmanovich/links/files/kaplansky/`

[19] Onishchik A.L. On complex homogeneous supermanifolds. In: Mathematics in Yaroslavl University. Collection of review papers. To 20th anniversary of Mathematical Department. Yaroslavl State U., Yaroslavl (1996) 133–153.

[20] Onishchik A.L., A Construction of Non-Split Supermanifolds. Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry. V. 16. (1998) 309–333.

[21] Onishchik A.L., Non-Abelian Cohomology and Supermanifolds. SFB 288. Preprint no 360. Berlin, 1998.

[1] Certain attributions in this paper are wrong. For example, the Lie superalgebra $\Gamma(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ — the deformation of $\mathfrak{osp}(4|2)$ — was discovered by Kaplansky [17]*, [18]*, see [16]*; later Kac redenoted $\Gamma(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ by $D(2, 1; \alpha)$; for reasons given in [12]*, this is an ill-chosen notation. For a reasonable and meaningful notation which gradually started to replace the initial *ad hoc* names of the exceptional Lie superalgebras given by Kaplansky and later ones, due to Kac, see [23]*, [12]*.

[22] Onishchik A.L. Classification problems of complex supermanifolds. In: Mathematics in Yaroslavl University. Collection of review papers. To 25th anniversary of Mathematical Department. Yaroslavl State U., Yaroslavl (2001) 7–34.

[23] * Serganova V., On generalizations of root systems, Comm. in Algebra 24: 13 (1996) 4281–4299

[24] * Vishnyakova E., Vector fields on flag supermanifolds. In: Modern problems of mathematics and informatics. V.8. Yaroslavl State U., Yaroslavl (2006) 11–23.

[25] * Vishnyakova E., Even-homogeneous supermanifolds on the complex projective line. Differential Geometry and its Applications. V. 31, Issue 5, (2013) 698–706

[26] * Vishnyakova E., Rigidity of flag supermanifolds; `arXiv:1908.11753`